

Table S3. Quality scores of the studies included in the meta-analysis, assessed by the Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

		Selection				Comparability ^a	Outcome			Overall quality
Author	Year	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of the non-exposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that the outcome of interest was not present at the start of the study	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	Assessment of the outcome	Was follow-up enough for outcomes to occur	Adequacy of follow-up of cohorts	
Nyström	2018	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	9
Nies	2019	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	9
Bath	2003	0	*	*	*	*	*	0	*	6
Harzif	2020	*	*	*	*	**	0	*	*	8
Roshandel	2021	*	*	0	*	**	*	*	*	8
Utriainen	2019	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	8
van der Kooi	2016	*	*	0	*	**	0	*	*	7
Thomas-Teinturier	2015	*	*	0	*	**	0	*	*	7

Newcastle-Ottawa scale for assessment of quality of included studies - cohort studies (each asterisk represents if individual criterion within the subsection was fulfilled). ^a Comparability of cohorts: for the most important factor: study controls adjusted for age; additional factor: study controls for BMI