



Beata Kuziemska *, Joanna Trębicka and Andrzej Wysokinski D

Faculty of Agrobioengineering and Animal Husbandry, Institute of Agriculture and Horticulture, Siedlce University of Natural Sciences and Humanities, 08110 Siedlce, Poland; joanna-trebicka@o2.pl (J.T.); andrzej.wysokinski@uph.edu.pl (A.W.)

* Correspondence: beata.kuziemska@uph.edu.pl

Abstract: Copper is a microelement involved in the metabolism of nitrogen compounds in plants. Good utilization of nitrogen from soil and fertilizers by plants requires an adequate supply of copper. The aim of the study was to determine the effect of increasing levels of copper (100, 200, and 300 mg Cu kg^{-1} of soil) applied together with various organic fertilizers (cattle manure, chicken manure, and spent mushroom substrate) on nitrogen content and uptake by cocksfoot (Dactylis glomerata L.) and the coefficient of nitrogen utilization from organic fertilizers. The pot experiment was carried out in three growing seasons (May-September) in greenhouse, and in this cocksfoot was grown and harvested four cuts in each year. Copper and organic fertilizers were applied once in the first year before sowing cocksfoot, and the after-effect was investigated in the second and third years. Application of different amounts of copper did not influence the nitrogen content in the biomass of cocksfoot. At the same time, soil application of this micronutrient in the amount of 100 mg $Cu \cdot kg^{-1}$ of soil caused an increase in nitrogen uptake in the biomass of cocksfoot. Application of 100 and 200 mg $Cu \cdot kg^{-1}$ of soil caused an increase in the coefficient of nitrogen utilization from the organic fertilizers, which was highest effect in the case of cattle manure. All of the organic materials used increased the content of nitrogen and its uptake by cocksfoot, but the greatest effect was noted following application of chicken manure. The study showed no synergistic or antagonistic relationships between copper and nitrogen.

Keywords: cattle manure; chicken manure; heavy metals; nitrogen utilization coefficient; spent mushroom substrate; synergism; antagonism

1. Introduction

Pollution of the natural environment with heavy metals is a major threat to human life and health [1-6]. The increase in the content of these elements in the water, soil and air is due mainly to the development of heavy industry, improper waste management, fertilizers, and plant protection products [7,8]. The mobility and availability of heavy metals for plants depend on numerous soil properties, such as grain-size distribution, pH, organic matter content, content of iron and manganese oxides, adsorption capacity, and type of heavy metal [9,10]. One heavy metal whose content in the environment changes dynamically is copper, which has both natural and anthropogenic sources [11–14]. It is well known that organic matter plays a very important role in the binding of copper, which has a major impact on its availability and toxicity [15–19]. It is an essential microelement for the plants but can be toxic to them if taken up in excessive amounts [20–23]. Copper in plants functions as an activator of numerous enzymes that catalyze oxidation reactions involving molecular oxygen. It is a component of oxidoreductase (polyphenols, cytochrome and ascorbic acid), takes part in photosynthesis, respiration, and cell wall metabolism, protects against oxidative stress, and is involved in seed reproduction and protein formation [24–26]. It is also involved in metabolism of nitrogen compounds; plants require an adequate supply of copper for optimal utilization of mineral nitrogen from the soil and fertilizer [27]. This is especially important



Citation: Kuziemska, B.; Trebicka, J.; Wysokinski, A. Uptake and Utilization of Nitrogen from Organic Fertilizers Influenced by Different Doses of Copper. *Agronomy* **2021**, *11*, 1219. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy11061219

Academic Editors: José María García-Mina and Jörg Gerke

Received: 13 May 2021 Accepted: 13 June 2021 Published: 15 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). because nitrogen is one of the most important elements for plant growth and development. At the same time, the efficiency of its utilization from fertilizer is low, on average 25–50% for mineral fertilizers [6]. Despite the major physiological role of copper in plants, it has a tendency to accumulate. In excessive amounts it causes metabolic disturbances [20]. Excess copper interferes with electron transport and chlorophyll synthesis, causes chlorosis, necrosis, and leaf discolouration, and inhibits root growth, thus limiting the development of the plant and reducing yield [28–31]. The phytotoxicity of copper for plants can be reduced by introducing organic material to the soil to chelate it [32,33]. Both the chelation reaction and the effectiveness of soil organic matter in the chelating process are pH-dependent, and generally a more alkaline soil will be less affected by high Cu levels. In addition to organic matter application, lime may also be added in order to raise the pH and aid the Cu removal process by which Cu is removed [33].

Proper plant growth and development require not only an appropriate concentration of copper in the tissues, but also suitable proportions of copper and other elements, due to antagonistic and synergistic interactions. Antagonism occurs most often between copper and zinc, copper and iron, copper and phosphorus, and copper and calcium [34].

Although copper takes part in metabolism of nitrogen compounds and potentially can affect the content and uptake of nitrogen by plants, the literature contains little information on the antagonistic and synergistic interactions between these elements, and the information available is often conflicting [35–37]. Data are also lacking on effect of copper application on nitrogen utilization from organic fertilizers. Therefore, a study was conducted to determine the effect of various amounts of copper applied together with cattle manure, chicken manure, or spent mushroom substrate on nitrogen content and uptake by cocksfoot and the efficiency of nitrogen utilization from these fertilizers. It was hypothesized that there are antagonistic and/or synergistic relationships between copper and nitrogen, and that copper influences the efficiency of nitrogen utilization from organic fertilizers.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Field Experiment

A three-year pot experiment was conducted in a vegetation hall in three years: 2014, 2015, 2016. To the pots with a capacity of 10 dm³, 12 kg of Luvisols soil consisting of 71% sand, 24% silt, and 5% clay were introduced. Two factors were tested in an experiment with a completely randomized design. The first factor was the copper application rate: no copper application—control (0) and 100, 200 and 300 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil. Copper was introduced to the soil once, prior to sowing of the test plant, only in the first year, in the form of an aqueous solution of CuSO₄·5H₂O. The second factor was organic fertilizer: control without organic fertilizer (CO) and cattle manure (CM), chicken manure from laying hens (ChM), and spent mushroom substrate (MS). These fertilizers were applied separately, once, in the first year, two weeks before sowing of the cocksfoot, in the dose amounted 2 g Corg kg^{-1} of soil. Selected properties of the organic substances used are presented in Table 1. The nitrogen content in the cattle manure and mushrooms substrate was similar, and in the chicken manure it was lower. The soil used in the experiment was characterized by pH = 6.7, total nitrogen 1.48 g·kg⁻¹, organic carbon 16.10 g·kg⁻¹, available phosphorus 189 mg·kg⁻¹, available potassium 110 mg·kg⁻¹, and total copper content 12.93 mg·kg⁻¹. Cocksfoot (Dactylis glomerata L.) of the Amera variety was grown in all treatments in each year of the study. The seeds were sown every year in the first decade of May. Their aboveground parts were harvested four times in each year (4 cuts) at 30-day intervals.

