

Review



# Neurofibroma Development in Neurofibromatosis Type 1: Insights from Cellular Origin and Schwann Cell Lineage Development

Ling-Ling Ge 1,+, Ming-Yan Xing 2,+, Hai-Bing Zhang 2,\* and Zhi-Chao Wang 1,\*

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai 200011, China
- <sup>2</sup> CAS Key Laboratory of Nutrition, Metabolism and Food Safety, Shanghai Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200011, China
- \* Correspondence: hbzhang@sibs.ac.cn (H.-B.Z.); shmuwzc@163.com or wangzhichao@sh9hospital.org.cn (Z.-C.W.); Tel.: +86-021-54920988 (H.-B.Z.); +86-021-53315120 (Z.-C.W.)
- + These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Simple Summary:** Neurofibromas have been thought to originate from cells within the Schwann cell lineage, while no consensus has been reached so far about the specific time of initiation and the exact cellular origin. Moreover, the role of Schwann cell lineage transition in different developmental stages of neurofibromas, together with other determinant factors, remains controversial, despite intensive studies. In this review, we summarized the accumulating evidence about the full range of neurofibroma development based on cellular and molecular pathogenesis.

Abstract: Background: Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), a genetic tumor predisposition syndrome that affects about 1 in 3000 newborns, is caused by mutations in the NF1 gene and subsequent inactivation of its encoded neurofibromin. Neurofibromin is a tumor suppressor protein involved in the downregulation of Ras signaling. Despite a diverse clinical spectrum, one of several hallmarks of NF1 is a peripheral nerve sheath tumor (PNST), which comprises mixed nervous and fibrous components. The distinct spatiotemporal characteristics of plexiform and cutaneous neurofibromas have prompted hypotheses about the origin and developmental features of these tumors, involving various cellular transition processes. Methods: We retrieved published literature from PubMed, EMBASE, and Web of Science up to 21 June 2022 and searched references cited in the selected studies to identify other relevant papers. Original articles reporting the pathogenesis of PNSTs during development were included in this review. We highlighted the Schwann cell (SC) lineage shift to better present the evolution of its corresponding cellular origin hypothesis and its important effects on the progression and malignant transformation of neurofibromas. Conclusions: In this review, we summarized the vast array of evidence obtained on the full range of neurofibroma development based on cellular and molecular pathogenesis. By integrating findings relating to tumor formation, growth, and malignancy, we hope to reveal the role of SC lineage shift as well as the combined impact of additional determinants in the natural history of PNSTs.

**Keywords:** neurofibromatosis type 1; cutaneous neurofibroma; plexiform neurofibroma; development; cellular origin; Schwann cell lineage

# 1. Introduction

Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), also known as von Recklinghausen's disease, is one of the most prevalent genetic tumor predisposition disorders. Individuals with NF1 are born with autosomal dominant mutations of a large tumor suppressor gene *NF1*, which encodes the neurofibromin protein. Neurofibromin is a GTPase-activating protein that

Citation: Ge, L.-L.; Xing, M.-Y.; Zhang, H.-B.; Wang, Z.-C. Neurofibroma Development in Neurofibromatosis Type 1: Insights from Cellular Origin and Schwann Cell Lineage Development. *Cancers* 2022, 14, 4513. https://doi.org/10.3390/ cancers14184513

Academic Editor: Staci Martin, Geraldine O'Sullivan Coyne and Miriam Bornhorst

Received: 20 June 2022 Accepted: 14 September 2022 Published: 17 September 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). downregulates the Ras signaling pathway [1]. NF1 affects about 1 in 3000 live births worldwide, without gender or racial preference, and causes a variety of clinical features involving various organ systems [2]. These manifestations include pigmented lesions (café-au-lait macules and axillary freckling), optic damage, visceral dysfunction, and skeletal dysplasia, as well as cognitive and behavioral impairments. The multisystem functional involvement of the *NF1* gene and the corresponding distinctive manifestations in NF1 individuals point toward strong genotype–phenotype correlations [3].

One of the hallmarks of NF1 is the development of peripheral nerve sheath tumors (PNSTs). These are known as neurofibromas and are composed of a mixture of nervous and fibrous tissue, such as Schwann cells (SCs), fibroblasts, endothelial cells, mast cells, macrophages, neurons, and extracellular matrix (ECM). According to their distinctive locations and timing of emergence, neurofibromas can be classified into two main subtypes: cutaneous/dermal and plexiform lesions [4].

Cutaneous neurofibromas (cNFs) are confined to nerve terminals in the dermis and occur in almost all patients with NF1, causing itching or stinging sensations. They typically emerge around puberty and increase in number, potentially reaching thousands of tumors over a lifetime (especially in pregnant women, as a result of regulation by sex hormones) [5,6]. Although similar to cNF at the histological level, plexiform neurofibromas (pNFs) congenitally grow along nerve plexuses with a rich vascular supply and involve multiple nerve fascicles, appearing in nearly 30% of NF1 patients [4]. In a longitudinal study analyzing the natural history of pNF, variable growth dynamics were seen in different age groups, among which the fastest growth rate ( $\geq 20\%$  per year) occurred in patients under 5 years of age [7]. Growing pNFs can put pressure on the surrounding tissues, resulting in severe pain, neurological damage, and skeletal destruction. In addition, there is an approximately 10% lifetime risk of pNFs transforming into NF1-related malignant PNSTs (MPNSTs) [8–10]; these often arise within pre-existing pNFs, rather than cNFs, as a result of additional genetic mutations occurring in a subset of key genes in a specific order [11]. Given the occurrence of tumors at two distinct developmental stages (adolescent versus embryonic), in different locations (body surface versus nerve plexus), with differing malignant transformation potential (none versus 10%), the spatiotemporal heterogeneity of cNF versus pNF reasonably supports distinct cellular origins for these neurofibromas.

While, for decades, neurofibromas have been believed to originate from cells within the SC lineage [12,13], the specific time of initiation and exact cellular origin of pNFs and cNFs remain controversial, despite intensive studies. With the evidence that clinical presentation can differ substantially depending on the spatiotemporal somatic mutation of the *NF1* gene in certain cell types within the SC lineage, recent studies using genetically engineered mouse (GEM) models have shed light on the development of SC lineages. This has aided the clarification of neurofibroma characteristics with regard to formation, progression, and transformation to malignancy [14]. In this review, we summarize the accumulating advances in the understanding of the specific features of the different developmental stages of PNSTs, based on cellular and molecular pathogenesis perspectives.

# 2. Neurofibroma Formation

# 2.1. The Developmental Origin of SC Lineages

Friederich von Recklinghausen initially coined the concept of neurofibroma in 1882 [15], noting that both neuronal and fibrotic components were present within these tumors. In subsequent studies, the identification of abnormal SC proliferation in neurofibromas led to the SC origin hypothesis [16]; therefore, neurofibromas have long been recognized to originate from SC lineages. Despite the early consideration of mature SCs as the pathogenic origin, studies published recently following the establishment of various GEM models indicate the possibility that neurofibromas may originate from earlier-stage SCs

[17–21]. To date, the specific cell type within the SC lineage leading to neurofibroma formation is controversial.

The term neural crest stem cell (NCSC) was first put forward by Stemple and Anderson in 1992, following their successful isolation of neural crest cell populations with selfrenewal ability and multipotency in vitro [22]. NCSCs are a transient cell population, emerging at the dorsolateral portion of the neural tube during vertebrate embryogenesis and then migrating to extensive locations. They later differentiate into a wide range of cell lineages and tissues, depending on the local environment, including most of the neuronal and glial components of the peripheral nervous system (PNS), as well as bone, cartilage, endocrine cells, melanocytes, fibroblasts, and smooth muscle cells [23–25].

In the first stage of SC lineage development, a subpopulation of NCSCs gives rise to boundary cap (BC) cells. These are transiently located at the motor exit point (MEP) and the dorsal root entry zone (DREZ), acting as a boundary between the central and peripheral nervous systems and allowing the passage of axons [26,27]. The discovery of specific molecular markers has greatly contributed to the further characterization of BC cells [28]. These cells express the transcription factor gene *Krox20*, also known as *EGR2* in humans, and produce the SC components of the dorsal and ventral nerve roots, playing a role in the early myelination of the PNS [29]. Moreover, in culture, BC cells can also generate other cell types, such as melanocytes, astrocytes, and neurons [28,30]. In addition, a subpopulation of BC derivatives was recently found to express *Prss56*; lineage-tracing studies demonstrated that *Prss56*-expressing BCs have broad differentiation potential and can give rise to SCs in the nerve roots, hypodermis, and dermis, suggesting the potential of BC cells as candidates for the cellular origin of both pNFs and cNFs [31]. The specific expression pattern of *Krox20/EGR2* and *Prss56*, together with *Hey2* and *Wif1* in mouse and/or human lines, suggests that BC clusters emerge at embryonic day (E) 10.5–11 in mice [32].

