

Non-Saline Soil

Article

Effect of Exopolysaccharide-Producing Bacteria and Melatonin on Faba Bean Production in Saline and

Mona F. Abd El-Ghany ^{1,*} and Magdy Attia ²

- 1 Soil Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, PO Box 12613 Giza, Egypt
- 2 Agricultural Microbiology Department, National Research Centre, 33 El Buhouth St., Dokki, PO Box 12622 Cairo, Egypt; magdyattia@hotmail.com
- * Correspondence: mona.abdulghany@agr.cu.edu.eg; Tel.: +20-010-1189-1590

Received: 17 January 2020; Accepted: 18 February 2020; Published: 25 February 2020



MDP

Abstract: Soil salinity is a major threat to modern agriculture, as it affects crop growth and development. The present study focuses on the integration of eco-friendly biostimulants in salinity stress as a strategy to achieve the alleviation of abiotic stress. Field experiments were conducted at two locations, consisting of saline and non-saline soil, to investigate the utilization of exopolysaccharide (EPS)-producing bacteria (Azotobacter chroococcum) and melatonin at different concentrations (0, 25, 50, and 100 μ M) for alleviating the adverse effects of salinity on the growth and production of faba bean plants. Salinity stress caused a reduction in all measured parameters of the faba bean plants grown in the saline soil relative to the plants grown in the non-saline soil. The addition of bacteria and/or melatonin significantly increased the growth parameters and yield components under both soils compared to the respective control plants. Both bacteria inoculation and melatonin application enhanced N, P, and K concentrations; the proline content; RWC%; and the K⁺/Na⁺ ratio; however, Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations were decreased significantly in salt-stressed faba beans. The combined use of bacteria and melatonin exhibited the highest stimulating effects. The present study recommends the combined use of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin for the salinity stress management strategy of faba bean.

Keywords: soil salinity; faba bean; biostimulants; EPS-producing bacteria; melatonin

1. Introduction

Salinity stress is one of the most prevalent abiotic stresses and results in significant losses in agricultural crop production, particularly in arid and semi-arid areas [1]. It is estimated that every year, 1.5 million hectares of irrigated land is falling outside of agricultural production and more than 50% of all arable land will suffer from salinization until the year 2050 [2]. Salinity causes osmotic stress and ionic toxicity that lead to oxidative stress in plants [3] and adversely affects the physiology, growth, and yield of crops [4].

Globally, faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) represents a large part of the human diet [5,6]. It is known that leguminous crops are sensitive to soil salinity all over the world. Salinity decreases the growth and yield of faba bean [7]. Recently, in Egypt, there has been a tendency to extend the cultivated area of several crops, including Vicia faba, in newly reclaimed soils, which are often salt-affected soils.

As noted in [8], "A plant biostimulant is any substance or microorganism applied to plants with the aim to enhance nutrition efficiency, abiotic stress tolerance and/or crop quality traits, regardless of its nutrients content". Biostimulants enhance the adaptation of plants for maintaining sustainable crop production and improving the soil fertility and structure [9]. Biostimulants are considered a promising approach for stress management [10]. Melatonin (N-acetyl-5-methoxytryptamine) is an effective biostimulant used to enhance the alleviation of stress; it is a nontoxic biological molecule, found naturally in the animal and plant kingdoms [11]. Many studies have dissected the beneficial roles of melatonin in plant protection [12]. Melatonin alleviates salinity stress by improving cellular redox homeostasis, increasing photosynthesis, mitigating oxidative stress, and organizing the expression of stress-responsive genes [13]. The application of melatonin to exogenous or priming seeds can increase salinity alleviation in most plants [14].

Certain microorganisms belonging to different genera (e.g., *Rhizobium, Bradyrhizobium, Azotobacter, Azospirillum, Pseudomonas,* and *Bacillus*) isolated from saline, alkaline, acidic, and arid soils can act as biostimulants. *Azotobacter chroococcum* can improve the stress tolerance of many plants, due to its nitrogen fixation abilities, and promote growth by producing phytohormones. Therefore, *Azotobacter chroococcum* is considered a plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) [15]. *Azotobacter chroococcum* can enhance root growth through expressing the ACC-deaminase, which reduces ethylene production in roots [16]. Under adverse conditions, exopolysaccharide (EPS)-producing bacteria have advanced strategies for adaptation and thriving. Among their modifications are an installation of the cell wall and the capability to assemble large quantities of solutes, which improve water detention and enhance the alleviation of osmotic and ionic stress. The structure of the cell wall is modified by EPS, which may form a protective biofilm on the root's surface [17].

The goal of these experiments was to evaluate the use of an integrated salinity stress management strategy including biostimulants (EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin) to ameliorate the adverse effects of salinity stress on faba bean plants, considering non-saline soil as a standard to assess the ability of these biostimulants to alleviate the adverse effects of salinity.

2. Materials and Methods

Field trials were conducted during the winter season of 2017/18 at two locations at Kafr El-Sheikh Governorate, Egypt. The first location included saline soil at Al Burullus (latitude: 31°35′56″ N; longitude: 31°21′ E; mean altitude: 5 m above sea level) and the second location included natural non-saline soil at Desouk (latitude: 31.14 N; longitude: 30.62 E; mean altitude: 6 m above sea level). The average annual temperature in Kafr El-Sheikh is 20.0 °C. The average annual rainfall is 72 mm. The temperatures are highest, on average, in July, at around 26.4 °C. The lowest average temperature in the year occurs in January, when it is around 12.5 °C. Prior to starting the experiments, soil samples were taken from the experimental sites and analyzed for their physical and chemical properties (Table 1), following the methods described by Chapman and Pratt [18].

Properties	Particle Size Distribution		Soil pH	pН	ECe	Soluble Cations (meq l ⁻¹)			Soluble Anions (meql ⁻¹)				
	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Texture	1:2.5	dSm ^{−1}	Na ⁺	K+	Ca ⁺⁺	Mg ⁺⁺	HCO ₃ -	Cl-	SO ₄ -
Location 1	54.7	26.8	18.5	Sandy loam	7.76	6.5	38.5	5.2	15.4	6.3	5.9	37.1	22.4
Location 2	28.9	55.5	15.6	Silty loam	7.98	1.47	6.4	1.5	5.2	1.8	1.2	8.5	5.2

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties analysis of non-saline and saline soils used for the field trials.

2.1. Bacterial Inocula, Preparation, and Inoculation Techniques

Rhizobium leguminosarum strains TAL 1399 and EPS-producing bacteria (*Azotobacter chroococcum*) were obtained from the microbial culture collection of the Agricultural Microbiology Dept. National Research Centre, Cairo, Egypt. The *Azotobacter chroococcum* used in the study was previously isolated from rhizoplane and rhizospheric soil fraction salt-affected soil and was selected based on the fact that it performed better at all EC levels from 4 to 12 dS m⁻¹; its ability to produce exopolysaccharides,

15g L⁻¹ media; its intensive root colonization ability, 7.80×10^5 g⁻¹ root dry weight of maize; and its ACC-deaminase activity, 442 nmol *a*-ketobutarate g⁻¹ biomass h⁻¹ [19].

Rhizobium leguminosarum and *Azotobacter chroococcum* were used as peat-based inoculant for faba bean. These strains were cultured in mannitol yeast extract medium and neutral broth, respectively, for 72 h under shaking (100 rpm) conditions at 28 ± 1 °C. An optical density of 0.5 mL of each culture measured at a wavelength of 600 nm was achieved by dilution to maintain a uniform cell density (10^7-10^8 cfu mL⁻¹ for *Rhizobium* and 10^8 cfu mL⁻¹ for *Azotobacter*) and was impregnated into sterilized peat. The inoculant was left for 30 days to obtain high numbers. *Rhizobium leguminosarum* inoculation was done by pelleting the seeds with a peat-based inoculant using Arabic gum in all treatments [20]. In the case of *Azotobacter chroococcum* treatments, a mixture of *Rhizobium* and *Azotobacter* was impregnated into sterilized peat (1:1 v/v).

