

Article



Nitrogen Availability in Biochar-Amended Soils with Excessive Compost Application

Chen-Chi Tsai * D and Yu-Fang Chang

Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, National Ilan University, Ilan 26047, Taiwan; yufang0115@gmail.com

* Correspondence: cctsai@niu.edu.tw; Tel.: +886-3-9317683

Received: 13 February 2020; Accepted: 21 March 2020; Published: 24 March 2020



Abstract: Adding biochar to excessive compost amendments may affect compost mineralization rate and nitrogen (N) availability. The objective of this 371-day incubation study was to evaluate the effects of four proportions of woody biochar (0%, 0.5%, 1.0%, and 2.0%) from lead tree (*Leucaena leucocephala* (Lam.) de. Wit) biochar produced at 750 °C through dynamic mineral N and N mineralization rates in three rural soils (one Oxisol and two Inceptisols). In each treatment, 5% poultry–livestock manure compost was added to serve as an excessive application. The results indicated that the biochar decreased available total inorganic nitrogen (TIN) (NO₃⁻-N+NH₄⁺-N) by on average 6%, 9% and 19% for 0.5%, 1.0% and 2.0% treatments, respectively. The soil type strongly influenced the impact of the biochar addition on the soil nitrogen mineralization potential, especially the soil pH and clay content. This study showed that the co-application of biochar and excessive compost benefited the agricultural soils by improving NO₃⁻-N retention in agroecosystems. The application of biochar to these soils to combine it with excessive compost appeared to be an effective method of utilizing these soil amendments, as it diminished the net N mineralization potential and reduced the nitrate loss of the excessive added compost.

Keywords: biochar; excessive compost application; soil nitrogen mineralization; nitrogen availability; Ultisols

1. Introduction

Pyrolysis produces carbon (C)-rich biochar containing macronutrients, whereas composting produces compost that contains organic matter, C, and available macronutrients. Both processes can recycle nutrients from organic waste, residue, and purposefully grown catch crops [1], and are therefore useful tools to sustainably maintain or increase organic soil matter and to preserve and improve soil fertility and crop yield [2]. Soil organic matter (SOM) reduction and nutrient imbalances are major constraints in most tropical agricultural soils [3]. Nitrogen is the most common limiting nutrient in agricultural crop production [4], but is also highly susceptible to loss from the crop root zone. Due to the low N mineralization rate relative to chemical fertilizer [5] and relatively low levels of nutrients (10–20 g N/kg and less than 10 g P/kg) compared to complete fertilizer [6], farmers often apply excess compost to meet the N requirements of the crops and ensure adequate crop yield. Thereby, degrading soil and water quality and inhibiting crop growth [6] because excess N and P was lost from the soil and into the environment [7]. Improving soil fertility and productivity while simultaneously reducing negative environmental consequences is one of the greatest challenges in the management of the agricultural and horticultural production systems [8]. Identifying innovative ways to recycle macronutrients within agricultural systems while minimizing environmental impacts is of great importance in regard to achieving "circular economy" principles, i.e., "closing the loop", by returning organic residue/waste to agricultural soils [9].

Although many studies report positive effects of a biochar–compost mix on soil properties and plant growth [1,2,10-12], biochar co-application with excessive compost has not been extensively studied, despite excessive compost already being commonly applied to agricultural soils where biochar application is also of interest. Thus, identification of the effects of biochar and excessive compost soil co-applications is important. Many studies [13–15] indicated that mixing biochar with manure at appropriate rates in land applications could potentially benefit manure-utilizing producers who also observe an increasing soil NO_3^{-} -N pollution; co-application may lead to more efficient N fertilizer use. The accumulation of inorganic N in incubated, coarse-textured soils declined significantly as the biochar rate increased (0, 5, and 25 t/ha) in all three N treatments, namely, organic N applied as 500 kg/ha of wheat straw and pig manure compost, inorganic N applied as 100 kg/ha of NH_4NO_3 , and the control (p < 0.001) [8]. When applied with manure (42 Mg/ha stockpiled dairy manure), the biochar (22.4 Mg/ha oak and hickory hardwood sawdust using fast pyrolysis at 500 °C) negatively influenced the seasonal mean and cumulative total net N mineralization in irrigated calcareous soil, however, this effect was less apparent during individual measurement periods [16]. When biochar was added to manured soil, rather than reduce the manure response, the biochar maximized net N mineralization and minimized the NH_4/NO_3 concentration ratio of the soil. The results of a 12-month incubation study [17] indicated that a 10% biochar application rate co-applied with a 2% manure application rate likely allowed for some net mineralization and nitrification of manure N, but limited excessive soil NO₃⁻-N accumulation in comparison to the 0%, 1%, and 2% biochar treatments. Throughout the incubation period, the co-application of the biochar (2% and 5% of pine chip-biochar produced at 700 °C) and paper mill biosolids (PB) (2.5%) resulted in significant decreases in NH_4^+ -N, NO_3^- -N, the net mineralized N concentration, and the applied N mineralization rate of the soil in comparison with soil to which only PB was applied [18].

The notable soil erosion, nutrient leaching, and rapid decomposition of soil organic matter are common in Taiwanese rural soils because of the area's high precipitation and warm temperature, which are the two major setbacks to Taiwan's agricultural soils. Farmers in Taiwan are recommended to add at least 5% compost /ha/year to maintain appropriate soil organic carbon (SOC) content (4-6%); taking economic viability into consideration, manure compost doses in Taiwan are recommended to be between 1% and 2% [19]. However, some farmers apply more than 2%, even up to 5%, in intensive cultivation periods for short-term leafy crops to add more N. The 5% addition rate involves the addition of 90 tons/ha compost to the soil, as well as a large amount of 1800 kg N/ha and 900 kg P/ha. In a previous study, measurements regarding carbon dynamics and fertility in biochar-amended soils with excessive compost application were conducted [19]. Based on this, we suggested that the addition of 0.5% woody biochar to rural Taiwanese soils was reasonable and appropriate to retain more plant nutrients and increase carbon sequestration. However, reducing inorganic N (NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺) loss from agricultural soils and to improve compost utilization efficiency for sustainable crop production are important in excessive compost applicated soils in Taiwan, for preventing environmental impacts, such as eutrophication (N and P) and acidification (N). There is a need to determine whether biochar's addition to excessive compost-amended soils could impact the dynamics of soil N and reduce nitrate loss. The aim of our research was to evaluate the effects of the co-application of biochar and excessive compost on soil N dynamics. Our hypotheses were that co-applied biochar would modify the impact of excessive compost on soil NH_4^+ -N and NO_3^-N , and that the influence of biochar co-application would depend on biochar rate and incubation time. From our results, farmers could gradually reduce the addition of compost over the next few years by adding biochar to reduce inorganic N loss, as well as maintaining appropriate SOC (4%–6%) in Taiwan.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Soils, Biochar, and Compost

The characterizations of the three studied soils (15 cm depth), biochar, and poultry-livestock manure compost were analyzed and described in previous studies (Table 1). Briefly, three studied rural soils were collected in spring 2011 from the upper layers (0–15 cm) of three fields in Taiwan, including Pingchen (Pc) soil (slightly acidic Oxisols (SAO)), Erhlin (Eh) soil (mildly alkaline Inceptisols (MAI)), and Annei (An) soil (slightly acidic Inceptisols (SAI)). The term "slightly acidic" indicates the soil pH ranging from 6.1 to 6.5, and "mildly alkaline" indicates the soil pH ranging from 7.4 to 7.8. Biochar produced from the stems and branches of the lead tree (Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de. Wit) in an earth kiln was constructed by the Forest Utilization Division, Taiwan Forestry Research Institute, Taipei, Taiwan. The charring for earth kilns typically requires several days and reaches temperatures up to 500–700 °C. The highest temperature in the kiln at the end of carbonization was above 750 °C. The biochars were homogenized and ground into a mesh of < 2 mm for analysis. The poultry-livestock manure compost used in this study is the commercial products (organic fertilizer) certified by the government and often used by farmers. The main raw materials (> 50%) of the studied compost were poultry manure (mostly chicken) and livestock manure (mostly swine), and the minor raw material was mushroom waste, which was completely decomposed after a composting period of 6 months. The dry matter content was higher than 65%, according to regulations.

