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#### Abstract

Ten coordination polymers constructed from divalent metal salts, polycarboxylic acids, and bis-pyridyl-bis-amide ligands with different donor atom positions and flexibility are reported. They were structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The ten coordination polymers are as follows: (1) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{1}\right)(3,5-\mathrm{PDA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$ $\left(\mathbf{L}^{1}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-di(3-pyridyl)suberoamide, 3,5- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PDA}=3,5$-pyridinedicarboxylic acid); (2) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{2}(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} \quad\left(1,3,5-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BTC}=1,3,5\right.$-benzenetricarboxylic acid); (3) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} \quad\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-di(3-pyridyl)adipoamide, 5-tert- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{IPA}=$ 5-tert-butylisophthalic acid); (4) $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3}\right)_{1.5}(5-\text { tert-IPA })\right]_{n}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-di(4-pyridyl)adipoamide); (5) $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathbf{L}^{1}\right)(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n}$; (6) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathbf{L}^{1}\right)_{3}(1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} ;(7)\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right]_{n}$ $\left(\mathbf{L}^{4}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-bis(3-pyridinyl)terephthalamide, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA $=5$-acetamido isophthalic acid); (8) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)_{0.5}(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}_{n}$; (9) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$; and (10) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$. Complex $\mathbf{1}$ forms a 1D chain and $\mathbf{2}$ is a two-fold interpenetrated 2D layer with the sql topology, while 3 is a 2D layer with the hcp topology and 4 shows a self-catenated 3D framework with the rare ( $4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8$ )-hxg-d-5-C2/c topology. Different $\mathrm{Co} / 1,3,5-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BTC}$ ratios were used to prepare 5 and $\mathbf{6}$, affording a 2D layer with the sql topology and a 2D layer with the $\left(4 \cdot 8^{5}\right)_{2}(4)_{2}\left(8^{3}\right)_{2}(8)$ topology that can be further simplified to an hcp topology. While complex 7 is a 2D layer with the $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)\left(4^{2} \cdot 6\right)-3,5 \mathrm{~L} 2$ topology and 8 is a 2-fold interpenetrated 3D framework with the pcu topology, complexes 9 and 10 are self-catenated 3 D frameworks with the $\left(4^{24} \cdot 6^{4}\right)-8 \mathrm{~T} 2$ and the $\left(4^{4} \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8\right)$-mab topologies, respectively. The effects of the identity of the metal center, the ligand isomerism, and the flexibility of the spacer ligands on the structural diversity of these divalent coordination polymers are discussed. The luminescent properties of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ and their photocatalytic effects on the degradation of dyes are also investigated.
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## 1. Introduction

Owing to the interesting structures of coordination polymers (CPs) and their potential applications in magnetism, luminescence, catalysis, gas storage, and sensing, CPs have been extensively studied and discussed by scientists during recent years [1-4]. Through the self-assembly process, the coordination of spacer ligands to the metal ions may lead to the formation of infinite one-dimensional (1D), two-dimensional (2D), or three-dimensional (3D) CPs. Judicial choice of the metal-ion template and spacer ligands with diverse functionalities and flexibility may form well-defined frameworks.

The bis-pyridyl-bis-amide (bpba) ligands are intriguing ligands that can be tailored to prepare diverse CPs [5]. Most of the bpba ligands are flexible, although some are semi-rigid. While diverse bpba-based CPs have been reported, the control of structural dimensionality remains a challenge and the governing factors are less ascertained [5,6]. We have shown that reactions of the flexible $N, N^{\prime}-\operatorname{di}(3-p y r i d y l)$ suberoamide $\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ with $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salts in the presence of the isomeric phenylenediacetic acids under hydrothermal conditions afforded a 3D CP with the $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{5} \cdot 8^{3}\right)\left(4^{2} \cdot 6\right)-3,5 \mathrm{~T} 1$ topology, a 5-fold interpenetrated 3D CP with the ( $6^{5} \cdot 8$ )-cds topology, and the first 1D self-catenated CP [7]. We have recently further shown that by manipulating the isomeric effect of the dicarboxylate ligands, self-catenated CPs incorporating the flexible bpba ligands can be expected [8].

To investigate the structure-directing roles of the spacer ligands on the construction of bpba-based CPs by changing their donor atom positions and flexibility, the flexible $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$, $N, N^{\prime}-$ di(3-pyridyl)adipoamide $\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)$, and $N, N^{\prime}$-di(4-pyridyl)adipoamide $\left(\mathbf{L}^{3}\right)$, and the semi-rigid $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(3-pyridinyl)terephthalamide ( $\mathbf{L}^{4}$ ) (Figure 1) were used to react with different divalent metal salts and auxiliary polycarboxylic acids (Figure 2). Various CPs with fascinating topology and interesting properties were prepared. Herein, we report the synthesis and crystal structures of the following: (1) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(3,5-\mathrm{PDA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$ $\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-di(3-pyridyl)suberoamide, $3,5-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PDA}=3,5$-pyridinedicarboxylic acid); (2) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathbf{L}^{1}\right)_{2}(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} \quad\left(1,3,5-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BTC}=1,3,5\right.$-benzenetricarboxylic acid); (3) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-di(3-pyridyl)adipoamide, 5 -tert- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{IPA}=$ 5-tert-butylisophthalic acid); (4) $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3}\right)_{1.5}(5-\text { tert-IPA })\right]_{n}$ ( $\mathbf{L}^{3}=N, N^{\prime}$-di(4-pyridyl)adipoamide); (5) $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathbf{L}^{1}\right)(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n} ;(6)\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{3}(1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} ;(7)\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right]_{n}$ $\left(\mathbf{L}^{4}=N, N^{\prime}\right.$-bis(3-pyridinyl)terephthalamide, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AIPA}=5$-acetamido isophthalic acid), (8) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)_{0.5}(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}_{n}$; (9) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$; and (10) $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}$. The luminescent and catalytic properties of some applicable complexes were also investigated.


Figure 1. Structures of $\mathbf{L}^{1}, \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}$, and $\mathbf{L}^{4}$.
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Figure 2. Structures of the polycarboxylic acids.

## 2. Experimental Section

### 2.1. Materials

The reagent $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3,5$-pyridinedicarboxylic acid, and 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid were purchased from Alfa Aesar Co. (Heysham, UK ); $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from SHOWA Co. (Tokyo, Japan); $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from J. T. Baker Co. (Phillipsburg, MO, USA); and 5-tert-butylisophthalic acid from ALDRICH Co. (St. Louis, MO, USA) N, $N^{\prime}$-di(3-pyridyl) suberoamide $\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right), N, N^{\prime}$-di(3-pyridyl)adipoamide $\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}\right), N, N^{\prime}$-di(4-pyridyl)adipoamide ( $\left.\mathbf{L}^{3}\right), N, N^{\prime}$-bis (3-pyridinyl)terephthalamide $\left(\mathbf{L}^{4}\right)$, and 5 -acetamido isophthalic acid ( $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA) were prepared according to published procedures [5,9].

### 2.2. Instruments

IR spectra (KBr disk) were performed on a JASCO FT/IR-460 plus spectrometer (JASCO, 28600 Mary's Court City, USA). Elemental analyses were carried out on a PE 2400 series II CHNS/O analyzer (PerkinElmer, Boston, MA, USA) or an elementary VarioEL-III analyzer (Elementar Americas Inc., New Jersey, NJ, USA). Emission spectra were obtained using a Hitachi F-4500 spectrometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan). Powder X-ray diffraction measurements were conducted on a Bruker D 2 PHASER diffractometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) with $\mathrm{CuK}_{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{\alpha}=1.54 \AA\right.$ ) radiation. The solution UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded using an UV-2450 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan).

