

Article

# Planting Density Induced Changes in Cotton Biomass Yield, Fiber Quality, and Phosphorus Distribution under Beta Growth Model

Aziz Khan <sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Xiangjun Kong <sup>1</sup>, Ullah Najeeb <sup>2</sup>, Jie Zheng <sup>1</sup>, Daniel Kean Yuen Tan <sup>3</sup>, Kashif Akhtar <sup>4</sup>, Fazal Munsif <sup>1</sup> and Ruiyang Zhou <sup>1,\*</sup>

- Key Laboratory of Plant Genetics and Breeding, College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning 530005, China
- <sup>2</sup> Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, Centre for Plant Science, The University of Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia
- <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Science, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Sydney Institute of Agriculture, Plant Breeding Institute, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
- <sup>4</sup> Institute of Nuclear Agricultural Sciences, College of Agriculture and Biotechnology, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China
- \* Correspondence: ruiyangzhou@aliyun.com; Tel.: +86-189-7896-9180

Received: 17 July 2019; Accepted: 28 August 2019; Published: 30 August 2019



**Abstract:** High input costs combined with multiple management and material inputs have threatened cotton productivity. We hypothesize that this problem can be addressed by a single fertilization at flowering with late sowing in a moderately populated plant stand. Field experiments were conducted to evaluate the cotton biomass accumulation, phosphorus dynamics, and fiber quality under three planting densities (low,  $3 \times 10^4$ ; moderate,  $6 \times 10^4$ ; and dense,  $9 \times 10^4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) and two cultivars (Zhongmian-16 and J-4B). High planting density had 6.2 and 12.6% larger stems and fruiting nodes m<sup>-2</sup>, while low density produced a 37.5 and 59.4% maximum height node ratio. Moderate density produced 26.4–15.5%, 24.7–12.6%, and 10.5–13.6% higher biomass accumulation rate at the peak bloom, boll set, and plant removal stages over low and high density in both years, respectively. J-4B produced a higher reproductive organs biomass yield when compared with Zhongmian-16 in both years. This higher biomass formation was due to both the higher average (0.8 V<sub>T</sub> kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup>) and maximum (1.0 V<sub>M</sub> kg·ha<sup>-1</sup>·d<sup>-1</sup>) reproductive organ phosphorus uptake, respectively. Plants with low density had 5.3–18.5%, 9.5–15%, and 7.8–12.8% greater length, strength, and micronaire values over moderate and dense plants, respectively. Conclusively, moderate density with J-4B is a promising option for improved biomass, phosphorus acquisition, and fiber quality under a short season.

Keywords: genotypes; dry matter; lint quality; plant development; nutrient uptake

# 1. Introduction

An expanding population requires global efforts to increase crop production, especially those fulfilling food and clothing needs. On the other hand, high input costs coupled with multiple management and material inputs have threatened cotton productivity. To counter the challenges of high production costs without compromising yield, a new planting model (e.g., moderate planting density, one time low nitrogen fertilization at the first flowering stage under late planted cotton) is proposed. The recommended planting densities in China are 22.7 plants m<sup>-2</sup> in the northwest [1], 5.3–7.5 plant m<sup>-2</sup> in the Yellow River Valley [2], 36 plants m<sup>-2</sup> in Xinjiang [3], and 3–9 plants m<sup>-2</sup> in the Yangtze River Valley [4,5]. Management strategies such as the application of mepiquat chloride [5],



nitrogen [6], water availability [7], planting date, and planting density [8] are factors that induce changes in dry matter formation and affect reproductive organ biomass accumulation.

Biomass distribution in cotton organs during the crop cycle are important determinants of final yield. In the early phases of cotton growth, greater partitioning of foliar tissues enables access to light, which promotes better establishment and provides the basis for fiber quantity and quality later in the season. Similarly, during later growth phases, an increase in the assimilate transport to the reproductive structures ensures better crop yield. Planting density coupled with the cultivar are considered the key drivers for cotton production [8,9]. Due to its indeterminate growth habit, a cotton plant may invest heavily in vegetative biomass under optimum growth conditions. An over-investment of the assimilate into the vegetative or reproductive organs in cotton plants could stimulate the abscission of leaves or fruits, respectively [10,11]. The aboveground biomass of a cotton plant at maturity is often less than the total biomass produced as the plant may shed old leaves and young fruits [8]. Remobilization of biomass to reproductive structure may further reduce the total biomass of vegetative organs during late reproductive growth. Assimilate allocation, and thus the growth rate of a plant organ, is controlled by various environmental and physiological factors [12]. Generally, dry biomass formation and partitioning into organs is measured primarily during certain phenological phases of crops. Beta growth function, in contrast provides an opportunity to estimate biomass production and thus assimilate allocation in the plant organ over a short period of time [13]. Beta growth function consists of three parameters: maximum dry matter, and the time at which the maximum dry matter and maximum growth rate are achieved. These parameters are associated with biomass production under different climatic conditions, and can compute the partitioning index by comparing the organ growth rates. This function is useful for estimating dry matter allocation if loss of the accumulated biomass is negligible [14].

Fiber strength, length, fineness, elasticity, short fiber index, uniformity index, spinning consistency color grade, and reflectance are fiber quality indicators [15]. These characteristics are negatively affected by genetic factors as well as environmental factors and poor management practices during flowering and the boll formation stage [3,16]. In addition, environmental conditions such as low temperature and light can also impact boll development and the fiber quality of cotton crops [17]. Fiber quality is strongly affected by growth environments (e.g., plant density, irrigation, fertilization, weather events) and there is limited understanding of the relationship between cultivars, fiber quality, and planting date [3,18]. Late planted cotton produces superior quality fiber (e.g., increased elongation and low micronaire), but low fiber length and strength [19–21].

In cotton production systems, soil phosphorus (P) unavailability is considered a major limiting factor to lint yield formation due to the sustained capacity of leaf photosynthetic characteristics [22]. Despite high P application rates, low solubility, low mobility, and high fixation by the soil matrix limits its availability to the plants [23]. Low effective phosphorus availability in the soil and a shortage of water are important factors that restrict dry matter accumulation and cotton yield improvement [24]. Deficiency in soil phosphorus can decrease biomass accumulation and yield by affecting crop growth, leaf photosynthesis, and chlorophyll fluorescence [25]. Phosphorus can also facilitate crop growth, increase biomass, and greatly improve the ratio of distribution to reproductive organs [26]. Soil P deficiency can restrict cotton branch and leaf growth as well as inhibit photosynthetic activities and the accumulation and distribution of photosynthetic products, which results in a yield penalty [22,25]. Moreover, it can also inhibit photosystem II (PSII) by increasing the initial fluorescence  $(F_0)$  and decreasing both the maximal fluorescence  $(F_m)$  and the maximum quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry  $(F_v/F_m)$ , leading to a lower efficiency in energy transfer to PSII reaction centers [25]. In the future, higher energy costs and scarce nutrient resources will result in rising fertilizer prices, which in turn will increase production costs. Hence, it is essential to understand P acquisition and distribution at different developmental stages of cotton in changing the planting density.

