



Tuning Selectivity of Maleic Anhydride Hydrogenation Reaction over Ni/Sc-Doped ZrO₂ Catalysts

Lili Zhao ¹^(b), Yin Zhang ¹, Tianjie Wu ¹, Min Zhao ², Yongzhao Wang ¹, Jianghong Zhao ¹, Tiancun Xiao ^{3,*} and Yongxiang Zhao ^{1,*}

- Engineering Research Center of Ministry of Education for Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China; lzhao@sxu.edu.cn (L.Z.); sxuzhy@sxu.edu.cn (Y.Z.); 201722907009@email.sxu.edu.cn (T.W.); catalyst@sxu.edu.cn (Y.W.); zhaojianghong@sxu.edu.cn (J.Z.)
- 2 Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan 030001, China; zhaomin@sxicc.ac.cn
- 3 Inorganic Chemistry Laboratory, Oxford University, Oxford OX1 3QR, UK
- Correspondence: xiao.tiancun@chem.ox.ac.uk (T.X.); yxzhao@sxu.edu.cn (Y.Z.)

Received: 17 March 2019; Accepted: 16 April 2019; Published: 18 April 2019



Abstract: A series of Sc-doped ZrO₂ supports, with Sc₂O₃ content in the range of 0 to 7.5% (mol/mol), were prepared using the hydrothermal method. Ni/Sc-doped ZrO₂ catalysts with nickel loading of 10% (*w*/*w*) were prepared using impregnation method, and characterized with the use of XRD, Raman, H₂ temperature-programmed reduction (H₂-TPR), H₂ temperature-programmed desorption (H₂-TPD), XPS, and in situ FT-IR techniques. The catalytic performances of Ni/Sc-doped ZrO₂ catalysts in maleic anhydride hydrogenation were tested. The results showed that the introduction of Sc³⁺ into ZrO₂ support could effectively manipulate the distribution of maleic anhydride hydrogenation products. γ-butyrolactone was the major hydrogenation product over Sc-free Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst with selectivity as high as 65.8% at 210 °C and 5 MPa of H₂ pressure. The Ni/Sc-doped ZrO₂ catalyst, with 7.5 mol% of Sc_2O_3 content, selectively catalyzed maleic anhydride hydrogenation to succinic anhydride, the selectivity towards succinic anhydride was up to 97.6% under the same reaction condition. The results of the catalysts' structure-activity relationships revealed that there was an interdependence between the surface structure of ZrO₂-based support and the C=O hydrogenation performance of the ZrO₂-based supported nickel catalysts. By controlling the Sc₂O₃ content, the surface structure of ZrO₂-based support could be regulated effectively. The different surface structure of ZrO₂-based supports, resulted in the different degree of interaction between the nickel species and ZrO₂-based supports; furthermore, the different interaction led to the different surface oxygen vacancies electron properties of ZrO₂-based supported nickel catalysts and the C=O hydrogenation activity of the catalyst. This result provides new insight into the effect of ZrO₂ support on the selective hydrogenation activity of ZrO2-supported metal catalysts and contributes to the design of selective hydrogenation catalysts for other unsaturated carbonyl compounds.

Keywords: Sc-doped ZrO₂; selective hydrogenation; maleic anhydride; surface structure; oxygen vacancies

1. Introduction

Maleic anhydride (MA)—a typical α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compound—can be hydrogenated to produce succinic anhydride (SA), γ-butyrolactone (GBL), 1,4-Butanediol (BDO), and tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Figure 1). Among them, SA functions as an important raw material in the production of PBS (biodegradable plastic polybutylene succinate), and GBL is considered an environmentally friendly medium [1].





Figure 1. Reaction scheme of hydrogenation of maleic anhydride (MA).

MA is a five-membered ring molecule structure, with C=C, C=O, and C-O-C functional groups. The coupled molecular structure leads to a delocalization of the electron density in the C=C and C=O bonds, which makes it hard for the selective hydrogenation of the C=C bond to obtain SA or for the selective hydrogenation of C=C and C=O bonds to obtain GBL [2]. For these reasons, the tailoring of high activity and selectivity catalysts to obtain SA or GBL, as well as an exploration of the relationship between the structure of the catalysts and their hydrogenation performances, are scientifically significant and of practical value [3,4].

The metal catalysts Pd, Ru, Cu, and Ni are widely accepted as being active in the hydrogenation of MA [3,5–8]. They are usually supported on metal oxides (for example, Al₂O₃, SiO₂, diatomite, SiO₂-Al₂O₃, and zeolite) [9–12]. Yu et al. reported that adding CeO₂ to a Cu-Al₂O₃ catalyst supported the hydrogenation of MA to GBL [13]. Hu et al. also found a similar phenomenon by adding TiO_2 to a Cu-Zn catalyst [14]. Our research group previously investigated the maleic anhydride hydrogenation performances of Ni/TiO₂ (TiO₂ supports with different crystal structure, rutile and anatase) and Ni/CeO₂ catalysts [15,16], the result showed that the oxygen vacancies on surface of Ni/TiO₂ (anatase) and Ni/CeO₂ catalysts might be contributed to the higher C=O hydrogenation activity. Further, in our recent study [17], two ZrO₂ supports, with each having monoclinic and tetragonal mixed crystalline phases but differing in tetragonal content, were prepared. In MA hydrogenation reaction, the two ZrO₂-supported nickel catalysts exhibited completely different C=O hydrogenation activities. Through the analysis of the catalysts' structure-activity relationship, we concluded that the C=O hydrogenation activity of Ni/ZrO2 catalysts is closely related to the electronic properties of oxygen vacancies on the surface of Ni/ZrO2 catalysts. Relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies could activate the C=O group and synergized with active metal Ni⁰ to complete C=O hydrogenation, while relatively electron-rich oxygen vacancies could not activate the C=O group effectively and played no part in the C=O hydrogenation.

As concerns the effect of the ZrO₂ supports on their supported metal catalysts' hydrogenation performance, attention has been always paid to the relationship between the crystal structure of the ZrO₂ support (the bulk structure examined by XRD) and its supported metal catalysts' hydrogenation performance. However, the reported results showed that there was no clear correlation between the two, even some of the results were contradictory [18–20]. As for ZrO₂, the phase transformation starts from its surface region and then gradually develops into its bulk [21]. This means the surface structure may be different from its bulk structure for a specific ZrO₂ sample. We speculate that the different catalytic performances of ZrO₂-supported metal catalysts may originate from the differences in the ZrO₂ supports' surface structure.

In our aforementioned earlier article [17], the Raman, H₂-TPR, and XPS characterization results hinted that there was a relationship between the electronic properties of surface oxygen vacancies on the Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts and the surface structure of the ZrO₂ supports. However, due to a comparison of only two ZrO₂-supported nickel catalysts in the previous article, it was difficult for us to reach an unambiguous conclusion concerning the relationship between the surface structure of the ZrO₂ support and the electronic properties of surface oxygen vacancies on the Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts, as well as

the selective hydrogenation performance of ZrO_2 -supported nickel catalyst. Therefore, it was necessary and scientifically significant to design and prepare a series of ZrO_2 supports with different surface structures, and to explore the ZrO_2 -supported nickel catalysts' selective hydrogenation performance. Through the study of the catalysts' structure–activity relationship, it could be able to draw a clear conclusion about this.

The surface structure of ZrO_2 nanoparticles and their oxygen vacancies concentration can be regulated by doping cations, such as Sc^{3+} , Y^{3+} , Ca^{2+} , and Mg^{2+} [22,23]. However, the body of research lacks information about the use of doped ZrO_2 nanoparticles as supports in the preparation of metal–supported catalysts. Nor has there been adequate investigation into the effect of the surface structure of ZrO_2 -based supports on ZrO_2 -supported metal catalysts' selective hydrogenation performance.

This study aimed to fill these documented gaps in the literature, and to gain a more detailed insight into the effect of the surface structure of the ZrO₂-based supports on the selective hydrogenation performances in MA hydrogenation of the ZrO₂-based supported nickel catalysts. Sc³⁺ was doped into ZrO₂ support to modify the surface structure of the ZrO₂-based support, and the performances of the Ni/Sc-doped ZrO₂ catalysts for MA hydrogenation were tested. XRD, Raman, H₂-TPR, H₂-TPD, XPS, and in situ FT-IR measurements were used to clarify the structure–activity relationship between the surface structure of the ZrO₂-based support and their selective hydrogenation performances of ZrO₂-supported nickel catalysts.

