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#### Abstract

CLPTM1L (Cleft Lip and Palate Transmembrane Protein 1-Like) has previously been implicated in tumorigenesis and drug resistance in cancer. However, the genetic link between CLPTM1L and bladder cancer remains uncertain. In this study, we investigated the genetic association of variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR; minisatellites, MS) regions within CLPTM1L with bladder cancer. We identified four CLPTM1L-MS regions (MS1~MS4) located in intron regions. To evaluate the VNTR polymorphic alleles, we analyzed 441 cancer-free controls and 181 bladder cancer patients. Our analysis revealed a higher frequency of specific repeat sizes within the MS2 region in bladder cancer cases compared to controls. Notably, 25 and 27 repeats were exclusively present in the bladder cancer group. Moreover, rare alleles within the medium-length repeat range (25-29 repeats) were associated with an elevated bladder cancer risk (odds ratio [OR] = 5.78, 95\% confidence interval [CI]: 1.49-22.47, $p=0.004$ ). We confirmed that all MS regions followed Mendelian inheritance, and demonstrated that MS2 alleles increased CLPTM1L promoter activity in the UM-UC3 bladder cancer cells through a luciferase assay. Our findings propose the utility of CLPTM1L-MS regions as DNA typing markers, particularly highlighting the potential of middle-length rare alleles within CLPTM1L-MS2 as predictive markers for bladder cancer risk.
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## 1. Introduction

Bladder cancer is a prevalent and significant health issue, particularly affecting men, with high incidence and mortality rates [1]. Non-muscle invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) constitutes the majority of cases, and emphasizes the importance of primary prevention due to its high recurrence rates [2]. While tobacco smoking is a major risk factor, genetic predisposition also plays a role in bladder cancer [3]. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified multiple susceptibility loci, revealing novel genes involved in tumor development [4].

Among these genes, CLPTM1L, located in the chromosome 5p15.33 region, was initially recognized for its high expression in cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells [5]. CLPTM1L has been implicated in chemoresistance against anticancer drugs such as cisplatin and camptothecin [6,7]. The TERT-CLPTM1L region has been extensively studied for single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and their association with various cancers, including bladder, breast, lung, prostate, and pancreatic cancer [8-11]. The rs401681 (C > T) SNP, located in intron 13 of CLPTM1L, is particularly linked to bladder cancer susceptibility [12]. Notably, this association is more prominent in Asian populations than in Caucasians [13]. While the VNTR polymorphism of TERT has been investigated in relation to cancer susceptibility [14-17], the VNTR polymorphism of CLPTM1L remains unexplored.

In this study, we characterized the VNTR region within the CLPTM1L gene through structural and sequence analysis. We confirmed the presence of VNTR polymorphism in CLPTM1L using genomic DNA obtained from cancer-free controls and bladder cancer patients. By comparing the incidence of each allele between the control and patient groups, we determined the association between VNTR polymorphism and bladder cancer risk. Additionally, we conducted a luciferase assay to assess the impact of bladder cancerassociated VNTR alleles on CLPTM1L promoter activity. Furthermore, we examined the vertical transmission of this VNTR region across generations using a family DNA sample.

The findings of this study suggest that the CLPTM1L VNTR region may be associated with bladder cancer risk through the modulation of CLPTM1L gene expression. These insights contribute to our understanding of the genetic basis of bladder cancer susceptibility.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Structural Analysis and Primer Construction for VNTR Regions of CLPTM1L

NCBI (http:/ /www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gene) was used to confirm the location of CLPTM1L on chromosome 5, the composition of its exons and introns, and its DNA sequence (NC_000005.10:c1345099-1317752 Homo sapiens chromosome 5, GRCh38.p13 Primary Assembly). The VNTR regions were identified using the Tandem Repeats Finder (https://tandem.bu.edu/trf/trf.html) [18]. VNTR regions were selected based on the length of the repeat unit, which had to be between 10 and 100 bp and scored $>500$ in the program algorithm. Primers for the selected VNTR regions were designed using primer 3 (http:/ /bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) and confirmed using Primer-BLAST at NCBI (http:/ / www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). The UCSC in silico PCR (https:/ / genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgPcr) was used to confirm that these primers amplified the correct PCR products in the CLPTM1L gene. The sequences of the primer pairs used for amplification are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Sequences of primer pairs.