Planting densities also influence crop growth and yield by changing the light interception, photosynthetic capacity, nutrient uptake, pattern of plant establishment, and the enzyme activity of

assimilate metabolism at different positions of the canopy [2,8,27]. High planting density can increase agronomic nitrogen use efficiency and nitrogen recovery efficiency, but results in poor boll load, delayed late-season leaf senescence, and reduces malondialdehyde [28]. Therefore, the management of planting density can modify options to achieve potential benefits from ecosystem services. Studies regarding cotton growth and lint yield in response to diverse population are common [5,8,29]; however, the effect of planting densities on phosphorus dynamics, biomass partitioning, and fiber quality during key reproductive stages under late planted moderately populated crops with one time low nitrogen fertilization at the first flowering stage remains elusive. This study aimed to explore how growth and nutrient (phosphorus) dynamics influence biomass partitioning and fiber quality at critical reproductive stages in cotton crops under varying planting density.

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Summary of Experimental Site and Design

A two-year (2017 and 2018) field experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of Guangxi University, Nanning, China. Zhongmian-16 (Zhongmiansuo-16) and J-4B (*Gossypium hirsutum*) were used as the plant materials. The soil of the experimental site was clay loam with a pH 6.5, organic matter (23.37 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>), available nitrogen (53.3 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (77.6 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), and potassium (50.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). The experiments were a two-way factorial, split plot arrangement with four replications. The two factors evaluated were three density levels D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, and D<sub>3</sub> (3, 6, and 9 plants/m<sup>2</sup>) with two cultivars (Zhongmian-16 and J-4B). The cultivars were assigned to the main plots and plant densities were assigned to sub-plots. The mean monthly rainfall and air temperature data were also collected (Figure 1).



**Figure 1.** Monthly average maximum and minimum air temperature and rainfall in the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons.

## 2.2. Agronomic Management

Experimental plots were prepared by tractor with the required length and width. All plots were covered with plastic film to conserve moisture and suppress weed emergence. After field preparation, seeds were sown on 5 June in both the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons. Each plot was thinned 20 days after emergence to achieve the required planting density. Nitrogen at 190 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, phosphorus at 54 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>, and potassium at 180 kg K ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied during the first bloom stage in the form of urea (46.3% N), superphosphate (18%  $P_2O_5$ ), and potassium chloride (59% K<sub>2</sub>O) in both years, respectively. Crop management practices were undertaken according to crop demand to ensure that nutrients, light, and water were not limiting in both years. Plant growth regulator (Ethephon) was sprayed to enhance lately formed boll opening and regulate the vegetative growth.

#### 2.3. Data Collection

Cotton biomass and phosphorus rates were determined at five developmental stages (squaring, first bloom, peak bloom, boll opening, and plants) and removed from consecutively selected plants in each treatment from four replicates. In addition, plant growth attributes and fiber quality characteristics were also assessed.

#### 2.4. Cotton Plant Growth Characteristics

Ten plants per treatment were randomly tagged to measure plant growth characteristics. Plant height was determined from the ground level to the top via a specially designed ruler. Node numbers  $m^{-2}$  were counted from ten randomly chosen plants. The height to node ratio was assessed by dividing the plant height by the number of nodes.

# 2.5. Biomass Partitioning

Five plants were selected at five developmental stages from each treatment of four replicates in both years. These plants were carefully uprooted and the roots washed with clean water. Plants were divided into vegetative and reproductive structures and enveloped separately. Dry weights of these materials were assessed after drying in an electric fan-assisted at 105 °C for 30 min and at 80 °C for 48 h until a constant weight was obtained

### 2.6. Measurements of Fiber Quality Parameters

Cotton fiber quality traits included: fiber length (Len, mm), fiber strength (FS, cNtex<sup>-1</sup>), fiber uniformity (FU, %), and micronaire (MI). Fiber length was assessed by the water washing method using a Y-146 cotton fiber photometer (Taicang Electron Apparatus Co. Ltd., Suzhou, China). An Uster High Volume Instrument (HVI) 1000 Classing (Uster Technologies AG, Uster, Switzerland) was used to measure the cotton fiber strength and micronaire of the harvested bolls. Fiber uniformity (%) and elongation (%) was measured by the Supervision, Inspection, and Test Center of Fiber Quality in Anyang, Henan Province.

#### 2.7. Phosphorus Acquisition

Dried plant samples were ground using a Wiley mill and screened through a 0.5 mm sieve. Total phosphorus concentration was assessed using the micro-Kjeldahl method [30]. A 0.5 g sample was digested for about 1 h in concentrated sulfuric acid plus 4 mL of hydrogen peroxide. The solution was cooled down and transferred to a 10 mL tube. Phosphorus content was measured colorimetrically using a spectrophotometer (Shanghai Precision and Scientific Instrument Co. Ltd. Production). The concentration of phosphorus was shown on a dry weight basis. P acquisition was determined as the product of concentration and dry weight. Cotton plant phosphorus acquisition was modeled by a logistic regression model [31] as follows:

$$Y = \frac{K}{1 + ae^{bt}} \tag{1}$$

In Equation (1), t (d) indicate the days after emergence (DAE), Y represents phosphorus at time t, K is the maximum phosphorus, and a, b are the constants to be determined.

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{b} \ln(\frac{2+\sqrt{3}}{a})$$
 (2)

$$t_2 = \frac{1}{b} \ln(\frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{a})$$
(3)

$$t_{\rm m} = -\frac{\ln a}{b} \tag{4}$$

$$V_{\rm m} = -\frac{bK}{4} \tag{5}$$

$$V_t = \frac{Y_2 - Y_1}{t_2 - t_1} \tag{6}$$

where  $V_m$  (g d<sup>-1</sup>) is the highest phosphorus acquisition rate and  $t_m$  (d) is the largest phosphorus acquisition period, which begins at time  $t_1$  and ends at  $t_2$ .  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are phosphorus at  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ ;  $V_t$  is the average phosphorus accumulation (i.e.,  $t_1$ – $t_2$ ). The purpose of this logistic function was to assess the maximum dry matter, maximum phosphorus accumulation, and time to which maximum dry matter and maximum growth rate are achieved.

