



3

# Genome-Wide Analysis of Members of the WRKY Gene Family and Their Cold Stress Response in *Prunus mume*

# Fei Bao <sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup>, Anqi Ding <sup>1,2,3,4,5,6</sup>, Tangren Cheng <sup>2,3,4,5</sup>, Jia Wang <sup>2,3,4,5</sup> and Qixiang Zhang <sup>1,2,3,4,5,6,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Tree Breeding by Molecular Design, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China; baofei@bjfu.edu.cn (F.B.); angelddaq@163.com (A.D.)
- <sup>2</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Ornamental Plants Germplasm Innovation & Molecular Breeding, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China; chengtangren@163.com (T.C.); 13910229248@163.com (J.W.)
  - National Engineering Research Center for Floriculture, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China
- <sup>4</sup> Beijing Laboratory of Urban and Rural Ecological Environment, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China
- <sup>5</sup> Key Laboratory of Genetics and Breeding in Forest Trees and Ornamental Plants of Ministry of Education, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China
- <sup>6</sup> School of Landscape Architecture, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing 100083, China
- \* Correspondence: zqx@bjfu.edu.cn

Received: 14 October 2019; Accepted: 6 November 2019; Published: 8 November 2019



Abstract: Prunus mume, which is a rosaceous arbor with very high ornamental, edible and medical values, has a distribution that is mainly restricted by low temperature. WRKY transcription factor genes play crucial roles in the growth, development, and stress responses of plants. However, the WRKY gene family has not been characterised in *P. mume*. There were 58 *PmWRKYs* identified from genome of *P. mume*. They were anchored onto eight link groups and categorised into three broad groups. The gene structure and motif composition were reasonably conservative in each group. Investigation of gene duplication indicated that nine and seven *PmWRKYs* were arranged in tandem and segmental duplications, respectively. *PmWRKYs* were discriminately expressed in different tissues (i.e., roots, stems, leaves, flowers and fruits) in P. mume. The 17 cold-related candidate genes were selected based on RNA-seq data. Further, to investigate the function of *PmWRKYs* in low temperatures, the expression patterns under artificial cold treatments were analysed. The results showed that the expression levels of the 12 PmWRKYs genes significantly and 5 genes slightly changed in stems. In particular, the expression level of *PmWRKY18* was up-regulated after ABA treatment. In addition, the spatiotemporal expression patterns of 17 *PmWRKYs* were analysed in winter. These results indicated that 17 PmWRKYs were potential transcription factors regulating cold resistance in P. mume.

Keywords: Prunus mume; genome; WRKY genes; cold response

# 1. Introduction

Low temperature is one of the most important abiotic factors affecting plant growth and development, and ultimately affecting the geographical distribution of plants. Chilling stress (0–15  $^{\circ}$ C) disrupts cellular homeostasis by altering the fatty acid composition of the membrane and accumulating the reactive oxygen species (ROS) in some organelles, which can deactivate proteins and interfere with normal physiological processes, especially for photosynthesis [1–3]. Freezing stress causes



ice formation in intracellular and intercellular space, which can promote serious injuries and cell dehydration [4,5].

The stress response of plants is regulated by several transcription factors. The WRKYs transcription family was reported to participate in the regulation of plant growth, development, biotic, and abiotic stress responses [6,7]. The WRKY transcription factors have a unique WRKY domain of approximately 60 amino acid (AA) residues that are mainly composed of two parts: the highly conserved heptapeptide sequence WRKYGQK and a metal chelating zinc-finger motif [8]. According to the number of WRKY domains and the type of the zinc-finger motif ( $C_2H_2$  or  $C_2HC$ ), WRKYs can be categorised into three groups: I, II and III [7]. Group I was composed of WRKYs with two WRKY domains. Group II proteins, which have only one WRKY domain and a  $C_2H_2$  zinc-finger motif, can be further subdivided into five subgroups (i.e., II a–e) based on short conserved structural motifs [7]. In addition, the only difference in the structure between group III and II proteins is that the former contains another type of zinc-finger motif, which ends with HXC. WRKY transcription factors could regulate the expression of target genes by binding to the W-boxes in the target genes' promoters. Moreover, clusters of W-boxes could strengthen the effects [9–13]. In addition, WRKYs, which could bind to SURE element, PRE4 element and the WK box, have also been reported [6].

Many WRKY genes have been found and cloned from different plants since *SPF1* was isolated from sweet potato in 1994 [9]. So far, only two WRKY homologues have been identified from non-plants, *Giardia lamblia* and *Dictyostelium discoideum* [14,15]. A total of 58 *PpWRKY* genes have been identified in the genome of *Prunus persica* [16]. WRKY proteins have been verified to be essential in the defense response to various biotic and abiotic stresses, including low or high temperature, mechanical damage, and pathogenic infection [17–23]. In addition, there is a great deal of definite evidence indicating that the regulation of plant development and metabolism also requires WRKYs.

*Prunus mume* Sieb. et Zucc. (Rosaceae, *Prunus*) is a traditional flower with high ornamental value, and has been widely cultivated in China for over three thousand years. *P. mume* originated from the Yangtze River Basin and was later introduced to northern China. The low-temperature was considered a key ecological factor that affected the distribution of *P. mume*. Stems and buds are the most important above ground organs of *P. mume* for safely overwintering. Transcription factors play an important role in regulating this natural abiotic stress response. In this study, 58 *WRKY* genes were identified in the *P. mume* genome, and systematic analysis of the phylogeny, gene duplication, genomic localization, gene structure, and tissue-specific expression was conducted. Moreover, RNA-seq data and real-time quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) were employed to determine the expression patterns of *PmWRKYs* under artificial low temperature and exogenous ABA treatments, and during winter time. In conclusion, this study improves our understanding of the evolution of *PmWRKYs* family and provides the candidate genes for cold resistance research in *P. mume*.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Identification of P. mume WRKY Genes

To identify the *WRKY* family in *P. mume*, BLASTP and BLASTX were performed in the *P. mume* genomic database with the *WRKY* sequences of *Arabidopsis thaliana* that were downloaded from Plant Transcription Factor Database (http://planttfdb.cbi.pku.edu.cn/index.php). The hidden Markov model (HMM) searches were conducted in *P. mume* protein sequences using the WRKY domain HMM profile (PF03106). Candidate protein sequences without a WRKY domain were abandoned by screening of the SWISS-MODEL (http://swissmodel.expasy.org/). The sequences of genomic DNA, coding sequences (CDS) and protein sequences of PmWRKYs were obtained from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) (gene ID were shown in Table 1). ExPASy (http://web.expasy.org/compute\_pi) and Plant-mPLoc (http://www.csbio.sjtu.edu.cn/bioinf/plant-multi/) were used to separately predict the molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (pI) and protein subcellular localization.

