



Targeting MDM4 Splicing in Cancers

Boris Bardot * and Franck Toledo *

Génétique de la Suppression Tumorale, Equipe Labellisée Ligue, Institut Curie, Centre de Recherche, Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Univ Paris 06, CNRS UMR 3244, PSL Research University, 26 rue d'Ulm, 75248 Paris CEDEX 05, France

* Correspondence: boris.bardot@curie.fr (B.B.); franck.toledo@curie.fr (F.T.)

Academic Editors: Susan Fletcher and Steve Wilton Received: 8 November 2016; Accepted: 15 February 2017; Published: 20 February 2017

Abstract: MDM4, an essential negative regulator of the P53 tumor suppressor, is frequently overexpressed in cancer cells that harbor a wild-type P53. By a mechanism based on alternative splicing, the *MDM4* gene generates two mutually exclusive isoforms: *MDM4-FL*, which encodes the full-length MDM4 protein, and a shorter splice variant called *MDM4-S*. Previous results suggested that the *MDM4-S* isoform could be an important driver of tumor development. In this short review, we discuss a recent set of data indicating that *MDM4-S* is more likely a passenger isoform during tumorigenesis and that targeting *MDM4* splicing to prevent MDM4-FL protein expression appears as a promising strategy to reactivate p53 in cancer cells. The benefits and risks associated with this strategy are also discussed.

Keywords: MDM4; MDM2; p53; alternative splicing; isoform

1. Alternative Splicing and Cancers: Generalities

Alternative splicing plays a major role in generating protein diversity, by including or excluding specific exons [1]. In addition, by promoting intron retention, exon skipping or inclusion of so-called "poison exons", it may lead to the expression of isoforms with premature stop codons. As the large majority of these transcripts are sequestered and degraded in the nucleus [2,3] or encode for very unstable proteins [4], alternative splicing is thus also a fundamental process to regulate gene expression. In humans, most multi-exonic genes are alternatively spliced and a tremendous amount of work remains to evaluate the biological impact of the different isoforms encoded by a single gene in physiological or pathological conditions.

Aberrant splicing is a common feature of cancer cells [5]. Pathways frequently deregulated in cancer often play important roles in promoting aberrant splicing which in turn could affect tumor development [6]. For instance, it has recently been shown that the regulation of the core pre-mRNA splicing machinery by the *c-Myc* oncogene is an essential step in lymphomagenesis [7]. Additional evidence that aberrant splicing is important in promoting tumor development came from the observation that recurrent somatic mutations of genes encoding core subunits of the spliceosome, a complex of small noncoding RNAs and various associated proteins catalyzing splicing reactions [8], have been identified in several types of cancers [9]. However, whether the aberrant expression of a given splicing isoform observed in a given cancer contributes to tumor progression (driver isoform) or is simply its consequence (passenger isoform) must be carefully examined. Several cases of such driver isoforms have been described [6]. One of the earliest and most well-known examples is the Bcl-xL isoform encoded by the *Bcl-x* gene [10]. *Bcl-x* encodes two alternatively spliced isoforms with opposite activities. Whereas the short isoform (Bcl-xS) has pro-apoptotic activities, the long one (Bcl-xL) has an anti-apoptotic effect and is highly expressed in a wide range of tumors [11,12]. The specific inhibition

of Bcl-xL expression with the antisense oligonucleotide targeting the alternative splice site has been shown to promote apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma cells [11].

Accumulating data suggest that targeting RNA splicing in tumor cells might be exploited for therapeutic purposes. Indeed, recent results suggest that the spliceosome might represent the Achilles heel of tumor cells. For instance, Myc-driven cancer cells replicate rapidly and may depend on their ability to sustain an increased RNA and protein production, making them particularly vulnerable to any splicing stress. Accordingly, it has been demonstrated that, in contrast to normal cells, partial inhibition of the spliceosome impairs survival of Myc-dependent cancer cells [13]. However, even if global interference of the splicing machinery might be the sand in the gears that block the tumor, it might also have deleterious side effects. For this reason, much effort is still needed to identify the specific cancer-promoting splicing isoforms that are essential to maintain the tumor phenotype, because their specific elimination would be a potent therapeutic strategy. In the following section, we will discuss a recent set of data suggesting that targeting *Mdm4* splicing might be a promising strategy against cancer cells.