#### 2.8. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using Statistics 8.1 software to evaluate the planting density and cultivar effects on cotton growth, biomass accumulation, phosphorus acquisition, and fiber quality. Planting densities and cultivars were taken as fixed effects and cropping season as the repetitive measured factor with a fixed effect. Similarly, the interaction was taken as the fixed effects and treatment × replication interaction was taken as the random effect. The mean differences among the treatments were calculated using the least significant difference test at the 5% probability level.

### 3. Results

# 3.1. Cotton Plant Growth Attributes

Cotton plant height, fruiting nodes numbers  $m^{-2}$ , and height to node ratio were significantly affected by density, cultivar, and year (p < 0.05). In addition, the interaction of density × variety on plant height, fruiting nodes numbers  $m^{-2}$ , and height to node ratio were also significant whilst year × density, year × variety, and year × density × variety interactions were nonsignificant (Table 1). Plant height, fruiting nodes density  $m^{-2}$ , and height to node ratio were considerably influenced by planting densities and cultivars in both years, respectively (Figure 2A–C). Within the planting densities, densely populated crops produced taller stems (Figure 2A) and a higher number of fruiting nodes  $m^{-2}$  (Figure 2B) than the low and moderate density plants in both years. However, the height to node ratio (Figure 2C) was significantly decreased under high density crops than in low and moderately populated crops in both cropping seasons. Across the cultivars, J-4B had more fruiting nodes (Figure 2E) and height to node ratio (Figure 2F) than the Zhongmian-16 cultivar.



**Figure 2.** Changes in the growth attributes of cotton plant cultivars under changing planting densities in the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons. (**A**–**C**) indicates plant height, fruiting nodes and height node ratio, respectively. SD (n = 4). V1, Zhongmian-16; V2, J-4B; D1, low; D2, moderate; D3, high density.

Source of Variance	Year	Density	Variety	Density  imes Variety	Year $ imes$ Density	Year $ imes$ Variety	Year $ imes$ Density $ imes$ Variety
Total biomass (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )							
Squaring	34,416.6 *	16,238 *	6620.1 ns	4056.5 ns	2134.1 ns	2396.8 ns	1337.5 ns
First bloom	279,334 **	36,703 *	150,047 **	6056.5 ns	8571 ns	80,182 ns	25,960 ns
Peak bloom	3,677,454 **	329,578 *	367,679 *	41,735 ns	110,000 ns	286,066 ns	86,448 ns
Boll set	1,434,828 *	2,739,528 **	391,809 *	138,145 ns	541,390 ns	286,994 ns	163,932 ns
Plant removal	1,210,120 *	2,619,483 **	621,994 *	81,055 ns	855,670 ns	490,292 ns	20,179 ns
Vegetative organ biomass (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )							
Squaring	30,180.3 *	20,965.9 ns	881.3 ns	2932.3 ns	9923.4 ns	2751.0 ns	7885.8 ns
First bloom	20,184 *	45,759 **	168,638 **	2384 ns	3071 ns	16,537 ns	1437 ns
Peak bloom	5,285,010 **	174,087 **	437,049 **	16,561 ns	2420 ns	6712 ns	6392 ns
Boll set	2,023,067 **	401,322 **	694,473 **	16,459 ns	4089 ns	9826 ns	2527 ns
Plant removal	1,060,410 **	597,758 **	906,263 **	63,456 ns	29,126 ns	131,645 ns	19,288 ns
Reproductive organ biomass (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )							
Squaring	19.7633 **	31.9648 **	2.7603 ns	2.3961 ns	11.48 ns	6.348 ns	4.732 ns
First bloom	35.56 ns	2538.8 *	37,974.5 **	4518.2 *	11.7 ns	1634.7 ns	9.941 ns
Peak bloom	5283.6 ns	237,90.8 *	69,389.1 **	2500.3 ns	5193.5 ns	23,665.8 ns	7504.7 ns
Boll set	510,263 *	376,954 *	2,620,967 **	15,678 ns	105,955 ns	23,324 ns	41,685 ns
Plant removal	550,263 *	428,504 *	924,797 *	82,879 ns	4546 ns	502.0 ns	1093 ns
Plant height (cm)	145.6 **	11.22 **	127.9 **	4.981 *	32.84 ns	0.525 ns	1.4210 ns
Fruiting nodes number (m <sup>-2</sup> )	1356.2 **	32.3 7 ns	62.03 *	52.45 *	1.80 ns	0.97 ns	19.57 ns
Height to node ratio	2.219 **	0.42389 **	1.021 **	3.739 **	0.023 ns	0.005 ns	0.022 ns

Table 1. Summary of means square values (MS) from analysis of variance (ANOVA) for cotton plant growth characteristics and biomass at various growth stages.

ns, non-significant; \* Significant at the p < 0.05 level and \*\* Significant at the p < 0.01) level.

#### 3.2. Biomass Yield

Cotton plant biomass production was significantly affected by planting density, cultivar, and year factor at squaring, first bloom, peak bloom, and plant removal, while the year effect at first bloom and peak bloom stage remained insignificant (p < 0.05, Table 1). In addition, no significant interactions of density × variety, year × density, year × variety, and year × density × variety on the total plant biomass accumulation were observed. Planting densities, cultivar, and year strongly influenced the total plant biomass accumulation rate in both years, however the biomass yield was lower in 2017 over the 2018 growing season (Figure 3). Plant biomass production increased with plant development, although differences existed among the treatments. Plants under high density attained a higher biomass yield during all growth phases when compared with low and moderate crops in both years. In 2017, J-4B had a higher total biomass than Zhongmian-16 only at the squaring stage, although biomass accumulation was significant throughout the crop growth in 2018 (e.g., 29.2%, 28.6%, 13.3%, and 16.2% at first bloom, peak bloom, boll set, and plant removal stage, respectively) (Figure 3).



**Figure 3.** Changes in cotton plant biomass yield in response to planting density and cultivar in the 2017 and 2018 growing season at different developmental stages SD (n = 4).

Vegetative structure biomass yield was significantly affected by planting density, cultivar, and year factor during all reproductive stages. There was no interactive effect of density, variety, and year on the total plant biomass accumulation (Table 1). Planting density, cultivar, and year factor induced significant changes in vegetative organ biomass production at various growth stages (Figure 4). Vegetative organ biomass yield was increased as the plant transitioned from one stage to another, with changes between the treatments during both years. Plants under high density produced more vegetative organ biomass yield over low and moderate density crops in both years. Across the cultivars, J-4B had a 16.6%, 14.3%, 19.5%, and 25.5% higher biomass formation rate at first bloom, peak bloom, boll set, and plant removal stage, respectively, over Zhongmian-16 in 2017 (Figure 4). Similarly, in 2018, J-4B had a 1193, 1629, 2296, and 2537 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> higher biomass accumulation at first bloom, peak bloom, boll set, and plant removal stage, respectively.