In addition to differentiation into BC cells, migrating NCSCs (both multipotent and restricted) can differentiate into Schwann cell precursors (SCPs) at around E12 to E13 in mice [33]. Furthermore, both Krox20-expressing and Prss56-expressing BC cells can convert to SCPs in nerve roots and to satellite cells and nociceptive neurons in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG) [28,34,35]. SCPs are glial-restricted cells found in early embryonic nerves, which are in intimate contact with nerve axons and maintain a certain level of multipotency; they have the ability to generate endoneurial fibroblasts, melanocytes, and parasympathetic or enteric neurons. Although they share some common features with NCSCs, SCPs differ in the expression of specific glial differentiation genes and molecular markers, such as myelin protein 0 (P0), growth-associated protein 43 (GAP43), cadherin-19, and other molecular factors [36]. Another specific characteristic of SCPs is their dependence on axon-associated signals, which determine their proliferation and differentiation to myelinating or non-myelinating cells [37]. In the second stage of SC lineage development, a subset of SCPs converts into immature SCs at E13–15 in mice, regulated by a number of signals associated with axons, including neuregulin 1 (NRG1), endothelin, and the notch signaling pathway. Similar to SCPs, immature SCs maintain close contact with axons but differ substantially in their molecular phenotype, with increased expression of specific proteins, including glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and S100 calcium-binding protein (S100). In addition, the survival of immature SCs depends on autocrine signals, rather than axon-associated NRG1 signals.

In the subsequent stage, the associated axons determine the developmental type of immature SCs [38]. Immature SCs that are in contact with large-diameter axons, reaching a ratio of 1:1 through proliferation, and proceed to transform into myelinating SCs (mSCs) around birth [39]. In contrast, immature SCs in contact with small-diameter axons develop into mature non-myelinating SCs (nmSCs) at varying SC-to-axon ratios and form Remak bundles [37] (Figure 1).

	•	Boundary cap (BC) cell	Spinal root glial cells	→ 🚱 —	Myelin Schwann cell
	Neural crest stem cell		Schwann cell precursor (SCP)	Immature Schwann cell	Nonmyelin Schwann cell
Embryo Day	E8.5–9	E10.5–11	E12–13	E13–15	
Association features	Association with ECM	Association with axons	Association with axons	1:1 association with axons	
Survival Signals	Transcription factor FoxD3	GABA signaling	Axon secreted NRG-1	Autocrine survival circuits	
Molecular Markers	p75, SOX10, HNK1, Slug, Snail, Twist, AP-2α	p75, P0, KROX20/EGR2, PRSS56, Nestin, PSA-NCAM	p75, SOX10, BFABP, PLP, DHH, HOXB7, P0, Cadherin 19, GAP43	S100, GFAP	
Differentiation ability	Neurons, glia cells, bone, cartilage, melanocytes, fibroblasts, and smooth muscle cells	Schwann cells, myofibroblasts, astrocytes, and neurons	Endoneurial fibroblasts, melanocytes, and parasympathetic neurons	Myelin Schwann cells, and nonmyelin Schwann cells	

**Figure 1.** The developmental stage of SC lineage and corresponding characteristics of different cell types. Neural crest stem cells (NCSCs) can differentiate into multipotent boundary cap (BC) cells and SC precursors (SCPs). The SCPs further develop into immature SCs, which then differentiate into myelinating/non-myelinating SCs according to the associated axons. These mature types can de-differentiate upon specific mutation or injury into repair SCs. The corresponding embryogenesis time of each cell type in mice and other features, including their association characteristics, survival signals, molecular markers, and differentiation capacity, are listed relative to the cells.

# 2.1.1. The Cellular Origin of Neurofibroma

The cutaneous form of NF occurs in almost all NF1 patients, with tumors typically emerging around puberty and potentially increasing in number over the lifespan of the patients. In contrast, pNFs arise in around 30% of NF1 patients from early childhood and gradually expand throughout life. The significant differences between these two subtypes of neurofibromas and the phenomenon that mouse models develop pNF but fail to develop cNF at 100% frequency jointly indicate that the cellular origins of these lesions may differ. Specifically, their temporally and spatially distinct clinical characteristics support the hypothesis that pNFs are congenital lesions arising from the embryonic SC lineage, whereas cNFs likely derive from a more mature cell type in the SC lineage [40]. The study progress of the cellular origin of both pNF and cNF is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. The summarized study progress on the cellular origin of neurofibromas.

Study ID	Sub- types of NF	GEM Model	Cell of Origin	Supported Points	Unsupported/Unknown Points
Zhu et al., 2002 [12]	pNF	Krox20-Cre	SC lineage	Use of <i>Krox20-Cre</i> to ablate <i>Nf1</i> function within the SC lineage led to pNF.	The exact cellular origin re- mained unknown due to the extensive expression of <i>Krox20</i> in NCSCs, SCPs, and SCs.
Joseph et al., 2008 [41]	pNF	P0a-Cre	Later NCSC deriva- tives	Loss of <i>Nf1</i> function in NCSCs resulted in transient hyperproliferation instead	The authors failed to detect the cellular origin of cNF, with no typical cutaneous lesions

				of tumorigenesis. Neurofi- bromas may arise from dif- ferentiated cell types but not NCSCs.	generated in any of the mouse models.
Zheng et al., 2008 [42]	pNF	P0a-Cre	nmSCs	The molecular signatures of the proliferating neoplastic cells were similar to nmSCs but not NCSCs.	The specific mechanism lead- ing to the transformation of SCs from axon-associated to axon-disassociated cells in pNF remained unclear.
Le et al., 2011 [17]	pNF	Plp-CreER <sup>12</sup>	SCPs and immature SCs	The embryonic stage showed enhanced suscepti- bility to pNF formation compared with the adult stage.	Another study showed that loss of <i>Nf1</i> at either embryonic or adult SC stages could lead to neurofibroma formation [18].
Maye et al., 2011 [18]	pNF	Plp-Cre	Embryonic/adult SCs	Loss of <i>Nf1</i> in either embry- onic or adult SCs caused neurofibroma formation.	The capability of mature SCs to generate pNF was less sup- ported by its clinical manifes- tation as a congenital lesion.
Keng et al., 2012 [19]	pNF	Dhh-Cre	SCs and SCPs	Loss of <i>Pten and Nf1 was</i> sufficient for progressing from pNFs to MPNSTs.	A previous study using the <i>mGFAP-cre</i> with conditional inactivation of both <i>Pten</i> and <i>Nf1</i> failed to develop neurofibromas [43].
Chen et al., 2014 [20]	pNF	Plp-Cre	GAP43+ PLP+ SCPs	GAP43 <sup>+</sup> PLP <sup>+</sup> cells were de- tected in the embryonic nerve roots at E11.5, and acute loss of <i>Nf1</i> in SCPs led to pNF formation.	The remaining SCPs may per- sist into the adult stage and re- tain the capacity to form pNFs. However, the overlap of cell types in the transition from NCSCs to embryonic and mature SCs remained un- known.
Chaney et al., 2020 [21]	pNF	Dhh-Cre	Developing SCs	Loss of <i>Ink4a/Arf</i> in mice ( <i>CDKN2A</i> in humans) and <i>Nf1</i> generated paraspinal neurofibromas and precur- sor malignant lesions.	Malignant transformation only occurred after transplan- tation into secondary mice, in- dicating the necessity of an immune microenvironment for tumor progression.
Saito et al., 2007 [44]	cNF	Camk2-Cre	Neural crest-derived cells	Activation of the N-Ras sig- l naling pathway expressed in neural crest-derived cells caused cNF formation.	The differences between the Ras signals leading to cNF and pNF and the specific cell type of cNF origin remained un- clear.
Wu et al., 2008 [45]	cNF, pNF	Dhh-Cre	SCP	Loss of <i>Nf1</i> in SCs at E12.5 was sufficient to give rise to both pNF and cNF in a wild-type microenviron- ment.	The cNFs observed in mouse models were found outside the dermis, below the pan- niculus carnosus, differing from the location in humans.
Le et al., 2009 [46]	cNF, pNF	CMV- CreER <sup>T2</sup>	SKP	The capability of SKPs to express <i>Dhh</i> and generate both pNF and cNF was identified.	Since SKPs are a heterogene- ous cell population, the spe- cific subpopulation acting as the cellular origin of cNF

					remained unknown. In addi-
					tion, it was unclear whether
					there was a common cellular
					origin for cNF and pNF.
					Loss of N1 occurring before
Chen et al., 2019 [14]	cNF, pNF	Hoxb7-Cre	<i>Hoxb7</i> lineage-de- rived cells	Loss of <i>Nf1</i> in <i>Hoxb7</i> -de- rived cells could recapitu- late both pNF and cNF.	the bifurcation into distinct SC
					lineages and therefore giving
					rise to both cNF and pNF after
					subsequent differentiation was
					not definitively confirmed.
Radomska et al., 2019 [47]	cNF, pNF	Prss56-Cre	BC cells	BC-derived nmSCs and subepidermal SCs consti- tute the major population of pathogenic cells in pNF and cNF, respectively.	The differences in phenotypes between mouse models and human neurofibroma require further investigation.
Mo et al., 2021 [48]	cNF, pNF	SOX10⁺ cells	SOX10+ stem cells	Humanized models estab- lished using hiPSCs showed that inactivation of both <i>Nf1</i> alleles in mouse SOX10 <sup>+</sup> cells led to cNF and pNF formation.	This study further identified the common cells of origin for cNF and pNF, but an explana- tion of specific spatiotemporal differences was lacking.