2.2. Melatonin Application

Melatonin (M5250 SIGMA Melatonin powder, 98% Germany) was dissolved in 100% ethanol at a concentration of 30 mM and stored at -20 °C. Faba bean seeds were primed with melatonin before planting by the stored solution diluted to 1 mM with 100% ethanol and then further diluted with water to 0, 25, 50, and 100 μ M concentrations. Faba bean seeds were primed with 300 μ L per 100-seed reagent and air dried at room temperature.

In field inoculation, faba bean seeds were primed with 300 μ L per 100-seed reagent and air dried at room temperature. After that, seeds of faba bean were inoculated with 10 g of peat impregnated with *Rhizobium* or a mixture of *Rhizobium* and *Azotobacter* (bacteria inoculum to peat ratio of 1:1:1 w/w/w) mixed with 10% Arabic gum solution. This peat contained approximately 10⁹ cells of g⁻¹ peat *Rhizobium* leguminosarum and 10⁸ cells of g⁻¹ peat *Azotobacter chroococcum*.

2.3. Experimental Procedure

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* cv. Giza3) seeds were cleaned and primed in melatonin at 0, 25, 50, and 100 μ M for 12 h and left to dry in open air. *Rhizobium leguminosarum* and *Az. chroococcum* were applied using peat-based inoculants, as described above. The experimental design was a randomized complete block design (RCBD) factorial with two factors. The first factor was bacterial inoculation (without and with) and the second factor was melatonin application (0, 25, 50, and 100 μ M), which were put in a submain plot, and each treatment was triplicated. Two locations were selected to apply the previously treated saline (Burullus) and non-saline soil (Desouk). Each plot area was 10.5 m², three meters in length, and three and half meters in width. Each plot contained five ridges, seeds were planted on two sides of the ridge in the hills, the hills were spaced 20 cm apart, and three seeds were sown in each hill. The plants were thinned to one plant per hill at 21 days after sowing. Soil preparation, fertilizer application, and cultural operations followed the normal practices of bean cultivation in the vicinity.

2.4. Data Recording

For each plot, a total of 30 plants were fixed for each treatment (a random sample of ten plants was assigned for investigation in each plot, and a total of 30 plants were fixed for each treatment) to study the morphological characteristics at 75 days after sowing (vegetative growth). Vegetative growth characteristics (shoot height in cm, number of branches (plant⁻¹), and the fresh and dry weights of the shoots (g plant⁻¹)) were recorded during the vegetative stage. Photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a,b and carotenoids) were estimated using the method described by Arnon [21]. Proline was estimated according to Bates et al. [22], and nutrient concentrations were determined according to the methods described by Jackson [23]. The relative water content (RWC) was measured in the first fully expanded leaf (from the top) of randomly selected plants. The leaves were collected, sealed in plastic bags, and transported to the laboratory. After measuring the fresh weights, leaves were immersed in distilled water for 24 h in a refrigerator. Leaves were then placed over tissue paper for blotting, and the fully turgid weight was measured. Finally, the leaf samples were oven dried at 72 °C for 24 h, after

which the dry weight was recorded and calculated according to Cao et al. [24], based on the following Equation (1):

RWC (%) = (Fresh weight-Dry weight/Turgid weight-Dry weight)
$$\times$$
 100. (1)

In order to determine the mean values of the yield at harvest time and its related parameters, (i.e., seeds per plant (g), weight of 100 seeds (g), and seed yield (Kg ha⁻¹)), a random sample of ten plants was assigned for investigation in each plot, and a total of 30 plants were fixed for each treatment.

2.5. Statistical Analyses

All measurements were conducted for three replications of each sample. The data were analyzed using randomized complete block design for factor A, with factor B being analyzed using a split plot in an analysis of variance (ANOVA) using MSTATC statistical software, and the values are reported as means \pm standard deviation (*SD*). Treatment differences were compared using the Least Significant Difference (LSD) procedure at a 5% level of probability.

3. Results

3.1. Vegetative Growth Parameters of Faba Bean Plants

The results presented in Tables 2 and 3 show that all measured growth parameters of the faba bean plants grown in location (1) (saline soil) were lower than the parameters for those grown in location (2) (non-saline soil). The plants inoculated with EPS-producing bacteria (*Az. chroococcum*) showed a significant increase (at $p \le 0.05$) compared to uninoculated plants for all growth parameters in both locations, irrespective of the melatonin treatment. The inoculation treatment increase in growth parameters (shoot length, leaf number, branch number, and shoot fresh and dry weight) compared to uninoculated treatment was 26%, 26%, 27%, 21%, and 23% in location (1) (Table 2), respectively, whereas this increase was 25%, 22%, 22%, 11%, and 18% in location (2), respectively (Table 3).

On the other hand, melatonin at different concentrations was tested to improve faba bean growth in location (1) and location (2) (Tables 2 and 3). The obtained results showed a significant increase (at $p \le 0.05$) for all tested growth traits at all melatonin levels in both locations relative to the respective control plants, irrespective of the inoculation or uninoculated treatments. The stressed (location (1)) and non-stressed (location (2)) seeds primed with 100 µM melatonin showed the highest values in their growth parameters, with a significant increase over untreated or other tested concentrations in terms of the leaf number, branch number, and shoot fresh and dry weights, while the shoot length showed no significant difference between the 50 and 100 µM melatonin concentrations in both soils. The application of 100 µM melatonin, regardless of the inoculation treatment, improved the shoot length, leaf number, branch number, shoot fresh weight, and shoot dry weight by 45%, 69%, 71%, 64%, and 63%, respectively, over the respective controls in saline soil (Table 2). However, in non-saline soil, 100 µM melatonin improved the shoot length (20%), leaf number (43%), branch number (63%), shoot fresh weight (36%), and shoot dry weight (57%) over the respective controls (Table 3).

The data on the interaction between inoculation treatments and melatonin levels showed that the maximum increase for all tested growth traits was observed in the faba bean seeds primed with 100 μ M melatonin and inoculated with EPS-producing bacteria in combination, compared to those tested alone in both locations (Tables 2 and 3).

Treatments	Melatonin (µM)	Shoot Length (cm)	No of Leaves Plant ⁻¹	No of Branches Plant ⁻¹	Shoot FW (g Plant ⁻¹)	Shoot DW (g Plant ⁻¹)
	0	* 39.70 ± 0.6e	$12.77 \pm 0.70 f$	$1.33 \pm 0.21e$	$35.85 \pm 0.9e$	$7.65 \pm 0.06e$
	25	$42.00 \pm 1.0 \mathrm{e}$	$17.50 \pm 0.29e$	$1.73 \pm 0.61d$	$42.28 \pm 0.45d$	9.46 ± 0.04 d
Uninoculated	50	49.20 ± 1.7 cd	$19.95 \pm 0.37d$	1.98 ± 0.03 cd	$50.41 \pm 0.66c$	$10.43 \pm 0.06d$
	100	$56.00 \pm 2.5b$	24.65 ± 0.39 bc	$2.28 \pm 0.41 bc$	$61.47\pm0.83\mathrm{b}$	$13.65 \pm 0.05b$
	Means	** 46.68B	18.72B	1.83B	47.50B	10.30B
	0	$46.70\pm0.8d$	18.24 ± 0.15de	$1.75 \pm 0.63d$	$44.90\pm0.64\mathrm{d}$	10.37 ± 0.18 d
	25	$51.70 \pm 1.4c$	$22.88 \pm 013c$	1.93 ± 0.48 cd	$53.56 \pm 0.52c$	$11.92 \pm 0.03c$
Inoculated	50	$67.30 \pm 2.8a$	$25.19 \pm 0.18b$	$2.60\pm0.07\mathrm{b}$	$60.37 \pm 0.16b$	$12.87 \pm 0.06 bc$
	100	$69.00 \pm 1.9a$	$27.82 \pm 0.10a$	$2.98 \pm 0.40a$	$70.80 \pm 0.71a$	$15.57 \pm 0.23a$
	Means	58.68A	23.54A	2.32A	57.41A	12.66A

Table 2. Effect of exopolysaccharide (EPS)-producing bacteria and melatonin on growth parameters of faba bean grown in location one (saline soil).

