## Effects of supports BET surface areas on Membrane electrode assembly performance at high current loads

Paritosh Kumar Mohanta<sup>1</sup>, Masuma Sultana Ripa, Fabian Regnet and Ludwig Jörissen

Zentrum für Sonnenenergie-und Wasserstoff-Forschung Baden-Württemberg Helmholtzstrsse 8, 89081 Ulm, Germany

<u>masuma-sultana.ripa@zsw-bw.de</u> (M.R); <u>fabian.regnet@zsw-bw.de</u> (F.R.); <u>ludwig.joerissen@zsw-bw.de</u> (L.J.) \*Correspondence: <u>paritosh.mohanta@zsw-bw.de</u> ; Tel.: +49-(0)731-95-30-211

## **Supplementary Materials**

**C- corrosion :** The change of CV patterns are also be an evidence of instabilities of the CB supports compared to the C65 support.





Figure S1 : Change of CV patterns of the supported catalysts after 0, 10000, 30000 and 60000 potential cycles (between 1 and 1.5V vs RHE at 0.5V/s), CVs were taken in 0.5M  $H_2SO_4$  50mV/s voltage scan rate at RT



## **Optimization of I/C of Pt/C65**

Figure S2: I/C optimization : I-V characteristics of the MEAs under cell operating temperature 80 °C, Anode and cathode dew point 80 °C, inlets 84 °C, Anode and cathode stoichiometry 1.3 and 3 respectively, and at 150 kPa. **Thickness of cathode Catalyst layers of the MEA:** FIB-SEM images reveals that the average thickness of the cathode CLs of the MEA prepared with TKE, TKV, CB and C65 were 19, 17, 12 and 16 µm respectively (upper parts of each figures).



TKE

TKV



Pt/CB

Pt/C65



Pt/GC

Figure S3 : FIB-SEM images of the cathode CLs (cross section)



## **MEA** conditioning and testing

Figure S4: Example of a MEA conditioning and testing procedure



Figure S5: Example of a Polarization curve (data evaluation)