NF: neurofibroma; GEM: genetically engineered mouse; pNF: plexiform neurofibroma; SC: Schwann cell; NCSC: neural crest stem cell; SCP: Schwann cell precursor; nmSC: non-myelinating Schwann cell; MPNST: malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor; cNF: cutaneous neurofibroma; SKP: skin-derived neural progenitors; Dhh: desert hedgehog; PLP: myelin proteolipid protein; hiP-SCs: human induced pluripotent stem cells.

# 2.1.2. The cellular origin of pNF

Although the hypothesis of the SC origin of neurofibroma has been put forward by researchers for decades, it was not until 2002 that GEM models successfully recapitulated human pNF lesions, definitively demonstrating the potential of SCs to be the lineage of origin. Knowing the crucial role of Krox20 in SC development, Zhu and coworkers used Krox20-Cre in mouse models to specifically delete Nf1 in SC lineage cells [12]. They found that loss of Nf1 from the SC lineage in an Nf1<sup>+/-</sup> environment successfully recapitulated pNF formation in spinal nerve roots. However, although Krox20-Cre could induce pNFs, the extensive expression of *Krox20* in NCSCs, SCPs, and SCs meant that the exact time of initiation and cells of origin remained unknown [29]. In 2008, Joseph et al. showed that germline deletion or conditional deletion of Nf1 using Wnt1-Cre led to transient hyperproliferation and self-renewal of NCSCs without typical tumor formation. In addition, no NCSCs were identified in normal adult peripheral nerves or the regions that develop neurofibroma, and no tumorigenicity due to Nf1 loss in NCSCs was observed. Accordingly, the authors speculated that neurofibromas might arise from later NCSC derivatives [41]. In the same year, Zheng et al. induced mutation of Nf1 in SCPs using POa-Cre rather than the Krox20-Cre, which led to pNF formation in the sciatic nerve. The results suggested that nmSCs of the Remak bundles might be the cellular origin for neurofibroma [42]. However, no conclusion could be drawn as to which stage in the SC lineage was critical for neurofibroma formation mediated by NF1 loss. In 2011, Le and colleagues reported that inducible *Plp-CreER*<sup>T2</sup>-mediated ablation of *Nf1* in SCs during both embryonic and adult stages resulted in peripheral nerve hyperplasia and pNF formation. However, embryonic stages (including SCPs and immature SCs) were more susceptible to pNF, in comparison with adult stages (100% versus 2%) [17]. Another study, carried out by Mayes and coworkers, proposed that embryonic and adult SCs had similar potential to give rise to neurofibromas; however, the clinical manifestation of pNFs as congenital lesions is less supportive

of a central role for mature SCs [18]. In 2014, Chen et al. reported that the cells of origin for paraspinal pNF were PLP+GAP43+ cells, which could be detected in the embryonic DRG at E11.5 but not at E13.5. It was also demonstrated that PLP+ cell populations included both embryonic Krox20+ and Dhh+ cells [20]. Due to their specific expression of molecular markers, PLP+GAP43+ cells were considered to be at the SCP developmental stage and therefore potentially the elusive cells of origin for paraspinal pNF. The authors hypothesized that there may be an overlapping of cell types in the transition from NCSCs to embryonic and mature SCs, such that a subpopulation of the remaining SCPs could continue into adulthood and retain the potential for pNF formation [20].

## 2.1.3. The Cellular Origin of cNF

Unlike the considerable achievements made in developing GEM models to study the cellular origin of pNF, few animal models have been established to recapitulate the characteristics of cNF, leaving its origin and pathogenic mechanisms relatively unknown. Given the near 100% incidence of cNF in NF1 individuals, there remains an urgent need to investigate the formation and development of cNF. The first GEM model to successfully generate cNF was produced by Satio et al. in 2007, using Camk2-Cre to drive N-Ras activation [44]. These transgenic mice exhibited hyperpigmentation of the epidermis throughout their lives and developed diffuse cNF later on. Nonetheless, pNF lesions and other manifestations, such as schwannomas and astrocytomas, were not detected in this study. The authors speculated that further signals in addition to activated N-Ras may be required for the development of these tumors. In 2008, Wu and colleagues established a GEM model using Dhh-Cre to inactivate the Nf1 gene [45]. In vivo ablation of Nf1 at E12.5 not only recapitulated human pNF but also effectively generated cNF in an Nf1+/- microenvironment. The results obtained in these studies overturned the previous view that cNF probably arose from mature cell types in the SC lineage, based on its time of initiation [40]. Regarding the location of cNF, follow-up studies further explored its specific origin, focusing on another stem cell population known as skin-derived precursors (SKPs), found in the dermis of humans and mice. SKPs are also multipotent, with the capacity to differentiate along neuronal and glial cell lineages, giving rise to SCs, neurons, adipocytes, and other cell types. In 2009, Le et al. pioneered research into the ability of SKPs to induce neurofibromas upon Nf1 loss. In this study, SKPs isolated from tamoxifen-treated Nf1-/-CMV-CreER<sup>T2</sup> mice that had been injected in the proximity of the sciatic nerves recapitulated pNF, indicating the intrinsic capacity of SKPs to generate neurofibromas. However, SKPs implanted in the dermis of mice could also generate classic cNF lesions [46]. These data suggested that a specific cell type within the SKP population was the cellular origin for cNF tumor initiation. However, since SKPs are a heterogeneous cell population, the essential questions of which subsets of cells give rise to which subtype of neurofibromas or whether there is a common origin within SKPs to form both cNFs and pNFs in the absence of NF1 remain to be answered.

#### 2.1.4. Associate pNF and cNF with a Common Stage of Origin

With the discovery of SKPs as a possible common origin for the different subtypes of neurofibromas, the previous concept of distinctive initiation stages was transformed to that of a shared initiation stage. The explanation for the difference in the timing and location of occurrence was the spatiotemporal difference in *NF1* loss at subsequent developmental stages. In 2019, Chen and coworkers [14], as well as Radomska and colleagues, proposed that cNFs and pNFs may originate separately from the same cell population, *HoxB7/Prss56*-expressing BC cells or SCPs [47]. However, despite the effective generation of pNFs and cNFs in GEM models and breakthroughs in the hypothesis of cellular origin, the distinctive phenotypes observed in mouse models and human neurofibroma require further investigation. In 2021, Mo et al. used human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiP-SCs) to identify the common cells susceptible to mutation in different types of neurofibromas [48]. The results suggested that biallelic inactivation of *Nf1* in SOX10<sup>+</sup> cells of the SC

lineage could lead to the formation of both cNFs and pNFs. Future investigations utilizing these hiPSC lines will allow the mechanisms that define neurofibroma formation to be better understood by applying the insights gained from studies into cellular origin.

# 2.2. Alterations in SCs in the Early Stage of Tumorigenesis

Under normal circumstances, SCs cover most of the surface of peripheral nerve axons, and their behavior is recognized to be adhesively controlled by axonal contact. Signals regulating survival, proliferation, and differentiation transmitted via axons during embryonic and adult stages are regarded as vital to maintaining SCs in a differentiated state and ensuring normal neural functions [38, 49]. In recent years, the molecular mechanisms of SC–axonal interactions, including the NRG1-ErbB signaling pathway, have been widely studied.

Loss of contact between transiently proliferating SCs and axons is a common occurrence in the early stages of neurofibroma development [45]. A mechanistic explanation provided for this crucial event is that disruption to SC–axonal interactions results from the Ras-Raf-ERK-dependent downregulation of an SC surface protein named semaphorin 4F (Sema4F) [50]. High levels of Ras signaling and low levels of Sema4F trigger tumorigenic properties in neoplastic SCs, inducing increased proliferation. In addition to the molecular mechanisms of pNF, Radomska et al. provided a perspective on the occurrence of cNF [47]. They hypothesized that the increase in density of local innervation in mutant skin might be a mechanism to compensate for SC hyperplasia in order to maintain appropriate levels of contact; however, when overridden, SCs can no longer interact with axons, and the increased branching may lead to a pro-tumorigenic phenotype. The branching capacity of nerve terminals in the upper dermis may be associated with the lack of perineurium [47].