TRKY genes identified in Prunus mume.										
		WRKY Domair	ı		Homolog in P. persica					
Localization EST Number		Conserved Heptapeptide	Zinc Finger Motif	Domain Number			Subgroup			
Nucleus	1	WRKYGOK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY6				

Table 1. Characteristics of the WRKY genes iden

	Gene ID	Locus	Protein Length (aa)	MW (kDa)	pI	Localization EST Number		WRKY Domain				Homolog in
Name								Conserved Heptapeptide	Zinc Finger Motif	Domain Number	Subgroup	P. persica
PmWRKY01	LOC103330550	Pa1:1070280:1072953	590	64.75	6.98	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY6
PmWRKY02	LOC103344588	Pa1:1909602:1912937	740	80.02	5.65	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY5
PmWRKY03	LOC103331503	Pa1:13787725:13788689	239	27.20	9.03	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY34
PmWRKY04	LOC103331584	Pa1:13972916:13975438	197	22.17	6.20	Nucleus	0	WRKYGKK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY35
PmWRKY05	LOC103337527	Pa1:19841802:19843058	326	35.77	9.60	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IId	PpWRKY41
PmWRKY06	LOC103339250	Pa1:20889375:20891243	297	33.36	5.03	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY36
PmWRKY07	LOC103340389	Pa1:21713743:21716122	479	52.28	8.91	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY7
PmWRKY08	LOC103342893	Pa1:22703478:22705201	335	37.41	5.76	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY58
PmWRKY09	LOC103343421	Pa1:22717809:22719867	337	38.22	5.50	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY57
PmWRKY10	LOC103343430	Pa1:22722643:22724633	340	37.98	5.67	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY56
PmWRKY11	LOC103318654	Pa1:25540026:25541291	354	40.21	9.68	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IId	PpWRKY42
PmWRKY12	LOC103318792	Pa1:26409186:26413001	733	80.43	5.88	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY9
PmWRKY13	LOC103319105	Pa2:1797770:1800086	562	62.09	5.17	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY15
PmWRKY14	LOC103320106	Pa2:8913661:8915220	342	37.88	9.48	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IId	PpWRKY39
PmWRKY15	LOC103320368	Pa2:10410393:10411854	364	39.89	9.29	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IId	PpWRKY38
PmWRKY16	LOC103320597	Pa2:11611164:11611850	162	18.82	5.35	Nucleus	0	WRKYGKK	C2H2	1	IIc	-
PmWRKY17	LOC103320740	Pa2:12418544:12419976	285	31.68	8.33	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIa	PpWRKY12
PmWRKY18	LOC103320741	Pa2:12424777:12426189	323	36.40	7.62	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIa	PpWRKY11/ Prupe.1G071400
PmWRKY19	LOC103321497	Pa2:16703139:16705510	330	36.38	6.00	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY24
PmWRKY20	LOC103321524	Pa2:16839818:16842349	517	56.52	6.74	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY1
PmWRKY21	LOC103321616	Pa2:17544191:17547389	643	70.06	6.43	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY14
PmWRKY22	LOC103322097	Pa2:20999398:21001199	162	18.46	9.67	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY23
PmWRKY23	LOC103323186	Pa2:32805980:32807604	390	43.02	5.82	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY22
PmWRKY24	LOC103324471	Pa3:408956:411939	591	64.44	7.06	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY20
PmWRKY25	LOC103324889	Pa3:2860917:2862029	280	30.55	5.45	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY47
PmWRKY26	LOC103325015	Pa3:3723897:3725432	340	37.69	6.55	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY32
PmWRKY27	LOC103325306	Pa3:5613186:5615990	515	55.88	6.07	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY48/ Prupe.1G114800
PmWRKY28	LOC103326420	Pa3:13640842:13643362	547	59.91	6.13	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY19
PmWRKY29	LOC103326493	Pa3:13656379:13658843	544	59.51	6.02	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY19
PmWRKY30	LOC103326638	Pa3:15399255:15407416	884	98.18	6.98	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY4
PmWRKY31	LOC103327251	Pa4:195608:198391	616	67.23	6.42	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY16
PmWRKY32	LOC103327303	Pa4:564486:565902	321	35.77	6.51	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY29

Name	Gene ID	Locus	Protein Length (aa)	MW (kDa)	pI	Localization EST Number		WRKY Domain				Homolog in
								Conserved Heptapeptide	Zinc Finger Motif	Domain Number	<sup>-</sup> Subgroup	P. persica
PmWRKY33	LOC103328175	Pa4:6725543:6727134	320	35.25	8.71	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIa	PpWRKY13
PmWRKY34	LOC103328332	Pa4:8491309:8494074	268	29.42	5.37	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY46/ Purpe.2G185100
PmWRKY35	LOC103328791	Pa4:14169139:14171554	367	41.67	7.10	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY30
PmWRKY36	LOC103329228	Pa4:16910810:16913287	486	53.04	5.90	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY2
PmWRKY37	LOC103329304	Pa4:17519417:17521899	649	70.74	6.11	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY17
PmWRKY38	LOC103329978	Pa4:21736698:21738977	499	54.64	6.71	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY18/ Prupe.1G393000
PmWRKY39	LOC103330053	Pa4:22207825:22211049	533	58.44	8.45	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY3
PmWRKY40	LOC103331676	Pa5:13956787:13959777	244	27.79	7.29	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY26
PmWRKY41	LOC103332060	Pa5:16864359:16866293	221	24.84	9.24	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY27
PmWRKY42	LOC103332064	Pa5:16887019:16890355	417	46.73	7.72	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY44
PmWRKY43	LOC103332261	Pa5:18120250:18122148	357	39.80	4.90	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY51
PmWRKY44	LOC103332696	Pa5:20443902:20444645	209	24.04	9.05	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY28
PmWRKY45	LOC103333076	Pa5:21989132:21991115	344	37.86	5.78	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY52
PmWRKY46	LOC103333154	Pa5:23138449:23140440	323	36.46	5.70	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY53/ Purpe.2G307400
PmWRKY47	LOC103333772	Pa5:25721161:25722357	332	36.12	5.32	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY54
PmWRKY48	LOC103333707	Pa5:25986620:25987499	228	24.63	4.92	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY45
PmWRKY49	LOC103334065	Pa6:994260:995360	242	28.23	6.02	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY50
PmWRKY50	LOC103334153	Pa6:1797284:1799454	355	40.24	9.61	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IId	PpWRKY43
PmWRKY51	LOC103335291	Pa6:8182262:8186226	471	52.51	7.88	Chlo, Nucl	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIx	ppa024204
PmWRKY52	LOC103337200	Pa7:5945463:5947021	330	37.05	9.68	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IId	PpWRKY40
PmWRKY53	LOC103337694	Pa7:9472026:9473037	291	32.89	5.23	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIe	PpWRKY49
PmWRKY54	LOC103337660	Pa7:10206830:10208250	356	40.00	5.41	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2HC	1	III	PpWRKY55
PmWRKY55	LOC103338090	Pa7:12882417:12883702	170	19.52	9.35	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIc	PpWRKY33/ Prupe.6G286000
PmWRKY56	LOC103338408	Pa7:14366251:14369593	683	73.58	6.45	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	1	IIb	PpWRKY21
PmWRKY57	LOC103341266	Pa8:16767252:16770984	537	59.39	5.47	Nucleus	0	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY10
PmWRKY58	LOC103342913	scaffold22:94704:96740	536	59.89	6.82	Nucleus	1	WRKYGQK	C2H2	2	Ι	PpWRKY8

# 2.2. Genomic Localization and Gene Family Expansion Pattern Analysis

Based on the positional information in the *P. mume* genome database, genomic localization of *PmWRKYs* was drawn by MapDraw2.1 and Photoshop CS6.0. Research on gene family expansion pattern focused on tandem and segmental duplications. The tandemly arrayed genes were characterised as tandem duplications when they exhibited close phylogenetic relationships and were located at the same chromosomal location (within 100 kb) according to the criteria reported by Kong [24]. Segmental duplication regions were identified by the method of the Plant Genome Duplication Database [25]. First, the search for potential anchors was conducted by BLASTP ( $E < e^{-6}$ ). Subsequently, MCscan was applied to identify the homologous regions. Finally, syntenic blocks were evaluated using ColinearScan, and alignments with an *E* value  $< e^{-10}$  were deemed to be significant matches.