2. Targeting MDM4 Splicing: A Promising Anti-Cancer Therapy

The MDM4 protein (also known as MDMX) was discovered 20 years ago as a p53 binding protein that shows high structural similarity to MDM2 [14]. Both MDM2 and MDM4 are essential negative regulators of the p53 tumor suppressor and are frequently overexpressed in a great number of tumors that harbor wild-type p53. These properties made them attractive targets to reactivate p53 in tumors [15,16]. Accordingly, several in vivo studies underlined the addiction of various tumor cells to MDM4 [17–23].

By a mechanism based on the inclusion or the skipping of exon 6, the MDM4 gene generates two alternative transcripts. The one containing exon 6 encodes the full-length MDM4 protein (MDM4-FL), whereas the skipping of exon 6 results in a frame-shift and a premature stop codon. This second alternative transcript encodes a short carboxy-truncated MDM4 protein (MDM4-S) containing the p53 binding domain and a few amino acids of an unrelated sequence due to the frame-shift [24]. Overexpression experiments initially led to the proposal that the MDM4-S protein would be a stronger p53 inhibitor than MDM4-FL [24,25]. This hypothesis was supported by data suggesting that MDM4-S is more efficiently localized into the nucleus and exhibits a higher affinity to p53 than MDM4-FL, and that MDM4-S lacks an auto-inhibitory sequence present in MDM4-FL [24-26]. However, a recent set of data lead us to believe that the main effect of exon 6 skipping is to negatively regulate the expression of MDM4-FL. First, due to the in-frame insertion of a premature stop codon, the transcript lacking exon 6 could be the target of non-sense-mediated decay machinery [27,28]. Moreover, we recently showed that mice engineered for an obligatory Mdm4 exon 6 skipping exhibit increased p53 activity concomitant to Mdm4-FL decrease [29]. Interestingly, the mutant allele ($Mdm4^{\Delta E6}$) also leads to increased Mdm4-S mRNA levels, a situation that has been observed in several cancers and is correlated with a bad prognosis [30-33]. This allowed us to compare Mdm4-FL and Mdm4-S protein expression in heterozygous $Mdm4^{+/\Delta E6}$ mice. Even in a context where the mRNA levels for the Mdm4-S isoform were superior to the mRNA levels for the Mdm4-FL isoform, Mdm4-FL was much more abundant than Mdm4-S at the protein level. Indeed, the Mdm4-S protein was barely detectable, suggesting the existence of post-transcriptional mechanisms that negatively regulate Mdm4-S translation and/or stability. Accordingly, we could significantly increase Mdm4-S protein levels upon proteasome inhibition [29].

Because the main effect of the skipping of *MDM4* exon 6 is not the synthesis of the MDM4-S protein, but rather a decrease in MDM4-FL expression, it appeared that promoting *MDM4* exon 6 skipping could be a safe and specific way to decrease MDM4 protein levels in order to reactivate p53 in tumors. Accordingly, it has recently been shown that antisense oligonucleotide-mediated skipping of exon 6 decreased MDM4 abundance and reduced the growth of various human tumor cells [23]. Moreover, because MDM4 has also p53-independent oncogenic activities [20,34–36], targeting *MDM4*

splicing to prevent MDM4-FL expression in tumor cells may also present an important advantage over other strategies that are only aimed at preventing MDM4/p53 interaction.

