

Supplementary Material

Table 1S Characteristics of the root system of the five wheat cultivars used in this study. Data are from a previous semi-hydroponic phenotyping study, measured in four plants per genotype at the onset of tillering (Z2.1)

Name	Seminal root number	Total root length (cm plant ⁻¹)	Root biomass (mg plant ⁻¹)	Maximum root depth (cm)	Root size category
Bahatans-87	12	3191	278	123	Large
Ghurka	10	3104	293	122	Large
Hartog	10	1926	138	109	Medium
Harper	9	915	55	85	Small
Tincurrin	6	670	51	86	Small

Table 2S Cumulative root length of five cultivars measured by root mapping (non-invasive) at 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56 and 63 days after sowing (DAS).

Cultivars	Cumulative root length (m)								
	14		28		56		63		
	7 DAS	DAS	21 DAS	DAS	35 DAS	42 DAS	49 DAS	DAS	DAS
Ghurka	0.31	0.81	1.42	2.24	3.46 b	6.28 ab	8.06 ab	10.78 ab	11.94 ab
Tincurrin	0.22	0.52	1.09	1.85	3.36 b	6.10 ab	7.59 ab	8.32 c	10.07 cd
Hartog	0.29	0.75	1.23	1.95	3.33 b	5.78 ab	6.98 b	7.40 c	9.08 d
Harper	0.30	0.62	0.97	1.52	3.05 b	5.24 b	7.27 b	9.41 b	10.75 bc
Bahatans-87	0.26	0.76	1.45	2.13	4.50 a	7.13 a	8.84 a	10.93 a	12.50 a
LSD P<0.05	ns	ns	ns	ns	1.01	1.51	1.29	1.39	1.55

Data with the same letter indicate no significant difference between cultivars ($P<0.05$), ns= not significant.

Table 3S. Root biomass (RB), root length density (RLD) and specific root length (SRL) down the soil profile at harvest at 63 DAS. Measurements were made destructively in 0.2 m sections from the top to the bottom of each rhizobox.

Soil depth (m)	Cultivar	RB (g)	RLD (cm cm ⁻³)	SRL (m g ⁻¹)
0 - 0.2	Ghurka	0.84 a	21.84 ab	172 d
	Tincurrin	0.48 b	17.21 cd	240 a
	Hartog	0.52 b	15.94 d	206 bc
	Harper	0.70 a	21.06 bc	181 cd
	Bahatans-87	0.72 a	25.67 a	215 ab
	LSD P<0.05	0.18	4.09	33

0.2 - 0.4	Ghurka	0.66 a	12.39 a	125 bc
	Tinticurrin	0.36 c	9.41 b	177 a
	Hartog	0.44 bc	10.10 b	157 ab
	Harper	0.44 bc	11.46 ab	159 ab
	Bahatans-87	0.58 ab	9.90 b	104 c
	LSD $P<0.05$	0.18	2.08	43
0.4-0.6	Ghurka	0.28 b	6.24 a	118 ab
	Tinticurrin	0.18 bc	4.77 ab	168 a
	Hartog	0.15 c	3.30 b	150 ab
	Harper	0.25 b	5.48 ab	130 ab
	Bahatans-87	0.47 a	6.91 a	90 b
	LSD $P<0.05$	0.17	2.72	63
0.6-0.8	Ghurka	0.07 b	0.73 b	79
	Tinticurrin	0.03 b	0.53 b	83
	Hartog	0.01 b	0.12 b	69
	Harper	0.04 b	0.39 b	71
	Bahatans-87	0.18 a	3.60 a	91
	LSD $P<0.05$	0.17	1.87	ns
0.8 - 1.0	Ghurka	0	0.02	
	Tinticurrin	0	0.00	
	Hartog	0	0.00	
	Harper	0	0.00	
	Bahatans-87	0.02	0.18	40
	LSD $P<0.05$	0.02	0.17	ns

Data with the same letter indicate no significant difference between cultivars ($P<0.05$), ns= not significant.

Mineral composition of the tap water

Parameter	Units	LOR
pH in water Method: AN101		
pH	pH Units	0.1
Conductivity and TDS by Calculation - Water Method: AN106		
Conductivity @ 25 °C	µS/cm	2
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) in water Method: AN113		
Total Dissolved Solids Dried at 180 °C	mg/L	10
Alkalinity Method: AN135		
Total Alkalinity as CaCO ₃	mg/L	5
Hydroxide Alkalinity as OH ⁻	mg/L	<5
Carbonate Alkalinity as CO ₃ ²⁻	mg/L	<1
Bicarbonate Alkalinity as HCO ₃ ⁻	mg/L	5
Fluoride by Ion Selective Electrode in Water Method: AN141		
Fluoride by ISE	mg/L	0.1
Chloride by Discrete Analyser in Water Method: AN274		
Chloride	mg/L	1
Sulphate in water Method: AN275		
Sulphate	mg/L	1
Nitrate Nitrogen and Nitrite Nitrogen (NO_x) by FIA Method: AN268		
Nitrate, NO ₃ ⁻ as NO _x	mg/L	0.05
Low Level Ammonia Nitrogen by FIA Method: AN261		
Ammonia Nitrogen, NH ₃ as N	mg/L	<0.005
Metals in Water (Dissolved) by ICPOES Method: AN320/AN321		
Aluminium, Al	mg/L	0.02
Barium, Ba	mg/L	0.01
Calcium, Ca	mg/L	0.2
Iron, Fe	mg/L	0.02
Lead, Pb	mg/L	0.005
Magnesium, Mg	mg/L	0.1
Manganese, Mn	mg/L	0.005
Potassium, K	mg/L	0.1
Silica, Soluble	mg/L	0.05
Silicon, Si	mg/L	0.02
Sodium, Na	mg/L	0.5
Strontrium, Sr	mg/L	0.005