# 2.3. Phylogenetic and Conserved Domains Analysis

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using Neighbour-Joining (NJ) methods (bootstrap replicates: 1,000) by MEGA5.1 with the default value. To categorise PmWRKYs, 12 referential *A. thaliana* WRKYs from diverse groups were used: AtWRKY11 (AEE85928.1), AtWRKY14 (AAP21276.1), AtWRKY18 (AAM78067.1), AtWRKY20 (ANM67410.1), AtWRKY21 (AAB63078.1), AtWRKY27 (ABH04558.1), AtWRKY28 (AEE84006.1), AtWRKY31 (AEE84546.1), AtWRKY41 (AEE82969.1), AtWRKY43 (AEC10646.1), AtWRKY45 (ABD57509.1), and AtWRKY49 (AAQ62425.1). To examine the domain organization of WRKY proteins in detail, multiple sequence alignments of the WRKY domain sequences were conducted by DNAMAN 7.

# 2.4. Gene Structure and Conserved Motif Analysis

The genomic sequences and CDS of *PmWRKYs* (Additional File 5) were obtained from the *P. mume* genome database. The exon-intron structures were identified with the Gene Structure Display Server2.0 (GSDS, http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/). It should be noted that since the database only contains the information of gene coding region, the untranslated region (5' UTR and 3' UTR) cannot be displayed by mapping the gene structure. PmWRKY protein sequences were submitted to the Multiple Em for the Motif Elucidation program (MEME) (http://meme.nbcr.net/meme/) to identify the conserved motif with the following parameters: any number of repetitions; the motifs number was set to 20; motif width was set to 6–200. SMART (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/) and Pfam were employed to annotate the identified motifs.

# 2.5. Heat Map Analysis by Transcriptome Data

The RNA-seq data of the five tissues (young roots, young stems, leaves, flowers, and immature fruits) (NCBI, Sequence Read Archive (SRA): SRP014885) and leaf buds collected before and after freezing stress in winter of *P. mume* cultivar 'Zhusha' (NCBI, SRA: SRP131731) have been used to draw the heatmaps of the *PmWRKY* genes. The 'before freezing' samples were collected when the lowest temperature of the day was higher than 5 °C, and the 'after freezing' samples were collected when the highest temperature of the day is lower than 0 °C in 2014. The time interval between the two samplings was one month. HemI (Heat map illustrator) was employed to draw heat maps with the default value [26].

# 2.6. Chilling and ABA Treatments

The annual branches were collected from the *P. mume* cultivar 'Yudie' for artificial treatments. Before the chilling treatment, the braches were exposed to 22 °C overnight by water culture and then transferred to 4 °C for 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, 48, and 72 h in dark. For the ABA treatment, the branches were sprayed with 100  $\mu$ M ABA for 0, 0.5, 2, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h. The stems were collected after the artificial treatments. The first time point (0 h) served as a control.

The stems and buds of the cultivar 'Yudie' were collected in winter from 5 November, 2017 to 4 March, 2018, which were planted in the open air. The daily land surface temperatures were recorded. Three replications of each sample were collected and all of the test samples were stored at -80 °C before total RNA isolation.

#### 2.7. Gene Expression Analyses

Total RNA of each sample was extracted using the EASYspin Plus Plant RNA Extraction Kit (Aidlab, Beijing, China). The first-strand cDNA was obtained using a TIANScript RT Kit (KR107, Tiangen, Beijing, China). The specific primers were designed by Beacon Designer 8 based on cDNA sequences (Additional File 1). The expression levels of *PmWRKYs*, *PmCBF1* (LOC103333423), *PmCBF5* (LOC103337424), *PmCBF6* (LOC103344251), *PmLEA10* (LOC103340137) and *PmLEA29* (LOC103321165) during the artificial low temperature and exogenous ABA treatments were examined using qRT-PCR with the following programme: 95 °C for 30 s, 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s and 60 °C for 30 s. Using the *Actin* gene of *P. mume* (ID: LOC103332029) as an internal control gene, the relative expression levels were calculated by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method. Three independent experiments were performed with similar results.

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Identification and Classification of WRKY Genes in P. mume

Two strategies were applied to identify *PmWRKY* genes: an HMM search and BLAST using well-characterised *WRKY* sequences from *A. thaliana* as queries. After SWISS-MODEL analysis, 58 sequences, which contained at least one WRKY domain, were obtained (Table 1). The 58 genes were named *PmWRKY01-PmWRKY58* according to the order of the gene ID and their corresponding protein sequences varied in length, MW as well as pI, as shown in Table 1. The length ranged between 162 and 884 AA, the MW ranged from 18.46 to 98.18 kDa and the pI varied from 4.92 to 9.68. According to the results of Plant-mPLoc, almost all of the PmWRKYs were predicted to be localised in the nucleus with high reliability except PmWRKY51, which was predicted to be targeted to chloroplasts besides the nucleus.

The relationships among the 58 PmWRKYs are shown in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) produced by MEGA5.1; they were phylogenetically clustered into three main groups, which were similar to that of AtWRKYs [7]. Ten WRKYs, which contained WRKY domains and  $C_2H_2$ -type zinc-finger motifs in the N-terminal and the C-terminal were aggregated into group I. Group II consisted of 40 PmWRKYs, which were categorised into five subgroups using 12 diverse AtWRKY proteins, as references. Three members were clustered in subgroup II a, nine in II b, fourteen in II c, six in II d, and seven in II e. Furthermore, subgroup II c showed higher divergence than other subgroups, which was similar to those reported in the similar studies on other species [14,27–29]. It is worth mentioning that PmWRKY51 was not clustered into group II although it contained a WRKY domain and a  $C_2H_2$ -type zinc-finger motif. Since the protein length and domain locations were considerably different, PmWRKY51 was classified into another group. In addition, there were also eight WRKY proteins, which contained a  $C_2HC$ -type zinc-finger motif in group III.



**Figure 1.** Tree of PmWRKYs. The unrooted phylogenetic tree of PmWRKY proteins was constructed using MEGA5.1 program by the neighbor-joining method with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. AtWRKYs were used as references to categorise PmWRKYs. The tree was divided into seven phylogenetic subgroups, designated as I, IIa-e, and III. The black solid points denote AtWRKYs, and the hollow points denote PmWRKYs.

#### 3.2. Genomic Localization and Duplication of the PmWRKY Genes

Based on the genomic database, 57 *PmWRKYs* were distributed on all eight link groups of *P. mume* randomly and unevenly (Figure 2), which leaves *PmWRKY58* on the scaffolds. There were twelve *PmWRKY* genes on LG 1 and eleven genes on LG 2. In contrast, LG 8 contained only one gene. LG 6, LG 7, LG 3, LG 4, and LG 5 contained 3, 5, 7, 9 and 9, respectively. It should be noted that group III genes were only located on LG 1, LG 5 and LG 7. Similar phenomenon occurred in *P. persica* [16], whereas the distribution of *WRKY* group III genes was even across all chromosomes in *Brassica rapa* ssp. *Pekinensis* [29]. The homologues of *PmWRKYs* in *P. persica* are shown in Table 1.

