

Characteristics of Carbonaceous Matter in Aerosol from Selected Urban and Rural Areas of Southern Poland

Barbara Błaszczak * and Barbara Mathews

Institute of Environmental Engineering Polish Academy of Sciences, 34 M. Skłodowska-Curie Str., 41-819 Zabrze, Poland; barbara.mathews@ipis.zabrze.pl

* Correspondence: barbara.blaszczak@ipis.zabrze.pl; Tel.: +48-322-716-481

Received: 3 June 2020; Accepted: 27 June 2020; Published: 29 June 2020

Abstract: The purpose of this study is to obtain a detailed picture of the spatial and seasonal variability of carbonaceous matter in southern Poland. Particulate matter (PM) samples from eight selected urban and rural background sites were analyzed for organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) (thermal-optical method, “eusaar_2” protocol), and the content of secondary (SOC) and primary organic carbon (POC) was estimated. The OC and EC dynamics were further studied using each of the thermally-derived carbon fractions (OC1–4, PC, and EC1–4). Clear spatiotemporal variability of carbonaceous compounds concentrations was observed, with higher levels recorded during the heating season. The considered measurement sites differed particularly in the shares of SOC and POC, with higher values of POC contents especially in rural areas. In terms of the content of carbon fractions, the analyzed sites showed roughly the same characteristics, with PC, OC4, and OC2 as dominant fractions of OC and with clear dominance of EC3 and EC2 over other EC fractions. The results obtained as part of this work may be a valuable source of information about the actual status of the carbonaceous matter, which remains one of the least known components of atmospheric PM.

Keywords: carbonaceous matter; thermal-optical analysis; secondary and primary organic carbon; organic and elemental carbon fractions; rural and urban background; southern Poland

1. Introduction

Air pollution is currently one of the most serious environmental problems of all developed and developing countries, which affects both urban and rural areas [1–3]. Of the various pollutants, particulate matter (PM), affects the environment in the most comprehensive way, due to the diversity of emission sources and formation mechanisms, and the resulting variation in chemical composition and particle size. The composition of PM in the atmosphere consists of various chemical species, such as carbonaceous matter, inorganic ions, mineral dust, and sea salt aerosol, as well as trace elements and water [4,5]. Among the abovementioned substances, carbonaceous matter forms a large and often dominant part of PM, accounting for approximately 20–50% of the PM_{2.5} mass concentration and somewhat less (20–35%) of PM₁₀ [6,7]. This proportion may increase even more during episodes of elevated PM concentrations [8–11].

As aerosols contain a complex mixture of organic compounds, their analyses are simplified by measuring the two main parts of the total carbon (TC), i.e., the organic and elemental carbon (OC and EC, respectively). Such a simplification is especially useful when their diurnal trends and long data series are studied [12,13]. The terms EC and BC have often been used loosely and interchangeably in the literature, and the difference between them relates to the analytical method applied to assess their

content [14,15]. The term EC is based on thermal methods that allow the separation of EC and OC from PM samples. BC means soot particles and refers to optical properties of aerosol particles which are determined by means optical methods.

Both EC and OC present in aerosols can originate from almost every thermal process involving carbonaceous matter. Elemental carbon is emitted only as a primary pollutant from incomplete combustion of carbon-based fuels, principally wood used for residential heating and fossil fuels used in transportation, power generation, and industrial processes [16]. In the atmosphere, EC occurs in an inert state; therefore, it can be a direct indicator of the degree of air pollution in urban areas [17]. The OC is mainly composed of primary organic carbon (POC), directly emitted into the air, and secondary organic carbon (SOC), produced in photochemical reactions of its gaseous precursors, including volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [15,18]. The components of OC are very complex and rich in toxic substances [18]. Many of them are known human mutagens and/or carcinogens, e.g., some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs), and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) [13,19].

European Parliament and Council Directive 2008/50/EC requires the monitoring of organic and elemental carbon in PM_{2.5} at selected rural background sites from mid-2010 [20]. The monitoring program of the convention on long-range transports of air pollutants (EMEP) also includes the measurement of OC and EC in PM₁₀ [15]. To determine, quantitatively, the concentrations of OC and EC, a variety of analytical techniques are used [4,14]. Among these, thermal-optical analysis, based on the determination of reflectance (Thermal Optical Reflectance (TOR)) or transmittance (Thermal Optical Transmittance (TOT)), is currently considered as a reference method [15,21,22]. It allows the performance of measurements according to several standard temperature protocols that differ in temperature thresholds, their quantity, and duration. The most commonly used thermal protocols include NIOSH, IMPROVE_A, and EUSAAR_2, which are comparable for TC concentrations, but the results vary significantly concerning OC and especially EC concentrations [15,23–25]. It should be mentioned that the EUSAAR_2 protocol is one candidate for a future standard in Europe and is already in use in the infrastructure initiative called Aerosol, Clouds and Trace Gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS). It enables better separation and determination of thermal OC and EC fractions than that provided by the NIOSH protocol that was often used in Europe previously. The use of lower temperature steps in the He-mode and longer residence times was aimed at the reduction of pyrolysis and at a more complete evolution of OC [21].

In spite of its dominant percentage in PM mass, the carbonaceous matter is not yet well understood [13,26,27]. It is known, however, that this component plays an important role in global processes related to radiative forcing and atmosphere optics, as well as its impact on population health [7,16,28,29]. Although EC represents generally a minor component of total carbon, it is the major absorber of visible light, and a driver of global warming [18,28]. On the other hand, organic carbon can influence visibility degradation and radiative transfer through the atmosphere by both scattering and absorption, depending on functional group composition and mixing with soot particles [30]. In addition, water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC), including dicarboxylic acids, may have a large contribution in cloud-related processes [26,31].

Apart from the climatic and human health reasons, better understanding of chemical characterization of carbonaceous matter is also important for identifying sources of atmospheric aerosols and developing effective prevention and mitigation strategies to protect air quality [7,13]. Many studies have focused on carbonaceous aerosol in recent years and they agreed that TC is the main contributors to PM mass, especially in the atmospheres of highly industrialized areas [32–35]. One of such European hot spots in relation to air quality is the area of southern Poland [36,37]. This region is characterized by a high degree of urbanization and numerous large urban centers—often densely populated and with many industrial plants (e.g., coking plants, mines, and iron foundries)—which contribute to high pollution of all components of the environment [37–39]. The unique position of hard coal as an energy source is the reason for the emission of large amounts of gaseous and particulate pollutants to the atmosphere. A significant threat to air quality is also public and individual transport, as well as sources of so-called low emissions, especially the burning of fossil

fuels and biomass in domestic furnaces and local boiler rooms. All this explains why PM concentrations in southern Poland still remain high compared to many European measurement stations, despite major economic changes in the region over the last three decades [36,40].

With regard to the above considerations, the main goal of this work was to describe the carbonaceous matter characteristics in the atmosphere of the selected measurement sites in southern Poland. The following specific issues were discussed within the study: (1) examination of the OC and EC concentrations, as well as their contributions in PM mass; (2) estimation of the content of secondary and primary organic carbon in PM samples from the considered areas; (3) investigation of the carbon distribution between the OC and EC temperature fractions; (4) assessment of seasonal and spatial variability of analyzed carbon compounds. Based on the obtained data, an additional attempt was made to indicate the possible source origins of carbonaceous matter in PM.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Area

The current database of OC and EC measurements includes data collected over the years 2013–2020 at eight measurement sites located in different parts of the southern Poland. Detailed information on measurement campaigns is presented in Table 1, and the geographical location of measurement sites in Figure 1. Of the research locations, four sites represented rural/suburban background conditions, while another four met the requirements for urban background stations [40]. It should also be noted that within both types of sites there were more or less distinct differences in terms of topographical, emission, and land use conditions. Krynica-Zdrój (“Krynica”) is a well-known health resort in Poland situated within the “Beskid Sądecki” mountain range. The measurement site lies on a slope of a mountain valley, adjacent to the city’s residential buildings and the health resort area. Rokitno Szlacheckie (“Rokitno”) is a small village situated near Zawiercie (Silesian Voivodeship), in the area of zinc and lead ores occurrence. The measurement site is located on the territory of a former farm, in an open area. Although it represents typical conditions for rural locations, it may be exposed to influx of urban industrial pollution from other zones of the Silesian Voivodeship. Similar conditions are represented by the measurement site in Złoty Potok, considered to be the regional background point for the Silesian Voivodeship. Its immediate surroundings are meadows, arable fields and forests, and at a distance of ~150 m there is a forester’s lodge and several coal-fired summer houses. The nearest larger areas of low-rise buildings are located within a radius of ~1–1.5 km from the site. The suburban background site in Racibórz (“Racibórz-S”) differs from the others’ rural background sites by a relatively short distance to the city center (~2.5 km). This site is located on the outskirts of the town, at the meteorological station belonging to the Institute of Meteorology and Water Management–National Research Institute (IMWM-NRI). Its direct surroundings are arable fields. The national road no. 45 and the nearest dispersed residential buildings are located ~100–250 m east of the site. Due to its specific location within the Moravian Gate, it is possible to directly investigate the impact of the long-range transport of pollutants from the Czech Republic. There is also an urban background site in Racibórz (“Racibórz-C”), located in the city center, on the territory of an elementary school. Its immediate surroundings are compact urban buildings, roads, and a railway station. Among the remaining urban background locations, Trzebinia and Skawina represent similar characteristics. Trzebinia is an industrial city and is situated at a relatively short distance from large economic centers: Katowice (~36 km) and Cracow (~37 km). The measurement site is located in the eastern part of the city, in close proximity to single-family and multi-family housings. In addition, there are numerous industrial plants within a radius of ~2–5 km from the station, including a refinery, a power plant, and cement and refractory production plants. Skawina is one of the urban centers of the Cracow agglomeration and is located ~13 km from the center of Cracow. The measurement site lies outside the city center, on the territory of the municipal stadium. As in the case of Trzebinia, in its close vicinity (within a radius of up to 2 km) there are numerous industrial plants, mainly from the steel industry sector, a power plant, and high-traffic roads. The urban background site in Zabrze lies in the heart of the Upper Silesia Agglomeration,

which is densely populated and one of the most industrialized parts of Poland. The measurement site is located on the area belonging to the Institute of Environmental Engineering Polish Academy of Sciences (IEE PAS). The research area is surrounded by a national road with heavy traffic (~500 m north of the site), blocks of flats and houses (~300 m east of the site), and housing estates and allotments (~200 m west of the site). At a distance of ~1 km to the south and south-east of the site there is the center of Zabrze, with residential and commercial buildings.

Table 1. General information about measurement campaigns selected for research.

No	Station Name	Coordinates (Latitude, Longitude, Altitude (h))	Type of Station	Measurement Period (n—Number of Samples)	Sampling Equipment	Measured PM Fraction
1	Krynica	49°24'28" N 20°57'39" E h = 582 m a.s.l.	rural background	02 January–29 December 2018 (n = 359)	low-volume sampler PNS-15 (Atmoservice)	PM ₁₀
2	Rokitno	50°26'9" N 19°25'29" E h = 344 m a.s.l.	rural background	01 January–31 December 2019 (n = 358)	high-volume sampler DHA-80 (Digitel)	PM ₁₀
3	Racibórz- S	50°3'39" N 18°11'27" E h = 203 m a.s.l.	near-city background	01 January–29 December 2019 (n = 171)	low-volume sampler PNS-15 (Atmoservice)	PM _{2.5}
4	Złoty Potok	50°42'39" N 19°27'32" E h = 291 m a.s.l.	rural background	01 January–31 March 2013 and 20 April–31 July 2019 (n = 171)	low-volume sampler PNS-15 (Atmoservice)	PM _{2.5}
5	Trzebinia	50°9'4" N 19°28'39" E h = 340 m a.s.l.	urban background	01 February–31 March 2013 and 01 May–31 July 2019 (n = 135)	low-volume sampler μPNS LVS15 (Umwelttechnik MCZ)	PM _{2.5}
6	Skawina	49°58'36" N 19°48'49" E h = 210 m a.s.l.	urban background	23 February 2019–11 January 2020 (n = 179)	low-volume sampler μPNS LVS16 (Umwelttechnik MCZ)	PM ₁₀
7	Racibórz- C	50°5'24" N 18°12'58" E h = 190 m a.s.l.	urban background	01 January–31 December 2019 (n = 179)	low-volume sampler PNS-15 (Atmoservice)	PM _{2.5}
8	Zabrze	50°18'53" N 18°46'17" E h = 254 m a.s.l.	urban background	01 December 2017–31 March 2018 and 07 May–30 September 2019 (n = 207 (PM ₁); n = 257 (PM _{2.5}); n = 227 (PM ₁₀))	high-volume sampler DHA-80 (Digitel) *	PM ₁ , PM _{2.5} , PM ₁₀

* In the non-heating season, it is used interchangeably with low-volume samplers: FAI (PM₁), PNS 15 (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀), and Comde-Derenda GmbH (PM_{2.5}).