2. Results

The prepared Sc-doped ZrO₂ support with different Sc₂O₃ contents denoted as xScZr, where x was the Sc₂O₃/(Sc₂O₃ + ZrO₂) molar ratio. In this work, x = 0, 1.5, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5% (mol/mol), and the corresponding xScZr supports were noted as ZrO₂, 1.5ScZr, 2.5ScZr, 5.0ScZr, and 7.5ScZr, respectively.

2.1. Catalytic Performance of Ni/xScZr Catalysts in Maleic Anhydride Hydrogenation

Figure 2 shows the MA conversion (A) as well as the selectivity of SA and GBL ((B) and (C)) over Ni/xScZr catalysts with different Sc_2O_3 contents at 210 °C and 5 MPa of H₂ pressure. From Figure 2A it can be seen that Ni/xScZr catalysts, with different Sc_2O_3 contents, exhibited high catalytic activity in MA hydrogenation during the initial stage of the reaction. At a reaction time of 20 min, the MA conversion over all the Ni/xScZr catalysts was concentrated in the range of 87 to 90%. At this time, SA was the main hydrogenation product, and the selectivity towards SA was higher than 94% for all the Ni/xScZr catalysts. This result suggests that the C=C bond in the MA molecule were firstly hydrogenated and SA product was obtained. Moreover, all the Ni/xScZr catalysts showed high C=C hydrogenation activity with no significant difference.

As the reaction time prolonged, the selectivity towards SA and GBL showed different change trends over the Ni/xScZr catalysts with the different Sc_2O_3 contents. For the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst, SA selectivity decreased gradually and, correspondingly, GBL selectivity increased. At a reaction time of 180 minutes, the yield of GBL reached 65.8%. However, for the Sc-doped ZrO₂-supported nickel catalyst, the increasing rate of GBL selectivity gradually slowed down as the Sc_2O_3 content increased. When the Sc_2O_3 content increased to 7.5 mol%, a yield of only 2.4% GBL was obtained over the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst. GBL is produced through the further hydrogenation of the C=O group in the SA molecule. The above evaluation results indicated that the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst possessed the highest C=O hydrogenation activity and, as the Sc_2O_3 content increased, the C=O hydrogenation activities of Ni/xScZr catalysts decreased gradually. When the Sc_2O_3 content increased to 7.5 mol%, the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst had the poorest performance with almost no C=O hydrogenation activity.

When the reaction temperature was enhanced from 210 °C to 240 °C, the selectivity towards GBL over Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst increased from 65.8% to 72.6% (Figure 2D), also the GBL selectivity increased from 65.8% to 67.0% when the H₂ pressure increased from 5 MPa to 7 MPa. Different from the change trend of the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst, there was almost no change in the GBL selectivity for Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst

whether increasing the reaction temperature or increasing the H₂ pressure. The Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst retained its extremely low C=O hydrogenation activity, giving high yield to SA product (~97.6%).



Figure 2. (**A**) Maleic anhydride (MA) conversion, (**B**) succinic anhydride (SA) selectivity, and (**C**) γ -butyrolactone (GBL) selectivity over Ni/xScZr catalysts as a function of reaction time at 210 °C, under 5 MPa of H₂ pressure. (**D**) MA conversion, selectivity of SA and GBL over Ni/ZrO₂ and Ni/7.5ScZr catalysts at a function of reaction temperature and H₂ pressure for 3 h duration.

For better understanding the regular variability in the C=O hydrogenation activity of Ni/xScZr catalysts derived from Sc^{3+} doping into ZrO₂, a series of structural characterizations of the catalysts were conducted.

2.2. X-Ray Powder Diffraction (XRD) Patterns

Figure 3 presents the XRD patterns of the xScZr supports and their corresponding supported nickel catalysts. It can be seen from Figure 3A that the undoped ZrO₂ is monoclinic and single-phase. For xScZr support with 1.5 mol% Sc_2O_3 content, a new peak assigned to the tetragonal ZrO_2 (111) appeared, indicating that partially monoclinic phase transformed into tetragonal phase in 1.5ScZr support. When the Sc₂O₃ content increased to 2.5 mol%, peaks appearing in 2.5ScZr support completely converted to tetragonal phase. As the Sc_2O_3 content further increased to 5.0 and 7.5 mol%, the tetragonal phase diffraction peaks became widened. The widened diffraction peak can be indexed by a metastable tetragonal phase (t'') or fluorite cubic phase (c) [24]. As reported, the monoclinic phase is the stable phase for pure ZrO_2 at low temperatures, and the strong covalent nature of the Zr-O bond within the ZrO₂ lattice favors seven-fold coordination. The stabilization of tetragonal phase in the nanocrystalline ZrO₂ powder at ambient temperature appears to be due to the crystallite size effect as proposed by Garvie [25], the tetragonal phase can be stabilized at room temperature below a critical size (30 nm), which is due to the generation of excess oxygen vacancies. As for the doped zirconia, the metastability of the tetragonal or cubic phase in the nanocrystalline ZrO₂-Sc₂O₃ powders can be observed at room temperature is due to the creation of oxygen vacancies [26–28]. Thus, though it is difficult to distinguish the tetragonal phase from the cubic phase in the nano-ZrO₂ system by XRD due to the crystallite size effect [24], it can be inferred that as the Sc_2O_3 content increases, the number of oxygen vacancies in the xScZr supports continued to increase, leading to the evolution of xScZr supports crystal phase structure.



Figure 3. XRD patterns of (**A**) xScZr supports, (**B**) NiO/xScZr samples, and (**C**) Ni/xScZr catalysts. ★: monoclinic ZrO₂; ▼: tetragonal ZrO₂; ●: NiO; ♦: Ni.

Figure 3B presents the XRD patterns of the NiO/xScZr catalysts. Compared with the xScZr supports, the ZrO₂ crystalline phase in NiO/xScZr remained unchanged, and the characteristic diffraction peaks assigned to NiO appeared. In Figure 3C, a diffraction peak at 44.5°, which is assigned to the (111) reflection of Ni, appeared in the Ni/xScZr catalysts'XRD patterns, meanwhile the NiO diffraction peaks disappeared. For the Ni/1.5ScZr catalyst, the monoclinic phase diffraction peaks disappeared compared to the NiO/1.5ScZr's XRD pattern, which implies that the ZrO₂-based support structure could be changed because of the interaction between the nickel species and the ZrO₂-based support.

Table 1 lists the calculated mean crystalline size of NiO in the NiO/xScZr samples and Ni in the Ni/xScZr catalysts. The NiO crystalline size in NiO/xScZr samples concentrated at ~16 nm, and the Ni crystalline size in the Ni/xScZr catalysts concentrated at ~21 nm. However, the Ni crystalline size in Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts cannot be accurately calculated because of the partial overlap between the monoclinic ZrO_2 (211) diffraction peak and the Ni (111) diffraction peak. Combining the results that the NiO crystalline size in NiO/ZrO₂ was close to that in the Sc-doped NiO/xScZr samples, and the diffraction peak at 44.5° of the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst showed no apparent difference with that of the Sc-doped Ni/xScZr catalyst (based on the (211) diffraction peak of monoclinic ZrO_2 , which was very weak), then the Ni crystalline size in the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst can be estimated to be close in size to the Sc-doped Ni/xScZr catalysts. Table 1 also lists the specific surface area of the xScZr supports and Ni/xScZr catalysts. The xScZr supports, with different Sc₂O₃ contents, had a similar specific surface area, and their supported nickel catalysts' specific surface areas were also close.

Catalysts	$S_{BET} [a] [m^2 g^{-1}]$	$S_{BET} = [b] [m^2 g^{-1}]$	Crystalline Size of NiO ^[c] [nm]	Crystalline Ssize of Ni ^[d] [nm]
Ni/ZrO ₂	88	51	16.7	-
Ni/1.5ScZr	81	48	16.0	21.3
Ni/2.5ScZr	84	43	16.6	21.9
Ni/5.0ScZr	86	45	16.4	20.8
Ni/7.5ScZr	89	47	16.3	21.6

Table 1. The specific surface area of the xScZr supports and Ni/xScZr catalysts, as well as the calculated NiO and Ni crystalline sizes.