	Biochar	Compost	Pc Soil	Eh Soil	An Soil
			(SAO)	(MAI)	(SAI)
pH	9.91	8.41	6.1/5.03	7.5/7.23	6.5/6.23
EC(dS/m)	$0.77^{1}/1.36^{2}$	3.79^{1}	0.45	2.21	0.81
Sand (%)	_	_	11	24	33
Silt (%)	_	_	30	36	33
Clay (%)	-	_	59	39	34
Soil Texture	-	-	Clay	Clay loam	Clay loam
Total C (%)	81.1	23.3	2.03	$1.11(0.81)^4$	0.94
Total N (g/kg)	8.36	22.6	2.71	2.32	1.58
Total P (g/kg)	0.55	10.2	1.16	0.98	0.77
Ex. K $(cmol(+)/kg soil)$	1.91	_	0.32	0.29	0.21
Ex. Na (cmol(+)/kg soil)	1.26	_	0.31	0.26	0.37
Ex. Ca (cmol(+)/kg soil)	3.62	-	4.85	2.94	2.24
Ex. Mg (cmol(+)/kg soil)	0.40	-	0.64	0.80	0.36
CEC (cmol(+)/kg soil)	5.20	-	8.58	11.5	14.2
BS ⁶ (%)	138	_	71	37	22
M3 ⁷ -P (mg/kg)	96.6	6874	163	236	94.0
M3-K (mg/kg)	616	8911	68.4	108	94.1
M3-Ca (g/kg)	4.09	14.5	2.03	8.22	2.99
M3-Mg (mg/kg)	278	3972	143	344	401
M3-Fe (mg/kg)	65.5	396	524	589	1199
M3-Mn (mg/kg)	20.9	188	29.0	213	185
M3-Cu (mg/kg)	0.02	6.22	9.77	9.95	3.17
M3-Pb (mg/kg)	ND^5	1.23	10.8	11.7	1.54
M3-Zn (mg/kg)	0.35	62.4	20.4	7.98	5.28

Table 1. Characteristics of biochar, compost, and three studied soils.

¹ The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) of biochar and compost were measured using 1:5 solid: solution ratio after shaking for 30 min in deionized water; ² Biochar EC was measured after shaking biochar-water mixtures (1:5 solid: solution ratio) for 24 h; ³ Soil pH was determined in soil-to-deionized water ratio of 1:1 (g/mL) and in soil-to-1N KCl ratio of 1:1 (g/mL); ⁴ carbonate content; ⁵ ND = not detected; ⁶ BS = base saturation; ⁷ M3 = Mehlich 3 extractable. **Data from Tsai and Chang** [19].

2.2. Incubation Experiment

To investigate the effect of biochar on the N mineralization of excessive compost application to soils, 5% commercially available poultry-livestock manure compost was added as a soil fertilizer, twice the recommended amount of organic fertilizer in Taiwan. It should be noted that this is a highly unlikely scenario, given the economic unviability of 5% compost for most farmers.

In this study, the effects of four proportions (0%, 0.5%, 1.0%, and 2.0% w/w) of biochar co-applied with compost (5.0% w/w) on SAO, MAI, and SAI soils were investigated over 371 days of incubation, consistent with the study of C dynamic [19] but shorter incubation days. A laboratory incubation experiment was conducted with a total of four treatments for each studied soil, namely, biochar-unamended soil + 5% compost, soil + 5% compost + 0.5% biochar, soil + 5% compost + 1.0%biochar, and soil + 5% compost + 2.0% biochar. In total, twelve treatments were conducted in this study. Soil was removed from the top 15 cm of the three studied soils. For each treatment, biochar and compost were thoroughly mixed with the soils with a stirring rod for at least 30 min. After mixing, a 25 g soil mixture was placed in plastic containers, each with a volume of 30 mL. The experiment had a completely randomized block design with 12 treatments, and each treatment had 110 replicates for destructive sampling during the incubation. According to the annual mean air temperature in Taiwan (1981–2010), on average 23 °C and ranging from 19 to 25 °C, and in consideration of the optimizing reaction kinetics for N and facilitating the experimental processes, the containers were sealed and incubated at 25 °C for 371 days, consistent with the previous study [19-21]. The soil moisture contents were adjusted to 60% of field capacity before the start of the incubation, and were maintained throughout the experiment using repeated weighing. The moisture was adjusted twice a week by weighing the jars and adding deionized water as necessary. The soil samples were destructively sampled from five replicate jars for each treatments, a series of 60 jars (three soils \times four amendments \times five repetitions) was taken, at 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 63, 77, 91, 105, 119, 133, 161, 189, 217, 245, 308, and 371 day for analysis of NO₃⁻-N and NH_4^+ -N. The inorganic N (NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N) was determined by extracting 5 g (dry weight equivalent) of soil with 25 mL of 2 M KCl [22]. The NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N in the KCl soil extracts were determined colorimetrically using an automated flow injection analysis with O·I·Analytical Aurora Model 1030W (O.I. Corporation/Xylem, Inc., College Station, Texas, USA). Nitrate is determined by reduction to nitrite (NO₂-N) via a cadmium reactor, diazotized with sulfanilamide and is coupled to N-(1-Napthyl)-ethylenediamine dihydrochloride to form an azochromophore (red-purple in color) measured spectrophotometrically at 540 nm. Ammonium reacts with alkaline phenol and hypochlorite to form indophenol blue in an amount proportional to the ammonia concentration. The blue color is intensified with sodium nitroferricyanide, and the absorbance is measured at 640 nm. The total inorganic nitrogen (TIN) was calculated as the sum of extractable NO_3^--N and NH_4^+-N . Nitrogen, nitrification, and TIN release rate were calculated at each sampling date by taking the concentrations of NH₄⁺-N, NO₃⁻-N, and TIN and dividing by the sampling date (1,3,7, etc.). The percentages of NO₃⁻N and NH_4^+ -N that decreased or increased due to the addition of the biochar were calculated using Equation (1) [23]

$$X(\%) = [(C_n - C_0)/C_0] \times 100$$
(1)

where X denotes the changes in the percentages of NO_3^--N and NH_4^+-N , C_0 is the concentration in the control (mg/kg), and C_n is the concentration in the biochar-amended treatments (mg/kg).

2.3. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses (calculation of means and standard deviations, differences in means) were performed using Statistical Analysis System (SAS) 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., SAS Campus Drive, Cary, NC, USA). The concentrations of inorganic N and available nutrients were averaged for each incubation time interval. A repeated measure multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to test the changes in inorganic N concentrations according to the different biochar addition rates, soils, and incubation times. The addition rates and soils were the between-subject factors, and the incubation

time was the within-subject factor. The repeated measure MANOVA was carried out using the general linear model (GLM) procedure. The results were analyzed by analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA) to test the effects of each treatment. The statistical significance was determined using least significant difference (LSD) tests based on a *t*-test at a probability level of 0.05. The values presented in the graphs and the text are the means \pm 1 standard deviation (SD).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Available NH_4^+ -N in the Soils