Figure 1. Location of the study area and measurement stations (1–8 in figure) selected for research. Source: Google Maps; photos: own collection and [41].

PM samples were taken on a daily basis, which applies to all measurement sites selected for research. In the case of two sites in Racibórz, chemical composition analyzes were carried out for every second sample, hence the lower number of daily samples compared to other stations. The measurement results were averaged over the entire measurement period and in the heating (January–March and October–December) and non-heating (April–August) seasons. The adopted division, commonly used in the literature, was primarily dictated by differences in air temperatures and the resulting difference in energy demand and its consumption, which in turn contributes to the observed seasonal variability of the concentrations of PM and related components [9,37,42]. The measurement period usually covered a calendar year; in some cases it was shorter (Skawina, Trzebinia, Złoty Potok, and Zabrze). In relation to the Trzebinia and Złoty Potok, the measurements were part of a research and development project which aimed to analyze the concentration and percentage of the PM_{2.5} main chemical components (carbonaceous matter and water-soluble ions) [3,37]. This paper presents only data on carbon compounds, including the concentrations and shares of OC and EC temperature fractions.

Daily PM samples were collected with the use of low- or high-volume samplers (see Table 1), equipped with sampling heads enabling the collection of PM₁ (Zabrze), PM_{2.5} (Racibórz-S, Racibórz-C, Złoty Potok, and Zabrze) or PM₁₀ (Krynica, Rokitno, Skawina, and Zabrze) fractions. PM was collected on quartz fiber filters (Whatman QMA®) with a diameter of 47 mm (low-volume samplers) or 150 mm (high-volume samplers). A discussion of the methodology for determining PM concentrations and concentrations of carbonaceous compounds is provided in Section 2.2.

2.2. Analytical Procedures

The PM mass concentrations were obtained via the gravimetric method [43,44], with the use of Mettler Toledo (resolution 2 µg) and Sartorius (resolution, 100 µg) balances, respectively, for PM samples from low- and high-volume samplers. Conditioning, weighing, and storage of both non-exposed and exposed filters were conducted in the weighing room. The conditions in this room are regulated by an air conditioning device as well as humidity regulators (humidifier and dryer). This

allows working to always be performed in similar conditions of temperature (20 ± 1 °C) and relative humidity ($50 \pm 5\%$ RH). After weighing, the filters were prepared for chemical composition analysis. One section of 1.0 cm^2 was cut from each PM daily sample, which was submitted for analysis of organic and elemental carbon content. The remaining filter surface was stored in a refrigerator in marked Petri dishes and analyzed for other chemical components which were not the subject of this study. Only daily samples for which the measurement time was not less than 75% of the day were subjected to chemical analysis.

Measurements of OC and EC were performed using thermal-optical transmittance analysis (TOT), by means of carbon analyzer from Sunset Laboratory Inc. with flame ionization detection (FID). In TOT analysis, the carbonaceous material contained in PM samples collected on quartz-fiber filters evolves thermally under different temperature steps according to a prescribed temperature protocol. First, by the gradual heating of the sample (1.0 cm^2 sections of the quartz filters) in the helium stream, the organic carbon is released from the filter. In the next step, the filter section is heated in an oxidizing helium-oxygen mixture to release elemental carbon. The compounds separated from the sample during the subsequent steps are quantitatively converted to CO_2 in an oxidizer oven with MnO_2 catalyst. The CO_2 produced during the analysis, in the presence of hydrogen and nickel catalyst, is reduced to methane, the amount of which is measured by a flame ionization detector. During the first stage of the analysis, there are some organic compounds that are converted to elemental carbon. This pyrolytic conversion is continuously monitored by measuring the transmission of a laser beam through the filter and automatically corrected. In addition to regular split point determination for the pyrolytic carbon (PC), there are many other steps to ensure an accurate determination of the EC and OC concentrations, such as: a) regular analysis of blank filters; b) periodic analysis of a calibration (control) sample of glucose solution of known concentration; c) the use of auto-calibration of the apparatus (the inclusion of a fixed volume loop used to inject an external standard (Air Liquide) at the end of each analysis); d) periodic analysis of certified reference material manufactured by NIST (RM 8785 NIST and RM 8786 NIST) with known carbon content (recovery of 90–102% and 98–124% was obtained, respectively, for organic and elemental carbon); e) participation in inter-laboratory tests.

In this study, the analysis was performed using the “eusaar_2” protocol (Table 2), which has been developed as a proposed standard method for European monitoring stations under the European Supersites for Atmospheric Aerosol Research (EUSAAR) project [21,22]. In total, nine temperature-resolved carbon fractions are provided: four organic carbons (OC1–4), four elemental carbons (EC1–4), and the pyrolytic carbon (PC). Total OC is operationally defined as:

$$\text{OC} = \text{OC1} + \text{OC2} + \text{OC3} + \text{OC4} + \text{PC}, \quad (1)$$

total EC is defined as:

$$\text{EC} = \text{EC1} + \text{EC2} + \text{EC3} + \text{EC4} - \text{PC}, \quad (2)$$

and the total carbon concentration (TC) is the sum of OC and EC.

Table 2. Parameters of the analysis according with the EUSAAR 2 protocol. (OC1–4: four organic carbons, EC1–4: four elemental carbons).

Phase/Gas Stream	Temperature (°C)	Time (s)	Carbon Fraction
He	200	120	OC1
He	300	150	OC2
He	450	180	OC3
He	650	180	OC4
He/O ₂	500	120	EC1
He/O ₂	550	120	EC2
He/O ₂	700	70	EC3
He/O ₂	850	80	EC4

Based on measured OC and EC concentrations, a preliminary assessment of SOC and POC content in PM samples from each measurement site was made. It should be noted here that the quantitative estimation of the share of primary and secondary organic carbon associated with the aerosol is very difficult because there is no direct method of chemical analysis of SOC and POC concentrations [27,45,46]. Several indirect methods have been developed, among which the most common and the simplest method remains the use of EC as an indicator for POC (the so-called EC-tracer method) [47]. However, it requires meeting a number of conditions, including stability of relative contributions of primary carbonaceous sources to aerosol load in time and space. In addition, PM samples contaminated with wood burning emissions should be rejected in the calculation, because this type of emission source can have a significant impact on the OC/EC ratios. No such correction was performed within this work, and to estimate the SOC and POC concentrations, the methodology used in the earlier work of the authors [37,48,49] was adopted—equations (3) and (4):

$$\text{POC} = \text{EC} \cdot (\text{OC}/\text{EC})_{\text{pri}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{SOC} = \text{OC} - \text{POC}, \quad (4)$$

where $(\text{OC}/\text{EC})_{\text{pri}}$ is the ratio of primary organic carbon to elemental carbon. To estimate the value of $(\text{OC}/\text{EC})_{\text{pri}}$ ratio, a minimum slope method was applied, consisting of analyzing the correlation between OC and EC concentrations (Figure 2). Linear regression was performed for 10% of the samples with the lowest OC/EC ratio because, according to literature data [50,51], the value of the determination coefficient for this range of data will represent the impact of POC emission sources. In the present study, due to large discrepancies between the heating and non-heating seasons, the $(\text{OC}/\text{EC})_{\text{pri}}$ ratios were determined separately for these periods. The only exceptions are the measurement sites in Trzebinia and Złoty Potok, where due to the relatively lower number of samples, the data set from the entire measuring period was used.




Figure 2. Linear relationship between OC and EC, taking into account 10% of the samples with the lowest values of the OC/EC ratio (measurement sites in Zabrze (PM_{2.5}) and Racibórz-S (PM_{2.5})). (a) heating season; (b) non-heating season.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Spatiotemporal Variations of the PM Mass Concentrations

Comparison of average PM concentrations recorded at measurement sites selected for research is presented in Figure 3, together with the frequency of exceeding the normative values for average daily concentrations. Among the rural background sites, the lowest PM concentrations were recorded in Rokitno (PM₁₀) and Krynica (PM₁₀) and they were on average (all period) 21.94 and 22.52 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, respectively. The number of exceedances of the limit value for the average daily PM₁₀ concentration (50 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [20] at these sites was lower than 35, and therefore the air quality standards were not violated. Interestingly, the PM concentrations observed in Racibórz-S and Złoty Potok were higher, although the measurements concerned the fine PM fraction. This indicates that the problem of air pollution with fine particulate matter was much more serious in these sites. Consequently, the average concentration of PM_{2.5} at these sites, taking into account the entire measurement period (25.73 and 25.16 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, respectively), slightly exceeded the limit value for the average annual concentration of PM_{2.5} (25 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [20]. Both in Racibórz and Złoty Potok, there was also a relatively high (>50 days) frequency of exceeding the value recommended by the WHO for the average daily concentration of PM_{2.5} (25 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [52].




Figure 3. Average concentrations of PM from considered rural and urban background stations. The numbers above each bar symbolize the number of exceedances of the limit value for the average daily PM₁₀ concentration [20] (red) and the recommended value for the average daily PM_{2.5} concentration [52] (green). Designations: ALL—all periods; H—heating season; NH—non-heating season.

Particulate matter concentrations recorded at the considered urban background sites were generally higher compared to the values recorded at rural background sites, which is consistent with the literature data [3]. Apart from the obvious reason of a much higher aggregation of emission sources, the compact development of urban areas largely hinders horizontal air ventilation [53,54], which has an undeniable impact on air quality. However, it should be noted that the average concentration of PM_{2.5} (all periods) in Trzebinia and Racibórz-C was comparable to the rural background sites in Racibórz and Złoty Potok. This demonstrates the high level of regional background for the area of southern Poland, which was mentioned in Ref [9,36,37].

The highest concentrations of PM_{2.5} were observed in Zabrze and they averaged 32.21 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$. This site also recorded a very high frequency of exceeding the WHO recommended value for the average daily PM_{2.5} concentration of up to 107 days. PM_{2.5} was a significant part of the PM₁₀, with the average PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratio of 0.80 (all periods); however, higher values were recorded in the heating season (0.83, on average), compared to the non-heating one (0.62, on average). The PM₁ fraction constituted a small part of the PM_{2.5} (36%, all periods, on average). This is not unusual because the finest PM particles have a dominant share in the PM number concentration, while their share in the total aerosol mass is often very small [55,56]. The ratios of PM₁/PM_{2.5} slightly increased during the non-heating season, which may be related to the intensive processes of secondary aerosol formation

during this period [57,58]. The average concentration of PM₁₀ in Zabrze (all periods) was 40.38 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, slightly less than in Skawina (42.96 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$). It can be seen that the limit value for the average daily PM₁₀ concentration has not been met at both stations, as well as the permissible frequency of exceeding the daily standard for PM₁₀ [20]. Both the Zabrze and Skawina sites are located in a highly industrialized and densely populated area, and therefore, although they are official urban background sites, they may be under the influence of specific conditions resulting from traffic emissions and plume of urban-industrial pollution coming from other areas of the city.

Regardless of the site characteristics (urban/rural) and the measured PM fraction, there is a clear trend regarding the seasonal variability of particulate matter concentrations. For all stations, higher PM levels were recorded during the heating season compared to the non-heating one. These differences can be attributed to the imission situation in the cold season, including increased activity of local emission sources and a set of adverse meteorological conditions (e.g., lower wind speeds and mixing heights and frequent temperature inversions), which impede the dispersion and removal of pollutants [27,36]. Periodically occurring episodes of elevated concentrations of PM are characteristic for the climatic conditions of Poland and in cases of so-called large smog episodes; their range also includes areas with low building intensity [9]. The seasonal difference is generally more noticeable in the case of smaller fractions: PM_{2.5} and PM₁ and urban background locations. For example, the ratio of average seasonal PM_{2.5} concentrations (heating/non-heating) in Zabrze was ~3.7, while in Racibórz-S, this was ~2.0. For comparison, the same ratio for PM₁₀ in Krynica and Skawina was about ~1.6. Attention is drawn to the high PM₁₀ concentrations recorded at the latter site in the non-heating season (average: 34.60 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$), which indicated that mobile and industrial sources, as well as resuspension of road dust, could play an important role in air pollution by PM [8,27,35].