^[a] The specific surface area of the xScZr supports. ^[b] The specific surface area of the Ni/xScZr catalysts. ^[c] Calculated by using Scherrer equation based on the (012) plane of NiO. ^[d] Calculated by using Scherrer equation based on the (111) plane of Ni.

2.3. H₂ Temperature-Programmed Reduction (H₂-TPR)

 H_2 temperature-programmed reduction was carried out to characterize the reducibility of the xScZr and NiO/xScZr samples (Figure 4). Figure 4A showed that pure ZrO₂ and Sc₂O₃ exhibited no reduction signal within the test temperature range. The 5ScZr support displayed a broad reduction peak, with low intensity at 540 °C. However this reduction peak became more intense in the H_2 -TPR profile of the 7.5ScZr support, which suggested that the addition of the Sc³⁺ into the ZrO₂ enhanced the reducibility of the xScZr supports. Previous research has suggested that it is easier for the reduction

of ZrO_2 which has more surficial low-coordinated oxygen ions and oxygen vacancies [29]. In line with this view, we can deduce that the introducing Sc^{3+} into ZrO_2 support promoted the formation of oxygen vacancies as well as low-coordinated oxygen ions. As the Sc_2O_3 content increased, the concentration of surface oxygen vacancies and low coordination oxygen ions increased gradually, also the xScZr supports became more easily reduced.



Figure 4. The H₂-TPR profiles of (A) xScZr and (B) NiO/xScZr samples.

Figure 4B shows the H₂-TPR profiles of the NiO/xScZr samples. All of the NiO/ xScZr samples ($0 \le x \le 7.5$) had two reduction peaks in the 300 to 400 °C region, indicating that there were two forms of nickel species in the NiO/xScZr samples. The NiO/ZrO₂ sample exhibited a strong reduction peak which was centered at 300 °C, and there was a minor shoulder peak. The reduction peak at 300 °C was assigned to the reduction of the nickel species that were similar to the bulk NiO, while the shoulder peak could be explained by the reduction of the nickel species, which had a weak interaction with the ZrO₂ support [30]. As the Sc₂O₃ content increased, the initial peak temperature and maximum peak temperature of the reduction peak shifted to higher temperature, and the peak's area in the lower temperature region decreased, while the peak's area in higher temperature region increased gradually. When the Sc₂O₃ content increased to 7.5 mol%, the NiO/7.5ScZr sample showed two continuous reduction peaks, which were centered at 343 and 385 °C, respectively. The peak at 385 °C could be attributed to the reduction of the nickel species which had a relatively strong interaction with the 7.5ScZr support. In addition, both the NiO/5.0ScZr and NiO/7.5ScZr samples exhibited weak reduction peaks at 540 °C, which was consistent with their corresponding supports' reduction peaks.

According to the analysis of the above results, it can be summarized that the interaction between the nickel species and the xScZr supports was highly dependent on the Sc_2O_3 content. As the Sc_2O_3 content increased, the interaction between the nickel species and the xScZr supports enhanced gradually.

2.4. Raman Spectra

Compared with XRD characterization, Raman is more sensitive to the oxygen displacement and intermediate range order structure, thus Raman is used to further investigate the microstructure changes of the samples [24]. As Figure 5A showed, the Raman spectra of the pure ZrO_2 support had all the characteristics of a monoclinic ZrO_2 [31]. However, for the 1.5ScZr support, the peaks that were assigned to the monoclinic ZrO_2 disappeared and three additional, broadened peaks appeared, located at 515, 600, and 700 cm⁻¹, respectively. Among them, the peak centered at 515 cm⁻¹ was assigned to monoclinic ZrO_2 and the peak located at 600 cm⁻¹ was ascribed to tetragonal ZrO_2 , while the peak at 700 cm⁻¹ was induced by its surface oxygen vacancies [32], suggesting that the introduction of Sc^{3+} promoted the oxygen vacancies generated. When the Sc_2O_3 content increased to 2.5 mol%, additional new peaks appeared, centered at 105 and 267 cm⁻¹, which were also attributed to the peaks induced by oxygen vacancies [32]. The Raman peaks induced by oxygen vacancies can also be observed in the 5.0ScZr and 7.5ScZr supports, also with the Sc_2O_3 content increasing, these peaks intensity showed an increasing trend, indicating that the concentration of surface oxygen vacancies continually increased

with the Sc_2O_3 content increasing, which is consistent with the inference from XRD. In addition, from the Raman spectra of the 5.0ScZr and 7.5ScZr supports, it can be judged that the crystal phase structure of the 5.0ScZr and 7.5ScZr supports were metastable tetragonal phase structure [24].



Figure 5. Raman spectra of (**A**) xScZr supports and (**B**) Ni/xScZr catalyst. For Figure (A) and (B), the x is (1) 0, (2) 1.5, (3) 2.5, (4) 5.0, and (5) 7.5. \bigstar monoclinic ZrO₂; \checkmark tetragonal ZrO₂.

Figure 5B presents the Raman spectra of the Ni/xScZr catalysts. Compared to the pure ZrO_2 support's Raman spectra, some of the peaks assigned to monoclinic ZrO₂ disappeared and three new peaks appeared in Ni/ZrO₂ Raman spectra, located at 144, 248, and 445 cm⁻¹, respectively. As previously reported [31], the bulk tetragonal ZrO₂ Raman peaks were centered at 147, 267, and 459 cm⁻¹. The three new peaks in the Ni/ZrO₂ spectra exhibited a shift to lower frequencies compared with those of bulk tetragonal ZrO₂, which could be ascribed to a decrease in the symmetry of the tetragonal phase structure induced by more oxygen vacancies [33,34]. This suggests that there is an increase in the number of surface oxygen vacancies after ZrO₂ loading nickel. However, for the Sc-doped ZrO₂-supported nickel catalysts, the Raman spectra showed a significantly different change. For the Ni/1.5ScZr catalyst, only a weak peak appeared, located at 236 cm⁻¹. Although this peak was also attributed to a peak induced by oxygen vacancies, the stronger peak at 700 $\rm cm^{-1}$ disappeared, indicating that the concentration of surface oxygen vacancies would potentially show a decrease trend after the 1.5ScZr loading nickel. As the Sc₂O₃ content further increased, no Raman peak was observed in the Ni/xScZr spectra (x = 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5). This strongly suggests that all of the Sc-doped ZrO₂-supported nickel catalysts were exhibiting a significant decrease in the concentration of surface oxygen vacancies after being loaded with nickel. Also with Sc_2O_3 content increasing, the surface oxygen vacancy concentration on Ni/xScZr catalysts decreased gradually.

For pure monoclonal ZrO_2 support, after its loading nickel, more new oxygen vacancies generated on surface of its supported nickel catalyst, while for Sc-doped ZrO_2 -based supports, a significant decrease in oxygen vacancy concentration occurred after their loading nickel. This indicated that the nickel species had different interactions with the pure ZrO_2 support and the Sc-doped ZrO_2 -based supports. Puigdollers et al. stated that the ZrO_2 support with more low-coordinated oxygen ions and oxygen vacancies has a stronger interaction with deposited metal species [29]. The density functional theory calculation results of Tosoni et al. showed that [35] Ni adsorption was stronger on the ZrO_2 surface, which was rich of oxygen vacancies (-4.28 eV), than on the stoichiometric surface (-2.31 eV). Moreover, when the oxygen vacancies were distributed on the surface of ZrO_2 , the most stable arrangement is with the Ni atom adsorbed directly on top of the oxygen vacancy. Based on the above theoretical calculation results, combining our H₂-TPR and Raman results, it can be concluded that the introduction of Sc³⁺ into ZrO₂ support would promote the low-coordinated oxygen ions and oxygen vacancies generated on the surface of the ZrO₂-based support. As the Sc₂O₃ content increased, the concentration of low-coordinated oxygen ions and oxygen vacancies increased, and the interaction between nickel species and ZrO₂-based support enhanced gradually. The stronger interaction further resulted in the decrease of the oxygen vacancies concentration on surface of ZrO₂-based supported nickel catalysts, which probably because a small amount of nickel species entered into oxygen vacancies. This result is consistent with our previous study [17], in that the strong interaction between nickel species and ZrO₂ support would lead to a small amount of nickel species entering into the tetragonal ZrO₂ lattice, and in HRTEM it had been clearly seen that the lattice spacing of the tetragonal ZrO₂ increased from 0.29 nm to 0.30 nm, thereby leading to a significant decrease of the surface oxygen vacancies concentration.