When more compost was added, the biochar treatments resulted in a significant rate and soil × rate interaction for the NO_3^{-} -N and the TIN concentrations in the soil (Table 2). The biochar treatments resulted in significant time, time \times soil, time \times rate, and time \times soil \times rate interactions for the NO₃⁻-N, NH₄⁺-N, and TIN concentrations in the soil. This significant influence explained the variable levels of these parameters during incubation. The initial soil concentrations of NH_4^+ -N in the biochar-amended soils were higher than the NO_3^- -N concentrations for all three types of soil (Figure 1, Figure 2). Over the course of the incubation (Figure 1a, Table 3), the NH_4^+ -N concentrations increased and peaked at Day 3 (70-80 mg/kg in the SAO soil) and Day 1 (18-25 mg/kg in the MAI soil and 21-28 mg/kg in the SAI soil), indicating a small initial pulse of mineralized N, followed by a decline for the rest of the incubation period. The final NH_4^+ -N concentrations were about 5–8 mg/kg in the SAO and SAI soils and 2–3 mg/kg in the MAI soil. The NH_4^+ -N release rate was highest at Day 1, ranging from 28 to 34 mg/kg/d in the SAO soil, from 18 to 25 mg/kg/d in the MAI soil, and from 21 to 28 mg/kg/d in the SAI soil (Figure 1b). The NH₄⁺-N release rate sharply declined and diminished to less than 0.1 mg/kg/d after Day 42 (about 6 weeks), indicating little or no new NH₄⁺-N release from the biochar–compost mixed soils. Furthermore, the mean values of the NH₄⁺-N soil concentrations over the 371 days of incubation were in order of SAO soil > SAI soil > MAI soil, and there was no significant difference observed between the 12 treatments due to the highly variability in the ammonium content during the incubation period (Figure 3a). The mean NH₄⁺-N content values in the SAO soil decreased with increasing biochar addition, with similar effects observed after any biochar addition as when 0.5%-2.0% biochar was added to the MAI and SAI soils.

Table 2. Significance (*P* value) of repeated-measures MANOVA results on soil nitrate (NO₃⁻-N), ammonium (NH₄⁺-N), and total inorganic N (NH₄⁺-N+ NO₃⁻-N) (TIN) in different soil series (Soil) and biochar application rates (Rate) in this study. The asterisks (*) indicate the significant difference at p < 0.0001.

Source of Variation	df ¹	NH4 ⁺ -N	NO ₃ N	TIN
Between subject effect				
Soil	2	*	*	*
Rate	3	0.17	*	*
Soil×Rate	6	0.29	*	*
Within subject effect				
Time	21	*	*	*
Time×Soil	42	*	*	*
Time×Rate	63	*	*	*
Time×Soil×Rate	126	*	*	*

¹: df = degree of freedom.



Figure 1. Effects of biochar additions on (**a**) NH_4^+ -N content and (**b**) NH_4^+ -N release rate. For each incubation time, release rate was calculated as the amount of release divided by the incubation time. The data are mean value (n = 5), and vertical bars represent standard deviations (SDs) of the means.



Figure 2. Effects of biochar additions on (**a**) NO_3^- -N content and (**b**) NO_3^- -N release rate. For each incubation time, release rate was calculated as the amount of release divided by the incubation time. The data are mean value (n = 5), and vertical bars represent standard deviations (SDs) of the means.

Treats	1d		3d		7d		14d		21d		28d		35d		42d		49d		56d		63d	
SAO-0	34.3	a^1	77.6	а	33.3	а	6.78	ab	5.78	а	0.94	ab	3.28	bcd	4.32	bc	3.40	ab	6.20	а	5.20	ab
SAO-0.5	33.7	а	75.9	а	31.0	а	6.08	abcd	6.12	а	1.68	ab	4.52	b	2.84	cd	3.00	abc	5.00	ab	3.80	bcd
SAO-1	30.1	b	68.9	b	30.6	а	7.28	а	5.26	ab	0.34	b	2.46	bcd	2.76	cd	4.20	а	5.00	ab	4.60	abc
SAO-2	28.2	bc	71.0	b	29.1	а	6.18	abc	3.84	с	1.60	ab	4.18	b	2.22	d	4.40	а	4.00	bcd	2.60	de
MAI-0	25.0	cde	0.10	f	0.00	b	3.82	ef	6.14	а	1.96	ab	3.72	bc	4.28	bc	0.00	e	0.60	f	4.00	bcd
MAI-0.5	22.6	def	0.06	f	0.00	b	4.41	cdef	4.38	bc	0.16	b	1.10	d	6.08	а	0.00	e	1.76	ef	3.91	bcd
MAI-1	19.6	fg	0.94	f	0.16	b	4.30	def	3.82	с	0.16	b	0.94	d	5.46	ab	0.00	e	3.40	bcde	5.80	а
MAI-2	18.9	g	1.44	f	1.12	b	6.82	ab	3.26	с	0.08	b	0.96	d	3.26	cd	0.80	de	4.40	abc	4.00	bcd
SAI-0	21.9	efg	21.3	cd	4.26	b	4.38	cdef	1.06	d	3.60	а	4.42	b	0.00	e	2.00	bcd	2.20	def	3.00	cde
SAI-0.5	25.4	cd	17.5	e	1.64	b	5.38	bcde	0.88	d	1.40	ab	6.94	а	0.00	e	2.20	bcd	2.80	cde	3.40	cd
SAI-1	25.7	cd	21.6	с	3.70	b	3.16	fg	0.16	d	3.72	а	3.86	bc	0.16	e	1.00	de	3.00	bcde	1.60	e
SAI-2	27.5	bc	17.9	de	5.14	b	2.04	g	0.00	d	3.54	а	1.54	cd	0.00	e	1.60	cde	4.40	abc	2.60	de
Treats	77	7d	91	d	10	5d	11	9d	133	3d	16	1d	18	9d	21	7d	24	5d	30	8d	371d	
SAO-0	5.20	а	2.20	cd	4.80	ab	3.20	а	2.60	b	2.00	bc	2.80	b	4.60	а	5.40	а	6.40	а	5.20	b
SAO-0.5	3.00	bcd	3.00	bc	6.00	а	4.40	а	2.20	b	3.40	а	3.40	b	4.60	а	4.20	b	6.20	а	7.00	ab
SAO-1	3.60	bcd	4.40	ab	4.80	ab	4.00	а	3.20	b	3.40	а	5.60	а	3.20	ab	4.00	bc	5.60	abcd	6.40	ab
SAO-2	3.80	abc	3.00	bc	5.80	а	4.00	а	3.00	b	2.60	ab	5.00	а	3.20	ab	3.80	bc	6.40	а	8.00	а
MAI-0	2.60	cd	0.80	de	3.40	bc	2.60	а	5.40	а	1.00	cd	2.60	b	3.60	ab	3.80	bc	4.60	de	3.20	с
MAI-0.5	2.13	d	0.40	e	3.54	bc	3.55	а	5.93	а	1.01	cd	3.14	b	3.72	ab	3.38	bc	3.77	e	3.00	с
MAI-1	3.40	bcd	1.20	de	3.60	bc	4.00	а	5.20	а	1.20	cd	3.60	b	4.60	а	3.80	bc	4.60	de	2.00	с
MAI-2	3.40	bcd	0.20	e	2.80	с	3.40	а	5.00	а	0.40	d	3.20	b	3.60	ab	3.40	bc	5.80	abc	2.60	с
SAI-0	3.20	bcd	3.00	bc	5.00	ab	4.00	а	2.40	b	2.00	bc	5.40	а	2.60	b	4.00	bc	6.00	ab	5.80	b
0111 0	0.20																					
SAI-0.5	3.00	bcd	3.20	bc	2.60	с	3.60	а	2.80	b	2.80	ab	4.80	а	2.80	b	3.60	bc	4.80	cde	6.80	ab
SAI-0.5 SAI-1	3.00 3.40	bcd bcd	3.20 5.00	bc a	2.60 4.80	c ab	3.60 4.20	a a	2.80 2.40	b b	2.80 3.40	ab a	$\begin{array}{c} 4.80\\ 4.80\end{array}$	a a	2.80 3.60	b ab	3.60 3.60	bc bc	4.80 5.00	cde bcd	6.80 8.00	ab a

Table 3. Soil NH₄⁺-N levels (mg/kg) in three studied soils during a 371-day incubation¹.