### 2.3. Preparations

### 2.3.1. General Procedure

A mixture of metal salts, bpba, and polycarboxylic acids in an appropriate volume of solvent was sealed in a 23 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, which was heated under autogenous pressure to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two days. The reaction system was then cooled to room temperature at a rate of $2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ per hour. Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were collected and washed with methanol and water.

### 2.3.2. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(3,5-\mathrm{PDA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} \mathbf{1}$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{1}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 3,5- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PDA}(0.017 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.10 mmol ) in 10 mL of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ solution was used. Yield: $0.028 \mathrm{~g}(44 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NiN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11}\left(M_{W}=640.29\right)$ : C, $46.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.51 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.95 \%$. Found: C, $46.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.24 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.73 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3414(s), 3253(s), 3084(m), 2905(m), 2360(w), 1672(m), 1608(s), 1550(s), 1479(w), 1428(s), 1376(s), 1340(w), 1320(w), 1274(m), 1236(w), 1175(w), 1057(w), 967(w), 812(m), 700(m), 641(w), 579(w).

### 2.3.3. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{2}(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} 2$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{1}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $1,3,5-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BTC}$ $(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ solution was used. Yield: $0.046 \mathrm{~g}(36 \%)$. Anal. $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{22}\left(M_{\mathrm{W}}=1294.54\right)\left(2+1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, 50.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.98 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.66 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 49.78 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.02 ; N, $8.65 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3414(s), 3253(s), 3084(m), 2905(m), 2360(w), 1672(m), 1608(s), 1550(s), $1479(\mathrm{w}), 1428(\mathrm{~s}), 1376(\mathrm{~s}), 1340(\mathrm{w}), 1320(\mathrm{w}), 1274(\mathrm{~m}), 1236(\mathrm{w}), 1175(\mathrm{w}), 1057(\mathrm{w}), 967(\mathrm{w}), 812(\mathrm{~m}), 700(\mathrm{~m})$, 641(w), 579(w).

### 2.3.4. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} 3$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{2}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 5-tert- $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ IPA ( $0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was used. Yield: $0.0423 \mathrm{~g}(65.28 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NiN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}\left(M_{W}=649.31\right)$ : C, $51.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.90 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.64 \%$. Found: C, $51.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.59 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3251(w), 3069(w), 2960(w), 1686(m), 1614(m), 1553(s), 1489(s), 1434(m), 1408(m), 1372(s), 1294(m), 1123(w), 1060(w), 1030(w).

### 2.3.5. $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3}\right)_{1.5}(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\right]_{n}, \mathrm{c} 4$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{3}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 5-tert- $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ IPA $(0.022 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ solution was used. Yield: $0.0389 \mathrm{~g}(53.58 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{4} 1 \mathrm{NiN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{8}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=744.44\right)\left(4+1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, $58.08 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.55 ; \mathrm{N}$, $11.29 \%$. Found: C, 58.00 ; H, 5.47 ; N, 11.16\%. FT-IR (cm ${ }^{-1}$ ): 3614(w), 3521(w), 3443(w), 3267(w), 3077(w), 2959(w), 1712(m), 1600(s), 1567(m), 1514(s), 1424(m), 1354(m), 1331(m), 1299(m), 1204(m), 1152(w), 1133(m), 1063(w), 1021(w).

### 2.3.6. $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n}, \mathrm{c} 5$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{1}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 1,3,5- $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BTC}$ $(0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ solution was used. Yield: $0.046 \mathrm{~g}(36 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{CoN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}\left(M_{\mathrm{W}}=611.46\right)$ : C, $53.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.16 \%$. Found: C, $52.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.73 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.18 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3850(w), 3835(w), 3289(m), 2934(m), 2864(m), 1868(w), 1679(s), 1613(s), 1552(s), 1487(s), 1427(m), 1371(s), 1323(m), 1294(m), 1234(s), 1188(s), 1103(m), 1052(w), 995(w), 937(w), 913(w), 804(m), 679(m), 642(m), 557(w), 518(w), 490(w), 446(w), 423(w), 116(w).

### 2.3.7. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{3}(1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} 6$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{1}(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 1,3,5- $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BTC}$ $(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ solution was used. Yield: $0.046 \mathrm{~g}(36 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{26}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=1714.33\right): ~ \mathrm{C}, 50.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.18 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.81 \%$. $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{84} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{24}$ $\left(M_{W}=1677.37\right)\left(6-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right):$ C, $51.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.04 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.01 \%$. Found: C, $51.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.97 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.84 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3073(w), 2914(w), 2854(w), 1682(w), 1614(m), 1587(m), 1542(s), 1477(w), 1428(s), 1363(s), $1280(\mathrm{~m}), 1193(\mathrm{w}), 1133(\mathrm{w}), 1101(\mathrm{w}), 1052(\mathrm{w}), 961(\mathrm{w}), 807(\mathrm{w}), 771(\mathrm{w}), 730(\mathrm{~m}), 693(\mathrm{~m}), 567(\mathrm{w}), 509(\mathrm{w})$, 475(w), 459(w), 448(m), 436(m), 423(w), 409(s).

### 2.3.8. $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right]_{n}, \mathrm{c} 7$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{4}(0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA ( 0.022 g , $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, v / v)$ solution was used. Yield: $0.049 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CuN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\left(M_{\mathrm{W}}=603.04\right)$ : C, $55.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.52 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.63 \%$. Found: C, $55.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.89 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.31 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3043(w), 2283(w), 1673(s), 1627(m), 1551(s), 1488(s), 1411(m), 1352(s), 1329(s), 1293(m), 1199(m), 1116(m), 1030(w), 937(w), 887(w), 861(w), 590(w), 538(w), 496(w), 454(w), 427(w), 416(m).

### 2.3.9. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{0.5}(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} 8$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{2}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA ( 0.022 g , $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, v / v)$ solution was used. Yield: $0.029 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=483.94\right)\left(8+1 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \mathrm{C}, 47.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.58 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.68 \%$. Found: C, $47.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.17 ; \mathrm{N}$, $8.91 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3841(w), 3736(w), 3677(w), 3053(w), 1714(m), 1653(m), 1595(m), 1541(s), 1485(m), $1418(\mathrm{~s}), 1374(\mathrm{~s}), 1324(\mathrm{~m}), 1288(\mathrm{~m}), 1197(\mathrm{~m}), 1174(\mathrm{~m}), 1134(\mathrm{~m}), 1621(\mathrm{~m}), 903(\mathrm{~m}), 779(\mathrm{~m}), 727(\mathrm{~s}), 706(\mathrm{~m})$, 644(w), 540(w), 418(w), 481(m), 460(m), 442(m), 428(m), 414(m).

### 2.3.10. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} 9$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{4}(0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA ( 0.022 g , $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, v / v)$ solution was used. Yield: $0.049 \mathrm{~g}(79 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ZnN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=640.90\right)$ : C, $52.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.93 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.93 \%$. Found: C, $52.36 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.78 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.94 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3504(w), 3070(m), 2284(w), 1671(s), 1637(m), 1545(s), 1485(s), 1420(s), 1368(s), 1329(s), 1276(m), 1234(m), 1197(m), 1105(w), 1055(w), 1028(w), 940(w), 910(w), 886(w), 863(w), 810(m), 781(m), 719(m), 643(w), 602(w), 514(w), 452(w), 144(w).