Based on the above results, it can be concluded that the introduction of Sc^{3+} into ZrO_2 changes the surface structure of ZrO_2 -based supports. The different surface structure of ZrO_2 -based support, led to the different degree of interaction between nickel species and the ZrO_2 -based support. Also, the different degree of interaction further changes the surface oxygen vacancy concentration of ZrO_2 -based supported nickel catalysts.

2.5. XPS Characterization

XPS was carried out to further probe the properties of the oxygen species. Figure 6 showed the O 1s XPS spectra of ZrO_2 and 7.5ScZr supports and their corresponding supported nickel catalysts. An analysis of the O1s spectra of the samples was conducted, and the spectra was fitted into four peaks [29,36]. They were lattice oxygen (O') on ZrO_2 (including the oxygen vacancies with two electrons), singly-charged oxygen vacancies (O") on ZrO_2 (with one electron remaining in the oxygen vacancy), doubly-charged oxygen vacancies (O") on ZrO_2 (without any electron in the oxygen vacancy), and the hydroxyl or/and carbonates groups (O"") on ZrO_2 , respectively. The details about the binding energy and the surface atomic concentration which was calculated on the basis of different oxygen species peak areas are summarized in Table 2.



Figure 6. O 1s XPS spectra of xScZr supports and Ni/xScZr catalysts. (A) ZrO₂, (B) 7.5ScZr, (C) Ni/ZrO₂, and (D) Ni/7.5ScZr.

For the pure ZrO_2 support, the singly-charged oxygen vacancies and doubly-charged oxygen vacancies centered at 530.8 (BE₂) and 531.7 eV (BE₃), respectively. The total oxygen vacancies concentration was 24.2%. When introducing Sc³⁺ into ZrO₂, the total oxygen vacancies concentration of 7.5ScZr support increased to 32.6%, illustrating that the introduction of Sc³⁺ promoted the additional

oxygen vacancies generated, which was consistent with the XRD, H_2 -TPR and Raman results. It is worth noting that the binding energy of two forms of oxygen vacancies varied at the same time. For 7.5ScZr support, the singly-charged oxygen vacancies and doubly-charged oxygen vacancies centered at 531.3 (BE₂) and 532.2 eV (BE₃). Compared with those of ZrO₂ support, the binding energy of oxygen vacancies on 7.5ScZr support both shifted to higher binding energy, indicating that average charge density of oxygen vacancies decreased and exhibited relatively electron-deficient properties. This is probably because the promoted oxygen vacancies possessed lower charge density, thus the average charge density detected and analyzed by XPS showed a decreased trend. The theoretical calculation results of Cadi-Essadek et al. showed that [37] nickel will preferentially adsorb on the electron-deficient ZrO₂ surface and had strong interact with it. Combined with the calculation results of Puigdollers [29] and Tosoni et al. [35] mentioned above and the H₂-TPR and Raman results in this study, it can be well understood why nickel species have different degrees of interaction with ZrO₂-based supports which were doped with different scandium amounts. As the Sc_2O_3 content increased, the concentration of relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and low-coordinated oxygen ions increased. The nickel species are more preferentially adsorb on surface relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and low-coordinated oxygen ions and interact with them strongly. Therefore, the interaction between nickel species and ZrO₂-based supports enhanced gradually with the Sc₂O₃ content increasing.

Table 2. The binding energy of O 1s lines and the corresponding surface atomic concentration on xScZr supports and Ni/xScZr catalysts.

Samples –	Binding Energy (eV)			I (%)					
	BE ₁	BE ₂	BE ₃	BE ₄	I ₁ (%)	I ₂ (%)	I ₃ (%)	I4 (%)	$I_2 + I_3$ (%)
ZrO ₂	529.8	530.8	531.7	532.9	72.7	14.0	10.2	3.1	24.2
Ni/ZrO ₂	529.8	531.1	532.0	532.9	71.8	15.2	10.8	2.2	26.8
7.5ScZr	529.8	531.3	532.2	532.9	65.4	21.9	10.7	2.0	32.6
Ni/7.5ScZr	529.7	530.9	531.8	532.9	67.3	16.2	13.0	3.5	29.2

From the further investigation of the surface oxygen vacancy properties of ZrO_2 - and 7.5ScZr-supported nickel catalysts, it can be found that the oxygen vacancy concentration and their electronic properties showed the different changes after ZrO_2 and 7.5ScZr supports loading nickel. For pure ZrO_2 support, the total oxygen vacancies concentration increased from 24.2% to 26.8% after its loading with nickel. This suggest that the interaction between nickel species and ZrO_2 support promoted the additional oxygen vacancies generated, which is consistent with Raman result. Furthermore, the average charge density of oxygen vacancies on Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst decreased (the singly-charged oxygen vacancies and doubly-charged oxygen vacancies shifted from 530.8, 531.7 eV to 531.1, 532.0 eV). This is similar with the decrease of average charge density of ZrO_2 support with introducing Sc^{3+} , and suggest that the oxygen vacancies promoted by the interaction between nickel species and ZrO_2 support prosessed lower charge density and exhibited relatively electron-deficient properties.

On the contrary, after nickel loaded on the 7.5ScZr support, the total oxygen vacancies concentration decreased significantly, from 32.6% to 29.2%. Moreover, the singly-charged oxygen vacancies and doubly-charged oxygen vacancies shifted from 531.3, 532.2 eV to 530.9, 531.8 eV, the average charge density of oxygen vacancies increased. This is consistent with our previous study [17], the strong interaction between nickel species and ZrO₂-based support would lead to a small amount of nickel species incorporation into the tetragonal ZrO₂ lattice or oxygen vacancies and form the Ni–O–Zr structure. Thus a decrease of the oxygen vacancies concentration was observed. Also in the Ni–O–Zr structure, the Ni was electron-donating, the oxygen vacancies bore extra charges for the charge balance [37], therefore the average charge density of oxygen vacancies on Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst increased and the oxygen vacancies exhibited relatively electron-rich properties.



Figure 7. Ni 2p XPS spectra of Ni/xScZr catalysts. (A) Ni/ZrO₂ and (B) Ni/7.5ScZr.

Table 3. The binding energy of Ni 2p lines and the corresponding surface concentration of Ni/xScZr catalysts.

Samples	Ni ⁰ (Ni 2P _{3/2})	NiO (Ni 2P _{3/2})	Ni ²⁺ (Ni 2P _{3/2})	C (Ni ⁰) (%)
Ni/ZrO ₂	852.2	853.7	855.0	61.3
N1/7.5ScZr	852.2	853.9	855.3	61.0

Except for Ni⁰, there were two other nickel species that existed in Ni/ZrO₂ and Ni/7.5ScZr catalysts. However, their corresponding binding energy on two catalysts were different. For the Ni/ZrO2 catalyst, the peak at 853.7 eV was ascribed to the Ni²⁺ in the form of NiO, while the peak at 855.0 eV was assigned to the Ni²⁺ in the form of oxide or hydroxide phases [38]. For the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst, the binding energy of two nickel species was higher, centered at 853.9 and 855.3 eV, respectively. Cadi-Essadek et al. [37] systematically studied the electron structure of Ni atom over the heteroatom doped ZrO_2 surface using spin polarized density functional theory (DFT) and identified charge-transfer between Ni and the heteroatom-doped ZrO_2 surface by using Bader analysis, the density of states (DOS), and the electron-density difference calculation, the result showed that after the Ni adsorption on the surface, the oxidation of metallic Ni occurred and the Ni transfer electron to the surface. For the surface with the promoted oxygen vacancies, the electronic cloud is less located, and the properties of metal Ni for use as an electron donor were enhanced. This calculation result is consistent with our experimental result, and further confirmed the stronger interaction between nickel species and 7.5ScZr support would change the electronic structure at the interface where nickel and ZrO₂-based close contact. Furthermore, the higher binding energy of the two nickel species in the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst is consistent with the lower binding energy of their oxygen vacancies.