^{1.} Means (n = 5) in a column by different lowercase letters are significantly difference at p < 0.05. 0 = 0% biochar, 0.5 = 0.5% biochar, 1 = 1% biochar, 2 = 2% biochar.



Figure 3. Mean values (mg/kg) of (a) NH_4^+-N , (b) NO_3^--N , and (c) total inorganic N (TIN) in three studied soils during a 371-day incubation. The different lowercase letters indicate the significantly difference at *p* < 0.05 between treatments. The data are mean value (*n* = 110), and vertical bars represent standard deviations (SDs) of the means).

The initial soil concentrations of NH_4^+ -N in the three biochar-amended soils were higher than the NO_3^- -N concentrations (Figure 1a, Figure 2a). Dou et al. [24] proposed that the predominance of NH_4^+ -N during the early stage of incubation was due to the inhibition of the nitrification process during that stage. In our study, the persistence of a high NH_4^+ -N concentration in the SAO soil until Day 7 seemed to be the cause of the slow increase in NO_3^- -N in this soil, although this was only observed between Day 1 and Day 3 for the MAI and SAI soils. A study by Manirakiza et al. [18] using a co-application of biochar and paper mill biosolids found consistently high NH4⁺-N concentrations in the Kamouraska clay soil until Day 28, probably due to the low aeration conditions in the Kamouraska clay soil (41% clay). The clay content (59%) of the SAO soil was higher than the Kamouraska clay soil (Table 1), indicating that the low aeration conditions also occurred in the SAO soil. The less persistence of this result observed in this study could be attributed to the large compost addition (5%) in comparison with Manirakiza et al. [18], who used 2.5% paper mill biosolids. In addition, when a 5% compost rate was applied, the NO_3^- -N increased throughout all of biochar rates at 1–2 weeks and after 5–6 weeks, likely because of the available NO₃⁻-N release from the compost at 1–2 weeks and the mineralization and nitrification of the compost after 5-6 weeks. Previous studies regarding soil carbon dynamics [19,21] indicated that the C half-lives of SAO, MAI, and SAI soils, which were calculated based on a single first-order equation, were 42-44 days (-6 weeks), 54~60 days (-8 weeks), and 55-58 days (-8 weeks), respectively. Within the first year following the co-application of biochar and manure at 22.4 and 42 Mg/ha, respectively, to the same soil in a field study, Lentz and Ippolito [25] noted a decrease in NO_3^{-} -N in this soil, followed by a slight increase in NO_3^{-} -N, which was likely due to mineralization.

In our study, the biochar increased the content of the soil ammonium by 200% on average and declined by up to 6% (Figure 4a); in most cases the effect was insignificant and inconsistent in terms of time and rate of biochar application (Table 3), rendering it difficult to summarize the effects of biochar on the ammonium in the investigated soils. On the first day of incubation, significant declines in the ammonium contents of the SAO and MAI soils were noted for the 1.0% and 2.0% BC additions, which had considerably high contents of NH_4^+ -N ($\geq 25 \text{ mg/kg}$) in comparison to the SAI soil. At the end of the incubation period, this effect was mostly nullified because the content of ammonium in the control treatment decreased to the same level as that observed when the biochar was added (<8 mg/kg in the SAO and SAI soils and <3 mg/kg in the MAI soil). The rationale generally given for the adsorption of NH_4^+ -N onto the biochar and the observed reductions in the NH_4^+ -N leaching is due to the cation exchange capacity (CEC) of the biochar [26]. Gai et al. [27] indicated that biochar with a CEC of 19.0–68.6 cmol/kg acquired a higher ammonium adsorption capacity than biochar with a CEC of 0.3–8.5 cmol/kg. The results of the soil incubation experiment in a coastal wetland soil indicated that the NH4⁺-N content in 1% and 3% biochar treatments showed a downward trend throughout the incubation; the NH_4^+ -N content was very low (0.02–4.58 mg/kg) during the whole incubation period and close to zero (0.43–1.52 mg/kg) throughout all of the treatments at the end of incubation, and no effect (p < 0.05) was observed on NH₄⁺-N content throughout the incubation [28]. Four proportions of wood chip-based biochar (0.5%, 2%, 4%, and 8%) were added to ten different soils, with the results of the pot incubation experiment [23] indicating that the biochar increased the content of the soil ammonium by up to 184% and decreased it by up to 79%; however, in most cases the effect was insignificant and inconsistent in terms of the time and the rate of the biochar application. The authors also indicated that a significant decline in the content of the ammonium of the four soils was noted during the first week of incubation, showing that all samples had considerably high contents of NH₄⁺-N (\geq 15 mg/kg) in comparison to the other soils. At the 12th week of incubation, this effect was nullified as the content of ammonium in the control treatment decreased to the same level as the biochar addition. All the other soils presented insignificant changes, possibly due to their low NH₄⁺-N concentrations (≤ 6 mg/kg). This agrees with the findings of Hailegnaw et al. [23] and Jones et al. [29], who reported insignificant effects during biochar applications of 8% and 50 t/ha, respectively.



Figure 4. Average percentage (%) (22 times) of mean relative value of (a) NH_4^+-N , (b) NO_3^--N , and (c) total inorganic N (TIN) in three studied soils during a 371-day incubation. Percentage expressed as the difference between biochar amended treatments and un-amended control treatment. The data are mean value, and vertical bars represent standard deviations (SDs) of the means.

The high production temperature of biochar may have resulted in the low CEC of the biochar [23,27], which could be attributed to low polarity (low Oxygen/Carbon or O/C ratio) and the conversion of acidic functional groups on the biochar surface to neutral or basic-fused aromatic groups after losing their oxygen-containing functional groups. The CEC of biochar in this study was very low (5.2 cmol

(+)/kg/soil) (Table 1), in association with a lower O:C molar ratio and fewer acidic functional groups [21]. The smallest decrease in inorganic N of the soils was observed in the woody biochar, which had a lower CEC value, less acidic functional groups, and lower labile C compounds than crop-derived and herbaceous biochar, thereby leading to lower N immobilization and N chemisorption [30]. The adsorption effects observed with the high-temperature biochar (700 °C) could be one of the reasons for the decline in the soil nitrate content. Several studies reported the adsorption of nitrate using a high-temperature biochar [8,15,23,31,32]. For a biochar to have any NO₃⁻ adsorption potential, the pyrolysis process must occur at a temperature of at least 600 °C [26]. In the biochar-amended composted manure, the mixed woody waste biochar produced at 600–700 °C was the only component that caused the capture of the nitrate and enabled its slow release [33].

3.2. Available NO_3^- -N in the Soils

The NO₃⁻-N concentration increased throughout the course of the incubation and peaked on Day 14 in the SAO soil and on Day 7 in the MAI and SAI soils (Figure 2a, Table 4), indicating a small initial pulse of nitrification, followed by a sharp decline until Day 35 (30–48 mg kg⁻¹ in the SAO soil) and Day 42 (2–3 mg kg⁻¹ in the MAI soil and 1.5–2.2 mg kg⁻¹ in the SAI soil). Sharp increases in the NO₃⁻-N concentrations were observed from Day 35 in the SAO soil and Day 42 in the MAI and SAI soils. The NH_4^+ -N concentrations in the three soils diminished to very low levels by the end of the incubation and corresponded to increased NO₃⁻-N in the soils. The NO₃⁻-N release rate was highest on Day 7 in the SAO soil, ranging from 9.59 to 10.3 mg/kg/d and on Day 3 in the MAI and SAI soils, ranging from 11.1 to 15.2 mg/kg/d and 9.95 to 11.1 mg/kg/d, respectively (Figure 2b). The NO₃⁻-N release rate sharply declined, diminishing to less than 2 and 1 mg/kg/d for the SAO soil and MAI and SAI soils, respectively, after Day 28 (about four weeks). These results indicated that little nitrification occurred after four weeks in the biochar-compost mixed soils. The mean values of the NO3⁻-N soil concentrations during 371 days of incubation were generally in the order of SAO soil > MAI soil > SAI soil (Figure 3b). The SAO soil showed a significantly higher mean nitrate content value than the MAI and SAI soils, but an insignificant difference between MAI and SAI soil. The nitrate content showed an obvious decrease when the biochar was added, increasing in all three soils. In addition, because the nitrate content was much higher than the ammonium content, the TIN (nitrate + ammonium) content was mostly attributed to the nitrate content. The soil TIN content showed similar changes regarding the nitrate contents (Tables 3 and 5).