Organic	Organic Dry Matter <u>C_{org}</u> Fertilizers (%)	Corg	N _{tot}	Р	К	Ca	Mg	S	– C •N.	Cu ma ka-1 dm
Fertilizers			$g \cdot kg^{-1} dm$				Corg	Cu ing Kg Cuin		
Cattle manure	20.0	394.5	23.70	6.50	17.02	11.28	3.24	3.68	16.6:1	5.97
Chicken manure	29.0	160.3	14.10	8.74	9.10	13.59	2.43	3.07	11.4:1	42.98
Mushroom substrate	31.0	315.7	24.50	6.14	17.20	45.18	3.12	26.20	12.9:1	15.61

 Table 1. Chemical composition of organic fertilizers used in pot experiment.

2.2. Laboratory Analyses

Laboratory analyses were performed according to the methods presented by Krzywy-Gawronska [38]. In each organic fertilizer sample the following were determined: dry matter (dm at 105 °C), total nitrogen content by the CHN method (CHN autoanalyzer with IDC detector, Series II 2400, Perkin-Elmer, CA, USA), and the content of P, K, Ca, Mg, S and Cu by the ICP-AES method (Optima 3200 RL, Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) after dry mineralization of samples at 500 °C. The following were determined in the soil: pH (1 mol·dm³ KCl), C organic by the Tiurin method, N total content by CHN analysis, as above, Cu total by ICP-AES as above, following mineralization in a mixture of HCl and HNO₃ (3:1), and available phosphorus and potassium by the Egner–Riehm method. Nitrogen content in cocksfoot was determined by elemental analysis in a CHN autoanalyzer, as above.

2.3. Calculations

The results for nitrogen content in cocksfoot and the data pertaining to its yield, published in Kuziemska et al. [39], were used to calculate nitrogen uptake (NU_N and NU_{0N}) and the nitrogen utilization coefficient (NUC) from organic fertilizers, according to the following equations:

$$NU_N = Y \cdot C_N \tag{1}$$

where:

NU_N—nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot fertilized with CM, ChM, MS; Y—yield (dry matter) of cocksfoot fertilized with CM, ChM, MS; C_N—nitrogen content in cocksfoot dry matter fertilized with CM, ChM, MS.

$$NU_{0N} = Y_0 \cdot C_{0N} \tag{2}$$

where:

 NU_{0N} —nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot not fertilized with organic material (control treatment); Y_0 —yield (dry matter) of cocksfoot not fertilized with organic material (control treatment); C_{0N} —nitrogen content in cocksfoot dry matter not fertilized with organic material (control treatment).

$$NUC = (NU_N - NU_{0N})/N_{amt} \times 100\%$$
(3)

where:

NUC—nitrogen utilization coefficient (%);

NU_N—nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot fertilized with CM, ChM, MS;

 NU_{0N} —nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot not fertilized with organic material (control treatment); N_{amt} —amount of nitrogen introduced into soil with CM, ChM, MS.

2.4. Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis of the results was performed by three-way ANOVA:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + a_i + b_j + c_k + ab_{ij} + ac_{ik} + bc_{jk} + abc_{ijk} + e_{ijkp}$$
(4)

where: μ —mean from all treatments; a_i —effect of Cu level; b_j —effect of organic fertilizer type; c_k —effect of year (third source of variation); ab_{ij} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type; ac_{ik} —interaction of Cu level and year; bc_{jk} —interaction of fertilizer type and year; abc_{ijd} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; abc_{ijd} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{jk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijd} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} —interaction of Cu level and fertilizer type and year; bc_{ijk} and bc_{ijk} and

The significance of the experimental factors was determined using the Fisher–Snedecor distribution, and LSD values for comparison of means were calculated by the Tukey test at $\alpha = 0.05$. The calculations were made in Statistica 13 PL (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). In addition, Pearson's linear correlation coefficient was calculated for some of the examined traits.

3. Results

3.1. Nitrogen Content

Copper application at all doses (100, 200, 300 mg Cu·kg⁻¹) was not shown to affect the nitrogen content in the dry mass of cocksfoot (Table 2).

