## Supplementary Material

Ming Fung Chua, Laothao Youbee, Saythong Oudthachit, Phanthasin Khanthavong, Erik J. Veneklaas, Al Imran Malik: Potassium fertilisation is required to sustain cassava yield and soil fertility

Table S1. Total stem length (cm), total plant dry weight (g), harvest index (HI), starch content (\%) and starch yield ( t ha ${ }^{-1}$ ) at harvest 1 (8 months growth) and at harvest 2 ( 10 months growth) in response to five fertiliser treatments ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{kgg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ), $\mathrm{T}_{1} 0-0-0, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ 40-20-0, $T_{3} 40-20-40, T_{4} 40-20-80$ and $T_{5} 40-20-120$. Means are followed by standard errors ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ). A two-way-Anova was done considering both harvests and treatments T2-T5 only; exclusion of treatment T 1 renders this a comparison of the K effect only. Values within a column and within a harvest followed by different letters are significantly different $(P<0.05)$.

| Treatment | Total stem length (cm) | Total plant dry weight (g) | Harvest index HI | Starch content (\%) | Starch yield <br> ( t ha ${ }^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | $411 \pm 62.3$ | $1416 \pm 284.5$ | $0.69 \pm 0.007$ | $29.1 \pm 1.18$ | $7.1 \pm 1.50$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $581{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 51.8$ | $1718{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 125.7$ | $0.69 \mathrm{a} \pm 0.006$ | $28.8{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 0.66$ | $8.7^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.74$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | 609 a $\pm 60.7$ | $1756^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 6.0$ | $0.72{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 0.014$ | $30.7{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 0.79$ | $8.9{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 0.65$ |
| T4 | $753{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 52.3$ | $2243{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 92.4$ | $0.71{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 0.005$ | $29.3{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 1.08$ | $11.9{ }^{\text {ab }} \pm 0.53$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $699^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 62.0$ | $2331{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 214.0$ | $0.72{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.030$ | $29.0{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 0.24$ | $12.4{ }^{\text {b }} \pm 0.85$ |
| Harvest 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | $729 \pm 118.9$ | $2127 \pm 319.5$ | $0.75 \pm 0.023$ | $34.6 \pm 0.50$ | $10.9 \pm 1.46$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $636^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 66.5$ | $2176{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 85.8$ | $0.77^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.007$ | $33.5{ }^{\text {b }} \pm 0.47$ | $11.6^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.52$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $810^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 142.0$ | $2473{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 153.1$ | $0.75{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.012$ | $34.5{ }^{\text {b }} \pm 0.10$ | $12.7{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.64$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | $746^{\text {a }} \pm 129.8$ | $2260^{\text {a }} \pm 244.9$ | $0.75{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 0.006$ | $32.4{ }^{\text {ab }} \pm 0.98$ | $11.7^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 1.38$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $742^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 75.6$ | $2560{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 409.0$ | $0.79 \mathrm{a} \pm 0.020$ | $30.3^{\mathrm{a}} \pm 1.00$ | $13.4{ }^{\text {a }} \pm 2.28$ |
| Treatment (T) | $\mathrm{P}=0.384$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.059$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.253$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.015$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.075$ |
| Harvest (H) | $\mathrm{P}=0.234$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.010$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.019$ |
| $\mathrm{T} \times \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.638$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.236$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.273$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.189$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.234$ |

Table S2. Potassium (K) concentrations ( $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ dry weight) of different plant parts: leaf blades, petioles, upper stem, lower stem, roots and senesced leaves at harvest 1 ( 8 months growth) and at harvest 2 ( 10 months growth) in response to five fertiliser treatments ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$ ), $\mathrm{T}_{1} 0-0-0, \mathrm{~T}_{2} 40-20-0, \mathrm{~T}_{3} 40-20-40, \mathrm{~T}_{4} 40-20-80$ and $\mathrm{T}_{5} 40-20-120$. Means are followed by standard errors ( $\mathrm{n}=3$ ). Two-way-Anovas were done considering both harvests and all treatments (T1-T5) or treatments T2-T5 only. ND = not determined.

| Treatment | Leaf blades | Petioles | Upper stem | Lower stem | Roots | Senesced leaves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harvest 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | $8970 \pm 827$ | $3067 \pm 766$ | $5427 \pm 1008$ | $7479 \pm 1594$ | $6985 \pm 674$ | $2374 \pm 319$ |
| T2 | $7888 \pm 662$ | $2768 \pm 98$ | $3727 \pm 282$ | $7668 \pm 1168$ | $6526 \pm 534$ | $3423 \pm 679$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $8930 \pm 154$ | $3936 \pm 1207$ | $3892 \pm 459$ | $8045 \pm 1496$ | $6457 \pm 545$ | $2826 \pm 239$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ | $8180 \pm 491$ | $2594 \pm 418$ | $4124 \pm 1113$ | $6851 \pm 864$ | $6734 \pm 286$ | $4542 \pm 798$ |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $8612 \pm 627$ | $4147 \pm 795$ | $3915 \pm 802$ | $9491 \pm 1245$ | $8007 \pm 661$ | $4619 \pm 523$ |
| Harvest 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T1 | $7565 \pm 645$ | $2710 \pm 215$ | $3121 \pm 713$ | $5471 \pm 248$ | $6099 \pm 409$ | ND |
| $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ | $6628 \pm 281$ | $2429 \pm 235$ | $3966 \pm 783$ | $4899 \pm 142$ | $5936 \pm 393$ |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ | $6172 \pm 455$ | $2469 \pm 240$ | $3738 \pm 494$ | $5048 \pm 46$ | $5850 \pm 430$ |  |
| T4 | $7199 \pm 769$ | $2677 \pm 282$ | $4019 \pm 244$ | $6365 \pm 580$ | $6523 \pm 176$ |  |
| $\mathrm{T}_{5}$ | $7312 \pm 838$ | $2624 \pm 264$ | $4958 \pm 570$ | $7674 \pm 742$ | $7058 \pm 731$ |  |
| Treatment (T) | $\mathrm{P}=0.405$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.807$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.872$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.082$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.076$ |  |
| Harvest (H) | $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.033$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.564$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.050$ |  |
| T x H | $\mathrm{P}=0.526$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.624$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.213$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.621$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.945$ |  |
| ANOVA Without T1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment (T) | $\mathrm{P}=0.629$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.734$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.790$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.064$ |  |
| Harvest (H) | $\mathrm{P}=0.001$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.041$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.605$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.005$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.127$ |  |
| Tx H | $\mathrm{P}=0.395$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.594$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.806$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.476$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.913$ |  |