**Figure 4.** Changes in cotton plant vegetative organ biomass yield in response to planting density and cultivar in the 2017 and 2018 growing season at different developmental stages SD (n = 4).

Reproductive structure biomass yield was substantially affected by planting density, cultivar, and year at various growth phases, but the effect of density and cultivar remained nonsignificant. The interactions of density  $\times$  variety, year  $\times$  density, year  $\times$  variety, and year  $\times$  density  $\times$  variety on the total plant biomass accumulation were nonsignificant. The interaction of density  $\times$  variety was significant at the first bloom stage (Table 1). Planting density, cultivar, and year significantly impacted on the reproductive organ biomass formation (Figure 5). Across both years, the biomass accumulation rate was lower in 2018 when compared with 2017. Cotton plant reproductive biomass was increased with plant development in both years. In both years, no significant changes were observed for reproductive organ biomass accumulation at the squaring and first bloom stage. However, the differences between the treatments were clear at peak bloom, boll set, and the plant removal stage in both years. Moderate density produced a 26.4–15.5%, 24.7–12.6%, and 10.5–13.6% higher biomass accumulation rate at the peak bloom, boll set, and plant removal stage, respectively, over low and high density crops in both years (Figure 5). At the squaring and first bloom stage, the reproductive organ biomass accumulation rate remained unaffected under the changing planting density in both years, respectively. In 2017, J-4B had a 59.8%, 40.5%, 29.5%, and 18.6% higher reproductive organ biomass yield at the first boom, peak bloom, boll set, and plant removal stage, respectively, and a 51.6%, 27.2%, 19.4% greater biomass yield at the first bloom, boll set, and plant removal stage, respectively, over the Zhongmian-16 cultivar in 2018.



**Figure 5.** Changes in cotton plant reproductive organ biomass yield in response to planting density and cultivar in the 2017 and 2018 growing season at different developmental stages SD (n = 4).

## 3.3. Fiber Quality

Planting densities induced significant changes in the fiber quality parameters in both years (Table 2). Significant interactions of planting density × cultivar on fiber, length, and strength was observed (p < 0.05). The planting year had no significant main or interactive effect on the fiber quality traits. An increment in plant density significantly decreased the fiber length (mm), strength (cN/tex), and micronaire value. Plants under low density had greater length, strength. and micronaire when compared with the high planting density. Planting density had no significant effect on elongation (%) and uniformity index (%) in both years. Across the cultivars, Zhongmian-16 had better fiber strength compared to J-4B; but the fiber length, micronaire elongation (%), and uniformity index remained unaffected in 2017. The interaction between density and cultivar was significant for the fiber length, strength, and micronaire in 2017. Zhongmian-16 under low density produced better length, strength, and micronaire followed by the other counterparts. Under high density plants, the uniformity index increased considerably over low and moderate crops, but the strength and elongation (%) remained unaffected in the 2018 growing season.

Treatment	Length	Strength	Micronaire	Elongation	Uniformity Index
	(mm)	(cN/tex)	value	(%)	(%)
Year 2017					
Density (D)					
D1 (low)	30.7a	31.9a	4.1a	6.5a	81.8a
D2 (moderate)	28.5b	29.4b	3.4b	6.5a	82.8a
D3 (high)	25.0c	27.8c	3.1b	6.5a	82.2a
Variety (V)					
V1 (Zhongmian-16)	28.2a	30.2a	3.6a	6.5a	82.0a
V2 (J-4B)	28.0a	29.2b	3.4a	6.5a	82.5a
Interaction					
D1V1	32.5a	33.9a	4.5a	6.5ab	81.5a
D1V2	28.9b	30.0b	3.7b	6.4b	82.1a
D2V1	28.3b	28.4b	3.2bc	6.5ab	82.4a
D2V2	28.7b	30.4ab	3.5bc	6.6a	83.1a

**Table 2.** Fiber quality attributes in response to planting density and cultivar during the 2017 and 2018 growing seasons.

Treatment	Length	Strength	Micronaire	Elongation	Uniformity Index
	(mm)	(cN/tex)	value	(%)	(%)
D3V1	23.7c	28.5b	3.0c	6.5ab	82.1a
D3V2	26.4b	27.1b	3.3c	6.5ab	82.2a
Year 2018					
D1 (low)	29.2a	28.0a	3.8a	6.5a	82.1c
D2 (moderate)	28.8a	29.1a	3.3a	6.5a	82.7b
D3 (high)	25.6b	26.7b	3.0c	6.6a	83.5a
V1	27.7a	29.3a	3.4a	6.5a	82.6a
V2	28.1a	27.2b	3.4a	6.5a	82.9a
D1V1	29.4a	29.4ab	3.9a	6.6ab	81.7d
D1V2	29.1a	26.6c	3.7a	6.4b	82.6bc
D2V1	29.0a	31.4a	3.2b	6.5ab	83.2c
D2V2	28.6ab	26.7c	3.3a	6.4ab	82.7cd
D3V1	24.7c	27.1bc	3.0b	6.5ab	83.0b
D3V2	26.5bc	28.2bc	3.1b	6.6ab	83.9a
Source of va	riance				
Y	8.218 ns	10.17 ns	0.100 ns	0.004 ns	3.300 ns
D	207.7 **	243.6 **	4.361 **	0.009 ns	2.507 ns
Y*D	0.764 ns	0.005 ns	0.006 ns	0.006 ns	2.108 ns
V	0.054 ns	14.31 **	0.147 ns	0.018 ns	4.341 *
Y*V	0.160 ns	0.034 ns	0.003 ns	0.001 ns	0.380 ns
D*V	13.98 **	25.79 **	0.503 **	0.027 ns	0.352 ns
Y*D*V	0.653 ns	0.202 ns	0.076 ns	0.017 ns	1.173 ns

Table 2. Cont.

Alphabets within columns followed by the same letter are statistically insignificant at the 0.05 level. \*\* significant at p < 0.01 and \* significant p < 0.05; ns, non-significant.

## 3.4. Phosphorus Accumulation

Both cultivar and planting density considerably influenced cotton plant phosphorus (P) accumulation at different growth stages (Figure 6). Significant changes in P acquisition were noted under different planting densities. Cotton plant total P accumulation rates reached its peak during the boll opening stage, but remained stable at the plant removal stage under densely populated plants compared with moderate and low density. J-4B had a higher P accumulation when compared with the Zhongmian-16 cultivar. With increasing planting density, cotton plant vegetative organ P accumulation was significantly increased, and the P uptake of Zhongmian-16 was lower than that of the J-4B cultivar (Figure 6). The differences among the treatments were larger when the plant transitioned from one stage to another. Similar to total plant P accumulation, high density resulted in greater vegetative P accumulation rates when compared with low and moderate density. Across cultivars, the P accumulated rate for Zhongmian-16 slowed more than that of J-4B over time.

