

Article



Associations between ALDH Genetic Variants, Alcohol Consumption, and the Risk of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in an **East Asian Population**

Wen-Ling Liao ^{1,2}, Fu-Chun Chan ³, Kai-Ping Chang ⁴, Ya-Wen Chang ^{1,5}, Che-Hong Chen ⁶, Wen-Hui Su ^{3,4,7,8,*} and Hen-Hong Chang ^{1,9,10,*}

- Graduate Institute of Integrated Medicine, College of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan; wl0129@mail.cmu.edu.tw (W.-L.L.); windy0803@gmail.com (Y.-W.C.) 2
- Center for Personalized Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung 40447, Taiwan
- 3 Graduate Institute of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 33302, Taiwan; fugi8396@gmail.com
- 4 Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Linkou Medical Center, Taoyuan 33302, Taiwan; dr.kpchang@gmail.com
- 5 Human Genetic Center, Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- 6 Department of Chemical and Systems Biology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305, USA; chehong@stanford.edu 7
- Department of Biomedical Sciences, College of Medicine, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 33302, Taiwan
- 8 Chang Gung Molecular Medicine Research Center, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan 33302, Taiwan 9
 - Department of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- 10 Traditional Chinese Medicine Research Center, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan
- Correspondence: whsu@mail.cgu.edu.tw (W.-H.S.); tcmchh55@gmail.com (H.-H.C.)

Abstract: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) and alcohol flush syndrome are thought to be strongly influenced by genetic factors and are highly prevalent amongst East Asians. Diminished activity of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH), a major enzyme in the alcohol-metabolizing pathway, causes the flushing syndrome associated with alcoholic consumption. The genetic effect of ALDH isoforms on NPC is unknown. We therefore investigated the association between the genetic polymorphisms of all 19 ALDH isoforms and NPC among 458 patients with NPC and 1672 age- and gender-matched healthy controls in Taiwan. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) located between the 40,000 base pairs upstream and downstream of the 19 ALDH isoform coding regions were collected from two genome-wise association studies conducted in Taiwan and from the Taiwan Biobank. Thirteen SNPs located on ALDH4A1, ALDH18A1, ALDH3B2, ALDH1L2, ALDH1A2, and ALDH2 Glu487Lys (rs671) were associated with NPC susceptibility. Stratification by alcohol status revealed a cumulative risk effect for NPC amongst drinkers and non-drinkers, with odds ratios of 4.89 (95% confidence interval 2.15-11.08) and 3.57 (1.97-6.47), respectively. A synergistic effect was observed between SNPs and alcohol. This study is the first to report associations between genetic variants in 19 ALDH isoforms, their interaction with alcohol consumption and NPC in an East Asian population.

Keywords: nasopharyngeal carcinoma; aldehyde dehydrogenase; single nucleotide polymorphism; alcohol

1. Introduction

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is more common in East and Southeast Asia than in Western countries, with more than 70% of cases worldwide originating from this Asian region. Recently reported age-standardized incidence rates range from 3.0 per 100,000 in China to 0.4 per 100,000 in Western countries [1,2]. The remarkable geographical distribution of NPC incidence and family history as a strong risk factor suggests that host genetic susceptibility plays an important role [2,3]. Familial linkage studies, genetic



Citation: Liao, W.-L.; Chan, F.-C.; Chang, K.-P.; Chang, Y.-W.; Chen, C.-H.; Su, W.-H.; Chang, H.-H. Associations between ALDH Genetic Variants, Alcohol Consumption, and the Risk of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in an East Asian Population. Genes 2021, 12, 1547. https://doi.org/10.3390/ genes12101547

Academic Editor: Michail T. Rovatsos

Received: 3 September 2021 Accepted: 27 September 2021 Published: 29 September 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stavs neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

case-control association studies, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and wholeexome sequencing association studies have identified susceptibility genes/loci related to the risk of NPC, including the *HLA* genes [4,5], *CLPTM1L/TERT* [6], *MST1R* [7] and *NIPAL1* genes [3]. Additional host genetic susceptibility factors have remained elusive.

Lifestyle behaviors such as salted fish intake and cigarette smoking significantly increase the risk of NPC in Asian populations [8]. The association between alcohol consumption and NPC risk is inconsistent in many studies [9,10]. Two meta-analyses have indicated that the risk of developing NPC may increase with alcohol consumption; in both meta-analyses, drinking and high-frequency drinking increased the risk of NPC [11,12].

People of East Asian descent have the highest prevalence (35–45%) of aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 (ALDH2) deficiency, which causes the flushing syndrome observed after consumption of alcoholic beverages [13]. The major alcohol-metabolizing enzymes are alcohol dehydrogenase-1B (ADH1B) and ALDH2, and the two most frequently reported polymorphisms, *ADH1B* Arg47His (rs1229984) and *ALDH2* Glu487Lys (rs671), have been shown to alter the effect of alcohol and potentially influence carcinogenesis [14,15]. In humans, the ALDH family consists of 19 members identified through similar amino acid sequences and functions [16]. Several recent studies have shown that *ALDH1A1*, *ALDH2* and *ALDH3A1* may be related to different cancers, such as head and neck cancer (HNC) [17], esophageal cancer [18], cholangiocarcinoma [19], and colorectal cancer (CRC) [14]. Elevated *ALDH1* activity has been used as a cancer stem cell biomarker of tumor aggressiveness in the invasive front of NPC [20], while a lower expression of *ALDH2* has been associated with poor prognoses in breast cancer, lung adenocarcinoma, and HNC squamous cell carcinomas [21].

Although NPC and *ALDH*² deficiency occur with high frequencies among East Asians, the genetic effects of *ALDH* isoforms on NPC remain unknown. Thus, we sought to determine associations between the genetic polymorphisms of 19 *ALDH* isoforms, [22] their interaction with alcohol consumption and NPC in an Asian population in Taiwan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Population

This study included 458 NPC cases enrolled in two GWAS studies conducted in Taiwan [4,23], all of whom were recruited from Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH) between 1983 and 2008. Their pathology records were reviewed for confirmation of NPC diagnosis according to World Health Organization (WHO) pathological classification criteria. Age- and gender-matched healthy controls were randomly selected from subjects without any NPC family history from the Taiwan Biobank (TWB) [24]. After matching, a total of 413 NPC cases and 1672 healthy controls were included in the present study (case:control ratio 1:4). The TWB has collected specimens and associated data (including genetic information) from the general Taiwanese population since 2013 and follows up with subjects every two to four years. The TWB data in this study involved individuals aged 30–70 years who self-reported as being of Taiwanese Han Chinese descent. The study was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Broad of Chang Gung Medical Foundation, Taiwan (IRB 103-7224B). Written informed consent was obtained from each study participant at the time of enrollment.