Organic	•					
Fertilizer	Year	0	100	200	300	Mean
	1st	17.07 ± 0.45	17.57 ± 0.45	18.23 ± 0.53	19.85 ± 0.24	$18.26\pm1.14~\mathrm{B}$
Without organic	2nd	15.23 ± 0.26	16.57 ± 0.46	17.14 ± 0.20	16.24 ± 0.51	$16.30\pm0.79~\mathrm{A}$
fertilization	3rd	17.81 ± 0.58	17.56 ± 0.37	17.48 ± 0.44	17.54 ± 0.80	$17.60\pm0.58~\mathrm{B}$
	mean	$16.70\pm1.17~\mathrm{A}$	$17.23\pm0.64~\text{AB}$	$17.72\pm0.72~\text{AB}$	$17.88\pm1.60~\mathrm{B}$	$17.38\pm1.19~\mathrm{a}$
	1st	18.25 ± 0.55	20.43 ± 0.55	21.90 ± 0.55	19.87 ± 0.29	$20.11\pm1.40~\text{C}$
Caul	2nd	17.60 ± 0.98	17.42 ± 0.72	17.25 ± 0.79	16.60 ± 0.44	$17.22\pm0.85~\mathrm{A}$
Cattle manure	3rd	18.65 ± 0.59	18.52 ± 1.11	18.68 ± 1.31	18.14 ± 0.77	$18.50\pm1.01~\mathrm{A}$
	mean	$18.17\pm0.85~\mathrm{A}$	$18.79\pm1.49~\mathrm{AB}$	$19.28\pm2.16~\text{B}$	$18.20\pm1.44~\mathrm{A}$	$18.61\pm1.62\mathrm{b}$
	1st	21.73 ± 0.60	22.12 ± 0.69	22.78 ± 0.55	23.10 ± 1.22	$22.43\pm0.97\mathrm{C}$
Chicken	2nd	18.26 ± 0.67	17.50 ± 1.33	16.90 ± 1.08	16.72 ± 0.94	$17.35\pm1.20~\mathrm{A}$
manure	3rd	20.65 ± 0.61	20.28 ± 0.62	19.54 ± 0.80	19.15 ± 1.43	$19.91\pm1.10~\text{B}$
	mean	$20.21\pm1.58~\mathrm{A}$	$19.97\pm2.12~\mathrm{A}$	$19.74\pm2.55~\text{A}$	$19.66\pm2.90~\mathrm{A}$	$19.89\pm2.35~\mathrm{c}$
	1st	17.82 ± 0.55	19.94 ± 0.28	19.07 ± 0.46	21.66 ± 0.44	$19.62\pm1.47\mathrm{C}$
Mushroom	2nd	18.03 ± 0.79	17.02 ± 0.28	16.70 ± 0.52	16.38 ± 0.60	$17.03\pm0.85~\mathrm{A}$
substrate	3rd	19.00 ± 0.32	18.40 ± 0.30	18.00 ± 0.56	17.84 ± 0.91	$18.31\pm0.73~\mathrm{B}$
	mean	$18.28\pm0.78~\mathrm{A}$	$18.45\pm1.23~\mathrm{A}$	$17.92\pm1.10~\mathrm{A}$	$18.63\pm2.33~\mathrm{A}$	$18.32\pm1.50~\text{b}$
Mean for	Cu dose	$18.34\pm1.69~\mathrm{a}$	18.61 ± 1.73 a	$18.66\pm1.99~\mathrm{a}$	$18.59\pm2.25~\mathrm{a}$	18.55 ± 1.94
	1st	$18.72\pm1.89~\mathrm{A}$	$20.02\pm1.71~\mathrm{B}$	$20.57\pm1.88~\text{BC}$	$21.12\pm1.52~\mathrm{C}$	$20.11\pm1.96~\mathrm{c}$
Mean for years	2nd	$17.28\pm1.41~\mathrm{A}$	$17.13\pm0.88~\mathrm{A}$	$17.00\pm0.76~\mathrm{A}$	$16.49\pm0.68~\mathrm{A}$	$16.97\pm1.02~\mathrm{a}$
5	3rd	$19.03\pm1.16~\mathrm{A}$	$18.69\pm1.20~\mathrm{A}$	$18.43\pm1.14~\mathrm{A}$	$18.17\pm1.18~\mathrm{A}$	$18.56\pm1.21~\mathrm{b}$

Table 2. Nitrogen content in cocksfoot biomass, g N·kg⁻¹ dm (mean \pm SD).

a,b,c—means for investigated factors indicated by different small letters (in the columns for organic fertilizers and for years but in the row for copper doses) are significantly different. A,B,C—means for the interaction of the studied factors indicated by different capital letters in the rows and in the column 'Mean' of the table are significantly different.

All of the organic materials used caused an increase in the amount of nitrogen in the test plant. The highest content of this macroelement was recorded in the grass fertilized with ChM, in which it was 14.4% higher than in the control plants and 6.9% and 8.6% higher than following application of CM and MS, respectively. At the same time, application of 300 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil caused an increase in nitrogen content in the control plants, and 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil increased nitrogen content in the plants fertilized with cattle

manure. Application of various levels of copper together with ChM and MS did not significantly affect the content of nitrogen in cocksfoot. Comparison of the years of research showed the highest nitrogen content in cocksfoot grown in the first year of the experiment and the lowest in the second year, which may have been due to differences in the rate of conversions of nitrogen compounds in the soil.

3.2. Nitrogen Uptake

Nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot (Tables 3 and 4) was significantly dependent on the Cu application dose, organic fertilizer, and the year of research. After application of 100 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil, nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot (Tables 3 and 4) was greater than in the control treatment and after application of 300 mg $Cu \cdot kg^{-1}$ of soil. On average during the experiment, grass fertilized with 100 Cu \cdot kg⁻¹ of soil accumulated 5.9% more nitrogen than plants from the control treatment and 3.9% more than after application of 300 mg Cu \cdot kg⁻¹ of soil. Cocksfoot fertilized with cattle manure, chicken manure, or spent mushroom substrate took up more nitrogen than plants in the control treatment. In addition, plants fertilized with chicken manure took up more nitrogen than those fertilized with cattle manure (by 7.4%) or spent mushroom substrate (by 16.9%). Application of copper at 100 and 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil caused an increase in nitrogen uptake by the plants fertilized with cattle manure, while application of 100 mg Cu \cdot kg⁻¹ of soil increased nitrogen uptake by plants fertilized with spent mushroom substrate. Different doses of copper applied together with chicken manure were not shown to affect accumulation of nitrogen in the grass. Nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot significantly decreased in successive years of the study; in the second and third years it was 45.6% and 53.7% lower, respectively, than in the first year. The correlation coefficients (Table 5) showed no significant relationship between nitrogen content (Table 2) and copper content in cocksfoot presented by Kuziemska et al. [39], but showed a significant relationship linking nitrogen content and uptake (Tables 3 and 4) with the yield of the crop reported in the study cited.

