

Association of *MGMT* Promoter and Enhancer Methylation with Genetic Variants, Clinical Parameters, and Demographic Characteristics in Glioblastoma

Katja Zappe ¹, Katharina Pühringer ¹, Simon Pflug ¹, Daniel Berger ¹, Serge Weis ², Sabine Spiegl-Kreinecker ³, and Margit Cichna-Markl ^{1,*}

¹ Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria; katja.zappe@univie.ac.at (K.Z.); katharina.puehringer@univie.ac.at (K.P.); n12030136@students.meduniwien.ac.at (S.P.); office@berger-daniel.at (D.B.)

² Division of Neuropathology, Department of Pathology and Molecular Pathology, Kepler University Hospital GmbH, Johannes Kepler University, 4040 Linz, Austria; serge.weis@kepleruniklinikum.at

³ Department of Neurosurgery, Kepler University Hospital GmbH, Johannes Kepler University, 4040 Linz, Austria; sabine.spiegl-kreinecker@kepleruniklinikum.at

* Correspondence: margit.cichna@univie.ac.at (MCM)

Table S1. Clinical and demographic data of GBM patients for which stable cell cultures could not be established.

patient	age [y]	sex	KPS [%]	Ki-67 [%]	primary therapy	adjuvant therapy	OS [m]	PFS [m]	MGMT exp	MGMT rs16906252	<i>TERT</i> prom	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669
GBM36	62	m	50	≤ 50 (25)	none	none	1.48	n.s.	0.90	CT	wt	TT
GBM37	63	m	80	> 50 (60)	R-Ch-T	ABT-414	19.50	9.01	0.11	CT	wt	TT
GBM38	76	m	30	≤ 50 (20)	none	none	4.44	n.s.	0.29	CC	wt	CT

GBM36–38: glioblastoma multiforme patients. ABT-414: depatuxizumab mafodotin, Ch-T: chemotherapy, exp: expression, f: female, KPS: Karnofsky Performance Scale, m: male, mut: mutation, n.s.: not specified, OS: overall survival, PFS: progression-free survival, R-Ch-T: radio-chemotherapy, wt: wildtype.

Table S2. Interaction effects found by two-way ANOVA for significantly different (one-way ANOVA) *MGMT* promoter/enhancer methylation levels between *TERT* promoter wildtype and mutations, between *TERT* rs2853669 genotypes, and between patients with low (≤50%) and high (> 50%) Ki-67 index.

region	CpG	promoter	interaction	F-value	p-value
promoter	72–83	M	<i>TERT</i> prom * age	3.552	0.030
enhancer 2	37–39	UM+M	<i>TERT</i> prom * sex	10.034	0.002
		M	<i>TERT</i> prom * sex	4.416	0.040
		M	<i>TERT</i> prom * sex	5.812	0.030
enhancer 3	15–22	UM	<i>TERT</i> prom * sex	4.224	0.042
		M	<i>TERT</i> prom * age	37.604	< 0.001
			<i>TERT</i> prom * sex	29.500	< 0.001
promoter	72–83	UM+M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	27.778	< 0.001
enhancer 1	12–19	UM+M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	9.562	0.002
		UM	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	4.272	0.041
		M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	7.793	0.006
enhancer 3	15–22	UM	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	6.032	0.015
enhancer 4	19–22	UM	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * age	7.312	0.009
enhancer 1	12–19	UM+M	Ki-67 * sex	6.315	0.013
enhancer 2	05–08	UM+M	Ki-67 * age	8.103	0.005
			Ki-67 * sex	11.490	0.001

region	CpG	promoter	interaction	F-value	p-value
	08	UM+M	Ki-67 * sex	4.964	0.038
	37–39	UM+M	Ki-67 * age	7.081	0.010
			Ki-67 * sex	21.309	< 0.001
enhancer 3	15–22	M	Ki-67 * sex	9.233	0.003

M: methylated, prom: promoter, UM: unmethylated.

Table S3. Non-significant main effects identified by two-way ANOVA for significantly different (one-way ANOVA) *MGMT* promoter/enhancer methylation levels between *TERT* promoter wildtype and mutations, between *TERT* rs2853669 genotypes, and between patients with low ($\leq 50\%$) and high ($> 50\%$) Ki-67 index.

region	CpG	promoter	test	effect	one-way p-value	F-value	p-value
enhancer 1	12–19	UM+M	<i>TERT</i> prom * sex	wt vs C228T vs C250T	0.033	1.646	0.195
enhancer 1	17	UM	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * age	TT vs CT	0.042	4.372	0.058
		M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	TT vs CT	0.039	3.349	0.089
enhancer 2	mean 05–08	M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	TT vs CT	0.037	2.591	0.130
	05	M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	TT vs CT	0.016	3.606	0.078
	37	M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	TT vs CT	0.017	3.984	0.066
	38	M	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * age	TT vs CT	0.017	4.478	0.053
			<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * sex	TT vs CT		3.777	0.072
enhancer 4	07–08	UM	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * age	TT vs CT	0.050	3.840	0.061
	12	UM	<i>TERT</i> rs2853669 * age	TT vs CT	0.050	4.167	0.064
promoter	72–83	UM+M	Ki-67 * sex	$\leq 50\%$ vs $> 50\%$ Ki-67	0.023	0.178	0.673
enhancer 1	12–19	UM+M	Ki-67 * age	$\leq 50\%$ vs $> 50\%$ Ki-67	0.029	0.483	0.488

M: methylated, prom: promoter, UM: unmethylated