2.2. Data Collection

Survey questionnaires collected information about alcohol consumption, betel quid chewing, and cigarette smoking. Cases were designated alcohol users if they had consumed an alcoholic beverage at least once weekly for six months, betel nut users if they had chewed at least two betel nuts daily for a year, and cigarette smokers if they had smoked daily for at least one year. Among controls, alcohol users were defined as persons who reported drinking more than 150 mL of alcohol per week during the 6 months before the study health examination, betel nut users if they had ever chewed betel nuts daily for one month, and cigarette smokers if they had smoked daily for at least 6 months.

2.3. Genotyping and Imputation

Genotyping of the NPC cohort was performed by Illumina Hap550v3_A (for 277 NPC cases) and Human610-Quad Beadchips (for 181 NPC cases), according to the manufacturer's protocols (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). The Affymetrix Axiom genome-wide TWB array was used to genotype the TWB cohort. Genotyping and quality control measures involving samples and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) followed those described in previous studies [4,23]. Since the GWAS results were obtained using three different genotyping platforms, genotype imputations were performed separately in each platform before data combination. Imputations were performed using IMPUTE2 [25] with the 1000 Genomes Project Phase III reference panel (October 2014 release). A total of 78,605 SNPs were identified between the 20,000 base pairs upstream and 20,000 base pairs downstream of 19 *ALDH* isoform coding regions, based on GENCODE release 38. SNPs with low imputation quality (information < 0.3), call rate < 99%, minor allele frequency < 0.05, and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in controls (p < 0.0005) were removed from analysis.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

For the baseline characteristics, continuous data are presented as means with standard deviation, and categorical data are presented as proportions. We used *t*-tests to compare mean values of continuous variables and chi-squared tests to compare the frequencies of categorical variables between two groups. The association between SNP genotype/cumulative risk alleles and disease status was evaluated using logistic regression while controlling for alcohol use, betel quid chewing, and cigarette smoking to obtain the *p* values, odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) in PLINK (version 1.90) [26]. Permutation testing was performed 10,000 times using the PLINK "-mperm 10000" command. All tests were two-sided, and a *p* value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software v21.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) and R version 3.4.4 (R Core Team, 2018).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Study Participants

A total of 1245 subjects (249 cases and 996 controls) served as the discovery cohort to search for genetic risk factors associated with NPC, while 840 subjects (164 cases and 676 controls) served as the replication cohort for the identified genetic SNPs (Figure 1). Demographic characteristics of patients and controls are presented in Table 1. Around threequarters (75%) of the study population were males; mean ages were 47.98 ± 10.03 years in the NPC group and 48.03 ± 10.37 years in the control group. Significantly higher proportions of the NPC group consumed alcohol, chewed betel quid, and smoked cigarettes, compared with the controls (36.8% vs. 15.6%; 23.0% vs. 6.0%; and 48.9% vs. 35.1%, respectively; all *p* values < 0.001). Around two-thirds of the NPC cases (67.5%) were diagnosed with late-stage (III and IV) disease, as according to the WHO classification (data not shown). Clinical characteristics including alcohol use, betel quid chewing, and cigarette smoking are risk factors for NPC and were included in the subsequent adjusted genetic SNP analysis.

	Database 1-Discovery ($N = 1245$)			Database 2	2-Replication ((N = 840)	Database 3-Total (N = 2085)		
	Controls (<i>N</i> = 996)	Cases (N = 249)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 676)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 164)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1672)	Cases (N = 413)	p Value
Age	48.16 (9.92)	48.01 (9.43)	0.827	47.84 (11.00)	47.92 (10.91)	0.934	48.03 (10.37)	47.98 (10.03)	0.919

Table 1. Demographics of the study population.

	Database 1-Discovery ($N = 1245$)			Database	Database 2-Replication ($N = 840$)			Database 3-Total ($N = 2085$)		
	Controls (<i>N</i> = 996)	Cases (N = 249)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 676)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 164)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1672)	Cases (N = 413)	p Value	
Gender			1.000			0.924			0.939	
Female	228 (22.9)	57 (22.9)		188 (27.8)	45 (27.4)		416 (24.9)	102 (24.7)		
Male	768 (77.1)	192 (77.1)		488 (72.2)	119 (72.6)		1256 (75.1)	311 (75.3)		
Alcohol users			< 0.001 **			< 0.001 **			< 0.001 **	
No	830 (83.4)	156 (62.7)		581 (85.9)	105 (64.0)		1411 (84.4)	261 (63.2)		
Yes	165 (16.6)	93 (37.3)		95 (14.1)	59 (36.0)		260 (15.6)	152 (36.8)		
Betel quid chewers			< 0.001 **			< 0.001 **			<0.001 **	
No	937 (94.3)	189 (75.9)		631 (93.6)	129 (78.7)		1568 (94.0)	318 (77.0)		
Yes	57 (5.7)	60 (24.1)		43 (6.4)	35 (21.3)		100 (6.0)	95 (23.0)		
Cigarette smokers			0.005 *			< 0.001 **			< 0.001 **	
No	620 (62.3)	131 (52.6)		464 (68.6)	80 (48.8)		1084 (64.9)	211 (51.1)		
Yes	375 (37.7)	118 (47.4)		212 (31.4)	84 (51.2)		587 (35.1)	202 (48.9)		

Table 1. Cont.

Values are presented as N (%) or mean (SD). p values for chi square test or two independent t-tests: * represent p values less than 0.05; ** represent p values less than 0.001.



Figure 1. Flow chart of the study design.

3.2. ALDH Isoforms and Candidate SNPs Confer Susceptibility for NPC

We determined the association between the genetic polymorphisms of 19 *ALDH* isoforms and the risk of NPC in Taiwan Chinese. Multivariate logistic regression analysis adjusted for alcohol drinking, betel quid chewing, and cigarette smoking identified 12 SNPs on *ALDH4A1*, *ALDH18A1*, *ALDH3B2*, *ALDH1L2*, and *ALDH1A2* that were significantly associated with an increased risk for NPC (all *p* values < 0.05, Table 2). In this study, we used the permutation test, a robust but computationally intensive alternative to the conservative Bonferroni correction for correcting multiple testing [27]. Although none of the SNPs remained significant after Bonferroni correction (0.05/78,605), 6 SNPs located in *ALDH4A1*, *ALDH18A1* and *ALDH3B2* passed a 10,000 random shuffled permutation test (*p* perm < 0.05). In particular, one SNP (rs7534676) located in *ALDH4A1* had a significant permutation *p* value of <0.01 (Table 2).