3.2. Concentrations and Contributions of OC and EC

The average concentration of the analyzed carbon compounds, throughout the entire measuring period and divided into the heating and non-heating seasons, is presented in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively, for the rural and urban background sites. The obtained results are illustrated graphically in Figure 4 and Figure 5, showing the course of the EC and OC concentration time series (with distinction of SOC and POC). The average shares of EC, SOC, and POC in the PM mass at individual measurement sites are shown in Figures 6 and 7.

In the case of rural background sites, the average TC concentration (all periods) ranged from 7.45 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Krynica, PM₁₀) to 10.20 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Racibórz-S, PM_{2.5}). At urban background sites, the average TC concentration reached values in the range of 5.64 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze, PM₁) to 18.19 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze, PM₁₀). Therefore, data collected in the frame of this work showed a large variation in carbonaceous aerosol concentrations in southern Poland, although some general regularities can also be seen. First of all, it should be noted that the TC concentrations recorded in the considered areas remained high compared to the values observed at many European stations, e.g., (a) in Czech Republic: Prague (urban background) (TC = 7.76 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (heating season) and 3.93 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (non-heating season)) and Košetice (rural background) (TC = 5.13 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (heating season) and 3.50 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (non-heating season)) [23]; (b) in France: Paris (TC = 5.13 and 3.40 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, urban and suburban background, respectively) [59]; (c) in Hungary: K-Pusztá (rural background) (TC = 5.09 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [60] and Budapest (urban background) (TC = 7.46 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [61]; (d) in Italy: Venice (urban background) (TC = 5.80 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [62] and Monte Martano (rural background) (TC = 2.48 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) [63].

Similar to the PM mass concentrations, higher concentrations of carbonaceous compounds were generally recorded at urban background locations. For example, the average TC concentration (all periods) at the Racibórz-C site (12.23 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) was higher than the TC concentration recorded at the Racibórz-S site (10.20 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$), located at a distance of ~3 km. Spatial differences in TC concentrations were also observed for the PM₁₀ fraction, as evidenced by the results obtained at the measurement sites in Skawina (TC = 14.52 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) and Zabrze (TC = 18.19 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) as well as in Krynica (TC = 7.90 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) and Rokitno (TC = 9.17 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$). It should be noted that the TC concentrations recorded at rural background sites in Złoty Potok and Racibórz-S were comparable to the values obtained in Trzebinia. This indicates that air pollution by PM and PM-related carbon compounds can be a

significant problem not only in cities but also in rural areas, which are often considered less polluted in terms of air quality [37,64,65].

Table 3. Average concentrations of carbonaceous compounds ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$), along with the average values of the OC/EC ratio at considered rural background sites.

Period	Measurement Site	OC	EC	TC	OC/EC	SOC	POC
All period	Krynica (PM ₁₀)	6.51 ± 4.69	0.94 ± 0.74	7.45 ± 5.34	7.90 ± 3.68	2.54 ± 2.54	3.97 ± 2.99
	Rokitno (PM ₁₀)	7.89 ± 5.96	1.28 ± 0.82	9.17 ± 6.71	6.30 ± 1.92	2.70 ± 3.37	5.19 ± 3.28
	Racibórz-S (PM _{2.5})	8.96 ± 9.84	1.25 ± 1.15	10.20 ± 10.88	7.50 ± 3.12	4.40 ± 6.96	4.56 ± 3.74
	Złoty Potok (PM _{2.5})	8.59 ± 6.97	1.48 ± 1.19	10.07 ± 8.12	5.88 ± 1.15	3.30 ± 3.06	5.29 ± 4.28
Heating season	Krynica (PM ₁₀)	8.85 ± 5.70	1.39 ± 0.83	10.23 ± 6.41	6.38 ± 1.60	3.16 ± 3.31	5.69 ± 3.39
	Rokitno (PM ₁₀)	10.95 ± 6.90	1.77 ± 0.83	12.71 ± 7.63	5.98 ± 1.80	3.86 ± 4.32	7.09 ± 3.37
	Racibórz-S (PM _{2.5})	13.16 ± 12.59	1.81 ± 1.34	14.98 ± 13.77	6.67 ± 2.43	7.41 ± 9.10	5.76 ± 4.29
	Złoty Potok (PM _{2.5})	12.69 ± 7.88	2.17 ± 1.35	14.86 ± 9.18	5.85 ± 1.06	4.90 ± 3.60	7.78 ± 4.85
Non-heating season	Krynica (PM ₁₀)	4.24 ± 1.18	0.51 ± 0.20	4.75 ± 1.27	9.37 ± 4.46	1.94 ± 1.17	2.30 ± 0.88
	Rokitno (PM ₁₀)	4.73 ± 1.88	0.78 ± 0.40	5.51 ± 2.22	6.63 ± 1.99	1.50 ± 1.01	3.24 ± 1.61
	Racibórz-S (PM _{2.5})	5.08 ± 3.11	0.72 ± 0.58	5.80 ± 3.66	8.26 ± 3.49	1.62 ± 1.04	3.46 ± 2.74
	Złoty Potok (PM _{2.5})	4.49 ± 1.23	0.79 ± 0.26	5.27 ± 1.42	5.92 ± 1.23	1.69 ± 0.82	2.80 ± 0.89

Table 4. Average concentrations of carbonaceous compounds ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$), along with the average values of the OC/EC ratio at considered urban background sites.

Period	Measurement site	OC	EC	TC	OC/EC	SOC	POC
All period	Skawina (PM ₁₀)	12.09 ± 10.50	2.43 ± 1.51	14.52 ± 11.80	4.87 ± 1.66	5.60 ± 7.32	6.49 ± 4.08
	Zabrze (PM ₁₀)	15.57 ± 17.76	2.62 ± 1.96	18.19 ± 19.46	5.50 ± 2.35	8.88 ± 14.00	6.69 ± 4.71
	Trzebinia (PM _{2.5})	8.60 ± 6.73	2.21 ± 2.90	10.81 ± 8.60	4.37 ± 1.35	7.12 ± 5.96	1.48 ± 1.93
	Racibórz-C (PM _{2.5})	10.62 ± 10.36	1.61 ± 1.20	12.23 ± 11.36	6.51 ± 3.06	5.94 ± 7.72	4.67 ± 3.57
	Zabrze (PM _{2.5})	13.19 ± 13.78	2.38 ± 1.89	15.57 ± 15.47	5.29 ± 2.06	7.59 ± 10.41	5.60 ± 4.07
	Zabrze (PM ₁)	4.60 ± 4.23	1.05 ± 0.93	5.64 ± 5.09	4.91 ± 1.74	1.73 ± 2.13	2.87 ± 2.51
Heating season	Skawina (PM ₁₀)	18.14 ± 13.24	3.28 ± 1.52	21.43 ± 14.58	5.10 ± 1.94	9.26 ± 9.86	8.88 ± 4.15
	Zabrze (PM ₁₀)	28.85 ± 21.03	4.31 ± 1.81	33.16 ± 22.47	6.27 ± 2.62	18.28 ± 17.75	10.57 ± 4.44
	Trzebinia (PM _{2.5})	15.39 ± 6.53	3.97 ± 4.15	19.35 ± 8.61	4.82 ± 1.35	12.74 ± 6.39	2.65 ± 2.77
	Racibórz-C (PM _{2.5})	15.84 ± 12.32	2.27 ± 1.30	18.11 ± 13.30	6.84 ± 3.75	9.15 ± 9.78	6.69 ± 3.86
	Zabrze (PM _{2.5})	23.63 ± 14.61	3.98 ± 1.64	27.61 ± 15.92	5.74 ± 2.28	14.75 ± 11.98	8.89 ± 3.66
	Zabrze (PM ₁)	8.62 ± 5.86	1.96 ± 1.14	10.59 ± 6.90	4.37 ± 1.08	3.29 ± 3.33	5.34 ± 3.09
Non-heating season	Skawina (PM ₁₀)	7.46 ± 3.34	1.77 ± 1.13	9.23 ± 4.37	4.69 ± 1.39	2.79 ± 1.49	4.66 ± 2.93
	Zabrze (PM ₁₀)	6.01 ± 2.64	1.41 ± 0.84	7.41 ± 3.40	4.94 ± 1.96	2.10 ± 1.20	3.90 ± 2.33
	Trzebinia (PM _{2.5})	4.48 ± 1.40	1.15 ± 0.38	5.63 ± 1.64	4.09 ± 1.28	3.71 ± 1.27	0.77 ± 0.25
	Racibórz-C (PM _{2.5})	5.33 ± 2.76	0.94 ± 0.55	6.27 ± 3.24	6.19 ± 2.14	2.70 ± 1.64	2.63 ± 1.52
	Zabrze (PM _{2.5})	4.47 ± 1.82	1.04 ± 0.60	5.50 ± 2.33	4.90 ± 1.79	1.62 ± 1.01	2.85 ± 1.63
	Zabrze (PM ₁)	2.88 ± 1.03	0.65 ± 0.40	3.53 ± 1.39	5.14 ± 1.91	1.06 ± 0.56	1.82 ± 1.11

Organic and elemental carbon were relevant species both in fine and coarse particulate matter. Due to the parallel measurements of PM₁, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀ concentrations in Zabrze, the distribution of carbonaceous material in various fractions of particulate matter could be examined. It was found that both OC and EC were mostly in the fine mode fraction, as evidenced by the OC_{PM_{2.5}}/OC_{PM₁₀} and EC_{PM_{2.5}}/EC_{PM₁₀} ratios, slightly higher in the heating season (0.82 and 0.92, respectively) compared to the non-heating one (0.74 for both ratios). OC and EC in PM₁ constituted only a small part of PM_{2.5} mass, although the OC_{PM₁}/OC_{PM_{2.5}} and EC_{PM₁}/EC_{PM_{2.5}} ratios increased significantly during the non-heating season (on average, 0.64 and 0.63, respectively), which may suggest the impact of traffic emission sources and the importance of secondary aerosol formation processes [8,57,66].

Regardless of the type of the site and the measured PM fraction, the highest concentrations of carbonaceous compounds were always recorded during the heating season, when the maximum TC concentrations reached ~40 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (PM₁₀, Rokitno and Krynica), ~61 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (PM_{2.5}, Racibórz-S, Złoty Potok) (Figure 4), and in the case of urban background stations, ~43 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze-PM₁, Trzebinia-PM_{2.5}), ~64 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Racibórz-C, PM_{2.5}), ~80 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Skawina, PM₁₀), ~90 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze-PM_{2.5}), and even ~116 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze-PM₁₀) (Figure 5). The results obtained are consistent with the literature data on the relatively regular occurrence of very unfavorable air quality conditions at the beginning and end of the year [2,35,36]. Seasonal variability concerned both organic and elemental carbon; however,

more pronounced seasonal fluctuations were observed in urban areas, similar to PM mass concentrations; therefore, the OC and EC concentrations in PM_{2.5} from Zabrze was, respectively, ~5.3 and ~3.8 times higher in the heating season, compared to the non-heating one. In the case of the PM₁₀ fraction, this difference was smaller, but still relatively high (on average, ~4.8 (OC) and ~3.1 (EC) times higher concentrations during the heating season). For comparison, the ratio of average seasonal OC and EC (heating/non-heating) concentrations at the Racibórz-S site was 2.59 and 2.51, respectively, and at the Krynica site, 2.08 and 2.72, respectively.




Figure 4. Time series of daily averaged concentrations of SOC, POC and EC (µg·m⁻³) at considered rural background sites.




Figure 5. Time series of daily averaged concentrations of SOC, POC and EC ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) at considered urban background sites.