2.6. H_2 Temperature-Programmed Desorption (H_2 -TPD)

H₂ temperature-programmed desorption (H₂-TPD) was conducted to examine the ability of the catalysts in activating hydrogen. As shown in Figure 8, all of the Ni/xScZr catalysts exhibited one hydrogen desorption peak in the 50 to 250 °C temperature region, which could be assigned to the desorption of active hydrogen from Ni surface [38]. As previously reported [30], the different hydrogen desorption temperature indicated the hydrogen had different interaction with Ni or nickel species. This also implied that the different hydrogen desorption temperature originated from the different surface properties of Ni or nickel species. In this study, the hydrogen desorption peak for all of the

Ni/xScZr catalysts appeared in the same temperature region, suggesting that the Ni surface properties for all of the Ni/xScZr catalysts were similar. The amounts of desorbed hydrogen calculated from the area of the peaks were also similar, showing that the number of activating hydrogen sites was similar for all of the Ni/xScZr catalysts. This is in line with the assumption that similar Ni crystalline sizes should possess similar accessible catalytic active sites.



Figure 8. H₂ temperature-programmed desorption (H₂-TPD) profiles of Ni/xScZr catalysts.

2.7. In Situ FT-IR Spectra

In situ FT-IR using cyclohexanone as probe molecule was carried out to investigate the activating C=O ability of the Ni/ZrO₂ and Ni/7.5ScZr catalysts. As shown in Figure 9, the peak centered at 1735 cm⁻¹ was ascribed to the C=O stretching vibration of pure cyclohexanone. In comparison with the peak of pure cyclohexanone, a red-shift of the C=O stretching vibration peaks could be found over the Ni/ZrO₂ and Ni/7.5ScZr catalysts. The C=O stretching vibration for the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst centered at 1660 cm⁻¹, while that was located at 1720 cm⁻¹ for the Ni/7.5SrZr catalyst. The much larger shift of the C=O stretching vibration peak for the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst centered at 1660 cm⁻¹, while that was located at 1720 cm⁻¹ for the Ni/7.5SrZr catalyst. The much larger shift of the C=O stretching vibration peak for the Ni/ZrO₂ (75 cm⁻¹), indicated that the C=O bonds had been weakened and were activated on the surface of the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst [39]. The C=O stretching vibration peak on Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst showed only a slight shift (15 cm⁻¹) compared with the intrinsic C=O stretching vibration peak of pure cyclohexanone, although the peak area of the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst was slightly higher than that of the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst. This suggests that the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst possessed slightly more adsorbed C=O sites than the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst, whereas its activation ability towards C=O groups was much weaker than that of the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst.



Figure 9. In situ FT-IR of (1) cyclohexanone, (2) cyclohexanone adsorbed on Ni/ZrO₂, and (3) cyclohexanone adsorbed on Ni/7.5ScZr.

3. Discussion

Generally speaking, supported catalyst's catalytic performance is associated with the active metal site and its support, as well as the metal-support interaction. In terms of the hydrogenation reaction, H₂ could be dissociated into active hydrogen atoms or hydrogen ions on metal surface, and subsequently a hydrogenation process towards the unsaturated functional groups of reactant molecules was performed [40]. In this view, the catalysts with similar metal crystalline size should possess similar accessible catalytic active sites and show the similar hydrogenation activity. In this study, the surface properties of Ni in Ni/xScZr catalysts with different Sc₂O₃ content were similar (XPS and H₂-TPD results); also the similar Ni crystalline size of Ni/xScZr catalysts possessed the similar active surface area. Therefore, all of the Ni/xScZr catalysts exhibited the similar activation hydrogenation ability (H2-TPD results). In the MA hydrogenation, all the Ni/xScZr catalysts exhibited the similar C=C bond hydrogenation activity, which is consistent with the understanding given above. However, the C=O hydrogenation activity of ZrO_2 -based supported nickel catalysts decreased gradually with the increasing of the Sc_2O_3 content in the ZrO_2 support. This suggests the C=O hydrogenation of the ZrO₂-based supported nickel catalysts is not solely related with the activation hydrogenation ability of the catalysts, other factors also participate in the C=O hydrogenation process and play an important role in determining the C=O hydrogenation activity of the Ni/xScZr catalysts.

In situ FT-IR result suggested that the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst had strong activation C=O ability. Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst showed more adsorbed C=O sites, yet its activation abilities towards C=O groups were extremely weak. Combined with the C=O hydrogenation activity result of the catalysts in the MA hydrogenation reaction, it can be inferred that the high C=O hydrogenation activity of Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst is ascribed to the effective activation C=O groups of SA molecular; while the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst could not effectively activate C=O groups of SA molecular, thereby showing almost no C=O hydrogenation activity. In the dimethyl succinate (DMS) hydrogenation study by Hu et al. [41], the similar redshift occurred in in situ FT-IR after Mn-containing spinel-supported copper catalyst adsorbed dimethyl succinate molecular, combined with the intermediate species analysis of the same Mn-containing spinel-supported copper catalyst adsorbing carbon dioxide, the author inferred the active sites in adsorbing and activating the C=O groups of dimethyl succinate molecular were the catalyst's surface oxygen vacancies. This suggest the surface oxygen vacancies of the catalyst promote the C=O hydrogenation through activating C=O groups. Similarly, Zhou et al. [42] and Manyar et al. [43] found that the surface oxygen vacancies on CeO₂-supported nickel catalyst and TiO₂-supported Pt catalyst contributed to the C=O hydrogenation in CO₂ methanation and carboxylic acids' hydrogenation reaction. Further, in our recently study [17], it was found that not all the surface oxygen vacancies could activate the C=O groups of the SA molecules and promote the hydrogenation of SA to GBL for the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst system. Only relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies could effectively activate the C=O groups of the SA molecular, and thereby hydrogenated the C=O groups with the synergy of the neighboring Ni⁰. In this study, the XPS result suggested the surface oxygen vacancies on Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst showed relatively electron-deficient properties, while the surface oxygen vacancies on Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst exhibited relatively electron-rich properties. Compared with the relatively electron-rich oxygen vacancies, the relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies are more likely to activate the C=O bond by accepting a lone pair of electrons from the oxygen atom of the C=O bonds, thereby weakening the C=O bonds, and the active hydrogen species activated by the neighboring Ni⁰ attack the activated C=O bonds, thus complete C=O bond hydrogenation. Therefore, Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst exhibited high C=O hydrogenation activity in MA hydrogenation reaction. Whereas for Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst, though it possessed more surface oxygen vacancies and showed more adsorb C=O groups sites, it exhibited almost no C=O hydrogenation activity in MA hydrogenation reaction because its relatively electron-rich oxygen vacancies could not effectively activate the C=O group of the SA molecular. This is consistent with our previous study.

In this study, the more important finding is that there is an interdependence between the surface structure of ZrO₂-based support and the C=O hydrogenation performance of the ZrO₂-based supported

nickel catalysts. By controlling the Sc_2O_3 content in the ZrO_2 -based support, the surface structures of ZrO₂-based support could be regulated effectively. The different surface structure of ZrO₂-based supports resulted in the different degree of interaction between the nickel species and ZrO₂-based supports; furthermore, the different interaction led to the different surface oxygen vacancies electron properties of ZrO₂-based supported nickel catalysts and the C=O hydrogenation activity of the catalysts. For pure monoclinic ZrO₂ support, there were more relatively electron-rich oxygen vacancies and stable oxygen ions on its surface (H₂-TPR, XPS). Nickel species had weaker interaction with pure monoclinic ZrO₂ support and the interaction promoted the additional relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies generated on surface of Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst (H₂-TPR, Raman and XPS). Therefore, the synergism between the surface relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and Ni⁰ catalyzes C=O hydrogenation [17], in which the contribution of the surface relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies on Ni/ZrO2 catalyst is to effectively activate the C=O groups of the SA molecular (in situ FT-IR). When a dopant Sc³⁺ was introduced into ZrO₂, relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and low coordination oxygen ions were promoted dut to the charge balance. Also, as the Sc_2O_3 content increased, the concentration of relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and low coordination oxygen ions on surface of ZrO₂-based supports increased (H₂-TPR, Raman, and XPS) and, correspondingly, the ZrO₂-based supports structure transformed from monoclinic phase structure to tetragonal phase structure and then to metastable tetragonal phase structure (XRD and Raman). Compared with the relatively electron-rich oxygen vacancies and stable oxygen ions, nickel species preferentially adsorb on the relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and low coordination oxygen ions and interact strongly with them. Therefore, the interaction between nickel species and ZrO₂-based supports enhanced gradually with the Sc_2O_3 content increasing (H₂-TPR). The strong interaction would lead to a small amount of nickel species entering into the tetragonal ZrO₂ lattice or oxygen vacancies, and thereby forming the Ni–O–Zr structure [17], thus the number of oxygen vacancies decreased compared with their corresponding support (Raman and XPS). In the Ni–O–Zr structure, or the interface where nickel species interact strongly with ZrO₂-based supports, the strong interaction enhances the electron-donating property of nickel [37], the oxygen vacancies bore extra charges for the charge balance, thereby resulting in the increase of the average charge density of surface oxygen vacancies. Thus the number of the active sites in activating C=O bonds decreased and the ability in activating C=O bonds became weaker as the Sc_2O_3 content increased. When the Sc_2O_3 content in the ZrO₂ support was increased to 7.5 mol%, the surface oxygen vacancies exhibited extremely weak ability in activating C=O groups, and could not effectively activate the C=O groups of the SA molecular, therefore the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst exhibited almost no C=O hydrogenation activity in MA hydrogenation reaction.