3. Targeting MDM4 Splicing: Anticipated Consequences

Even if targeting *MDM4* splicing might be a promising anti-cancer strategy, two important issues must be anticipated and addressed. The first issue concerns the toxicity due to increased p53 activity upon MDM4-FL inhibition in normal tissues. Genetic studies in mice suggested that keeping p53 in check is critical, but that MDM4 inhibition, unlike MDM2 inhibition, might be well tolerated in adult animals [19,37–41]. Nevertheless, this issue must be very carefully examined because the hematopoietic tissue is very sensitive to MDM4 levels and unchecked p53 activity in this tissue may lead to severe bone marrow failure [42]. Whether or not this new targeting strategy is actionable will have to be rigorously tested in preclinical studies using appropriate mouse models. As for all therapeutic strategies, the therapeutic index will indeed determine the full potential of this approach. The second issue concerns the possible acquired resistance to treatment. In tumors, at least two possible escapers could be selected for. First, this therapeutic strategy could favor the emergence of cells generating an abnormal splicing event between MDM4 exons 5 and 8. This splicing event would generate an isoform with a restored open reading frame that would encode a MDM4 protein with an internal deletion but presumably having all the important domains necessary for its oncogenic activities. Such a splicing event has never been described in normal conditions but might arise from a secondary intronic mutation in a tumor cell. A comparable situation is at the origin of acquired resistance to vemurafenib, a very potent BRAF inhibitor, in melanoma treatment [43,44]. Secondly and maybe more likely, it might also select for tumor cells with a mutant p53. Indeed, such a mechanism of acquired resistance was recently identified in patients treated with MDM2 antagonists to reactivate wild-type p53 in tumors [45]. Interestingly, reduced MDM4-FL expression due to alternative MDM4 splicing often correlates with tumors that express a mutant p53 [46]. Even if an increase in MDM4-S mRNAs might simply result from alterations in the splicing regulatory machinery that frequently occur during tumor progression, this observation raises the intriguing possibility that reducing MDM4-FL expression might confer a selective advantage to tumor cells expressing a mutant p53. Furthermore, a few reports suggested that MDM4 might also have p53-independent tumor-suppressive functions [47–50]. Thus, it will be important to evaluate the risk of a further decrease in MDM4-FL expression in tumors with mutated p53. The output will depend on the balance between the p53-independent oncogenic and the p53-independent tumor-suppressing activities of MDM4. In melanoma cells, MDM4 inhibition causes a cell-cycle arrest, which could not be rescued upon simultaneous inactivation of p53 [21]. This observation suggests that, at least for melanoma patients, MDM4 inhibition by forced alternative splicing would be beneficial.

4. Regulation of MDM4 Splicing

In melanoma cells where MDM4 protein is often overexpressed, there is no correlation between MDM4 protein levels and total *MDM4* mRNA levels, suggesting that MDM4-FL is mainly regulated at a post-transcriptional level [21]. Accordingly, it has been recently proposed that the mechanism that underlies MDM4 upregulation in different cancer cells depends on a specific alternative splicing switch promoting exon 6 inclusion [23].

The identification of factors controlling *MDM4* splicing is the object of intense research. Notably, it has been shown that *MDM4* exon 6 inclusion depends in part of the activity of PRMT5 and SRSF3 splicing regulators. Indeed, either PRMT5 or SRSF3 inactivation leads to *MDM4* exon 6 skipping, loss of MDM4-FL and activation of p53 [7,23,27]. SRSF3 is known to have oncogenic activities and PRMT5 is upregulated following c-Myc activation and contributes to preventing anti-proliferative and pro-apoptotic activities in c-Myc–induced B lymphoma [7,51]. These observations are consistent with the fact that tumor cells expressing a wild-type p53 have a selective advantage to increase the MDM4-FL protein level by promoting *MDM4* exon 6 inclusion. On the other hand, it has been shown

that DNA damage induces a splicing switch from *MDM4-FL* to *MDM4-S* mRNA that might contribute to a lower MDM4-FL protein level and thereby activate p53 [52]. Additional works will be required to determine if this switch relies on a DNA damage–induced inhibition of the SRSF3 or PRMT5 splicing regulators.

