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Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra recorded in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $\mathrm{pH}=4.5$.

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis. FTIR in attenuated total reflectance configuration (ATR) spectra were recorded performing 16 scans at $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ resolution in the $4000-500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range, using a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 spectrometer equipped with a diamond crystal (penetration depth $=1.66 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ). Before each analysis, all samples were dried under vacuum to constant weight.

| PAAC | FTIR-ATR spectrum |
| :---: | :---: |
| M-L-Ala |  |
| M-L-Val |  |

M-L-Leu

| Signal | Wavenumber <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | Assignment <br> s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 3278 | N-H stretch |
| 2 | $3065-2871$ | C-H stretch |
| 3 | 1639 | C=O stretch |
| 4 | 1532 | N-H bend |
| 5 | 1367 | O-H bend |
| 6 | 1192 | C-N stretch |
| 7 | 1115 | C-O stretch |

Figure S2. FTIR-ATR spectra of the investigated PAACs.

## Determination of pKa values, $\beta$ parameters and speciation curves

$p K a$ determination. The $p K_{a 1}$ (side -COOH$)$ and $p K_{a 2}$ (chain tert-amine) values of the ionizable functions present in the studied PAACs were determined as the pH values at the half-equivalent points, located in the buffer zone related to the specific function. The half-equivalent points were obtained as the half-titrant volume amounts added between consecutive inflections in the pH versus titrant volume curves. The inflection points were in turn determined by numerically calculating the second derivative of the pH versus volume curves (Fig. S3). The titration of the tert-amine group started around pH 5 , that is, after complete deprotonation of the carboxyl group. Deprotonation of the ammonium ion took place between the first and second inflections. Therefore, the half-neutralization point was evaluated as the mid-point between them. In forward titrations, the starting pH was 1.8 , that is, at incomplete COOH deprotonation. Therefore, in order to determine the midpoint of the COOH deprotonation tract, the starting of COOH deprotonation was extrapolated by considering that COOH and tert-amine groups were in equal numbers, hence COOH deprotonation needed the same titrant volume as that used for the ammonium ion deprotonation.
$\beta$ parameter determination. The $\beta$ parameters of the generalized HendersonHasselbalch equation (Eq. S1a) were determined for both $p K_{a 1}$ (side -COOH ) and $p K_{a 2}$ (chain tert-amine) to ascertain the presence of interactions between ionizable groups on adjacent monomeric units. The $\beta$ parameters were determined by firstly selecting the specific buffer region intervals marked by each $p K_{a}$. The dissociation degree, $a$, was then calculated in each zone as the ratio between the reacted moles and the total amount of moles necessary to reach complete neutralization. $\beta$ Values were finally obtained from Eq. S1b (corresponding to Eq. 2 in the main text) as the slope of the pH versus $-\log ((1-$
a)/a) curve (Fig. S4). Points near inflections deviated from ideality and were not considered. Fig. S4 shows the $\beta$-corrected $p K_{a}$ values in the chosen a intervals.
$p K_{a}=p H+\beta \log \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \quad$ (Eq. S1a) $\quad \leftrightarrow \quad p H=p K_{a}-\beta \log \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$

Determination of simulated titration curves. Simulated titration curves were determined following the De Levie approach [1] in order to iteratively refine $p K a$ and $\beta$ values to achieve the best fitting to the experimental data.

- Initial conditions:
$V_{0}=$ initial solution volume
$c_{0}=$ initial PAACs concentration expressed as molarity of the repeat unit
CS $=$ initial concentration of ionic strength stabilizer
$\mathcal{c}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ titrant concentration
$V_{\mathrm{t}}=$ volume of the titrant added
$\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=$ acid concentration used to correct pH
$N=$ moles of strong acid possibly present as residual from the synthetic process or PAACs pretreatments
- Mass balance:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{P A A C S}=C_{L^{+}}+C_{L^{0}}+C_{L^{-}}=\frac{C_{0} V_{0}}{V_{0}+V_{t}} \tag{Eq.S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Equilibrium constants (Eq. S3a-c):

$$
K_{a 1}=\frac{C_{L^{0}} C_{H^{+}}}{C_{L^{+}}} \quad \text { (a); } \quad K_{a 2}=\frac{c_{L^{-}} C_{H^{+}} y^{2}}{C_{L^{0}}} \text { (b); } K_{w}=C_{H^{+}} C_{O H^{-}} y^{2} \text { (c); }
$$