P acquisition in reproductive structures was increased in the early growth stages and then decreased later in the season. The rate of P accumulation remained similar at the squaring and first bloom stage across the treatments. As the plant growth proceeded toward maturity, the differences between the treatments became clearer. Moderate planting density had a higher reproductive organ P uptake at all developmental phases than those of low and high density crops. Between the cultivars, J-4B accumulated more reproductive organ P at the first bloom, peak bloom, boll opening, and plant removal stages over Zhongmian-16.



**Figure 6.** Changes in cotton plant phosphorus uptake in response to planting density and cultivar in the 2018 growing season at different developmental stages. (A–C) indicates vegetative organ, reproductive organ and total plant phosphorus accumulation, respectively. SD (n = 4).

## 3.5. Simulation of Phosphorus Uptake

Simulation of phosphorus (*p*) acquisition with days after emergence was assessed using Equation (1). The determination of the coefficient was significant when *p* values were <0.005 with changes in the equation coefficients among the treatments (Table 3). Cotton plant *p* accumulation was calculated using Equations (2) and (4), which show the start and termination day of cotton plant P acquisition under different planting densities and cultivars, as shown in Table 4. Crops under high density conditions had a higher average and maximum P uptake rate followed by moderate and low density crops, respectively. Furthermore, the J-4B cultivar coupled with higher density (D3V2) had a fast P accumulation rate at 78 days after emergence (DAE) and terminated at 98 DAE followed by D2V2 > D2V1 > D1V2 > D1V1, respectively. J-4B with high density showed both a higher average (1.9  $V_{\rm M}$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), and maximum (2.2  $V_{\rm M}$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) total plant P accumulation rate when compared with other counterparts (Table 4). Zhongmian-16 with low density resulted in a lower average and maximum rate of P accumulation during the whole crop cycle.

**Table 3.** Regression equations of cotton plant phosphorus accumulation at various planting densities on cultivar.

Items	Treatment	<b>Regression Equation</b>	p Value
Cotton plant			
Ĩ	D1V1	$Y = 25.8592/(1 + 5.3998e^{-0.069361t})$	0.0067
	D1V2	$Y = 28.8033/(1 + 7.2515e^{-0.090655t})$	0.0045
	D2V1	$Y = 33.8419/(1 + 3.6664e^{-0.044682t})$	0.0091
	D2V2	$Y = 38.0327/(1 + 5.1905e^{-0.069398t})$	0.0044
	D3V1	$Y = 40.0233/(1 + 3.7972e^{-0.05563t})$	0.0078
	D3V2	$Y = 49.3420/(1 + 6.4825e^{-0.095022t})$	0.0058

Treatment	<b>Regression Equation</b>	p Value
D1V1	$Y = 11.0623/(1 + 4.4306e^{-e0.055937t})$	0.0065
D1V2	$Y = 12.7236/(1 + 5.4970e^{-0.065756t})$	0.0044
D2V1	$Y = 16.0106/(1 + 5.2762e^{-0.068643t})$	0.0004
D2V2	$Y = 18.4841/(1 + 4.9136e^{-0.060650t})$	0.0045
D3V1	$Y = 24.2157/(1 + 3.3978e^{-0.040419t})$	0.0067
D3V2	$Y = 28.6854/(1 + 4.1888e^{-0.050052t})$	0.0006
D1V1	$Y = 15.5702/(1 + 17.8065e^{-0.194612t})$	0.0003
D1V2	$Y = 17.4225/(1 + 13.5270e^{-0.137846t})$	0.0009
D2V1	$Y = 18.1896/(1 + 40.9772e^{-0.431232t})$	0.0024
D2V2	$Y = 21.1415/(1 + 21.9906e^{-0.245461t})$	0.0071
D3V1	$Y = 12.0661/(1 + 12.9458e^{-0.128539t})$	0.0002
D3V2	$Y = 14.4129/(1 + 19.1064e^{-0.199205t})$	0.0003
	Treatment           D1V1           D1V2           D2V1           D2V2           D3V1           D3V2           D1V1           D1V2           D2V1           D3V2	TreatmentRegression EquationD1V1 $Y = 11.0623/(1 + 4.4306e^{-e0.055937t})$ D1V2 $Y = 12.7236/(1 + 5.4970e^{-0.065756t})$ D2V1 $Y = 16.0106/(1 + 5.2762e^{-0.068643t})$ D2V2 $Y = 18.4841/(1 + 4.9136e^{-0.060650t})$ D3V1 $Y = 24.2157/(1 + 3.3978e^{-0.040419t})$ D3V2 $Y = 28.6854/(1 + 4.1888e^{-0.050052t})$ D1V1 $Y = 15.5702/(1 + 17.8065e^{-0.194612t})$ D1V2 $Y = 17.4225/(1 + 13.5270e^{-0.137846t})$ D2V1 $Y = 18.1896/(1 + 40.9772e^{-0.431232t})$ D2V2 $Y = 21.1415/(1 + 21.9906e^{-0.245461t})$ D3V1 $Y = 12.0661/(1 + 12.9458e^{-0.128539t})$ D3V2 $Y = 14.4129/(1 + 19.1064e^{-0.199205t})$

Table 3. Cont.

Table 4. Eigenvalues of cotton phosphorus uptake under different planting densities and cultivar.

Turaturat		Fast Accun	Fastest Accumulation Point			
Ireatment	t <sub>1</sub> DAE	t <sub>2</sub> DAE	T d	$V_T$ kg·ha <sup>-1</sup> ·d <sup>-1</sup>	$V_{\rm M}~{ m kg}\cdot{ m ha^{-1}}\cdot{ m d^{-1}}$	at DAE
Cotton plant						
D1V1	55.6	98.5	43.0	0.5	0.6	82.1
D1V2	69.3	88.8	19.5	0.7	1.0	76.1
D2V1	58.9	96.8	38.0	0.9	1.0	77.9
D2V2	44.6	91.9	47.3	1.2	1.4	68.3
D3V1	71.2	95.0	23.7	1.5	1.6	89.6
D3V2	78.0	98.3	20.4	1.9	2.2	95.0
Average	62.9	94.8	31.8	1.1	1.3	81.5
Vegetative organs						
D1V1	55.7	102.8	47.1	0.1	0.2	79.2
D1V2	63.6	103.6	40.1	0.3	0.4	83.6
D2V1	59.3	102.7	43.4	0.4	0.5	81.0
D2V2	57.7	96.0	38.4	0.5	0.4	76.9
D3V1	60.5	103.3	43.6	0.6	0.6	84.1
D3V2	57.4	98.5	41.2	0.8	1.0	83.7
Average	59.0	101.1	42.3	0.4	0.5	81.4
Reproductive organs						
DIV1	82.3	104.5	22.2	0.4	0.7	95.9
D1V2	55.8	93.8	38.0	0.6	0.6	74.8
D2V1	65.5	94.5	29.1	0.6	0.7	80.0
D2V2	54.4	82.1	27.7	0.8	1.0	68.2
D3V1	90.5	111.0	20.5	0.3	0.4	100.7
D3V2	88.6	107.7	19.1	0.5	0.6	98.1
Average	72.8	98.9	26.1	0.5	0.7	86.1

DAE, days after emergence (d);  $t_1$ , start time;  $t_2$ , terminated time; and  $V_M$ , maximum rate of phosphorus uptake;  $V_T$ , average rate of phosphorus uptake.