Based on the above results, it can be concluded that there is an interdependence between the surface structure of the ZrO_2 -based support and the C=O hydrogenation activity of the ZrO_2 -based supported nickel catalysts. Through regulating the surface structure of ZrO_2 -based support, the C=O hydrogenation activity of ZrO_2 -based supported nickel catalysts can be modulated, thereby, the product distribution in the MA hydrogenation reaction could be effectively manipulated. With the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst, the GBL product could be selectively obtained; while with Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst, the SA product could be selectively acquired.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Catalysts Preparation

4.1.1. Preparation of Sc-doped ZrO₂ Supports

Sc-doped ZrO_2 supports were prepared with the adoption of the hydrothermal method [44]. They were synthesized at the temperature of 140 °C for 14 h in a Teflon-lined, stainless steel autoclave (100 mL). For the autoclave containing solutions (80 mL), the molar ratio of $ZrO(NO_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ (Beijing Chemicals, Beijing, China) to Sc(NO₃)₃ (Xiya Reagent, Linyi, China) was (1–x):2x, the total cation

14 of 18

concentration was 0.2 M, and the molar ratio of urea to total cation was 10:1. The precipitates were washed with absolute alcohol and the washing stopped when their pH value reached 7. Then, the precipitates were dried at 110 °C for 12 h before they were calcined at 400 °C for 4 h. The above x denotes the $Sc_2O_3/(Sc_2O_3 + ZrO_2)$ molar ratio. In this work, x = 0, 1.5, 2.5, 5.0, and 7.5% (mol/mol), and the corresponding Sc-doped ZrO₂ supports were noted as ZrO₂, 1.5ScZr, 2.5ScZr, 5.0ScZr, and 7.5ScZr, respectively.

4.1.2. Preparation of Ni/Sc-doped ZrO₂ Catalysts

The NiO/Sc-doped ZrO₂ samples were prepared with the use of a conventional impregnation method. One gram of xScZr support was poured into an aqueous solution which contained 0.5476 g of nickel nitrate and 2.2 mL H₂O. Then, the solution was stirred vigorously. After that, the sample was dried at 120 °C for 12 h. Then, it was calcined in air at 450 °C for 3 h, and the samples were denoted as NiO/xScZr. After that, the samples were reduced at 400 °C for 3 h in an H₂ flow (30 mL/min), denoted as Ni/xScZr.

4.2. Structure Characterizations

The specific surface areas of the xScZr supports and the Ni/xScZr catalysts were measured with the use of an ASAP-2020 instrument (Micromeritics, Atlanta, GA, USA) at -196 °C.

XRD was performed with the use of an X-ray diffractometer (Bruker D8 Advance, Karlsruhe, Germany). Cu K α radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å) was used and the operating voltage and current were set at 40 kV and 40 mA, respectively.

Laser Raman spectra were gained with the use of a Lab RAM HR Evolution Raman microscope (Horiba Scientific, Paris, France) which used Ar⁺ (532 nm) as its excitation source.

H₂ temperature-programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) was then carried out. A Micromeritics Auto Chem II 2920 (Atlanta, GA, USA), which had a thermal conductivity detector, was used to determine catalyst reducibility. The followings procedures were carried out. First, 30 mg of the NiO/xScZr sample were treated in Ar at 300 °C for 1 h. Then, the sample was cooled to 50 °C. The H₂-TPR profiles were recorded when the samples in H₂/Ar (10% *v*/*v*) with 50 mL/min of gas flow were being heated with the temperature ranging from 50 °C to 700 °C at a ramp of 10 °C /min.

H₂ temperature-programmed desorption (H₂-TPD) measurements were done on the apparatus that had been used for the H₂-TPR (Micromeritics Auto Chem II 2920, Atlanta, GA, USA). The following procedures were carried out. First, a 100 mg NiO/xScZr sample was reduced in situ at 400 °C for 3 h in pure H₂. Then, the sample was cooled until the temperature reached 50 °C. The sample was then purged with Ar. The purging lasted for 1 h at the temperature of 50 °C. The reason for carrying it out in this way lies in the purpose of removing excess hydrogen. Then, the hydrogen was adsorbed on the surface and H₂/Ar (10% *v*/*v*) was injected at 50 mL/min until specific saturation was gained. Ar was used to flush the sample until the baseline was stable. H₂-TPD profiles were recorded up to 700 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C /min.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were adopted with the use of a Kratos AXIS Ultra DLD spectrometer (Manchester, UK) with a monochromatic Al K α (1486.6 eV) irradiation source. The X-ray gun was operated at 150 W. The survey spectra were recorded, the pass energy was set at 160 eV, and the high-resolution spectra were recorded with pass energy of 40 eV. The area of the sampling area was 300 × 700 μ m². The binding energy was corrected by setting the C1s peak at 284.6 eV. In terms of the xScZr samples' test, these samples were placed into an XPS sample cell. Before the spectra were recorded, the XPS sample cell was pumped down to 10⁻⁸ Pa. In terms of Ni/xScZr samples' test, the NiO/xScZr samples were first put in an XPS sample cell. Then, the samples were reduced at 400 °C for 3 h. After that, it was cooled down to 10⁻⁸ Pa. After that, the spectra were recorded.

In-situ FT-IR spectra of cyclohexanone were collected on a spectrometer (Nicolet IS 50, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 0.02 g NiO/xScZr sample was put into an IR cell. Before the adsorption of cyclohexanone, the sample was reduced at 400 °C for 3 h in H₂ flow (30 mL/min). The sample was then cooled down until the temperature reached 210 °C. The IR cell that contained samples was pumped down to $<6 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa. What should be mentioned is that a spectrum was recorded as the background. After that, gas cyclohexanone molecules were put into the IR cell for adsorption. The process lasted for 60 min. The cell was then desorbed via vacuum pumping down to $<6 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa. The spectra were recorded and the resolution was 2 cm⁻¹.

4.3. Catalytic Activity Tests

Ni/xScZr catalysts' catalytic performances in the hydrogenation of MA were recorded in a batch reactor (100 mL). The reaction conditions of maleic anhydride hydrogenation are as follows; the reaction temperature was 210 °C, the pressure of H₂ was 5 MPa, and the agitator operated at 400 rpm. Prior to the test, some procedures were carried out. The catalysts were prereduced with a stream of H₂ (30 mL/min) in a quartz tube for 3 h and the temperature was set at 400 °C. Then, they were cooled until their temperature reached the room one. At the same time, the MA (4.9 g) and THF (the purity of THF \geq 99.99%, H₂O \leq 20 ppm) were charged into the autoclave. After that, the reduced catalyst (0.3 g) (40–60 mesh) was charged into the autoclave under N₂ protection.

Solvents including 1,4-dioxane and cyclohexane were also under investigation. According to the results, the hydrogenation products were SA and GBL. THF or other products were not detected. The carbon balance ranged from 95 to 105%. When THF was adopted as the solvent, the products, SA, and GBL were detected. The carbon balance was calculated based on the totality of the SA and GBL, ranging from 95 to 105%. This means that when THF was used as the solvent for the present Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts system, products such as THF or BDO that were obtained through deeply hydrogenation were not produced.