### 2.3.11. $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathrm{c} 10$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{L}^{2}(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \operatorname{AIPA}(0.022 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 mL of $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, v / v)$ solution was used. Yield: $0.029 \mathrm{~g}(47 \%)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ZnN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=620.91\right)$ : C, $50.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.72 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.31 \%$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 50.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.06 \%$. FT-IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3484(m), 3243(m), 3119(m), 3039(m), 2945(m), 1662(s), 1630(m), 1576(s), 1553(s), 1488(s), 1420(s), 1365(s), 1330(m), 1287(s), 1221(m), 1140(m), 1100(w), 1064(w), 1031(w), 949(w), 909(w), 780(m), 700(m), 647(w), 542(m), 500(w), 457(w), 420(m).

### 2.4. Photodegradation Experiment

Tube 1 (blank), tube 2 ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL} 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ), tube 3 ( 5 mg complex), and tube 4 ( 5 mg complex $+0.1 \mathrm{~mL} 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) were prepared. To each tube was added 10 mL of a 50 ppm methyl blue (MB, $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$, Scheme S 1 in Supplementary Materials) solution, which was prepared by diluting 50 mg MB with deionized water in a 1000 mL quantitative bottle. Each tube was then irradiated with the 365 nm UV light for $15,30,45$, and 60 min , respectively; the absorption spectra were then measured. Tube 3 and tube 4 were first stirred in the dark for 15 min to determine the physical adsorption of the complex.

### 2.5. Single-Crystal X-ray Analysis

Single crystals of complexes $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained from the hydrothermal reactions and their diffraction data were measured at 296 K using a Bruker AXS SMART APEX II CCD diffractometer, which was equipped with graphite monochromated MoK ${ }_{\alpha}$ ( $\lambda_{\alpha}=0.71073 \AA$ ) radiation [10]. Data reductions were performed using the standard methods with well-established computational procedures and the empirical absorption corrections were carried out based on "multi-scan". The atomic positions of some of the heavier atoms were located by the direct or Patterson method, followed by a series of alternating difference Fourier maps and least-square refinements to find the remaining atoms. Except those of the water molecules, the hydrogen atoms
coordinated to the other atoms were added by using the HADD command in SHELXTL 6.1012 [11]. Basic information pertaining to the crystal parameters and structure refinement is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Crystal data for complexes 1 to 10.

| Complex | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NiN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{NiN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NiN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{CoN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ |
| Formula weight | 640.29 | 1276.53 | 649.33 | 726.44 | 611.46 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic | Triclinic |
| Space group | $P_{\overline{1}}$ | $P_{\overline{1}}$ | $P_{\overline{1}}$ | C2/c | $P_{\overline{1}}$ |
| a, $\AA$ | 10.2217(2) | 9.2151(1) | 11.7614(2) | 12.9109(14) | 9.6229(1) |
| b, $\AA$ | 12.1140(2) | 15.2396(2) | 12.0360(2) | 16.2298(17) | 10.2784(1) |
| c, $\AA$ | 13.1648(3) | 20.1781(2) | 12.2961(2) | 33.736(4) | 14.7068(2) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 67.612(1) | 105.765(1) | 78.548(1) | 90 | 87.707(1) |
| $\beta{ }^{\circ}$ | 76.879(1) | 96.500(1) | 67.752(1) | 95.395(7) | 89.509(1) |
| $\gamma,{ }^{\circ}$ | 70.475(1) | 90.244(1) | 86.067(1) | 90 | 73.917(1) |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 1411.27(5) | 2707.76(6) | 1578.94(5) | 7037.8(13) | 1396.56(3) |
| Z | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1.507 | 1.566 | 1.366 | 1.371 | 1.454 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 672 | 1332 | 684 | 3048 | 634 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right), \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.756 | 0.786 | 0.674 | 0.609 | 0.674 |
| Range (20) for data collection | 3.36 to 56.68 | 3.92 to 56.72 | 3.46 to 56.59 | 4.14 to 56.92 | 4.12 to 56.56 |
| Independent reflections | 7003 | 13388 | 7815 | 8791 | ${ }^{6910}$ |
| Independent reflections | $[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0355]$ | $[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0253]$ | $[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0245]$ | [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int}$ ) $=0.0337]$ | [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int}$ ) $=0.0185$ ] |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 7003/0/412 | 13388/1369/819 | 7815/0/395 | 8791/808/484 | 6910/0/382 |
| Quality-of-fit indicator ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.076 | 1.062 | 1.037 | 1.067 | 1.053 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]^{\text {a,b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0329 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0731 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0472 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1282 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0401 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1018 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0666 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1864 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0353 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0925 \end{gathered}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0473 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0793 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0756 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1460 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0533 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1096 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0955 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2044 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0426 \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0962 \end{gathered}$ |
| Complex | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{72} \mathrm{H}_{88} \mathrm{Co}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{26} \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CuN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{CuN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7} \\ 465.92 \\ \text { Orthorhombic } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ZnN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \\ 640.90 \\ \text { Monoclinic } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{ZnN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{9} \\ 620.91 \\ \text { Monoclinic } \end{gathered}$ |
| Formula weight | 1714.33 | 603.04 |  |  |  |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Triclinic |  |  |  |
| Space group | $P_{\overline{1}}$ | $P_{\overline{1}}$ | Pbca | C2/c | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a, $\AA$ | 10.0136(2) | 9.7425(2) | 12.8022(2) | 26.4979(10) | 12.5193(1) |
| b, $\AA$ | 11.9834(3) | 9.9983(2) | 14.5368(2) | 12.7299(5) | 12.8079(2) |
| c, $\AA$ | 17.2930(4) | 15.1361(2) | 20.5531(2) | 17.1560(6) | 16.8353(2) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 98.375(2) | 81.021(1) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta,{ }^{\circ}$ | 90.027(1) | 84.597(1) | 90 | 108.868(2) | 91.488(1) |
| $\gamma{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 95.361(1) | 61.683(1) | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 2043.79(8) | 1281.71(4) | 3824.99(9) | 5476.0(4) | 2698.56(6) |
| Z | 1 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1.393 | 1.563 | 1.618 | 1.555 | 1.528 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 893 | 618 | 1920 | 2640 | 1288 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right), \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.685 | 0.911 | 1.192 | 0.962 | 0.973 |
| Range(20) for data collection | 3.89 to 52.00 | 4.66 to 56.64 | 4.68 to 56.64 | 3.25 to 56.66 | 3.26 to 56.58 |
| Independent reflections | 8041 | 6365 | 4760 | 6783 | 6539 |
| Independent reflections | $[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0411]$ | $[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0551]$ | $[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0486]$ | $[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0609]$ | $[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0193]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 8041/0/568 | 6265/0/374 | 4760/0/283 | 6783/0/397 | 6539/0/370 |
| Quality-of-fit indicator ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1.053 | 1.056 | 1.021 | 1.024 | 1.023 |
| Final R indices $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]^{\text {a,b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0660, \\ w R 2=0.1807 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0453, \\ \text { wR2 }=0.1040 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0438, \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0997 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0521, \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0930 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0399, \\ \text { wR2 }=0.1144 \end{gathered}$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0907, \\ \text { wR2 }=0.1980 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 1=0.0714, \\ \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1150 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0724, \\ \text { wR2 }=0.1129 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.1033, \\ w R 2=0.1090 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { R1 }=0.0510, \\ \text { wR2 }=0.1219 \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\Sigma| | \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| / \Sigma\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}\right| ;{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{wR}_{2}=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} . \mathrm{w}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(\mathrm{ap})^{2}+(\mathrm{bp})\right], \mathrm{p}=\left[\max \left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right.\right.$
or 0$\left.)+2\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right)\right] / 3$. $\mathrm{a}=0.0318, \mathrm{~b}=0.4608,1 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0655, \mathrm{~b}=2.0327,2 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0533, \mathrm{~b}=0.9980,3 ; \mathrm{a}=0.1035, \mathrm{~b}=14.0450$,
$4 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0473, \mathrm{~b}=0.7901,5 ; \mathrm{a}=0.1153, \mathrm{~b}=2.1116,6 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0495, \mathrm{~b}=0.6944,7 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0421, \mathrm{~b}=6.0326,8 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0401$,
$\mathrm{b}=3.4024,9 ; \mathrm{a}=0.0705, \mathrm{~b}=1.4721,10 ;{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ quality-of-fit $\left.=\left[\Sigma \mathrm{w}\left(\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right|-\left|\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right|\right)^{2} / \mathrm{N}_{\text {observed }}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {parameters }}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}$.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Structural Descriptions