						1 , 0				
					Discovery	Replication			Total	
rsID	Genes	Chr.	pb38	Risk Allele			Genotype	Frequency	OR (95% CI)	p Value
					OK (95% CI)	OK (95% CI)	Cases	Controls		
rs7534676	ALDH4A1;RP13-279N23.2	1	18,893,311	С	0.35 (0.2-0.62)	0.34 (0.18-0.65)	3/20/320	16/294/1336	0.35 (0.23-0.53)	$8.92 imes 10^7$ *
rs7554974	ALDH4A1;RP13-279N23.2	1	18,897,992	Т	0.41 (0.25-0.69)	0.34 (0.18-0.64)	3/23/323	17/299/1340	0.38 (0.26-0.57)	$2.13 imes 10^6 *$
rs7518631	ALDH4A1;RP13-279N23.2	1	18,899,249	А	0.43 (0.26-0.72)	0.34 (0.18-0.64)	3/24/322	18/299/1339	0.40 (0.27-0.58)	$3.24 imes 10^6 *$
rs72936453	ALDH4A1;RP13-279N23.2	1	18,899,852	Т	0.43 (0.26-0.72)	0.34 (0.18-0.63)	3/24/323	18/299/1339	0.39 (0.27-0.58)	$3.01 imes 10^6 *$
rs1229984	ADH1B	4	99,318,162	Т	0.95 (0.70-1.30)	1.06 (0.76-1.50)	16/86/132	104/656/912	1.00 (0.79-1.25)	$9.72 imes 10^1$
rs17111068	ALDH18A1	10	95,638,799	Т	0.12 (0.04-0.38)	0.12 (0.03-0.49)	0/5/304	6/204/1462	0.12 (0.05-0.30)	$3.91 imes 10^6 *$
rs76655136	ALDH3B2;RP11-119D9.1	11	67,695,970	С	0.14 (0.05-0.38)	0.37 (0.17-0.83)	0/11/333	11/191/1470	0.23 (0.12-0.42)	3.02×10^6 *
rs10778364	ALDH1L2;C12orf45	12	105,047,085	Т	0.68 (0.52-0.89)	0.66 (0.47-0.94)	8/115/246	96/598/960	0.67 (0.54-0.83)	$1.93 imes 10^4$
rs671	ALDH2	12	11,180,3962	А	1.32 (1.05-1.67)	1.10 (0.82-1.47)	39/163/191	146/684/842	1.23 (1.03-1.48)	2.25×10^2
rs34200934	RP11-344A16.2;ALDH1A2	15	57,767,226	Т	0.70 (0.50-0.97)	0.66 (0.44-1.01)	8/66/307	30/414/1185	0.69 (0.53-0.89)	4.76×10^3
rs11636446	RP11-344A16.2;ALDH1A2	15	57,780,522	С	0.67 (0.46-0.98)	0.65 (0.42-1.01)	3/60/315	21/364/1282	0.67 (0.50-0.89)	5.41×10^3
rs79071218	RP11-344A16.2;ALDH1A2	15	57,885,374	С	0.19 (0.08-0.48)	0.41 (0.18-0.93)	0/12/356	4/189/1479	0.28 (0.15-0.51)	$3.50 imes 10^5$
rs1975431	RP11-344A16.2;ALDH1A2	15	57,887,256	С	0.19 (0.08-0.48)	0.36 (0.15-0.87)	0/11/356	4/188/1480	0.26 (0.14-0.48)	2.33×10^5
rs28829404	ALDH1A2;LIPC	15	58,379,641	Т	0.40 (0.22–0.73)	0.26 (0.09-0.71)	1/14/346	6/196/1470	0.34 (0.20-0.58)	$5.32 imes 10^5$

Table 2. Associations between ALDH gene polymorphisms and nasopharyngeal carcinoma risk.

Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. * *p* value for permutation < 0.05.

The adjusted OR was 1.23 (95% CI = 1.03–1.48, p = 0.00225) when increased by one A allele. No association was observed between the rs1229984 polymorphism in *ADH1B* and NPC risk. After adjusting for potential confounders, the OR was 0.97 (95% CI = 0.78–1.22, p = 0.0801) (Table 2).

3.3. Cumulative Risk Effect of 13 SNPs on NPC Susceptibility

Total risk allele counts for the 13 SNPs that we have identified were calculated for each subject (range 13–26; median 23). In the multivariate logistic regression model, alcohol use, betel nut chewing and the cumulative risk allele were all independent risk factors for NPC. ORs were 2.61 (95% CI = 1.60–4.26, p < 0.001) for alcohol use and 2.63 (1.40–4.94, p = 0.003) for betel nut chewing. Study subjects with more than 23 risk alleles had a significantly higher risk of NPC (OR = 3.98; 95% CI = 2.45–6.46, p < 0.001) compared with subjects with fewer than 23 risk alleles (Table 3).

Table 3. Cumulative risk effect of 13 SNPs on nasopharyngeal carcinoma susceptibility in multivariate logistic regression model.

	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value
Alcohol consumption Betel quid chewing Cigarette smoking	2.61 (1.60–4.26) 2.63 (1.40–4.94) 0.79 (0.49–1.27)	<0.001 ** 0.003 * 0.334
Cumulative risk allele <median ≥median</median 	Ref. 3.98 (2.45–6.46)	Ref. <0.001 **

Abbreviations: OR: odd ratio; CI: confidence interval. The median number of cumulative risk alleles was 23. * p value < 0.05; ** p value < 0.001.

3.4. ALDH Genes Confer Susceptibility for NPC after Stratification for Alcohol Use

To investigate the confounding effect of alcohol use on NPC, associations between SNPs and NPC were stratified by alcohol consumption. Among subjects who did not consume alcohol, the homozygous risk allele for most SNPs (except rs1229984) increased the risk of NPC (p < 0.05). Among alcohol users, the homozygous risk alleles for rs7534676, rs7554974, rs7518631, rs7518631, rs72936453, rs1711068, rs76655136, rs1975431, and rs28829404 increased the risk of NPC (p < 0.05). For *ALDH2* rs671, the risk of NPC was higher for study subjects with the AA/AG alleles compared with subjects with the GG allele, whether alcohol was consumed (OR = 1.47; 95% CI = 0.95–2.27, p = 0.082) or not (1.27; 0.97–1.67, p = 0.087). A cumulative risk allele effect for NPC was observed with alcohol consumption: the risk was lower for subjects not using alcohol (OR = 3.57; 95% CI = 1.97–6.47, p < 0.001) than for those who were (4.89; 2.15–11.08, p < 0.001) (Table 4).

Table 4. Associations between ALDH genes and nasopharyngeal carcinoma susceptibility after stratification for alcohol use.