Both in the heating and non-heating seasons, organic carbon clearly dominated over elemental carbon, which applied to all measurement sites and all PM fractions. The high dominance of organic

carbon and potential formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) are also demonstrated by high values of the OC/EC ratio (Tables 3,4). Considering the entire measurement period, the average OC/EC ratios ranged from 4.37 (Trzebinia, PM_{2.5}) to 7.90 (Krynica, PM₁₀) and were generally higher in rural areas. This indicates that in such locations, coal and biomass combustion has a major impact on air pollution with carbonaceous aerosol, while in urban areas, the more intense interaction of traffic sources (vehicle exhaust) may explain the lower values of the OC/EC ratio [46,67,68]. Both types of sites also differed in seasonal variability of the OC/EC ratios. For all rural locations, higher OC/EC ratios were recorded in the non-heating season, and the best example is the measurement site in Krynica, with average OC/EC ratios of 6.38 (heating season) and 9.37 (non-heating season). On the contrary, at most urban background sites, higher OC/EC ratios were observed in the heating season, and the largest seasonal differences were recorded at the urban background site in Zabrze for the PM₁₀, with average OC/EC ratios of 6.27 (heating season) and 4.94 (non-heating season). The significant impact of local emission sources together with adverse meteorological conditions mean that the intensity of SOA formation processes in urban areas is generally higher during the heating season [32,36,69]. The only exception is the PM₁ in Zabrze, when slightly higher OC/EC ratios occurred in the non-heating season (average: 5.14) compared to heating one (4.37).

The obtained results showed that the fluctuations in daily EC and OC concentrations (Figures 4 and 5) can strongly influence the variability of PM concentrations, which has a direct impact on the share of these components in the total mass of PM (Figures 6 and 7). The average TC share in PM (all periods) ranged from ~33% (Krynica, PM₁₀) to ~40% (Rokitno, PM₁₀) (rural background) (Figure 6) and from ~35% (Skawina, PM₁₀) up to ~48% (Zabrze, PM₁) (urban background) (Figure 7). The contribution of TC in PM was primarily determined by fluctuations in the OC share, which generally accounted for over 30% of the PM mass, except for the measurement sites in Krynica and Skawina. The highest shares of OC in PM occurred in the heating season and ranged from ~33% (Krynica, PM₁₀) to ~39% (Rokitno, PM₁₀) (rural background) and from ~33% (Skawina, PM₁₀) to ~44% (Zabrze, PM_{2.5}) (urban background). In the non-heating season, the share of OC decreased on average up to ~25% (Krynica, PM₁₀ and Skawina, PM₁₀); relatively high values of the OC/PM ratio compared to the other sites in this period were recorded in Zabrze (PM₁, ~38%) and at two sites in Racibórz (~30% and ~35%, rural and urban background, respectively). High OC shares in PM during the autumn and winter months may result from high emissions of organic carbon compounds from the combustion of fossil fuels and biomass, the intensity of which increases during this period due to low air temperatures [26,36,70]. On the other hand, the relatively high share of OC in PM recorded during the non-heating season indicates that biological matter and secondary organic aerosol could also be important sources of organic matter [34,57,71].




Figure 6. Average contributions of carbonaceous compounds (EC, SO, POC) in PM mass (%) at considered rural background sites.




Figure 7. Average contributions of carbonaceous compounds (EC, SO, POC) in PM mass (%) at considered urban background sites.

Variations in the OC/PM ratio at all measurement sites were accompanied by changes in the EC/PM ratio. The EC share in PM was lower at rural background sites, where it reached average values (all periods) in the range of ~4% (Krynica, PM₁₀) to ~6% (Rokitno, PM₁₀; Złoty Potok, PM_{2.5}) (Figure 6). At urban background sites, the EC share in PM ranged from ~6% (Skawina, PM₁₀) to ~9% (Zabrze, PM₁) (Figure 7). Seasonal variability of the EC/PM ratio was less pronounced than in the OC/PM ratio, although slightly higher values were recorded in the heating season at all sites, with the maximum for Zabrze (PM₁) and Trzebinia (PM_{2.5}) (on average, ~10%). Due to the relatively uniform share of traffic emissions during the year, which are the main source of EC, the observed seasonal variation of the EC/PM ratio should be associated with the presence of an additional source of elemental carbon in winter—combustion of fuels for heating purposes [37,62].

3.3. Assessment of Secondary and Primary Carbon Content

Research carried out as part of this work showed that the analyzed measurement sites differed particularly in the content of secondary and primary organic carbon. The average SOC concentrations throughout the entire measurement period ranged from 2.54 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Krynica, PM₁₀) to 4.40 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Racibórz-S, PM_{2.5}) (rural background) (Table 3) and from 1.73 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze, PM₁) to 8.88 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze, PM₁₀) (urban background) (Table 4). Average POC concentrations (all periods) ranged from 3.97 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Krynica, PM₁₀) to 5.29 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Złoty Potok, PM_{2.5}) (rural background) and from 1.48 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Trzebinia, PM_{2.5}) up to 6.69 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (Zabrze, PM₁₀) (urban background). SOC and POC concentrations showed characteristic spatial and seasonal fluctuations that were consistent with fluctuations in PM, EC, and total OC concentrations. In order to investigate the differences between the measurement sites more thoroughly, the authors focused on analyzing the share of SOC and POC in the PM mass, including the assessment of its seasonal variability.

The obtained results showed that POC usually dominated over SOC, especially in rural background areas. The low share of SOC in this case could be due to the impact of regional and long-range transport processes that could be responsible for the high value of the (OC/EC)_{pri} ratio used to assess the share of SOC and POC in PM [63]. The largest differences between the content of POC and SOC were observed in Rokitno (PM₁₀), where the POC share was higher than SOC by ~13% (on average). The disproportion between POC and SOC content generally increased during the heating season, except for the Racibórz-S site, where the POC/PM_{2.5} ratio remained relatively constant throughout the entire measurement period (on average: ~19%). As indicated by numerous literature data [57,64,71], an occasional increase in the concentrations of PM and related carbon compounds in rural areas—often far away from large emission sources—is most often attributed to the influx of

particles from more polluted areas. An equally important reason for the high TC content in PM is the combustion of fossil fuels in local sources, especially intense in the winter season [7,12,64,72]. It should be noted that in addition to hard coal, large amounts of biomass and lower-quality fuels are often burned in local heating stoves, and in extreme cases, plastics or other waste materials [37,64]. These processes often generate large amounts of primary organic matter, which lead to an increase in the POC share in atmospheric particles [73–75]. On the other hand, abundant vegetation in rural areas releases significant amounts of primary biological matter (e.g., fungal spores, plant debris, and plant waxes) and is a source of hydrocarbon emissions, especially during the warm period [76,77]. This could explain the high content of POC in the air of Zloty Potok and Racibórz during the non-heating season.

Higher shares of POC than SOC in PM were also identified in urban areas and a good example is the measurement site in Skawina, with average values of POC/PM₁₀ and SOC/PM₁₀ ratios (all period) at the level of ~17% and ~12%, respectively. The lower secondary formation is consistent with the high impact of primary sources at this site (Section 2.1). Among the considered urban areas, in Skawina, the lowest share of SOC in PM was observed (on average, ~12%); this share was higher in the heating season, however the difference between the content of POC and SOC in PM₁₀ remained stable in both seasons (~5%). Conversely, the disproportion between POC and SOC was more pronounced in the case of PM₁ in Zabrze, with average values of the POC/PM₁ and SOC/PM₁ ratios (all period) of ~24% and ~15%, respectively. It could confirm the important role of traffic emissions as a source of POC and PM₁. Here, the dominance of POC over SOC also increased during the heating season, when the conditions of dispersion of pollutants are particularly unfavorable [27,36].

Interestingly, in relation to the PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ fractions measured in Zabrze, the situation was more complex. Considering the entire measurement period, the POC share was only slightly higher compared to SOC, with average values of ~20% and ~18% (PM_{2.5}) and ~18% and ~16% (PM₁₀), respectively. The dominance of POC over SOC was due to the high POC shares recorded in the non-heating season, which were higher (on average) by ~8% (PM_{2.5}) and ~7% (PM₁₀) than the SOC share. It could suggest an important role of local communication sources in air pollution by carbonaceous matter [15,24,27]. On the contrary, in the heating season the importance of secondary aerosol formation increased, as evidenced by the high SOC shares in PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ of ~25% and ~24%, respectively; for comparison, the POC shares in this period were ~19% (both fractions).

Comparable shares of SOC and POC in PM_{2.5} were observed at the urban background site in Racibórz, with higher values recorded in the heating season (average ~20%) compared to non-heating one (~17%). In turn, the measurement station in Trzebinia stood out from the other urban sites with a very clear dominance of SOC over POC and the highest values of the SOC/PM_{2.5} ratio, which were on average ~34% (heating season) and ~23% (non-heating season). This fact should be explained by the location conditions of measurement site, especially the close proximity of municipal emission sources that will have a dominant impact on air quality (see Section 2.1). Seasonal variation, although less pronounced, was also found for the POC share, with average values of ~6% and ~5%, respectively, in the heating and non-heating season.

It should be noted that conditions favorable to the formation of SOC (i.e., high air temperature, high wind speeds, and high intensity of solar radiation) persist in the non-heating season and determine the greater share of SOC in OC and PM [27,37,57]; examples include the measurement sites in Krynica (PM₁₀) and Zabrze (PM₁). The reasons for the increased share of SOC in the heating season should be primarily attributed to the significantly increased emission of SOC precursors in this period from numerous anthropogenic sources, especially municipal emissions. In addition, stable atmospheric conditions in winter (low air temperatures and stagnation of air masses) lead to a shift in the balance of VOC transformations towards the solid phase (associated with PM), which may explain the high SOC content in PM, recorded in various areas at this time of the year [27,76].

3.4. The Profile of the Carbon Fractions

In order to further analyze the carbonaceous matter characteristics at the measurement sites selected for research, the contents of the nine carbon temperature fractions were statistically

analyzed. The spatial and seasonal variability of these components was assessed and, based on the obtained information and literature studies, an attempt was made to identify the possible origin sources of the analyzed temperature fractions. It should be noted here that the above carbon fractions are widely recognized as so-called fingerprints of emission sources and they were often used in research on PM source apportionment [15,18,23,24,78,79].




Figure 8. Profiles of nine temperature fractions against the share of OC and EC in total TC at the considered rural background sites.




Figure 9. Profiles of nine temperature fractions against the share of OC and EC in total TC at the considered urban background sites.

The results presented in Figure 8 and Figure 9 (rural and urban background, respectively) relate to the average shares of OC1–OC4 and PC in total OC (top), and average shares of EC1–EC4 in total EC (center), against the average shares of OC and EC in total TC (down). Average values (\pm standard

deviation) of the concentrations of all nine temperature fractions over the three averaging periods are shown in Table S1 and Table S2 in the Supplementary Materials.

In terms of the content of carbonaceous compounds, the considered sites showed roughly the same characteristics (Figures 8 and 9), so the composition of atmospheric pollution sources could be similar. Some differences were determined by location conditions, measured PM fraction, and season of the year. At all sites, OC constituted the dominant part of the TC (Section 3.2). Slightly higher OC shares were recorded in rural background locations, where average shares did not fall below 85%, reaching a maximum of 89% (Krynica, PM₁₀, non-heating season). They were also relatively more uniform throughout the year compared to the urban background sites, where the average OC/TC shares ranged from 79% (Trzebinia, PM_{2.5}, non-heating season) to 85% (Racibórz-C, PM_{2.5}, at all averaging periods; Zabrze, PM₁₀, heating season). For comparison, the EC share in TC was generally higher in urban areas, with average values ranging from 15% to 21%. In rural areas, the EC/TC ratio did not exceed 15% and was generally higher during the heating season, unlike most urban sites.

The research revealed that regardless of the location, the PC, followed by OC₄ and OC₂, was the dominant organic carbon fraction. In relation to rural background sites, the average share of PC in OC (all periods) ranged from ~13% (Złoty Potok, PM_{2.5}) to ~31% (Krynica, PM₁₀). At urban background sites, the diversity of PC shares was even more evident. The measurement site in Trzebinia with the lowest PC/OC ratio (on average, ~9%, all periods) stood out in particular. A relatively low share of PC in OC was recorded in Zabrze (PM₁), which was on average (all periods) ~19%. Regardless of spatial differences, clearly higher PC shares in OC were observed in the heating season, which applied to all urban and rural background sites. Seasonal differences sometimes reached up to ~21–22% (Zabrze, PM_{2.5}, and PM₁₀, respectively) and seemed to be more visible in the case of the PM₁₀ fraction. An example could be the rural background site in Krynica, with average PC/OC ratios of ~41% (heating season) and ~20% (non-heating season). It should be noted that the PC fraction was often shown to be a main part of analyzed OC at various measurement stations in Europe, as described by, e.g., [73] and [80]. Source apportionment analyses connect PC mainly with water soluble organic carbon (WSOC), the main sources of which are residential heating, including biomass burning, and secondary organic aerosol [23,24]. This explains the high PC shares in OC recorded in both periods of the year, especially during the heating season, when the SOC shares in PM were also high (see Section 3.3).