Organic	Ň		M			
Fertilizer	Year	0	100	200	300	Mean
Without organic	1st 2nd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.267 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.197 \pm 0.017 \\ 0.161 \pm 0.0117 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.221 \pm 0.006 \\ 0.239 \pm 0.015 \\ 0.150 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.202 \pm 0.015 \\ 0.230 \pm 0.015 \\ 0.147 \pm 0.007 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.251 \pm 0.006 \\ 0.185 \pm 0.014 \\ 0.122 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$	$0.235 \pm 0.027 \text{ B}$ $0.213 \pm 0.027 \text{ B}$
fertilization _	3rd mean	0.161 ± 0.014	0.159 ± 0.002	0.147 ± 0.007	0.132 ± 0.003	0.150 ± 0.014 A 0.199 ± 0.043 a
Cattle manure	1st 2nd 3rd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.456 \pm 0.022 \\ 0.237 \pm 0.013 \\ 0.222 \pm 0.019 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.250 \pm 0.000 11 \\ 0.571 \pm 0.051 \\ 0.258 \pm 0.015 \\ 0.216 \pm 0.014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.535 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.258 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.267 \pm 0.033 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.403 \pm 0.013 \\ 0.229 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.279 \pm 0.011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.491 \pm 0.072 \text{ B} \\ 0.246 \pm 0.017 \text{ A} \\ 0.246 \pm 0.035 \text{ A} \end{array}$
-	mean	$0.305\pm0.108~\mathrm{A}$	$0.349\pm0.161~\text{B}$	$0.353\pm0.130~\text{B}$	$0.304\pm0.074~\mathrm{A}$	$0.328\pm0.124~\mathrm{c}$
Chicken	1st 2nd 3rd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.586 \pm 0.009 \\ 0.273 \pm 0.017 \\ 0.213 \pm 0.005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.579 \pm 0.027 \\ 0.252 \pm 0.027 \\ 0.235 \pm 0.016 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.635 \pm 0.040 \\ 0.240 \pm 0.011 \\ 0.201 \pm 0.022 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.562 \pm 0.029 \\ 0.243 \pm 0.018 \\ 0.204 \pm 0.020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.591 \pm 0.039 \text{ C} \\ 0.259 \pm 0.023 \text{ B} \\ 0.213 \pm 0.022 \text{ A} \end{array}$
-	mean	$0.357\pm0.164~\mathrm{A}$	$0.356\pm0.160~A$	$0.358 \pm 0.198 \; \text{A}$	$0.336\pm0.162~\mathrm{A}$	$0.352 \pm 0.172 \text{ d}$
Mushroom substrate	1st 2nd 3rd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.402 \pm 0.003 \\ 0.262 \pm 0.022 \\ 0.204 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.463 \pm 0.017 \\ 0.267 \pm 0.005 \\ 0.220 \pm 0.014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.456 \pm 0.018 \\ 0.251 \pm 0.009 \\ 0.206 \pm 0.008 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.472 \pm 0.026 \\ 0.215 \pm 0.004 \\ 0.195 \pm 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.448 \pm 0.033 \ \text{C} \\ 0.249 \pm 0.024 \ \text{B} \\ 0.206 \pm 0.014 \ \text{A} \end{array}$
-	mean	$0.289\pm0.084~\mathrm{A}$	$0.317\pm0.106~\mathrm{B}$	$0.304\pm0.110~\text{AB}$	$0.294\pm0.127~AB$	$0.301\pm0.108~\text{b}$
Mean for C	u dose	$0.290\pm0.121~ab$	$0.307\pm0.140~\mathrm{c}$	$0.302\pm0.148~bc$	$0.281\pm0.124~\mathrm{a}$	0.295 ± 0.134
Mean for years	1st 2nd 3rd	$\begin{array}{c} 0.428 \pm 0.115 \mathrm{A} \\ 0.242 \pm 0.034 \ \mathrm{B} \\ 0.200 \pm 0.026 \ \mathrm{A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.459 \pm 0.147 \text{ B} \\ 0.254 \pm 0.020 \text{ B} \\ 0.208 \pm 0.032 \text{ A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.457 \pm 0.162 \text{ B} \\ 0.245 \pm 0.015 \text{ B} \\ 0.205 \pm 0.047 \text{ A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.422 \pm 0.116 \; \text{A} \\ 0.218 \pm 0.025 \; \text{A} \\ 0.203 \pm 0.054 \; \text{A} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.441 \pm 0.138 \text{ c} \\ 0.240 \pm 0.028 \text{ b} \\ 0.204 \pm 0.041 \text{ a} \end{array}$

Table 3. Nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot, g N·pot⁻¹ (mean \pm SD).

a,b,c,d—means for investigated factors indicated by different small letters (in the columns for organics fertilizers and for years but in the row for copper doses) are significantly different. A,B,C—means for the interaction of the studied factors indicated by different capital letters in the rows and in the column 'Mean' of the table are significantly different.

Organic Fortilizor		Maar				
Olganic rennizer	0	100	200	300	wiedii	
Without organic fertilization	$0.625\pm0.027~\mathrm{A}$	$0.618\pm0.019~\mathrm{A}$	$0.579\pm0.017~\mathrm{A}$	$0.568\pm0.014~\mathrm{A}$	0.598 ± 0.031 a	
Cattle manure	$0.914\pm0.016~\mathrm{A}$	$1.046\pm0.062~\mathrm{B}$	$1.060\pm0.034~\mathrm{B}$	$0.912\pm0.008~A$	$0.983 \pm 0.079 \text{ c}$	
Chicken manure	$1.072\pm0.023~\mathrm{A}$	$1.067 \pm 0.053 \; \text{A}$	$1.075\pm0.027~\mathrm{A}$	$1.009\pm0.028~\mathrm{A}$	$1.056 \pm 0.044 \ d$	
Mushroom substrate	$0.868\pm0.023~\mathrm{A}$	$0.951\pm0.019~\mathrm{B}$	$0.913\pm0.030~\text{AB}$	$0.882\pm0.018~\text{AB}$	$0.903\pm0.039~\text{b}$	
Mean	$0.870\pm0.132~\mathrm{ab}$	$0.920 \pm 0.185 \text{ c}$	$0.907\pm0.201~\mathrm{bc}$	0.843 ± 0.166 a	0.885 ± 0.182	

Table 4. Total nitrogen uptake by cocksfoot in three years, g N·pot⁻¹ (mean \pm SD).

a,b,c,d—means for investigated factors indicated by different small letters (in the column for organics fertilizers and for years but in the row for copper doses) are significantly different. A,B—means for the interaction of the studied factors indicated by different capital letters in the rows are significantly different.

Table 5. Linear correlation coefficients between selected properties of cocksfoot.

Specification	Cocksfoot Yield	N Uptake	Cu Content	Cu Dose
N content	0.639 *	0.768 *	0.188	0.033
N uptake	0.979 *	-	0.279	-0.025

*—the values of correlation coefficient are important, p < 0.05.

3.3. Nitrogen Utilization Coefficient

An essential aspect of assessment of the efficiency of organic fertilizers and waste materials is the coefficient of utilization of nutrients. The coefficients of nitrogen utilization from cattle manure, chicken manure, and spent mushroom substrate (Tables 6 and 7) depended on the level of copper applied. The total nitrogen utilization coefficient for the three-year experiment was highest after 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ application (24.6%), somewhat lower following 100 and 300 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ application (22.6% and 20.2%, respectively), and lowest in the control treatment (17.8%).