		Alcoho	l Use = No		Alcohol Use = Yes				
	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1411)	Cases (N = 261)	OR (95% CI)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 260)	Cases (N = 152)	OR (95% CI)	p Value	
rs1229984									
CC/CT	647 (45.9)	68 (43.3)	Ref.	Ref.	112 (43.1)	34 (44.2)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	764 (54.1)	89 (56.7)	1.11 (0.80–1.55)	0.544	148 (56.9)	43 (55.8)	0.96 (0.57–1.60)	0.867	

		Alcoho	l Use = No		Alcohol Use = Yes				
-	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1411)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 261)	OR (95% CI)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 260)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 152)	OR (95% CI)	p Value	
rs671									
GG/AG	1270 (90.0)	211 (84.5)	Ref.	Ref.	255 (98.1)	142 (100 0)	Ref.	Ref.	
AA Re-	141 (10.0)	39 (15.5)	1.66 (1.13–2.43)	0.010 *	5 (1.9)	0 (0.0)	0.00 (0.00–)	0.999	
cessive (AA/AG)	755 (53.5)	149 (59.4)	1.27 (0.97–1.67)	0.087	75 (28.8)	53 (37.3)	1.47 (0.95–2.27)	0.082	
rs7534676									
TT/TC	253 (18.2)	16 (7.3)	Ref.	Ref.	56 (21.8)	7 (5.6)	Ref.	Ref.	
CC	1135 (81.8)	203 (92.7)	2.83 (1.67-4.79)	<0.001 *	201 (78.2)	117 (94.4)	4.66 (2.06–10.55)	<0.001 *	
rs7554974									
CC/CT	259 (18.5)	19 (8.5)	Ref.	Ref.	56 (21.8)	7 (5.6)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	1139 (81.5)	205 (91.5)	2.45 (1.51-4.00)	<0.001 *	201 (78.2)	118 (94.4)	4.70 (2.07–10.64)	< 0.001 *	
rs7518631									
GG/GA	260 (18.6)	19 (8.5)	Ref.	Ref.	56 (21.8)	8 (6.3)	Ref.	Ref.	
ÂA	1138 (81.4)	204 (91.5)	2.45 (1.51-4.00)	< 0.001 *	201 (78.2)	118 (93.7)	4.11 (1.89–8.92)	< 0.001 *	
rs72936453	3								
AA/AT	260 (18.6)	19 (8.5)	Ref.	Ref.	56 (21.8)	8 (6.3)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	1138 (81.4)	204 (91.5)	2.45 (1.51-4.00)	<0.001 *	201 (78.2)	119 (93.7)	4.14 (1.91–8.99)	<0.001 *	
rs1711106	8								
GG/GT	178 (12.6)	4 (2.0)	Ref.	Ref.	32 (12.3)	1 (0.9)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	1233 (87.4)	195 (98.0)	7.04 (2.58–19.18)	<0.001 *	228 (87.7)	109 (99.1)	15.30 (2.06–113.42)	0.008 *	
rs7665513	6								
TT/TC	167 (11.8)	6 (2.7)	Ref.	Ref.	35 (13.5)	5 (4.1)	Ref.	Ref.	
CC	1244 (88.2)	215 (97.3)	4.81 (2.10–11.00)	<0.001 *	225 (86.5)	118 (95.9)	3.67 (1.40–9.62)	0.008 *	
rs10778364	4								
CC/CT	586 (42.0)	76 (32.8)	Ref.	Ref.	107 (41.3)	47 (34.3)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	808 (58.0)	156 (67.2)	1.49 (1.10–2.00)	0.008 *	152 (58.7)	90 (65.7)	1.35 (0.88–2.07)	0.174	
rs34200934	4								
GG/GT	365 (26.6)	42 (17.1)	Ref.	Ref.	79 (30.9)	32 (23.7)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	1007 (73.4)	204 (82.9)	1.76 (1.24–2.51)	0.002 *	177 (69.1)	103 (76.3)	1.44 (0.89–2.32)	0.137	
rs1163644	6								
AA/AC	321 (22.8)	36 (15.2)	Ref.	Ref.	64 (24.7)	27 (19.1)	Ref.	Ref.	
CC	1086 (77.2)	201 (84.8)	1.65 (1.13–2.40)	0.009 *	195 (75.3)	114 (80.9)	1.39 (0.84–2.30)	0.206	
rs79071218	8								
TT/TC	170 (12.0)	7 (3.1)	Ref.	Ref.	23 (8.8)	5 (3.5)	Ref.	Ref.	
CC	1241 (88.0)	219 (96.9)	4.29 (1.99–9.25)	<0.001 *	237 (91.2)	137 (96.5)	2.66 (0.99–7.15)	0.053	
rs1975431									
AA/AC	169 (12.0)	7 (3.1)	Ref.	Ref.	23 (8.8)	4 (2.8)	Ref.	Ref.	
CC	1242 (88.0)	219 (96.9)	4.26 (1.97–9.19)	< 0.001 *	237 (91.2)	137 (97.2)	3.32 (113–9.81)	0.030 *	

```
Table 4. Cont.
```

		Alcoho	l Use = No		Alcohol Use = Yes				
-	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1411)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 261)	OR (95% CI)	p Value	Controls (<i>N</i> = 260)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 152)	OR (95% CI)	p Value	
rs28829404	ł								
CC/CT	163 (11.6)	13 (5.7)	Ref.	Ref.	39 (15.0)	2 (1.5)	Ref.	Ref.	
TT	1248 (88.4)	216 (94.3)	2.17 (1.21–3.89)	0.009 *	221 (85.0)	130 (98.5)	11.47 (2.73–48.29)	0.001 *	
Cumulativ risk alleles	7e								
<me- dian</me- 	615 (46.3)	14 (19.4)	Ref.	Ref.	142 (56.6)	8 (21.1)	Ref.	Ref.	
>Median	713 (53.7)	58 (80.6)	3.57 (1.97-6.47)	< 0.001 *	109 (43.4)	30 (78.9)	4.89 (2.15–11.08)	<0.001 *	

Table 4. Cont.

Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. Odds ratios (ORs) were calculated by unadjusted univariate analysis. The median number of cumulative risk alleles was 23. * p value < 0.05.

3.5. The Effects of Interaction between Alcohol Consumption and SNPs on the Risk of NPC

An investigation into the effects of interaction between alcohol consumption and SNPs on the risk of NPC revealed that the risk increases with either the presence of a risk allele or alcohol consumption. For the rs671 polymorphism, the NPC risk was significantly increased among AA/AG carriers who were not consuming alcohol or GG carriers who were consuming alcohol (OR = 1.63; 95% CI = 1.27–2.10, p < 0.001), and AA/AG carriers who were consuming alcohol (4.55; 3.02–6.84, p < 0.001), compared with carriers of the GG genotype who did not consume alcohol (Table 5).

Table 5. Effects of interactions between SNPs and alcohol consumption on nasopharyngeal carcinoma risk.