The values of the OC₄/OC ratio were relatively uniform, especially in rural areas, and for most sites they were on average (all periods) ~18–20% (rural background) and ~16–22% (urban background). The exceptions were two sites with very high average OC₄ shares in OC: Złoty Potok (~35%, all periods) and Trzebinia (~42%, all periods). Seasonal variability of the OC₄/OC ratio was generally consistent for all the considered sites, with higher values recorded in the non-heating season, except for the sites in Złoty Potok (PM_{2.5}) and Zabrze (PM₁). Similar seasonal fluctuations were also observed for the OC₃ fraction, with smaller contributions and average shares (all periods) of ~13–17% (rural background) and ~13–18% (urban background). The relatively small spatial fluctuations between the sites may suggest that the OC₃ and OC₄ fractions could have a more regional or long-range transport origin [23], which is generally confirmed by the higher shares of these fractions in the non-heating season. Moreover, the high fractions of OC₃ and OC₄ were also associated with the impact of emissions from wood and coal combustion [81,82], which could explain the results observed in Złoty Potok. In turn, in some works [82,83], it was suggested that OC₃ and OC₄ are the main components of road dust and gasoline vehicle exhausts, which may be supported by a lack of clear seasonal variability of OC₃/OC and OC₄/OC ratios at the urban background site in Zabrze (all PM fractions).

The share of the OC₂ fraction was generally comparable or slightly higher compared to the OC₄, except for the measurement site in Trzebinia (PM_{2.5}) and Złoty Potok (PM_{2.5}). In relation to rural areas, the OC₂/OC ratios amounted on average (all periods) to ~21–29% and were generally higher in the non-heating season, except for the site in Rokietno (PM₁₀), with comparable values in both seasons. Similar shares of OC₂ in OC were recorded at urban background sites, with average values (all periods) of ~20–26%, although these sites differed in seasonal variation of the OC₂/OC ratio. Sites

located in close proximity to industrial emission sources—Trzebinia (PM_{2.5}) and Skawina (PM₁₀)—were characterized by slightly higher OC2/OC ratios during the heating season. In Racibórz-C site, there was no clear seasonal variability of the OC2/OC ratio, while in Zabrze (all PM fractions), a higher share of OC2/OC was recorded in the non-heating season, similar to that recorded at the rural background sites. In contrast to OC2 and OC3, the shares of OC1 in OC differed between the analyzed areas, from low levels, as in Trzebinia (average ~5%, all periods), to high shares in the case of the PM₁ in Zabrze (average ~20%, all periods). Spatial variability was also observed at rural background sites with average values of the OC1/OC ratio at the level of (all periods) ~6–18%. Higher OC1/OC ratios at all analyzed sites were found during the heating season, except for Racibórz-C, where the OC1 share in OC was ~5% higher in the non-heating season. The presence of the most volatile OC fractions was often attributed to the coal and/or biomass combustion factor [18,84] and was also associated with emissions from incinerators and/or oil combustion [85]. These sources support observed seasonal variability of the OC1/OC ratio. Moreover, the high shares of the OC2 and OC1 fractions was often observed in fresh vehicle exhaust and at poor dilution conditions [24,79], which in turn would explain the relatively high shares of OC1 in OC in Zabrze (PM₁). It was generally found that high OC1/OC ratios, accompanied by high PC/OC ratios, suggest a significant interaction of local emission sources [23]. However, the behavior of the OC2 fraction (less visible spatial variations) in both urban and rural areas may indicate that it is of a more regional origin or can be subjected to long-range transport.

Table 5. Results of nonparametric (Spearman) correlation analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$) between concentrations of OC1–4 and PC fractions and concentrations of SOC and EC.

Specification		Krynica					Rokitno				
		OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC	OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC
Heating	SOC	0.72	0.73	0.65	0.58	0.79	0.82	0.83	0.71	0.40	0.85
	EC	0.89	0.89	0.84	0.79	0.87	0.84	0.88	0.78	0.55	0.80
Non-heating	SOC	0.60	0.83	0.73		0.53		0.40			
	EC						0.68	0.70	0.51	0.66	0.64
Specification		Racibórz-S					Złoty Potok				
		OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC	OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC
Heating	SOC	0.94	0.90	0.90	0.83	0.92	0.85	0.84	0.84	0.82	0.85
	EC	0.91	0.95	0.92	0.75	0.92	0.88	0.93	0.90	0.66	0.82
Non-heating	SOC	0.66	0.64	0.59	0.40	0.45	0.47	0.72	0.64	0.50	
	EC	0.71	0.69	0.67	0.81	0.87		0.63	0.50	0.84	0.45
Specification		Skawina					Zabrze (PM ₁₀)				
		OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC	OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC
Heating	SOC	0.91	0.95	0.91	0.56	0.96	0.95	0.93	0.94	0.64	0.95
	EC	0.85	0.89	0.88	0.63	0.87	0.85	0.90	0.97	0.47	0.84
Non-heating	SOC		0.49	0.52		0.53	0.42	0.44	0.48		
	EC	0.59	0.79	0.59	0.72	0.57	0.69	0.77	0.62	0.51	0.64
Specification		Trzebinia					Racibórz-C				
		OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC	OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC
Heating	SOC	0.83	0.74	0.83	0.81	0.86	0.93	0.88	0.86	0.73	0.89
	EC	0.51	0.90	0.75	0.76		0.75	0.87	0.81	0.49	0.78
Non-heating	SOC		0.85	0.81		0.60	0.74	0.79	0.81	0.63	0.65
	EC				0.74		0.64	0.70	0.59	0.61	0.81
Specification		Zabrze (PM _{2.5})					Zabrze (PM ₁)				
		OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC	OC1	OC2	OC3	OC4	PC
Heating	SOC	0.92	0.94	0.96	0.62	0.97	0.76	0.74	0.80	0.80	0.74
	EC	0.83	0.89	0.87	0.47	0.82	0.88	0.93	0.87	0.78	0.80
Non-heating	SOC	0.48	0.47	0.50	0.42					0.43	
	EC	0.53	0.70	0.59	0.45	0.69	0.49	0.66	0.66	0.49	0.79

Note: only correlation coefficients higher than 0.40 are indicated in the table; correlation coefficients higher than 0.70 are highlighted in gray.

To further investigate the origin (primary/secondary) of the organic carbon fractions in the considered rural and urban areas, non-parametric Spearman correlation analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$) was conducted between the concentrations of OC1–4, PC and the concentrations of EC and SOC. The results are presented in Table 5, separately for the data sets from the heating and non-heating season, due to the different emission specificity of these periods. As can be seen, in the heating season, most of the considered fractions present a very high positive correlation with SOC and EC; this applies to both urban and rural areas. It should be noted that during this period, when all emission sources are active, it is difficult to clearly distinguish the source of origin of the studied temperature fractions. More information can be obtained from the correlation analysis in the non-heating season, when, due to a very clear decline in the activity of fossil fuel combustion in local sources, it is possible to capture the impact of other sources such as communication as well as regional and long-range transport processes. For example, at the measurement site in Krynica (PM_{10}), a general lack of strong relationships with EC and the presence of high correlation with SOC (especially OC2 and OC3 fractions) probably indicate that there was a significantly larger fraction of transported secondary aerosols or aged aerosols. The same may apply to the urban background site in Trzebinia; however, the OC4 fraction strongly correlated with EC, indicating its primary origin. The fact that the PC fraction does not correlate with EC suggests a secondary nature from potentially biogenic and/or anthropogenic sources [79]. On the other hand, in Rokitno, Skawina, and Zabrze ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) all distinguished temperature fractions correlated more strongly with EC, with the maximum r values for the fractions OC2 (0.70–0.79) and OC4 (Skawina) (0.72). This could indicate the prevailing presence of primary sources in the vicinity of the sites. At two measurement sites in Racibórz, positive relations with EC and SOC were maintained for all considered temperature fractions. Interestingly, in the case of rural background (Racibórz-S), temperature fractions correlated more strongly with EC, with the highest r values for OC1 (0.71), OC4 (0.81), and PC (0.87). This shows that these fractions are linked to well-processed primary aerosols from vehicular sources. On the contrary, at the urban background site (Racibórz-C), the OC1, OC2 and OC3 fractions strongly correlated with SOC ($r = 0.74$ – 0.81), suggesting that they are secondarily formed from anthropogenic gaseous precursors [23]. A very high positive correlation was noted between PC and EC ($r = 0.81$), which indicates that the main source of the origin of PC at this site is vehicular emissions. The same was observed at the urban background site in Zabrze for the finest fraction of particulate matter (PM_1) ($r = 0.79$).

Relatively few papers have raised the issue of the share of individual EC fractions in total EC or TC, probably due to methodological reasons. The total EC concentration, as given in Section 2.2, is the sum of the EC1–EC4 concentrations decreased by the concentration of PC. However, based on simple mathematical calculations, the authors estimated the profile of EC for all considered measurement sites, and the results are presented in Figure 8 and Figure 9. As can be seen, both in urban and rural areas, EC3 clearly dominated in the total EC, followed by EC2. The shares of EC1 and EC4 were generally lower and, at both types of sites, often showed more pronounced spatial variations.

Individual EC fractions also differed in seasonal variability. Considering first the shares of EC1 and EC2, these were usually higher in the heating season, compared to the non-heating one. The only exceptions were PM_1 and $PM_{2.5}$ in Zabrze, with slightly higher shares of EC1 in EC recorded during the spring–summer months and comparable values of the EC2/OC ratio ($PM_{2.5}$) in both seasons. Seasonal differences were less visible for the urban areas and EC1 fraction (max ~6%), while in the case of EC2 they reached up to ~17% (Złoty Potok, $PM_{2.5}$). The average values of the EC1/EC ratio (all periods) ranged from ~5% (Złoty Potok, $PM_{2.5}$) to ~18% (Rokitno, PM_{10}) (rural background) and from ~5% (Trzebinia, $PM_{2.5}$) up to ~22% (Skawina, PM_{10}) (urban background). Interestingly the shares of EC2 in EC were more uniform and in rural areas these amounted to (all periods) ~32–35%. For most of the urban sites, quite lower values of the EC2/EC ratio were recorded, which ranged, on average (all periods), from ~29% (Zabrze, PM_1) to ~33% ($PM_{2.5}$ in Trzebinia and Racibórz-C), except for the site in Skawina, with the highest share of EC2 in EC (~38%, on average).

In contrast to EC1 and EC2, at all rural background sites, the higher shares of the dominant EC fraction—EC3—were observed in the non-heating season. The average EC3/EC ratio in these types

of areas was generally ~39–42% (all periods); however, it was very high at the measurement site in Złoty Potok (on average, ~53%, all periods). In the cases of the considered urban areas, the average shares of EC3 in EC ranged from ~34% (Skawina, PM₁₀) to ~51% (Trzebinia, PM_{2.5}). The seasonal variability of the EC3/EC ratio was more diverse compared to the rural background sites. In Zabrze (all measured PM fractions), higher values of the EC3/EC ratio were recorded in the heating season, with seasonal differences being more visible for the smaller fractions (PM₁, PM_{2.5}). At the remaining urban background sites, clearly higher EC3 shares in the EC were recorded in the non-heating season. The EC4 shares in EC were generally lower compared to other EC fractions and were on average from ~7% (Racibórz-S, PM_{2.5}) to ~10% (Krynica, PM₁₀) (rural background) and from ~5% (Skawina, PM_{2.5}) to ~13% (Zabrze, PM₁) (urban background). Higher values of the EC4/EC ratio were observed in the non-heating season at all measurement sites, similarly as that observed in the case of the EC3/EC ratio.

