

Supplementary Material for

**Nuclear Deformation in Response to Mechanical Confinement is Cell Type Dependent**

\*Mary T. Doolin<sup>1</sup>, \*Thea S. Ornstein<sup>1</sup>, Kimberly M. Stroka<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fischell Department of Bioengineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 20742, USA

<sup>2</sup>Biophysics Program, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 20742, USA

<sup>3</sup>Center for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, University of Maryland – Baltimore, MD, 21201, USA

<sup>4</sup>Marlene and Stewart Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Maryland – Baltimore, MD, 21201, USA

**Table S1.** Statistics for nucleus area for MSCs, L929 cells, and MDA-MB-231 cells, ns=not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

Area	MSC	L929	MDAMB231
3 vs 6 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	****
3 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	****	****
3 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
3 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
6 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	**
6 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	****	*	****
6 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
10 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	****	ns	*
10 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
20 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns

**Table S2.** Statistics for nucleus minor axis for MSCs, L929 cells, and MDA-MB-231 cells, ns=not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

Minor axis	MSC	L929	MDAMB231
3 vs 6 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	**
3 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
3 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
3 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
6 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	****	***	****
6 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
6 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
10 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	****	ns	**
10 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	****	****
20 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	*	****	ns

**Table S3.** Statistics for nucleus major axis for MSCs, L929 cells, and MDA-MB-231 cells, ns=not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

Major axis	MSC	L929	MDAMB231
3 vs 6 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	****
3 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	****	ns
3 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	****	ns
3 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	****	***
6 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	****	***
6 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	*	****
6 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	*	****	***
10 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
10 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	**	ns	ns
20 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	***	ns	ns

**Table S4.** Statistics for MSC nucleus dimensions ns = not significant, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

	Width	Length	Height
3 vs 6 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
3 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
3 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	**	ns	ns
3 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	****	ns	ns
6 vs 10 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
6 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
6 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	**	ns	ns
10 vs 20 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
10 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns
20 vs 50 $\mu\text{m}$	ns	ns	ns

**Table S5.** Statistics for MSC nucleus dimensions ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

	Channel width (μm)				
	3	6	10	20	50
Width vs. length	****	****	****	*	ns
Width vs. height	ns	ns	ns	ns	****
Length vs. height	***	*	****	****	****

**Table S6.** Statistics for L929 nucleus dimensions ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

	Width	Length	Height
3 vs 6 μm	ns	ns	ns
3 vs 10 μm	ns	ns	ns
3 vs 20 μm	****	**	ns
3 vs 50 μm	****	**	ns
6 vs 10 μm	ns	ns	ns
6 vs 20 μm	****	ns	ns
6 vs 50 μm	***	ns	ns
10 vs 20 μm	*	ns	ns
10 vs 50 μm	ns	ns	ns
20 vs 50 μm	ns	ns	ns

**Table S7.** Statistics for L929 nucleus dimensions ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

	Channel width (μm)				
	3	6	10	20	50
Width vs. length	****	****	****	ns	ns
Width vs. height	**	**	ns	ns	ns
Length vs. height	***	ns	**	ns	ns

**Table S8.** Statistics for MSC nucleus dimensions when treated with 10 μM nocodazole or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

		Channel width (μm)				
Comparison		3	6	10	20	50
Control	W vs. L	***	ns	***	ns	ns
	W vs. H	ns	ns	ns	ns	***
	L vs. H	ns	ns	***	****	****
Nocodazole	W vs. L	****	****	**	ns	ns
	W vs. H	ns	ns	ns	ns	**
	L vs. H	ns	ns	ns	**	****
W	Cont. vs. Noc.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
L	Cont. vs. Noc.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
H	Cont. vs. Noc.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

**Table S9.** Statistics for MSC nucleus dimensions when treated with 10  $\mu$ M nocodazole or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*\*p<0.005.