# 3. Neurofibroma Progression

#### 3.1. SCs Contribution and Lineage Shift

In the process of neurofibroma growth and progression, SCs, the most abundant glial cells in the PNS and also the suspectable tumor cells of neurofibromas, have been shown to play multiple roles. Stonecypher et al. found that neoplastic SCs could produce NRG1, which then promoted neoplastic SC proliferation in an autocrine or paracrine way [51]. Neoplastic SCs also secreted cytokines, such as stem cell factor (SCF) and colony-stimulating factor 1 (CSF1); such factors were proposed to act in a "cytokine-cytokine receptor" manner, recruiting immune cells such as mast cells and macrophages, both of which secrete transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ) to active neurofibroma-associated fibroblasts for ECM remodeling [51]. As in the process of neurofibroma formation, a process of rapid de-differentiation of SCs is triggered by axonal damage, which subsequently destroys the myelin sheath. With the development and progression of the tumor, these SCs undergo consistent de-differentiation and finally revert to a progenitor-like state of proliferation [52]. In this process of cellular transition, the synergistic effects of the Ras-Raf-MEK-ERK pathway and inflammatory signals have been demonstrated as the driving factors [52]. Several studies have been explored to identify related inflammatory signals and determine altered gene expression patterns involved in this conversion process, including downregulation of genes coding for the key myelin transcription factor *Krox20*, as well as structural proteins such as P0, and upregulation of pro-inflammatory factors, such as tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  (TNF $\alpha$ ), interleukin-1 $\alpha$  (IL-1 $\alpha$ ), and interleukin-1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ) [47,53,54].

Specifically, additional effects of nerve injury in facilitating SC phenotype transition have also been recognized. To verify this, the researchers obtained pigmented melanocytes (probably by SC trans-differentiation) and rare neurofibroma formation after cutting the sciatic nerve in *Nf1* heterozygous mice [55]. Ribeiro et al. performed nerve crush in *P0-Nf1*<sup>fl/fl</sup> and P0-*Nf1*<sup>fl/-</sup> mice that do not develop neurofibromas, and observed infiltration of immune cells and appearance of neurofibromas [56].

Wound repair following local trauma is regarded as a dynamic process followed by three main phases—inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling—in which various candidate mediators participate [57]. Thus, upon local trauma, the demand for new undifferentiated cells is met by the nerve regeneration capacity, which can promote the transformation of mSCs and Remak bundles into repair SCs, which is a pro-tumorigenic phenotype and capable of accelerating neurofibroma progression [52] (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** SC lineage shift and contributing factors in neurofibroma progression. The neoplastic SCs can rapidly de-differentiate to a progenitor-like state, disrupting SC–axonal interactions with tumor development. The underlying mechanism involves Ras-dependent downregulation of an SC surface protein, semaphorin 4F (Sema4F), together with elevated inflammatory signals, especially upon injury. Other environmental factors, including cellular and non-cellular components, further create a tumor-promoting microenvironment. The proliferative state of neoplastic cells and supportive tumor microenvironment combined to promote neurofibroma progression. ↑ : upregulation of signaling pathways; ↓ : downregulation in expression.

## 3.2. Role of the Tumor Microenvironment

During the early embryonic stages, the microenvironment appears to be tumor-suppressive, allowing normal differentiation and proliferation of  $NF^{-/-}$  SCPs [52]. However, as neurofibromas develop, the nerve microenvironment converts to a tumor-promoting type, with complex mutual interactions between cellular and non-cellular components. As heterogeneous tumors, neurofibromas comprise neoplastic SCs as well as fibroblasts, immune cells, neurons, endothelial cells, and ECM components. In addition to the original neoplastic cells, the non-neoplastic cell types in the tumor microenvironment are also crucial in the development of neurofibromas. A series of genetic studies have demonstrated that *NF1*-homozygous SC lineage cells and haploinsufficiency of *NF1* in non-neuronal cells are both required to promote the pathogenesis of neurofibroma [45,46,58–61]. The complex effects of the tumor microenvironment on neurofibroma formation and progression, especially the intricate interactions of both cellular and non-cellular components, have been summarized in detail in a review published in 2021 [62]; however, specific mechanisms remain unclear. Moreover, the occurrence of neurofibroma in normal individuals, as well as the recognition of patient subgroups with mosaic NF1 caused by postzygotic NF1 mutation, suggest that an  $NF1^{+/-}$  environment may not necessarily be required for neurofibroma formation [45]. Thus, further studies and animal models are still urgently required to recapitulate the characteristics of the human neurofibroma microenvironment and shed light on its function in neurofibroma growth and progression.

# 4. Malignant Transformation of Neurofibroma

# 4.1. SCs Transition and Microenvironment Alteration

Transformation of neurofibromas to MPNSTs in NF1 patients occurs with a frequency of 8%–16% [63], and benign and malignant lesions have distinct cellular and molecular characteristics, as well as different clinical and pathological behaviors [64]. With the neurofibroma–MPNST progression, the immunohistochemical characteristics suggest a dramatic change of molecular phenotypes referring to distinct SC compositions within the tumor. Lee et al. utilized microarray analyses to identify the abnormal profiles in an MPNST-derived cell line, T265, by comparing them with that of normal human SCs [65]. The findings indicated that widespread dysregulation of fundamental biological processes is essential for the proliferation and aggressiveness of malignant cells. As a molecular marker of SCs in neurofibroma, S100 protein (cytoplasmic and nuclear) and SOX10 (nuclear) expression are often reduced or even absent on progression to MPNST, which can be partially explained by a decrease in the proportion of differentiated SCs during malignant transformation [66]. In contrast to the low expression levels of CXCR4 and its ligands, CXCL12, in the embryonic SC lineage as the origin of NF1-deficient tumors, high levels in tumor cells from MPNST mouse models were measured by Western blotting. Moreover, the use of AMD3100 to antagonize CXCR4 was demonstrated to have proliferation-inhibitory effects on mouse and human MPNST cells [67]. Moreover, loss of CD34positive fibroblastic network, together with changes in ECM components in comparison to benign lesions, could lead to altered cell-cell interactions within the tumor microenvironment, further promoting the development of MPNST [66,68].

# 4.2. Accumulation of Additional Gene Mutations

A second hit, also known as somatic mutation, inactivates the remaining wild-type copy of NF1, which is the main underlying mechanism for the initiation of PNSTs [69]. On this basis, malignant transformation is thought to be necessarily linked to additional gene mutations. Atypical neurofibromatous neoplasms of uncertain biologic potential (AN-NUBP) are the precursor lesion of NF1-related MPNSTs, representing a pre-malignant state. Pemov et al. stated that deletion of the cell cycle regulator locus CDKN2A/B, along with loss of the NF1 gene, is a required step for pNFs to develop into ANNUBPs and subsequently progress to MPNSTs [70]. In addition, copy number variation and mutations in the tumor suppressor gene TP53 have been identified in some NF1-related MPNST cases [71]. The first GEM model of MPNST induced mutation of both Nf1 and Tp53 on chromosome 11 as the initiation event, which represented a milestone in the NF1 research field. However, subsequent studies showed a relatively low penetrance of Tp53 gene changes (around 30%) in MPNST, indicating that it was not essential for all malignant cases [72,73]. Subsequently, a third hit is required to trigger MPNST formation, including the inactivation of polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) subunits, i.e., the suppressor of zeste 12 homolog (SUZ12), and embryonic ectoderm development (EED) genes, occurring in approximately 70% of the NF1-related MPNSTs [74]. Apart from the typical ablation of tumor suppressor genes and loss-of-function of the core components for proper PRC2 function, other candidate genes have been proposed for NF1-related MPNST development, the most frequently reported ones including SOX9/10, ERBB2/3, TWIST1, FGFR,

*EGFR1, PTEN, BRAF, TOP2A, KIT,* and *PDGFRA*. [75–81]. Despite extensive studies on the genetics of MPNSTs, a full understanding of their molecular diversity is lacking [11].

#### 4.3. Dysregulated Signaling Pathways

Although tumor suppressor gene mutations play an important role in MPNST pathogenesis, it is likely that dysregulated signaling by as yet unidentified growth factors also contributes to the formation of these soft tissue sarcomas. In addition, overexpression of several growth factors and growth factor receptors that act as key upstream mediators of Ras activation has been suggested to play a vital role in promoting malignant transformation to MPNSTs [82]. NRG1 growth factors have been suggested as candidate promoters of mitogenesis in both neurofibromas and MPNSTs. Neoplastic SCs within these tumors variably co-express ErbB kinases (which mediate the NRG1 response) with upregulated NRG1, suggesting the promotion of autocrine or paracrine survival and proliferation signaling pathways in tumor cells [51,64,83]. EGFR, a membrane RTK closely related to the NRG1 receptors, has also been implicated in the pathogenesis of MPNSTs. Other factors or receptors, such as hepatocyte growth factor and its receptor c-MET [84,85], plateletderived growth factor [86], TGF- $\beta$  [87], insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor [88], midkine [89], lysophosphatidic acid [90], and stem cell factor and its receptor c-Kit [91], have also been reported to participate in the development of both neurofibromas and MPNSTs. In addition, critical signals, including MAPK, mTOR, and Wnt pathways, are regarded as core regulators of the progression from pNF to MPNST [92–94].