### 3.1.1. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(3,5-\mathrm{PDA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{1}$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 1 forms a 1D CP and crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$ with two independent halves of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions that occupy the inversion centers, one $3,5-\mathrm{PDA}^{2-}$ ligand, three coordinated water molecules, and two lattice water molecules in the asymmetric unit. Figure 3a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environments about the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, both of which adopt the distorted octahedral geometries. While the $\mathrm{Ni}(1)$ atom is coordinated by two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{N}=2.1214(15) \AA$ ] and four oxygen atoms from two $3,5-\mathrm{PDA}^{2-}$ ligands and two water molecules $[\mathrm{Ni}(1)-\mathrm{O}=$ $2.0338(11)-2.0788(11) \AA$ ], the $\mathrm{Ni}(2)$ atom is coordinated by two nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{N}=2.1189(15) \AA$ ] and four oxygen atoms from four water molecules $[\mathrm{Ni}(2)-\mathrm{O}=$ $2.0342(11)-2.0890(12) \AA$. Noticeably, the $3,5-$ PDA $^{2-}$ ligands are coordinated to the metal ions in a monodentate fashion through one of the carboxylate oxygen atoms. The $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are bridged by the $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands to afford 1D chains (Figure 3b) that are supported by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.01$ and $2.05 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=175.3$ and $168.8^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds originating from the amine hydrogen atoms to the oxygen atoms of the uncoordinated water molecules and 3,5-PDA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=1.74-2.04 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=153.2-175.5^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds resulting from the interactions among coordinated water molecules, uncoordinated water molecules, 3,5-PDA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, and $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands (see Table S1 and Figure S1). To our best knowledge, this type of $\mu_{1}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{0}$ bonding mode for the $3,5-\mathrm{PDA}^{2-}$ ligands in CPs is unique.
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Figure 3. (a) Coordination environment about $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions in 1. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$ and $(B)-x,-y-1,-z+3$. (b) A drawing showing the 1D chain of 1 .

### 3.1.2. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{2}(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{2}$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 2 forms a 2D CP and crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P_{\overline{1}}^{\overline{1}}$. The asymmetric unit consists of one independent $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion at the general position, two halves of an independent $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion that occupy the inversion centers, two $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$
ligands, two 1,3,5- $\mathrm{HBTC}^{2-}$ ligands, four coordinated water molecules, and one lattice water molecule. Figure 4 a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environments about the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions. All of the metal centers are six-coordinated by two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands $\left[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}=2.091(2)-2.152(2) \AA\right.$ and four oxygen atoms from two $1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC}^{2-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}=$ 2.0297(15)-2.1112(18) $\AA$ ] and two coordinated water molecules [ $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}=2.0491$ (16)-2.0993(17) $\AA$ ], resulting in distorted octahedral geometries. The $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are linked together by $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ and 1,3,5-HBTC ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form 2D layers, which stack along the $a$ axis (see Figure S2 and Figure 4 b). If the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are defined as 4-connected nodes, the structure of 2 can be regarded as a two-fold interpenetrated and 4-connected net with the sql topology, determined using ToposPro [12] (see Figure 4c). The 2D layers are sustained by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.22-2.35 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=155.4-168.1^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds to the oxygen atoms of the carboxylate and carbonyl oxygen atoms and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=1.83-2.45 \AA$; $\angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=125.3-174.8^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds resulting from the interactions among coordinated water molecules, uncoordinated water molecules, $1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC}^{2-}$ ligands, and $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ligands (see Table S2 in Supplementary Materials).
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Figure 4. (a) Coordination environment about $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions in $\mathbf{2}$. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$, (B) $-x,-y,-z+1$, (C) $-x,-y,-z-1$, (D) $-x+1,-y+1,-z-1$, and (E) $x-1, y-1$, $z$. (b) A drawing showing the 2D layer of 2 . (c) A drawing showing the two-fold interpenetration with the sql topology.

### 3.1.3. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, 3$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 3 forms a 2D CP and crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P_{\overline{1}}$ with one $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligand, one 5 -tert- $\mathrm{IPA}^{2-}$ ligand, two coordinated water molecules, and two lattice water molecules in the asymmetric unit. Figure 5a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environment about the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, which is six-coordinated by two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}=2.0910(18)$ and $2.0949(17) \AA$ ] and four oxygen atoms from two 5 -tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}=2.0661(13)$ and $2.0878(12) \AA$ A ] and two coordinated water molecules [ $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}=2.0641$ (16) and $2.1060(18) \mathrm{A}$ ], resulting in a distorted octahedral geometry. Moreover, the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are linked by the 5 -tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands and one independent $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligand to afford 1D looped chains (see Figure 5b), which are further connected by the other independent $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ligands to form 2D layers (see Figure 5c), which stack along the $a$ axis (see Figure S3 in Supplementary Materials). If the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are defined as 3-connected nodes, the structure of 3 can be simplified as a 3-connected net with the hcp topology (Figure 5d). The 2D layers are supported by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=1.97$ and $2.07 \AA$; $\angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=173.1$ and $178.2^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds to the uncoordinated water molecules and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=1.86-2.35 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=132.2-174.6^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds resulting from the interactions among the coordinated water molecules, uncoordinated water molecules, 5 -tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, and $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligands (see Table S3 in Supplementary Materials).
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Figure 5. (a) Coordination environment about the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions in 3 . Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $-x+1,-y+1,-z$. (b) A drawing showing the 1 D chain of 3. (c) A drawing showing the 2D layer of 3. (d) A drawing showing the hcp topology of 3 .

### 3.1.4. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{3}\right)_{1.5}(5-\text { tert-IPA })\right]_{n}, 4$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 4 forms a 3D CP and crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$. The asymmetric unit consists of one $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one half of an $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ ligand, and one 5-tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand. Figure 6a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environment about the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, which is six-coordinated by three oxygen atoms from two 5 -tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands $\left[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{O}=2.064(2)-2.263(2) \AA\right.$ and three pyridyl nitrogen atoms from three $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{N}=$ 2.037(3)-2.092(3) Å], resulting in a distorted octahedral geometry. The $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are further linked by $\mathrm{L}^{3}$ and 5-tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form a 3 D framework. Treating the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ cations as 5 -connected nodes and the spacer ligands as linkers reveal a 3D net with the rare ( $4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8$ )-hxg-d-5-C2/c topology (see Figure 6b), which can be regarded as polycatenated nets cross-linked by the linkers. The $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)$-hxg-d-5-C2/c net has been observed in two isostructural complexes $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{~L} 4-4) \mathrm{X}\right]_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CuX}\right\}_{n}$ ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ and Br ; HL4-4 is 3,5-bis(4-pyridyl)-1H-pyrazole) that were obtained by reacting HL4-4 with corresponding copper salts $\left(\mathrm{CuBr}_{2} / \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}\right)$, where the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions were reduced to monovalent $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ in solvothermal conditions [13]. The 3D framework is supported by the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=1.92$ and $2.14 \AA$; $\angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=174.4$ and $157.8^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds to the carboxylate oxygen atoms of the 5-tert-IPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands (see Table S4 in Supplementary Materials).