Gene duplication events fall into three categories: whole-genome duplication, tandem repeat and segmental duplication, which resulted in gene functional diversity, family evolution and plant adaptations [24]. Among the 58 *PmWRKY* genes, nine members were found in tandem repeats: *PmWRKY08* to *PmWRKY10*, *PmWRKY17* and *PmWRKY18*, *PmWRKY28* and *PmWRKY29*, and *PmWRKY41* and *PmWRKY42* (Figure 2). According to Holub's definition, 3 or more genes within 200 kb are considered a gene cluster [30]. The only gene cluster, which consisted of three group III genes (*PmWRKY08*, *PmWRKY09*, and *PmWRKY10*), was on LG 1. Twenty-eight genes were located on duplicated segments; among them, three genes (*PmWRKY21*, *PmWRKY38* and *PmWRKY56*) were considered to be close relatives. *PmWRKY21*, *PmWRKY38* and *PmWRKY56* were located on LG 2, LG 4 and LG 7, respectively, and there was a triplet relationship among the three chromosomes [31]. Therefore, we surmised that these three *PmWRKYs* were generated along with a genome triplication process of *Prunus*. In addition, *PmWRKY25* and *PmWRKY34*, as well as *PmWRKY42* and *PmWRKY53*, were segmental duplicate pairs. The absence of the remaining 21 *PmWRKYs'* corresponding relatives may be due to the gene evolution of the *P. mume WRKY* gene superfamily. No duplication event was observed in group I. Therefore, 15.5% of the *PmWRKY* genes can be explained by tandem duplication, 12.1% can be accounted for by segmental duplication, whereas 72.4% were monogenes, which indicated that the formation of *PmWRKY* genes may not rely primarily on gene duplication.



**Figure 2.** Localization and duplicated gene pairs of *PmWRKYs*. The 57 *PmWRKY* genes were mapped to the eight chromosomes. The chromosome number is indicated at the top. The scale refers to a 5 Mb chromosomal distance. Genes in tandem repeats are underlined in black. Segmental duplicate genes are linked by blue lines. There was a *WRKY* gene (*PmWRKY58*) that could not be clearly located on the chromosomes, but could be identified on scaffolds.

# 3.3. Gene Structure and Conserved Motif Analysis of PmWRKYs

To make a thorough inquiry into the structural similarities and differences of *PmWRKYs*, we obtained the exon/intron structure diagrams and conserved motifs on the basis of the phylogenetic tree (Figure 3A). First, according to the genome sequences and CDS of the *PmWRKYs*, we found that all of the genes contained at least one intron (Figure 3B). Generally, the closest genes had similar structures, which only varied in the length of the intron and exon, whereas some genes exhibited different exon/intron arrangements. For instance, *PmWRKY03* contained three exons, whereas its nearby paralogous gene *PmWRKY40* had four exons and three introns even though their evolutionary relationships reached a 99% bootstrap value. Finally, all of the members of the subgroups II d and III were consistent with the number of exons. However, there was no significant consistency in the number of exons within other subgroups.

The MEME online tool predicted 20 individual motifs and revealed the specific regions of PmWRKYs (Figure 3C and Additional File 2). An analysis of the 20 motifs revealed that the lengths of PmWRKY motifs ranged from 7 to 113 amino acid residues and the number of motifs varied from 1 to 11 in each PmWRKY protein. As shown in Figure 3C, motif composition was similar among the same subgroup, which suggested functional similarities of these PmWRKYs, whereas those of different subgroups had no common conserved motifs except for the C-terminal conserved motifs. For instance, motifs 3, 12, and 20 were conserved in group I. Motifs 9, 11, 16, 19 were specific to subgroup II b. Motif 10 existed only in group II d. Motifs 1 and 2 were commonly shared by nearly all of the members of groups I and II, which were part of the WRKY domains. The results indicated that the conserved motifs mentioned above may bear special functions. According to the evolutionary analysis, II a and II b are two adjacent subgroups with near genetic distance, three unique motifs (motif 6, motif 7, and motif 14) nearly exist in all of the members of subgroups II a and II b, which supported the classification of PmWRKYs. In groups I and II, motif 1 and motif 8 both corresponded to the conserved heptapeptide domains, whereas motif 2 or motif 3 denoted the  $C_2H_2$ -type zinc-finger domain. However, in group I, motif 2 and motif 3 existed as a part of the N-terminal WRKY domain (NTWD) and the C-terminal WRKY domain (CTWD), respectively, which illustrated that the two WRKY domains belonging to family members of group I may be different in origin or function differentiation. It was also worth mentioning that there are three specific motifs (motif 4, motif 15, and motif 18) in PmWRKY08, PmWRKY09 and PmWRKY10 of group III. Although the function of the major motifs in the PmWRKYs was still indefinite; the PmWRKYs with the same conserved motifs may have similar functions.



**Figure 3.** Relationships, gene structure and motif compositions of PmWRKYs. (**A**) The full-length PmWRKY protein sequences were aligned by ClustalW and the unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA5.1 program by the neighbor-joining method with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. (**B**) Exon/intron structures of the *PmWRKYs*. Yellow boxes represent exons and black lines represent introns. (**C**) Conserved motif analysis of PmWRKYs by MEME. Different motifs are represented by different colored boxes with numbers 1–20.

#### 3.4. Multiple Sequence Alignment of the WRKY Domains of PmWRKYs

The WRKY domain is an important functional and evolutionary unit of WRKY transcription factors and the conserved heptapeptide WRKYGQK near the N-terminal is regarded as the core sequence of genes; their variation often leads to a decline or loss of DNA binding activity, which means that *WRKY* gene mutations may no longer have the original biological function [6]. Multiple sequence alignment analysis using WRKY domains of PmWRKYs found that mutations only occurred in PmWRKY04 and PmWRKY16 of subgroup II c. The mutation sites were both changed from Q to K, which formed the WRKYGKK sequence (Figure 4). Interestingly, this type of mutation also occurred in the genome of *Populus trichocarpa*, *O. sativa* and *A. thaliana* [32–34]. We identified a CX<sub>4</sub>CX<sub>22-23</sub>HXH zinc finger motif in the N-terminal of subgroup I genes, a CX<sub>4</sub>CX<sub>23</sub>HXH motif in the C-terminal of subgroup I genes, and a CX<sub>7</sub>CX<sub>23</sub>HXC motif in subgroup III genes (Figure 4). From the multiple sequence alignment of the WRKY and zinc finger domains, we discovered that the homology of group I and II genes was higher than that of group III genes.

Through an analysis of the CDS and DNA sequences, we found that there were two types of introns in the WRKY domains. One is spliced exactly after the R position, similar to the splicing position found in *Arabidopsis* [7], and is designated as R-type intron. Another is spliced before the V position at the sixth AA after the second C residue of the  $C_2H_2$ -type zinc-finger motif [35]. We designate this type of intron as the V-type intron. Interestingly, the R-type intron is located before the zinc finger motif region in WRKY domains in subgroups I, II c, II d, II e and III, whereas the V-type intron is in subgroups II a and II b (Figure 4).