- Concentration fractions (Eq. S4a-c):
$\alpha_{2}=\frac{C_{L^{+}}}{C}=\frac{C_{H^{+}}^{2}}{D}(\mathrm{a}) ;$
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{C_{L^{0}}}{C}=\frac{C_{H^{+}} y^{2} K_{a 1}}{D}$
(b); $\alpha_{0}=\frac{C_{L^{-}}}{C}=\frac{K_{a 1} K_{a 2}}{D}$ (c);
with:
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}^{2}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 1}+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$

The activity coefficients (Davies equation):
$y=10^{-0.5\left[\frac{\sqrt{T}}{1+\sqrt{I}}-0.3 I\right]}$

Ionic strength:
$I=\frac{1}{2}\left(C_{H^{+}}+C_{O H^{-}}+C_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}+C_{C l^{-}}+C_{L^{+}}+C_{L^{-}}\right)($Eq. S7)

- Charge balance:
$\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}+L^{+}=\mathrm{L}^{-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
where (Eq. S9a-e):
$C_{N a^{+}}=\frac{C_{T} V_{T}+C_{S} V_{0}}{V_{0}+V_{T}}$ (a);
$C_{C l^{-}}=\frac{C_{S} V_{0}+C_{A} V_{A}+N}{V_{0}+V_{T}}$
(b); $\quad C_{L^{+}}=\frac{\alpha_{2} C_{0} V_{0}}{V_{0}+V_{T}}(\mathrm{c}) ;$
$C_{L^{-}}=\frac{\alpha_{0} C_{0} V_{0}}{V_{0}+V_{T}}$
(d);
$C_{O H^{-}}=\frac{K_{w}}{C_{H^{+}} y^{2}}$
(e);

Combining all former conditions, the following solving equation, representing the whole forward titration curve, was obtained in terms of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ as a function of pH :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{T}=\frac{V_{0}\left[C_{0}\left(\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{2}\right)+C_{A}-\Delta\right]+N}{\Delta+C_{T}} \tag{Eq.S10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=H^{+}-O H^{-}=H^{+}-K_{w} / H^{+} y^{2} \tag{Eq.S11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best fitting simulated titration curves, obtained from Eq. S10 in the buffer regions relative to both side - COOH and tert-amine groups by using the iteratively refined $p \mathrm{Ka}$ and $\beta$ values reported in Table 1 of the main text, are shown in Fig. S3. Comparison between $p K_{a}$ and $\beta$-corrected $p K_{a}$ values is reported. Calculation were carried out considering $C_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}$and $C_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}$constant throughout the whole titration experiment and equal to 0.1 M . Concentration fractions $a$ and $p K_{a}$ values were refined iteratively to achieve the best fitting to the experimental points.

Determination of speciation diagrams. Speciation diagrams were obtained by plotting the concentration fractions of the differently ionic species as a function of pH (Eq. S12ac):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{2}=\frac{c_{L^{-}}}{C}=\frac{C_{H^{+}}^{2}}{D} & \text { Eq. S12a } \\
\alpha_{1}=\frac{c_{L^{0}}}{C}=\frac{c_{H^{+}} y^{2} K_{a 1}}{D} & \text { Eq. S12b } \\
\alpha_{0}=\frac{c_{L^{-}}}{C}=\frac{K_{a 1} K_{a 2}}{D} & \text { Eq. S12c }
\end{array}
$$

With D and y as previously described, and where the $K_{a 1}$ and $K_{a 2}$ values were corrected for $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$.



Figure S3. Forward and backward titration curves referred to the $1^{\text {st }}$ experiment of Table S1.






Figure S4. Determination of $\beta$ parameters for side -COOH and chain tert-amine of M-L-Ala, M-L-Val and M-L-Leu referred to the $1^{\text {st }}$ experiment of Table S1: calculation of $\beta$ values from Eq. $1 \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})$; trend of the $\beta$-corrected $p K_{a}$ values versus $a$ according to Eq. S1a (d, e, f).