Figure 6. (a) Coordination environment about $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{II})$ ions in 4. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $x+1 / 2, y-1 / 2, z$; (B) $x-1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (C) $x-1 / 2$, $y+1 / 2, z ;(D) x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2, z-1 / 2$; and (E) $-x+1, y,-z+1 / 2$. (b) A drawing showing the 5 -connected net with the ( $4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8$ )-hxg-d-5-C2/c topology.

### 3.1.5. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n}, \mathbf{5}$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 5 forms a 2D CP and crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P_{\overline{1}}$ with one $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligand, one $1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC}^{2-}$ ligand, and one coordinated
water molecule in the asymmetric unit. Figure 7a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environment about the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, which is six-coordinated by two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands $\left[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}=2.1561(15)\right.$ and $2.1589(15) \AA$ ] and four oxygen atoms from two $1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC}^{2-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{O}=2.0141(13)-2.1804(13) \AA$ ] and one coordinated water molecule [Co- $\mathrm{O}=2.0350(13) \AA$ A , resulting in a distorted octahedral geometry. Moreover, the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are linked together by the $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ and 1,3,5-HBTC ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to afford 2D layers (Figure 7b), which stack along the $a$ axis (see Figure S4). If the Co (II) ions are defined as 4 -connected nodes, the structure of 5 can be regarded as a 4 -connected net with the sql topology (Figure 7c). The 2D layers are sustained by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=1.99$ and $2.16 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=179.3$ and $174.7^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl and carboxylate oxygen atoms and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=1.84-1.91 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=155.9-174.4^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds resulting from the interactions between coordinated water molecules and $1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC}^{2-}$ ligands (see Table S5 in Supplementary Materials).
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Figure 7. (a) Coordination environment about $\operatorname{Co}($ II $)$ ions in 5. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $x, y-1, z$; (B) $x-1, y, z-1$; (C) $x, y+1, z$; and (D) $x+1, y, z+1$. (b) A drawing showing the 2D layer of 5. (c) A drawing showing the sql topology of 5 .

### 3.1.6. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{3}(1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, 6$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 6 forms a 2D CP and crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$. The asymmetric unit consists of one independent Co (II) ion at the general position and a half of a $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ion at the inversion center, one and a half $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ ligands, one 1,3,5- $\mathrm{BTC}^{3-}$ ligand, one coordinated water molecule, and three co-crystallized water molecules. Figure 8a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environment about the $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ions. The $\mathrm{Co}(1)$ atom adopts the distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry $(\tau=0.65)$ [14], which is coordinated by three oxygen atoms from two different $1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC}^{3-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{O}=1.957(3)-2.346(3) \AA$ and two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Co}(1)-\mathrm{N}=2.055(3)$ and 2.061(3) $\AA$ ], while the $\mathrm{Co}(2)$ atom adopts the distorted octahedral geometry, which is coordinated by two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands $\left[\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{N}=2.181(3) \AA\right.$ A and four oxygen atoms from two 1,3,5-BTC ${ }^{3-}$ ligands and two coordinated water molecules $\left[\mathrm{Co}(2)-\mathrm{O}=2.054(3)-2.112(3) \AA\right.$. The $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are linked by $\mathrm{L}^{1}$ ligands and $1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC}^{3-}$ ligands to form 2D layers with loops (see Figure 8b), which stack along the $b$ axis (see Figure S5 in Supplementary Materials). If the $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands are considered as 2-connected nodes, the structure of $\mathbf{6}$ can be simplified as a 2,2,3,4-connected $\left(4 \cdot 8^{5}\right)_{2}(4)_{2}\left(8^{3}\right)_{2}(8)$ topology (as shown in Figure 8c), which can be further reduced to the hcp topology (as shown in Figure 8d) if the $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ligands are considered as linkers. Moreover, the 2D layers are supported by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.00-2.26 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=$ $\left.162.6-177.5^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl and carboxylate oxygen atoms and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ $\left.=1.69-2.28 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=113.8-178.5^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds resulting from the interactions among coordinated water molecules, uncoordinated water molecules, 1,3,5-BTC ${ }^{3-}$ ligands, and $\mathbf{L}^{1}$ ligands (see Table S6).
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Figure 8. (a) Coordination environment about $C o(I I)$ ions in 6. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $x-1, y, z$; (B) $-x+1,-y,-z+2$; and (C) $-x+2,-y+2,-z+1$. (b) A drawing showing the 2D layer of 6 . (c) A drawing showing the $\left(4 \cdot 8^{5}\right)_{2}(4)_{2}\left(8^{3}\right)_{2}(8)$ topology of 6 . (d) A drawing showing the hcp topology of 6 .

### 3.1.7. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right]_{n}, 7$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 7 forms a 2D CP and crystallizes in the triclinic space group $P_{\overline{1}}$ with one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligand, and one AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand in the asymmetric unit. Figure 9a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environment about the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, which are five-coordinated by three oxygen atoms from three AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}=1.9220(17)-2.2115(18) \AA$ Å]
and two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}=2.0410(2)-2.0610(2) \AA$, resulting in a distorted square pyramidal geometry $(\tau=0.27)$. Moreover, the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are linked by the AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form dinuclear units $\left[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}=4.202(2) \AA\right.$ ], which are further linked by AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligands to form 2D layers (Figure 9b), which stack along the $a$ axis (see Figure S6). If the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions are considered as 5 -connected nodes and the AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands as 3 -connected nodes, the structure of 7 can be simplified as a 3,5-connected net with the ( $\left.4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)\left(4^{2} \cdot 6\right)-3,5 \mathrm{~L} 2$ topology (Figure 9c). The 2D layers are supported by the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.14-2.31 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=150.7-166.7^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl and carboxylate oxygen atoms of AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligands (see Table S7 in Supplementary Materials).


Figure 9. (a) Coordination environment about $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions in 7. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are $(A) x-1, y+1, z$; $(B) x-1, y, z+1$; (C) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+2$; (D) $x+1, y-1, z$; (E) $x+1, y, z-1$; (F) $-x+3,-y+1,-z+1$; and (G) $-x+3,-y,-z+2$. (b) A drawing showing the 2D layer of 7 . (c) A drawing showing the $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)\left(4^{2} \cdot 6\right)-3,5 \mathrm{~L} 2$ of 7 .

### 3.1.8. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{0.5} \text { (AIPA) }\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{8}$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 8 forms a 3D CP and crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P b c a$. The asymmetric unit consists of one $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, a half of $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligand, one AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand, and one lattice methanol molecule. Figure 10a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environments about the $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ ions, which are five-coordinated by four oxygen atoms from four AIPA $^{2-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{O}=1.9600(2)-1.9780(2) \AA$ A $]$ and one pyridyl nitrogen atom from one $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ ligand $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}=2.1660(2) \AA]$, resulting in a distorted square pyramidal geometry $(\tau=0.01)$. The metal atoms are linked by the AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form dinuclear units $[\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cu}=2.6431(6) \AA$ ], which are further connected by AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ and $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligands to form a 3 D framework. If the Cu (II) ions are considered as 6 -connected nodes and the AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands as 4-connected nodes and the $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligands as linkers, the structure of 8 can be simplified as a 4,6-connected, 2 -fold interpenetrated net with the $\left(3^{2} \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 7^{2}\right)\left(3^{4} \cdot 4^{6} \cdot 6^{4} \cdot 7\right)$-sqc493 topology (Figure 10b). Furthermore, treating the dinuclear $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ units as 6 -connected nodes affords a 2-fold interpenetrated net with pcu topology (Figure 10c). $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.01\right.$ and $2.18 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=171.8-173.7^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl oxygen atoms of $\mathrm{AIPA}^{2-}$ ligands and the methanol oxygen atoms and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=2.00 \AA$; $\angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=161.2^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds originating from methanol to the carbonyl oxygen atoms of AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands are found in the 3D framework (see Table S8 in Supplementary Materials).