#### 3.5. Expression Profiles of PmWRKY Genes in Different Tissues

According to the transcriptome data of five different organs (roots, stems, leaves, flowers and fruits) of *P. mume*, the heat map has been drawn by the RPKM values of *PmWRKY* genes (Figure 5 and Additional File 3). As shown in the expression patterns of *PmWRKYs* in different subgroups in Figure 5A, the expression of WRKYs exhibited a wide range of diversity in five tissues. Most *PmWRKYs* were expressed in the roots at a high expression level. The expression of *PmWRKY09* and *PmWRKY10* (which all belonged to group III) could not be detected in the stems, leaves, flowers or fruits, and 12 genes (PmWRKY03, PmWRKY04, PmWRKY13, PmWRKY21, PmWRKY29, PmWRKY38, PmWRKY40, PmWRKY41, PmWRKY44, PmWRKY45, PmWRKY48 and PmWRKY56) lacked expression in one or two tissues. The expression levels of the other 44 genes were discovered in all of the detected tissues, which suggests that these *PmWRKYs* probably play vital roles in the developmental process of various tissues. We also hold the belief that the functions of duplicated genes diverged after the duplication event, which is supported by evidence that the expression patterns of several duplicated genes (PmWRKY08, PmWRKY09 and PmWRKY10, PmWRKY28 and PmWRKY29, PmWRKY41 and *PmWRKY42*, and *PmWRKY21*, *PmWRKY38* and *PmWRKY56*) were quite different. In general, the group I genes had wider expression scopes than groups II and III genes. All of the genes in group I were expressed in all five tissues. However, a minority of groups II and III genes showed tissue-specific expression patterns. All of the subgroups II a and II b genes were hardly expressed in the stems, which meant that these genes might not participate in stem development. Furthermore, in the subgroups II d and II e, all of the members were expressed in five tissues, while *PmWRKY48* showed a tissue-specific expression pattern, which demonstrated the functional change of genes in the same subgroups during evolution. The similarity of the expression patterns of subgroup II a, II b and subgroup II d supported the phylogenetic analysis.

As shown in the hierarchical clustering in Figure 5B, 58 *PmWRKY* genes were classified into six clusters according to their expression patterns. It was found that the genes with a closer phylogenetic relationship were more likely to be clustered into the same group in the heat map. The finest examples are three subgroup II c genes (*PmWRKY16*, *PmWRKY35*, and *PmWRKY55*) in cluster 6 and four subgroup II c genes (*PmWRKY04*, *PmWRKY23*, *PmWRKY26*, and *PmWRKY32*) in a subcluster of cluster

3, which show similar expression patterns. Among these clusters, clusters 1 and 6 contained the genes whose transcripts were detected in every tissue. However, the genes in other clusters presented tissue-specific expression patterns: cluster 2 genes abundant in the stems and flowers, cluster 3 genes in the roots, leaves and fruits, cluster 4 genes in the roots and leaves, and cluster 5 genes in the roots.



**Figure 4.** Acid residue alignment of WRKY domain. Alignment was performed using DNAMAN. The suffix 'N' and 'C' indicate the N-terminal WRKY domain and the C-terminal WRKY domain, respectively. The amino acids forming the zinc-finger motif are underlined in black. The conserved heptapeptide is surrounded by red box. The position of a conserved intron was indicated by an arrow head. The color shade of the amino acid residues highlighted the homology level: dark blue = 100%, pink  $\geq$  75%, and cambridge blue  $\geq$  50%.



**Figure 5.** Expression patterns of *PmWRKYs* in five tissues. Transcriptome data was used to investigate expression profiles of *PmWRKY* genes. The colour scale represents RPKM expanded 25 times and then normalised log10 transformed counts. Light blue indicates low expression and dark blue indicate high expression. (**A**) Expression profiles related to subfamilies using heat map and line chart. (**B**) Hierarchical-clustering analysis of gene expression profiles.

# 3.6. Expression Analysis of PmWRKY Genes under Chilling Treatment

To further examine the functioning of *PmWRKY* genes in cold tolerance, the expression patterns of *PmWRKY* genes before and after freezing stress in winter were analysed using transcriptome data. In Figure 6 and Additional File 4, after undergoing short freezing stress in *P. mume* 'Zhusha', 6 (*PmWRKY18, PmWRKY23, PmWRKY32, PmWRKY37, PmWRKY44* and *PmWRKY56*) and 11 (*PmWRKY03, PmWRKY04, PmWRKY06, PmWRKY08, PmWRKY13, PmWRKY14, PmWRKY27, PmWRKY28, PmWRKY42, PmWRKY52* and *PmWRKY55*) *PmWRKYs* were found up- or down-regulated over two-fold, respectively. Then, these 17 *PmWRKY* genes, which may play a role in the cold tolerance of *P. mume* in winter, were selected for further study.

To clarify the roles  $WRKY_s$  played in cold resistance, the expression patterns of selected PmWRKYgenes in different stages of artificial low temperature (4 °C) (0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24 and 48 h) and exogenous ABA (100 µM) (0, 0.5, 2, 6, 12, 24 and 48 h) treatments were determined by qRT-PCR using cold resistant cultivar 'Yudie'. As shown in Figure 7A, the expression of PmCBF1, PmCBF5, PmCBF6 and dehydrin genes *PmLEA10* and *PmLEA29*, which were reported to be involved in cold resistance previously [36], was induced significantly after chilling treatment. The expression levels of 17 genes were changed with different patterns during artificial chilling treatment. The expression levels of *PmWRKY18, PmWRKY23* and *PmWRKY 32* were increased with prolonging of the treatment time in the cold. The largest increase of the expression level (approximately 25-fold) was detected in PmWRKY18 after cold treatment for 24h. *PmWRKY23* and *PmWRKY32* also had the greatest up-regulation of more than 9.5- and 6-fold, respectively, at 48 h after being exposed to the cold condition. The three genes mentioned above were up-regulated continuously during low temperature treatment, whereas *PmWRKY37* showed an irregular pattern. The expression of *PmWRKY37* had fluctuations before and after it reached the maximum (nearly 2.7-fold) at 8 h. PmWRKY04 and PmWRKY06 had the greatest down-regulation of nearly 5- and 4-fold, respectively, and then slight up-regulation. The expression levels of six genes (*PmWRKY03*, *PmWRKY08*, *PmWRKY14*, *PmWRKY42* and *PmWRKY55*) gradually decreased over time and ultimately changed over 2-fold, but the expression levels of the other genes (PmWRKY13, PmWRKY27, PmWRKY28, PmWRKY44, and PmWRKY56) only changed slightly.



**Figure 6.** Hierarchical-clustering analysis of expression profiles of *PmWRKYs* in leaf buds of 'Zhusha' before and after freezing effect in winter. Transcriptome sequencing (RNA-seq) was performed to investigate expression profiles of *PmWRKY* genes. The colour scale represents RPKM expanded 25 times and then normalised log10 transformed counts. Light blue indicates low expression. Blue and dark blue indicate high expression. The genes which were up- or down-regulated over two fold were surrounded by red and yellow boxes, respectively.



**Figure 7.** Expression patterns of 17 selected *PmWRKY* genes under artificial low temperature and exogenous ABA treatments. (**A**) The expression patterns of selected *PmWRKYs*, *PmCBFs* and *PmLEAs* under artificial low temperature treatments. (**B**) The expression pattern of *PmWRKY18* under exogenous ABA treatments. The transcript levels of the selected genes were assessed by qRT-PCR and normalised to *Actin* gene. Error bars are standard deviation of three technical replicates. Different letters indicate significant difference at level p = 0.05. Three independent experiments were performed with similar results.