		Dimension		
Comparison		W	L	H
Control	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
Nocodazole	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	**	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns

**Table S10.** Statistics for L929 cell nucleus dimensions when treated with 10  $\mu$ M nocodazole or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

		Channel width ( $\mu$ m)				
Comparison		3	6	10	20	50
Control	W vs. L	****	****	**	ns	ns
	W vs. H	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	L vs. H	ns	**	**	ns	***
Nocodazole	W vs. L	****	***	ns	ns	ns
	W vs. H	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	L vs. H	*	ns	ns	ns	ns
W	Cont. vs. Noc.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
L	Cont. vs. Noc.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
H	Cont. vs. Noc.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

**Table S11.** Statistics for L929 cell nucleus dimensions when treated with 10  $\mu$ M nocodazole or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

		Dimension		
Comparison		W	L	H
Control	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
Nocodazole	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	***	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	****	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	*	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns

**Table S12.** Statistics for MSC nucleus dimensions when treated with 50  $\mu$ M blebbistatin or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

		Channel width ( $\mu$ m)				
Comparison		3	6	10	20	50
Control	W vs. L	****	*	ns	ns	ns
	W vs. H	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	L vs. H	ns	ns	ns	***	****
Blebbistatin	W vs. L	****	**	**	ns	ns
	W vs. H	**	ns	ns	ns	**
	L vs. H	*	ns	*	****	****
W	Cont. vs. Bleb.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
L	Cont. vs. Bleb.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
H	Cont. vs. Bleb.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

**Table S13.** Statistics for MSC nucleus dimensions when treated with 50  $\mu$ M blebbistatin or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*\*p<0.005, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

		Dimension		
Comparison		W	L	H
Control	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	**	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	***	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
Blebbistatin	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	****	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns

**Table S14.** Statistics for L929 cell nucleus dimensions when treated with 50  $\mu$ M blebbistatin or vehicle control ns = not significant, \*p<0.05, \*\*\*p<0.0005, \*\*\*\*p<0.0001.

		Channel width ( $\mu$ m)				
Comparison		3	6	10	20	50
Control	W vs. L	****	****	***	ns	ns
	W vs. H	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
	L vs. H	****	***	*	ns	*
Blebbistatin	W vs. L	****	****	****	ns	ns
	W vs. H	***	*	ns	ns	ns
	L vs. H	ns	ns	*	*	*
W	Cont. vs. Bleb.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
L	Cont. vs. Bleb.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
H	Cont. vs. Bleb.	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns

**Table S15.** Statistics for L929 cell nucleus dimensions when treated with 50  $\mu$ M blebbistatin or vehicle control  
ns = not significant, \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.005$ , \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ .

		Dimension		
Comparison		W	L	H
Control	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	**	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
Blebbistatin	3 vs. 6 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	3 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	*	ns	ns
	3 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	****	ns	ns
	6 vs. 10 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	6 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	**	ns	ns
	10 vs. 20 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	10 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns
	20 vs. 50 $\mu$ m	ns	ns	ns

**Supplemental Movies:** For all movies, the first frame represents the x-y plane, and the nucleus (stained with Hoechst) revolves around the y-axis. Stacks were thresholded and cut according to the workflow described in Figure 2 before being rendered in ImageJ. All scale bars on movies are in  $\mu$ m.

**Movie S1.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel.

**Movie S2.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel.

**Movie S3.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 50  $\mu$ m channel.

**Movie S4.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 50  $\mu$ m channel (center cell).

**Movie S5.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S6.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with nocodazole.

**Movie S7.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S8.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with nocodazole.

**Movie S9.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 50  $\mu$ m channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S10.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 50  $\mu$ m channel treated with nocodazole.

**Movie S11.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 50  $\mu$ m channel treated with vehicle control (bottom right cell).

**Movie S12.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 50  $\mu$ m channel treated with nocodazole (top cell).

**Movie S13.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S14.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with blebbistatin.

**Movie S15.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S16.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 3  $\mu$ m channel treated with blebbistatin.

**Movie S17.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 50  $\mu\text{m}$  channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S18.** 3D rendering of MSC nucleus in 50  $\mu\text{m}$  channel treated with blebbistatin.

**Movie S19.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 50  $\mu\text{m}$  channel treated with vehicle control.

**Movie S20.** 3D rendering of L929 nucleus in 50  $\mu\text{m}$  channel treated with blebbistatin.