Figure 10. (a) Coordination environment about Cu (II) ions in 8 . Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $-x+1,-y+1,-z+1$; (B) $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2,-z+1$; and (C) $-x+3 / 2$, $\mathrm{y}-1 / 2$, z . (b) A drawing showing the 2 -fold interpenetrated net with the $\left(3^{2} \cdot 6^{2} \cdot 7^{2}\right)\left(3^{4} \cdot 4^{6} \cdot 6^{4} \cdot 7\right)$-sqc493 topology. (c) A drawing showing the 2 -fold interpenetrated net pcu topology of 8 .

### 3.1.9. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, 9$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 9 forms a 3D CP and crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$ with one $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligand, one AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand, and two lattice water molecules in the asymmetric unit. Figure 11a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environments about the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions. Each of the symmetry-related Zn (II) ions is five-coordinated by three oxygen atoms from three AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}=1.9840(2)-2.0720(2) \AA$ ] and two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}=2.1700(2)-2.1890(2) \AA$ ], resulting in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry $(\tau=0.61)$. The metal ions are linked by two AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form dinuclear units $\left[\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Zn}=3.979(2) \AA\right.$, which are further linked by $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ and AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form a 3D framework. Treating the dinuclear $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ units as 8 -connected nodes and the spacer ligands as linkers reveal the presence of a self-catenated 3D net with the $\left(4^{24} \cdot 6^{4}\right)-8 \mathrm{~T} 2$ topology (Figure 11b). A closer investigation reveals that the topological structure can be viewed as a two-fold interpenetration of pcu-type nets cross-linked by the linkers, as shown in Figure 11c. Moreover, the 3D framework is supported by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.13-2.52 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=127.7-156.6^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl oxygen atoms of $\mathrm{AIPA}^{2-}$ ligands and the water oxygen atoms and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=1.83-2.18 \AA$; $\angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=125.3-165.0^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds originating from water molecules to the carbonyl oxygen atoms of AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, carbonyl oxygen atoms of $\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligands, and the water oxygen atoms (see Table S9 in Supplementary Materials).
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Figure 11. (a) Coordination environment about Zn (II) ions in 9 . Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $-x+3 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z+1$; (B) $-x+3 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (C) $x+1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2$; (D) $-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (E) $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z-1 / 2$; (F) $x$, $-y, z+1 / 2$; and (G) $-x+1, y-1,-z+1 / 2$. (b) A drawing showing the $\left(4^{24} \cdot 6^{4}\right)-8 \mathrm{~T} 2$ topology of 9 . (c) A drawing showing two-fold interpenetration of pcu-type nets cross-linked by the linkers.

### 3.1.10. Structure of $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)(\text { AIPA })\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n} \mathbf{1 0}$

X-ray structural analysis reveals that complex 10 forms a 3D CP and crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / c$. The asymmetric unit consists one $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ion, one $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ ligand, one AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligand, and two lattice water molecules. Figure 12a depicts a drawing showing the coordination environment about the Zn (II) ion, which is five-coordinated by three oxygen atoms from three AIPA $^{2-}$ ligands $\left[\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{O}=1.9693(6)-2.0206(6) \AA\right.$ ] and two pyridyl nitrogen atoms from two $\mathrm{L}^{2}$ ligands $[\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{N}=2.1918(21)$ and $2.1615(23) \AA$ A , resulting in a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry $(\tau=0.67)$. The metal atoms are linked by two AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form dinuclear units $[\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Zn}=3.8970(5) \AA$ ], which are further linked by $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ and AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands to form a 3D framework. If the dinuclear Zn (II) units are defined as 6-connected nodes and the spacer ligands as linkers, the structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ can be regarded as an underlying self-catenated net with the ( $4^{4} \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8$ )-mab topology (Figure 12b). It is noted that the mab net is closely related to the self-catenated net roa. Both of the nets have the same Schläfli symbol $\left(4^{4} \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8\right)$ but differ in the vertex symbols, which are $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 6_{4} \cdot 6_{4} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{11} \cdot 6_{11} \cdot 6_{11} \cdot 6_{11}{ }^{*}$ and $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot 6_{2} \cdot 6_{2} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5} \cdot 6_{5}{ }^{*}$, respectively. The 3D framework is sustained by $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=2.01-2.12 \AA ; \angle \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=149.3-178.0^{\circ}\right)$ hydrogen bonds to the carbonyl and carboxylate oxygen atoms of $\mathrm{AIPA}^{2-}$ ligands and the water oxygen atoms and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}=2.18-2.48 \AA$; $\angle \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}=116.8-170.6^{\circ}$ ) hydrogen bonds originating from water molecules to the carboxylate oxygen atoms of AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands and carbonyl oxygen atoms of $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligands (see Table S10).

The solvent-accessible volumes of complexes $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{1 0}$ have been calculated using PLATON [15], which are $1.1,0.6,6.7,0,0,14.9,0,6.6,7.9$, and $10.1 \%$ of each of their corresponding unit cell volumes, respectively, indicating small or no porosity.


Figure 12. (a) Coordination environment about Zn (II) ions in 10. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms are (A) $-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}+1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+3 / 2$; (B) $\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}+1 / 2, \mathrm{z}-1 / 2$; (C) $\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}-1$, z; (D) $-\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}-1 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+3 / 2$; (E) $\mathrm{x},-\mathrm{y}+1 / 2, \mathrm{z}+1 / 2$; (F) $\mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}+1, \mathrm{z}$; (G) $-\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}-3 / 2,-\mathrm{z}+3 / 2$; (H) $-x+1,-y,-z+2$; and (I) $x+1,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2$. (b) A drawing showing the $\left(4^{4} \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8\right)$-mab topology of $\mathbf{1 0}$.

### 3.2. Ligand Conformations and Bonding Modes

The descriptor that has been proposed to establish the ligand conformations of the bpba ligands is applicable for $\mathbf{L}^{1}-\mathbf{L}^{4}$ [5]: (a) If the C-C-C-C torsion angle ( $\theta$ ) of the backbone carbon atoms is $180 \geq \theta>90^{\circ}$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 90^{\circ}, \mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}-\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{3}}$ adopt the $A$ and $G$ conformations, respectively. (b) On the basis of the relative orientation of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ (or $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ ) groups, each of the $\mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{1}}-\mathrm{L}^{4}$ ligands adopt the cis or trans arrangement. (c) Due to the different orientations of the pyridyl nitrogen atom positions, three more orientations-anti-anti, syn-anti, and syn-syn-can also be imposed on $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}, \mathbf{L}^{2}$, and $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{4}}$. Accordingly, the ligand conformations of complexes $\mathbf{1}$ to 10 are assigned and listed in Table 2, which also shows the various bonding modes for the polycarboxylate ligands. The diverse ligand conformations and bonding modes shown in Table 2 indicate that $\mathbf{L}^{1}-\mathbf{L}^{4}$ and the polycarboxylate ligands are flexible and can be adjusted to fit the stereochemical requirements for forming the structures of complexes $\mathbf{1}$ to 10, which presumably result from the maximization of their intra and intermolecular forces.

