

Editorial

# Editorial for the Special Issue on High-Risk Localized and Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer

Kouji Izumi 

Department of Integrative Cancer Therapy and Urology, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Science, 13-1 Takara-machi, Kanazawa 920-8641, Ishikawa, Japan; azuizu2003@yahoo.co.jp

The recent development of imaging modalities, such as diffusion-weighted whole-body imaging with background suppression (DWIBS) and positron emission tomography of prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA-PET) with a radioactive diagnostic agent, has enabled the detection of minute metastases in patients diagnosed with high-risk localized and locally advanced prostate cancer by conventional modalities. The impact of imaging developments on prognosis has not been fully assessed. However, the increasing prevalence of cutting-edge imaging modalities may soon change the definitions of high-risk localized and locally advanced prostate cancer. Even now, around 20–30% of nonmetastatic prostate cancer patients have high-risk localized disease requiring curative treatment. The best treatment for high-risk localized disease is also still unclear, and the reliability of the definition of “high-risk” may need to be validated first. Although recent advances in radiotherapy for prostate cancer have yielded excellent long-term results, even in high-risk localized disease, there are no studies directly comparing it with local treatment options, such as prostatectomy. To address current challenges in high-risk localized and locally advanced prostate cancer, 12 original and 3 review articles covering aspects from basic research to clinical trials are published in this Special Issue.

## Cancer aggressiveness

Ottman et al. investigated the factors involved in prostate cancer aggressiveness and racial disparity with miRNA expression studies and suggest a role for some miRNAs in prostate cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and the elimination of health disparities [1]. Diop et al. report that intraductal carcinoma of the prostate, an aggressive histological subtype, showed a reduced number of infiltrated immune cells compared to the surrounding tissues and it provided different survival [2]. Samarija et al. showed that amino acid metabolism-related gene expression is aberrant in prostate cancer. The expression of SERINC3 and CSAD genes strongly differentiated between better and worse prognosis for high and low Gleason scores, respectively [3]. Bui et al. examined the endogenous CCL2 functions in murine bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells. They discovered that CCL2 plays a crucial role in prostate cancer growth within the tumor microenvironment [4]. Baba et al. reviewed 557 prostate cancer patients who underwent radical prostatectomy and found that a tumor volume over 2.8 cc was an independent predictive factor for biochemical recurrence. They also established a novel risk assessment model based on tumor volume and location [5].

## Regional lymph node invasion

Di Pierro et al. evaluated the accuracy of the four most used nomograms for predicting lymph node invasion. Comparing them in high-risk prostate cancer patients, the predictive performance of the four nomograms was virtually the same, as was their ability to avoid unnecessary extended pelvic lymph node dissection. [6]. Yamashita et al. conducted a prospective study in high-risk prostate cancer patients. They aimed to assess the impact of lymphatic invasion on biochemical recurrence in patients who underwent robot-assisted radical prostatectomy and extended lymph node dissection. They found that lymphatic invasion in the primary site was a significant independent predictor of biochemical recurrence [7].



**Citation:** Izumi, K. Editorial for the Special Issue on High-Risk Localized and Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 3153.

<https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15123153>

Received: 20 May 2023

Revised: 5 June 2023

Accepted: 7 June 2023

Published: 11 June 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

### Radiotherapy

Faccenda et al. investigated the dosimetric impact on the target, and organs at risk, of intrafraction prostate motion and interfraction anatomical changes in dose-escalated linac-based stereotactic body radiation therapy [8]. Francolini et al. conducted the STARR trial and reported early toxicity and biochemical outcomes after stereotactic salvage radiotherapy for macroscopic recurrence within the prostate bed after radical prostatectomy. They demonstrated an optimal tolerability profile and promising oncologic outcomes [9]. Yamazaki et al. contributed to this Special Issue by providing two brachytherapy studies. They report a lower biochemical control rate and distant metastasis-free survival rate in patients with both T3b–4 and Gleason score 9–10, than in those with a single risk factor. They also evaluated the different role of brachytherapy boost according to each risk group [10,11]. Shih et al. compared intensity-modulated radiotherapy plus antiandrogen therapy and radical prostatectomy in relatively young patients (aged  $\leq 65$  years). Although both had similar oncological outcomes, radical prostatectomy showed a greater reduction in the risk of biochemical failure [12].

### Reviews

Gogola et al. provide a synopsis of the transcription factors and signaling pathways involved in epithelial-to-non-epithelial (“mesenchymal”) transition prostate cancer progression [13]. Iwamoto et al. discuss the position, indications, complications, and prospects of androgen deprivation therapy for high-risk localized and locally advanced prostate cancer [14]. Finally, Makino et al. review the current literature with a focus on the definition of very high-risk prostate cancer, the role of modern imaging, and its treatment options [15].

I am very proud of this Special Issue; the papers are excellent, and I would like to thank all the authors. I would also like to acknowledge the reviewers for their time and careful appraisals of the manuscripts for this Special Issue. I believe that this publication will help physicians and academics involved in prostate cancer care and research, to better understand the essentials of “High-risk Localized and Locally Advanced Prostate Cancer.”