Figure S5. pH Dependence of circular dichroism spectra of a) M-L-Ala, b) M-L-Val and c) M-L-Leu at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


Figure S6. Comparison of the optimized geometry of M-L-Ala at pH 1 obtained in implicit water using the solvent dielectric constant (before simulations in explicit water) using the two simulation strategies described in the main text. In green the conformation obtained at 300 K , superimposed to the final geometry eventually obtained after the MD runs for 500 ps at 500 K , then for 500 ps at 400 K and finally 500 ps at 300 K (color codes: C atoms dark grey; H atoms light gray; N atoms blue; O atoms red) is shown. In the upper part of the figure, the superposition of the whole molecules is shown in CPK representation. The lower part reports the conformations of the main chain with no H atoms that were eventually achieved by the two strategies at 300 K viewed along three orthogonal directions.

Table S1. PAAC $p K_{a}$ values from forward and backward titration data.

|  | M-L-Ala |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Forward | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | 3 rd |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |
|  | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ |
| $p K_{a}$ | 2.07 | 7.90 | 2.15 | 8.27 | 2.13 | 8.15 | 2.13 | 8.24 |
| Backward | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |
|  | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ |
| $p K_{a}$ | 2.37 | 7.77 | 2.36 | 8.22 | 2.35 | 8.18 | 2.37 | 8.22 |
| M-L-Val |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forward | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | 3 rd |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |
|  | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ |
| $p K_{a}$ | 2.12 | 6.78 | 1.98 | 6.74 | 2.11 | 6.77 | 2.10 | 6.82 |
| Backward | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | 3 rd |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |
|  | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ |
| $p K_{a}$ | 2.37 | 6.86 | 2.22 | 6.78 | 2.35 | 6.79 | 2.41 | 6.92 |
| M-L-Leu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forward | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |
|  | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ |
| $p K_{a}$ | 2.14 | 7.23 | 2.13 | 7.45 | 2.09 | 7.24 | 2.10 | 7.55 |
| Backward | $1{ }^{\text {st }}$ |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ |  | 3 rd |  | $4^{\text {th }}$ |  |
|  | $-\mathrm{COOH}$ | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ | - COOH | $-\mathrm{NR}_{3}$ |
| $p K_{a}$ | 2.37 | 7.16 | 2.42 | 7.28 | 7.28 | 2.40 | 7.23 | 2.68 |

## Simulation method

The simulation method and the adopted strategy exactly matched those described in ref. [20] of the main text and are briefly summarized here. The InsightII/Discover 2000 [2] package of programs was used adopting the consistent valence force field CVFF [3]. The molecules, comprising 10 repeat units, were prepared using the available templates assuming the appropriate charges at different pH values in order to have a positive $\left(\mathrm{L}^{+}\right)$, a null $\left(\mathrm{L}^{0}\right)$ and a negative $\left(\mathrm{L}^{-}\right)$charge per repeat unit.

Starting from a fully extended chain, after an initial geometry optimization, the molecules were subjected to MD runs of 5 ns in an effective medium with a distancedependent dielectric constant, and then of 0.5 ns in explicit water, adopting a cubic cell with a local density of $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, with final geometry optimizations. Equilibration of the system was monitored through the time change of the total and potential energy together with its components (such as the Coulomb and the van der Waals and the torsional components), and of the end-to-end distance. The dynamic equations were integrated using the Verlet algorithm with a time step of 1 fs at a temperature of 300 K , controlled through the Berendsen thermostat, and the instantaneous coordinates were periodically saved for further analysis. All the energy minimizations where carried out with the conjugate gradient method up to an energy gradient lower than $4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$.

## References

[1] De Levie, R. How to Use ExcelW in Analytical Chemistry and in General Scientific Data Analysis; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 2001.
[2] Accelrys Inc. InsightII 2000 and Materials Studio: San Diego, CA. See also the URL http://www.accelrys.com.
[3] Dauber-Osguthorpe, P.; Roberts, V.A.; Osguthorpe, D.J.; Wolff, J.; Genest, M.; Hagler, A.T. Structure and energetics of ligand binding to proteins: Escherichia coli dihydrofolate reductase-trimethoprim, a drug-receptor system. Proteins 1988, 4, 31-47.