Organic	Ň					
Fertilizer	Year	0	100	200	300	Mean
	1st	13.1 ± 1.6	24.3 ± 3.2	23.1 ± 0.9	10.6 ± 1.2	$17.8\pm6.3\mathrm{C}$
	2nd	2.8 ± 1.5	1.3 ± 1.6	1.9 ± 1.4	3.1 ± 1.3	$2.3\pm1.6~\mathrm{A}$
Cattle manure	3rd	4.2 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 1.1	8.4 ± 1.9	10.2 ± 0.9	$6.7\pm2.9~\text{B}$
	Mean	$6.7\pm4.7~\mathrm{A}$	$9.9\pm10.5~\mathrm{B}$	$11.1\pm9.0~\text{B}$	$8.0\pm3.6\;\mathrm{A}$	$8.9\pm7.7~\mathrm{c}$
	1st	14.8 ± 0.3	16.6 ± 1.4	20.0 ± 1.6	14.4 ± 1.6	$16.5\pm2.6~\mathrm{B}$
Chicken	2nd	3.5 ± 1.3	0.6 ± 1.9	0.4 ± 1.2	2.7 ± 1.1	$1.9\pm1.9~\mathrm{A}$
manure	3rd	2.4 ± 0.8	3.6 ± 0.8	2.5 ± 1.0	3.3 ± 1.0	$2.9\pm1.0~\mathrm{A}$
	Mean	$6.9\pm5.7~\mathrm{A}$	$6.9\pm7.1~\mathrm{A}$	$7.7\pm8.9~\mathrm{A}$	$6.8\pm5.5~\mathrm{A}$	$7.1\pm 6.9~\mathrm{b}$
	1st	7.0 ± 0.1	12.6 ± 1.1	13.3 ± 1.7	11.5 ± 1.1	$11.1\pm2.7~\mathrm{B}$
Mushroom	2nd	3.4 ± 0.8	1.4 ± 0.9	1.1 ± 0.5	1.5 ± 0.7	$1.9\pm1.2~\mathrm{A}$
substrate	3rd	2.2 ± 0.7	3.2 ± 0.6	3.1 ± 0.4	3.2 ± 0.8	$2.9\pm0.8~\mathrm{A}$
	Mean	$4.2\pm2.1~\mathrm{A}$	$5.8\pm5.0~\mathrm{A}$	$5.8\pm5.4~\text{A}$	$5.4\pm4.4~\mathrm{A}$	$5.3\pm4.5~\mathrm{a}$
Mean for Cu dose		$5.9\pm4.6~\mathrm{a}$	$7.5\pm8.1~{ m bc}$	$8.2\pm8.3~\mathrm{c}$	$6.7\pm4.7~\mathrm{ab}$	7.1 ± 6.7
	1st	$11.6\pm3.5~\mathrm{A}$	$17.9\pm5.3~\mathrm{B}$	$18.8\pm4.4~\mathrm{B}$	$12.2\pm2.1~\mathrm{A}$	$15.1\pm5.1~{\rm c}$
Mean for years	2nd	$3.3\pm1.3~\text{B}$	$1.1\pm1.6~\mathrm{A}$	$1.1\pm1.2~\mathrm{A}$	$2.4\pm1.2~\text{AB}$	$2.0\pm1.6~\mathrm{a}$
,	3rd	$2.9\pm1.1~\mathrm{A}$	$3.6\pm0.9~\text{B}$	$4.6\pm2.9~\text{BC}$	$5.6\pm3.4~\mathrm{C}$	$4.2\pm2.6~\text{b}$

Table 6. Nitrogen utilization from organic fertilizers by cocksfoot, % (mean \pm SD).

a,b,c—means for investigated factors indicated by different small letters (in the columns for organics fertilizers and for years but in the row for copper doses) are significantly different. A,B,C—means for the interaction of the studied factors indicated by different capital letters in the rows and in the column 'Mean' of the table are significantly different.

Organic Fortilizor		Maara			
Organic Fertilizer	0	100	200	300	wiean
Cattle manure	$20.1\pm3.0~\mathrm{A}$	$29.7\pm3.0~\text{B}$	$33.4\pm1.2~\mathrm{B}$	$23.8\pm1.1~\mathrm{A}$	$26.7\pm5.6~\mathrm{c}$
Chicken manure	$20.7\pm1.5~\mathrm{A}$	$20.8\pm3.3~\mathrm{A}$	$22.0\pm1.7~\mathrm{A}$	$20.4\pm1.9~\mathrm{A}$	$21.2\pm2.5~b$
Mushroom substrate	$12.6\pm0.4~\mathrm{A}$	$17.3\pm2.0~\mathrm{A}$	$17.4\pm2.0~\mathrm{A}$	$16.3\pm1.0~\mathrm{A}$	$15.9\pm2.5~\mathrm{a}$
Mean	17.8 ± 4.1 a	$22.6\pm5.9bc$	$24.6\pm6.8~\mathrm{c}$	$20.2\pm3.4~ab$	21.3 ± 5.8

Table 7. Total utilization of nitrogen from organic fertilizers by cocksfoot in three years times, % (mean \pm SD).

a,b,c—means for investigated factors indicated by different small letters (in the column for organics fertilizers and for years but in the row for copper doses) are significantly different. A,B—means for the interaction of the studied factors indicated by different capital letters in the rows are significantly different.

The significantly highest nitrogen utilization coefficient (for the entire three-year experiment) was noted for cattle manure. It was 25.9% and 67.9% higher than in the case of chicken manure and spent mushroom substrate, respectively. At the same time, copper introduced into the soil at doses 100 and 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil together with cattle manure increased the coefficient of nitrogen utilization from this fertilizer. Copper, irrespective of the application rate, applied together with chicken manure and spent mushroom substrate, did not affect the coefficients of nitrogen utilization from these fertilizers. In successive years of the experiment, the coefficient of nitrogen utilization by cocksfoot was small, and in the second and third years of the study it was 13.2% and 27.8% of the value obtained in the first year.

