



#### Electronic supporting information

# Sustainable Esterification of a Soda Lignin with Phloretic Acid

Antoine Adjaoud <sup>1,2</sup>, Reiner Dieden <sup>1</sup>, Pierre Verge <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup> University of Luxembourg, 2, Avenue de l'Université, L-4365 Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg

\* Correspondence: pierre.verge@list.lu (P.V.)

Keywords: lignin; sustainable; esterification; solubility

#### Table of figures

Table S1 Thermogravimetric analysis of the various grades of Protobind <sup>®</sup> lignin	2
Table S2 Assignments of the lignin <sup>13</sup> C-1H correlation peaks in the 2D HSQC spectra of P2400 and P2400-PA	3
Table S3 Assignments of the lignin <sup>13</sup> C-1H correlation peaks in the 2D HMBC spectra of P2400-PA	4
Table S4 Effect and p-values of the individual variables and their first order interaction effects for the esterification	of
P2400 with PA	6
Table S5 Hansen partial solubility parameters of the solvent used for the solubility assays	7
Figure S1 TGA and DTG curves of Protobind <sup>®</sup> lignin	2
<b>Figure S2</b> Aromatic region in the 2D HMBC NMR spectra of and P2400-PA (δc/δн: 125–180/2.4–4.4)	4
Figure S3 <sup>31</sup> P NMR spectrum of P2400 (R= -OMe, -O-lignin, lignin)	4
Figure S4 FTIR spectra of P2400 and P2400-PA	5
Figure S5 Linear predictive model	5
Figure S6 Surface response associated to a) c/ n, b) t/n and c) c/t critical variables	6
Figure S7 Weight average molecular weight of P2400 and P400-PA	8
Figure S8 DSC thermogram of P2400 and P400-PA	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Luxembourg Institute of Science and Technology, Materials Research and Technology Department, 5 Avenue des Hauts-Fourneaux, L-4362 Esch-sur-Alzette, Luxembourg; <u>antoine.adjaoud@list.lu</u> (A.A.); <u>reiner.dieden@list.lu</u> (R.D.)

#### Thermogravimetric analysis of Protobind<sup>®</sup> grades



Figure S1 TGA and DTG curves of Protobind<sup>®</sup> lignin

TGA curves of the different grades of the Protobind<sup>®</sup> lignin are reported in Figure S1. The moisture content, the onset of thermal degradation (Tonset), the major degradation temperatures (A, B, C), and the char residue at 800 °C are gathered in Table S1. The DTG curves of the different grades of Protobind<sup>®</sup> lignin exhibited roughly similar decomposition pattern that can be sundered in three degradation ranges: A around 180°C, B around 300°C and the major degradation D around 350°C. The onset of the degradation temperature depends on the Protobind<sup>®</sup> grade. Although the highest Tonset is observed for the grade P2400 (157 °C), this grade exhibits the lowest char yield (33.9 %). The other grades of Protobind<sup>®</sup> lignin displayed a char yield around 37-38 %, with the highest obtained for the grade P4000 (39.4 %).

Protobind®	<sup>a</sup> Tonset	<sup>a</sup> Major deg	<sup>b</sup> Char		
grades	(°C)	А	В	С	(%)
P1000	130	-	259	369	37.6
P2000	124	-	247	361	36.7
P2400	157	-	299	359	33.9
P4000	121	207	302	342	39.4
P6000	115	169	283	348	38.5

Table S1 Thermogravimetric analysis of the various grades of Protobind<sup>®</sup> lignin (10 °C.min<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>)

<sup>a</sup> determined from the first derivative, <sup>b</sup> at 800 °C.

### > <u>Structural characterization of the esterified lignin</u>

Table S2 Assignments of the lignin <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>1</sup>H correlation peaks in the 2D HSQC spectra of P2400 and P2400-PA