For each column, * values followed by the same small letters are not significantly different according to an Least Significant Difference (LSD) test at $p \le 0.05$. ** Means of inoculation treatments followed by the same capital letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. Data are displayed as means \pm standard deviation (n = 3). FW = fresh weight, DW = dry weight.

Table 3. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on growth parameters of faba bean grown in location two (non-saline soil).

Treatments	Melatonin (µM)	Shoot Length (cm)	No of Leaves Plant ⁻¹	No of Branches Plant ⁻¹	Shoot FW (g Plant ⁻¹)	Shoot DW (g Plant ⁻¹)
	0	* 50.03 ± 0.99j	$19.30 \pm 0.30e$	$1.75 \pm 0.1f$	$48.42 \pm 3.1e$	9.68 ± 0.2e
	25	$53.10 \pm 0.50 f$	22.00 ± 0.50 d	$2.05 \pm 0.2e$	$53.18 \pm 6.2d$	$11.72 \pm 0.6d$
Uninoculated	50	$56.23 \pm 0.38e$	$26.00 \pm 0.50c$	$2.62 \pm 0.1d$	$59.45 \pm 8.1c$	$12.72 \pm 0.9d$
	100	$57.83 \pm 0.71e$	$28.70 \pm 0.80b$	$3.05 \pm 0.2bc$	$66.80 \pm 7.5 \mathrm{b}$	$16.32 \pm 0.8b$
	Means	** 54.30B	24.00B	2.37B	56.96B	12.61B
	0	60.06 ± 0.78 d	$24.70\pm0.40\mathrm{c}$	$2.20 \pm 0.1e$	55.16 ± 3.3d	$12.28 \pm 0.3d$
	25	$64.50 \pm 0.38c$	$28.70 \pm 0.60b$	2.80 ± 0.2 cd	$59.90 \pm 6.1c$	$14.08 \pm 0.6c$
Inoculated	50	$72.56 \pm 0.67b$	$30.00 \pm 0.80b$	$3.10 \pm 0.1b$	$64.37 \pm 6.8b$	$15.24 \pm 0.7 bc$
	100	$74.76 \pm 0.57a$	$34.00 \pm 0.50a$	$3.40 \pm 0.2a$	$73.71 \pm 8.4a$	$18.08 \pm 0.6a$
	Means	67.97A	29.35A	2.88A	63.29A	14.92A

For each column, * values followed by the same small letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test ($p \le 0.05$). ** Means of inoculation treatments followed by the same capital letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. Data are displayed as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). FW = fresh weight, DW = dry weight.

3.2. Yield and the Components of Faba Bean Plants

The data in Tables 4 and 5 was used to evaluate the crop yield in location (1) and location (2). Generally, the lowest averages for the 100-seed weight, seed weight (plant⁻¹), and seed yield (ha⁻¹) were recorded as 62.3 g, 39.8 g plant⁻¹, and 3.35 ton ha⁻¹, respectively, in the saline soil (location 1), while the plants grown in non-saline soil (location 2) displayed values of 74.42 g, 49.40 g plant⁻¹, and 4.58 ton ha⁻¹, respectively, for the same parameters. The results in Tables 4 and 5 showed that inoculation with *Az. chroococcum* significantly increased (at $p \le 0.05$) the yield and its components compared to the uninoculated plants in both saline and non-saline soils, irrespective of the melatonin treatment. The magnitude of the increase in the average 100-seed weight, seed yield (plant⁻¹), and seed yield (ha⁻¹) resulting from EPS-producing bacteria inoculation was 27%, 19%, and 33%, respectively, in saline soil (Table 4), and 13%, 18%, and 12%, respectively, in non-saline soil (Table 5), compared to the uninoculated plants.

Plants treated with melatonin at different concentrations showed a greater 100-seed weight, seed weight (plant⁻¹), and seed yield (ha⁻¹) than the control (0 μ M) plants in both locations, regardless of the inoculation treatments. A melatonin level of 100 μ M was the most effective in increasing the above yield and its components significantly (at $p \le 0.05$), compared to the other concentrations, except for the 100-seed weight, which had no significant effect in saline soil (Table 4). However, in non-saline soil, the increases in 100-seed weight, seed weight (plant⁻¹), and seed yield were not significant between

50 and 100 μ M melatonin concentrations (Table 5). The application of 100 μ M melatonin improved, on average, the 100-seed weight by 56% and 44%, the seed weight (plant⁻¹) by 56% and 53%, and the seed yield (ha⁻¹) by 42% and 28% in saline and non-saline soils, respectively, compared to the respective control plants.

Melatonin Average 100-Seed Seed Weight Seed Yield Treatments (µM) Weight (g) (g Plant⁻¹) (Ton ha^{-1}) $26.19 \pm 1.9e$ 0 $*41.6 \pm 0.05j$ 2.20 ± 0.03 j 25 $54.63 \pm 0.05e$ $34.80 \pm 1.3d$ $2.69 \pm 0.05 f$ Uninoculated 50 $60.63 \pm 0.06d$ $39.20 \pm 1.7c$ $3.10 \pm 0.06e$ 100 $62.97 \pm 0.07c$ $45.10 \pm 2.3b$ 3.47 ± 0.03 cd Means ** 54.96B 36.32B 2.87B $48.69 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{f}$ $35.17 \pm 1.5d$ 3.26 ± 0.06 de 0 25 $40.70 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{c}$ $73.83 \pm 0.07b$ 3.75 ± 0.05 bc $46.60 \pm 1.3 \mathrm{b}$ $4.01\pm0.06\mathrm{b}$ 50 Inoculated $77.73 \pm 0.07a$ 100 $4.30 \pm 0.07a$ $78.30 \pm 0.04a$ $50.62 \pm 1.5a$ 69.64A 43.27A 3.83A Means

Table 4. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on yield components of faba bean grown in location one (saline soil).

For each column, * values followed by the same small letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. ** Means of inoculation treatments followed by the same capital letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. Data are displayed as means ± standard deviation (n = 3).

Treatments	Melatonin (µM)	Average 100-Seed Weight (g)	Seed Weight (g Plant ⁻¹)	Seed Yield (Ton ha ⁻¹)
	0	* 53.43 ± 0.5j	$33.49 \pm 1.5 f$	$3.70 \pm 0.05 f$
	25	$68.53 \pm 0.5e$	$42.21 \pm 1.9e$	$4.37 \pm 0.05e$
Uninoculated	50	$76.37 \pm 0.7d$	50.07±1.4d	$4.77 \pm 0.06c$
	100	$81.27 \pm 0.6c$	$55.57 \pm 1.3c$	$4.87 \pm 0.05c$
	Means	** 69.90B	45.30B	4.31B
	0	$64.37 \pm 0.04 f$	$41.70 \pm 1.7e$	$4.32 \pm 0.06e$
	25	$78.87 \pm 0.05c$	$55.42 \pm 1.5c$	4.57 ± 0.09 d
Inoculated	50	$83.73 \pm 0.06b$	$57.70 \pm 2.3b$	$5.10 \pm 0.08b$
	100	$88.73 \pm 0.05a$	$59.15 \pm 1.4a$	$5.38 \pm 0.09a$
	Means	78.925A	53.49A	4.84A

Table 5. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on yield components of faba bean grown in location two (non-saline soil).