	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1672)	Cases (N = 413)	<i>p</i> Value ^a	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value ^b
rs1229984*Alcohol			< 0.001		
CC/CT*Non-Alcohol	647 (38.7)	68 (29.1)		Ref.	Ref.
TT*Non-Alcohol or CC/CT*Alcohol	876 (52.4)	123 (52.6)		1.34 (0.98–1.83)	0.070
TT*Alcohol	148 (8.9)	43 (18.4)		2.76 (1.81-4.21)	<0.001 *
rs671*Alcohol			-		
GG/AG*Non-Alcohol	1270 (76.0)	212 (53.9)		Ref.	Ref.
AA*Non-Alcohol or GG/AG*Alcohol	396 (23.7)	181 (46.1)		2.74 (2.18–3.44)	<0.001 *
AA*Alcohol	5 (0.3)	0 (0.0)		- (-)	0.999
(Recessive model) GG*Non-Alcohol	656 (39.3)	102 (26.0)	<0.001	Ref.	Ref.
AA/AG*Non-Alcohol or GG*Alcohol	940 (56.3)	238 (60.6)		1.63 (1.27–2.10)	<0.001 *
AA/AG*Alcohol	75 (4.5)	53 (13.5)		4.55 (3.02–6.84)	<0.001 *
rs7534676*Alcohol			< 0.001		
TT/TC*Non-Alcohol	253 (15.4)	16 (4.7)		Ref.	Ref.
CC*Non-Alcohol or TT/TC*Alcohol	1191 (72.4)	210 (61.2)		2.79 (1.65-4.72)	<0.001 *
CC*Alcohol	201 (12.2)	117 (34.1)		9.20 (5.29–16.02)	<0.001 *
rs7554974*Alcohol			< 0.001		
CC/CT*Non-Alcohol	259 (15.6)	19 (5.4)		Ref.	Ref.
TT*Non-Alcohol or CC/CT*Alcohol	1195 (72.2)	212 (60.7)		2.42 (1.48-3.94)	<0.001 *
TT*Alcohol	201 (12.1)	118 (33.8)		8.00 (4.77–13.44)	<0.001 *

	Controls (<i>N</i> = 1672)	Cases (<i>N</i> = 413)	p Value ^a	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> Value ^b
rs7518631*Alcohol			< 0.001		
GG/GA*Non-Alcohol	260 (15.7)	19 (5.4)		Ref.	Ref.
GG/GA*Alcohol	1194 (72.1)	212 (60.7)		2.43 (1.49–3.96)	< 0.001*
AA*Alcohol	201 (12.1)	118 (33.8)		8.03 (4.78–13.49)	<0.001 *
rs72936453*Alcohol AA/AT*Non-Alcohol	260 (15.7)	19 (5.4)	< 0.001	Ref.	Ref.
TT*Non-Alcohol or	1194 (72.1)	212 (60.6)		2.43 (1.49-3.96)	< 0.001 *
AA/AT*Alcohol TT*Alcohol	201 (12.1)	119 (34.0)		8.10 (4.83–13.60)	< 0.001 *
rs17111068*Alcohol		117 (0 110)	< 0.001		
GG/GT*Non-Alcohol	178 (10.7)	4 (1.3)	101001	Ref.	Ref.
TT*Non-Alcohol or GG/GT*Alcohol	1265 (75.7)	196 (63.4)		6.90 (2.53–18.79)	<0.001 *
TT*Alcohol	228 (13.6)	109 (35.3)		21.27 (7.70–58.81)	<0.001 *
rs76655136*Alcohol	167 (10.0)	6 (17)	< 0.001	Pof	Pof
CC*Non-Alcohol or	107 (10.0)	0(1.7)		Kei.	Kel.
TT/TC*Alcohol	12/9 (76.5)	220 (64.0)		4.79 (2.09–10.95)	<0.001 *
	225 (13.5)	118 (34.3)	0.001	14.60 (6.28–33.96)	<0.001 *
rs10778364*Alcohol CC/CT*Non-Alcohol	586 (35.5)	76 (20.6)	<0.001	Ref.	Ref.
TT Non-Alcohol or	915 (55.4)	203 (55.0)		1.71 (1.29–2.27)	< 0.001 *
TT*Alcohol	152 (9.2)	90 (24.4)		4.57 (3.21-6.50)	<0.001 *
rs34200934*Alcohol		. ,	< 0.001	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
GG/GT*Non-Alcohol	365 (22.4)	42 (11.0)		Ref.	Ref.
TT*Non-Alcohol or GG/GT*Alcohol	1086 (66.7)	236 (61.9)		1.89 (1.33–2.68)	<0.001 *
TT*Alcohol	177 (10.9)	103 (27.0)		5.06 (3.39–7.55)	<0.001 *
rs11636446*Alcohol	221 (10.2)	2((0,5)	< 0.001	D. (D.(
AA/AC*Non-Alcohol or	321 (19.3)	36 (9.5)		Kef.	Kef.
AA/AC*Alcohol	1150 (69.0)	228 (60.3)		1.77 (1.22–2.57)	0.003 *
CC*Alcohol	195 (11.7)	114 (30.2)		5.22 (3.44–7.89)	<0.001 *
rs79071218*Alcohol TT/TC*Non-Alcohol	170 (10.2)	7 (1.9)	<0.001	Ref.	Ref.
CC*Non-Alcohol or	1264 (75.6)	224 (60.9)		4.30 (1.99–9.29)	<0.001 *
CC*Alcohol	237 (14.2)	137 (37.2)		14.04 (6.41–30.77)	<0.001 *
rs1975431*Alcohol			< 0.001		
AA/AC*Non-Alcohol	169 (10.1)	7 (1.9)		Ref.	Ref.
AA/AC*Alcohol or	1265 (75.7)	223 (60.8)		4.26 (1.97–9.19)	<0.001 *
CC*Alcohol	237 (14.2)	137 (37.3)		13.96 (6.37–30.59)	<0.001 *
rs28829404*Alcohol			< 0.001		
TT*Non-Alcohol	163 (9.8)	13 (3.6)		Ket.	Ket.
CC/CT*Alcohol	1287 (77.0)	218 (60.4)		2.12 (1.19–3.80)	0.011 *
TT*Alcohol	221 (13.2)	130 (36.0)		7.38 (4.03–13.51)	<0.001 *

Table 5. Cont.

Abbreviations: OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval. ORs were calculated by univariate analysis. ^a chi-square test; ^b logistic regression. * p value < 0.05.

4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to investigate the association between genetic variants in 19 *ALDH* isoform polymorphisms and the risk of NPC in an East Asian population residing in Taiwan. Besides the known alcohol metabolism genetic variant, rs671, we identified 12 SNPs located on the *ALDH4A1*, *ALDH18A1*, *ALDH3B2*, *ALDH1L2*, and *ALDH1A2* genes from the *ALDH* multigene family that were associated with an elevated NPC risk.