Table 2. Ligand conformation of $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}$ to $\mathbf{L}^{4}$ and bonding modes of the polycarboxylate ligands in 1-10.

| Complex | Ligand conformation | Bonding mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(3,5-\mathrm{PDA})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{1}$ | AAAAA trans syn-syn | $\mu_{1}-\mathrm{K}^{1}, \mathrm{~K}^{0}, \mathrm{~K}^{0}, \mathrm{~K}^{0}$ |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}_{2}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{2}(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, 2$ | AAAAA trans anti-syn AGAGA trans syn-anti | $\mu_{2}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{0}$ |
| $\left\{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, 3$ | AAA trans anti-anti GAG trans syn-anti | $\mu_{2}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{1.5}(5 \text {-tert-IPA })\right]_{n}, 4$ | AAA trans GAG trans | $\mu_{2}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)(1,3,5-\mathrm{HBTC})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{n}, \mathbf{5}$ | GAAAA cis anti-syn | $\mu_{2}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{0}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \left\{\left[\mathrm{Co}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)_{3}(1,3,5-\mathrm{BTC})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}\right] \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{6} \\ {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right]_{n}, \mathbf{7}} \\ \left\{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2}\right)_{0.5}(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot \mathrm{MeOH}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{8} \\ \left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathbf{L}^{4}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{9} \\ \left\{\left[\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathbf{L}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{AIPA})\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right\}_{n}, \mathbf{1 0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AAGGA cis syn-syn AGAGA trans syn-syn trans syn-syn GAG trans anti-anti trans anti-anti GAG trans anti-anti | $\begin{gathered} \mu_{3}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1} \\ \mu_{3}-\kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1} \\ \mu_{4}-\kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1} \\ \mu_{3}-\kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1} \\ \mu_{3}-\kappa^{0}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1}, \kappa^{1} \end{gathered}$ |

### 3.3. Structural Comparisons

The structural difference between 1 and 2 is presumably due to the different identities of the dicarboxylate ligands. Structural comparisons of complexes 3 and 4 reveal that ligand isomerism (the donor atom position of the bpba ligand) is important in determining the structural diversity, resulting in a 2D layer with the hcp topology and a 3D framework with the $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)$-hxg-d-5-C2/c topology, respectively. The different metal/ligand ratios used for complexes 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ are also important in determining the structural type, giving a 2D layer with the sql topology and a 2D layer with the hcp topology, respectively. Moreover, a comparison of the structures of complexes $\mathbf{7 - 1 0}$ shows that the metal centers and flexibility of the ligands significantly affect the structural diversity. The metal centers are Cu (II) in 7 and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ in 9 , affording a 2D layer with the sql topology and a self-catenated 3D framework with the $\left(4^{24} \cdot 6^{4}\right)$-8T2 topology, respectively. Similarly, complexes $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ display a 2-fold interpenetrated 3D framework with the pcu topology and a self-catenated 3D framework with the $\left(4^{4} \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8\right)$-mab topology, respectively. It is also shown that with the same bpba and AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, the CPs with $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ions prefer to form 3D self-catenated frameworks ( $7 \mathrm{vs}$.9 and 8 vs .10 ), which can be ascribed to the formation of the distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometries in complexes 9 and 10 , rather than the distorted square pyramidal geometries in complexes 7 and 8 . Structural differences in the pairs of $\mathbf{7}$ and 8 , and 9 and 10 are presumably due to the different flexibility between $\mathbf{L}^{4}$ and $\mathbf{L}^{2}$ ligands. Structural comparisons of $\mathbf{1 - 1 0}$ indicate that the identity of the dicarboxylate ligands, the ligand isomerism and flexibility of the bpba ligands, the metal/ligand ratio, and the nature of the metal centers play important roles in determining the structural diversity of the divalent coordination polymers.

### 3.4. Luminescent Properties

Luminescent $d^{10}$ metal complexes have the ability to enhance, shift, and quench emissions of organic ligands, which are of great interest due to the potential applications of these complexes in areas such as sensors and displays. The luminescent properties of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ were thus investigated. Table 3 summarizes the UV-VIS and luminescent properties of complexes $\mathbf{9}$ and 10 and the free organic ligands $\mathbf{L}^{2}, \mathbf{L}^{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA. Figures $\mathrm{S} 7-\mathrm{S} 11$ depict the corresponding excitation/emission spectra, which were measured in solid state at room temperature. The spectra of $\mathbf{L}^{2}, \mathbf{L}^{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA show emissions in the range of 415-425 nm, which may be ascribed to the intraligand (IL) $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ or $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{*}$ transitions. The red shifts of 9 with respect to the free organic ligands can be ascribed to the different ligand conformations and coordination modes adopted by the organic ligands and the formation of different structural types. It is well known that the $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ ion is hardly susceptible to oxidation or reduction and it is thus not possible that the emissions of $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ are due to ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) or metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT). Therefore, these emissions may be attributed to intraligand or ligand-to-ligand charge transfer (LLCT) [16].

Table 3. The absorption, excitation, and emission wavelengths (nm) of $\mathbf{L}^{2}, \mathbf{L}^{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA, and complexes 9 and 10 in the solid state.

| Complex | $\lambda_{\text {abs }}(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\lambda_{\text {ex }}(\mathbf{n m})$ | $\lambda_{\text {em }}(\mathbf{n m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{L}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 300 | 370 | 415 |
| $\mathbf{L}^{4}$ | 341 | $330 / 365$ | 420 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ AIPA | 323 | 336 | 425 |
| 9 | 340 | $340 / 400$ | 460 |
| 10 | 322 | $325 / 350$ | 415 |

### 3.5. Photocatalytic Properties

The photocatalytic role of CPs in the detoxification of water from organic pollutants is a subject of current interest $[17,18]$. However, only a few bpba-based CPs have exhibited plausible photocatalytic
properties [6,19]. It was proposed that in photocatalysis, the hydroxyl radical $(\mathrm{OH} \cdot)$ is the major oxidant in charge of the heterogeneous oxidation process, which decomposes the organic dye with good efficiency. Due to the easy preparation and applicable stability in water, the photocatalytic effects of complexes 9 and 10 with the degradation of a dye were investigated. Methyl blue (MB) was selected as a model of dye contaminant and the experiments were carried out in $30 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ under 365 nm UV light.

The changes in $A / A_{0}$ of $M B$ solutions versus irradiation time for complexes 9 and 10 are shown in Figure 13a,b, respectively, wherein $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ is the initial absorption of the MB solution and A is the absorption at the reaction time $t(\mathrm{~min})$. Time-dependent absorption spectra of the MB solution under 365 nm UV light are provided as supplementary material in Figures S12-S29. The percentage of $\mathrm{MB}(\mathrm{pmb})$ remaining in solution was evaluated according to $\mathrm{pmb}=\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}-\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) / \mathrm{A}_{0} \times 100 \%$, while the degradation efficiency (de) was calculated as $\mathrm{de}=\mathrm{pmb}(\mathrm{t}=0)-\mathrm{pmb}(\mathrm{t}=60)$ (see Table S11 in Supplementary Materials). Accordingly, the enhanced de due to the complexes with the participation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is $8(1)$ and $12(2) \%$ for 9 and 10 , respectively. It is clearly shown that both complexes exhibit a photocatalytic effect toward MB degradation. Moreover, the PXRD patterns of complexes 9 and 10 after the photocatalytic reactions have been measured. As shown in Figure S30, no obvious changes are observed, indicating their structural integrity and suitability for being used as catalysts in the photocatalytic reaction system. For comparison, it is noted that the 3-fold interpenetrating coordination polymer $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{bdc})\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right)$ solvents $\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}=\mathrm{N}^{4}, \mathrm{~N}^{4 \prime}\right.$-di(pyridin-4-yl)biphenyl-4, $4^{\prime}$-dicarboxamide, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{bdc}=$ terephthalic acid), which adopts a self-catenated net with the hxg-d-4-Fddd topology, shows photocatalytic activity under visible light with the degradation of rhodamine $B(\mathrm{RhB})$ [17].