4. Discussion

Uptake of nutrients by plants is mediated by proteins located in the cell membranes of the roots. Cations and anions with similar structure compete to bind carrier proteins. Some of the identified cell membrane transporters are specific to an ion or group of ions, while others do not display this trait [36]. At the same time, antagonistic and synergistic interactions between nutrients are most likely one of the factors influencing the yield and chemical composition of crop plants [34]. Our study showed no significant influence of varied copper application on the content of nitrogen in cocksfoot and no relationships between the content of nitrogen and copper in the plants. Meller and Jarnuszewski [26] also found that soil application of copper did not affect the content of nitrogen in various plant species, which may indicate the absence of antagonistic and synergistic interactions between these elements. A lack of antagonistic and synergistic interactions between copper and nitrogen is also pointed out by Rietra et al. [36], who analyzed this question based on publications by various authors. Kumar et al. [35], however, in a study of the effect of various levels of nitrogen and copper in the soil on their content in wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), found that nitrogen and copper are antagonists. In contrast, Alhasany et al. [37], in a study determining the effect of varied copper application rates on selected traits of broad bean, reported a synergistic effect of the two elements. Snowball et al. [40] also showed an increase in the content of total and protein nitrogen in subterranean clover (Trifolium subterraneum L.) after application of varied amounts of copper. The various nitrogen levels obtained in the present study in cocksfoot following application of organic substances may be due to the introduction of different amounts of this macroelement with organic fertilizers (0.12 mg N·kg⁻¹ of soil with cattle manure, 0.18 mg N·kg⁻¹ of soil with chicken manure, and 0.16 mg $N \cdot kg^{-1}$ of soil with spent mushroom substrate) and to different rates of mineralization in the soil. Assessment of the fertilization value of organic materials should take into account not only the effect on yield and nutrient content, but also the accumulation of nutrients in the biomass of plants. Our study showed that uptake of nitrogen by cocksfoot was significantly correlated with its yield and its content in the plant biomass and depended on the amount of copper in the soil and on application of organic fertilizers. Total nitrogen uptake during the three years of experiment was highest after 100 mg Cu \cdot kg⁻¹ of soil application and following chicken manure application. Geng et al. [41] also reported a positive effect of organic fertilizer on nitrogen accumulation in plants. At the same time, many authors emphasize that chicken manure has high content of nutrients and a high potential to increase yield [42,43]. Cocksfoot accumulated the most nitrogen in the first year of the study and the least in the third year, which is linked to removal of this element from the soil. In comparison with other important minerals in plant nutrition, nitrogen is a highly mobile element, which makes it susceptible to losses from ecosystems due to leaching and volatilization [44]. Research by Rutkowska [45] showed that the degree of nitrogen utilization from mineral and organic fertilizers is small. The availability of nitrogen for plants from various organic substances and the use of this macronutrients is determined, among others, by the ratio of carbon to nitrogen contained in them [46]. The organic fertilizers used in the study had a small C:N ratio (11.4–16.6:1), which determines their rapid mineralization and high nitrogen availability in the first year after application to the soil. This observation is confirmed by the results of own research and in the literature [47,48]. Both, uptake and utilization of nitrogen were significantly higher in the first year of the study than in the following years. The results of own research and information from the literature on the highest rate of mineralization of organic fertilizers with a small C:N ratio in the period up to 150 days after their introduction into the soil [46] indicate that such fertilizers can be applied to the soil every year, directly before sowing plants. The literature lacks reports on the effect of varied copper application rates on the coefficient of nitrogen utilization from organic fertilizers. In our study, copper application at 100 and 200 mg Cu kg^{-1} of soil caused an increase in the coefficient of nitrogen utilization from CM, ChM and MS.

There was no significant influence of 300 mg Cu \cdot kg⁻¹ on the value of the nitrogen utilization factor in organic fertilizers, however, the value obtained in this combination was slightly higher than in the control object. This proves the potential stimulating effect of copper on the mineralization processes of organic fertilizers in the soil. Premi and Cornfield [49] using copper in the dose of 1000 mg Cu \cdot kg⁻¹ obtained its stimulating effect on nitrogen mineralization in the soil. At the same time, this dose was not high enough to induce nitrification. It also did not affect the ammonification processes. In the light of these reports, the doses of Cu used in our study, not exceeding 300 mg Cu \cdot kg⁻¹, probably also did not intensify the nitrification process. Thus, they did not contribute to increasing the loss of easily migrating forms of nitrogen.

In all years of experiment, the highest utilization of nitrogen was noted in the case of cattle manure, which had slightly highest carbon to nitrogen ratio, and the slightly lowest in the case of spent mushroom substrate. Wiśniewska and Jankowski [50] presented other results, in which showed that spent mushroom substrate was more efficient than cattle manure in the first and second year of application. In addition, they found that the effect of spent mushroom substrate was short-lived. It requires supplementation with mineral fertilizers to improve its efficiency. Rao et al. [51] and Polat et al. [52] have also pointed out high variability and imbalance of the chemical composition of spent mushroom substrates. According to the authors cited, this is a clear disadvantage that necessitates continued research on their composition and supplementation with deficient elements to improve the value of fertilizer.

The effect of varied levels of copper applied together with cattle manure on the content and accumulation of nitrogen in cocksfoot and the value of the coefficient of utilization of this element from cattle manure may indicate that copper is involved in the metabolism of nitrogen compounds [27].

The obtained results of own research concern cocksfoot grass and should be continued with the use of other plants, differing e.g., in the structure of the root system, the length of the vegetation period, tolerance to soil contamination with heavy metals, etc.

5. Conclusions

Copper application at 100, 200 and 300 mg $\text{Cu}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ of soil did not significantly affect the content of nitrogen in cocksfoot, but application of 100 mg $\text{Cu}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ of soil increased its uptake. The lack of significant relationships between copper and nitrogen content in