For each column, * values followed by the same small letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. **Means of inoculation treatments followed by the same capital letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. Data are displayed as means \pm standard deviation (n = 3).

The interaction effect of the inoculation treatments and melatonin concentrations, and the average 100-seed weight, seed yield (plant⁻¹), and seed yield ha⁻¹ of plants inoculated with EPS-producing bacteria and primed with 100 μ M melatonin in combination recorded the highest values in both locations. On the other hand, there were no significant (at *p* ≤ 0.05) differences between (50 and 100 μ M) melatonin concentrations when combined with EPS-producing bacteria inoculation for all studied yield components in both locations. There were no significant (at *p* ≤ 0.05) differences between the plants treated with 50 μ M melatonin combined with EPS-producing bacteria and the plants treated with 100 μ M melatonin alone without inoculation in non-saline soil, for all studied yield components.

3.3. Effects of Melatonin and EPS-Producing Bacteria on the Physiological Attributes of Faba Bean Plants

The results presented in Tables 6 and 7 were employed to evaluate the effects of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on the photosynthetic pigments, RWC%, and proline content of faba bean

plants grown in location (1) and location (2). The results indicate that salinity stress causes a reduction in chlorophyll a,b, carotenoids, and RWC, while the proline content is increased.

Treatments	Melatonin	Photosynth	netic Pigments (m	RWC	Proline	
freatments	(μ M)	Chlorophyll a Chlorophyll b Carotenoid		(%)	(mg g ⁻¹ FW)	
	0	$*0.809 \pm 0.430e$	0.283 ± 0.025 j	0.171 ± 0.014 k	45.32 ± 0.19j	$0.251 \pm 0.07 f$
	25	$0.936 \pm 0.025d$	$0.315 \pm 0.020e$	0.187 ± 0.015 j	$55.79 \pm 0.15 f$	$0.293 \pm 0.06ef$
Uninoculated	50	1.049 ± 0.025 cd	$0.356 \pm 0.024d$	$0.202 \pm 0.014 f$	60.37 ± 0.19 d	0.327 ± 0.06 de
	100	$1.162 \pm 0.028 bc$	$0.509 \pm 0.008b$	0.230 ± 0.021 d	$65.79 \pm 0.23c$	0.348 ± 0.07 cd
	Means	** 0.989B	0.366B	0.198B	56.82B	0.305B
	0	1.056 ± 0.023 cd	$0.383 \pm 0.022d$	$0.219 \pm 0.022e$	$58.00 \pm 0.17e$	0.362 ± 0.07cd
	25	$1.147 \pm 0.017 bc$	$0.451 \pm 0.026c$	$0.245 \pm 0.020c$	$65.00 \pm 0.23c$	$0.395 \pm 0.07c$
Inoculated	50	$1.258 \pm 0.066b$	$0.585 \pm 0.023a$	$0.284\pm0.018\mathrm{b}$	$70.23 \pm 0.18b$	$0.474\pm0.08\mathrm{b}$
	100	$1.385 \pm 0.020a$	$0.616 \pm 0.022a$	$0.292 \pm 0.015a$	$75.80 \pm 0.24a$	$0.526 \pm 0.10a$
	Means	1.212A	0.509A	0.260A	67.26A	0.439A

Table 6. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on photosynthetic pigments, relative water content (RWC)%, and proline content of faba bean plants grown in location one (saline soil).

For each column, * values followed by the same small letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. ** Means of inoculation treatments followed by the same capital letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. Data are displayed as means ± standard deviation (n = 3). FW = Fresh weight, RWC = Relative water content.

Table 7. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on photosynthetic pigments, RWC%, and proline content of faba bean plants grown in location two (non-saline soil).

Tractmonto	Melatonin	Photosyn	thetic Pigments (r	DW (C (%))	Proline		
meatments	(μ M)	Chlorophyll a	Chlorophyll b	Carotenoid	- KWC (78)	(mg g ^{-1} FW)	
	0	* 1.155 ± 0.014 f	$0.405 \pm 0.014e$	$0.235 \pm 0.007e$	$59.35 \pm 0.94 f$	$0.110 \pm 0.01d$	
	25	$1.253 \pm 0.046e$	$0.445 \pm 0.006d$	$0.245 \pm 0.014 \mathrm{e}$	$67.75 \pm 0.44e$	$0.115 \pm 0.07d$	
Uninoculated	50	$1.286 \pm 0.020e$	$0.503 \pm 0.006c$	$0.284 \pm 0.023d$	$70.76 \pm 0.48d$	$0.122 \pm 0.05d$	
	100	$1.340 \pm 0.009 d$	$0.544 \pm 0.020b$	0.292 ± 0.031 cd	$74.77 \pm 0.45c$	$0.159 \pm 0.07c$	
	Means	** 1.259B	0.474B	0.264B	68.160B	0.127B	
	0	$1.345 \pm 0.028d$	$0.504 \pm 0.029c$	$0.284 \pm 0.029 d$	$66.6 \pm 0.12e$	$0.150\pm0.08\mathrm{c}$	
	25	$1.418 \pm 0.051c$	$0.525 \pm 0.023 bc$	$0.305 \pm 0.040 bc$	$74.01 \pm 0.12c$	$0.213 \pm 0.06b$	
Inoculated	50	$1.456 \pm 0.014b$	$0.574 \pm 0.009a$	$0.312 \pm 0.011b$	$80.82 \pm 0.039b$	$0.231 \pm 0.09 \mathrm{b}$	
	100	$1.499 \pm 0.028a$	$0.603 \pm 0.011a$	$0.329 \pm 0.008a$	$84.46 \pm 0.31a$	$0.263 \pm 0.07a$	
	Means	1.430A	0.552A	0.308A	76.47A	0.214A	

For each column, * values followed by the same small letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. ** Means of inoculation treatments followed by the same capital letters are not significantly different according to an LSD test at $p \le 0.05$. Data are displayed as means \pm standard deviation (n = 3). FW = Fresh weight, RWC = Relative water content.

The data in Tables 6 and 7 show that inoculation with EPS-producing bacteria, irrespective of the melatonin treatment, mitigated the adverse salt stress effects by significantly increasing (at $p \le 0.05$) photosynthetic pigments (chlorophyll a,b and carotenoid content). The magnitude of the increase in chlorophyll a,b and carotenoid content was 22%, 39%, and 33%, respectively, compared to the corresponding uninoculated beans in location (1) (salt stress) (Table 6), and increased by 14%, 16%, and 17%, respectively, compared to the corresponding uninoculated beans in location (2) (Table 7). Similarly, bacterial inoculation significantly (at $p \le 0.05$) increased the RWC% and proline content by 18% and 44%, respectively, in saline soil, and by 12% and 69%, respectively, in non-saline soil, compared to the respective uninoculated controls.

As shown in Tables 6 and 7, regardless of the inoculation treatment, seeds primed with melatonin significantly (at $p \le 0.05$) improved all fractions of photosynthetic pigments, RWC%, and proline content under all three melatonin concentrations compared to the respective controls in both locations. Increasing the melatonin levels significantly (at $p \le 0.05$) increased chlorophyll a,b, carotenoids, and RWC, regardless of the inoculation treatment, in saline soil, while in non-saline soil, there were no significant differences between 50 and 100 µM melatonin concentrations in chlorophyll a,b, carotenoids,

and RWC. Increasing the melatonin levels significantly increased the proline content in both soils. Treatment with the 100 μ M melatonin concentration resulted in the highest increase of chlorophyll a,b, carotenoids, RWC, and proline content, with values of 36%, 69%, 34%, 37%, and 42% in saline soil and 14%, 26%, 20%, 26%, and 62% in non-saline soil, for the same parameters, over the respective control.