Moreover, planting densities induced changes in the vegetative organ P accumulation progress during the whole growth season. The high vegetative organ P accumulation period for D3V2 treatment was initiated at 57 DAE and terminated at 98 DAE. The J-4B cultivar in combination with high density had both the highest average ( $0.8 V_T$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) and maximum ( $1.0 V_M$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) P acquisition rate followed by D2V2 > D2V1 > D1V2 > D1V1, respectively.

Similarly, the reproductive organ P accumulation rate was considerably influenced by the changes in planting density (Table 4). High planting density significantly decreased reproductive organ P acquisition when compared with low and high density crops. Reproductive organ P uptake of J-4B at a moderate density began the fast accumulation period at 54 DAE and terminated at 82 DAE with relatively higher average ( $0.8 V_T \text{ kg} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ) and maximum ( $1.0 V_T \text{ kg} \cdot \text{ha}^{-1} \cdot \text{d}^{-1}$ ) rates compared with the other treatments.

#### 4. Discussion

The main objective of this study was to determine how planting density influenced cotton plant fiber quality, biomass yield, and phosphorus distribution at various developmental stages under moderately populated cotton with the single fertilization of late planted cotton. An efficient biomass production is the prerequisite for cotton lint yield formation. In this study, the biomass accumulation rate was higher in 2017 when compared with the 2018 growing season, which might be due to adverse environmental conditions in 2018 (Figure 1) that negatively influenced all plant performance. High planting density had a higher total and vegetative organ biomass rather than reproductive structure biomass. Moderately populated plants had a significantly higher reproductive organ biomass yield over low and high density crops. Planting density did not influence reproductive organ biomass accumulation during the early growth phases, but the boll filling stage was significantly affected by planting density. The increment in reproductive biomass accumulation may be associated with greater reproductive organ phosphorus acquisition with sustained reproductive organ formation. The tissue nutrient concentration indicates plant nutrient status and is used in the estimation of utilization efficiency in dry matter formation [32]. More potassium uptake can sustain reproductive organ formation under medium crops [8] and nitrogen acquisition can improve the leaf photosynthetic capacity of moderately populated crops [27]. Higher biomass under dense population was the result of more plants per unit area with a larger canopy structure and vegetative growth. These data are consistent with previous research where dense plants result in greater biomass yield [33,34]. Low reproductive organ production in densely populated crops may be associated with low light transmission to the lower parts of plant, which led to reduced temperature and increased relative humidity within the plant canopy, which in turn increased fruit shedding when compared with other treatments; thus both dense and low planting density had decreased reproductive structure production [35]. Between the cultivars, J-4B had higher total and reproductive organ biomass accumulation rates when compared with Zhongmian-16. The increase in biomass formation for J-4B might be due to the higher fruiting node number, which was observed in this study. Second, the variation in biomass formation might be associated with the genetic variability in plant growth.

Soil phosphorus availability plays a crucial role in sustaining plant growth and physiological functioning. However, plant nutrient acquisition varies in the amount and rate with the plant flourishment [36]. In the present study, plants under high density had higher total phosphorus accumulation at various developmental stages. This increment might be associated with the plant competitiveness for available resources, which in turn, increased phosphorus acquisition. Phosphorus deficient crops led to a decline in the phosphorus and nitrogen utilization efficiency of biomass formation, and was mainly attributed with a reduction in the biomass relative to the tissue phosphorus concentration [37]. Phosphorus utility is mainly restricted by phosphorus absorption and accumulation in different organs [22,38]. The assimilate formation and allocation toward plant organs were closely related with phosphorus utility [39]. Higher P accumulation promoted assimilate production [40]. P accumulation in the leaf [40]. Despite a higher rate of nutrient uptake per unit area, plants under high density may have lower nutrient accumulation on a single plant basis [36]. Similarly, densely populated crops favor higher nitrogen accumulation in vegetative structures at early developmental phases, but crops at a moderate density result in more nitrogen in the reproductive organs [41].

Cotton canopy architectural characteristics include the size, shape and orientation of shoots, plants resistance to pests, adaptability, plant density, and cultivar requirements [42]. In this study, the planting density and variety significantly changed the cotton plant morphological characteristics. Generally, densely populated crops produced taller stems and more fruiting branches with a lower height to node ratio than in the low and medium density crops. This could be attributed to the late season boll load rather than early season, which is consistent with the previous findings of [42]. However, these characteristics were negatively affected on an individual plant basis for J-4B, which had more fruiting branches, a greater height to node ratio, and produced smaller plants when compared with

Zhongmian-16. The increments in these parameters may be associated with cooler night temperatures that promote fruiting branch initiation and buds and the increase in competitiveness between plants for available resources [29,43]. Plant growth attributes suggest that reducing plant density can be used as an additional management option in conjunction with the use of plant growth regulator to help control plant height.

Cotton fiber is initiated from a single cell on the external epidermis of seeds at anthesis. Fiber quality parameters are strongly influenced by planting density and the cultivar [44], or environmental factors [45]. In the present study, planting density and variety had a significant effect on cotton fiber quality traits. Low or moderately dense plants were the most beneficial and produced longer fiber length, strength, and greater micronaire values when compared with high density. The cotton fiber elongation (%) and uniformity index (%) remained unaffected. The improved fiber attributes might be attributed to greater reproductive structure P acquisition during the flowering stage, which led to a higher source to sink ratio and promoted boll development when compared with high density. Our data are in good agreement with [46], who also reported desirable fiber micronaire and length at low density compared with high density. The lower fiber quality under high density might be due to the lower photosynthetic rate, which resulted in a low carbohydrate supply during fiber formation. Lower plant population levels can reduce fiber length at the canopy level with respect to fruiting position [47]. Conversely, [29] suggested that a longer fiber length and lower uniformity percentage could be achieved under high density crops.

