

Article

A Pilot Study on Efficacy of Lipid Bubbles for Theranostics in Dogs with Tumors

Inoru Yokoe¹, Yusuke Murahata¹, Kazuki Harada¹, Yuji Sunden¹, Daiki Omata², Johan Unga², Ryo Suzuki², Kazuo Maruyama³, Yoshiharu Okamoto¹ and Tomohiro Osaki^{1,*}

- ¹ Joint Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Agriculture, Tottori University, 4-101 Koyama-Minami, Tottori 680-8553, Japan; inoru.y@gmail.com (I.Y.); ymurahata@muses.tottori-u.ac.jp (Y.M.); k-harada@tottori-u.ac.jp (K.H.); sunden@tottori-u.ac.jp (Y.S.); yokamoto@muses.tottori-u.ac.jp (Y.O.)
- ² Laboratory of Drug and Gene Delivery Research, Faculty of Pharma-Science, Teikyo University, 2-11-1 Kaga, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 173-8605, Japan; omata@pharm.teikyo-u.ac.jp (D.O.); johan.unga@gmail.com (J.U.); r-suzuki@pharm.teikyo-u.ac.jp (R.S.)
- ³ Laboratory of Theranostics, Faculty of Pharma-Science, Teikyo University, 2-11-1 Kaga, Itabashi-ku, Tokyo 173-8605, Japan; maruyama@pharm.teikyo-u.ac.jp (K.M.)
- * Correspondence: tosaki@muses.tottori-u.ac.jp; Tel.: +81-857-31-5434; Fax: +81-857-31-5434

Supplementary Material

Anti-tumor effects of LBs combined with US and liposomal doxorubicin

Table S1. Summary of the three dogs included in the clinical study of the combination treatment of LBs, US, and Doxil.

9	Breed	Age (y)	Sex	Weight (kg)	Tumor type	Tumor site	Simultaneous therapy	
1	Papillon	14	Spayed female	2.5	Hemangiopericytoma	Perianal	None	
2	Toy Poodle	7	Female	3.8	Ceruminous gland adenocarcinoma	Left ear	None	
3	Welsh Corgi	10	Female	10.1	Thyroid carcinoma (suspected)	Thyroid	Toceranib	

Table S2. Summary of the clinical course and treatment of case No. 1.

Day	Events	Tumo r size (cm³)	Doxil dose (mg/m²)	LBs dose (mL)	Frequency (MHz)	US output se Power Intensity (W/cm ²)	etting Duty Cycle (%)	Time (min)
0	Inclusion 1 st treatment	178.0	16	0.2	1	2	50	30
9	2 nd treatment	175.7	16	0.2	1	2	50	20
23	Poor general condition Treatment postponed	85.6	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1
28	Slight improvement of general condition Treatment postponed	77.8	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1
52	Death	n/a ¹	n/a ¹	n/a¹	n/a ¹	n/a ¹	n/a1	n/a1

¹ n/a, not assessed.

Table S3. Summary of the clinical course and treatment of case No. 2.

Day	Events	Tumo r size (cm³)	Doxil dose (mg/m²)	LBs dose (mL)	Frequency (MHz)	US output se Power Intensity (W/cm ²)	etting Duty Cycle (%)	Time (min)
0	Inclusion	4.4	16	0.2	1	2	50	15



	CT examination				1	2	100	15
	1 st treatment							
7	2 nd treatment	3.7	16	0.2	1	2	50	40
29	CT examination 3 rd treatment	3.7	8	0.4	1	2	50	40
43	4 th treatment	2.7	8	0.4	1	2	50	30
57	5 th treatment	2.9	12.5	0.2	1	2	50	30
71	6 th treatment	2.4	14.2	0.4	1	2	50	30
78	Inflammation of the lesion Treatment postponed	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a¹
113	Poor general condition Treatment postponed	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a¹
127	Death	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a¹

¹ n/a, not assessed.

Day	Events	Tumo r size (cm³)	Doxil dose (mg/m²)	LBs dose (mL)	Frequency (MHz)	US output se Power Intensity (W/cm ²)	etting Duty Cycle (%)	Time (min)
	Inclusion							
0	CT examination	41.0	15	0.2	1	2	50	15
	1 st treatment							
15	2 nd treatment	28.3	15	0.3	1	2	50	15
36	3 rd treatment	38.2	15	0.3	1	2	50	15
58	CT examination 4 th treatment	16.6	15	0.3	1	2	50	15
82	Poor general condition Treatment postponed	18.1	n/a¹	n/a¹	n/a ¹	n/a ¹	n/a1	n/a1
83	Poor general condition The last follow-up	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1	n/a1

Table S4. Summary of the clinical course and treatment of case No. 3.

¹ n/a, not assessed.