Generally, for the interaction effect, it was noted that dual treatments (inoculation with EPS-producing bacteria and seed priming with melatonin) not only mitigated the inhibitory effects of salt stress, but also enhanced the stimulating effect compared to the control and single-treatment plants in both saline and non-saline soils (Tables 6 and 7). The maximum values of chlorophyll a,b, carotenoids, RWC, and proline content were observed in the combination of EPS-producing bacteria inoculation and priming with 100 μ M melatonin in both locations.

3.4. Effect of Melatonin and EPS-Producing Bacteria on the Nutrient Concentrations of Faba Bean Plants

Figures 1 and 2 show that the concentrations of N, P, and K decreased when increasing the concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, which adversely affected the K⁺/Na⁺ ratio in faba bean plants grown in saline soil compared to non-saline soil. EPS-producing bacteria inoculation, irrespective of the melatonin level, provided significant increases (at $p \le 0.05$) in N, P, and K concentrations and consistently significantly decreased the concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ in the plant tissues grown in both studied soils compared to the uninoculated plants (Figures 1 and 2). Inoculated plants with EPS-producing bacteria are clearly superior in terms of absorbing beneficial nutrients and excluding harmful ones.

Regardless of the inoculation treatment, plants applied with melatonin at all three concentrations increased N, P, and K concentrations and decreased concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ significantly (at $p \le 0.05$) compared to the untreated plants. Seeds primed with melatonin significantly increased (at $p \le 0.05$) the K⁺/Na⁺ ratio compared to the untreated plants in both saline and non-saline soils. The increase in N, P, and K concentrations and the K⁺/Na⁺ ratio, and the reduction in Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations, resulting from increasing the melatonin levels, were significant (at $p \le 0.05$) in both soils. Furthermore, 100 µM melatonin was found to be more effective than other concentrations in suppressing salt stress. However, the N and P concentrations in plants treated with 50 and 100 µM melatonin differed significantly (at $p \le 0.05$) in saline soil only (Figures 1 and 2). For inoculated seeds with EPS-producing bacteria, those primed with 100 µM melatonin in combination achieved the highest N, P, and K concentrations and K⁺/Na⁺ ratios. In contrast, the plants treated with a combined treatment produced the lowest Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations in both soils.



Figure 1. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on nutrient concentrations [N% (**a**), P% (**b**), K% (**c**), Na% (**d**) and Cl ppm (**f**)] and the K⁺/Na⁺ ratio (**e**) of faba bean grown in location one (saline soil). The bars of treatment followed by the same letter were not statistically different at $p \le 0.05$, according to the least significance difference (0, 25, 50, and 100 μ M concentrations of melatonin).



Figure 2. Effect of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin on nutrient concentrations [N% (**a**), P% (**b**), K% (**c**), Na% (**d**) and Cl ppm (**f**)] and the K⁺/Na⁺ ratio (**e**) of faba bean grown in location two (non-saline soil). The bars of treatment followed by the same letter were not statistically different at $p \le 0.05$, according to the least significance difference (0, 25, 50, and 100 μ M concentrations of melatonin).

4. Discussion

In arid and semiarid areas, agricultural productivity suffers from great problems due to salinity, which are caused by several factors [25]. In these field studies, seeds of faba bean inoculated with EPS bacteria and primed with melatonin alone or in combination were evaluated for their effects on

the growth, physiological attributes, nutrient status, and yield of faba bean grown in two locations differing in terms of salinity: saline soil (location (1), $ECe = 6.5 \text{ dSm}^{-1}$) and non-saline soil (location (2), $ECe = 1.47 \text{ dSm}^{-1}$). The present study showed that salinity stress reduced the growth and yield of faba bean plants. This result was clearly observed in saline soil (Tables 2 and 4) compared to non-saline soil (Tables 3 and 5). These results run parallel to the results obtained by Fathalla [7], Castanares, and Bouzo [14]. The reduction in the growth and yield could be attributed to preventing cell division, cell magnification, and extension [26], or due to osmotic stress and ion toxicity [27], under salinity stress. NaCl affects the permeability of the plasma membrane and increases the influx of external ions (Na⁺) and the efflux of cytosolic solutes in plant cells [28]; it also causes hardening of the cell wall [29].

Inoculation with EPS-producing bacteria promotes plant growth in both saline and non-saline soils. This agrees with Arora et al. [30], who stated that EPS-producing bacteria promote plant growth by releasing phytohormones, which boost bacterial root colonization and biofilm formation. Inoculation with *Az. chroococcum* has been shown to increase the growth and yields of wheat, cotton, pea, potato, rice, and tomato under salinity stress [31–33]. Fathalla [7] and Velmourougane et al. [17] showed that biofilm formation and EPS-production by *Az. chroococcum* significantly contribute to soil fertility and improve plant growth. Wheat plants inoculated with salt-tolerant *Azotobacter* strains increased their biomass, nitrogen content, and grain yield under salt stress [34]. The obtained results indicate that the beneficial effects of inoculation are more pronounced for the average 100-seed weight and seed yield (ha⁻¹) in plants grown in saline soil than those grown in non-saline soil. Similarly, Ashraf et al. [35] concluded that the inoculation of EPS-producing bacteria is a valuable tool for ameliorating and increasing crop productivity under salinity stress. In this respect, Qurashi and Sabri [36] reported that inoculation with EPS-producing bacteria at a higher salt stress up to 100 mM increased soil aggregation, which was more pronounced around roots, while aggregates formed by exopolysaccharides favored plant growth under salt stress.

Wei et al. [37] noted that melatonin may improve the growth and seed yield under both normal and salt stress conditions by activating photosynthesis, the metabolism of carbohydrates and antioxidative actions, DNA replication, and cell division. The obtained results indicate that the beneficial effects of melatonin on plant growth are more pronounced in plants grown in saline soil than those grown in non-saline soil. In this respect, melatonin may act as an auxin, an indole acetic acid (IAA) [38], or an antioxidant [39], to resist biotic/abiotic stress, which has been observed with different plant species [14,40].

The results also show that reductions in chlorophyll a,b and carotenoids are caused by salinity stress. Similar results have been observed by Castanares and Bouzo [14], Mahmood et al. [41], and Li et al. [42]. Salinity stress causes chlorophyll degradation and/or damages the photosynthetic apparatus by forming proteolytic enzymes, such as chlorophyllase, resulting in a decreased chlorophyll content [25]. Hahm et al. [43] also reported that reducing RWC is an ideal action for helping plants to resist osmotic stress. The accumulation of proline under salinity stress is considered to be an indicator in several plant species [14,44]. This compound acts in the protection of cellular components by dehydration, maintaining the membrane structure and acting as a free radical scavenger [45].

Bacterial inoculation inhibits chlorophyll degradation by increasing the ACC-deaminase enzymes in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)-inoculated plants, which increase the photosynthetic rate [46]. The effective uptake of nutrients may be due to the role of nitrogen nutrition in producing growth-promoting substances. A similar result was observed for mung bean [41] and soybean [47]. In this respect, Hahm et al. [43] noted that the RWC and proline contents of the non-inoculated control plants were lower than those of the plants inoculated under both saline and normal conditions. There are several strategies that EPS-producing bacteria-treated plants use to adapt under stress conditions [48]; among these strategies are the production and/or uptake of osmolytes that may have contributed to maintaining favorable water uptake [33]. In addition, EPS-producing bacteria may help in maintaining a film of hydration around the roots and/or help re-establish favorable water potential gradients under water limitations [49]. Hahm et al. [43] reported that the increased proline content in pepper plants inoculated with PGPR may be due to PGPR strains enhancing metabolic defense strategies under saline conditions.