The samples obtained from the reactor went through analysis with the use of a gas chromatograph (GC, Agilent, 7890B) equipped with a DB-5 capillary column and an FID detector. The conversion of MA and the selectivity of the products were calculated with the use of the following equations:

Conversion (MA) = $(MA_{in} - MA_{out})/MA_{in} \times 100\%$ Selectivity (i) = $Product_{i,out}/\sum product_{i,out} \times 100\%$

where MA_{in} , MA_{out} and $Product_{i,out}$ represent the molar concentration of inlet reactant, outlet reactant and outlet products *i*, respectively.

5. Conclusions

In summary, this work found the interdependence between the surface structure of ZrO_2 -based support and the selective hydrogenation performance of ZrO_2 -supported nickel catalysts, presented an effective strategy for manipulating the selective hydrogenation performance of the catalyst in catalyzing MA to SA or GBL. Pure monoclinic ZrO_2 support, with relatively electron-rich oxygen vacancies and stable oxygen ions on surface, had weaker interaction with the nickel species, also the interaction promoted the additional relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies generated on surface of Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst. The relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies could activate the C=O group effectively, thereby C=O group could be hydrogenated with the synergy of neighboring Ni⁰. Therefore, in the MA hydrogenation reaction, the Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst exhibited high C=O hydrogenation activity and gave a high yield (65.8%) of GBL. However, when introducing Sc³⁺ into ZrO₂, the surface structure of the ZrO₂-based support changed. Furthermore, the degree of interaction between the nickel species and the ZrO₂-based support, the electronic properties of the oxygen vacancies on surface of the Ni/XsCzr catalyst and its C=O hydrogenation performance, have changed subsequently. As the Sc₂O₃ content in the ZrO₂ support increased, the concentration of relatively electron-deficient oxygen vacancies and low

coordination oxygen ions increased on surface of xScZr supports, and the interaction between nickel species and ZrO_2 -based support enhanced gradually. The strong interaction resulted in the average charge density of surface oxygen vacancies on Ni/xScZr catalyst increased, also the number of oxygen vacancies decreased, thereby the ability in activating C=O bonds became weaker and the active site numbers in activating C=O bonds decreased, correspondingly the C=O hydrogenation activity of the catalyst decreased gradually. Therefore, in the MA hydrogenation reaction, the yield of GBL decreased gradually with the increasing of the Sc₂O₃ content. When the Sc₂O₃ content was increased to 7.5 mol%, the Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst exhibited almost no C=O hydrogenation activity and the yield of GBL was only 2.4%. Correspondingly, we obtained the high yield of SA product upon Ni/7.5ScZr catalyst. The results of this study provide a new idea for the design and preparation of high-efficiency MA selective hydrogenation catalysts, and also provide reference for designing selective hydrogenation catalysts for other unsaturated carbonyl compounds.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, T.X. and Y.Z. (Yongxiang Zhao); Methodology, L.Z.; Software, M.Z.; Validation, L.Z., T.W.; Formal Analysis, L.Z.; Data Curation, L.Z.; Writing—Original Draft Preparation, L.Z.; Writing—Review and Editing, L.Z. and Y.Z. (Yin Zhang), Y.W., J.Z., and Y.Z. (Yongxiang Zhao); Visualization, T.W.; Supervision, Y.Z. (Yongxiang Zhao); Project Administration, Y.Z. (Yongxiang Zhao); Funding Acquisition, Y.Z. (Yongxiang Zhao).

Funding: This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21303097, 2167031118) and the Key Projects of Shanxi Coal-based Low Carbon Joint Fund (U1710221).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study, the collection, analysis or interpretation of the data. The funders also had no role in the writing of the manuscript or the decision of publishing the results.

References

- 1. Feng, Y.H.; Yin, H.B.; Wang, A.L.; Xie, T.; Jiang, T.S. Selective hydrogenation of maleic anhydride to succinic anhydride catalyzed by metallic nickel catalysts. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2012**, 425–426, 205–212. [CrossRef]
- 2. Delbecq, F.; Sautet, P. A Density Functional Study of Adsorption Structures of Unsaturated Aldehydes on Pt (111): A Key Factor for Hydrogenation Selectivity. *J. Catal.* **2002**, *211*, 398–406. [CrossRef]
- 3. Marinelli, T.B.L.W.; Nabuurs, S.; Ponec, V. Activity and Selectivity in the Reaction of Substituted *α*, β-Unsaturated Aldehydes. *J. Catal.* **1995**, *151*, 431–438. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ma[°]ki-Arvela, P.; Ha[′]jek, J.; Salmi, T.; Murzin, D.Y. Chemoselective hydrogenation of carbonyl compounds over heterogeneous catalysts. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2005**, *292*, 1–49. [CrossRef]
- Jung, S.M.; Godard, E.; Jung, S.Y.; Park, K.C.; Choi, J.U. Liquid-phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride over Pd/SiO₂: Effect of tin on catalytic activity and deactivation. *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* 2003, 198, 297–302. [CrossRef]
- 6. Ma, Y.; Huang, Y.Q.; Cheng, Y.W.; Wang, L.J.; Li, X. Selective liquid-phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride to succinic anhydride on biosynthesized Ru-based catalysts. *Catal. Commun.* **2014**, *57*, 40–44. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Y.; Zhan, W.C.; Guo, Y.; Lu, G.Z.; Adjimi, S.; Guo, Y.L. Gas-phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride to γ-butyrolactone over Cu-CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst at atmospheric pressure: Effects of the residual sodium and water in the catalyst precursor. *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* 2014, *395*, 392–397. [CrossRef]
- 8. Meyer, C.I.; Marchi, A.J.; Monzon, A.; Garetto, T.F. Deactivation and regeneration of Cu/SiO₂ catalyst in the hydrogenation of maleic anhydride. Kinetic modelling. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2009**, *367*, 122–129. [CrossRef]
- 9. Li, J.; Ren, Y.H.; Yue, B.; He, H.Y. Ni/Al₂O₃ catalysts derived from spinel NiAl₂O₄ for low-temperature hydrogenation of maleic anhydride to succinic anhydride. *Chin. J. Catal.* **2017**, *38*, 1166–1173. [CrossRef]
- 10. Guo, S.F.; Shi, L. Synthesis of succinic anhydride from maleic anhydride on Ni/diatomite catalysts. *Catal. Today* **2013**, *212*, 137–141. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, C.I.; Regenhardt, S.A.; Bertone, M.E.; Marchi, A.J.; Garetto, T.F. Gas-Phase Maleic Anhydride Hydrogenation Over Ni/SiO₂-Al₂O₃ Catalysts: Effect of Metal Loading. *Catal. Lett.* 2003, 143, 1067–1073. [CrossRef]
- Regenhardt, S.A.; Meyer, C.I.; Garetto, T.F.; Marchi, A.J. Selective gas phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride over Ni-supported catalysts: Effect of support on the catalytic performance. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* 2012, 449, 81–87. [CrossRef]