| Minisatellite | Forward Primer Sequence | Reverse Primer Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MS1 | CССТСТСТGСTGGGCTCTCC | CTCCGCCTCGATCTGCTGTT |
| MS2 | TGAACAAGTGGAGAGCAAGGAAA | ACATGGTGGGATTTCTCAAGCAC |
| MS3 | GTCTCTGCAGTTGGTGGCCC | CTGAGAGCCGCCACCTCATG |
| MS4 | CCACCAGGCTTCATGGGAGG | AGTGCTGAGCCTGGTTCTC |

### 2.2. Population for Case-Control Study

To confirm the polymorphism in the CLPTM1L VNTR regions, we compared genomic DNA from 441 cancer-free male controls to samples obtained from 181 male patients with bladder cancer, while eliminating gender differences. The controls had a similar age distribution to the bladder cancer patients, with an average age of 65.25 years (range: $50-88$ ) for controls and 66.52 years (range: 50-90) for patients. Additionally, genomic DNA samples were obtained from eight multigenerational family groups, including six two-
generation families and two three-generation families. For conducting PCR experiments, we utilized genomic DNA that was isolated in a previous study [19-21].

### 2.3. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) Amplification of the VNTR Regions

Genomic DNA samples were used as templates for PCR amplification of each VNTR region. The PCR mixture contained 100 ng of genomic DNA, 10 pmol of primer pairs, and Taq polymerase. We used EmeraldAmp PCR Master Mix (Takara Bio, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The PCR conditions for each VNTR region were as follows: CLPTM1L-MS1 and -MS2 underwent an initial denaturation at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2 min at $68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for 10 min at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; CLPTM1L-MS3 underwent an initial denaturation at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 33 cycles of 30 s at $94^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ at $68.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 3 min at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for 7 min at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; CLPTM1L-MS4 underwent an initial denaturation at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 min , followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~s}$ at $65.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and 2 min at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a final extension for 7 min at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. PCR amplification was performed using a 9700 Thermal Cycler (Perkin-Elmer, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA).

### 2.4. Analysis of VNTR Polymorphism through Electrophoresis

The VNTR regions were analyzed using gel electrophoresis ( $1 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) in $1 \times$ TAE buffer through a $0.7-2.0 \%$ agarose gel. The electrophoresis conditions were set differently depending on the repeat unit and length of the PCR product for each VNTR region. For MS1, a $2 \%$ SeaKem ${ }^{\circledR}$ LE agarose gel (Lonza, Rockland, ME, USA) was run at 120 V for 6 h . MS2 was run on a $1.5 \%$ LE agarose gel at 80 V for 14 h , and MS4 was run on a $2 \% \mathrm{LE}$ agarose gel at 120 V for 4 h . A 100 bp DNA ladder (ELPIS-Biotech, Daejeon, Republic of Korea) was used as a marker for the electrophoresis of these three regions. The length of the repeat unit for MS3 was 29 bp . Since the length of the PCR product was about 3 kb , the PCR product was run on a $0.7 \%$ LE agarose gel at 60 V for 20 h . In this case, a 1 kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) was used as a marker.

### 2.5. Construction of CLPTM1L Luciferase Reporter Vector Containing CLPTM1L-MS2

The promoter region sequence of CLPTM1L was obtained from the NCBI website and confirmed (NC_000005.10:c1342099-1317752 Homo sapiens chromosome 5, GRCh38.p13 Primary Assembly). To construct the CLPTM1L luciferase reporter vector, the pGL3-Basic vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and a fragment of the CLPTM1L promoter ( 3 kb region upstream of the first ATG of CLPTM1L) were digested by restriction enzymes (NheI/XhoI, NEB, New England Biolabs, Inc., Hitchin, UK). The resulting fragments were purified using a gel extraction kit (QIAquick gel extraction kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), and then ligated using T4 ligase (NEB, Inc., UK). Common alleles (TR23) and rare alleles (TR17, TR23, TR25, TR27, TR29, and TR31) were inserted into the HpaI/BamHI digested site of the CLPTM1L promoter plasmid. The constructed vectors were confirmed via DNA sequencing.

### 2.6. Cell Culture and Luciferase Assay

To examine effect of CLPTM1L-MS2 on CLPTM1L expression, 293T (a human embryonic kidney cell line) and UM-UC3 (bladder cancer cell line) were used. Cells ( $5 \times 10^{4}$ per well) were seeded in 24-well plates and cultured for 24 h . Cells were transfected with pGL3-Basic CLPTM1L promoter plasmid and CLPTM1L-MS2 containing promoter plasmids ( $0.3 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per well) using jetPrime transfection reagent (Polyplus, New York, NY, USA). CLPTM1L promoter activities were measured using the dual-luciferase reporter assay system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) 48 h after cell transfection. Firefly luciferase activities were analyzed based on Renilla luciferase activities and represented relative luciferase units, which indicate promoter activity.