ALDHs are a family of intracellular enzymes that are involved in aldehyde metabolism, cellular detoxification, differentiation, and cancer drug [28,29]. Several isoforms of the *ALDH1* family (*ALDH1A1*, *ALDH1A2*, *ALDH1A3*, *ALDH1B1*, *ALDH1L1*, and *ALDH1L2*) are used as cancer stem cell markers in a variety of cancers [29–31]. Strong correlations between *ALDH1* expression in the invasive tumor front of NPC, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and tumor aggressiveness suggest that *ALDH1* expression in the invasive front of NPC could be a useful prognostic marker for NPC patients [20]. RNA sequencing data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database have revealed downregulated *ALDH1A2* and *ALDH1L1* expression in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and HNC squamous cell carcinoma [21]. Meta-analysis results found that lower *ALDH1A1* and *ALDH1L1* expression was associated with poorer overall survival and poorer progression-free survival in cancer patients [21]. In our study, SNPs located on the *ALDH1L2* and *ALDH1A1*, *ALDH1A2*, *ALDH1A3*, and *ALDH1L1* expression were observed in 5 pairwise samples of nasopharynx squamous cell carcinoma (the results are not shown).

Polymorphisms in genes responsible for the alcohol metabolism pathways can affect the amount of acetaldehyde and reactive oxygen species generated during the metabolic process, and thus alter the effects of alcohol and potentially influence carcinogenesis [14,15]. *ADH1B* Arg47His (rs1229984) and *ALDH2* Glu487Lys (rs671) are the most frequently reported genetic polymorphisms related to alcohol metabolism. Both variants are not only related to alcohol metabolism but also to cancer risk. A 40-fold decrease in *ADH1B* activity has been observed in *ADH1B* His/His individuals [32], while a loss of ALDH2 enzyme activity has been observed in individuals with the *ALDH2* Lys/Lys phenotype [14,33]. Many studies have demonstrated that the genetic effect of *ADH1B* and *ALDH2* increase the risk of different types of cancers [34]. However, SNP rs1229884 in the *ADH1B* gene was not significantly associated with NPC in our Han Chinese patients in Taiwan, which is consistent with the results from previous meta-analyses showing that the *ALDH2* polymorphism, but not the *ADH1B* polymorphism, significantly increases the risk of CRC in East Asians [14,35].

Other research has reported that heavy alcohol consumption can increase the risk of certain cancer types, including HNC cancers and NPC [11]. In studies involving East Asian populations, the presence of genetic polymorphisms in *ADH1B* (rs1229984) and *ALDH2* (rs671), as well as alcohol consumption, individually or in combination [13], increase the risk of breast cancer [36], HNC [17], and esophageal cancer [18,35]. Moreover, research has shown that alcohol consumption affects two major folate-metabolizing enzymes, ALDH1L1 and ALDH1L2, with a possible synergistic effect on carcinogenesis [37,38]. In this study, SNPs rs671 located on *ALDH2* and rs10778364 located on *ALDH1L2* were significantly associated with an increased risk for NPC, with or without alcohol consumption. We also observed a synergistic effect between SNPs and alcohol consumption. These findings indicate that not only alcohol plays a role in the risk of NPC, but that the genetic effects of *ALDH2* and *ALDH1L2* are also important for NPC risk.

Inconsistent associations for alcohol consumption, betel nut chewing, and tobacco smoking have been recorded in previous studies [8,12]. This inconsistency may be due to differences in study populations, NPC subtypes, or definitions of lifestyle behaviors. A significant association between alcohol intake and NPC risk was observed in this study and other research [39–41], while several studies have observed a lack of association between alcohol and NPC risk [10,42,43]. We also observed that betel nut chewing was significantly

associated with NPC risk. Although three previous studies found no such association [44], a positive association has been reported between betel nut chewing and NPC risk in NPC high-risk families in Taiwan [45]. A modestly increased risk of NPC associated with tobacco smoking has been reported in southern China [46], which is consistent with our study.

This study is apparently the first to discuss associations between the genetic variants of 19 *ALDH* isoforms and NPC. However, some limitations in this study must be noted. First, due to the low frequency of alcohol consumption and low frequency of risk alleles, the numbers in each subgroup for SNPs and alcohol interactions are small and the statistical power is limited. Second, recall bias may exist, since the information about alcohol, betel quid chewing, and cigarette smoking was collected by self-reported questionnaires. Third, selection bias may exist, since the NPC cases and controls were enrolled under different projects (a hospital for the NPC cases, whereas controls were recruited from communities throughout Taiwan). Fourth, different measurement scales used for alcohol consumption, betel nut chewing, and cigarette smoking in these two projects may have led to misclassification.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that the risk of NPC is increased in the presence of genetic variants of different ALDH isoforms. The potential of using genetic variants of *ALDH* as biomarkers to help to identify potential screening populations for NPC awaits future investigations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.-H.C., C.-H.C. and W.-L.L.; Methodology, W.-L.L. and W.-H.S.; Formal Analysis, F.-C.C. and Y.-W.C.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, W.-L.L.; Writing—Review & Editing, W.-L.L., C.-H.C., W.-H.S. and K.-P.C.; Resources, K.-P.C. and H.-H.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by China Medical University Hospital (DMR-109-197, CMU108-MF-83 and CMU109-MF-38), the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST 108-2314-B-182-026, MOST 108-2314-B-182A-108-MY3), Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan (BMRPC18, CMRPG3J1253, CM-RPG3C1913, CMRPD1H0351, CMRPD1J0111), and also by the "Chinese Medicine Research Center, China Medical University" from The Featured Areas Research Center Program within the framework of the Higher Education Sprout Project by the Ministry of Education (MOE) in Taiwan (CMRC-CMA-6). We acknowledge the support given to C-H.C. by NIH award AAA11147 made to Prof. Daria Mochly-Rosen in Stanford University. The funders had no role in the study design, data collection or analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chang Gung Medical Foundation, Taiwan (IRB number 103-7224B; date of approval for the original version, 13 February 2015).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding authors. The data are not publicly available due to ethical considerations.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank all the members of the Cancer Center at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital for their invaluable help. We would like to thank Iona J. MacDonald from China Medical University for her English language revision of this manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Bray, F.; Ferlay, J.; Soerjomataram, I.; Siegel, R.L.; Torre, L.A.; Jemal, A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2018, *68*, 394–424. [CrossRef]
- 2. Chen, Y.; Chan, A.T.C.; Le, Q.-T.; Blanchard, P.; Sun, Y.; Ma, J. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Lancet* 2019, 394, 64–80. [CrossRef]
- Yu, G.; Hsu, W.-L.; Coghill, A.E.; Yu, K.J.; Wang, C.-P.; Lou, P.-J.; Liu, Z.; Jones, K.; Vogt, A.; Wang, M.; et al. Whole-Exome Sequencing of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Families Reveals Novel Variants Potentially Involved in Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, 9, 1–10. [CrossRef]