It should be noted that the interpretation of the sources of the EC fractions is not a simple task, and literature studies have often given conflicting results. An additional and significant difficulty in interpreting the research results may be the use of different temperature protocols in thermal-optical analysis. For example, the clear dominance of the EC2 and EC3 fractions could indicate that the important pollution sources in analyzed areas were diesel vehicle exhaust, while gasoline exhaust emissions contributed less to carbonaceous aerosol in the air [18]. In other papers, it was pointed out that diesel emissions were identified based on the high contribution of high-temperature components of EC (EC3, EC4) [86,87]. In turn, in [32] it was also noted that gasoline emissions could also be responsible for high shares of the EC1–EC3 fractions. Despite these discrepancies, research studies generally agree that higher values of every EC temperature fraction are identified at the direct vehicular impacted sites [24,32,76]. This does not quite correspond to the results obtained in this study, as the EC temperature fraction profile was similar in rural and urban background sites. Higher shares of individual temperature fractions recorded in the non-heating season may support the influence of traffic emission sources. In this period, the impact of industrial emissions, which are responsible for relatively high EC4 contributions compared to other sources [83], could also be more apparent. On the other hand, high contents of EC2 and EC3 were also identified in emissions from coal combustion [83], which could be more explained by the high EC2/EC and EC3/EC ratios observed in the analyzed rural areas.

To examine the similarity between EC and OC emission sources, correlations between the concentrations of EC fractions and OC were compared (Spearman rank correlation, $\alpha = 0.05$) (Table 6). Moreover, the relationship of the measured EC fractions with nitric oxide (NO), which is a better indicator of communication emissions than NO_x (nitrogen oxides) and NO₂ (nitrogen dioxide) [88], was also examined. It was found that both during the heating and non-heating season, at all measurement sites, most of the EC fractions showed very strong positive relationships with organic carbon, thus indicating that these constituents had one or more co-genetic sources. The only exception was the EC4 fraction, in which concentrations were relatively lower (see Table S1). Particularly strong relations of the analyzed EC fractions were recorded in Racibórz (both rural and urban background sites) and Rokitno. Interestingly, strong relationships also maintained during the non-heating season, which pointed to the fact that in this period, emissions of EC are caused mainly by a local source (traffic). On the contrary, during this period the weaker relationships between EC fractions and OC were recorded in Trzebinia, which indicates a significant impact of secondary organic aerosol formation processes at this site. Among all EC fractions, usually the strongest relations with OC in the non-heating season were recorded for the dominant EC3 fraction, and further EC2, and the examples can be urban background sites in Skawina and Zabrze (all PM fractions).

As expected, in the case of the considered rural background sites, relatively strong relations with NO were recorded only in Rokitno (fractions EC1 and EC2, heating season) and in Złoty Potok. However, during the non-heating season, which is a more meaningful period for assessing the impact of traffic emissions, strong relations were maintained only in the latter site, and concerned the EC2 and EC3 fractions. For most urban background sites, all EC fractions generally showed a strong positive correlation with NO during the heating period, and the exceptions concerned only the EC4

fraction. In the spring and summer months, the situation was much more diverse. With respect to the measurement sites in Trzebinia and Skawina, vehicle exhaust emissions could be a source of EC3 and EC2 fractions, respectively. At the urban background site in Racibórz, moderately positive correlations were observed for all EC fractions. In the case of the measurement site in Zabrze, relatively strong positive relationships with OC were identified for EC1, EC2, and EC3 fractions, and the highest values of the correlation coefficient were found for the PM_{2.5}.

Table 6. Results of nonparametric (Spearman) correlation analysis ($\alpha = 0.05$) between concentrations of EC1–4 and PC fractions and concentrations of OC and NO.

Specification		Krynica				Rokitno			
		EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4	EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4
Heating	OC	0.94	0.98	0.95	0.51	0.93	0.94	0.93	0.72
	NO					0.46	0.47		
Non-heating	OC	0.68	0.74	0.78	0.42	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.45
	NO								
Specification		Racibórz-S				Złoty Potok			
		EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4	EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4
Heating	OC	0.97	0.97	0.96	0.80	0.93	0.94	0.94	
	NO					0.58	0.58	0.52	0.62
Non-heating	OC	0.92	0.92	0.91	0.71	0.64	0.65	0.67	0.55
	NO						0.52	0.61	
Specification		Skawina				Zabrze (PM ₁₀)			
		EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4	EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4
Heating	OC	0.95	0.97	0.78		0.86	0.93	0.88	0.45
	NO	0.72	0.69	0.58		0.79	0.79	0.75	0.52
Non-heating	OC	0.66	0.80	0.88	0.67	0.46	0.66	0.87	0.49
	NO		0.43			0.45	0.57	0.57	
Specification		Trzebinia				Racibórz-C			
		EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4	EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4
Heating	OC	0.86	0.88	0.83	0.47	0.95	0.92	0.89	0.51
	NO	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.54	0.85	0.80	0.83	0.40
Non-heating	OC		0.56	0.69		0.88	0.89	0.88	
	NO			0.42		0.43	0.46	0.47	0.41
Specification		Zabrze (PM _{2.5})				Zabrze (PM ₁)			
		EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4	EC1	EC2	EC3	EC4
Heating	OC	0.95	0.96	0.91		0.88	0.93	0.94	0.54
	NO	0.78	0.77	0.69	0.40	0.63	0.65	0.68	0.55
Non-heating	OC	0.53	0.70	0.83		0.57	0.69	0.82	
	NO	0.51	0.60	0.65		0.48	0.54	0.59	

Note: only correlation coefficients higher than 0.40 are indicated in the table; correlation coefficients higher than 0.70 are highlighted in gray.

4. Conclusions

As part of this work, an extensive characterization of carbonaceous matter was carried out in PM samples collected during the different measurement campaigns (2013–2020) conducted at selected urban and rural background sites in southern Poland. In this study, the chemical analysis was performed using the thermo-optical method and “eusaar_2” protocol, which has been developed as a proposed standard method for European monitoring stations under the EUSAAR project. It should be noted that the work is the first such thorough attempt to characterize carbonaceous compounds, including individual carbon temperature fractions, in a highly industrialized and urbanized area, which is an example of a European hot spot in relation to air quality.

Data collected in the frame of this work showed a large variation in carbonaceous aerosol concentrations in southern Poland. Nevertheless, TC concentrations remained relatively high compared to the values observed at many European stations, which may be due to the unique

position of the use of hard coal as an energy source. Spatial and seasonal variability of the OC and EC levels were generally consistent with spatiotemporal fluctuations of the PM mass concentrations, with higher levels recorded during the heating season and at urban background sites, where seasonal fluctuations of OC and EC concentrations were also more pronounced. The relatively high concentrations observed in rural background sites, often considered less polluted in terms of air quality, indicate the presence of a high regional background for the area of southern Poland.

Organic and elemental carbon were relevant species both in fine and coarse particulate matter. Regardless of the season, OC clearly dominated over EC, which applied to all measurement sites and all PM fractions. The high dominance of organic carbon and potential formation of secondary organic aerosol is demonstrated by high values of the OC/EC ratio, which, taking into account average values throughout the entire measurement period, did not fall below four. Higher values observed in rural areas indicated that in such locations, coal and biomass combustion plays a major impact on air pollution with carbonaceous aerosol. On the contrary, more intense interaction of traffic sources (vehicle exhaust) may explain the lower values of the OC/EC ratio recorded at the urban background sites.

The fluctuations in daily EC and OC concentrations strongly influenced the variability of PM concentrations, which had a direct impact on the share of these components in the total mass of PM. The average TC share in PM generally exceeded ~40%, except for the urban background site in Skawina and most rural background locations. The contribution of TC in PM was primarily determined by fluctuations in the OC shares, which were higher during the heating season, probably due to the increased intensity of the emission of organic carbon compounds from the combustion of fossil fuels and biomass. Slightly higher OC/TC ratios were recorded in rural background locations (on average, ~85–89%) compared to the urban background sites (on average, ~79–85%). For comparison, the EC share in TC was generally higher in urban areas (on average, ~15–21%) than in rural areas (on average <15%).

Despite the characteristic spatial and seasonal variations of the SOC and POC concentrations, analyzed measurement sites clearly differed in the share of these components in the PM mass. The obtained results showed that POC usually dominated over SOC, especially in rural background sites, which could be caused by the inflow of polluted air masses from urban areas and the impact of local combustion sources, including combustion of low-quality fuels. Moreover, the high POC content in the non-heating season pointed to the role of primary biological emissions from abundant vegetation in rural areas. Higher shares of POC than SOC in PM, which were more pronounced in the non-heating season, were also identified at urban background sites, especially those under dominant influence of primary emission sources such as communication (e.g., Zabrze, PM₁) and industry (e.g., Skawina, PM₁₀). On the contrary, in the heating season, the importance of calculated secondary aerosol increased, which should be associated mostly with the effects of fuel combustion for heating purposes (e.g., Trzebinia)—including the influence of wood burning emissions—and stable atmospheric conditions in winter (low air temperatures and stagnation of air masses), which lead to a shift in the balance of VOC transformations towards the solid phase. It could explain high calculated SOC content in PM, recorded in various areas at this time of the year.

In terms of the content of nine carbon fractions, the considered sites showed roughly the same characteristics, and slight differences were determined mainly by location conditions, measured PM fraction, and season of the year. Regardless of the location type, the PC, followed by OC₄ and OC₂, was the dominant OC fraction. Clearly higher values of the PC/OC ratio were observed in the heating season, at all considered measurement sites, suggesting that residential heating, including biomass burning, and secondary organic aerosol could be an important source of PC. The relatively small spatial fluctuations of the OC₄/OC and OC₃/OC ratios may suggest a more regional or long-range transport origin of the OC₃ and OC₄ fractions, which is generally confirmed by the higher shares of these fractions in the non-heating season. The lack of clear seasonal variability for the OC₃ and OC₄ shares observed in Zabrze (all PM fractions) could indicate the impact of communication sources, including road dust and gasoline vehicle exhausts. The high content of OC₂ and OC₁ fractions in some areas and the observed seasonal variability was mainly associated with the impact of local

emission sources, such as industry (e.g., Trzebinia, Skawina), coal and biomass combustion (e.g., Racibórz-C), or fresh vehicle exhaust and poor dilution conditions (e.g., Zabrze).

The profile of EC temperature fractions was also similar at all considered sites, with EC3 followed by EC2 as the dominant EC fractions. The shares of EC1 and EC4 were generally lower and, at both types of sites, often showed more pronounced spatial variations. Individual EC fractions also differed in seasonal variability. Higher shares of EC1 and EC2 fractions were generally observed during the heating season, contrary to the EC3 and EC4 fractions, with higher values in the non-heating season. Nevertheless, it was found that accurate determination of the emission sources of individual EC fractions is not a simple task, and a serious difficulty in interpreting the research results may be created by the use of different temperature protocols in thermal-optical analysis. The analysis of the correlation between the concentrations of EC fractions and OC showed that, at all measurement sites, most of the EC fractions showed very strong positive relationships with organic carbon, thus pointing to one or more co-genetic sources. Interestingly, strong relationships were also maintained during the non-heating season, which could indicate that, in this period, emissions of EC were caused mainly by a local source (traffic) (e.g., Zabrze). On the contrary, the weaker relationships between EC fractions and OC recorded in the non-heating season (e.g., Trzebinia) suggested a significant impact of secondary organic aerosol formation processes. The analysis of the correlation between EC fractions and NO, which is a good indicator of traffic emissions, showed that the strongest relationships were mainly noted in urban areas, in the case of the EC2 and EC3 fractions.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/11/7/687/s1, Table S1: Average concentrations of nine carbon fractions ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) at considered rural background sites., Table S2: Average concentrations of nine carbon fractions ($\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$) at considered rural background sites.

Author Contributions: B.B.: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, resources, data curation, visualization, investigation, writing—original draft preparation, review and editing, project administration; B.M.: investigation, software, validation, writing—review and editing, English correction; N.Z.—formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation; K.K.: writing—review & editing, project administration, supervision, funding acquisition; K.J.-R.: writing—review and editing, project administration. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the Institute of Environmental Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences basic (statutory) research project no. 1a-119/2018 (Temporal and spatial variability of the chemical composition of atmospheric aerosols as a tool for assessing the effects of implementing air protection programs in Poland, 2018–2020). Measurement data from urban background sites in Trzebinia and Złoty Potok were collected as part of a research project supported by the Polish National Science Centre under PRELUDIUM funding scheme 2nd edition, Project no. 2011/03/N/ST10/05542 (Investigations of chemical composition of fine particles and assessment of secondary inorganic aerosol contribution at urban and regional background sites, 2012–2015).