#### 5. Conclusions

In the present study, sowing density and cultivar considerably influenced the growth and physiology of the cotton crop. Moderate density plants resulted in higher reproductive structure biomass accumulation at the peak bloom, boll set, and maturity stage when compared with high density crops. This gain in reproductive organ biomass formation under moderate density was the result of greater phosphorus uptake at different developmental stages. Planting density did not influence reproductive organ biomass accumulation during the early reproductive phase, but the boll filling was significantly affected by planting density. An increment in sowing imposed adverse effects on the fiber quality characteristics. Low or moderate density crops produced a higher fiber quality than high density crops. In conclusion, the J-4B cultivar at moderate density ( $6 \times 10^4$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) is a good management strategy in terms of improved biomass formation, phosphorus uptake, and fiber quality with once only nitrogen fertilization during the flowering stage under a short growing season in subtropical regions in China.

**Author Contributions:** A.K. and R.Z. conceived the main idea. A.K. conducted the experiment and wrote the first draft. X.K. and J.Z. helped during experimentation. U.N., D.K.Y.T. and K.A. revised the manuscript and provided useful suggestion. F.M. processed and analyzed the data.

**Funding:** We are thankful for the financial support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant nos. 31360348 and 2019M653809XB).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in data collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

- 1. Han, H.Y.; Deng, F.J.; Li, B.C.; Yang, B.Y.; Yang, L.Y.; Lin, H.; Wang, X.T. Effect of plant density on cotton yield and quality in Xinjiang. *Jiangsu J. Agric. Sci.* **2009**, *4*, 98–100.
- 2. Dong, H.Z.; Li, W.J.; Eneji, A.E.; Zhang, D.M. Nitrogen rate and plant density effects on yield and late-season leaf senescence of cotton raised on a saline field. *Field Crops Res.* **2012**, *126*, 137–144. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Niu, Y.; Zhao, R.; Han, C.; Han, H.; Luo, H. The combination of limited irrigation and high plant density optimizes canopy structure and improves the water use efficiency of cotton. *Agric. Water Manag.* 2019, 218, 139–148. [CrossRef]

- 4. Yang, G.Z.; Jiao, L.X.; Chun, N.Y.; Long, Z.X. Effects of Plant Density on Yield and canopy micro environment in hybrid cotton. *J. Integr. Agric.* 2014, *13*, 2154–2163. [CrossRef]
- Tung, S.A.; Huang, Y.; Hafeez, A.; Ali, S.; Khan, A.; Souliyanonh, B.; Yang, G. Mepiquat chloride effects on cotton yield and biomass accumulation under late sowing and high density. *Field Crops Res.* 2018, 215, 59–65. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Tao, X.; Khan, A.; Tan, D.K.Y.; Luo, H. Biomass accumulation, photosynthetic traits and root development of cotton as affected by irrigation and nitrogen-fertilization. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018, *9*, 173. [CrossRef]
- 7. Ali, H.; Afzal, M.N.; Ahmad, S.; Muhammad, D. Effect of cultivars and sowing dates on yield and quality of (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Crop Food Agric. Environ. **2009**, *7*, 244–247.
- 8. Khan, A.; Najeeb, U.; Wang, L. Planting density and sowing date strongly influence growth and lint yield of cotton crops. *Field Crops Res.* **2017**, *209*, 129–135. [CrossRef]
- 9. Tariq, M.; Afzal, M.N.; Muhammad, D.; Ahmad, S.; Shahzad, A.N.; Kiran, A.; Wakeel, A. Relationship of tissue potassium content with yield and fiber quality components of Bt cotton as influenced by potassium application methods. *Field Crops Res.* **2018**, *229*, 37–43. [CrossRef]
- 10. Marcelis, L.F.M.; Heuvelink, E.; Hofman-Eijer, L.R.B.; Bakker, J.D.; Xue, L.B. Flower and fruit abortion in sweet pepper in relation to source and sink strength. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2004**, *55*, 2261–2268. [CrossRef]
- 11. Wingler, A.; Purdy, S.; MacLean, J.A.; Pourtau, N. The role of sugars in integrating environmental signals during the regulation of leaf senescence. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2006**, *57*, 391–399. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shah, A.N.; Yang, G.; Tanveer, M.; Iqbal, J. Leaf gas exchange, source—Sink relationship, and growth response of cotton to the interactive effects of nitrogen rate and planting density. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 2017, *39*, 119. [CrossRef]
- 13. Yin, X.; Goudriaan, J.; Lantinga, E.A.; Vos, J.; Spiertz, J.H.J. A flexible sigmoid function of determinate growth. *Ann. Bot.* **2003**, *91*, 361–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Mao, L.; Zhang, L.; Sun, X.; van der Werf, W.; Evers, J.B.; Zhao, X.; Li, Z. Use of the beta growth function to quantitatively characterize the effects of plant density and a growth regulator on growth and biomass partitioning in cotton. *Field Crops Res.* **2018**, *224*, 28–36. [CrossRef]
- 15. Baytar, A.A.; Peynircioğlu, C.; Sezener, V.; Basal, H.; Frary, A.; Frary, A.; Doğanlar, S. Identification of stable QTLs for fiber quality and plant structure in Upland cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.) under drought stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.* **2018**, *124*, 776–786. [CrossRef]
- 16. Bradow, J.M.; Bauer, P.J.; Hinojosa, O.; Sassenrath-Cole, G. Quantitation of cotton fibre-quality variations arising from boll and plant growth environments. *Eur. J. Agron.* **1997**, *6*, 191–204. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yeates, S.J.; Constable, G.A.; McCumstie, T. Irrigated cotton in the tropical dry season. III: Impact of temperature, cultivar and sowing date on fiber quality. *Field Crops Res.* **2010**, *116*, 300–307. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zhang, D.; Luo, Z.; Liu, S.; Li, W.; Dong, H. Effects of deficit irrigation and plant density on the growth, yield and fiber quality of irrigated cotton. *Field Crops Res.* **2016**, *197*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 19. Shu, H.M.; Wang, Y.H.; Zhang, W.J.; Zhou, Z.G. Activity changes of enzymes associated with fiber development and relationship with fiber specific strength in two cotton cultivars. *Acta Agron. Sin.* 2008, *34*, 437–446. [CrossRef]
- 20. Cao, T.-V.; Oumarou, P.; Gawrysiak, G.; Klassou, C.; Hau, B. Short-season cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) may be a suitable response to late planting in sub-Saharan regions. *Field Crops Res.* **2011**, *120*, 9–20. [CrossRef]
- 21. Liu, J.R.; Meng, Y.L.; Chen, B.L.; Zhou, Z.G.; Ma, Y.N.; Lv, F.J.; Chen, J.; Wang, Y.H. Photosynthetic characteristics of the subtending leaf and the relationships with lint yield and fiber quality in the late-planted cotton. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* **2015**, *37*, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 22. Wang, J.; Chen, Y.; Wang, P.; Li, Y.S.; Wang, G.; Liu, P.; Khan, A. Leaf gas exchange, phosphorus uptake, growth and yield responses of cotton cultivars to different phosphorus rates. *Photosynthetica* **2018**, *56*, 1414–1421. [CrossRef]
- 23. Wang, J.; Ping, L.; Liu, Z.; Wu, Z.; Li, Y.; Guan, X. Dry matter accumulation and phosphorus efficiency response of cotton cultivars to phosphorus and drought. *J. Plant Nutr.* **2017**, *40*, 2349–2357.
- 24. Luo, H.H.; Merope, T.M.; Zhang, Y.L. Combining gas exchange and chlorophylla lfuorescence measurements to analyze the photosynthetic activity of drip-irrigated cotton under different soil water deifcits. *J. Agric. Sci.* **2016**, *15*, 1256–1266.