Based on previous research, the transcriptional regulation of plant cold resistance can usually be categorised into either ABA-independent or ABA-dependent signal pathways; the latter also response to dehydration stress [37]. To explain how the *PmWRKYs* respond to ABA and whether the *PmWRKYs* are involved in the ABA-dependent cold signaling pathway or not, annual branches of *P. mume* were treated with exogenous ABA. Among these 17 genes, only the expression level of *PmWRKY18* was continuously up-regulated, peaked (6-fold) at 6h and then gradually decreased during exogenous ABA treatment (Figure 7B), whereas that of the others were lower than 2-fold and had no remarkable changes throughout, which suggested that *PmWRKY18* may take part in cold adaptation in an ABA-dependent manner.

#### 3.7. Expression Analysis of PmWRKY Genes in Winter

To verify the function of *PmWRKY* genes in cold tolerance, the expression patterns were analysed in winter using qRT-PCR. The daily land surface temperature was recorded from 12 Oct. to 4 Mar. As shown in Figure 8A, daily minimum and maximum temperatures below zero first appeared on 4 Nov. and 4 Jan., respectively, while the lowest temperature (-15.224 °C) was recorded on 26 January, after which the temperature began to increase with fluctuations. The stem and bud are the main aboveground organs of *P. mume* that overwinter. The expression of *PmCBFs* and *PmLEAs* was detected first (Figure 8B). The expression levels of *PmCBF1*, *PmLEA10* and *PmLEA29* in stems increased during winter and peaked on 4 February then decreased throughout the remaining winter, while the expression levels of *PmCBF5* and *PmCBF6* decreased during winter. The expression patterns differed in buds and stems. The expression patterns of *PmCBF1*, *PmCBF6*, *PmLEA10* and *PmLEA29* in buds were similar; they increased as the temperature decreased, peaking on 3 December or 4 February The expression pattern of *PmCBF5* in buds was similar in stems with both decreasing over winter. The expression patterns of *PmWRKY03* and *PmWRKY44* in stems were similar to those of *PmCBF1*, *PmLEA10* and *PmLEA29*, which increased over winter, peaked on 4 February and 7 January then decreased. In contrast, the expression levels of *PmWRKY08* and *PmWRKY52* decreased over winter, were at their lowest levels on 7 January, then increased. The expression levels of *PmWRKY32*, *PmWRKY37* and *PmWRKY55* first decreased then increased during winter, peaking on 7 January or 4 February, then decreasing. The expression patterns of PmWRKY04, PmWRKY06, PmWRKY18, PmWRKY23, PmWRKY27 and PmWRKY28 in stems were similar. Their expression levels increased as temperature decreased with two peaks on 3 December and 4 February The expression levels of *PmWRKY14* and *PmWRKY42* increased gradually while *PmWRKY13* decreased during winter. In addition, the expression of *PmWRKY56* in stems did not change significantly in winter. The expression of *PmWRKYs* in buds also had different patterns. The expression patterns of *PmWRKY23* and *PmWRKY37* were similar to *PmCBF1*, *PmCBF6*, *PmLEA10* and *PmLEA29* in buds, increasing during winter and peaking on 3 December and 4 February The expression pattern of *PmWRKY28* was similar to *PmCBF5* in buds, with the highest levels on 5 November then decreasing during winter. In contrast, the expression level of *PmWRKY14* increased during winter. The expression pattern of PmWRKY32, PmWRKY42, PmWRKY44 and PmWRKY55 were the same in buds. Their expression levels increased in winter time, and reached the highest peaks at 3 December or 7 January, and then decreased. On the contrary, the expression patterns of *PmWRKY03* and *PmWRKY27* decreased during winter and increased with increasing temperature. The expression patterns of *PmWRKY52* and *PmWRKY56* were the same, showing a fluctuating increase over winter. In addition, the expression levels of *PmWRKY04*, *PmWRKY06*, *PmWRKY08*, *PmWRKY13*, and *PmWRKY18* did not change significantly during winter. The results suggest that the transcription factor PmWRKYs may play an important role in overwintering survival of *P. mume* by different types of mechanisms. PmWRKY08 and PmWRKY28 may regulate negatively, while PmWRKY18, PmWRKY23 and PmWRKY44 may play a positively role in overwintering survival of P. mume.



**Figure 8.** Expression patterns of 17 selected *PmWRKY* genes in winter. (**A**) The daily land surface temperature near the sampling sites in winter from the date 12 October, 2017 to 4 March, 2018. (**B**) The expression patterns of *PmWRKYs*, *PmCBFs* and *PmLEAs* in winter by qRT-PCR using *Actin* as reference gene. Different letters indicate significant difference at level p = 0.05. Three independent experiments were performed with similar results.

# 4. Discussion

With the development of molecular biology, especially sequencing technology, the genomic sequencing of more and more plants has been completed, which provides convenient conditions for research from the genomic level in a systematic and global way. From the *P. mume* genome database-based analysis, 58 *PmWRKY* genes were identified (Table 1). Though 58 *PpWRKY* genes were

reported in *P. persica* [16], these genes in two species do not exactly correspond one by one, *PmWRKY28* and *PmWRKY29* are the two closest homologues of *PpWRKY19*. The homologue of *PmWRKY51* was not described, and no homologous gene was found for PmWRKY16 in P. persica. Gene duplication, which consists of whole-genome duplication, tandem repeat and segmental duplication, plays a vital role in the amplification and evolution of the plant gene family. The study on the WRKY gene family of O. sativa concluded that the production of 80% OsWRKYs resulted from gene duplication events [35]. However, as Figure 2 shows, there were only 16 *PmWRKYs* (27.6%) involved in seven gene duplication events, which is much lower than the rate of duplication genes in O. sativa. We speculated that the *PmWRKY* gene family may mainly originate from two reasons: One is that the formation of *PmWRKY* genes may not depend on gene duplication. Another, which occurs in the evolution process, is the loss-function or redundant genes in the *PmWRKY* gene superfamily may be lost in the genome to reduce energy consumption. It was shown that the gene duplication of WRKYs in A. thaliana and O. sativa mainly occurred in group III [35]; in contrast, most of the PmWRKY gene duplication events were found in group II (13/16). In addition, genomic localization indicated that 58 PmWRKY genes were unevenly mapped to all eight chromosomes (Figure 2). This indicated that the WRKY gene families in different species originated from different evolution patterns.

The analysis of PmWRKY domain structure showed that there were two kinds of conserved introns in PmWRKY domains: R-type intron and V-type intron. The R-type introns only existed in the PmWRKY domains of subgroups I, II c, II d, II e and III, whereas the V-type introns were only detected in subgroups II a and II b and this was consistent with previous research on O. sativa [35]. In addition, another form of intron, which was located at the fourth AA residue (K) after the second C residue of the zinc-finger motif, was found in subgroups II a and II b of A. thaliana and C. sativus [7,38]. It is not clear whether or not the insertion of conserved introns in different loci affect the function of WRKYs. The heptapeptide sequence WRKYGQK is the conserved structure of WRKY genes. It can be found in multiple alignment analysis of WRKY domains in *P. mume*. Some mutations occurred in subgroup II c (Figure 4), which indicated that the selection pressure and evolution pattern of *PmWRKY* genes vary between subgroups. As is well known, the WRKY domain contains a zinc-finger motif  $C_2H_2$  or  $C_2HC$  besides the conserved heptapeptide WRKYGQK [7]. Our study demonstrated that the zinc-finger motif  $C_2HC$  only existed in the PmWRKY domains of group III whereas  $C_2H_2$  was owned by PmWRKY domains of other groups. We found that this result is slightly different from that of previous research on O. sativa [35], which announced that zinc-finger motif  $C_2H_2$  and  $C_2HC$ simultaneously occurred in the N-termial of OsWRKYs of subgroup I.