- Tse, K.-P.; Su, W.-H.; Chang, K.-P.; Tsang, N.-M.; Yu, C.-J.; Tang, P.; See, L.-C.; Hsueh, C.; Yang, M.-L.; Hao, S.-P.; et al. Genomewide Association Study Reveals Multiple Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma-Associated Loci within the HLA Region at Chromosome 6p21.3. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 2009, 85, 194–203. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bei, J.-X.; Li, Y.; Jia, W.-H.; Feng, B.-J.; Zhou, G.; Chen, L.-Z.; Feng, Q.-S.; Low, H.-Q.; Zhang, H.; He, F.; et al. A genome-wide association study of nasopharyngeal carcinoma identifies three new susceptibility loci. *Nat. Genet.* 2010, 42, 599–603. [CrossRef]
- Bei, J.-X.; Su, W.-H.; Yu, K.; Chin, Y.-M.; Lou, P.-J.; Hsu, W.-L.; McKay, J.D.; Chen, C.-J.; Chang, Y.-S.; Ching-Ching International Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma (NPC) Genetics Working Group. A GWAS Meta-analysis and Replication Study Identifies a Novel Locus within CLPTM1L/TERT Associated with Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in Individuals of Chinese Ancestry. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2016, 25, 188–192. [CrossRef]
- Dai, W.; Zheng, H.; Cheung, A.K.L.; Tang, C.; Ko, J.; Wong, B.W.Y.; Leong, M.M.L.; Sham, P.C.; Cheung, F.; Kwong, D.L.-W.; et al. Whole-exome sequencing identifies MST1R as a genetic susceptibility gene in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 2016, 113, 3317–3322. [CrossRef]
- Okekpa, S.; Mydin, R.B.S.M.N.; Mangantig, E.; Azmi, N.S.A.; Zahari, S.N.S.; Kaur, G.; Musa, Y. Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma (NPC) Risk Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Association with Lifestyle, Diets, Socioeconomic and Sociodemographic in Asian Region. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2019, 20, 3505–3514. [CrossRef]
- Polesel, J.; Franceschi, S.; Talamini, R.; Negri, E.; Barzan, L.; Montella, M.; Libra, M.; Vaccher, E.; Franchin, G.; La Vecchia, C.; et al. Tobacco smoking, alcohol drinking, and the risk of different histological types of nasopharyngeal cancer in a low-risk population. *Oral Oncol.* 2011, 47, 541–545. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ji, X.; Zhang, W.; Xie, C.; Wang, B.; Zhang, G.; Zhou, F. Nasopharyngeal carcinoma risk by histologic type in central China: Impact of smoking, alcohol and family history. *Int. J. Cancer* **2010**, *129*, 724–732. [CrossRef]
- 11. Du, T.; Chen, K.; Zheng, S.; Bao, M.; Huang, Y.; Wu, K. Association Between Alcohol Consumption and Risk of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: A Comprehensive Meta-Analysis of Epidemiological Studies. *Alcohol. Clin. Exp. Res.* **2019**, *43*, 2262–2273. [CrossRef]
- Chen, L.; Gallicchio, L.; Boyd-Lindsley, K.; Tao, X.; Robinson, K.A.; Lam, T.K.; Herman, J.G.; Caulfield, L.E.; Guallar, E.; Alberg, A.J. Alcohol Consumption and the Risk of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: A Systematic Review. *Nutr. Cancer* 2008, *61*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 13. Gross, E.R.; Zambelli, V.O.; Small, B.A.; Ferreira, J.C.B.; Chen, C.-H.; Mochly-Rosen, D. A Personalized Medicine Approach for Asian Americans with the Aldehyde Dehydrogenase 2*2 Variant. *Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* **2015**, 55, 107–127. [CrossRef]
- 14. Crous-Bou, M.; Rennert, G.; Cuadras, D.; Salazar, R.; Cordero, D.; Rennert, H.S.; Lejbkowicz, F.; Kopelovich, L.; Lipkin, S.M.; Gruber, S.B.; et al. Polymorphisms in Alcohol Metabolism Genes ADH1B and ALDH2, Alcohol Consumption and Colorectal Cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e80158. [CrossRef]
- 15. Seitz, H.K.; Stickel, F. Acetaldehyde as an underestimated risk factor for cancer development: Role of genetics in ethanol metabolism. *Genes Nutr.* **2010**, *5*, 121–128. [CrossRef]
- Perez-Miller, S.J.; Hurley, T.D. Coenzyme Isomerization is Integral to Catalysis in Aldehyde Dehydrogenase⁺,[‡]. *Biochemistry* 2003, 42, 7100–7109. [CrossRef]
- 17. Tsai, S.-T.; Wong, T.-Y.; Ou, C.-Y.; Fang, S.-Y.; Chen, K.-C.; Hsiao, J.-R.; Huang, C.-C.; Lee, W.-T.; Lo, H.-I.; Huang, J.-S.; et al. The interplay between alcohol consumption, oral hygiene, ALDH2 and ADH1B in the risk of head and neck cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* 2014, 135, 2424–2436. [CrossRef]
- 18. Brooks, P.J.; Enoch, M.-A.; Goldman, D.; Li, T.-K.; Yokoyama, A. The Alcohol Flushing Response: An Unrecognized Risk Factor for Esophageal Cancer from Alcohol Consumption. *PLoS Med.* **2009**, *6*, e1000050. [CrossRef]
- 19. Chen, M.-H.; Weng, J.-J.; Cheng, C.-T.; Wu, R.-C.; Huang, S.-C.; Wu, C.-E.; Chung, Y.-H.; Liu, C.-Y.; Chang, M.-H.; Chiang, K.-C.; et al. ALDH1A3, the Major Aldehyde Dehydrogenase Isoform in Human Cholangiocarcinoma Cells, Affects Prognosis and Gemcitabine Resistance in Cholangiocarcinoma Patients. *Clin. Cancer Res.* **2016**, *22*, 4225–4235. [CrossRef]
- 20. Luo, W.-R.; Yao, K.-T. Cancer stem cell characteristics, ALDH1 expression in the invasive front of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Virchows Arch.* **2014**, *464*, 35–43. [CrossRef]
- 21. Chang, P.M.-H.; Chen, C.-H.; Yeh, C.-C.; Lu, H.-J.; Liu, T.-T.; Chen, M.-H.; Liu, C.-Y.; Wu, A.T.H.; Yang, M.-H.; Tai, S.-K.; et al. Transcriptome analysis and prognosis of ALDH isoforms in human cancer. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 22. Vasiliou, V.; Nebert, D.W. Analysis and update of the human aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) gene family. *Hum. Genom.* 2005, 2, 138–143. [CrossRef]
- 23. Su, W.-H.; Shugart, Y.Y.; Chang, K.-P.; Tsang, N.-M.; Tse, K.-P.; Chang, Y.-S. How Genome-Wide SNP-SNP Interactions Relate to Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Susceptibility. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e83034. [CrossRef]
- 24. Wei, C.-Y.; Yang, J.-H.; Yeh, E.-C.; Tsai, M.-F.; Kao, H.-J.; Lo, C.-Z.; Chang, L.-P.; Lin, W.-J.; Hsieh, F.-J.; Belsare, S.; et al. Genetic profiles of 103,106 individuals in the Taiwan Biobank provide insights into the health and history of Han Chinese. *NPJ Genom. Med.* **2021**, *6*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 25. Howie, B.N.; Donnelly, P.; Marchini, J. A Flexible and Accurate Genotype Imputation Method for the Next Generation of Genome-Wide Association Studies. *PLoS Genet.* 2009, *5*, e1000529. [CrossRef]