Treats	Treats 1d		3	d	7	d	14	d	21	d	28	d	35	d	42	d	49	d	56	d	63	d
SAO-0	7.74	abc ¹	22.4	cd	71.9	ab	89.3	а	82.8	а	59.3	а	47.6	а	59.8	а	67.4	а	86.0	а	95.2	а
SAO-0.5	8.60	abc	22.4	cd	74.8	ab	79.9	ab	65.0	b	51.6	b	45.8	а	45.1	b	57.0	b	79.4	b	95.6	а
SAO-1	10.9	а	20.1	d	76.5	а	88.0	а	64.8	b	45.0	с	31.5	b	39.3	b	57.2	b	74.2	b	85.4	b
SAO-2	6.08	bc	19.1	d	67.1	abc	78.3	b	57.9	b	33.4	d	30.3	b	25.3	с	39.8	с	53.4	с	70.0	с
MAI-0	9.82	ab	33.4	bc	63.8	abc	46.2	e	18.3	e	8.50	fg	5.84	cd	2.42	d	4.80	de	3.60	e	15.8	d
MAI-0.5	6.53	bc	39.7	ab	51.4	cde	45.8	e	27.9	de	3.40	g	3.14	cd	3.06	d	3.77	de	3.72	e	14.8	d
MAI-1	5.48	С	45.7	а	52.0	cde	36.1	f	23.1	e	5.10	fg	2.72	cd	2.20	d	2.80	e	5.40	de	12.2	d
MAI-2	7.70	abc	41.3	ab	47.0	de	41.2	ef	24.2	e	4.50	fg	2.66	cd	2.24	d	2.80	e	7.00	de	8.60	d
SAI-0	7.74	abc	31.6	bc	64.8	abc	65.0	С	38.9	С	8.92	efg	6.26	с	1.90	d	4.80	de	3.40	e	16.0	d
SAI-0.5	10.0	ab	33.2	bc	37.9	e	58.3	cd	27.0	de	10.7	ef	2.08	cd	2.24	d	4.00	de	9.80	d	10.6	d
SAI-1	8.22	abc	29.8	bcd	58.1	bcd	57.3	cd	36.7	cd	15.1	e	3.76	cd	2.22	d	7.40	d	8.40	de	9.40	d
SAI-2	6.94	bc	33.3	bc	41.1	e	49.5	de	21.4	e	7.30	fg	1.40	d	1.56	d	6.00	de	11.2	d	8.00	d
Treats	77	′d	91	d	10	5d	119	9d	133	3d	16	1d	18	9d	21	7d	243	5d	308	8d	37	1d
Treats SAO-0	77 107	7 d a	91 138	d a	10 154	5d a	11 9 155	9 d a	13 3 172	3d a	16 179	1 d b	18 233	9 d a	21 2 242	7 d a	24 5 204	5d a	30 8 273	8 d a	37 2 308	ld a
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5	77 107 98.6	7 d a ab	91 138 131	d a ab	10 154 150	5 d a ab	119 155 154	9 d a a	13 3 172 165	3d a a	16 179 197	ld b a	189 233 226	9 d a ab	21 2 242 197	7 d a bc	24 3 204 176	5 d a ab	30 8 273 268	8 d a a	37 308 295	ld a ab
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1	77 107 98.6 94.2	7d a ab bc	91 138 131 122	a ab b	10 154 150 137	5d a ab b	119 155 154 145	9d a a a	133 172 165 161	3d a a ab	16 179 197 175	ld b a b	189 233 226 211	9 d a ab b	242 197 214	7 d a bc ab	245 204 176 147	5 d a ab b	308 273 268 227	8d a a b	37 308 295 277	Id a ab bc
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8	z d a ab bc c	91 138 131 122 105	a ab b c	10 154 150 137 120	5d a ab b c	119 155 154 145 107	9d a a a b	133 172 165 161 151	a a ab b	16 179 197 175 161	ld b a b c	189 233 226 211 194	9 d a ab b c	242 197 214 174	7 d a bc ab c	248 204 176 147 160	5d a ab b b	308 273 268 227 223	8d a a b b	308 295 277 268	ld a ab bc cd
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4	z d a ab bc c de	91 138 131 122 105 42.0	a ab b c de	10 154 150 137 120 67.0	a ab b c d	119 155 154 145 107 71.0	9d a a b c	133 172 165 161 151 93.8	a a ab b c	161 179 197 175 161 122	1d b a b c d	189 233 226 211 194 147	9 d a ab b c d	211 242 197 214 174 122	7 d a bc ab c de	248 204 176 147 160 105	a ab b b c	308 273 268 227 223 214	8d a b b bc	37 308 295 277 268 257	a ab bc cd cd
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0 MAI-0.5	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4 20.1	z d a bc c de de	91 138 131 122 105 42.0 38.6	a ab b c de def	10 154 150 137 120 67.0 53.4	5d a ab b c d de	119 155 154 145 107 71.0 63.2	a a a b c c	133 172 165 161 151 93.8 88.9	a a ab b c cd	161 179 197 175 161 122 106	1d b a b c d e	189 233 226 211 194 147 141	9d a ab b c d de	212 242 197 214 174 122 133	7 d a bc ab c de d	245 204 176 147 160 105 94.7	a ab b b c cd	30 8 273 268 227 223 214 181	8d a b b bc cd	37 308 295 277 268 257 246	a ab bc cd cd d
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0 MAI-0.5 MAI-1	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4 20.1 17.6	zd a bc c de de de de	91 138 131 122 105 42.0 38.6 34.0	a ab b c de def ef	10 154 150 137 120 67.0 53.4 45.8	a ab b c d de ef	119 155 154 145 107 71.0 63.2 49.4	a a b c c cd	133 172 165 161 151 93.8 88.9 78.6	a a ab b c cd d	16 179 197 175 161 122 106 89.4	ld b a b c d e f	189 233 226 211 194 147 141 128	a ab b c d de ef	212 242 197 214 174 122 133 106	a bc ab c de d def	245 204 176 147 160 105 94.7 88.6	a ab b b c cd cd	30 273 268 227 223 214 181 138	8d a b b bc cd de	37 308 295 277 268 257 246 210	a ab bc cd cd d ef
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0 MAI-0.5 MAI-1 MAI-2	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4 20.1 17.6 14.2	zd a ab bc c de de de de e	91 138 131 122 105 42.0 38.6 34.0 26.6	a ab b c de def ef ef	10 154 150 137 120 67.0 53.4 45.8 31.6	a ab b c d de ef f	119 155 154 145 107 71.0 63.2 49.4 46.8	Pd a a b c c c c c d cd	133 172 165 161 151 93.8 88.9 78.6 63.2	3d a ab b c cd d e	16 179 197 175 161 122 106 89.4 66.4	Id b a b c d e f g	189 233 226 211 194 147 141 128 117	9d a b c d de ef f	212 242 197 214 174 122 133 106 102	a bc ab c de d def def	245 204 176 147 160 105 94.7 88.6 72.6	5d a b b c cd cd d	30 273 268 227 223 214 181 138 114	8d a b b bc cd de e	373 308 295 277 268 257 246 210 192	a ab bc cd cd cd d ef ef
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0 MAI-0.5 MAI-1 MAI-2 SAI-0	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4 20.1 17.6 14.2 27.2	zd a ab bc c de de de e de	91 138 131 122 105 42.0 38.6 34.0 26.6 54.6	a ab b c de def ef ef d	10 154 150 137 120 67.0 53.4 45.8 31.6 57.0	5d a ab b c d de ef f de	119 155 154 145 107 71.0 63.2 49.4 46.8 51.2	a a b c c c c c d cd cd cd	133 172 165 161 151 93.8 88.9 78.6 63.2 89.2	a a ab b c cd d e cd	16 179 197 175 161 122 106 89.4 66.4 116	ld b a b c d e f g de	18 233 226 211 194 147 141 128 117 148	a ab b c d de ef f d	212 242 197 214 174 122 133 106 102 114	7d a bc ab c de de def def def	245 204 176 147 160 105 94.7 88.6 72.6 96.0	5d a b b c cd cd d cd	30 273 268 227 223 214 181 138 114 163	8d a b b bc cd de e d	373 308 295 277 268 257 246 210 192 219	a ab bc cd cd d ef ef ef
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0 MAI-0.5 MAI-1 MAI-2 SAI-0 SAI-0.5	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4 20.1 17.6 14.2 27.2 15.6	7 d a ab bc c de de de e de de de	91 138 131 122 105 42.0 38.6 34.0 26.6 54.6 42.4	a ab b c def ef ef d de	10 154 150 137 120 67.0 53.4 45.8 31.6 57.0 69.2	5d a b c d d ef f de d	119 155 154 145 107 71.0 63.2 49.4 46.8 51.2 53.8	a a b c c cd cd cd cd cd	133 172 165 161 151 93.8 88.9 78.6 63.2 89.2 76.6	a a ab b c cd d e cd cd de	163 179 197 175 161 122 106 89.4 66.4 116 114	ld b a b c d e f g de de	189 233 226 211 194 147 141 128 117 148 120	a ab b c d de ef f d f	212 242 197 214 174 122 133 106 102 114 98.4	7d a bc ab c de def def def def	243 204 176 147 160 105 94.7 88.6 72.6 96.0 86.0	5d a b b c cd cd cd cd cd cd	30 273 268 227 223 214 181 138 114 163 107	8d a b b cd cd de e d e d	37 308 295 277 268 257 246 210 192 219 218	Id a bc cd cd d ef ef e e
Treats SAO-0 SAO-0.5 SAO-1 SAO-2 MAI-0 MAI-0.5 MAI-1 MAI-2 SAI-0 SAI-0.5 SAI-1	77 107 98.6 94.2 85.8 19.4 20.1 17.6 14.2 27.2 15.6 27.8	7 d a bc c de de de e de de de de	91 138 131 122 105 42.0 38.6 34.0 26.6 54.6 42.4 40.4	a ab b c de def ef d de de def	10 154 150 137 120 67.0 53.4 45.8 31.6 57.0 69.2 57.2	5d a b c d de ef f de d d e d	119 155 154 145 107 71.0 63.2 49.4 46.8 51.2 53.8 35.0	a a b c cd cd cd cd cd cd cd d	133 172 165 161 151 93.8 88.9 78.6 63.2 89.2 76.6 75.2	a a ab b c cd d e cd d e cd de de	163 179 197 175 161 122 106 89.4 66.4 116 114 106	ld b a b c d e f g de de e e	18 233 226 211 194 147 141 128 117 148 120 127	a ab b c d de ef f d f ef	212 242 197 214 174 122 133 106 102 114 98.4 88.2	7d a bc ab c de def def def def ef	243 204 176 147 160 105 94.7 88.6 72.6 96.0 86.0 77.6	5d a b b c cd cd cd cd cd cd cd cd	30 273 268 227 223 214 181 138 114 163 107 161	8d a b b cd cd de e d e d	37 : 308 295 277 268 257 246 210 192 219 218 210	Id a ab bc cd cd cd d ef ef e e ef