RNA-seq data and qRT-PCR analysis provide a good clue to identify the important *PmWRKY* genes in cold resistance of *P. mume*. In our study, the expression of some *PmWRKYs* was changed under cold stress in *P. mume* cultivar 'Zhusha' based on the RNA-Seq (Figure 6). There were 6 and 11 *PmWRKYs* that were found to be up- or down-regulated over two-fold, respectively, after being subjected to cold stress in winter. Since some factors were uncontrolled during winter time, artificial low temperature treatment was performed to verify the cold response of *PmWRKYs*. Based on the qRT-PCR results, the expression levels of the 12 selected *PmWRKYs* were significantly different and 5 slightly changed under the artificial chilling treatment (Figure 7A). In addition, spatiotemporal expression patterns of the 17 *PmWRKYs* candidate genes varied during winter. The expression patterns of most *PmWRKYs* differed in stems and buds, which may result from the asynchronous icing of the organs (Figure 8B). However some factors, for example developmental stage, air humidity and day length, which were uncontrollable in winter time, may also affected gene expression. The results suggest that these genes potentially took part in the cold resistance of *P. mume*. Bud dormancy is the important way plants in *Prunus* genus respond to the cold winter. It was reported that PpWRKY11 (Prupe.1G071400), PpWRKY18 (Prupe.1G393000), PpWRKY33 (Prupe.6G286000), PpWRKY46 (Prupe.2G185100), PpWRKY48 (Prupe.1G114800) and PpWRKY53 (Prupe.2G307400) may play a role in bud dormancy in P. persica (Table 1) [16]. PmWRKY18, *PmWRKY55* and *PmWRKY27*, the homologous genes of *PpWRKY11*, *PpWRKY33* and *PpWRKY48* in

*P. mume*, showed low temperature response in different degrees. These genes may enhance cold tolerance of *P. mume* by regulating bud dormancy in a low temperature induction pattern.

Low temperature signal transduction pathways are mainly categorized into either ABA-dependent or ABA-independent manners [37], both of which ultimately regulate the expression of functional genes, *COR47*, *RD29A* and *KIN1*, and enhance the chilling tolerance of plants. WRKY transcription factors have been reported to be key nodes in ABA signalling [39]. It is reported that *AtWRKY18*, *AtWRKY40* and *AtWRKY60* genes in *A. thaliana* can be induced by ABA and act as negative regulation factors in the ABA signaling pathway [40,41]. *AtWRKY6*, the homologous gene of *PmWRKY37*, functioned as a positive regulator of ABA signalling during the germination process [42]. In this work, we detected the response of 17 selected genes to exogenous ABA treatment. The results showed that only *PmWRKY18* was significantly up-regulated after ABA treatment (Figure 7B); whereas other genes had no significant changes compared with the control (data were not shown). We speculated that *PmWRKY18* may take a part in an ABA-dependent cold signaling pathway.

# 5. Conclusions

Although cold tolerance related *WRKY* genes were studied in various species of plants, the cold signal response mechanism of *WRKY* genes and the down-stream target genes are mostly unclear. Research on the molecular biological function of *PmWRKY* genes in response to low temperature needs to be conducted. In this study, the characterisation and the expression analysis of *PmWRKYs* can create a foundation for further gene isolation and function analysis to clarify the role of *WRKY* genes in the cold resistance of *P. mume*.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4425/10/11/911/s1, Additional File 1: Primers used for qRT-PCR, Additional File 2: Conserved motifs predicted by MEME program in *Prunus mume* WRKY proteins, Additional File 3: The RPKM values of *PmWRKY* genes in different tissues, Additional File 4: The RPKM values of *PmWRKY* genes in leaf buds of 'Zhusha' before and after freezing effect in winter, Additional File 5: Supplementary Data 1: The CDS sequences of 58 *P. mume WRKY* genes, Supplementary Data 2: The genomic sequences of 58 *P. mume WRKY* genes, Supplementary Data 3: The protein sequences of 58 *P. mume WRKY* genes and 12 *Arabidopsis thaliana WRKY* genes.