the grass indicates the absence of antagonistic or synergistic interactions between these elements. The highest content and accumulation of nitrogen in the plants was observed following application of chicken manure. Copper applied at 100 and 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil increased the coefficient of nitrogen utilization from the organic fertilizers. The coefficient was highest in the case of cattle manure. The highest uptake of nitrogen by cocksfoot was obtained by applying copper at 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil in combination with chicken manure, while its utilization from organic fertilizer was highest after application of 200 mg Cu·kg⁻¹ of soil together with cattle manure. The results of our research indicate the possibility of increasing the nitrogen utilization from organic fertilizers after the use of small doses of copper.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.K. and J.T.; methodology, B.K. and J.T.; resources, B.K., J.T.; writing—original draft preparation, B.K. and A.W.; writing—review and editing, B.K. and A.W.; visualization, A.W. and B.K. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education, grants number 314/12/S, 106/14/MN, and 333/20/B.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Cicchella, D.; Giaccio, L.; Dinelli, E.; Albanese, S.; Lima, A.; Zuzolo, D.; Valera, P.; De Vivo, B. GEMAS: Spatial distribution of chemical elements in agricultural and grazing land soil of Italy. J. Geochem. Explor. 2015, 154, 129–142. [CrossRef]
- Tóth, G.; Hermann, T.; Da Silva, M.; Montanarella, L. Heavy metals in agricultural soils of the European Union with implications for food safety. *Environ. Int.* 2016, *88*, 299–309. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Andresen, E.; Peiter, E.; Küpper, H. Trace metal metabolism in plants. J. Exp. Bot. 2018, 69, 909–954. [CrossRef]
- 4. Kuziemska, B.; Kalembasa, D.; Kalembasa, S. Possibilities of reducing the phytotoxic effect of nickel. *Acta Agrophysica* 2018, 25, 359–368. [CrossRef]
- 5. Huang, L.; Rad, S.; Xu, L.; Gui, L.; Song, X.; Li, Y.; Wu, Z.; Chen, Z. Heavy Metals Distribution, Sources, and Ecological Risk Assessment in Huixian Wetland, South China. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 431. [CrossRef]
- 6. Sall, M.L.; Diaw, A.K.D.; Gningue-Sall, D.; Aaron, S.E.; Aaron, J.-J. Toxic heavy metals: Impact on the environment and human health, and treatment with conducting organic polymers, a review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2020, 27, 29927–29942. [CrossRef]
- Wysokinski, A.; Kalembasa, S.; Kuziemska, B.; Łozak, I. Influence of mineral and organic additions to the sewage sludge as well as composting process of this mixtures on copper and zinc content in maize (*Zea mays* L.) and soil. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* 2018, 27, 2003–2010.
- 8. Briffa, J.; Sinagra, E.; Blundell, R. Heavy metal pollution in the environment and their toxicological effects on humans. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, 04691. [CrossRef]
- 9. Wyszkowska, J.; Kucharski, J.; Kucharski, M.; Borowik, A. Effect of cadmium, copper and zinc on plants, soil microorganisms and soil enzymes. J. Elem. 2012, 18, 769–796. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wei, B.; Yu, J.; Cao, Z.; Meng, M.; Yang, L.; Chen, Q. The Availability and Accumulation of Heavy Metals in Greenhouse Soils Associated with Intensive Fertilizer Application. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 5359. [CrossRef]
- 11. Xiong, Z.-T.; Liu, C.; Geng, B. Phytotoxic effects of copper on nitrogen metabolism and plant growth in *Brassica pekinensis* Rupr. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* **2006**, *64*, 273–280. [CrossRef]
- 12. Liu, J.J.; Wei, Z.; Li, J.H. Effects of copper on leaf membrane structure and root activity of maize seedling. *Bot. Stud.* **2014**, 55, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ballabio, C.; Panagos, P.; Lugato, E.; Huang, J.-H.; Orgiazzi, A.; Jones, A.; Fernández-Ugalde, O.; Borrelli, P.; Montanarella, L. Copper distribution in European topsoils: An assessment based on LUCAS soil survey. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 636, 282–298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pietrini, F.; Carnevale, M.; Beni, C.; Zacchini, M.; Gallucci, F.; Santangelo, E. Effect of Different Copper Levels on Growth and Morpho-Physiological Parameters in Giant Reed (*Arundo donax* L.) in Semi-Hydroponic Mesocosm Experiment. *Water* 2019, *11*, 1837. [CrossRef]

