**Table S1.** Herbicide safening effect of compound (l, n, r and u) on the rice seedlings treated with metolachlor in plant heights  $^1$ .

| Compound                  | Concentration | plant height relative value (% of |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
|                           | (mg L-1)      | Non-Treated Control)              |
| F + M                     | 0.25          | $54.76 \pm 0.91$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $63.44 \pm 1.29$                  |
|                           | 1             | $69.86 \pm 0.79$                  |
|                           | 2             | $74.20 \pm 0.88$                  |
|                           | 4             | $84.39 \pm 0.58$                  |
| 1 + M                     | 0.25          | $66.39 \pm 1.43$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $68.42 \pm 1.00$                  |
|                           | 1             | $76.02 \pm 0.62$                  |
|                           | 2             | $89.97 \pm 0.35$                  |
|                           | 4             | $94.51 \pm 0.33$                  |
| n + M                     | 0.25          | $52.88 \pm 0.56$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $55.64 \pm 0.66$                  |
|                           | 1             | $65.57 \pm 0.60$                  |
|                           | 2             | $88.69 \pm 0.82$                  |
|                           | 4             | $95.23 \pm 1.01$                  |
| r + M                     | 0.25          | $54.74 \pm 1.16$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $68.26 \pm 0.94$                  |
|                           | 1             | $75.04 \pm 0.51$                  |
|                           | 2             | $92.44 \pm 0.20$                  |
|                           | 4             | $98.69 \pm 0.47$                  |
| $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{M}$ | 0.25          | $59.05 \pm 1.29$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $69.85 \pm 0.25$                  |
|                           | 1             | $72.64 \pm 0.27$                  |
|                           | 2             | $77.80 \pm 0.63$                  |
|                           | 4             | $91.84 \pm 0.48$                  |

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  **M**: 0.073 mg L-1 metolachlor; **F** + **M**: combined treatment fenclorim and metolachlor, (**l**, **n**, **r** or **u**) + **M**: combined treatment of compounds (**l**, **n**, **r** or **u**) and metolachlor. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Table S2.** Herbicide safening effect of compound (l, n, r and u) on the rice seedlings treated with metolachlor in root lengths  $^1$ .

| Compound                  | Concentration | Root Lengths Relative Value (% of |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
|                           | (mg L-1)      | Non-Treated Control)              |
| F + M                     | 0.25          | $65.89 \pm 0.90$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $73.02 \pm 0.83$                  |
|                           | 1             | $82.63 \pm 0.47$                  |
|                           | 2             | $86.81 \pm 1.02$                  |
|                           | 4             | $91.10 \pm 1.11$                  |
| 1 + M                     | 0.25          | $71.57 \pm 0.90$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $84.08 \pm 0.88$                  |
|                           | 1             | $94.38 \pm 0.35$                  |
|                           | 2             | $86.79 \pm 1.69$                  |
|                           | 4             | $83.48 \pm 0.59$                  |
| n + M                     | 0.25          | $82.20 \pm 0.35$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $85.82 \pm 1.16$                  |
|                           | 1             | $87.61 \pm 0.76$                  |
|                           | 2             | $89.04 \pm 1.12$                  |
|                           | 4             | $93.07 \pm 0.54$                  |
| r + M                     | 0.25          | $61.21 \pm 0.96$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | 72.22 ± 1.11                      |
|                           | 1             | $87.24 \pm 0.78$                  |
|                           | 2             | $92.12 \pm 0.46$                  |
|                           | 4             | $97.55 \pm 0.68$                  |
| $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{M}$ | 0.25          | $81.17 \pm 0.55$                  |
|                           | 0.5           | $88.38 \pm 0.65$                  |
|                           | 1             | $91.60 \pm 0.95$                  |
|                           | 2             | $93.92 \pm 0.69$                  |
|                           | 4             | 94.51 ± 1.28                      |

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  M: 0.073 mg L-1 metolachlor; F + M: combined treatment fenclorim and metolachlor, (l, n, r or u) + M: combined treatment of compounds (l, n, r or u) and metolachlor. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Table S3.** Herbicide safening effect of compound (l, n, r and u) on the rice seedlings treated with metolachlor in fresh weight  $^1$ .

| Compound. | Concentration | Fresh Weight Relative Value (% |
|-----------|---------------|--------------------------------|
|           | (mg L-1)      | of Non-Treated Control)        |
| F + M     | 0.25          | $84.61 \pm 0.74$               |
|           | 0.5           | $84.14 \pm 0.87$               |
|           | 1             | $90.13 \pm 0.46$               |
|           | 2             | $93.01 \pm 0.05$               |
|           | 4             | $96.55 \pm 1.08$               |
| 1 + M     | 0.25          | $89.17 \pm 1.26$               |
|           | 0.5           | $92.62 \pm 1.02$               |
|           | 1             | $93.37 \pm 0.53$               |
|           | 2             | $94.28 \pm 0.92$               |
|           | 4             | $96.73 \pm 0.76$               |
| n + M     | 0.25          | $82.89 \pm 0.92$               |
|           | 0.5           | $85.04 \pm 0.65$               |
|           | 1             | $86.92 \pm 1.24$               |
|           | 2             | $97.43 \pm 0.46$               |
|           | 4             | $97.85 \pm 0.70$               |
| r + M     | 0.25          | $76.91 \pm 0.60$               |
|           | 0.5           | $87.85 \pm 0.50$               |
|           | 1             | $92.57 \pm 0.69$               |
|           | 2             | $97.44 \pm 0.46$               |
|           | 4             | $98.72 \pm 0.23$               |
| u + M     | 0.25          | $86.05 \pm 0.55$               |
|           | 0.5           | $87.59 \pm 1.89$               |
|           | 1             | $95.30 \pm 1.15$               |
|           | 2             | $92.03 \pm 1.09$               |
|           | 4             | $95.78 \pm 0.88$               |

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  M: 0.073 mg L-1 metolachlor; F + M: combined treatment fenclorim and metolachlor, (l, n, r or u) + M: combined treatment of compounds (l, n, r or u) and metolachlor. All experiments were performed in triplicate.