- Yu, Y.; Guo, Y.L.; Zhan, W.C.; Guo, Y.; Wang, Y.Q.; Wang, Y.S.; Zhang, Z.G.; Lu, G.Z. Gas-phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride to γ-butyrolactone at atmospheric pressure over Cu-CeO₂-Al₂O₃ catalyst. *J. Mol. Catal. A-Chem.* 2011, 337, 77–81. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hu, T.J.; Yin, H.B.; Zhang, R.C.; Wu, H.X.; Jiang, T.S.; Wada, Y.J. Gas phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride to *γ*-butyrolactone by Cu-Zn-Ti catalysts. *Catal. Commun.* **2007**, *8*, 193–199. [CrossRef]
- 15. Meng, Z.Y.; Zhang, Y.; Zhao, L.L.; Zhang, H.X.; Zhao, Y.X. Liquid Phase Hydrogenation of Maleic Anhydride over Ni/TiO₂ Catalysts with Different TiO₂ Polymorphs. *Chem. J. Chin. Univ.-Chin.* **2015**, *36*, 1779–1785.
- 16. Liao, X.; Zhang, Y.; Hill, M.; Xia, X.; Zhao, Y.X.; Jiang, Z. Highly efficient Ni/CeO₂ catalyst for the liquid phase hydrogenation of maleic anhydride. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2014**, *488*, 256–264. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, L.L.; Zhao, J.H.; Wu, T.J.; Zhao, M.; Yan, W.J.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.T.; Wang, Y.Z.; Xiao, T.C.; Zhao, Y.X. Synergistic Effect of Oxygen Vacancies and Ni Species on Tuning Selectivity of Ni/ZrO₂ Catalyst for Hydrogenation of Maleic Anhydride into Succinic Anhydride and γ-Butyrolacetone. *Nanomaterials* 2019, 9, 406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rhodes, M.D.; Bell, A.T. The effects of zirconia morphology on methanol synthesis from CO and H₂ over Cu/ZrO₂ catalysts Part I. Steady-state studies. *J. Catal.* 2005, 233, 198–209. [CrossRef]
- Tada, S.; Katagiri, A.; Kiyota, K.; Honma, T.; Kamei, H.; Nariyuki, A.; Uchida, S.; Satokawa, S. Cu Species Incorporated into Amorphous ZrO₂ with High Activity and Selectivity in CO₂-to-Methanol Hydrogenation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2018, 122, 5430–5544. [CrossRef]
- Samson, K.; Sliwa, M.; Socha, R.P.; Gora-Marek, K.; Mucha, D.; Rutkowska-Zbik, D.; Paul, J.-F.; Ruggiero-Mikołajczyk, M.; Grabowski, R.; Słoczynski, J. Influence of ZrO₂ Structure and Copper Electronic State on Activity of Cu/ZrO₂ Catalysts in Methanol Synthesis from CO₂. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3730–3741. [CrossRef]
- 21. Li, C.; Li, M.J. UV Raman spectroscopic study on the phase transformation of ZrO₂, Y₂O₃-ZrO₂ and SO₄^{2–}/ZrO₂. *J. Raman Spectrosc.* **2002**, *33*, 301–308. [CrossRef]
- 22. Marrocchelli, D.; Madden, P.A.; Norberg, S.T.; Hull, S. Structural Disorder in Doped Zirconias, Part II: Vacancy Ordering Effects and the Conductivity Maximum. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 1365–1373. [CrossRef]
- 23. Itoh, T.; Mori, M.; Inukai, M.; Nitani, H.; Yamamoto, T.; Miyanaga, T.; Igawa, N.; Kitamura, N.; Ishida, N.; Idemoto, Y. Effect of Annealing on Crystal and Local Structures of Doped Zirconia Using Experimental and Computational Methods. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2015, *119*, 8447–8458. [CrossRef]
- 24. Xu, G.; Zhang, Y.W.; Liao, C.S.; Yan, C.H. Doping and grain size effects in nanocrystalline ZrO₂-Sc₂O₃ system with complex phase transitions: XRD and Raman studies. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *6*, 5410–5418. [CrossRef]
- 25. Garvie, R.C. The Occurrence of Metastable Tetragonal Zirconia as a Crystallite Size Effect. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1965**, *69*, 1238–1243. [CrossRef]
- 26. Fabris, S.; Paxton, A.T.; Finnis, M.W. A stabilization mechanism of zirconia based on oxygen vacancies only. *Acta Mater.* **2002**, *50*, 5171–5178. [CrossRef]
- 27. Norberg, S.T.; Hull, S.; Ahmed, I.; Eriksson, S.G.; Marrocchelli, D.; Madden, P.A.; Li, P.; Irvine, J.T.S. Structural Disorder in Doped Zirconias, Part I: The $Zr_{0.8}Sc_{0.2-x}Y_xO_{1.9}$ ($0.0 \le x \le 0.2$) System. *Chem. Mater.* **2011**, 23, 1356–1364. [CrossRef]
- Puigdollers, A.R.; Tosoni, S.; Pacchioni, G. Turning a Nonreducible into a Reducible Oxide via Nanostructuring: Opposite Behavior of Bulk ZrO₂ and ZrO₂ Nanoparticles Toward H₂ Adsorption. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2016, 120, 15329–15337. [CrossRef]
- 29. Puigdollers, A.R.; Illas, F.; Pacchioni, G. Structure and Properties of Zirconia Nanoparticles from Density Functional Theory Calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2016**, 120, 4392–4402. [CrossRef]
- 30. Yang, F.F.; Liu, D.; Zhao, Y.T.; Wang, H.; Han, J.Y.; Ge, Q.F.; Zhu, X.L. Size Dependence of Vapor Phase Hydrodeoxygenation of m-Cresol on Ni/SiO₂ Catalysts. *ACS Catal.* **2018**, *8*, 1672–1682. [CrossRef]
- 31. Shi, L.; Tin, K.C.; Wong, N.B. Thermal stability of zirconia membranes. *J. Mater. Sci.* **1999**, *34*, 3367–3374. [CrossRef]
- 32. Fujimori, H.; Yashima, M.; Kakihana, M.; Yoshimura, M. Structural Changes of Scandia-Doped Zirconia Solid Solutions: Rietveld Analysis and Raman Scattering. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1998**, *81*, 2885–2893. [CrossRef]
- 33. Lo´pez, E.F.; Escribano, V.S.; Panizza, M.; Carnascialic, M.M.; Busca, G. Vibrational and electronic spectroscopic properties of zirconia Powders. *J. Mater. Chem.* **2001**, *11*, 1891–1897. [CrossRef]

- 34. Thackeray, D.P.C. The Raman spectrum of zirconium dioxide. *Spectrochim. Acta A* **1974**, *30*, 549–550. [CrossRef]
- 35. Tosoni, S.; Chen, H.Y.T.; Pacchioni, G. A DFT study of Ni clusters deposition on titania and zirconia (101) surfaces. *Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *646*, 230–238. [CrossRef]
- Rahman, M.A.; Rout, S.; Thomas, J.P.; McGillivray, D.; Leung, K.T. Defect-Rich Dopant-Free ZrO₂ Nanostructures with Superior Dilute Ferromagnetic Semiconductor Properties. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11896–11906. [CrossRef]
- Cadi-Essadek, A.; Roldan, A.; de Leeuw, N.H. Ni Deposition on Yttria-Stabilized ZrO₂(111) Surfaces: A Density Functional Theory Study. J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 6581–6591. [CrossRef]
- 38. Hengne, A.M.; Samal, A.K.; Enakonda, L.R.; Harb, M.; Gevers, L.E.; Anjum, D.H.; Hedhili, M.N.; Saih, Y.; Huang, K.W.; Marie Basset, J. Ni-Sn-Supported ZrO₂ Catalysts Modified by Indium for Selective CO₂ Hydrogenation to Methanol. ACS Omega 2018, 3, 3688–3701. [CrossRef]
- Cui, J.L.; Tan, J.J.; Zhu Y., L.; Cheng, F.Q. Aqueous Hydrogenation of Levulinic Acid to 1,4-Pentanediol over Mo-Modified Ru/Activated Carbon Catalyst. *ChemSusChem* 2018, 11, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bertero, N.M.; Trasarti, A.F.; Apesteguía, C.R.; Marchi, A.J. Solvent effect in the liquid-phase hydrogenation of acetophenone over Ni/SiO₂: A comprehensive study of the phenomenon. *Appl. Catal. A-Gen.* **2011**, 394, 228–238. [CrossRef]
- Hu, Q.; Yang, L.; Fan, G.L.; Li, F. Hydrogenation of biomass-derived compounds containing a carbonyl group over a copper-based nanocatalyst: Insight into the origin and influence of surface oxygen vacancies. *J. Catal.* 2016, 340, 184–195. [CrossRef]
- 42. Zhou, G.L.; Liu, H.R.; Cui, K.K.; Jia, A.P.; Hu, G.S.; Jiao, Z.J.; Liu, Y.Q.; Zhang, X.M. Role of surface Ni and Ce species of Ni/CeO₂ catalyst in CO₂ methanation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2016**, *383*, 248–252. [CrossRef]
- 43. Manyar, H.G.; Paun, C.; Pilus, R.; Rooney, D.W.; Thompson, J.M.; Hardacre, C. Highly selective and efficient hydrogenation of carboxylic acids to alcohols using titania supported Pt catalysts. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 6279–6281. [CrossRef]
- Li, W.Z.; Huang, H.; Li, H.J.; Zhang, W.; Liu, H.C. Facile Synthesis of Pure Monoclinic and Tetragonal Zirconia Nanoparticles and Their Phase Effects on the Behavior of Supported Molybdena Catalysts for Methanol-Selective Oxidation. *Langmuir* 2008, 24, 8358–8366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).