### 2.7. Statistical Analysis

The degree of polymorphism (heterozygosity) ranges from 0 to 1 , which increases with the number of alleles. The probability that two randomly selected alleles differ at a given locus was calculated through the formula as previously described [22]. A regression analysis was performed to determine the ORs (odd ratios) for the association between controls and cases groups. ORs were estimated using the natural logarithm and its standard error. Where appropriate, we used the chi-squared test with one degree of freedom to evaluate differences between groups. Significant differences were determined using a $95 \%$ confidence interval (CI). All of the tests were two-sided, with $p<0.05$ considered statistically significant. To calculate the chi-squared values, a statistical analysis was performed using MS Excel with CHITEST and R statistical software (https:/ /www.socscistatistics.com/ tests/fisher/default2.aspx) using the chi-squared test function.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. Selection of CLPTM1L VNTR Region

The genomic location of the CLPTM1L gene on chromosome 5 p 15.33 was identified, along with neighboring genes and the structure of its exons and introns (Figure 1A). CLPTM1L spans approximately 27 kb , and comprises 17 exons and 16 introns. The gene is oriented towards the telomeres, and is positioned upstream of the TERT gene and downstream of the LINC01511 gene. Utilizing the Tandem Repeat Finder program, four VNTR regions (CLPTM1L-MS1~MS4) within CLPTM1L were detected (algorithm scores >500). All VNTR regions were found within the intronic regions. The size of each VNTR, the anticipated PCR product size, consensus repeat size, and sequences were determined based on the NCBI sequence (Figure 1B).
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| Minisatellite | Indices | Location | Size (bp) (PCR product) | Consensus size (bp) | Consensus sequences | GC contents <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | GTGGCCATCT GTTCACAGGT |  |
| CLPTM1L-MS1 | 5105-6049 | Intron 3 | 945 (1042) | 64 | TAGGGCGCTG ACCCTGCTGT CCCGGGCACA GTTTGCCCGT CTGT | 61 |
| CLPTM1L-MS2 | 15039-15707 | Intron 9 | 669 (749) | 29 | TGAGGCCCTG TCCACCAAGC AGAGAGTCC | 62 |
| CLPTM1L-MS3 | 16078-18805 | Intron 9 | 2,728 (2,910) | 29 | CTGTAGAGGA GGAGCTGGAT GGAATGTGT | 52 |
| CLPTM1L-MS4 | 21552-22000 | Intron 12 | 449 (523) | 57 | CCTGAGCTGA GAGGGGTCCT GGAGCCCCCG GCCTCTGCTG CCCGGTGGGG TGCTGGC | 72 |

Figure 1. The information of VNTR in CLPTM1L. (A) The structure of genomic region of CLPTM1L. It is predicted to contain 17 exons (represented by black boxes) that encode CLPTM1L. The approximate locations of the VNTRs (MS1, MS2, MS3, and MS4) are indicated by asterisks and numbers on the diagram. (B) The table provides information on the location, PCR product sizes, consensus repeat unit sizes, consensus sequences of the repeat unit, and GC contents of the four VNTR regions. VNTRs, variable number of tandem repeats. Asterisks indicate the location of each VNTR region.

### 3.2. Polymorphic Analysis of CLPTM1L VNTR Regions

Genomic DNA samples obtained from cancer-free controls were utilized to confirm the presence of polymorphisms in each VNTR region (Figure 2). Given that bladder cancer
incidence is significantly higher in men than in women, male samples were used as cancerfree controls (Table 2). Initially, 100 cancer-free control samples were analyzed to confirm the polymorphic nature of each VNTR region. Subsequently, all regions were determined to be polymorphic, and were further analyzed using additional samples.
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| MS4 (H=0.0364) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TR | Size (bp) | $\mathrm{N}=864$ | Frequency |
| 6 | 416 | 16 | 0.0185 |
| 8 | 530 | 848 | 0.9815 |

Figure 2. The allelic frequencies and electrophoretic patterns of CLPTM1L VNTRs in cancer-free controls. The allelic patterns of each VNTR are shown in the upper part (electrophoretic patterns) of each panel (A-D; CLPTM1L-MS1 to MS4). The lower part of each panel indicates the allelic frequency, size of PCR products, and repeat number. VNTRs were amplified from genomic DNA of cancer-free controls using PCR techniques with each primer (see the Materials and Methods section). The table below the figure shows the number of alleles of four polymorphic VNTRs: 5 alleles of MS1 (A), 10 alleles of MS2 (B), 10 alleles of MS3 (C), and 2 alleles of MS4 (D). Size markers are given in bp (100 bp or 1 kb size markers). H represents the heterozygosity of each VNTR in cancer-free controls.