Similarly, the stimulatory effect of melatonin on the physiological attributes of faba bean plants was much more pronounced under salinity stress. This result indicates an improved adaptation to saline environments. Han et al. [50] and Siddiqui et al. [51] noted that exogenous melatonin significantly prevents chlorophyll degradation under abiotic stress, which maintains the photosynthetic capacity in saline soils. The inhibition effect of chlorophyll degradation by melatonin is likely due to an increase in antioxidant enzyme activities and antioxidant content, thus inhibiting the production of reactive oxygen species [52]. Castanares and Bouzo [14] reported that increasing the RWC under melatonin treatment resulted in a better water absorption capacity. Siddiqui et al. [51] reported that the proline content increased in salt-affected plants and in melatonin-treated plants. This could be attributed to the antioxidation effect of melatonin, which inhibits proline degradation [53]. Many mechanisms consider that melatonin protects plants against salinity stress.

Under stress conditions, the availability of nutrients in the soil is reduced due to a decreased solubility, which leads to decreased absorption and concentrations in plants [54]. The results observed in the present study for nutrient concentrations are parallel to the results obtained by Gomes et al. [55], who reported that the Na⁺, Cl⁻, and Na/K ratios increased, while Ca²⁺, K⁺, and Mg²⁺ reduced with an increasing salinity; N and P showed no significant differences among saline treatments. Castanares and Bouzo [14] reported that the K concentration decreased and the Na⁺/K⁺ ratio increased under salinity stress. Excessive Na⁺ and Cl⁻ concentrations affected the absorption of many essential nutrients, such as K, Ca, Mg, and N [56,57]. This could be attributed to the competitive interactions affecting the ionic selectivity of cell membranes [58] and photosynthetic activity [59]. The increase in Na⁺ concentrations and K⁺/Na⁺ ratios in plant leaves is characteristic of Na⁻-induced toxicity [60], which can be attributed to the effects of competition between the identically-charged ions of Na⁺ and K⁺ during nutrient absorption at the absorptive sites of plant roots [61]. A reduction in the K⁺ concentration (Figure 1) could inhibit growth (Table 2) by reducing the capacity for osmotic adjustment and turgor maintenance or by adversely affecting metabolic functions (Table 6) [62].

Inoculation with *Azotobacter* strains was shown to increase phosphorous and nitrogen availability [63] and, therefore, facilitate the uptake of N, P, and K and the exclusion of Na⁺ under saline stress. The EPS molecules produced by bacteria have a charged part that reacts with, and chelates, sodium ions [30]. Inoculated plants with PGPR are clearly superior in terms of absorbing beneficial nutrients and excluding harmful ones, likely to maintain the nutrient balance [46]. Similar to the obtained results, Li et al. [64] reported that, under salinity, melatonin might control the expression of ion-channel genes that enhance salinity tolerance.

An integrated salinity stress management strategy integrating the external application of melatonin and EPS-producing bacteria (*Az. chroococcum*) can lead to continuous and effective agricultural practices that will manage the stress and boost yield of faba bean plants. The results revealed that the best response occurs in seeds of faba bean inoculated with EPS-producing bacteria and primed with melatonin in combination. These plants more successfully increased the growth and yield of faba beans, photosynthetic pigments, proline content, and RWC%, and increased the N, P, and K concentrations, but showed declined concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻, which led to a higher ratio of K⁺/Na⁺ compared to single treatments (EPS bacteria or melatonin application alone) under both salt stress and unstressed conditions. This effect is likely due to the additive effects of EPS-producing bacteria and melatonin application. In the current study, the addition of melatonin and inoculation with EPS-producing bacteria complemented each other to improve the vegetative growth parameters and yield of faba bean under salinity conditions. It may be possible that melatonin was utilized by the soil bacteria to sustain natural microbial activity by coping with the impacts specific to salt stress, such as an enhanced osmotic pressure and ion toxicity [65,66]. Other reports have also suggested that melatonin may enhance abiotic stress tolerance in microbes, as endogenous levels of melatonin increased under abiotic stress [67,68].

5. Conclusions

This study quantified the effects of salinity on the growth and yield of faba bean plants. EPS-producing bacteria inoculation and melatonin application were assessed individually or in combination for their ability to alleviate the adverse effects of salinity. This study has shown that both melatonin and EPS-producing bacteria may be efficient act as bio-stimulants to improve the tolerance of faba bean plants to salinity. On the other hand, priming seeds in melatonin and inoculating them with EPS-producing bacteria was the most effective combination for improving the growth and seed yield of faba beans, photosynthetic pigments, and water relationships in saline soil. In addition, the combined use of melatonin and EPS-producing bacteria has been shown to have a stimulating effect, which may represent an evolving sustainability strategy to mitigate salinity stress in faba bean plants. Therefore, further studies are needed to test whether melatonin and EPS-producing bacteria can be used as a general strategy to improve the stress tolerance of other crops.