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

- Ottman, R.; Ganapathy, K.; Lin, H.Y.; Osterman, C.D.; Dutil, J.; Matta, J.; Ruiz-Deya, G.; Wang, L.; Yamoah, K.; Park, J.Y.; et al. Differential Expression of miRNAs Contributes to Tumor Aggressiveness and Racial Disparity in African American Men with Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 2331. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Diop, M.K.; Molina, O.E.; Birlea, M.; LaRue, H.; Hovington, H.; Têtu, B.; Lacombe, L.; Bergeron, A.; Fradet, Y.; Trudel, D. Leukocytic Infiltration of Intraductal Carcinoma of the Prostate: An Exploratory Study. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 2217. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Samaržija, I.; Trošelj, K.G.; Konjevoda, P. Prognostic Significance of Amino Acid Metabolism-Related Genes in Prostate Cancer Retrieved by Machine Learning. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 1309. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Bui, Q.T.; Lee, K.D.; Fan, Y.C.; Lewis, B.S.; Deng, L.W.; Tsai, Y.C. Disruption of CCL2 in Mesenchymal Stem Cells as an Anti-Tumor Approach against Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 441. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Baba, H.; Sakamoto, S.; Zhao, X.; Yamada, Y.; Rii, J.; Fujimoto, A.; Kanesaka, M.; Takeuchi, N.; Sazuka, T.; Ichikawa, T.; et al. Tumor Location and a Tumor Volume over 2.8 cc Predict the Prognosis for Japanese Localized Prostate Cancer. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 5823. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Di Pierro, G.B.; Salciccia, S.; Frisenda, M.; Tufano, A.; Sciarra, A.; Scarrone, E.; Del Giudice, F.; Asero, V.; Bevilacqua, G.; Canale, V.; et al. Comparison of Four Validated Nomograms (Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, Briganti 2012, 2017, and 2019) Predicting Lymph Node Invasion in Patients with High-Risk Prostate Cancer Candidates for Radical Prostatectomy and Extended Pelvic Lymph Node Dissection: Clinical Experience and Review of the Literature. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 1683. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Yamashita, S.; Muraoka, S.; Wakamiya, T.; Kikkawa, K.; Kohjimoto, Y.; Hara, I. Prognostic Impact of Lymphatic Invasion in Patients with High-Risk Prostate Cancer after Robot-Assisted Radical Prostatectomy and Extended Lymph Node Dissection: A Single-Institution Prospective Cohort Study. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 3466. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Faccenda, V.; Panizza, D.; Daniotti, M.C.; Pellegrini, R.; Trivellato, S.; Caricato, P.; Lucchini, R.; De Ponti, E.; Arcangeli, S. Dosimetric Impact of Intrafraction Prostate Motion and Interfraction Anatomical Changes in Dose-Escalated Linac-Based SBRT. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 1153. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
- Francolini, G.; Garlatti, P.; Di Cataldo, V.; Detti, B.; Loi, M.; Greto, D.; Simontacchi, G.; Morelli, I.; Burchini, L.; Livi, L.; et al. Three Months’ PSA and Toxicity from a Prospective Trial Investigating STereotactic sAlvage Radiotherapy for Macroscopic Prostate Bed Recurrence after Prostatectomy—STARR (NCT05455736). *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 992. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)

10. Yamazaki, H.; Suzuki, G.; Masui, K.; Aibe, N.; Shimizu, D.; Kimoto, T.; Yamada, K.; Shiraishi, T.; Fujihara, A.; Okabe, H.; et al. Novel Prognostic Index of High-Risk Prostate Cancer Using Simple Summation of Very High-Risk Factors. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 3486. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
11. Yamazaki, H.; Suzuki, G.; Masui, K.; Aibe, N.; Shimizu, D.; Kimoto, T.; Yamada, K.; Okihara, K.; Ueda, T.; Okabe, H.; et al. Role of Brachytherapy Boost in Clinically Localized Intermediate and High-Risk Prostate Cancer: Lack of Benefit in Patients with Very High-Risk Factors T3b–4 and/or Gleason 9–10. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 2976. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Shih, H.J.; Chang, S.C.; Hsu, C.H.; Lin, Y.C.; Hung, C.H.; Wu, S.Y. Comparison of clinical outcomes of radical prostatectomy versus IMRT with long-term hormone therapy for relatively young patients with high-to very high-risk localized prostate cancer. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 5986. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
13. Gogola, S.; Rejzer, M.; Bahmad, H.F.; Abou-Kheir, W.; Omarzai, Y.; Poppiti, R. Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition-Related Markers in Prostate Cancer: From Bench to Bedside. *Cancers* **2023**, *15*, 2309. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
14. Iwamoto, H.; Izumi, K.; Makino, T.; Mizokami, A. Androgen deprivation therapy in high-risk localized and locally advanced prostate cancer. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 1803. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
15. Makino, T.; Izumi, K.; Iwamoto, H.; Mizokami, A. Treatment strategies for high-risk localized and locally advanced and oligometastatic prostate cancer. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 4470. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

**Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.