CLPTM1L-MS1, located in the intron 3 region, exhibited polymorphism with 5 alleles ranging from 12 to 26 repeats. The most common allele consisted of 15 repeats ( $99.2 \%$ ). This region demonstrated the lowest heterozygosity among the four regions, with a value of 0.0163 (Figure 2A). CLPTM1L-MS2 and MS3 were identified in the intron 9 region. CLPTM1L-MS2 displayed 10 alleles varying between 15 and 31 repeats (Figure 2B), with the most common allele containing 23 repeats ( $81.9 \%$ ). In the case of CLPTM1L-MS3, 10 alleles were observed, ranging from 76 to 112 repeats (Figure 2C). Notably, the MS3 region exhibited the highest heterozygosity among the four VNTR regions, with a value of 0.4051. In the intron 12 region, CLPTM1L-MS4 comprised 2 alleles with lengths of 416 and 530 base pairs (bp) consisting of 6 and 8 repeats, respectively. Only the common allele had a frequency greater than $1 \%$, with the most prevalent allele containing 8 repeats (Figure 2D).

Table 2. Age distribution of controls and bladder cancer cases.

| Characteristic |  | Cancer-Free <br> Controls, $\mathbf{N}(\%)$ | Bladder Cancer <br> Cases, $\mathbf{N ~ ( \% )}$ | $\boldsymbol{p}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Age | $50-59$ | $128(29.02)$ | $46(25.41)$ |  |
|  | $60-69$ | $164(37.19)$ | $68(37.57)$ | 0.935 |
|  | $70-79$ | $127(28.80)$ | $56(30.94)$ |  |
| 280 | $22(4.99)$ | $11(6.08)$ |  |  |
|  | Average | 65.25 | 66.52 | 67 |
|  | 65 | 181 |  |  |

### 3.3. Mendelian Inheritance of CLPTM1L VNTR

An analysis of the inheritance patterns of the VNTR regions in CLPTM1L was conducted using family groups comprising two and three generations (Figure 3). Genomic DNA samples previously obtained from each family member were utilized [18,19]. The confirmation of each VNTR region was performed in eight families. Meiotic transfer of all VNTRs was traced from parents to offspring, and hereditary segregation was observed in both two-generation (Figure 3A) and three-generation (Figure 3B) families. The segregation patterns within each family indicated that these VNTRs were transmitted through meiosis in accordance with Mendelian inheritance principles (i.e., each child inherited one VNTR allele from each parent; Figure 3).
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Figure 3. Meiotic inheritance of CLPTM1L VNTRs in a two- and a three-generation family. (A) The first generation (lanes 1 and 2; father and mother, respectively) and the second generation (lanes 3, 4 and 5 ; children of parents 1 and 2). (B) The first generation (lanes 1 and 2; parent of lane 4 and 7), second generation (lanes 4 and 7; children of parents 1 and 2), and third generation (lanes 5 and 6 ; children of parents 3 and 4, lanes 9; children of parents 7 and 8 ) are shown. The size marker is represented by M .

### 3.4. Association of CLPTM1L VNTR with Allelic Variation and Bladder Cancer

A case-control study was conducted to investigate the association between VNTR alleles and bladder cancer. The study included 441 cancer-free control subjects and 181 bladder cancer patients. The frequencies of VNTR alleles in the CLPTM1L-MS1, MS3, and MS4 regions were compared between the two groups (Supplementary Table S1). The results indicated no significant differences in the frequencies of these alleles between the control subjects and bladder cancer patients. However, it was observed that the CLPTM1L-MS3 region had 128 repeats exclusively in the cancer patient group. Nonetheless, even when considering the genotypes of these three VNTR regions, no significant association with bladder cancer risk was found (Supplementary Table S2).

Further analysis focused on the CLPTM1L-MS2 region, comparing the allele frequencies between control subjects and bladder cancer patients (Table 3 and Figure 4A). The common alleles in this region were $22,23,24$, and 30 repeats, with 23 repeats being the most prevalent. Rare alleles with a frequency of less than $1 \%$ were categorized based on their length (Table 3). Significantly different frequencies were observed for the middle-length rare alleles with 25 repeats ( $p=0.0068$ ) and 27 repeats ( $p=0.0272$ ). Moreover, the group of middle-length rare alleles (consisting of $25,27,28$, and 29 repeats) showed a significant difference between cancer-free controls and bladder cancer patients $(\mathrm{OR}=5.78,95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 1.49-22.47, $p=0.004$ ).