# 5. Discussion and Future Directions

In this review, we have collated evidence of the exceptional efforts and breakthroughs made in the study of the formation, progression, and malignant transformation of different types of neurofibromas in NF1 patients. Thanks to the successful establishment of various GEM models, as well as the recent application of hiPSCs to produce humanized models of NF1-associated neurofibromas, lesions completely recapitulating their human counterparts have been effectively generated for the investigation of intrinsic mechanisms. From the hypothesis of SC lineage to stem cells as early-stage tumor cells, the understanding of pNF and cNF initiation has evolved from the assumption of diverse stages to the notion of a common initiating stage, explained by subsequent spatiotemporal differences in NF1 ablation. The wide range of tumor subtypes and their diverse locations support the concept of NF1 loss in undifferentiated precursor cells during early developmental stages. However, there remains a view that the development of the SC lineage from NCSCs to immature stages and onward to maturity is not firmly governed by defined and periodic transitions. Instead, considerable overlap can be seen in developmental phases; the precursor stage of SCs can therefore persist into adulthood and retain the potential for neurofibroma formation. Nonetheless, no consensus has so far been reached about tumor initiation in neurofibroma cells. The facility for further investigation of specific cellular origin provides fertile ground for additional insights into the entire process of neurofibroma development from benign lesions to MPNSTs.

Apart from the essential role of neoplastic cells in tumor formation and progression, the cellular and non-cellular components of the tumor microenvironment are also regarded as indispensable elements in NF1-associated neurofibroma development. Various cell types, including inflammatory cells, fibroblast cells, endothelial cells, and others, are closely associated with the abnormally proliferating tumor cells through complex interaction mechanisms. Furthermore, ECM constituents, additional modifications, signaling pathways, and local trauma or injury, as well as specific hormones, can all exert considerable influence on the pathogenesis of neurofibroma [14,47,62]. Contrasting opinions about the necessity for an  $NF1^{+/-}$  microenvironment in neurofibroma initiation and progression [45,46] show the urgent need for humanized and animal models to illustrate the role of the tumor microenvironment better. The classification of the mechanisms within each participating factor further requires the identification of molecular markers. Recently, Brosseau and colleagues were the first to perform single-cell RNA sequencing analysis using human neurofibroma samples to identify potential markers [95], providing new insight into the tumor microenvironment; however, there are still large gaps to fill in this field of research.

In addition, the contribution of nerves to the pathogenesis of various types of cancers has emerged as an important component in the tumor microenvironment and led to a new research hotspot [96–98]. As a defined PNST itself, the possible role of nerve tissue in the constitution of the microenvironment and the promotion of neurofibroma development, as well as specific mechanisms of action, remains poorly investigated. Liao et al. showed that NF1-deleted SKPs could give rise to neurofibromas only when injected into the periphery of the injured sciatic nerve [99]. Notably, they also established a three-dimensional skin raft culture using NF1<sup>+/-</sup> nerve tissues together with NF1<sup>+/-</sup> SKPs, thereby further supporting the hypothesis that nerves are essential environmental factors to facilitate neurofibroma development in subcutaneous tissues [99]. Recently, a mechanistic study conducted by Anastasaki et al. found elevated baseline neuronal excitability and deregulated hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channel function in NF1-deleted sensory neurons. The subsequent collagen-type I alpha 2 chain (COL1A2) secretion leads to increased NF1-mutant SC proliferation and pNF growth [100]. However, this area still requires further research, focusing on the impact of nerve tissue as a regulator in the neurofibroma microenvironment and the role of the tumor microenvironment in recruiting nerves.

As malignant sarcomas of the soft tissue, NF1-associated MPNSTs tend to arise from the progression of patients with pNF rather than cNF; however, the underlying mechanisms are poorly understood. Although researchers have proposed the hypothesis that the unique susceptibility of pNFs to malignant transformation indicates that this subtype includes specific susceptible cell populations [11], no relevant models have been established, and there is little supporting evidence. In addition, the lack of comprehensive genetic data for numerous MPNST cell lines has largely hindered pathogenesis study and novel therapy development [101]. Tremendous efforts should be made to establish a detailed database, providing a platform for further research, such as genotype–phenotype correlation.