Figure 13. The changes in $A / A_{0}$ of methyl blue (MB) solutions versus irradiation time for complexes (a) 9 and (b) 10 .

## 4. Conclusions

The synthesis and structural characterization of ten divalent CPs based on bpba and polycarboxylate ligands have been successfully accomplished, which show 1D, 2D and 3D structures. Complex 1 is a 1D chain and $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are 2D layers with the sql topology, while $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ are 2D layers with the hcp topology and 4 displays a 3D self-catenated framework with the rare $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)$-hxg-d-5-C2/c topology. Complex 7 forms a 2D layer with the $\left(4^{2} \cdot 6^{7} \cdot 8\right)\left(4^{2} \cdot 6\right)-3,5 \mathrm{~L} 2$ topology and 8 is a 2 -fold interpenetrated 3D framework with the pcu topology, while 9 and 10 display 3D self-catenated frameworks with the $\left(4^{24} \cdot 6^{4}\right)-8 \mathrm{~T} 2$ and the $\left(4^{4} \cdot 6^{10} \cdot 8\right)$-mab topologies, respectively. In addition to the nature of the dicarboxylate ligands, we have shown that the identity of the metal ions, the isomerism and flexibility of the bpba ligands, and the metal to ligand ratio play important roles in determining the structural diversity of these divalent CPs. With the same bpba and AIPA ${ }^{2-}$ ligands, the CPs with Zn (II) ions prefer to form the 3D self-catenated
frameworks. We have also shown that both complexes $\mathbf{9}$ and 10 display luminescent properties and can be used as catalysts to accelerate the photodegradation of organic dyes.

Supplementary Materials: Supplementary materials can be found at www.mdpi.com/2073-4360/9/12/691/s1. Crystallographic data for 1-10 have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC No. 1570989-1570998.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful to the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of China for support.

Author Contributions: Miao-Ning Chang reviewed literature, performed the experiments, and analyzed the data. Xiang-Kai Yang collected the data. Pradhumna Mahat Chhetri contributed to the revision of the paper with additional input from Jhy-Der Chen. Jhy-Der Chen supported the experiments and wrote the paper. All the authors reviewed and approved the paper.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Tiekink, E.R.T.; Vittal, J.J. Frontiers in Crystal Engineering; John Wiley \& Sons, Ltd.: Chichester, UK, 2006.
2. Yaghi, O.M.; Li, H.; Davis, C.; Richardson, D.; Groy, T.L. Synthetic strategies, structure patterns, and emerging properties in the chemistry of modular porous solids. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 474-484. [CrossRef]
3. Batten, S.R.; Neville, S.M.; Turner, D.R. Coordination Polymers: Design, Analysis and Application; Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2009.
4. Carlucci, L.; Ciani, G.; Proserpio, D.M.; Mitina, T.G.; Blatov, V.A. Entangled two-dimensional coordination networks: A general survey. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 7557-7580. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Thapa, K.B.; Chen, J.-D. Crystal engineering of coordination polymers containing flexible bis-pyridyl-bis-amide ligands. CrystEngComm 2015, 17, 4611-4626. [CrossRef]
6. Zhang, J.-W.; Kan, X.-M.; Li, X.-L.; Luan, J.; Wang, X.-L. Transition metal carboxylate coordination polymers with amide-bridged polypyridine co-ligands: Assemblies and properties. CrystEngComm 2015, 17, 3887-3907. [CrossRef]
7. Lo, Y.-C.; Hsu, W.; He, H.-Y.; Hyde, S.-T.; Proserpio, D.M.; Chen, J.-D. Structural directing roles of isomeric phenylenediacetate ligands in the formation of coordination networks based on flexible N, $N^{\prime}$-di(3-pyridyl)suberoamide. CrystEngComm 2015, 17, 90-97. [CrossRef]
8. He, H.-Y.; Hsu, C.-H.; Chang, H.-Y.; Yang, H.-K.; Chhetri, P.M.; Proserpio, D.M.; Chen, J.-D. Self-catenated coordination polymers involving bis-pyridyl-bis-amide. Cryst. Growth Des. 2017, 17, 1991-1998. [CrossRef]
9. Huang, W.-H.; Hsu, C.-J.; Tsai, S.-K.; He, H.-Y.; Ding, J.-J.; Hsu, T.-W.; Yang, C.-C.; Chen, J.-D. Homoand heterometallic coordination networks based on linear trinuclear Co(II) units: Synthesis, structures and magnetic properties. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 23374-23382. [CrossRef]
10. Bruker AXS Inc. Bruker AXS, APEX2, V2008.6, SAD ABS V2008/1, SAINT+ V7.60A, SHELXTL V6.14; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 2008.
11. Sheldrick, G.M. A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112-122. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
12. Blatov, V.A.; Shevchenko, A.P.; Proserpio, D.M. Applied topological analysis of crystal structures with the program package ToposPro. Cryst. Growth Des. 2014, 14, 3576-3586. [CrossRef]
13. Zhan, S.-Z.; Li, M.; Zhou, X.-P.; Ni, J.; Huang, X.-C.; Li, D. From simple to complex: Topological evolution and luminescence variation in a copper(I) pyridylpyrazolate system tuned via second ligating spacers. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 8879-8892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Addison, A.W.; Rao, T.N.; Reedijik, J.; Rijn, J.V.; Verschoor, G.C. Synthesis, structure, and spectroscopic properties of copper(II) compounds containing nitrogen-sulphur donor ligands; the crystal and molecular structure of aqua[1,7-bis(N-methylbenzimidazol-2'-yl)-2,6-dithiaheptane]copper(II) perchlorate. J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1984, 1349-1356. [CrossRef]
15. Spek, A.L. Structure validation in chemical crystallography. Acta Cryst. 2009, D65, 148-155. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
16. Sun, D.; Yan, Z.-H.; Blatov, V.A.; Wang, L.; Sun, D.-F. Syntheses, topological structures, and photoluminescences of six new $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{II})$ coordination polymers based on mixed tripodal imidazole ligand and varied polycarboxylates. Cryst. Growth Des. 2013, 13, 1277-1289. [CrossRef]
17. Luo, F.; Yuan, Z.-Z.; Feng, X.-F.; Batten, S.R.; Li, J.-Q.; Luo, M.-B.; Liu, S.-J.; Xu, W.-Y.; Sun, G.-M.; Song, Y.-M.; et al. Multifunctional 3-fold interpenetrated porous metal-organic frameworks composed of unprecedented self-catenated networks. Cryst. Growth Des. 2012, 12, 3392-3396. [CrossRef]
18. Wang, F.; Liu, Z.-S.; Yang, H.; Tan, Y.-X.; Zhang, J. Hybrid zeolitic imidazolate frameworks with catalytically active $\mathrm{TO}_{4}$ building blocks. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 450-453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
19. Wang, X.-L.; Sui, F.-F.; Lin, H.-Y.; Zhang, J.-W.; Liu, G.-C. Multifunctional cobalt(II) coordination polymers tuned by flexible bis(pyridylamide) ligands with different spacers and polycarboxylates. Cryst. Growth Des. 2014, 14, 3438-3452. [CrossRef]
© 2017 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access