Table 4. Soil NO₃⁻-N levels (mg/kg) in three studied soils during a 371-day incubation¹.

¹ Means (n = 5) in a column by different lowercase letters are significantly difference at p < 0.05. 0 = 0% biochar, 0.5 = 0.5% biochar, 1 = 1% biochar, 2 = 2% biochar.

Treats	1	d	3	d	7	d	14	d	21	ld	28	d	35	5d	42	2d	49	d	50	6d	63	3d
SAO-0	42.1	a ¹	100	а	105	а	96.1	а	88.6	а	60.3	а	50.9	а	64.1	а	70.6	а	92.6	а	100	а
SAO-0.5	42.3	а	98.3	ab	106	а	86.0	bc	71.1	b	53.3	b	50.3	а	47.9	b	60.2	b	84.2	b	99.4	а
SAO-1	41.0	а	88.9	b	107	а	95.3	ab	70.0	b	45.4	с	34.0	b	42.1	b	61.4	b	79.6	b	90.2	b
SAO-2	34.3	bcd	90.1	ab	96.2	а	84.5	с	61.7	b	35.1	d	34.5	b	27.5	с	44.4	с	57.6	с	72.8	с
MAI-0	34.9	bc	33.5	e	63.8	bc	50.1	fg	24.4	ef	10.4	fg	9.58	с	6.70	d	4.80	def	4.40	g	19.8	d
MAI-0.5	29.1	de	39.7	de	51.3	cde	50.3	fg	32.2	cde	3.60	g	4.18	de	9.06	d	3.80	ef	5.60	fg	18.8	d
MAI-1	25.0	e	46.6	cd	52.1	cde	40.4	g	26.9	def	5.24	fg	3.68	e	7.68	d	3.00	f	8.40	efg	17.6	d
MAI-2	26.5	e	42.7	cde	48.1	de	48.0	fg	27.5	def	4.58	g	3.62	e	5.52	d	3.40	f	11.6	def	12.8	d
SAI-0	29.7	cde	53.0	с	69.0	b	69.4	ď	40.0	с	12.5	ef	10.7	с	1.90	d	6.80	def	5.40	fg	19.4	d
SAI-0.5	35.4	b	50.7	с	39.6	e	63.7	e	27.9	def	12.1	ef	9.02	cd	2.24	d	6.40	def	12.6	de	14.2	d
SAI-1	34.0	bcd	51.5	с	61.8	bcd	60.6	de	36.8	cd	18.8	e	7.62	cde	2.40	d	8.40	d	11.0	defg	11.0	d
SAI-2	34.5	bcd	51.2	с	46.3	de	51.5	ef	21.4	f	10.8	fg	2.94	e	1.56	d	7.80	de	15.8	d	10.6	d
Treats	77	7d	91	ld	10	5d	11	9d	13	3d	16	1d	18	9d	21	7d	24	5d	30	8d	371d	
540.0	112	2	140	2	150	2	158	2	175	2	191	h	225	2	246	2	200	2	270	2	370	2
SAO 05	102	a ab	124	a 2	156	a ab	150	a 2	167	a ab	200	2	200	a ab	240	a ba	180	a h	275	a 2	310	a ab
SAO-0.5	98.0	aD b	134	a	142	aD b	1/0	a 2	167	ab	179	a h	229	aD b	202	ab	150	b	273	a h	200	au bc
SAO-2	90.0 89.6	h	108	a b	176	C C	111	a b	154	h	163	C C	100	C C	178	ab	163	h	230	b h	299 292	DC C
MALO	22.0	cd	43.0	cde	70.4	d	74.2	C	99.0	C C	103	d	150	d	176	de	105	C C	230	bc	275	cd
MALO 5	22.0	cd	39.0	de	56.8	de	67.2	c	95.0	cd	107	u 0	1/5	de	120	d	98.4	cd	18/	cd	264	do
MAL-1	22.4	cd	35.2	de	49 A	of	53.0	cd	83.8	def	90.8	f	132	of	111	def	97.4	cd	143	de	204	fah
MAL2	17.4	d	26.8	ue o	34.6	f	50.2	cd	68.2	a	66.8	a a	102	f	106	dof	75.8	d	140	ue o	210	ah
SAL0	30.4	cd	20.0 57.8	c	62 0	de	55.2	cd	92.0	g cde	117	8 de	121	d	100	def	100	cd u	120	d	210	of
SAL0 5	18.8	cd	45.8	cd	72.0	d	57.8	cd	79.6	ofa	117	do	125	f	101	dof	89.8	cd	112	a	240	of
SAL1	31.4	cu c	45.0 45.4	cd	61.8	de	39.4	d	79.0	fa	109	de	125	1 of	91 4	of	81.4	cd	166	d	2 4 0 233	fo
CAL2	23.6	cd		de	35.0	f	50.2	cd	66.8	15 0	87.6	f	110	f	87.8	f	72.4	d	111	u o	200	15 h

