## Supplemental Figures to

## An RBPJ-*Drosophila* model reveals dependence of RBPJ protein stability on the formation of transcription-regulator complexes

Bernd M. Gahr<sup>1,2</sup>, Franziska Brändle<sup>1</sup>, Mirjam Zimmermann<sup>1</sup>, Anja C. Nagel<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Genetics (240), University of Hohenheim, Garbenstr. 30, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany <sup>2</sup>Present address: Molecular Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine II, University of Ulm, Albert-Einstein-Allee 23, 89081 Ulm, Germany

\*: Correspondence: <u>anja.nagel@uni-hohenheim.de</u>

The supplemental Figures contain

Figure S1: Sequence comparison between fly Su(H) and murine RBPJ protein,

Figure S2: Substitution of murine *RBPJ* for *Su(H)* in the fly by genome engineering,

Figure S3: Defective adult genitalia rotation in *RBPJ<sup>wt</sup>* males,

Figure S4: Uncropped blots used for RBPJ protein quantification.

Figure S1	Sequence com	parison between	fly Su(H	) and mur	ine RBPJ	protein
			-/ \	,		

Dm	1	MKSYSQFNLNAAAPPAIAYETTVVNPNGSPLDPHQQQQQQSQDMPHFGLP	50
Mm	1	. : .    :. MPSGFPQSPRTSPRARPKTRIT	22
Dm	51	GPQPPSSQQQQQQLQVHHQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQQMQMSLLPGPYRPHI	100
Mm	23		52
Dm	101	EEKK <mark>LTRDAMEKYMRE</mark> RNDMVIVILHAKVAQKSYGNEKRFFCPPPCIYLF	150
Mm	53		102
Dm	151	GSGWRRRYEEMLQQGEGEQGAQLCAFIGIGSSDQDMQQLDLNGKQYCAAK	200
Mm	103	GSGWKKKKEQMERDGCSEQESQPCAFIGIGNSDQEMQQLNLEGKNYCTAK	152
Dm	201	TLFISDSDKRKHFMLSVKMFYGNGHDIGVFNSKRIKVISKPSKKKQSLKN	250
Mm	153	:	202
Dm	251	ADLCIASGTNVALFNRLRSQTVSTRYLHVENGHFHASSTQWGAFTIHLLD	300
Mm	203	ADLCIASGTKVALFNRLRSQTVSTRYLHVEGGNFHASSQQWGAFYIHLLD	252
Dm	301	DNESESEEFQVRDGYIHYGATVKLVCSVTGMALPRLIIRKVDKQMALLEA	350
Mm	253	:   .   .          .	302
Dm	351	DDPVSQLHKCAFYMKDTDRMYLCLSQEKIIQFQATPCPKEPNKEMINDGA	400
Mm	303	DDPVSQLHKCAFYLKDTERMYLCLSQERIIQFQATPCPKEQNKEMINDGA	352
Dm	401	CWTIISTDKAEYQFYEGMGPVAS <mark>PVTPVPIVNSE</mark> NLNGGGDVAM <mark>E</mark> ELSGD	450
Mm	353	SWTIISTDKAEYTFYEGMGPVLAPVTPVPVVESLQLNGGGDVAMLELTGQ	402
Dm	451	NFTPHLQVWFGDVEAETMYRCTETLLCVVPEISQFRGEWLWVRQPTQVPI	500
Mm	403	IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	452
Dm	501	SLVRNDGIIYATGUTFTYTPEPGPRPHCNTQAEDVMRARQN	541
Mm	453	:	501
Dm	542	NNNNNITSISNNNNSNNAGSPAAGGGLQQQQQQHQALPSISEVQWNSHGSGLS	594
Mm	502	: . :. :.  :.  NSEGNYTNASTNSTSVTSSTATVVS	526

A bestfit comparison of protein sequence, performed with the *EMBOSS* needle program: *D. melanogaster* Su(H) [upper row], *M. musculus* RBPJ [lower row]. Overall identity is 61%, and similarity 69.8%. In bold and underlined are the amino acids fused in the fly construct. Note high conservation within the N-terminal domain (light blue), the  $\beta$ -trefoil domain (green) and the Cterminal domain (yellow). The N-terminally located  $\alpha$ 1-helix (yellow) makes contact to the C-terminal domain (see Fig. 1A), which is connected to the  $\beta$ -trefoil domain by a  $\beta$  strand (grey). Red color highlights the three leucine residues at position 434, 445 and 514 in Su(H) known to contact H in the repressor complex; their replacement by alanine prevents Su(H)-H repressor complex formation. The respective leucines in RBPJ at position 386, 397 and 466 are labeled in blue.



Figure S2 Substitution of murine *RBPJ* for *Su(H)* in the fly by genome engineering

Subsequent to genome engineering, a gene fusion between Su(H) and RBPJ results in the formation of a fusion protein containing RBPJ from value 81 fused to the N-terminal 128 amino acids of Su(H). Hence  $\alpha$ 1-helix and the start of NTD are derived from Su(H), which is extremely similar to RBPJ in this part (58% identity and 79% similarity; see Figure S1 for a sequence comparison). The sequence of the fusion is depicted, color-coded as in Figure S1. RBPJ<sup>LLL</sup> contains three leucine mutations at position 386, 397 and 466, which were replaced by alanine.



Figure S3: Defective adult genitalia rotation in *RBPJ<sup>vt</sup>* males

(A)  $Su(H)^{gwt}$  adult male genitalia. (B) Rotated genitalia are observed in *RBPJ<sup>wt</sup>* homozygous males with high frequency. Scanning electron micrographs show enlargements of the terminal abdomen with the genitalia and analia from  $Su(H)^{gwt}$  control (A) and a half rotated *RBPJ<sup>wt</sup>* (B). Most frequently, rotation stopped half way at an 180° angle, as shown in the chart (polarhistograph) (n=130). Scale bars: 100 µm.



Figure S4 Uncropped blots used for RBPJ protein quantification

Original blots used for quantification of RBPJ levels in Figure 7C. The blot depicted in Figure 7C is framed. Blots were cut for parallel detection of RBPJ and Tubulin; the latter served as internal standard.