Author Contributions: M.F.A.E.-G., conceptualization, methodology, validation, investigation, resources, data curation, and review and editing. M.A., conceptualization, software, validation, formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation, review, editing, visualization, and supervision. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The authors acknowledge the support of the Soil Department in Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University and other laboratories in the National Research Centre for valuable comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- 1. Hernández, J.A. Salinity tolerance in plants: Trends and Perspectives. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2019, 20, 2408. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, M.; Zheng, Q.; Shen, Q.; Guo, S. The Critical Role of Potassium in Plant Stress Response. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2013, 14, 7370–7390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ahmad, P.; Abd el Latef, A.A.; Abd Allah, E.F.; Hashem, A.; Sarwat, M.; Anjum, N.A.; Gucel, S. Calcium and potassium supplementation enhanced growth, osmolyte secondary metabolite production, and enzymatic antioxidant machinery in cadmium-exposed chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Front. Plant Sci.* 2016, 7, 513. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, P.; Yin, L.; Wang, S.; Zhang, M.; Deng, X.; Zhang, S. Enhanced root hydraulic conductance by aquaporin regulation accounts for silicon alleviated salt-induced osmotic stress in *Sorghum bicolor* L. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 2015, 111, 42–51. [CrossRef]
- 5. Etemadi, F.; Barkera, A.V.; Hashemi, M.; Zandvakili, O.R.; Park, Y. Nutrient accumulation in faba bean varieties. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **2018**, *49*, 2064–2073. [CrossRef]
- Etemadi, F.; Hashemi, M.; Zandvakili, O.; Dolatabadian, A.; Sadeghpour, A. Nitrogen contribution from winterkilled faba bean cover crop to spring-sown sweet corn in conventional and no-till systems. *Agron. J.* 2018, 110, 455–462. [CrossRef]
- 7. Fathalla, M.A. Effectiveness of exopolysaccharides and biofilm forming plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on salinity tolerance of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* **2018**, *12*, 399–404.
- Du Jardin, P. Plant biostimulants: Definition, concept, main categories and regulation. *Sci. Hortic.* 2015, 30, 3–14. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zhan, H.; Nie, X.; Zhang, T.; Li, S.; Wang, X.; Du, X.; Tong, W.; Song, W. Melatonin: A small molecule but important for salt stress tolerance in plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 709. [CrossRef]
- 10. Van Oosten, M.J.; Pepe, O.; De Pascale, S.; Silletti, S.; Maggio, A. The role of biostimulants and bioeffectors as alleviators of abiotic stress in crop plants. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* **2017**, *4*, 5. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hardeland, R.; Cardinali, D.P.; Srinivasan, V.; Spence, D.W.; Brown, G.M.; Pandi-Perumal, S.R. Melatonin-A pleiotropic, orchestrating regulator molecule. *Prog. Neurobiol.* **2011**, *93*, 350–384. [CrossRef]
- 12. Nawaz, M.A.; Huang, Y.; Bie, Z.; Ahmed, W.; Reiter, R.J.; Niu, M. Melatonin: Current status and future perspectives in plant science. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 1230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Zhang, H.M.; Zhang, Y.Q. Melatonin: A well-documented antioxidant with conditional pro-oxidant actions. *J. Pineal Res.* 2014, 57, 131–146. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Castanares, J.L.; Bouzo, C.A. Effect of exogenous melatonin on seed germination and seedling growth in melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) under salt stress. *Hortic. Plant J.* **2019**, *5*, 79–87. [CrossRef]
- 15. Viscardi, S.; Ventorino, V.; Duran, P.; Maggio, A.; De Pascale, S.; Mora, M.L. Assessment of plant growth promoting activities and abiotic stress tolerance of *Azotobacter chroococcum* strains for a potential use in sustainable agriculture. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* **2016**, *16*, 848–863. [CrossRef]
- 16. Ali, S.; Charles, T.; Glick, B. Amelioration of high salinity stress damage by plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes that contain ACC deaminase. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2014**, *80*, 160–167. [CrossRef]
- 17. Velmourougane, K.; Prasanna, R.; Singh, S.B.; Kumar, R.; Saha, S. Sequence of inoculation influences the nature of extracellular polymeric substances and biofilm formation in *Azotobacter chroococcum* and *Trichoderma viride*. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2017**, *93*, fix066. [CrossRef]
- 18. Chapman, H.D.; Pratt, P.F. *Methods of Analysis for Soils, Plants and Waters;* Division of Agric. Soil Sci. University of California: Riverside, CA, USA, 1961; pp. 161–175.
- 19. Awad, M.N.; Turky, S.A.; Abdelhamid, T.M.; Attia, M. Ameliorate of environmental salt stress on the growth of *Zea mays* L. plants by exopolysaccharides producing bacteria. *JASR* **2012**, *8*, 2033–2044.
- 20. Rodriguez-Navarro, D.N.; Temprano, F.; Orive, R. Survival of *Rhizobium* sp. (*Hedysarum coronariuml*.) on peat-based inoculants and inoculated seeds. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **1991**, *23*, 75–379. [CrossRef]
- 21. Arnon, D.I. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiol*. **1949**, 24, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- Bates, L.S.; Waldeen, R.P.; Teare, I.D. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. *Plant Soil*. 1973, 39, 205–207. [CrossRef]
- 23. Jackson, M.L. Soil Chemical Analysis; Constable: London, UK, 1973.
- Cao, Y.Y.; Yang, M.T.; Chen, S.Y.; Zhou, Z.Q.; Li, X.; Wang, X.J.; Bai, J.G. Exogenous sucrose influences antioxidant enzyme activities and reduces lipid peroxidation in water-stressed cucumber leaves. *Biol. Plant.* 2015, 59, 147–153. [CrossRef]
- 25. Rady, M.M.; Bhavya Varma, C.; Howladar, S.M. Common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) seedlings overcome NaCl stress as a result of presoaking in *Moringa oleifera* leaf extract. *Sci. Hortic.* **2013**, *162*, 63–70. [CrossRef]
- 26. Radi, A.A.; Farghaly, F.A.L.; Hamada, A.M. Physiological and biochemical responses of salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive wheat and bean cultivars to salinity. *J. Biol. Earth Sci.* **2013**, *3*, 72–88.
- Hasanuzzaman, M.; Nahar, K.; Fujita, M. Plant response to salt stress and role of exogenous protectants to mitigate salt-induced damages. In *Ecophysiology and Responses of Plants under Salt Stress*; Ahmad, P., Azooz, M.M., Prasad, M.N.V., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2013; pp. 25–87.
- Allen, G.J.; Wyn Jones, R.G.; Leigh, R.A. Sodium transport measured in plasma membrane vesicles isolated from wheat genotypes with differing K⁺/Na⁺ discrimination traits. *Plant Cell Environ.* 1995, 18, 105–115. [CrossRef]
- 29. Nabil, M.; Coudret, A. Effects of sodium chloride on growth, tissue elasticity and solute adjustment in two *Acacia nilotica* subspecies. *Physiol. Plant.* **1995**, *93*, 217–224. [CrossRef]
- Arora, M.; Kaushik, A.; Rani, N.; Kaushik, C.P. Effect of cyanobacterial exopolysaccharides on salt stress alleviation and seed germination. *J. Environ. Biol.* 2010, *31*, 701–704.
- 31. Egamberdiyeva, D.H.; Flich, G. Influence of growth-promoting bacteria on the growth of wheat in different soils and temperatures. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2003**, *35*, 973–978. [CrossRef]
- 32. Egamberdiyeva, D.H.; Flich, G. Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on growth and nutrient uptake of cotton and pea in a semi-arid region of Uzbekistan. *J. Arid Environ.* **2004**, *56*, 293–301. [CrossRef]
- Van Oosten, M.J.; Stasio, E.D.; Cirillo, V.; Silletti, S.; Ventorino, V.; Pepe, O.; Raimondi, G.; Maggio, A. Root inoculation with *Azotobacter chroococcum* 76A enhances tomato plants adaptation to salt stress under low N conditions. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2018, 18, 205. [CrossRef]
- Chaudhary, D.; Narula, N.; Sindhu, S.S.; Behl, R.K. Plant growth stimulation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) by inoculation of salinity tolerant *Azotobacter* strains. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 2013, *19*, 515–519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ashraf, M.; Hasnain, S.; Berge, O. Effect of exo-polysaccharides producing bacterial inoculation on growth of roots of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) plants grown in a salt-affected soil. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tech.* 2006, 3, 43–51. [CrossRef]