**Author Contributions:** Q.Z. supported resources. F.B. wrote and reviewed the manuscript. A.D. performed sample collection and experiments. J.W. and T.C. supported resources. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31800596) and Special Fund for Beijing Common Construction Project.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Los, D.A.; Murata, N. Membrane fluidity and its roles in the perception of environmental signals. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2004, *1666*, 142–157. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Mittler, R. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 2002, 7, 405–410. [CrossRef]
- 3. Liu, X.; Zhou, Y.; Xiao, J.; Bao, F. Effects of chilling on the structure, function and development of chloroplasts. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, 1715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Steponkus, P.L. Role of the plasma membrane in freezing injury and cold acclimation. *Annu. Rev. Plant Mol. Biol.* **1984**, *35*, 543–584. [CrossRef]
- 5. Pearce, R.S. Plant freezing and damage. Ann. Bot. 2001, 87, 417-424. [CrossRef]
- Rushton, P.J.; Somssich, I.E.; Ringler, P.; Shen, Q.J. WRKY transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2010, 15, 247–258. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Eulgem, T.; Rushton, P.J.; Robatzek, S.; Somssich, I.E. The WRKY superfamily of plant transcription factors. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2000**, *5*, 199. [CrossRef]
- 8. Liu, J.J.; Ekramoddoullah, A.K. Identification and characterization of the WRKY transcription factor family in *Pinus monticola*. *Genome* **2009**, *52*, 77–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Ishiguro, S.; Nakamura, K. Characterization of a cDNA encoding a novel DNA-binding protein, SPF1, that recognizes SP8 sequences in the 5' upstream regions of genes coding for sporamin and β-amylase from sweet potato. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 1994, 244, 563–571. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Eulgem, T.; Rushton, P.J.; Schmelzer, E.; Hahlbrock, K.; Somssich, I.E. Early nuclear events in plant defence signalling: Rapid gene activation by WRKY transcription factors. *EMBO J.* 1999, *18*, 4689–4699. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, P.; Chen, Z. A family of dispersed repetitive DNA sequences in tobacco contain clusters of W-box elements recognized by pathogen-induced WRKY DNA-binding proteins. *Plant Sci.* 2001, 161, 655–664. [CrossRef]
- Laloi, C.; Mestresortega, D.; Marco, Y.; Meyer, Y.; Reichheld, J.P. The *Arabidopsis* cytosolic thioredoxin *h5* gene induction by oxidative stress and its W-box-mediated response to pathogen elicitor. *Plant Physiol.* 2004, 134, 1006–1016. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, Z.; Zhu, Y.; Wang, L.; Liu, X.; Liu, Y.; Phillips, J.; Deng, X. A WRKY transcription factor participates in dehydration tolerance in *Boea hygrometrica* by binding to the W-box elements of the galactinol synthase (*BhGolS1*) promoter. *Planta* 2009, 230, 1155–1166. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Zhang, Y.; Wang, L. The WRKY transcription factor superfamily: Its origin in eukaryotes and expansion in plants. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **2005**, *5*, 1.
- 15. Glöckner, G.; Eichinger, L.; Szafranski, K.; Pachebat, J.A.; Bankier, A.T.; Dear, P.H.; Lehmann, R.; Baumgart, C.; Parra, G.; Abril, J.F.; et al. Sequence and analysis of chromosome 2 of *Dictyostelium discoideum*. *Nature* **2002**, *418*, 79–85. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, M.; Tan, Q.; Sun, M.; Li, D.; Fu, X.; Chen, X.; Xiao, W.; Li, L.; Gao, D. Genome-wide identification of WRKY family genes in peach and analysis of WRKY expression during bud dormancy. *Mol. Genet. Genomics* 2016, 291, 1319–1332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chen, L.; Zhang, L.; Li, D.; Wang, F.; Yu, D. WRKY8 transcription factor functions in the TMV-cg defense response by mediating both abscisic acid and ethylene signaling in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, *110*, 1963–1971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Zou, C.; Jiang, W.; Yu, D. Male gametophyte-specific WRKY34 transcription factor mediates cold sensitivity of mature pollen in *Arabidopsis. J. Exp. Bot.* **2010**, *61*, 3901–3914. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 19. Shen, H.; Liu, C.; Zhang, Y.; Meng, X.; Zhou, X.; Chu, C.; Wang, X. OsWRKY30 is activated by MAP kinases to confer drought tolerance in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2012**, *80*, 241–253. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sun, X.; Zhang, L.; Wong, D.C.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, Z.; Xu, G.; Wang, Q.; Li, S.; Liang, Z.; Xin, H. The ethylene response factor VaERF092 from Amur grape regulates the transcription factor VaWRKY33, improving cold tolerance. *Plant J.* 2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Higuera, J.J.; Garrido-Gala, J.; Lekhbou, A.; Arjona-Girona, I.; Amil-Ruiz, F.; Mercado, J.A.; Pliego-Alfaro, F.; Muñoz-Blanco, J.; López-Herrera, C.J.; CABALLERO, J.L. The strawberry FaWRKY1 transcription factor negatively regulates resistance to *Colletotrichum acutatum* in fruit upon infection. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2019, 10, 480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Zhang, L.; Zhao, T.; Sun, X.; Wang, Y.; Du, C.; Zhu, Z.; Gichuki, D.K.; Wang, Q.; Li, S.; Xin, H. Overexpression of *VaWRKY12*, a transcription factor from *Vitis amurensis* with increased nuclear localization under low temperature, enhances cold tolerance of plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2019**, *100*, 95–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Liu, Y.; Yang, T.; Lin, Z.; Gu, B.; Xing, C.; Zhao, L.; Dong, H.; Gao, J.; Xie, Z.; Zhang, S.; et al. A WRKY transcription factor PbrWRKY53 from *Pyrus betulaefolia* is involved in drought tolerance and AsA accumulation. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **2019**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Kong, H.; Landherr, L.L.; Frohlich, M.W.; Leebensmack, J.; Ma, H.; Depamphilis, C.W. Patterns of gene duplication in the plant *SKP1* gene family in angiosperms: Evidence for multiple mechanisms of rapid gene birth. *Plant J.* **2007**, *50*, 873–885. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, T.H.; Tang, H.; Wang, X.; Paterson, A.H. PGDD: A database of gene and genome duplication in plants. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012, 41, 1152–1158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Deng, W.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Cheng, H.; Xue, Y. HemI: A toolkit for illustrating heatmaps. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e111988. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, L.; Zhu, W.; Fang, L.; Sun, X.; Su, L.; Liang, Z.; Wang, N.; Londo, J.P.; Li, S.; Xin, H. Genome-wide identification of *WRKY* family genes and their response to cold stress in *Vitis vinifera*. *BMC Plant Biol*. 2014, 14, 103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Song, H.; Wang, P.; Nan, Z.; Wang, X. The WRKY transcription factor genes in Lotus japonicus. Int. J. Genomics 2014, 2014, 420128. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Tang, J.; Wang, F.; Hou, X.L.; Wang, Z.; Huang, Z.N. Genome-wide fractionation and identification of WRKY transcription factors in Chinese Cabbage (*Brassica rapa* ssp. *pekinensis*) reveals collinearity and their expression patterns under abiotic and biotic stresses. *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2014**, *32*, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 30. Holub, E.B. The arms race is ancient history in *Arabidopsis*, the wildflower. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **2001**, *2*, 516–527. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Zhang, Q.; Chen, W.; Sun, L.; Zhao, F.; Huang, B.; Yang, W.; Tao, Y.; Wang, J.; Yuan, Z.; Fan, G.; et al. The genome of *Prunus mume. Nat. Commun.* **2012**, *3*, 1318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Ross, C.A.; Liu, Y.; Shen, Q.J. The WRKY gene family in Rice (Oryza sativa). JIPB 2007, 49, 827–842. [CrossRef]
- 33. He, H.; Dong, Q.; Shao, Y.; Jiang, H.; Zhu, S.; Cheng, B.; Xiang, Y. Genome-wide survey and characterization of the *WRKY* gene family in *Populus trichocarpa*. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2012**, *31*, 1199–1217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Sultan, S.; Atif, R.M.; Azeem, F.; Nadeem, H.; Siddique, M.H.; Ali, M.A. Genome-wide analysis of stress responsive WRKY transcription factors in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Turk. J. Agric. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *4*, 279–290. [CrossRef]
- 35. Wu, K.L.; Guo, Z.J.; Wang, H.H.; Li, J. The WRKY family of transcription factors in rice and *Arabidopsis* and their origins. *DNA Res.* **2005**, *12*, 9–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Bao, F.; Du, D.; An, Y.; Yang, W.; Wang, J.; Cheng, T.; Zhang, Q. Overexpression of *Prunus mume* dehydrin genes in tobacco enhances tolerance to cold and drought. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 151. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Zhang, J.Z.; Creelman, R.A.; Zhu, J.K. From laboratory to field: Using information from *Arabidopsis* to engineer salt, cold, and drought tolerance in crops. *Plant Physiol.* **2004**, 135, 615–621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Ling, J.; Jiang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Yu, H.; Mao, Z.; Gu, X.; Huang, S.; Xie, B. Genome-wide analysis of *WRKY* gene family in *Cucumis sativus*. *BMC Genomics* **2011**, *12*, 471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rushton, D.L.; Tripathi, P.; Rabara, R.C.; Lin, J.; Ringler, P.; Boken, A.K.; Langum, T.J.; Smidt, L.; Boomsma, D.D.; Emme, N.J.; et al. WRKY transcription factors: Key components in abscisic acid signalling. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 2012, *10*, 2–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Chen, H.; Lai, Z.; Shi, J.; Xiao, Y.; Chen, Z.; Xu, X. Roles of *Arabidopsis* WRKY18, WRKY40 and WRKY60 transcription factors in plant responses to abscisic acid and abiotic stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2010**, *10*, 281. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Shang, Y.; Yan, L.; Liu, Z.Q.; Cao, Z.; Mei, C.; Xin, Q.; Wu, F.Q.; Wang, X.F.; Du, S.Y.; Jiang, T.; et al. The Mg-chelatase H subunit of *Arabidopsis* antagonizes a group of WRKY transcription repressors to relieve ABA-responsive genes of inhibition. *Plant Cell* **2010**, *22*, 1909–1935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, Y.; Feng, C.Z.; Ye, Q.; Wu, W.H.; Chen, Y.F. *Arabidopsis* WRKY6 transcription factor acts as a positive regulator of abscisic acid signaling during seed germination and early seedling development. *PLoS Genet.* 2016, 12, e1005833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).