- Purcell, S.; Neale, B.; Todd-Brown, K.; Thomas, L.; Ferreira, M.A.R.; Bender, D.; Maller, J.; Sklar, P.; de Bakker, P.I.W.D.; Daly, M.J.; et al. PLINK: A Tool Set for Whole-Genome Association and Population-Based Linkage Analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 2007, *81*, 559–575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Sham, P.C.; Purcell, S.M. Statistical power and significance testing in large-scale genetic studies. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2014**, *15*, 335–346. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Moreb, J.; Schweder, M.; Suresh, A.; Zucali, J.R. Overexpression of the human aldehyde dehydrogenase class I results in in-creased resistance to 4-hydroperoxycyclophosphamide. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 1996, *3*, 24–30.
- Yang, C.; Wang, X.; Liao, X.; Han, C.; Yu, T.; Qin, W.; Zhu, G.; Su, H.; Yu, L.; Liu, X.; et al. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1) isoform expression and potential clinical implications in hepatocellular carcinoma. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0182208. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nishikawa, S.; Konno, M.; Hamabe, A.; Hasegawa, S.; Kano, Y.; Ohta, K.; Fukusumi, T.; Sakai, D.; Kudo, T.; Haraguchi, N.; et al. Aldehyde dehydrogenasehigh gastric cancer stem cells are resistant to chemotherapy. *Int. J. Oncol.* 2013, 42, 1437–1442. [CrossRef]
- Tomita, H.; Tanaka, K.; Tanaka, T.; Hara, A. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1A1 in stem cells and cancer. Oncotarget 2016, 7, 11018–11032. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Matsuo, K.; Hiraki, A.; Hirose, K.; Ito, H.; Suzuki, T.; Wakai, K.; Tajima, K. Impact of theAlcohol-Dehydrogenase(ADH)1CandADH-1Bpolymorphisms on drinking behavior in nonalcoholic Japanese. *Hum. Mutat.* **2007**, *28*, 506–510. [CrossRef]
- 33. Wang, W.; Wang, C.; Xu, H.; Gao, Y. Aldehyde Dehydrogenase, Liver Disease and Cancer. *Int. J. Biol. Sci.* 2020, *16*, 921–934. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Tan, B.Y.; Ning, N. Association of ADH1B Arg47His polymorphism with the risk of cancer: A meta-analysis. *Biosci. Rep.* 2019, 39, BSR20181915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, S.-J.; Yokoyama, A.; Yokoyama, T.; Huang, Y.-C.; Wu, S.-Y.; Shao, Y.; Niu, J.; Wang, J.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, X.-Q.; et al. Relationship between genetic polymorphisms of ALDH2 and ADH1B and esophageal cancer risk: A meta-analysis. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2010, *16*, 4210–4220. [CrossRef]
- Park, B.; Kim, J.-H.; Lee, E.-G.; Jung, S.-Y.; Lee, S.Y.; Kang, H.-S.; Han, J.H. Role of aldehyde dehydrogenases, alcohol dehydrogenase 1B genotype, alcohol consumption, and their combination in breast cancer in East-Asian women. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, 10, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 37. Krupenko, S.A.; Krupenko, N.I. ALDH1L1 and ALDH1L2 Folate Regulatory Enzymes in Cancer. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 2018, 1032, 127–143. [CrossRef]
- 38. Krupenko, S.A.; Horita, D.A. The Role of Single-Nucleotide Polymorphisms in the Function of Candidate Tumor Suppressor ALDH1L1. *Front. Genet.* **2019**, *10*, 1013. [CrossRef]
- Lourembam, D.S.; Singh, A.R.; Sharma, T.D.; Singh, T.S.; Singh, T.R.; Singh, L.S. Evaluation of Risk Factors for Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in a High-risk Area of India, the Northeastern Region. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 2015, 16, 4927–4935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ghosh, S.K.; Singh, A.S.; Mondal, R.; Kapfo, W.; Khamo, V.; Singh, Y.I. Dysfunction of mitochondria due to environmental carcinogens in nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the ethnic group of Northeast Indian population. *Tumor Biol.* 2014, 35, 6715–6724. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lakhanpal, M.; Singh, L.C.; Rahman, T.; Sharma, J.; Singh, M.M.; Kataki, A.C.; Verma, S.; Chauhan, P.S.; Singh, Y.M.; Wajid, S.; et al. Contribution of susceptibility locus at HLA class I region and environmental factors to occurrence of nasopharyngeal cancer in Northeast India. *Tumor Biol.* 2014, *36*, 3061–3073. [CrossRef]
- 42. Yong, S.K.; Ha, T.C.; Yeo, M.C.R.; Gaborieau, V.; McKay, J.D.; Wee, J. Associations of lifestyle and diet with the risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Singapore: A case–control study. *Chin. J. Cancer* **2017**, *36*, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tsai, C.W.; Chang, W.S.; Gong, C.L.; Shih, L.C.; Chen, L.Y.; Lin, E.Y.; Li, H.T.; Yen, S.T.; Wu, C.N.; Bau, D.T. Contribution of Matrix Metallopeptidase-1 Genotypes, Smoking, Alcohol Drinking and Areca Chewing to Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Sus-ceptibility. *Anticancer Res.* 2016, *36*, 3335–3340. [PubMed]
- 44. Chang, E.T.; Ye, W.; Zeng, Y.-X.; Adami, H.-O. The Evolving Epidemiology of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* **2021**, *30*, 1035–1047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, X.; Diehl, S.; Pfeiffer, R.; Chen, C.-J.; Hsu, W.-L.; Dosemeci, M.; Cheng, Y.-J.; Sun, B.; Goldstein, A.M.; Hildesheim, A. Evaluation of Risk Factors for Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma in High-Risk Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma Families in Taiwan. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomark. Prev.* 2005, 14, 900–905. [CrossRef]
- 46. Chang, E.T.; Liu, Z.; Hildesheim, A.; Liu, Q.; Cai, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Chen, G.; Xie, S.-H.; Cao, S.-M.; Shao, J.-Y.; et al. Active and Passive Smoking and Risk of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: A Population-Based Case-Control Study in Southern China. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 2017, 185, 1272–1280. [CrossRef]