

**Supplementary Table S1: PRISMA checklist**

| Section and Topic       | Item # | Checklist item   | Location where item is reported  |
|-------------------------|--------|--|--|
| <b>TITLE</b>            |        |  |  |
| Title                   | 1      | Identify the report as a systematic review.  | <b>Title page</b>  |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>         |        |  |  |
| Abstract                | 2      | See the PRISMA 2020 for Abstracts checklist.   | <b>Abstract</b>  |
| <b>INTRODUCTION</b>     |        |  |  |
| Rationale               | 3      | Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge.  | <b>Introduction</b>  |
| Objectives              | 4      | Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses.   | <b>Introduction</b>  |
| <b>METHODS</b>          |        |  |  |
| Eligibility criteria    | 5      | Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses.  | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria (lines: 92-98 and 99-10) |
| Information sources     | 6      | Specify all databases, registers, websites, organizations, reference lists and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies. Specify the date when each source was last searched or consulted.  | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria                          |
| Search strategy         | 7      | Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers and websites, including any filters and limits used.   | <b>Supplementary Appendix</b>  |
| Selection process       | 8      | Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process.                     | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria (lines 92-98)            |
| Data collection process | 9      | Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.2. Data and statistical analysis                                   |
| Data items              | 10a    | List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (e.g. for all measures, time points, analyses), and if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect.                        | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria (lines 99-107)           |
|                         | 10b    | List and define all other variables for which data were sought (e.g. participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources). Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear information.   | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.1. Search strategy and selection criteria (lines 99-107)           |

| Section and Topic             | Item # | Checklist item  | Location where item is reported  |
|-------------------------------|--------|---|--|
| Study risk of bias assessment | 11     | Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process. | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.3. Risk of Bias assessment   |
| Effect measures               | 12     | Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (e.g. risk ratio, mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results.   | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.2. Data and statistical analysis   |
| Synthesis methods             | 13a    | Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for each synthesis (e.g. tabulating the study intervention characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each synthesis (item #5)).  | <b>Methods:</b><br>Selection of studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Inclusion criteria</li> <li>- Exclusion criteria</li> </ul> |
|                               | 13b    | Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics, or data conversions.   | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.2. Data and statistical analysis   |
|                               | 13c    | Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of individual studies and syntheses.  | <b>Methods:</b><br>Forrest plot (figure number 2, 3 and 4) and Summary of findings and GRADE profile (table 2)                               |
|                               | 13d    | Describe any methods used to synthesize results and provide a rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used.       | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>2.2. Data and statistical analysis   |
|                               | 13e    | Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of heterogeneity among study results (e.g. subgroup analysis, meta-regression).  | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>Risk of bias assessment and sensitivity analysis   |
|                               | 13f    | Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of the synthesized results.  | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>Risk of bias assessment and sensitivity analysis   |
| Reporting bias assessment     | 14     | Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases).   | <b>Material and Methods:</b><br>Risk of bias assessment  |
| Certainty assessment          | 15     | Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for an outcome.   | <b>Methods:</b><br>Summary of findings and GRADE profile   |
| <b>RESULTS</b>                |        |   |  |
| Study selection               | 16a    | Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the number of records identified in the search to the number of studies included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram.  | <b>Results</b><br><b>Figure 1:</b> PRISMA flow diagram   |
|                               | 16b    | Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded.   | <b>Results:</b><br><b>Figure 1:</b> PRISMA flow diagram  |

| Section and Topic             | Item # | Checklist item   | Location where item is reported  |
|-------------------------------|--------|--|--|
| Study characteristics         | 17     | Cite each included study and present its characteristics.  | <b>Results</b><br>Table 1  |
| Risk of bias in studies       | 18     | Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study.   | <b>Results:</b><br>3.3 Quality assessment  |
| Results of individual studies | 19     | For all outcomes, present, for each study: (a) summary statistics for each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval), ideally using structured tables or plots.   | <b>Results</b><br>3.2. Pooled analysis of all studies<br><b>FIGURE: 2-3-4</b>                      |
| Results of syntheses          | 20a    | For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of bias among contributing studies.   | <b>Results</b><br>3.2. Pooled analysis of all studies  |
|                               | 20b    | Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision (e.g. confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the effect. | <b>Results</b><br>3.2. Pooled analysis of all studies<br><b>FIGURE: 2-3-4</b>                      |
|                               | 20c    | Present results of all investigations of possible causes of heterogeneity among study results.   | <b>Results</b><br>3.2. Pooled analysis of all studies<br><b>FIGURE: 2-3-4</b>                      |
|                               | 20d    | Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the robustness of the synthesized results.   | <b>Results</b><br>3.4. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of publication bias <b>FIGURE: 6</b> |
| Reporting biases              | 21     | Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed.  | <b>Results</b><br>3.. Sensitivity analyses and investigation of publication bias                   |
| Certainty of evidence         | 22     | Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of evidence for each outcome assessed.  | <b>Results</b><br>3.3. Quality assessment<br>GRADE profile   |
| <b>DISCUSSION</b>             |        |  |  |
| Discussion                    | 23a    | Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence.  | <b>Discussion</b>  |
|                               | 23b    | Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review.  | <b>Discussion</b>  |
|                               | 23c    | Discuss any limitations of the review processes used.  | <b>Discussion</b>  |
|                               | 23d    | Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research.   | <b>Discussion</b>  |
| <b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>      |        |  |  |
| Registration and protocol     | 24a    | Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered.   | <b>Methods:</b><br>- Study protocol  |
|                               | 24b    | Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not   | <b>Methods:</b>  |

| Section and Topic                              | Item # | Checklist item   | Location where item is reported                                     |
|--|--------|--|---|
|  |        | prepared.  | Study protocol  |
|  | 24c    | Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol.  | <b>Not performed</b>  |
| Support  | 25     | Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review.  | <b>Funding</b><br>(at the end of the manuscript)                    |
| Competing interests                            | 26     | Declare any competing interests of review authors.   | <b>Conflict of interest</b><br>(at the end of the manuscript)       |
| Availability of data, code and other materials | 27     | Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review. | <b>Available on request by contacting the corresponding author.</b> |