# 6. Conclusions

To conclude, the wealth of work exploring the pathogenesis of neurofibromas in NF1 individuals presented in this review has brought in-depth insights into the pathogenesis of the full range of benign tumors as well as MPNSTs. However, there is still a large gap in the existing understanding of many detailed aspects of neurofibroma development, as described above. Therefore, further clarification of cellular origin, the role of the tumor microenvironment, and mechanisms of malignant transformation will be of the utmost importance to enable the pathogenesis of neurofibroma to be expounded more fully. This will facilitate the discovery and evaluation of precise therapeutic targets in the near future.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Z.-C.W. and H.-B.Z.; methodology, Z.-C.W. and H.-B.Z.; investigation, L.-L.G. and M.-Y.X.; resources, L.-L.G. and M.-Y.X.; data curation, L.-L.G. and M.-Y.X.; writing—original draft preparation, L.-L.G.; writing—review and editing, M.-Y.X., Z.-C.W., and H.-B.Z.; visualization, L.-L.G.; supervision, Z.-C.W. and H.-B.Z.; project administration, Z.-C.W. and H.-B.Z.; funding acquisition, Z.-C.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82102344); the Shanghai Rising Star Program supported by the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (20QA1405600); the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (19JC1413); the Natural Science Foundation of Shanghai (22ZR1422300); the "Chenguang Program" supported by the Shanghai Education Development Foundation (SHEDF) (19CG18); the Shanghai Municipal Key Clinical Specialty (shslczdzk00901); the innovative research team of high-level local universities in Shanghai (SSMU-ZDCX20180700).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Xu, G.F.; O'Connell, P.; Viskochil, D.; Cawthon, R.; Robertson, M.; Culver, M.; Dunn, D.; Stevens, J.; Gesteland, R.; White, R.; et al. The neurofibromatosis type 1 gene encodes a protein related to GAP. *Cell* **1990**, *62*, 599–608.
- 2. Friedman, J.M. Epidemiology of neurofibromatosis type 1. Am. J. Med. Genet. 1999, 89, 1-6.
- 3. Scala, M.; Schiavetti, I.; Madia, F.; Chelleri, C.; Piccolo, G.; Accogli, A.; Riva, A.; Salpietro, V.; Bocciardi, R.; Morcaldi, G.; et al. Genotype-Phenotype Correlations in Neurofibromatosis Type 1: A Single-Center Cohort Study. *Cancers* **2021**, *13*, 1879.
- 4. Ferner, R.E. Neurofibromatosis 1. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 2007, 15, 131–138.
- 5. Dugoff, L.; Sujansky, E. Neurofibromatosis type 1 and pregnancy. Am. J. Med. Genet. 1996, 66, 7–10.
- Brosseau, J.P.; Pichard, D.C.; Legius, E.H.; Wolkenstein, P.; Lavker, R.M.; Blakeley, J.O.; Riccardi, V.M.; Verma, S.K.; Brownell, I.; Le, L.Q. The biology of cutaneous neurofibromas: Consensus recommendations for setting research priorities. *Neurology* 2018, 91, S14–S20.
- Akshintala, S.; Baldwin, A.; Liewehr, D.J.; Goodwin, A.; Blakeley, J.O.; Gross, A.M.; Steinberg, S.M.; Dombi, E.; Widemann, B.C. Longitudinal evaluation of peripheral nerve sheath tumors in neurofibromatosis type 1: Growth analysis of plexiform neurofibromas and distinct nodular lesions. *Neuro-Oncol.* 2020, 22, 1368–1378.
- 8. Woodruff, J.M. Pathology of tumors of the peripheral nerve sheath in type 1 neurofibromatosis. Am. J. Med. Genet. 1999, 89, 23–30.
- 9. Sørensen, S.A.; Mulvihill, J.J.; Nielsen, A. Long-term follow-up of von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis. Survival and malignant neoplasms. N. Engl. J. Med. **1986**, 314, 1010–1015.
- 10. Evans, D.G.; Baser, M.E.; McGaughran, J.; Sharif, S.; Howard, E.; Moran, A. Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours in neurofibromatosis 1. *J. Med. Genet.* **2002**, *39*, 311–314.
- 11. Mohamad, T.; Plante, C.; Brosseau, J.P. Toward Understanding the Mechanisms of Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumor Development. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 8620.
- 12. Zhu, Y.; Ghosh, P.; Charnay, P.; Burns, D.K.; Parada, L.F. Neurofibromas in NF1: Schwann cell origin and role of tumor environment. *Science* 2002, 296, 920–922.
- 13. Sheela, S.; Riccardi, V.M.; Ratner, N. Angiogenic and invasive properties of neurofibroma Schwann cells. J. Cell Biol. **1990**, 111, 645–653.
- 14. Chen, Z.; Mo, J.; Brosseau, J.P.; Shipman, T.; Wang, Y.; Liao, C.P.; Cooper, J.M.; Allaway, R.J.; Gosline, S.J.C.; Guinney, J.; et al. Spatiotemporal Loss of NF1 in Schwann Cell Lineage Leads to Different Types of Cutaneous Neurofibroma Susceptible to Modification by the Hippo Pathway. *Cancer Discov.* **2019**, *9*, 114–129.
- 15. Crump, T. Translation of case reports in Ueber die multiplen Fibrome der Haut und ihre Beziehung zu den multiplen Neuromen by F. v. Recklinghausen. *Adv. Neurol.* **1981**, *29*, 259–275.
- 16. Kamata, Y. Study on the ultrastructure and acetylcholinesterase activity in von Recklinghausen's neurofibromatosis. *Acta Pathol. Jpn.* **1978**, *28*, 393–410.
- 17. Le, L.Q.; Liu, C.; Shipman, T.; Chen, Z.; Suter, U.; Parada, L.F. Susceptible stages in Schwann cells for NF1-associated plexiform neurofibroma development. *Cancer Res.* **2011**, *71*, 4686–4695.
- 18. Mayes, D.A.; Rizvi, T.A.; Cancelas, J.A.; Kolasinski, N.T.; Ciraolo, G.M.; Stemmer-Rachamimov, A.O.; Ratner, N. Perinatal or adult Nf1 inactivation using tamoxifen-inducible PlpCre each cause neurofibroma formation. *Cancer Res.* **2011**, *71*, 4675–4685.
- Keng, V.W.; Rahrmann, E.P.; Watson, A.L.; Tschida, B.R.; Moertel, C.L.; Jessen, W.J.; Rizvi, T.A.; Collins, M.H.; Ratner, N.; Largaespada, D.A. PTEN and NF1 inactivation in Schwann cells produces a severe phenotype in the peripheral nervous system that promotes the development and malignant progression of peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Cancer Res.* 2012, 72, 3405–3413.
- 20. Chen, Z.; Liu, C.; Patel, A.J.; Liao, C.P.; Wang, Y.; Le, L.Q. Cells of origin in the embryonic nerve roots for NF1-associated plexiform neurofibroma. *Cancer Cell* **2014**, *26*, 695–706.
- Chaney, K.E.; Perrino, M.R.; Kershner, L.J.; Patel, A.V.; Wu, J.; Choi, K.; Rizvi, T.A.; Dombi, E.; Szabo, S.; Largaespada, D.A.; et al. Cdkn2a Loss in a Model of Neurofibroma Demonstrates Stepwise Tumor Progression to Atypical Neurofibroma and MPNST. *Cancer Res.* 2020, *80*, 4720–4730.
- 22. Stemple, D.L.; Anderson, D.J. Isolation of a stem cell for neurons and glia from the mammalian neural crest. Cell 1992, 71, 973–985.
- 23. Achilleos, A.; Trainor, P.A. Neural crest stem cells: Discovery, properties and potential for therapy. *Cell Res.* 2012, 22, 288–304.
- 24. Soldatov, R.; Kaucka, M.; Kastriti, M.E.; Petersen, J.; Chontorotzea, T.; Englmaier, L.; Akkuratova, N.; Yang, Y.; Häring, M.; Dyachuk, V.; et al. Spatiotemporal structure of cell fate decisions in murine neural crest. *Science* **2019**, *364*, 9536.
- 25. Soto, J.; Ding, X.; Wang, A.; Li, S. Neural crest-like stem cells for tissue regeneration. *Stem Cells Transl. Med.* **2021**, *10*, 681–693.
- 26. Golding, J.P.; Cohen, J. Border controls at the mammalian spinal cord: Late-surviving neural crest boundary cap cells at dorsal root entry sites may regulate sensory afferent ingrowth and entry zone morphogenesis. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* **1997**, *9*, 381–396.
- 27. Niederländer, C.; Lumsden, A. Late emigrating neural crest cells migrate specifically to the exit points of cranial branchiomotor nerves. *Development* **1996**, *122*, 2367–2374.
- 28. Radomska, K.J.; Topilko, P. Boundary cap cells in development and disease. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 2017, 47, 209–215.
- 29. Topilko, P.; Schneider-Maunoury, S.; Levi, G.; Baron-Van Evercooren, A.; Chennoufi, A.B.; Seitanidou, T.; Babinet, C.; Charnay, P. Krox-20 controls myelination in the peripheral nervous system. *Nature* **1994**, *371*, 796–799.