Table 3. Analysis of CLPTM1L-MS2 alleles between controls and bladder cancer cases.

| TR | Repeats | Size (bp) | Cancer-Free Controls |  | Bladder Cancer |  | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group |  |  | $\mathrm{N}=882$ | Frequency | N = 362 | Frequency |  |  |
| SR | 15 | 515 | 2 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.3645 |
|  | 17 | 573 | 5 | 0.0057 | 1 | 0.0028 | 0.49 (0.06-4.17) | 0.5020 |
|  | 20 | 660 | 2 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.3645 |
|  | Total |  | 9 | 0.0102 | 1 | 0.0028 | 0.27 (0.03-2.13) | 0.1820 |
| SC | 22 | 718 | 22 | 0.0249 | 10 | 0.0276 | 1.11 (0.52-2.37) | 0.7862 |
|  | 23 | 747 | 722 | 0.8186 | 287 | 0.7928 | 0.85 (0.62-1.15) | 0.2914 |
|  | 24 | 776 | 25 | 0.0283 | 8 | 0.0221 | 0.77 (0.35-1.73) | 0.5335 |
|  | Total |  | 769 | 0.8719 | 305 | 0.8425 | 0.79 (0.56-1.11) | 0.1710 |
| MR | 25 | 805 | 0 | - | 3 | 0.0083 | ND | 0.0068 * |
|  | 27 | 863 | 0 | - | 2 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.0272 * |
|  | 28 | 892 | 1 | 0.0011 | 0 | - | ND | 0.5216 |
|  | 29 | 921 | 2 | 0.0023 | 2 | 0.0055 | 2.44 (0.34-17.42) | 0.3567 |
|  | Total |  | 3 | 0.0034 | 7 | 0.0110 | 5.78 (1.49-22.47) | 0.0040 * |
| LC | 30 | 950 | 97 | 0.1100 | 45 | 0.1243 | 1.15 (0.79-1.67) | 0.4700 |
|  | Total |  | 97 | 0.1156 | 45 | 0.1409 | 1.15 (0.79-1.67) | 0.4700 |
| LR | 31 | 979 | 4 | 0.0045 | 3 | 0.0083 | 1.83 (0.41-8.24) | 0.4216 |
|  | 32 | 1008 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0028 | ND | 0.1184 |
|  | Total |  | 4 | 0.1202 | 4 | 0.1519 | 2.45 (0.61-9.86) | 0.1920 |

SR (short rare alleles, $\geq 20$ repeats); SC (short common alleles, $22 \sim 24$ repeats); MR (middle rare alleles, $25 \sim 29$ repeats); LC (long common allele, 30 repeat); LR (long rare alleles, $\leq 31$ repeats); OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence internal; ND, not determined; * statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ).

In the investigation of the CLPTM1L-MS2 genotype within the context of bladder cancer, the presence of one middle-length rare allele (MR/C) in individuals (cases:controls $=3.67 \%: 0.68 \%$ ) was associated with an increased risk of bladder cancer, as indicated by an odds ratio of 5.87 ( $95 \%$ confidence interval: 1.5-22.97; $p=0.0041$ ) (Table 4; Figure 4). Specifically, the $23 / 25$ genotype was found to be more prevalent in bladder cancer patients compared to the control group, and this disparity demonstrated statistical significance ( $p=0.0067$ ) (Table 4; Figure 4B).
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| Genotype | Cancer -free controls |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=441$ | Frequency | $\mathrm{N}=18181$ |  | Frequency | O OR (95\% CI) |
| $15 / 23$ | 2 | 0.0045 | 0 | - | ND | 0 |
| $17 / 23$ | 5 | 0.0113 | 0 | - | ND | 0.3642 |
| $17 / 31$ | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1503 |
| $20 / 23$ | 1 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.1182 |
| $20 / 30$ | 1 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.5214 |
| $22 / 23$ | 19 | 0.0431 | 10 | 0.0552 | $1.30(0.59-2.85)$ | 0.5134 |
| $22 / 30$ | 3 | 0.0068 | 0 | - | ND | 0.2660 |
| $23 / 23$ | 299 | 0.6780 | 114 | 0.6298 | $0.81(0.56-1.16)$ | 0.2480 |
| $23 / 24$ | 23 | 0.0522 | 6 | 0.0331 | $0.62(0.25-1.56)$ | 0.3072 |
| $23 / 25$ | 0 | - | 3 | 0.0166 | ND | 0.0067 |
| $23 / 27$ | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
| $23 / 28$ | 1 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.5214 |
| $23 / 29$ | 2 | 0.0045 | 2 | 0.0110 | $2.45(0.34-17.55)$ | 0.3559 |
| $23 / 30$ | 69 | 0.1565 | 34 | 0.1878 | $1.25(0.79-1.96)$ | 0.3389 |
| $23 / 31$ | 2 | 0.0045 | 2 | 0.0110 | $2.45(0.34-17.55)$ | 0.3559 |
| $23 / 32$ | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
| $24 / 30$ | 2 | 0.0045 | 2 | 0.0110 | $2.45(0.34-17.55)$ | 0.3559 |
| $27 / 30$ | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
| $30 / 30$ | 10 | 0.0227 | 4 | 0.0221 | $0.97(0.30-3.15)$ | 0.9649 |
| $30 / 31$ | 2 | 0.0045 | 0 | - | ND | 0.3642 |