- 25. Singh, S.K.; Badgujar, G.B.; Reddy, V.R. Effect of phosphorus nutrition on growth and physiology of cotton under ambient and elevated carbon dioxide. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* **2013**, *199*, 436–448. [CrossRef]
- 26. Wang, G.; Zheng, C.S.; Li, P.C. Effects of soil olsen-P levels on the dry matter accumulation and carbon and nitrogen metabolism of cotton plant at seedling stage. *Cotton Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 609–618.
- 27. Khan, A.; Wang, L.; Ali, S.; Tung, S.A.; Hafeez, A.; Yang, G. Optimal planting density and sowing date can improve cotton yield by maintaining reproductive organ biomass and enhancing potassium uptake. *Field Crops Res.* **2017**, *214*, 164–174. [CrossRef]
- Luo, Z.; Liu, H.; Li, W.; Zhao, Q.; Dai, J.; Tian, L.; Dong, H. Effects of reduced nitrogen rate on cotton yield and nitrogen use efficiency as mediated by application mode or plant density. *Field Crops Res.* 2018, 218, 150–157. [CrossRef]
- 29. Yao, H.; Zhang, Y.; Yi, X.; Zuo, W.; Lei, Z.; Sui, L.; Zhang, W. Characters in light-response curves of canopy photosynthetic use efficiency of light and N in responses to plant density in field-grown cotton. *Field Crops Res.* **2017**, 203, 192–200. [CrossRef]
- Bremer, J.M.; Mulvaney, C.S. Nitrogen Total. In *Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological* Properties; American Society of Agronomy Inc.; Soil Science Society of America Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 1982; pp. 595–624.
- 31. Yang, G.; Tang, H.; Nie, Y.; Zhang, X. Response of cotton growth, yield, and biomass to nitrogen split application ratio. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2011**, *35*, 164–170. [CrossRef]
- 32. Israel, D.W.; Rufty, T.W. Influence of phosphorus nutrition on phosporus and nitrogen utilization efficiencies and associated physiological responses in soybean. *Crop Sci.* **1988**, *28*, 954–960. [CrossRef]
- 33. Dai, J.; Li, W.; Tang, W.; Zhang, D.; Li, Z.; Lu, H.; Eneji, A.E.; Dong, H. Manipulation of dry matter accumulation and partitioning with plant density in relation to yield stability of cotton under intensive management. *Field Crops Res.* **2015**, *180*, 207–215. [CrossRef]
- 34. Brodrick, R.; Bange, M.P.; Milroy, S.P.; Hammer, G.L. Physiological determinants of high yielding ultra-narrow row cotton: Biomass accumulation and partitioning. *Field Crops Res.* **2015**, *134*, 122–129. [CrossRef]
- 35. Darawsheh, M.K.; Chachalis, D.; Aivalakis, G.; Khah, E.M. Cotton row spacing and plant density cropping systems II. Effects on seed cotton yield, boll components and lint quality. *J. Food Agric. Environ.* **2009**, *7*, 262–265.
- 36. Xue, J.; Li, M.Y.; Ning, S.; Wei-shao, S.; Zhou, Z. Effects of planting density on uptake and utilization of NPK of transgenic cotton. *Plant Nutr. Fert.* **2013**, *19*, 179–186.
- Singh, S.K.; Reddy, V.R. Combined effects of phosphorus nutrition and elevated carbon dioxide concentration on chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthesis, and nutrient efficiency of cotton. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* 2014, 177, 892–902. [CrossRef]
- Chen, B.L.; Sheng, J.D.; Jiang, P.A.; Liu, Y.G. Effect of applying different forms and rates of phosphoric fertilizer on phosphorus efficiency and cotton yield. *Cotton Sci.* 2010, 22, 49–56.
- 39. Dannowski, M.; Block, A. Fractal geometry and root system structures of heterogeneous plant communities. *Plant Soil* **2005**, 272, 61–76. [CrossRef]
- 40. Yan, B.; Wu, B.; Gao, Y.; Wu, J.; Niu, J.; Xie, Y.; Zhang, Z. Effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on the regulation of nonstructural carbohydrate accumulation, translocation and the yield formation of oilseed flax. *Field Crops Res.* **2018**, *219*, 229–241. [CrossRef]
- 41. Liu, R.X.; Shi, W.; Xu, L.H.; Yang, C.Q.; Guo, W.Q.; Zhang, P.T. Effects of planting density on dry matter and nitrogen accumulation and distribution of cotton. *Jiangsu J. Agric. Sci.* **2011**, *2*, 106–113.
- 42. Kaggwa-Asiimwe, R.; Andrade-Sanchez, P.; Wang, G. Plant architecture influences growth and yield response of upland cotton to population density. *Field Crops Res.* **2013**, *145*, 52–59. [CrossRef]
- 43. Sawan, Z.M. Plant density; plant growth retardants: Its direct and residual effects on cotton yield and fiber properties. *Cogent Biol.* **2016**, *2*, 1234959. [CrossRef]
- Yao, H.; Zhang, Y.; Yi, X. Plant density alters nitrogen partitioning among photosynthetic components, leaf photosynthetic capacity and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency in field-grown cotton. *Field Crops Res.* 2015, 184, 39–49. [CrossRef]
- 45. Read, J.J.; Reddy, K.R.; Jenkins, J.N. Yield and fiber quality of upland cotton as influenced by nitrogen and potassium nutrition. *Eur. J. Agron.* **2006**, *24*, 282–290. [CrossRef]

- Jones, M.A.; Wells, R. Fiber yield and quality of cotton grown at two divergent population densities. *Crop Sci.* 1998, 38, 1190–1195. [CrossRef]
- 47. Bednarz, C.W.; Nichols, R.L.; Brown, S.M. Plant density modifications of cotton within-boll yield components. *Crop Sci.* **2006**, *46*, 2076–2080. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).