Table 5. Soil inorganic N (NO₃⁻-N+ NH₄⁺-N) levels (mg/kg) in three studied soils during a 371-day incubation¹.

^{1.} Means (n = 5) in a column by different lowercase letters are significantly difference at p < 0.05. 0 = 0% biochar, 0.5 = 0.5% biochar, 1 = 1% biochar, 2 = 2% biochar.

The soil NO_3^{-} -N concentrations were significantly lower in the 2% biochar application compared to the other proportions (Figure 2a, Table 4) at most of the sampling times, which was likely due to microbial immobilization and a lower net mineralization:nitrification ratio [17]. During the incubation period, we presented the percentage of NO₃⁻-N change following biochar addition in three soils relative to NO_3^{-} -N content of the control, as seen in Table 3. The negative effect of the biochar was prominent in almost all the investigated soils during the incubation period, with the rate of decline increasing as the rate of the biochar application increased from 0.5% to 2% (Figure 4b). The addition of the 0.5% biochar resulted in a decline in NO_3^--N , of 12% on average, in the SAI soil relative to the control. However, this decline was significant only during some of the incubation times (Table 4). The addition of 1.0% biochar induced a significant decline, of 17% on average, in the MAI soil relative to the control. The 2.0% biochar addition induced a significant decline in all the soils throughout the incubation period, with an average significant effect of 27% in the MAI and SAI soils. The study results of Dempster et al. [8] also indicated that the net nitrification rates decreased significantly when the added biochar increased (p < 0.001). The addition of biochar alone significantly (p < 0.05) reduced the NO_3^- -N content after 25 days of incubation, but the addition rate had no significant effect on the $NO_3^{-}-N$ content [28]. The results of the pot incubation experiment [23] indicated that the additions of 0.5%, 2%, 4%, and 8% wood-chip-based biochar resulted in nitrate declining by up to 35%, 70%, 76%, and 81%, respectively, relative to the control. The study results of Yao et al. [32] identified a 34% reduction in nitrate leaching following the addition of biochar produced from pepperwood at 600 °C. Similarly, in N-rich soil, 2% and 4% of apple branch biochar reduced soil nitrate contents [34]. The decline of NO_3^- -N in this study was lower because of the large amount of compost (5%) mixed into the study soils. Our results were consistent with those of Ippolito et al. [17], who observed a decrease in NO₃⁻-N soil content with the co-application of hardwood biochar (500 $^{\circ}$ C) and manure (2.0%) at a 10% biochar rate, suggesting that manure could mask the effects of 1% and 2% biochar on decreased NO_3^- -N soil content by supplying sufficient inorganic N. Manirakiza et al. [18] co-applied biochar and paper mill biosolids and showed the same findings. Furthermore, the amounts of NO₃⁻-N adsorbed by biochar depended on the NO_3^{-} -N soil concentration [28], but the effects of biochar on the soil adsorption capacity decreased over time after the biochar application [35]. Thus, the impact of the co-application of biochar and compost on N dynamics depended also on the incubation time in the three soils (Table 2), as suggested by Manirakiza et al. [18].

4. Conclusions

Our study showed that excessive compost-amended soils co-applied with woody biochar decreased the net mineralized N concentration. The co-application of excessive compost with the woody biochar drastically reduced the level of mineral N availability and led to the sequestration of released N. The mean values of the NH4⁺-N and NO3⁻-N soil concentrations over the 371 days of incubation were in the order of SAO soil > SAI soil > MAI soil, and SAO soil > MAI soil > SAI soil, respectively. The mean NH₄⁺-N content values in the SAO soil decreased with increasing biochar addition, with similar effects observed after any biochar addition, as when 0.5%–2.0% biochar was added to the MAI and SAI soils. The SAO soil showed a significantly higher mean nitrate content value than the MAI and SAI soils, but an insignificant difference between MAI and SAI soils. The nitrate content showed an obvious decrease when the biochar was added, increasing in all three soils. In addition, because the nitrate content was much higher than the ammonium content, the TIN (nitrate + ammonium) content was mostly attributed to the nitrate content. The soil TIN content showed similar changes regarding the nitrate contents. Previous research suggested that a biochar rate of 0.5% in rural Taiwanese soils was reasonable and appropriate to maintain high organic matter levels and carbon sequestration. However, when 0.5%, 1.0%, or 2.0% biochar was applied with 5% compost in the current study, the biochar decreased N availability by 5–8, 6–17 and 17–29 mg/kg, respectively. The average percentage over 22 times monitoring of mean relative value, expressed as the difference biochar amended treatments and un-amended control treatments, also indicated that the biochar decreased available TIN by 5%–7%, 1%–13% and 16%–24%, respectively. This finding may benefit producers, leading to more efficient compost N use. This method could serve as a slow N-release system with the possibility of enhancing the efficiency of excessive compost N use by reducing soil NO_3^- -N erosion and loss risks, and could therefore be interesting for agricultural soil amendments. In addition, the soil type played an important role in the current study, in particular the pH and clay content of the soil.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.-C.T.; methodology, C.-C.T.; validation, C.-C.T., formal analysis, Y.-F.C.; investigation, C.-C.T. and Y.-F.C.; data curation, C.-C.T. and Y.-F.C.; writing—original draft preparation, C.-C.T.; writing—review and editing, C.-C.T.; supervision, C.-C.T.; funding acquisition, C.-C.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank the National Science Council of the Republic of China for financially supporting this research under Contract No. NSC-100-2313-B-197-001. Special thanks to G. S. Hwang, Forest Utilization Division, Taiwan Forestry Research Institute for supplying the biochars.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Oldfield, T.L.; Sikirica, N.; Mondini, C.; López, G.; Kuikman, P.J.; Holden, N.M. Biochar, compost and biocharcompost blend as options to recover nutrients and sequester carbon. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2018, 218, 465–476. [CrossRef]
- Trupiano, D.; Cocozza, C.; Baronti, S.; Ame ndola, C.; Vaccari, F.P.; Lustrato, G.; Di Lonardo, S.; Fantasma, F.; Togne tti, R.; Scippa, G.S. The effects of biochar and its combination with compost on lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) growth, soil properties, and soil microbial activity and abundance. *Int. J. Agron.* 2017, 2017, 3158207. [CrossRef]
- 3. Lal, R. Sequestering carbon and increasing productivity by conservation agriculture. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* **2015**, *70*, 55A–62A. [CrossRef]
- Zebarth, B.J.; Drury, C.F.; Tremblay, N.; Cambouris, A.N. Opportunities for improved fertilizer nitrogen management in production of arable crops in eastern Canada: A review. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 2009, *89*, 113–132. [CrossRef]
- Yun, S.I.; Ro, H.M.; Choi, W.J.; Chang, S.X. Interactive effects of N fertilizer source and timing of fertilization leave specific N isotope signatures in Chinese cabbage and soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2006, *38*, 1682–1689. [CrossRef]
- 6. Yun, S.I.; Ro, H.M. Natural ¹⁵N abundance of plant and soil inorganic-N as evidence for over-fertilization with compost. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2009**, *41*, 1541–1547. [CrossRef]
- 7. Lee, J.S.; Chang, K.W.; Cho, S.H.; Oh, J.G. Effect of compost application on radish quality and changes of soil physico-chemical properties in organic farming. *Korean J. Soil. Sci. Fert.* **1996**, *29*, 145–149.
- 8. Dempster, D.N.; Gleeson, D.B.; Solaiman, Z.M.; Jones, D.L.; Murphy, D.V. Decreased soil microbial biomass and nitrogen mineralisation with Eucalyptus biochar addition to a coarse textured soil. *Plant Soil* **2012**, *354*, 311–324. [CrossRef]
- 9. Mirabella, N.; Castellani, V.; Sala, S. Current options for the valorization of food manufacturing waste: A review. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2014**, *65*, 28–41. [CrossRef]
- 10. Liu, J.; Schulz, H.; Brandl, S.; Miehtke, H.; Huwe, B.; Glaser, B. Short-term effect of biochar and compost on soil fertility and water status of a Dystric Cambisol in NE Germany under field conditions. *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.* **2012**, *175*, 698–707. [CrossRef]
- 11. Agegnehu, G.; Bird, M.; Nelson, P.; Bass, A. The ameliorating effects of biochar and compost on soil quality and plant growth on a Ferralsol. *Soil Res.* **2015**, *53*, 1–12. [CrossRef]
- 12. Agegnehu, G.; Srivastava, A.K.; Bird, M.I. The role of biochar and biochar-compost in improving soil quality and crop performance: A review. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **2017**, *119*, 156–170. [CrossRef]
- 13. Chan, K.Y.; Van Zwieten, L.; Meszaros, I.; Downie, A.; Joseph, S. Agronomic values of greenwaste biochar as a soil amendment. *Aust. J. Soil Res.* 2007, *45*, 629–634. [CrossRef]
- 14. Kammann, C.I.; Linsel, S.; Gobling, J.W.; Koyro, H. Influence of biochar on drought tolerance of *Chenopodium quinoa* Willd and on soil-plant relations. *Plant Soil* **2011**, *345*, 195–210. [CrossRef]