As a concluding remark, beyond MDM4, many reports of alternative p53 and MDM2 transcripts have accumulated over the last 30 years. Thus, carefully evaluating the roles of the many isoforms of such critical players in the p53 pathway might also lead to the identification of additional potent anti-cancer strategies to be used alone or in combination for the benefit of patients.

Acknowledgments: The Team "Génétique de la Suppression Tumorale" is an "Equipe Labellisée par la Ligue Nationale Contre le Cancer".

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Graveley, B.R. Alternative splicing: Increasing protein diversity in the proteomic world. *Trends Genet.* **2001**, *17*, 100–107. [CrossRef]
- 2. Ge, Y.; Porse, B.T. The functional consequences of intron retention: Alternative splicing coupled to NMD as a regulator of gene expression. *Bioessays* **2014**, *36*, 236–243. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Grellscheid, S.; Dalgliesh, C.; Storbeck, M.; Best, A.; Liu, Y.; Jakubik, M.; Mende, Y.; Ehrmann, I.; Curk, T.; Rossbach, K.; et al. Identification of evolutionarily conserved exons as regulated targets for the splicing activator tra2b in development. *PLoS Genet.* **2011**, *7*, e1002390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Hao, Y.; Colak, R.; Teyra, J.; Corbi-Verge, C.; Ignatchenko, A.; Hahne, H.; Wilhelm, M.; Kuster, B.; Braun, P.; Kaida, D. Semi-supervised Learning Predicts Approximately One Third of the Alternative Splicing Isoforms as Functional Proteins. *Cell* **2015**, *12*, 183–189. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Pajares, M.J.; Ezponda, T.; Catena, R.; Calvo, A.; Pio, R.; Montuenga, L.M. Alternative splicing: An emerging topic in molecular and clinical oncology. *Lancet Oncol.* **2007**, *8*, 349–357. [CrossRef]
- 6. David, C.J.; Manley, J.L. Alternative pre-mRNA splicing regulation in cancer: Pathways and programs unhinged. *Genes Dev.* **2010**, *24*, 2343–2364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Koh, C.M.; Bezzi, M.; Low, D.H.; Ang, W.X.; Teo, S.X.; Gay, F.P.; Al-Haddawi, M.; Tan, S.Y.; Osato, M.; Sabò, A.; et al. MYC regulates the core pre-mRNA splicing machinery as an essential step in lymphomagenesis. *Nature* 2015, 523, 96–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wahl, M.C.; Will, C.L.; Lührmann, R. The spliceosome: Design principles of a dynamic RNP machine. *Cell* 2009, 136, 701–718. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Bejar, R. Splicing Factor Mutations in Cancer. Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 2016, 907, 215–228. [PubMed]
- Boise, L.H.; González-García, M.; Postema, C.E.; Ding, L.; Lindsten, T.; Turka, L.A.; Mao, X.; Nuñez, G.; Thompson, C.B. bcl-x, a bcl-2-related gene that functions as a dominant regulator of apoptotic cell death. *Cell* 1993, 74, 597–608. [CrossRef]
- 11. Takehara, T.; Liu, X.; Fujimoto, J.; Friedman, S.L.; Takahashi, H. Expression and role of Bcl-xL in human hepatocellular carcinomas. *Hepatology* **2001**, *34*, 55–61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Xerri, L.; Hassoun, J.; Devilard, E.; Birnbaum, D.; Birg, F. BCL-X and the apoptotic machinery of lymphoma cells. *Leuk Lymphoma* **1998**, *28*, 451–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hsu, T.Y.; Simon, L.M.; Neill, N.J.; Marcotte, R.; Sayad, A.; Bland, C.S.; Echeverria, G.V.; Sun, T.; Kurley, S.J.; Tyagi, S.; et al. The spliceosome is a therapeutic vulnerability in MYC-driven cancer. *Nature* 2015, 525, 384–388. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Shvarts, A.; Steegenga, W.T.; Riteco, N.; van Laar, T.; Dekker, P.; Bazuine, M.; van Ham, R.C.; van der Houven van Oordt, W.; Hateboer, G.; van der Eb, A.J.; et al. MDMX: A novel p53-binding protein with some functional properties of MDM2. *EMBO J.* **1996**, *15*, 5349–5357. [PubMed]