Figure 4. Comparison of genotypes of CLPTM1L-MS2 between cancer-free controls and bladder cancer patients. (A) Electrophoretic pattern of CLPTM1L-MS2 in cancer-free controls (upper panel) and patients with bladder cancer (lower panel). $M$ indicates the size marker. (B) Frequency of genotypes between controls and bladder cancer cases. N represents the total number of samples used to genotype the CLPTM1L-MS2.

Table 4. Analysis of CLPTM1L-MS2 genotypes and risk of bladder cancer.

| Genotype Group | Genotype | Cancer-Free Controls |  | Bladder Cancer |  | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N = 441 | Frequency | N = 181 | Frequency |  |  |
| SR/- | 15/23 | 2 | 0.0045 | 0 | - | ND | 0.3642 |
|  | 17/23 | 5 | 0.0113 | 0 | - | ND | 0.1503 |
|  | 17/31 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
|  | 20/23 | 1 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.5214 |
|  | 20/30 | 1 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.5214 |
|  | Total | 9 | 0.0204 | 1 | 0.0055 | 0.27 (0.03-2.12) | 0.1811 |

Table 4. Cont.

| Genotype Group | Genotype | Cancer-Free Controls |  | Bladder Cancer |  | OR (95\% CI) | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N $=441$ | Frequency | N = 181 | Frequency |  |  |
| MR/- | 23/25 | 0 | - | 3 | 0.0166 | ND | 0.0067 * |
|  | 23/27 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
|  | 23/28 | 1 | 0.0023 | 0 | - | ND | 0.5214 |
|  | 23/29 | 2 | 0.0045 | 2 | 0.0110 | 2.45 (0.34-17.55) | 0.3559 |
|  | 27/30 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
|  | Total | 3 | 0.0068 | 7 | 0.0367 | 5.87 (1.50-22.97) | 0.0041 * |
| LR/- | 17/31 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
|  | 23/31 | 2 | 0.0045 | 2 | 0.0110 | 2.45 (0.34-17.55) | 0.3559 |
|  | 23/32 | 0 | - | 1 | 0.0055 | ND | 0.1182 |
|  | 30/31 | 2 | 0.0045 | 0 | - | ND | 0.3642 |
|  | Total | 4 | 0.0091 | 4 | 0.2210 | 2.47 (0.61-9.98) | 0.1902 |

SR (short rare alleles, $\geq 20$ repeats); MR (middle rare alleles, $25 \sim 29$ repeats); LR (long rare alleles, $\leq 31$ repeats); (other alleles); OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence internal; ND, not determined; * statistically significant ( $p<0.05$ ).

### 3.5. The Potential Effect of CLPTM1L-MS2 on the Gene Expression

To assess the potential effect of CLPTM1L-MS2 on the expression of CLPTM1L, alleles of the MS2 region were inserted into the CLPTM1L promoter vector (Figure 5A). Luciferase assays were conducted using the embryonic kidney 293 T cell line and the bladder cancer UM-UC3 cell line. The results demonstrated that the promoter activity of CLPTM1L was enhanced in the vector containing the CLPTM1L-MS2 alleles compared to the CLPTM1L promoter vector alone. Additionally, the promoter activity of the vector containing the CLPTM1L-MS2 allele was significantly higher in the UM-UC3 cell line compared to the 293T cell line (Figure 5B). However, no influence on gene expression was observed based on the length of the CLPTM1L-MS2 alleles. These findings suggest that the CLPTM1L-MS2 region may modulate the expression of CLPTM1L by augmenting the activity of the CLPTM1L promoter.