- Zujovic, V.; Thibaud, J.; Bachelin, C.; Vidal, M.; Deboux, C.; Coulpier, F.; Stadler, N.; Charnay, P.; Topilko, P.; Baron-Van Evercooren, A. Boundary cap cells are peripheral nervous system stem cells that can be redirected into central nervous system lineages. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2011, 108, 10714–10719.
- 31. Gresset, A.; Coulpier, F.; Gerschenfeld, G.; Jourdon, A.; Matesic, G.; Richard, L.; Vallat, J.M.; Charnay, P.; Topilko, P. Boundary Caps Give Rise to Neurogenic Stem Cells and Terminal Glia in the Skin. *Stem Cell Rep.* **2015**, *5*, 278–290.
- 32. Coulpier, F.; Le Crom, S.; Maro, G.S.; Manent, J.; Giovannini, M.; Maciorowski, Z.; Fischer, A.; Gessler, M.; Charnay, P.; Topilko, P. Novel features of boundary cap cells revealed by the analysis of newly identified molecular markers. *Glia* **2009**, *57*, 1450–1457.
- 33. Dong, Z.; Sinanan, A.; Parkinson, D.; Parmantier, E.; Mirsky, R.; Jessen, K.R. Schwann cell development in embryonic mouse nerves. J. Neurosci. Res. 1999, 56, 334–348.
- 34. Jessen, K.R.; Mirsky, R. The origin and development of glial cells in peripheral nerves. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2005, 6, 671–682.
- 35. Maro, G.S.; Vermeren, M.; Voiculescu, O.; Melton, L.; Cohen, J.; Charnay, P.; Topilko, P. Neural crest boundary cap cells constitute a source of neuronal and glial cells of the PNS. *Nat. Neurosci.* **2004**, *7*, 930–938.
- 36. Jessen, K.R.; Mirsky, R. Schwann cells and their precursors emerge as major regulators of nerve development. *Trends Neurosci* **1999**, *22*, 402–410.
- 37. Jessen, K.R.; Mirsky, R. Schwann Cell Precursors; Multipotent Glial Cells in Embryonic Nerves. Front. Mol. Neurosci. 2019, 12, 69.
- 38. Soto, J.; Monje, P.V. Axon contact-driven Schwann cell dedifferentiation. Glia 2017, 65, 864–882.
- Feltri, M.L.; Poitelon, Y.; Previtali, S.C. How Schwann Cells Sort Axons: New Concepts. Neurosci. A Rev. J. Bringing Neurobiol. Neurol. Psychiatry 2016, 22, 252–265.
- 40. Zhu, Y.; Parada, L.F. The molecular and genetic basis of neurological tumours. Nat Rev Cancer 2002, 2, 616-626.
- 41. Joseph, N.M.; Mosher, J.T.; Buchstaller, J.; Snider, P.; McKeever, P.E.; Lim, M.; Conway, S.J.; Parada, L.F.; Zhu, Y.; Morrison, S.J. The loss of Nf1 transiently promotes self-renewal but not tumorigenesis by neural crest stem cells. *Cancer Cell* **2008**, *13*, 129–140.
- 42. Zheng, H.; Chang, L.; Patel, N.; Yang, J.; Lowe, L.; Burns, D.K.; Zhu, Y. Induction of abnormal proliferation by nonmyelinating schwann cells triggers neurofibroma formation. *Cancer Cell* **2008**, *13*, 117–128.
- 43. Vitale, G.; Mattiaccio, A.; Conti, A.; Turco, L.; Seri, M.; Piscaglia, F.; Morelli, M.C. Genetics in Familial Intrahepatic Cholestasis: Clinical Patterns and Development of Liver and Biliary Cancers: A Review of the Literature. *Cancers* **2022**, *14*, 3421.
- 44. Saito, H.; Yoshida, T.; Yamazaki, H.; Suzuki, N. Conditional N-rasG12V expression promotes manifestations of neurofibromatosis in a mouse model. *Oncogene* **2007**, *26*, 4714–4719.
- 45. Wu, J.; Williams, J.P.; Rizvi, T.A.; Kordich, J.J.; Witte, D.; Meijer, D.; Stemmer-Rachamimov, A.O.; Cancelas, J.A.; Ratner, N. Plexiform and dermal neurofibromas and pigmentation are caused by Nf1 loss in desert hedgehog-expressing cells. *Cancer Cell* **2008**, *13*, 105–116.
- 46. Le, L.Q.; Shipman, T.; Burns, D.K.; Parada, L.F. Cell of origin and microenvironment contribution for NF1-associated dermal neurofibromas. *Cell Stem Cell* **2009**, *4*, 453–463.
- Radomska, K.J.; Coulpier, F.; Gresset, A.; Schmitt, A.; Debbiche, A.; Lemoine, S.; Wolkenstein, P.; Vallat, J.M.; Charnay, P.; Topilko, P. Cellular Origin, Tumor Progression, and Pathogenic Mechanisms of Cutaneous Neurofibromas Revealed by Mice with Nf1 Knockout in Boundary Cap Cells. *Cancer Discov.* 2019, *9*, 130–147.
- 48. Mo, J.; Anastasaki, C.; Chen, Z.; Shipman, T.; Papke, J.; Yin, K.; Gutmann, D.H.; Le, L.Q. Humanized neurofibroma model from induced pluripotent stem cells delineates tumor pathogenesis and developmental origins. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2021**, *131*, e139807.
- Corfas, G.; Velardez, M.O.; Ko, C.P.; Ratner, N.; Peles, E. Mechanisms and roles of axon-Schwann cell interactions. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci. 2004, 24, 9250–9260.
- Parrinello, S.; Noon, L.A.; Harrisingh, M.C.; Wingfield Digby, P.; Rosenberg, L.H.; Cremona, C.A.; Echave, P.; Flanagan, A.M.; Parada, L.F.; Lloyd, A.C. NF1 loss disrupts Schwann cell-axonal interactions: A novel role for semaphorin 4F. *Genes Dev* 2008, 22, 3335–3348.
- 51. Stonecypher, M.S.; Byer, S.J.; Grizzle, W.E.; Carroll, S.L. Activation of the neuregulin-1/ErbB signaling pathway promotes the proliferation of neoplastic Schwann cells in human malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Oncogene* **2005**, *24*, 5589–5605.
- 52. Parrinello, S.; Lloyd, A.C. Neurofibroma development in NF1-insights into tumour initiation. Trends Cell Biol. 2009, 19, 395-403.
- 53. Jessen, K.R.; Mirsky, R. The repair Schwann cell and its function in regenerating nerves. J. Physiol. 2016, 594, 3521–3531.
- 54. Shamash, S.; Reichert, F.; Rotshenker, S. The cytokine network of Wallerian degeneration: Tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-1alpha, and interleukin-1beta. *J. Neurosci.* **2002**, *22*, 3052–3060.
- 55. Rizvi, T.A.; Huang, Y.; Sidani, A.; Atit, R.; Largaespada, D.A.; Boissy, R.E.; Ratner, N. A novel cytokine pathway suppresses glial cell melanogenesis after injury to adult nerve. *J. Neurosci.* **2002**, *22*, 9831–9840.
- 56. Ribeiro, S.; Napoli, I.; White, I.J.; Parrinello, S.; Flanagan, A.M.; Suter, U.; Parada, L.F.; Lloyd, A.C. Injury signals cooperate with Nf1 loss to relieve the tumor-suppressive environment of adult peripheral nerve. *Cell Rep.* **2013**, *5*, 126–136.
- 57. Singer, A.J.; Clark, R.A. Cutaneous wound healing. N. Engl. J. Med. 1999, 341, 738–746.
- Liao, C.P.; Booker, R.C.; Brosseau, J.P.; Chen, Z.; Mo, J.; Tchegnon, E.; Wang, Y.; Clapp, D.W.; Le, L.Q. Contributions of inflammation and tumor microenvironment to neurofibroma tumorigenesis. J. Clin. Investig. 2018, 128, 2848–2861.
- 59. Yang, F.C.; Ingram, D.A.; Chen, S.; Zhu, Y.; Yuan, J.; Li, X.; Yang, X.; Knowles, S.; Horn, W.; Li, Y.; et al. Nf1-dependent tumors require a microenvironment containing *Nf1+/-* and c-kit-dependent bone marrow. *Cell* **2008**, *135*, 437–448.
- Li, F.; Munchhof, A.M.; White, H.A.; Mead, L.E.; Krier, T.R.; Fenoglio, A.; Chen, S.; Wu, X.; Cai, S.; Yang, F.C.; et al. Neurofibromin is a novel regulator of RAS-induced signals in primary vascular smooth muscle cells. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 2006, 15, 1921–1930.

- Staser, K.; Yang, F.C.; Clapp, D.W. Pathogenesis of plexiform neurofibroma: Tumor-stromal/hematopoietic interactions in tumor progression. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* 2012, 7, 469–495.
- 62. Jiang, C.; McKay, R.M.; Le, L.Q. Tumorigenesis in neurofibromatosis type 1: Role of the microenvironment. *Oncogene* **2021**, *40*, 5781–5787.
- Uusitalo, E.; Rantanen, M.; Kallionpää, R.A.; Pöyhönen, M.; Leppävirta, J.; Ylä-Outinen, H.; Riccardi, V.M.; Pukkala, E.; Pitkäniemi, J.; Peltonen, S.; et al. Distinctive Cancer Associations in Patients With Neurofibromatosis Type 1. J. Clin. Oncol. 2016, 34, 1978–1986.
- 64. Carroll, S.L. Molecular mechanisms promoting the pathogenesis of Schwann cell neoplasms. Acta Neuropathol. 2012, 123, 321–348.
- 65. Lee, P.R.; Cohen, J.E.; Tendi, E.A.; Farrer, R.; GH, D.E.V.; Becker, K.G.; Fields, R.D. Transcriptional profiling in an MPNSTderived cell line and normal human Schwann cells. *Neuron. Glia Biol.* 2004, *1*, 135–147.
- 66. Miettinen, M.M.; Antonescu, C.R.; Fletcher, C.D.M.; Kim, A.; Lazar, A.J.; Quezado, M.M.; Reilly, K.M.; Stemmer-Rachamimov, A.; Stewart, D.R.; Viskochil, D.; et al. Histopathologic evaluation of atypical neurofibromatous tumors and their transformation into malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor in patients with neurofibromatosis 1-a consensus overview. *Hum. Pathol.* 2017, 67, 1–10.
- 67. Mo, W.; Chen, J.; Patel, A.; Zhang, L.; Chau, V.; Li, Y.; Cho, W.; Lim, K.; Xu, J.; Lazar, A.J.; et al. CXCR4/CXCL12 mediate autocrine cell- cycle progression in NF1-associated malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Cell* **2013**, *152*, 1077–1090.
- 68. Hirose, T.; Tani, T.; Shimada, T.; Ishizawa, K.; Shimada, S.; Sano, T. Immunohistochemical demonstration of EMA/Glut1-positive perineurial cells and CD34-positive fibroblastic cells in peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Mod. Pathol.* 2003, *16*, 293–298.
- Jouhilahti, E.M.; Peltonen, S.; Heape, A.M.; Peltonen, J. The pathoetiology of neurofibromatosis 1. Am. J. Pathol. 2011, 178, 1932– 1939.
- Pemov, A.; Hansen, N.F.; Sindiri, S.; Patidar, R.; Higham, C.S.; Dombi, E.; Miettinen, M.M.; Fetsch, P.; Brems, H.; Chandrasekharappa, S.C.; et al. mutation burden and frequent loss of CDKN2A/B and SMARCA2, but not PRC2, define premalignant neurofibromatosis type 1-associated atypical neurofibromas. *Neuro-Oncol.* 2019, *21*, 981–992.
- Menon, A.G.; Anderson, K.M.; Riccardi, V.M.; Chung, R.Y.; Whaley, J.M.; Yandell, D.W.; Farmer, G.E.; Freiman, R.N.; Lee, J.K.; Li, F.P.; et al. Chromosome 17p deletions and p53 gene mutations associated with the formation of malignant neurofibrosarcomas in von Recklinghausen neurofibromatosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1990, *87*, 5435–5439.
- Verdijk, R.M.; den Bakker, M.A.; Dubbink, H.J.; Hop, W.C.; Dinjens, W.N.; Kros, J.M. TP53 mutation analysis of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 2010, 69, 16–26.
- Hirbe, A.C.; Dahiya, S.; Friedmann-Morvinski, D.; Verma, I.M.; Clapp, D.W.; Gutmann, D.H. Spatially- and temporally-controlled postnatal p53 knockdown cooperates with embryonic Schwann cell precursor Nf1 gene loss to promote malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor formation. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 7403–7414.
- 74. Lee, W.; Teckie, S.; Wiesner, T.; Ran, L.; Prieto Granada, C.N.; Lin, M.; Zhu, S.; Cao, Z.; Liang, Y.; Sboner, A.; et al. PRC2 is recurrently inactivated through EED or SUZ12 loss in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Nat. Genet.* **2014**, *46*, 1227–1232.
- 75. Skotheim, R.I.; Kallioniemi, A.; Bjerkhagen, B.; Mertens, F.; Brekke, H.R.; Monni, O.; Mousses, S.; Mandahl, N.; Soeter, G.; Nesland, J.M.; et al. Topoisomerase-II alpha is upregulated in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors and associated with clinical outcome. J. Clin. Oncol. 2003, 21, 4586–4591.
- Subramanian, S.; Thayanithy, V.; West, R.B.; Lee, C.H.; Beck, A.H.; Zhu, S.; Downs-Kelly, E.; Montgomery, K.; Goldblum, J.R.; Hogendoorn, P.C.; et al. Genome-wide transcriptome analyses reveal p53 inactivation mediated loss of miR-34a expression in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumours. *J. Pathol.* 2010, 220, 58–70.
- Kaplan, H.G.; Rostad, S.; Ross, J.S.; Ali, S.M.; Millis, S.Z. Genomic Profiling in Patients With Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors Reveals Multiple Pathways With Targetable Mutations. J. Natl. Compr. Canc. Netw. 2018, 16, 967–974.
- 78. Pemov, A.; Li, H.; Presley, W.; Wallace, M.R.; Miller, D.T. Genetics of human malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Neuro-Oncol. Adv.* 2020, 2, i50–i61.
- Miller, S.J.; Jessen, W.J.; Mehta, T.; Hardiman, A.; Sites, E.; Kaiser, S.; Jegga, A.G.; Li, H.; Upadhyaya, M.; Giovannini, M.; et al. Integrative genomic analyses of neurofibromatosis tumours identify SOX9 as a biomarker and survival gene. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 2009, 1, 236–248.
- Watson, M.A.; Perry, A.; Tihan, T.; Prayson, R.A.; Guha, A.; Bridge, J.; Ferner, R.; Gutmann, D.H. Gene expression profiling reveals unique molecular subtypes of Neurofibromatosis Type I-associated and sporadic malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Brain Pathol.* 2004, 14, 297–303.
- Holtkamp, N.; Okuducu, A.F.; Mucha, J.; Afanasieva, A.; Hartmann, C.; Atallah, I.; Estevez-Schwarz, L.; Mawrin, C.; Friedrich, R.E.; Mautner, V.F.; et al. Mutation and expression of PDGFRA and KIT in malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors, and its implications for imatinib sensitivity. *Carcinogenesis* 2006, 27, 664–671.
- 82. Carroll, S.L.; Stonecypher, M.S. Tumor suppressor mutations and growth factor signaling in the pathogenesis of NF1-associated peripheral nerve sheath tumors: II. The role of dysregulated growth factor signaling. *J. Neuropathol Exp. Neurol.* **2005**, *64*, 1–9.
- Eckert, J.M.; Byer, S.J.; Clodfelder-Miller, B.J.; Carroll, S.L. Neuregulin-1 beta and neuregulin-1 alpha differentially affect the migration and invasion of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor cells. *Glia* 2009, *57*, 1501–1520.
- 84. Rao, U.N.; Sonmez-Alpan, E.; Michalopoulos, G.K. Hepatocyte growth factor and c-MET in benign and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Hum. Pathol.* **1997**, *28*, 1066–1070.
- 85. Su, W.; Gutmann, D.H.; Perry, A.; Abounader, R.; Laterra, J.; Sherman, L.S. CD44-independent hepatocyte growth factor/c-Met autocrine loop promotes malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor cell invasion in vitro. *Glia* **2004**, *45*, 297–306.