- 15. Kameyama, K.; Miyamoto, T.; Shiono, T.; Shinogi, Y. Influence of sugarcane bagasse-derived biochar application on nitrate leaching in calcaric dark red soil. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2012**, *41*, 1131–1137. [CrossRef]
- 16. Lentz, R.D.; Ippolito, J.A.; Spokas, K.A. Biochar and manure effects on net nitrogen mineralization and greenhouse gas emissions from calcareous soil under corn. *Soil Sci. Am. J.* **2014**, *78*, 1641–1655. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ippolito, J.A.; Stromberger, M.E.; Lentz, R.D.; Dungan, R.S. Hardwood biochar and manure co-application to a calcareous soil. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *142*, 84–91. [CrossRef]
- Manirakiza, E.; Ziadi, N.; St.Luce, M.; Hamel, C.; Antoun, H.; Karama, A. Nitrogen mineralization and microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen in response to co-application of biochar and paper mill biosolids. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2019, 142, 90–98. [CrossRef]
- 19. Tsai, C.C.; Chang, Y.F. Carbon dynamics and fertility in biochar-amended soils with excessive compost application. *Agronomy* **2019**, *9*, 511. [CrossRef]
- 20. Tsai, C.C.; Chang, Y.F.; Hwang, G.S.; Hseu, Z.Y. Impact of wood biochar addition on nutrient leaching and fertility in a rural Ultisols of Taiwan. *Taiwan. J. Agric. Chem. Food Sci.* **2013**, *51*, 80–93.
- 21. Tsai, C.C.; Chang, Y.F. Viability of biochar on reducing C mineralization and improving nutrients status in a compost-treated Oxisols. *Taiwan. J. Agric. Chem. Food Sci.* **2016**, *54*, 74–89.
- Mulvaney, R.L. Nitrogen-inorganic forms. In *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3 Chemical Method*, 5.3, 1st ed.; Book Series No.5; Sparks, D.L., Page, A.L., Helmke, P.A., Loeppert, R.H., Soltanpour, P.N., Tabatabai, M.A., Johnston, C.T., Sumner, M.E., Eds.; Soil Science Society of America: Madison, WI, USA, 1996; pp. 1123–1185.
- 23. Hailegnaw, N.S.; Mercl, F.; Pračke, K.; Száková, J.; Tlustoš, P. High temperature-produced biochar can be efficient in nitrate loss prevention and carbon sequestration. *Geoderma* **2019**, *338*, 48–55. [CrossRef]
- 24. Dou, Z.X.; Toth, J.D.; Jabro, J.D.; Fox, R.H.; Fritton, D.D. Soil nitrogen mineralization during laboratory incubation: Dynamics and model fitting. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1996**, *28*, 625–632. [CrossRef]
- 25. Lentz, R.D.; Ippolito, J.A. Biochar and manure affects calcareous soil and corn silage nutrient concentrations and uptake. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2012**, *41*, 1033–1043. [CrossRef]
- 26. Clough, T.J.; Condron, L.M.; Kammann, C.; Müller, C. A review of biochar and soil nitrogen dynamics. *Agronom* **2013**, *3*, 275–293. [CrossRef]
- 27. Gai, X.; Wang, H.; Liu, J.; Zhai, L.; Liu, S.; Ren, T.; Liu, H. Effects of feedstock and pyrolysis temperature on biochar adsorption of ammonium and nitrate. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e113888. [CrossRef]
- Luo, X.X.; Chen, L.; Zheng, H.; Chang, J.J.; Wang, H.F.; Wang, Z.Y.; Xing, B.S. Biochar addition reduced net N mineralization of a coastal wetland soil in the Yellow River Delta, China. *Geoderma* 2016, 282, 120–128. [CrossRef]
- 29. Jones, D.L.; Rousk, J.; Edwards-Jones, G.; Deluca, T.H.; Murphy, D.V. Biochar-mediated changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three year field trial. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2012**, *45*, 113–124. [CrossRef]
- 30. Nguyen, T.T.N.; Xu, C.-Y.; Tahmasbian, I.; Che, R.; Xu, Z.; Zhou, X.; Wallace, H.M.; Bai, S.H. Effects of biochar on soil available inorganic nitrogen: A review and meta-analysis. *Geoderma* **2017**, *288*, 79–96. [CrossRef]
- 31. Kameyama, K.; Miyamoto, T.; Iwata, Y.; Shiono, T. Influences of feedstock and pyrolysis temperature on the nitrate adsorption of biochar. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **2016**, *62*, 180–184. [CrossRef]
- Yao, Y.; Gao, B.; Zhang, M.; Inyang, M.; Zimmerman, A.R. Effect of biochar amendment on sorption and leaching of nitrate, ammonium, and phosphate in a sandy soil. *Chemosphere* 2012, *89*, 1467–1471. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Hagemann, N.; Kammann, C.I.; Schmidt, H.-P.; Kappler, A.; Behrens, S. Nitrate capture and slow release in biochar amended compost and soil. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0171214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Li, S.L.; Liang, C.T.; Shangguan, Z.P. Effects of apple branch biochar on soil C mineralization and nutrient cycling under two levels of N. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *607*, 109–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Šimanský, V.; Horák, J.; Igaz, D.; Balashov, E.; Jonczak, J. Biochar and biochar with N fertilizer as a potential tool for improving soil sorption of nutrients. *J. Soils Sedi.* **2018**, *18*, 1432–1440. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).