- 36. Qurashi, A.W.; Sabri, A.N. Bacterial exopolysaccharide and biofilm formation stimulate chickpea growth and soil aggregation under salt stress. *BJM* **2012**, *43*, 1183–1191. [CrossRef]
- Wei, W.; Li, Q.T.; Chu, Y.N.; Reiter, R.J.; Yu, X.M.; Zhu, D.H.; Zhang, W.K.; Ma, B.; Lin, Q.; Zhang, J.S.; et al. Melatonin enhances plant growth and abiotic stress tolerance in soybean plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2015, 66, 695–707. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Sarropoulou, V.N.; Therios, I.N.; Dimassi-Theriou, K.N. Melatonin promotes adventitious root regeneration in vitro shoot tip explants of the commercial sweet cherry rootstocks CAB-6P (*Prunus cerasus* L.), Gisela 6 (*P. cerasus x P. canescens*), and MxM 60 (*P. avium x P. mahaleb*). *J. Pineal Res.* **2012**, *52*, 38–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tan, D.X.; Hardeland, R.; Manchester, L.C.; Korkmaz, A.; Ma, S.; Rosales-Corral, S.; Reiter, R.J. Functional roles of melatonin in plants, and perspectives in nutritional and agricultural science. *J. Exp. Bot.* 2012, 63, 577–597. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Zhang, H.J.; Zhang, N.; Yang, R.C.; Wang, L.; Sun, Q.Q.; Li, D.B.; Cao, Y.Y.; Weeda, S.; Zhao, B.; Ren, S.; et al. Melatonin promotes seed germination under high salinity by regulating antioxidant systems, ABA and GA4 interaction in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *J. Pineal Res.* **2014**, *57*, 269–279. [CrossRef]
- 41. Mahmood, S.; Daur, I.; Al-Solaimani, S.G.; Ahmad, S.; Madkour, M.H.; Yasir, M.; Hirt, H.; Ali, S.; Ali, Z. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and silicon synergistically enhance salinity tolerance of mung bean. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 876. [CrossRef]
- 42. Li, H.; Chang, J.; Chen, H.; Wang, Z.; Gu, X.; Wei, C.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, J.; Yang, J.; Zhang, X. Exogenous melatonin confers salt stress tolerance to watermelon by improving photosynthesis and redox homeostasis. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 295. [CrossRef]
- Hahm, M.S.; Son, J.S.; Hwang, Y.J.; Kwon, D.K.; Ghim, S.Y. Alleviation of salt stress in pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) plants by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2017, 27, 1790–1797. [CrossRef]
- 44. Richter, J.A.; Behr, J.H.; Erban, A.; Kopka, J.; Zörb, C. Ion-dependent metabolic responses of *Vicia faba* L. to salt stress. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2019**, *42*, 295–309. [CrossRef]
- 45. Hasegawa, P.M.; Bressan, R.A.; Zhu, J.K.; Bohnert, H.J. Plant cellular and molecular responses to height salinity. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 2000, *51*, 463–499. [CrossRef]
- Afridi, M.S.; Mahmood, T.; Salam, A.; Mukhtar, T.; Mehmood, S.; Ali, J.; Khatoon, Z.; Bibi, M.; Javed, M.T.; Sultan, T.; et al. Induction of tolerance to salinity in wheat genotypes by plant growth promoting endophytes: Involvement of ACC deaminase and antioxidant enzymes. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2019, 139, 569–577. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Kang, S.M.; Khan, A.L.; Waqas, M.; You, Y.H.; Kim, J.H.; Kim, J.G. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria reduce adverse effects of salinity and osmotic stress by regulating phytohormones and antioxidantsin *Cucumis sativus. J. Plant Interact.* **2014**, *9*, 673–682. [CrossRef]
- 48. Upadhyay, S.K.; Singh, D.P.; Saikia, R. Genetic diversity of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria from rhizospheric soil of wheat under saline conditions. *Curr. Microbiol.* **2009**, *59*, 489–496. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Sandhya, V.; Ali, A.S.; Grover, M.; Reddy, G.; Venkateswarlu, B. Alleviation of drought stress effects in sunflower seedlings by the exopolysaccharides producing *Pseudomonas putida* strain GAP-P45. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **2009**, *46*, 17–26. [CrossRef]
- 50. Han, Q.H.; Huang, B.; Ding, C.B.; Zhang, Z.W.; Chen, Y.E.; Hu, C.; Zhou, L.J.; Huang, Y.; Liao, J.Q.; Yuan, S.; et al. Effects of melatonin on anti-oxidative systems and photosystem II in cold-stressed rice seedlings. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 51. Siddiqui, M.H.; Alamri, S.; Al-Khaishany, M.Y.; Khan, M.N.; Al-Amri, A.; Ali, H.M.; Ibrahim, A.; Alaraidh, I.A.; Alsahli, A.A. Exogenous Melatonin Counteracts NaCl-Induced damage by regulating the antioxidant system, proline and carbohydrates metabolism in tomato seedlings. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 353. [CrossRef]
- 52. XD, X.; Sun, Y.; Sun, B.; Zhang, J.; Guo, X.Q. Effects of exogenous melatonin on active oxygen metabolism of cucumber seedlings under high temperature stress. *Ying Yong Sheng Tai Xue Bao* **2010**, *21*, 1295–1300.
- 53. Sarropoulou, V.; Dimassi-Theriou, K.; Therios, I.; Koukourikou-Petridou, M. Melatonin enhances root regeneration, photosynthetic pigments, biomass, total carbohydrates and proline content in the cherry rootstock PHL-C (*Prunus avium × Prunus cerasus*). *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **2012**, *61*, 162–168. [CrossRef]
- 54. Garg, B.K. Nutrient uptake and management under drought: Nutrient–moisture interaction. *Curr. Agric.* **2003**, *27*, 1–8.

- Gomes, M.A.C.; Pestana, I.A.; Santa-Catarina, C.; Hauser-Davis, R.A.; Suzuki, M.S. Salinity effects on photosynthetic pigments, proline, biomass and nitric oxide in *Salvinia auriculata* Aubl. *Acta Limnol. Bras.* 2017, 29, e9. [CrossRef]
- Abdallah, S.B.; Aung, B.; Amyot, L.; Lalin, I.; Lachâal, M.; Karray-Bouraoui, N. Salt stress (NaCl) affects plant growth and branch pathways of carotenoid and flavonoid biosyntheses in *Solanum nigrum. Acta Physiol. Plant.* 2016, *38*, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- 57. Iqbal, N.; Umar, S.; Khan, N.A. Nitrogen availability regulates proline and ethylene production and alleviates salinity stress in mustard (*Brassica juncea*). *J. Plant Physiol.* **2015**, *178*, 84–91. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Stoeva, N.; Kaymakanova, M. Effect of salt stress on the growth and photosynthesis rate of bean plants (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *JCEA* **2008**, *9*, 385–392.
- Parida, A.; Das, A.B.; Das, P. NaCl stress causes changes in photosynthetic pigments, proteins and other metabolic components in the leaves of a true Mangrove, *Bruguiera parviflora*, in hydroponics cultures. *J. Plant Biol.* 2002, 45, 28–36. [CrossRef]
- Maathuis, F.J.M.; Amtmann, A. K⁺ Nutrition and Na⁺ toxicity: The basis of cellular k⁺/Na⁺ ratios. *Ann. Bot.* 1999, 84, 123–133. [CrossRef]
- Ioneva, Z.S. Effect of potassium ion Na⁺ uptake by plants in conditions of chloride salinity. *Fiziolo. Rasten*. 1988, 14, 42–47.
- 62. Greenway, H.; Munns, R. Mechanism of salt tolerance in halophytes. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* **1980**, *31*, 149–190. [CrossRef]
- Rojas-Tapias, D.; Moreno-Galv, A.; Pardo-Daz, S.; Obando, M.; Rivera, D.; Bonilla, R. Effect of inoculation with plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB) on amelioration of saline stress in maize (*Zea mays*). *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 2012, *61*, 264–272. [CrossRef]
- 64. Li, C.; Wang, P.; Wei, Z.; Liang, D.; Liu, C.; Yin, L. The mitigation effects of exogenous melatonin on salinity-induced stress in *Malus hupehensis*. *J. Pineal Res.* **2012**, *53*, 298–306. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Morrissey, E.M.; Gillespie, J.L.; Morina, J.C.; Franklin, R.B. Salinity affects microbial activity and soil organic matter content in tidal wetlands. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2014**, *20*, 1351–1362. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Yan, N.; Marschner, P.; Cao, W.; Zuo, C.; Qin, W. Influence of salinity and water content on soil microorganisms. *ISWCR* 2015, *3*, 316–323. [CrossRef]
- 67. Liu, T.; Zhao, F.; Liu, Z.; Zuo, Y.; Hou, J.; Wang, Y. Identification of melatonin in *Trichoderma* spp. and detection of melatonin content under controlled-stress growth conditions from *T. asperellum. JBM* **2016**, *56*, 838–843.
- Rodriguez-Naranjo, M.I.; Torija, M.J.; Mas, A.; Cantos-Villar, E.; Garcia-Parrilla, M.D.C. Production of melatonin by *Saccharomyces* strains under growth and fermentation conditions. *J. Pineal Res.* 2012, 53, 219–224. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).