- Kadono, T.; Soma, Y.; Takehara, K.; Nakagawa, H.; Ishibashi, Y.; Kikuchi, K. The growth regulation of neurofibroma cells in neurofibromatosis type-1: Increased responses to PDGF-BB and TGF-beta 1. *Biochem Biophys Res. Commun* 1994, 198, 827–834.
- Parkinson, D.B.; Dong, Z.; Bunting, H.; Whitfield, J.; Meier, C.; Marie, H.; Mirsky, R.; Jessen, K.R. Transforming growth factor beta (TGFbeta) mediates Schwann cell death in vitro and in vivo: Examination of c-Jun activation, interactions with survival signals, and the relationship of TGFbeta-mediated death to Schwann cell differentiation. *J. Neurosci.* 2001, *21*, 8572–8585.
- Yang, J.; Ylipää, A.; Sun, Y.; Zheng, H.; Chen, K.; Nykter, M.; Trent, J.; Ratner, N.; Lev, D.C.; Zhang, W. Genomic and molecular characterization of malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor identifies the IGF1R pathway as a primary target for treatment. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2011, *17*, 7563–7573.
- Mashour, G.A.; Ratner, N.; Khan, G.A.; Wang, H.L.; Martuza, R.L.; Kurtz, A. The angiogenic factor midkine is aberrantly expressed in NF1-deficient Schwann cells and is a mitogen for neurofibroma-derived cells. *Oncogene* 2001, 20, 97–105.
- Nebesio, T.D.; Ming, W.; Chen, S.; Clegg, T.; Yuan, J.; Yang, Y.; Estwick, S.A.; Li, Y.; Li, X.; Hingtgen, C.M.; et al. Neurofibromindeficient Schwann cells have increased lysophosphatidic acid dependent survival and migration-implications for increased neurofibroma formation during pregnancy. *Glia* 2007, 55, 527–536.
- Ryan, J.J.; Klein, K.A.; Neuberger, T.J.; Leftwich, J.A.; Westin, E.H.; Kauma, S.; Fletcher, J.A.; DeVries, G.H.; Huff, T.F. Role for the stem cell factor/KIT complex in Schwann cell neoplasia and mast cell proliferation associated with neurofibromatosis. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 1994, 37, 415–432.
- 92. Endo, M.; Yamamoto, H.; Setsu, N.; Kohashi, K.; Takahashi, Y.; Ishii, T.; Iida, K.; Matsumoto, Y.; Hakozaki, M.; Aoki, M.; et al. Prognostic significance of AKT/mTOR and MAPK pathways and antitumor effect of mTOR inhibitor in NF1-related and sporadic malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumors. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2013, *19*, 450–461.
- 93. Luscan, A.; Shackleford, G.; Masliah-Planchon, J.; Laurendeau, I.; Ortonne, N.; Varin, J.; Lallemand, F.; Leroy, K.; Dumaine, V.; Hivelin, M.; et al. The activation of the WNT signaling pathway is a Hallmark in neurofibromatosis type 1 tumorigenesis. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2014, 20, 358–371.
- Watson, A.L.; Rahrmann, E.P.; Moriarity, B.S.; Choi, K.; Conboy, C.B.; Greeley, A.D.; Halfond, A.L.; Anderson, L.K.; Wahl, B.R.; Keng, V.W.; et al. Canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling drives human schwann cell transformation, progression, and tumor maintenance. *Cancer Discov.* 2013, 3, 674–689.
- 95. Brosseau, J.P.; Sathe, A.A.; Wang, Y.; Nguyen, T.; Glass, D.A., 2nd; Xing, C.; Le, L.Q. Human cutaneous neurofibroma matrisome revealed by single-cell RNA sequencing. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* **2021**, *9*, 11.
- Faulkner, S.; Jobling, P.; March, B.; Jiang, C.C.; Hondermarck, H. Tumor Neurobiology and the War of Nerves in Cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2019, 9, 702–710.
- 97. Zahalka, A.H.; Frenette, P.S. Nerves in cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2020, 20, 143–157.
- 98. Reavis, H.D.; Chen, H.I.; Drapkin, R. Tumor Innervation: Cancer Has Some Nerve. Trends Cancer 2020, 6, 1059–1067.
- Liao, C.P.; Pradhan, S.; Chen, Z.; Patel, A.J.; Booker, R.C.; Le, L.Q. The role of nerve microenvironment for neurofibroma development. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 61500–61508.
- Anastasaki, C.; Mo, J.; Chen, J.K.; Chatterjee, J.; Pan, Y.; Scheaffer, S.M.; Cobb, O.; Monje, M.; Le, L.Q.; Gutmann, D.H. Neuronal hyperexcitability drives central and peripheral nervous system tumor progression in models of neurofibromatosis-1. *Nat. Commun.* 2022, 13, 2785.
- Korfhage, J.; Lombard, D.B. Malignant Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors: From Epigenome to Bedside. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 2019, 17, 1417–1428.