- 15. Di Palma, L.; Ferrantelli, P.; Merli, C.; Petrucci, E.; Pitzolu, I. Influence of Soil Organic Matter on Copper Extraction from Contaminated Soil. *Soil Sedim. Contam. Int. J.* 2007, *16*, 323–335. [CrossRef]
- 16. Matijevic, L.; Romić, D.; Romić, M. Soil organic matter and salinity affect copper bioavailability in root zone and uptake by *Vicia faba* L. plants. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2014**, *36*, 883–896. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. He, P.; Yu, Q.; Zhang, H.; Shao, L.; Lü, F. Removal of Copper (II) by Biochar Mediated by Dissolved Organic Matter. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 18. Dhaliwal, S.; Naresh, R.; Mandal, A.; Singh, R.; Dhaliwal, M. Dynamics and transformations of micronutrients in agricultural soils as influenced by organic matter build-up: A review. *Environ. Sustain. Indic.* **2019**, 1–2, 100007. [CrossRef]
- Kuziemska, B.; Wysokiński, A.; Trębicka, J. The effect of different copper doses and organic fertilisation on soil's enzymatic activity. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2020, 66, 93–98. [CrossRef]
- 20. Chang, H.Y.; Sun, B.Y.; Liu, C.S. Advances in the study of plants copper toxicity. J. Shandong Agric. Univ. 2000, 31, 227–230.
- Olszewska, M.; Grzegorczyk, S.; Alberski, J.; Bałuch-Małecka, A.; Kozikowski, A. Effect of copper deficiency on gas exchange parameters, leaf greenness (SPAD) and yield of perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.) and orchard grass (*Dactylis glomerata* L.). J. Elem. 2008, 13, 597–604.
- Cuba-Díaz, M.; Marín, C.; Castel, K.; Machuca, Á.; Rifo, S. Effect of copper (II) ions on morpho-physiological and biochemical variables in Colobanthus quitensis. J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2017, 17, 429–440. [CrossRef]
- Rehman, M.; Liu, L.; Wang, Q.; Saleem, M.H.; Bashir, S.; Ullah, S.; Peng, D. Copper environmental toxicology, recent advances, and future outlook: A review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2019, 26, 18003–18016. [CrossRef]
- 24. Fernandes, J.C.; Henriques, F.S. Biochemical, physiological, and structural effects of excess copper in plants. *Bot. Rev.* **1991**, *57*, 246–273. [CrossRef]
- Balik, J.; Pavlíková, D.; Tlustoš, P.; Cerny, J.; Jakl, M. The fluctuation of copper content in oilseed rape plants (*Brassica napus* L.) after the application of nitrogen and sulphur fertilizers. *Plant Soil Environ.* 2008, 53, 143–148. [CrossRef]
- 26. Meller, E.; Jarnuszewski, G. Chemical composition of plants grown on post-bog soils fertilised with zinc and copper. Zielona Góra Environ. Eng. 2015, 159, 45–53.
- 27. Kozlowska-Strawska, J.; Chwil, S. The effect of different soil conditions on the copper the crops grown on area of lubelski region. *Environ. Nat. Resour. J.* **2012**, *4*, 150–157.
- 28. Yruela, I. Copper in plants: Acquisition, transport and interactions. Funct. Plant Biol. 2009, 36, 409–430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Yruela, I. Copper in plants. Braz. J. Plant Physiol. 2005, 17, 145–156. [CrossRef]
- Miotto, A.; Ceretta, C.A.; Brunetto, G.; Nicoloso, F.T.; Girotto, E.; Farias, J.G.; Tiecher, T.L.; De Conti, L.; Trentin, G. Copper uptake, accumulation and physiological changes in adult grapevines in response to excess copper in soil. *Plant Soil* 2013, 374, 593–610. [CrossRef]
- 31. La Torre, A.; Iovino, V.; Caradonia, F. Copper in plant protection: Current situation and prospects. *Phytopathol. Mediterr.* **2018**, *57*, 201–236. [CrossRef]
- Ambrosini, V.G.; Rosa, D.J.; Prado, J.P.C.; Borghezan, M.; de Melo, G.W.B.; Soares, C.R.F.D.S.; Comin, J.J.; Simão, D.G.; Brunetto, G. Reduction of copper phytotoxicity by liming: A study of the root anatomy of young vines (*Vitis labrusca* L.). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2015, *96*, 270–280. [CrossRef]
- Arunakumara, K.K.I.U.; Walpola, B.C.; Yoon, M.-H. Alleviation of phyto-toxicity of copper on agricultural plants. J. Korean Soc. Appl. Biol. Chem. 2013, 56, 505–517. [CrossRef]
- 34. Fageria, N.K. Nitrogen Management in Crop Production; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2014.
- 35. Kumar, V.; Yadav, D.V.; Yadav, D.S. Effects of nitrogen sources and copper levels on yield, nitrogen and copper contents of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Plant Soil* **1990**, *126*, 79–83. [CrossRef]
- Rietra, P.J.J.R.; Heinen, M.; Dimkpa, C.O.; Bindraban, P.S. Effects of Nutrient Antagonism and Synergism on Yield and Fer-tilizer Use Efficiency, Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 2017, 48, 1895–1920. [CrossRef]
- Alhasany, A.; Noaema, A.H.; Alhmadi, H.B. The role of spraying copper and zinc on the growth and yield of *Vicia faba* L. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 571, 012048. [CrossRef]
- Krzywy-Gawrońska, E. Chemical and Agricultural Analysis of Soils and Plants; Scientific Publisher AR: Szczecin, Poland, 2007; pp. 1–199.
- Kuziemska, B.; Trębicka, J.; Wysokinski, A.; Jaremko, D. Supplementation of Organic Amendments Improve Yield and Adaptability by Reducing the Toxic Effect of Copper in Cocksfoot Grass (*Dactylis glomerata* L. Cv Amera). Agronomy 2021, 11, 791. [CrossRef]
- 40. Snowball, K.; Robson, A.D.; Loneragan, J.F. The Effect of Copper on Nitrogen Fixation in Subterranean Clover (*Trifolium subterraneum*). *New Phytol.* **1980**, *85*, 63–72. [CrossRef]
- 41. Geng, Y.; Cao, G.; Wang, L.; Wang, S. Effects of equal chemical fertilizer substitutions with organic manure on yield, dry matter, and nitrogen uptake of spring maize and soil nitrogen distribution. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0219512. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bolan, N.; Szogi, A.A.; Chuasavathi, T.; Seshadri, B.; Rothrock, M.J.; Panneerselvam, P. Uses and management of poultry litter. *World's Poult. Sci. J.* **2010**, *66*, 673–698. [CrossRef]
- 43. Moreno-Caselles, J.; Moral, R.; Perez-Murcia, M.; Espinosa, A.P.; Rufete, B. Nutrient Value of Animal Manures in Front of Environmental Hazards. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 2002, *33*, 3023–3032. [CrossRef]

- 44. Sharma, L.K.; Bali, S.K. A Review of Methods to Improve Nitrogen Use Efficiency in Agriculture. *Sustainability* **2017**, *10*, 51. [CrossRef]
- 45. Rutkowska, A. Rational and effective nitrogen fertilization. ZESZYT 2014, 37, 33–46. [CrossRef]
- 46. Sradnick, A.; Feller, C. A Typological Concept to Predict the Nitrogen Release from Organic Fertilizers in Farming Systems. *Agronomy* **2020**, *10*, 1448. [CrossRef]
- 47. Kalembasa, S.; Wysokiński, A. The influence of alkalization type waste activated sludges on the yield, content and value of utilization coefficient of nitrogen by tested plants. *Polish J. Soil Sci.* 2006, 39, 197–209.
- 48. Wysokiński, A.; Kalembasa, S. The yield and utilization coefficient of nitrogen by plant after applying of fresh and composted sewage sludge with mineral and organic additions. *Polish J. Environ. Stud.* **2011**, *20*, 1617–1625.
- 49. Premi, P.R.; Cornfield, A.H. Effects of addition of copper, manganese, zinc and chromium compounds on ammonification and nitrification during incubation of soil. *Plant Soil* **1969**, *31*, 345–352. [CrossRef]
- 50. Wiśniewska-Kadżajan, B.; Jankowski, K. Effect of mushroom substrate supplemented with minerals on yield of biomass and protein of orchard Grass. *Acta Agrophysica* **2015**, *22*, 335–344.
- 51. Rao, J.R.; Watabe, M.; Stewart, T.A.; Millar, B.C.; Moore, J.E. Pelleted organo-mineral fertilisers from composted pig slurry solids, animal wastes and spent mushroom compost for amenity grasslands. *Waste Manag.* **2007**, *27*, 1117–1128. [CrossRef]
- 52. Polat, E.; Uzun, I.H.; Topcuoglu, B.; Önal, K.; Onus, A.N.; Karaca, M. Effects of spent mushroom compost on quality and productivity of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) grown in greenhouses. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *8*, 176–180.