Acknowledgements: The work was also prepared as a part of the research project H2020 No. 654109 Aerosols, Clouds, and Trace gases Research Infrastructure (ACTRIS-2).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Rodríguez, S.; Querol, X.; Alastuey, A.; Viana, M.M.; Alcorcón, M.; Mantila, E.; Ruiz, C.R. Comparative PM₁₀-PM_{2.5} source contribution study at rural urban and industrial sites during PM episodes in Eastern Spain. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2004**, *328*, 95–113.
2. Juda-Rezler, K.; Reizer, M.; Oudinet, J.P. Determination and analysis of PM₁₀ source apportionment during episodes of air pollution in Central Eastern European urban areas: The case of wintertime 2006. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 6557–6566.
3. Błaszczak, B.; Widziewicz-Rzońca, K.; Ziola, N.; Klejnowski, K.; Juda-Rezler, K. Chemical Characteristics of Fine Particulate Matter in Poland in Relation with Data from Selected Rural and Urban Background Stations in Europe. *Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 98.

4. Chow, J.C.; Lowenthal, D.H.; Chen, L.W.A.; Wang, X.; Watson, J.G. Mass reconstruction methods for PM_{2.5}: A review. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* **2015**, *8*, 243–263.
5. Rogula-Kozłowska, W.; Majewski, G.; Widziewicz, K.; Rogula-Kopiec, P.; Tytła, M.; Mathews, B.; Ciuka-Witrylak, M. Seasonal variations of PM₁-bound water Concentration in urban areas in Poland. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *10*, 267–273.
6. Putaud, J.P.; Van Dingenen, R.; Alastuey, A.; Bauer, H.; Birmili, W.; Cyrys, J.; Flentje, H.; Fuzzi, S.; Gehrig, R.; Hansson, H.C.; et al. A European aerosol phenomenology 3: Physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside sites across Europe. *Atmos. Environ.* **2010**, *44*, 1308–1320.
7. Sandrini, S.; Fuzzi, S.; Piazzalunga, A.; Prati, P.; Bonasoni, P.; Cavalli, F.; Bove, M.C.; Calvello, M.; Cappelletti, D.; Colombi, C.; et al. Spatial and seasonal variability of carbonaceous aerosol across Italy. *Atmos. Environ.* **2014**, *99*, 587–598.
8. Godec, R.; Čačković, M.; Šega, K. Winter Mass Concentrations of Carbon Species in PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and PM₁ in Zagreb Air, Croatia. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2012**, *89*, 1087–1090.
9. Reizer, M.; Juda-Rezler, K. Explaining the high PM₁₀ concentrations observed in Polish urban areas. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* **2016**, *9*, 517–531.
10. Zhu, C.S.; Cao, J.J.; Tsai, C.J.; Shen, Z.X.; Liu, S.X.; Huang, R.J.; Zhang, N.N.; Wang, P. The rural carbonaceous aerosols in coarse, fine, and ultrafine particles during haze pollution in northwestern China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2016**, *23*, 4569–4575.
11. Li, X.; Jiang, L.; Bai, Y.; Yang, Y.; Liu, S.; Chen, X.; Xu, J.; Liu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Guo, X.; et al. Wintertime aerosol chemistry in Beijing during haze period: Significant contribution from secondary formation and biomass burning emission. *Atmos. Res.* **2019**, *218*, 25–33.
12. Vodička, P.; Schwarz, J.; Ždímal, V. Analysis of one year's OC/EC data at a Prague suburban site with 2-h time resolution. *Atmos. Environ.* **2013**, *77*, 865–872.
13. Kucbel, M.; Sýkorová, M.; Růžicková, J. Carbonaceous particles in the air of the Moravian-Silesian Region, Czech Republic. *Perspect. Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 333–336.
14. Watson, J.G.; Chow, J.C.; Chen, L.W.A. Summary of organic and elemental carbon / black carbon analysis methods and intercomparisons. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2005**, *5*, 65–102.
15. Karanasiou, A.; Minguillón, M.C.; Alastuey, A.; Putaud, J.P.; Maenhaut, W.; Panteliadis, P.; Močnik, G.; Favez, O.; Kuhlbusch, T.A.J. Thermal-optical analysis for the measurement of elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) in ambient air a literature review. *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Disciss.* **2015**, *8*, 9649–9712.
16. Fuzzi, S.; Andreae, M.O.; Huebert, B.J.; Kulmala, M.; Bond, T.C.; Boy, M.; Doherty, S.J.; Guenther, A.; Kanakidou, M.; Kawamura, K.; et al. Critical assessment of the current state of scientific knowledge, terminology, and research needs concerning the role of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, climate, and global change. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 2017–2038.
17. Zhang, F.; Wang, Z.W.; Cheng, H.R.; Lv, X.P.; Gong, W.; Wang, X.M.; Zhang, G. Seasonal variations and chemical characteristics of PM_{2.5} in Wuhan, central China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *518*, 97–105.
18. Qi, M.; Jiang, L.; Liu, Y.; Xiong, Q.; Sun, C.; Li, X.; Zhao, W.; Yang, X. Analysis of the Characteristics and Sources of Carbonaceous Aerosols in PM_{2.5} in the Beijing, Tianjin, and Langfang Region, China. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2018**, *15*, 1483.
19. Li, X.; Wang, L.; Wang, Y.; Wen, T.; Yang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, Y. Chemical composition and size distribution of airborne particulate matters in Beijing during the 2008 Olympics. *Atmos. Environ.* **2012**, *50*, 278–286.
20. Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe. Available online: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32008L0050> (accessed on 11 June 2008).
21. Cavalli, F.; Viana, M.; Yttri, K.E.; Genberg, J.; Putaud, J.P. Toward a standardised thermal-optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: The EUSAAR protocol. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2010**, *3*, 79–89.
22. Bautista VII, A.T.; Pabroa, P.C.B.; Santos, F.L.; Quirit, L.L.; Asis, J.L.B.; Dy, M.A.K.; Martinez, J.P.G. Intercomparison between NIOSH, IMPROVE_A, and EUSAAR_2 protocols: Finding an optimal thermal-optical protocol for Philippines OC/EC samples. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, *6*, 334–342.
23. Vodička, P.; Schwarz, J.; Cusack, M.; Ždímal, V. Detailed comparison of OC/EC aerosol at an urban and a rural Czech background site during summer and winter. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *518*, 424–433.

24. dos Santos, D.A.M.; Brito, J.F.; Godoy, J.M.; Artaxo, P. Ambient concentrations and insights on organic and elemental carbon dynamics in São Paulo, Brazil. *Atmos. Environ.* **2016**, *144*, 226–233.
25. Merico, E.; Cesari, D.; Dinoi, A.; Gambaro, A.; Barbaro, E.; Guascito, M.R.; Giannossa, L.C.; Mangone, A.; Cintini, D. Inter-comparison of carbon content in PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} measured with two thermo-optical protocols on samples collected in a Mediterranean site. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26*, 29334–29350.
26. Satsangi, A.; Pachauri, T.; Singla, V.; Lakhani, A.; Kumari, K.M. Carbonaceous aerosols at a suburban site in Indo-Gangetic plain. *Indian J. Radio Space Phys.* **2010**, *39*, 218–222.
27. Mancilla, Y.; Herckes, P.; Fraser, M.P.; Mendoza, A. Secondary organic aerosol contributions to PM_{2.5} in Monterrey, Mexico: Temporal and seasonal variation. *Atmos. Res.* **2015**, *153*, 348–359.
28. Bond, T.C.; Doherty, S.J.; Fahey, D.W.; Forster, P.M.; Bernsten, T.; DeAngelo, B.J.; Flanner, M.G.; Ghan, S.; Kärcher, B.; Koch, D.; et al. Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2013**, *118*, 5380–5552.
29. Magalhaes, S.; Baumgartner, J.; Weichenthal, S. Impacts of exposure to black carbon, elemental carbon, and ultrafine particles from indoor and outdoor sources on blood pressure in adults: A review of epidemiological evidence. *Environ. Res.* **2018**, *161*, 345–353.
30. Bond, T.C.; Bergstrom, R.W. Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: An investigative review. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **2006**, *40*, 27–67.
31. Xiang, P.; Zhou, X.; Duan, J.; Tan, J.; He, K.; Yuan, C.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, Y. Chemical characteristics of water-soluble organic compounds (WSOC) in PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China: 2011–2012. *Atmos. Res.* **2017**, *183*, 104–112.
32. Zhu, C.S.; Chen, C.C.; Vao, J.J.; Tsai, C.J.; Chou, C.C.-K.; Liu, S.C.; Roam, G.D. Characterization of carbon fractions for atmospheric fine particles and nanoparticles in a highway tunnel. *Atmos. Environ.* **2010**, *44*, 2668–2673.
33. Mikuška, P.; Krůmal, K.; Večeřa, Z. Characterization of organic compounds in the PM_{2.5} aerosols in winter in an industrial urban area. *Atmos. Environ.* **2015**, *105*, 97–108.
34. Khan, M.B.; Masiol, M.; Formenton, G.; Di Gilio, A.; de Gennaro, G.; Agostinelli, C.; Pavoni, B. Carbonaceous PM_{2.5} and secondary organic aerosol across the Veneto region (NE Italy). *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *542*, 172–181.
35. Zhan, C.; Zhang, J.; Zheng, J.; Yao, R.; Wang, P.; Liu, H.; Xiao, W.; Liu, X.; Cao, J. Characterization of carbonaceous fractions in PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ over a typical industrial city in central China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2019**, *26*, 16855–16867.
36. Rogula-Kozłowska, W.; Klejnowski, K.; Rogula-Kopiec, P.; Ośródk, L.; Krajny, E.; Błaszczak, B.; Mathews, B. Spatial and seasonal variability of the mass concentrations and chemical composition of PM_{2.5} in Poland. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* **2014**, *7*, 41–58.
37. Błaszczak, B.; Rogula-Kozłowska, W.; Mathews, B.; Juda-Rezler, K.; Klejnowski, K.; Rogula-Kopiec, P. Chemical Compositions of PM_{2.5} at Two Non-Urban Sites from the Polluted Region in Europe. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2016**, *16*, 2333–2348.
38. Wawroś, A.; Talik, E.; Żelechower, M.; Pastuszka, J.S.; Skrzypek, D.; Ujma, Z. Seasonal Variation in the Chemical Composition and Morphology of Aerosol Particles in the Centre of Katowice, Poland. *Pol. J. Environ. Stud.* **2003**, *12*, 619–627.
39. Klejnowski, K.; Pastuszka, J.S.; Rogula-Kozłowska, W.; Talik, E.; Krasa, A. Mass Size Distribution and Chemical Composition of the Surface Layer of Summer and Winter Airborne Particles in Zabrze, Poland. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **2012**, *88*, 255–259.
40. Guerreiro, C. *Air Quality in Europe—2013 Report*; EEA Report No 9/2013; Publication office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2013; ISBN 978-92-9213-406-8.
41. Chief Inspectorate of Environmental Protection. Air Quality Portal. Available online: <http://powietrze.gios.gov.pl/pjp/current?lang=en> (accessed on 14 April 2020).
42. Rogula-Kozłowska, W.; Sówka, I.; Mathews, B.; Klejnowski, K.; Zwoździak, A.; Kwiecińska, K. Size-Resolved Water-Soluble Ionic Composition of Ambient Particles in an Urban Area in Southern Poland. *J. Environ. Prot.* **2013**, *4*, 371–379.
43. PN-EN 14907:2006. *Ambient Air Quality—Standard Gravimetric Measurement Method for the Determination of the PM_{2.5} Mass Fraction of Suspended Particulate Matter*; The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI): Dublin, Ireland, 2006.