Previous studies have provided evidence supporting the influence of VNTR regions located within introns on gene expression [23-25]. Consistent with these findings, the present study also recognized VNTR regions as potential regulators of gene expression. Therefore, a specific analysis was conducted to examine the composition of the 23 most frequently observed repeat alleles, aiming to identify characteristics of the repeat units within the CLPTM1L-MS2 region that might impact gene expression (Supplementary Table S3). The 23-repeat allele was determined to consist of 8 repeat units, exhibiting a range of homology from $78 \%$ to $97 \%$ between repeat sequences (Supplementary Table S4). Furthermore, in order to gain insights into the functional properties associated with gene regulation within these sequences, the Transfac software (MATCHTM Publication Version 1.0; http:/ /www.gene-regulation.com/pub/databases.html) was employed to analyze the repetitive regions. Our analysis revealed several potential binding sites for transcription factors such as GATA-1 and NF-1, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure S1.
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| Vector | 293T |  | UM-UC3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ave. $\pm$ SE | $p$ | Ave. $\pm$ SE | $p$ |
| 1. p3142 | $1.00 \pm 0.07$ |  | $1.00 \pm 0.21$ |  |
| 2. p3142 + TR17 | $1.25 \pm 0.03$ | 0.0052 | $1.83 \pm 0.19$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| 3. p3142 + TR23 | $1.12 \pm 0.06$ | 0.0928 | $2.37 \pm 0.23$ | 0.0001 |
| 4. p3142 + TR25 | $1.32 \pm 0.09$ | 0.0088 | $2.08 \pm 0.36$ | 0.0019 |
| 5. p3142 + TR27 | $1.20 \pm 0.06$ | 0.0200 | $1.87 \pm 0.25$ | 0.0018 |
| 6. p3142 + TR29 | $1.43 \pm 0.07$ | 0.0200 | $1.74 \pm 0.22$ | 0.0029 |
| 7. p3142 + TR31 | $1.46 \pm 0.03$ | 0.0005 | $1.98 \pm 0.18$ | 0.0004 |

Figure 5. Effect of alleles of CLPTM1L-MS2 in CLPTM1L promoter luciferase constructs. (A) The structure of the p3142 (\#1) and TR reporter constructs. The gray square indicates the CLPTM1L promoter region. The black square represents the open reading frame of luciferase. The open squares represent the VNTR polymorphic regions of CLPTM1L-MS2. Six different sizes of TR (17-31 repeats) were inserted into the p3142 plasmid (\#2-\#7). (B) The effects of VNTR polymorphism on CLPTM1L gene expression in the luciferase reporter system. Seven different plasmids were transfected into two different cell lines (293T, embryonic kidney and UM-UC3, bladder cancer) for 48 h . ( ${ }^{*} p<0.05$; ** $p<0.01$; *** $p<0.001$ ).

## 4. Discussion

In this study, we conducted a genomic sequence analysis of the CLPTM1L gene and identified four novel VNTR regions (CLPTM1L-MS1~MS4). These regions exhibited no significant similarity to any previously reported VNTR regions when assessed using the BLASTN program. Thus, all VNTR regions chosen for this study are exclusive to CLPTM1L, and their characteristics may be linked to the gene's functionality. These VNTRs were situated within the intron region, and exhibited polymorphism with more than two alleles. The number of repetitions within the nucleotide sequence unit varied between the two alleles of an individual and also displayed variations across individuals, rendering them valuable as markers for personal identification [26]. It was confirmed that the inheritance
pattern of alleles for each VNTR region of CLPTM1L followed Mendelian inheritance from the parental generation to the offspring generation. Consequently, all CLPTM1L-VNTR regions can serve as markers for paternity identification and DNA typing.

Previous studies have suggested that polymorphisms in the VNTR regions of certain genes are associated with the risk for various types of cancer [27,28]. In this study, we focused on investigating polymorphisms within the CLPTM1L VNTR region, which belong to a cancer-associated region containing the TERT gene located at the p-arm end of chromosome 5 [29]. In our case-control study, significant differences in allele frequencies were observed exclusively in the CLPTM1L-MS2 region among the four regions analyzed. In particular, the frequency of rare alleles of intermediate length was found to be higher in bladder cancer patients compared to controls, especially within this region. Additionally, individuals carrying the rare allele of intermediate length showed a 5.87 -fold increased risk of developing bladder cancer ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 1.5-22.97, $p=0.004$ ), which demonstrates statistical significance. This study represents the first comprehensive characterization of the CLPTM1L VNTR regions, and based on our findings, it may serve as an index for assessing the risk of bladder cancer.