- 15. Toledo, F.; Wahl, G.M. Regulating the p53 pathway: In vitro hypotheses, in vivo veritas. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2006**, *6*, 909–923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Marine, J.C.; Jochemsen, A.G. MDMX (MDM4), a Promising Target for p53 Reactivation Therapy and Beyond. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2016**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 17. Toledo, F.; Krummel, K.A.; Lee, C.J.; Liu, C.W.; Rodewald, L.W.; Tang, M.; Wahl, G.M. A mouse p53 mutant lacking the proline-rich domain rescues Mdm4 deficiency and provides insight into the Mdm2-Mdm4-p53 regulatory network. *Cancer Cell* **2006**, *9*, 273–285. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Laurie, N.A.; Donovan, S.L.; Shih, C.S.; Zhang, J.; Mills, N.; Fuller, C.; Teunisse, A.; Lam, S.; Ramos, Y.; Mohan, A.; et al. Inactivation of the p53 pathway in retinoblastoma. *Nature* 2006, 444, 61–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bernal, F.; Wade, M.; Godes, M.; Davis, T.N.; Whitehead, D.G.; Kung, A.L.; Wahl, G.M.; Walensky, L.D. A stapled p53 helix overcomes HDMX-mediated suppression of p53. *Cancer Cell* 2010, *18*, 411–422. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 20. Garcia, D.; Warr, M.R.; Martins, C.P.; Brown Swigart, L.; Passegué, E.; Evan, G.I. Validation of MdmX as a therapeutic target for reactivating p53 in tumors. *Genes Dev.* **2011**, *25*, 1746–1757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Gembarska, A.; Luciani, F.; Fedele, C.; Russell, E.A.; Dewaele, M.; Villar, S.; Zwolinska, A.; Haupt, S.; de Lange, J.; Yip, D.; et al. MDM4 is a key therapeutic target in cutaneous melanoma. *Nat. Med.* **2012**, *18*, 1239–1247. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fang, M.; Simeonova, I.; Bardot, B.; Lejour, V.; Jaber, S.; Bouarich-Bourimi, R.; Morin, A.; Toledo, F. Mdm4 loss in mice expressing a p53 hypomorph alters tumor spectrum without improving survival. *Oncogene* 2014, 33, 1336–1339. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dewaele, M.; Tabaglio, T.; Willekens, K.; Bezzi, M.; Teo, S.X.; Low, D.H.; Koh, C.M.; Rambow, F.; Fiers, M.; Rogiers, A.; et al. Antisense oligonucleotide-mediated MDM4 exon 6 skipping impairs tumor growth. *J. Clin. Investig.* 2016, 126, 68–84. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Rallapalli, R.; Strachan, G.; Cho, B.; Mercer, W.E.; Hall, D.J. A novel MDMX transcript expressed in a variety of transformed cell lines encodes a truncated protein with potent p53 repressive activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1999**, 274, 8299–8308. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Rallapalli, R.; Strachan, G.; Tuan, R.S.; Hall, D.J. Identification of a domain within MDMX-S that is responsible for its high affinity interaction with p53 and high-level expression in mammalian cells. *J. Cell. Biochem.* **2003**, *89*, 563–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Bista, M.; Petrovich, M.; Fersht, A.R. MDMX contains an autoinhibitory sequence element. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2013**, *110*, 17814–17819. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bezzi, M.; Teo, S.X.; Muller, J.; Mok, W.C.; Sahu, S.K.; Vardy, L.A.; Bonday, Z.Q.; Guccione, E. Regulation of constitutive and alternative splicing by PRMT5 reveals a role for Mdm4 pre-mRNA in sensing defects in the spliceosomal machinery. *Genes Dev.