Label	δс/бн (ppm)	Assignments P2400		P2400-PA
Вз	30.0/2.75	C <sub>3</sub> –H <sub>3</sub> in substructure <b>B</b>		x
B2	36.1/2.57	$C_2$ – $H_2$ in substructure <b>B</b>		x
Cβ	54.2/3.09	$C_\beta \!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!\!$	х	x
–OCH3	56.5/3.76	C–H in methoxyl <b>–OMe</b>	х	x
Ax	60.8/3.52	$C_x$ – $H_x$ in substructure <b>A</b>	х	
B <sub>x</sub> ,	63.8/4.12	$C_{x'}$ – $H_{x'}$ in substructure <b>B</b>		x
A-O-Alk	70.3/3.52	$C_{\text{-O-Alk}}$ – $H_{\text{-O-Alk}}$ in substructure A	х	
B-O-Alk	70.3/3.52	$C_{\text{-O-Alk}}$ – $H_{\text{-O-Alk}}$ in substructure <b>B</b>		x
Cγ	71.6/4.20;3.82	$C_{\gamma}$ - $H_{\gamma}$ in resinol $\beta$ - $\beta'$ substructure $C$	х	x
X2	73.1/3.13	C <sub>2</sub> –H <sub>2</sub> in xylan substructure <b>X</b>	х	x
X3	74.6/3.33	C <sub>3</sub> –H <sub>3</sub> in xylan substructure <b>X</b>	х	x
X4	75.9/3.58	$C_4$ – $H_4$ in xylan substructure <b>X</b>	х	x
S <sub>2,6</sub>	104.2/6.71	C2,6-H2,6 in syringyl units S	х	x
S'2,6	106.8/7.27	C2,6–H2,6 in $\alpha$ -oxidized syringyl units $S'$	х	
Fa <sub>2</sub>	111.2/7.41	C2–H2 in ferulate <b>Fa</b>	х	
G2	112.6/6.83	$C_2$ - $H_2$ in guaiacyl units <b>G</b>	х	x
<b>B</b> <sub>6</sub>	115.6/6.69	C <sub>6</sub> –H <sub>6</sub> in substructure <b>B</b>		x
G <sub>5</sub>	115.6/6.70	C <sub>5</sub> –H <sub>5</sub> in guaiacyl units <b>G</b>	х	x
$Pc_{\beta}$	115.8/6.32	$C_{\beta}$ – $H_{\beta}$ in <i>p</i> -coumarate <b>Pc</b>	х	
$Fa_{\beta}$	116.1/6.40	$C_{\beta}$ - $H_{\beta}$ in ferulate <b>Fa</b>	x	
G <sub>6</sub>	119.3/6.78	C6–H6 in guaiacyl units <b>G</b>	x	х
H2,6	128.8/7.06	C2,6-H2,6 in <i>p</i> -hydroxyphenyl units <b>H</b>	х	x
<b>B</b> 5	129.7/7.02	C <sub>5</sub> –H <sub>5</sub> in substructure <b>B</b>		x
Pc2,6	130.6/7.53	$C_{2,6}$ - $H_{2,6}$ in <i>p</i> -coumarate <b>Pc</b>	x	
Pc <sub>α</sub>	144.7/7.55	$C_{\alpha}$ - $H_{\alpha}$ in <i>p</i> -coumarate <b>Pc</b>	x	



**Figure S2** Aromatic region in the 2D HMBC NMR spectra of P2400-PA (δc/δH: 125–180/2.4–4.4). Signal assignments are reported in Table S3.

Table S3 Assignments of the lignin <sup>13</sup> C- <sup>1</sup> H correlation peaks in the 2D HMBC spectra of P2400-PA

Label	δс/бн (ppm)	Assignments
B5-3	129.7/2.75	$C_5$ – $H_3$ in substructure <b>B</b>
B4-2	131.0/2.57	$C_4$ – $H_2$ in substructure <b>B</b>
B4-3	131.0/2.75	$C_4$ – $H_3$ in substructure <b>B</b>
B1-2	172.8/2.57	$C_1$ – $H_2$ in substructure <b>B</b>
B1-3	172.8/2.75	$C_1$ – $H_3$ in substructure <b>B</b>
B1-x'	172.8/4.12	$C_1$ – $H_x$ , in substructure <b>B</b>



Figure S3 <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of P2400 (R= -OMe, -O-lignin, lignin)



Figure S4 FTIR spectra of P2400 and P2400-PA

Design of Experiment methodology



Figure S5 Linear predictive model, equation (4)

Evaluation of the predictive model (EL-9): Y= 7.36 + 8.18\*3 + 4.66\*1.5 + 0.06\*30\*3 + 0.91\*3\*1.5 = 48.5 %

Table S4 Effect and p-values of the individual variables and their first order interaction effects for the esterification of P2400 with PA

Model	Factor	t	n	с	Itn	Itc	Inc
Linear	Effect	Ø	10.1019	3.2330	4.9669	Ø	-2.2669
model (4)	р	Ø	0.002	0.048	0.016	Ø	0.108



Figure S6 Surface response associated to a) c/n, b) t/n and c) c/t critical variables

## > Physicochemical properties of the esterified lignin

Solvent	$\delta_{D}$ (MPa <sup>1/2</sup> )	$\delta_{P}(MPa^{1/2})$	δн (MPa <sup>1/2</sup> )
Acetone (ACE)	15.5	10.4	7.0
Acetonitrile (ACN)	15.3	18.0	6.1
Chloroform (CHCl3)	17.8	3.1	5.7
Dichloromethane (DCM)	17.0	7.3	7.1
Diethyl ether (DET)	14.5	2.9	4.6
Dimethyl formamide (DMF)	17.4	13.7	11.3
Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)	18.4	16.4	10.2
Dioxane (DIOX)	17.5	1.8	9.0
Ethanol (EtOH)	15.8	8.8	19.4
Ethyl acetate (ETAC)	15.8	5.3	7.2
Methanol (MeOH)	14.7	12.3	22.3
Methyl ketone (MEK)	16.0	9.0	5.1
Pyridine (PYR)	19.0	8.8	5.9
Tetrahydrofuran (THF)	16.8	5.7	8.0
Toluene (TOL)	18.0	1.4	2.0
Water (H2O)	15.5	16	42.3

Table S5 Hansen partial solubility parameters of the solvent used for the solubility assays



Figure S7 Weight average molecular weight of P2400 and P400-PA (4 mg.ml<sup>-1</sup> in THF)



Figure S8 DSC thermogram of P2400 and P400-PA (10  $^\circ C.min^{\text{-1}}, N_2)$