The expression of CLPTM1L was observed to be elevated in lung adenocarcinoma and pancreatic cancer tissues compared to adjacent normal tissues, and poor clinical outcomes were associated with high CLPTM1L expression in patients with pancreatic and lung cancer $[30,31]$. VNTR sequences can serve as regulatory elements, influencing both transcriptional and translational processes. When located within the exon region of a gene, VNTRs can impact protein function, while in the intron region, they can affect gene expression [23-25]. In this study, the impact of the CLPTM1L-MS2 region, which exhibited a statistically significant association with bladder cancer risk on the promoter activity of CLPTM1L, was validated through luciferase analysis. Although no variation was observed based on allele length, it was confirmed that CLPTM1L-MS2 modulates CLPTM1L gene expression by demonstrating that different alleles exert an influence on CLPTM1L promoter activity. This effect may be attributed to the binding of transcription factors to the CLPTM1L-MS2 region.

The role of transcription factors in the development of bladder cancer has been reported [32], and in this study, the putative binding sites of several transcription factors were identified within the VNTR regions (Supplementary Figure S1). The glucocorticoid receptor (NR3C1, GR), a nuclear hormone receptor, regulates the expression of genes associated with inflammation and plays a role in suppressing cell proliferation in bladder cancer [33,34]. AP-2alpha is highly expressed in basal-squamous bladder cancer cell lines and correlates with increased distant recurrence and lymph node metastasis [35]. The androgen receptor (AR), a ligand-activated transcription factor, controls genes that are crucial for male sexual differentiation and development, and its involvement in bladder cancer carcinogenesis has been reported [36,37]. General transcription factor IIi (GTF2I, TFII-I) is a multifunctional transcription factor that regulates the expressions of genes involved in cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and cellular stress response [38]. An elevated expression of nuclear factor I (NFI) transcription factor has been associated with tumor progression and drug resistance in bladder cancer [39,40]. Paired-box gene 5 (PAX5) expression in undifferentiated transitional cell carcinoma (TTC) of the bladder may contribute to pathogenesis by supporting cellular proliferation in the dedifferentiated state [41]. Mutation of the p53 gene and nuclear accumulation of the p53 protein are linked to bladder cancer grade and stage, playing a significant role in the progression of the disease [42]. TEAD (ETF) participates in tumor progression, including tumor development, drug resistance, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), and metastasis, by engaging various oncogenic signaling pathways such as Wnt, TGF $\beta$, and Hippo signaling [43]. CCAAT enhancerbinding protein $\beta$ (CEBPB) activity can be induced by oncogenic Ras, and contributes to Ras-mediated tumorigenesis and cell proliferation [44]. Neurofibromin 1 (NF-1) mutation is associated with an increased risk of various tumors, including melanoma and breast cancer [45]. Thyroid hormone receptor $\beta$ (THRB, T3R-beta1) is frequently mutated and
downregulated in human cancers such as breast, lung, and thyroid cancer, suggesting its potential role as a tumor suppressor [46]. GATA-binding protein 1 (GATA1) participates in tumor progression by activating JAG1/Notch and PI3K/AKT pathways in ovarian and colorectal cancer, respectively $[47,48]$.

In summary, this study has identified four novel VNTR regions within the CLPTM1L gene. These regions exhibit uniqueness and polymorphism, making them valuable as markers for personal identification and DNA typing. Importantly, polymorphisms within the CLPTM1L-MS2 region are linked to an increased susceptibility to bladder cancer, particularly among individuals carrying the middle-length rare allele. The CLPTM1L-MS2 region has been found to influence the expression of the CLPTM1L gene, potentially mediated by the binding of transcription factors to this region. Elevated CLPTM1L expression is associated with heightened cancer malignancy and resistance to anticancer drugs. Notably, our study focuses on the relationship between CLPTM1L variants and the risk of developing bladder cancer, but is limited by the lack of analysis using clinical data from bladder cancer patients. Thus, we recommend further research to validate the link between CLPTM1L genetic variants and the progression and responsiveness to anticancer drugs by analyzing clinical data from bladder cancer patients.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: / /www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes15010050/s1, Table S1: Frequency of CLPTM1L-VNTR (MS1, MS3, MS4) alleles between controls and bladder cancer cases; Table S2: Frequency of CLPTM1LVNTR (MS1, MS3, MS4) genotypes between controls and bladder cancer cases; Table S3: Composition of repeat units in most common alleles of CLPTM1L-MS2; Table S4: Analysis of identities between repeat units in CLPTM1L-MS2; Figure S1: Composition of putative transcriptional factors on most common alleles of CLPTM1L-MS2.
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