* 2013, 27, 1903–1916. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Boutz, P.L.; Bhutkar, A.; Sharp, P.A. Detained introns are a novel, widespread class of post-transcriptionally spliced introns. *Genes Dev.* **2015**, *29*, 63–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bardot, B.; Bouarich-Bourimi, R.; Leemput, J.; Lejour, V.; Hamon, A.; Plancke, L.; Jochemsen, A.G.; Simeonova, I.; Fang, M.; Toledo, F. Mice engineered for an obligatory Mdm4 exon skipping express higher levels of the Mdm4-S isoform but exhibit increased p53 activity. *Oncogene* 2015, *34*, 2943–2948. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Riemenschneider, M.J.; Knobbe, C.B.; Reifenberger, G. Refined mapping of 1q32 amplicons in malignant gliomas confirms MDM4 as the main amplification target. *Int. J. Cancer* 2003, *104*, 752–757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bartel, F.; Schulz, J.; Böhnke, A.; Blümke, K.; Kappler, M.; Bache, M.; Schmidt, H.; Würl, P.; Taubert, H.; Hauptmann, S. Significance of HDMX-S (or MDM4) mRNA splice variant overexpression and HDMX gene amplification on primary soft tissue sarcoma prognosis. *Int. J. Cancer* 2005, 117, 469–475. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Prodosmo, A.; Giglio, S.; Moretti, S.; Mancini, F.; Barbi, F.; Avenia, N.; Di Conza, G.; Schünemann, H.J.; Pistola, L.; Ludovini, V.; et al. Analysis of human MDM4 variants in papillary thyroid carcinomas reveals new potential markers of cancer properties. *J. Mol. Med. (Berl)* **2008**, *86*, 585–596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Liang, M.; Han, X.; Vadhan-Raj, S.; Nguyen, M.; Zhang, Y.H.; Fernandez, M.; Drakos, E.; Konoplev, S.N.; Yin, C.C.; Miranda, R.N.; et al. HDM4 is overexpressed in mantle cell lymphoma and its inhibition induces p21 expression and apoptosis. *Mod. Pathol.* **2010**, *23*, 381–391. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- De Clercq, S.; Gembarska, A.; Denecker, G.; Maetens, M.; Naessens, M.; Haigh, K.; Haigh, J.J.; Marine, J.C. Widespread overexpression of epitope-tagged Mdm4 does not accelerate tumor formation in vivo. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2010, *30*, 5394–5405. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 35. Carrillo, A.M.; Bouska, A.; Arrate, M.P.; Eischen, C.M. Mdmx promotes genomic instability independent of p53 and Mdm2. *Oncogene* **2015**, *34*, 846–856. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Zhang, H.; Hu, L.; Qiu, W.; Deng, T.; Zhang, Y.; Bergholz, J.; Xiao, Z.X. MDMX exerts its oncogenic activity via suppression of retinoblastoma protein. *Oncogene* **2015**, *34*, 5560–5569. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Boesten, L.S.; Zadelaar, S.M.; De Clercq, S.; Francoz, S.; van Nieuwkoop, A.; Biessen, E.A.; Hofmann, F.; Feil, S.; Feil, R.; Jochemsen, A.G.; et al. Mdm2, but not Mdm4, protects terminally differentiated smooth muscle cells from p53-mediated caspase-3-independent cell death. *Cell Death Differ.* 2006, 13, 2089–2098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Francoz, S.; Froment, P.; Bogaerts, S.; De Clercq, S.; Maetens, M.; Doumont, G.; Bellefroid, E.; Marine, J.C. Mdm4 and Mdm2 cooperate to inhibit p53 activity in proliferating and quiescent cells in vivo. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, *103*, 3232–3237. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Grier, J.D.; Xiong, S.; Elizondo-Fraire, A.C.; Parant, J.M.; Lozano, G. Tissue-specific differences of p53 inhibition by Mdm2 and Mdm4. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2006**, *26*, 192–198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xiong, S.; Van Pelt, C.S.; Elizondo-Fraire, A.C.; Liu, G.; Lozano, G. Synergistic roles of Mdm2 and Mdm4 for p53 inhibition in central nervous system development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 3226–3231. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Ringshausen, I.; O'Shea, C.C.; Finch, A.J.; Swigart, L.B.; Evan, G.I. Mdm2 is critically and continuously required to suppress lethal p53 activity in vivo. *Cancer Cell* **2006**, *10*, 501–514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Simeonova, I.; Jaber, S.; Draskovic, I.; Bardot, B.; Fang, M.; Bouarich-Bourimi, R.; Lejour, V.; Charbonnier, L.; Soudais, C.; Bourdon, J.C.; et al. Mutant mice lacking the p53 C-terminal domain model telomere syndromes. *Cell Rep.* **2013**, *3*, 2046–2058. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Poulikakos, P.I.; Persaud, Y.; Janakiraman, M.; Kong, X.; Ng, C.; Moriceau, G.; Shi, H.; Atefi, M.; Titz, B.; Gabay, M.T.; et al. RAF inhibitor resistance is mediated by dimerization of aberrantly spliced BRAF(V600E). *Nature* **2011**, *480*, 387–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Salton, M.; Kasprzak, W.K.; Voss, T.; Shapiro, B.A.; Poulikakos, P.I.; Misteli, T. Inhibition of vemurafenib-resistant melanoma by interference with pre-mRNA splicing. *Nat. Commun.* 2015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Jung, J.; Lee, J.S.; Dickson, M.A.; Schwartz, G.K.; Le Cesne, A.; Varga, A.; Bahleda, R.; Wagner, A.J.; Choy, E.; de Jonge, M.J.; et al. TP53 mutations emerge with HDM2 inhibitor SAR405838 treatment in de-differentiated liposarcoma. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Lenos, K.; Grawenda, A.M.; Lodder, K.; Kuijjer, M.L.; Teunisse, A.F.; Repapi, E.; Grochola, L.F.; Bartel, F.; Hogendoorn, P.C.; Wuerl, P.; et al. Alternate splicing of the p53 inhibitor HDMX offers a superior prognostic biomarker than p53 mutation in human cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2012, *72*, 4074–4084. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Steinman, H.A.; Sluss, H.K.; Sands, A.T.; Pihan, G.; Jones, S.N. Absence of p21 partially rescues Mdm4 loss and uncovers an antiproliferative effect of Mdm4 on cell growth. *Oncogene* **2004**, *23*, 303–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Steinman, H.A.; Hoover, K.M.; Keeler, M.L.; Sands, A.T.; Jones, S.N. Rescue of Mdm4-deficient mice by Mdm2 reveals functional overlap of Mdm2 and Mdm4 in development. *Oncogene* 2005, 24, 7935–7940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Matijasevic, Z.; Steinman, H.A.; Hoover, K.; Jones, S.N. MdmX promotes bipolar mitosis to suppress transformation and tumorigenesis in p53-deficient cells and mice. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **2008**, *28*, 1265–1273. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Matijasevic, Z.; Krzywicka-Racka, A.; Sluder, G.; Gallant, J.; Jones, S.N. The Zn-finger domain of MdmX suppresses cancer progression by promoting genome stability in p53-mutant cells. *Oncogenesis* **2016**, *5*, e262. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Corbo, C.; Orrù, S.; Salvatore, F. SRp20: An overview of its role in human diseases. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2013**, 436, 1–5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lenos, K.; Jochemsen, A.G. Functions of MDMX in the modulation of the p53-response. J. Biomed. Biotechnol. 2011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).