44. PN-EN 12341:2014-07. *Ambient Air—Standard Gravimetric Measurement Method to Determine the Concentration of Mass Fractions PM₁₀ or PM_{2.5} Particulate Matter*; The National Standards Authority of Ireland (NSAI): Dublin, Ireland, 2014.
45. Duan, J.; Tan, J.; Cheng, D.; Bi, X.; Deng, W.; Sheng, G.; Fu, J.; Wong, M.H. Sources and characteristics of carbonaceous aerosol in two largest cities in Pearl River Delta Region, China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2007**, *41*, 2895–2903.
46. Pio, C.; Cerqueira, M.; Harrison, R.M.; Nunes, T.; Mirante, F.; Alves, C.; Oliveira, C.; de la Campa, A.S.; Artiñano, B.; Matos, M. OC/EC ratio observations in Europe: Re-thinking the approach for apportionment between primary and secondary organic carbon. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 6121–6132.
47. Castro, L.M.; Pio, C.A.; Harrison, R.M.; Smith, D.J.T. Carbonaceous aerosol in urban and rural European atmospheres: Estimation of secondary organic carbon concentrations. *Atmos. Environ.* **1999**, *33*, 2771–2781.
48. Błaszczak, B.; Juda-Rezler, K.; Rogula-Kozłowska, W.; Reizer, M.; Mathews, B.; Maciejewska, K.; Klejnowski, K. Ionic Composition of Fine Particulate Matter from Urban and Regional Background Sites in Poland. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* **2017**, *34*, 236–250.
49. Juda-Rezler, K.; Reizer, M.; Maciejewska, K.; Błaszczak, B.; Klejnowski, K. Characterization of atmospheric PM_{2.5} sources at a Central European urban background site. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *713*, 136729.
50. Strader, R.; Lurmann, F.; Pandis, S.N. Evaluation of secondary organic aerosol formation in winter. *Atmos. Environ.* **1999**, *33*, 4849–4863.
51. Pavuluri, C.M.; Kawamura, K.; Aggarwal, S.G.; Swaminathan, T. Characteristics, seasonality and sources of carbonaceous and ionic components in the tropical aerosols from Indian region. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 8215–8230.
52. World Health Organization. *Health Effects of Particulate Matter. Policy Implications for Countries in Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia*; WHO Regional Office for Europe: Copenhagen, Denmark, 2013; ISBN 978-92-890-0001-7.
53. Behera, S.N.; Sharma, M.; Dikshit, O.; Shukla, S.P. GIS-Based Emission Inventory, Dispersion Modeling, and Assessment for Source Contributions of Particulate Matter in an Urban Environment. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2011**, *218*, 423–436.
54. Carpentieri, M. Pollutant dispersion in the urban environment. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio* **2013**, *12*, 5–8.
55. Chen, R.; Hu, B.; Liu, Y.; Xu, J.; Yang, G.; Xu, D.; Chen, C. Beyond PM_{2.5}: The role of ultrafine particles on adverse health effects of air pollution. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2016**, *1860*, 2844–2855.
56. Landkocz, Y.; Ledoux, F.; André, V.; Cazier, F.; Genevray, P.; Dewaele, D.; Martin, P.J.; Lepers, C.; Verdin, A.; Courcot, L.; et al. Fine and ultrafine atmospheric particulate matter at a multi-influenced urban site: Physicochemical characterization, mutagenicity and cytotoxicity. *Environ. Pollut.* **2017**, *221*, 130–140.
57. Freney, E.J.; Sellegri, K.; Canonaco, F.; Boulon, J.; Hervo, M.; Weigel, R.; Pichon, J.M.; Colomb, A.; Prévôt, A.S.H.; Laj, P. Seasonal variations in aerosol particle composition at the Puy-de-Dôme research station in France. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 13047–13059.
58. Pikridas, M.; Bougiatioti, A.; Hildebrandt, L.; Engelhart, G.J.; Kostenidou, E.; Mohr, C.; Prévôt, A.S.H.; Kouvarakis, G.; Zampas, P.; Burkhardt, J.F.; et al. The Finokalia aerosol measurement experiment 2008 (FAME-08): An overview. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10*, 6793–6806.
59. Bressi, M.; Sciarra, J.; Gherzi, V.; Bonnaire, N.; Nicolas, J.B.; Petit, J.E.; Moukhtar, S.; Rosso, A.; Mihalopoulos, N.; Féron, A. A one-year comprehensive chemical characterisation of fine aerosol (PM_{2.5}) at urban, suburban and rural background sites in the region of Paris (France). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13*, 7825–7844.
60. Temesi, D.; Molnár, A.; Mészáros, E.; Feczko, T.; Gelencsér, A.; Kiss, G.; Krivácsy, Z. Size resolved chemical mass balance of aerosol particles over rural Hungary. *Atmos. Environ.* **2001**, *35*, 4347–4355.
61. Szigeti, T.; Óvári, M.; Dunster, C.; Kelly, F.J.; Lucarelli, F.; Zárny, G. Changes in chemical composition and oxidative potential of urban PM_{2.5} between 2010 and 2013 in Hungary. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2015**, *518*, 534–544.
62. Salameh, D.; Detournay, A.; Pey, J.; Pérez, N.; Liguori, F.; Saraga, D.; Bove, M.C.; Brotto, P.; Cassola, F.; Massabò, D.; et al. PM_{2.5} chemical composition in five European Mediterranean cities: A 1-year study. *Atmos. Res.* **2015**, *155*, 102–117.
63. Moroni, B.; Castellini, S.; Crocchianti, S.; Piazzalunga, A.; Fermo, P.; Scardazza, F.; Cappelletti, D. Ground-based measurements of long-range transported aerosol at the rural regional background site of Monte Martano (Central Italy). *Atmos. Res.* **2015**, *155*, 26–36.

64. Braniš, M.; Domasová, M.; Řezáčová, P. Particulate air pollution in a small settlement: The effect of local heating. *Appl. Geochem.* **2007**, *22*, 1255–1264.
65. Samek, L.; Zwoździak, A.; Sówka, I. Chemical characterization and source identification of particulate matter PM₁₀ in a rural and urban site in Poland. *Environ. Prot. Eng.* **2013**, *39*, 91–103.
66. Kumar, P.; Kumar, S.; Yadav, S. Seasonal variations in size distribution, water-soluble ions, and carbon content of size-segregated aerosols over New Delhi. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 6061–6078.
67. Mirante, F.; Salvador, P.; Pio, C.; Alves, C.; Artiñano, B.; Caseiro, A.; Revuelta, M.A. Size fractionated aerosol composition at roadside and background environments in the Madrid urban atmosphere. *Atmos. Res.* **2014**, *138*, 278–292.
68. Waked, A.; Afif, C.; Formenti, P.; Chevallier, S.; El-Haddad, I.; Doussin, J.F.; Borbon, A.; Seigneur, C. Characterization of organic tracer compounds in PM_{2.5} at a semi-urban site in Beirut, Lebanon. *Atmos. Res.* **2014**, *143*, 85–94.
69. Liu, Z.; Gao, W.; Yu, Y.; Hu, B.; Xin, J.; Sun, Y.; Wang, L.; Wang, G.; Bi, X.; Zhang, G.; et al. Characteristics of PM_{2.5} mass concentrations and chemical species in urban and background areas of China: Emerging results from the CARE-China network. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 8849–8871.
70. Zhao, M.; Huang, Z.; Qiao, T.; Zhang, Y.; Xiu, G.; Yu, J. Chemical characterization, the transport pathways and potential sources of PM_{2.5} in Shanghai: Seasonal variations. *Atmos. Res.* **2015**, *158*, 66–78.
71. Golly, B.; Waked, A.; Weber, S.; Samake, A.; Jacob, V.; Conil, S.; Rangognio, J.; Chrétien, E.; Vagnot, M.P.; Robic, P.Y.; et al. Organic markers and OC source apportionment for seasonal variations of PM_{2.5} at 5 rural sites in France. *Atmos. Environ.* **2019**, *198*, 142–157.
72. Zheng, M.; Salmon, L.G.; Schauer, J.J.; Zeng, L.; Kiang, C.S.; Zhang, Y.; Cass, G.R. Seasonal Trends in PM_{2.5} Source Contributions in Beijing, China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39*, 3967–4166.
73. Pio, C.A.; Legrand, M.; Oliveira, T.; Alfonso, J.; Santos, C.; Caseiro, A.; Fialho, P.; Barata, F.; Puxbaum, H.; Sanchez-Ochoa, A.; et al. Climatology of aerosol composition (organic versus inorganic) at nonurban sites on a west-east transect across Europe. *J. Geophys. Res.* **2007**, *112*, D23S02.
74. Satsangi, A.; Pachauri, T.; Singla, V.; Lakhani, A.; Kumari, K.M. Organic and elemental carbon aerosols at a suburban site. *Atmos. Res.* **2012**, *113*, 13–21.
75. Plaza, J.; Artiñano, B.; Salvador, P.; Gómez-Moreno, F.J.; Pujadas, M.; Pio, C.A. Short-term secondary organic carbon estimations with a modified OC/EC primary ratio method at a suburban site in Madrid (Spain). *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 2496–2506.
76. Yang, F.; Huang, L.; Duan, F.; Zhang, W.; He, K.; Ma, Y.; Brook, J.R.; Tan, J.; Zhao, Q.; Cheng, Y. Carbonaceous species in PM_{2.5} at a pair of rural/urban sites in Beijing, 2005–2008. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11*, 7893–7903.
77. Cavalli, F.; Alastuey, A.; Areskoug, H.; Ceburnis, D.; Čech, J.; Genberg, J.; Harrison, R.M.; Jaffrezo, J.L.; Kiss, G.; Laj, P.; et al. A European aerosol phenomenology 4: Harmonized concentrations of carbonaceous aerosol at 10 regional background sites across Europe. *Atmos. Environ.* **2016**, *144*, 133–145.
78. Murillo, J.H.; Marin, J.F.R.; Roman, S.R.; Guerrero, V.H.B.; Arias, D.S.; Ramos, A.C.; Gonzalez, B.C.; Baumgardner, D.G. Temporal and spatial variations in organic and elemental carbon concentrations in PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} in the metropolitan area of Costa Rica, Central America. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2013**, *4*, 53–63.
79. Zhu, C.S.; Cao, J.J.; Tsai, C.J.; Shen, Z.X.; Han, Y.M.; Liu, S.X.; Zhao, Z.Z. Comparison and implications of PM_{2.5} carbon fractions in different environments. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2014**, *466*, 203–209.
80. Sillanpää, M.; Frey, A.; Hillamo, R.; Pennanen, A.S.; Salonen, R.O. Organic, elemental and inorganic carbon in particulate matter of six urban environments in Europe. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *5*, 2869–2879.
81. Zhao, W.; Hopke, P.K. Source identification for fine aerosols in Mammoth Cave National Park. *Atmos. Res.* **2006**, *80*, 309–322.
82. Ma, L.; Yu, Y.; Wang, B.; Zhao, S.; Li, G. Day-night variation and source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols in PM₁₀ during spring and summer of Lanzhou. *Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *38*, 1289–1297.
83. Yan, C.; Zheng, M.; Shen, G.; Ma, S.; Sun, J.; Cui, M.; Zhang, F.; Han, Y.; Chen, Y. Characterization of carbon fractions in carbonaceous aerosols from typical fossil fuel combustion sources. *Fuel* **2019**, *254*, 115620.
84. Kim, K.H.; Sekiguchi, K.; Furuuchi, M.; Sakamoto, K. Seasonal variation of carbonaceous and ionic components in ultrafine and fine particles in an urban area of Japan. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 1581–1590.
85. Kim, E.; Hopke, P.K. Source apportionment of fine particles in Washington, DC, utilizing temperature-resolved carbon fractions. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **2004**, *54*, 773–785.

86. Watson, J.G.; Chow, J.C.; Lowenthal, D.H. Differences in the carbon composition of source profiles for diesel-and gasoline- powered vehicles. *Atmos. Environ.* **1994**, *28*, 2493–2505.
87. Cao, J.J.; Lee, S.C.; Ho, K.F.; Fung, K.; Chow, J.C.; Watson, J.G. Characterization of roadside fine particulate carbon and its eight fractions in Hong Kong. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2006**, *6*, 106–122.
88. Kassomenos, P.A.; Vardoulakis, S.; Chaloulakou, A.; Pscholidou, A.K.; Grivas, G.; Borge, R.; Lumbreras, J. Study of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} levels in three European cities: Analysis of intra and inter urban variations. *Atmos. Environ.* **2014**, *87*, 153–163.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).