Utilisation of Colorectal Cancer Screening Tests in European Countries by Type of Screening Offer: Results from the European Health Interview Survey

Rafael Cardoso, Feng Guo, Thomas Heisser, Michael Hoffmeister and Hermann Brenner

Table 1. Odds ratio estimates and 95% CIs from random-effects subgroup meta-analyses of the association between demographic, socioeconomic, health care use and health-related factors, and faecal test use within 2 years by type of CRC screening offer.

Characteristics	(A) Nationwide organised programme with faecal tests, rollout complete		(B) Organised programme with faecal tests, partial rollout or with regional coverage only		(C) Opportur programme faecal tes	(C) Opportunistic programme with faecal tests		amme sts or vilot	(E) Other		p subgroup differences ^d
	OR (95% CI) I ² (%)		OR (95% CI)	I ² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I ² (%)	
Demographic and	d socioeconomic										
facto	ors										
Sex											
Male	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Female	1.02 (0.94 to 1.12)	0	0.96 (0.83 to 1.12)	74	0.88 (0.76 to 1.02)	76	0.78 (0.68 to 0.90)	41	0.91 (0.76 to 1.08)	0	0.02
Age (years) ^a											
50–54	0.68 (0.50 to 0.92)	63	0.70 (0.49 to 1.01)	90	0.78 (0.66 to 0.92)	62	0.93 (0.77 to 1.11)	0			0.24
55–59	0.84 (0.71 to 0.99)	0	0.71 (0.54 to 0.92)	83	0.99 (0.90 to 1.10)	14	1.01 (0.82 to 1.25)	30			0.05
60–64	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Not applicable		

65–69	1.18 (1.05 to 1.32)	3	1.08 (0.88 to 1.33)	80	0.93 (0.81 to 1.06)	39	0.83 (0.70 to 0.99)	0			< 0.01
70–74	1.16 (0.79 to 1.71)	83	0.82 (0.68 to 0.98)	12	0.92 (0.78 to 1.09)	56	0.84 (0.69 to 1.02)	0			0.37
Location of											
residence											
City	Reference										
Town or suburb	1.06 (0.86 to 1.29)	63	1.19 (0.97 to 1.46)	80	0.96 (0.77 to 1.18)	84	1.03 (0.87 to 1.21)	38	0.90 (0.64 to 1.25)	50	0.55
Rural area	0.89 (0.63 to 1.26)	87	1.08 (0.85 to 1.37)	86	0.98 (0.73 to 1.31)	92	0.93 (0.74 to 1.16)	65	1.02 (0.82 to 1.28)	0	0.87
Marital status											
Married	Reference										
Unmarried	0.78 (0.71 to 0.86)	0	0.85 (0.74 to 0.97)	63	0.88 (0.80 to 0.98)	50	0.99 (0.88 to 1.11)	14	0.93 (0.76 to 1.15)	8	0.04
Education ^b											
Lower	0.91 (0.77 to	58	$0.86(0.73 \pm 0.100)$	68	1.00 (0.86 to	60	0.99 (0.87 to	10	1.02 (0.74	30	0.57
education	1.09)	58	0.00 (0.75 to 1.00)	00	1.16)	09	1.12)	10	to 1.40)	30	0.57
Higher	Reference										
education	Reference										
Household											
income											
Lower											
household	Reference										
income											
Higher	1.19 (1.09 to				1 10 (0 99 to		1 01 (0 82 to		1 02 (0 77		
household	1.31)	0	1.11 (0.94 to 1.30)	74	1 23)	57	1 24)	69	to 1.36	45	0.52
income	1.01)				10)				(0 1.00)		
Health care use											

Less than 12 months ago	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
12 months ago or longer	0.59 (0.51 to 0.68)	18	0.58 (0.46 to 0.74)	79	0.40 (0.32 to 0.49)	75	0.52 (0.45 to 0.61)	7	0.85 (0.51 to 1.41)	63	0.01
-			Last time of a cons	ultation	with medical or	with medical or surgical specialist					
Less than 12 months ago	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
12 months ago or longer	0.74 (0.68 to 0.81)	0	0.66 (0.58 to 0.74)	55	0.71 (0.56 to 0.89)	90	0.54 (0.49 to 0.61)	0	0.58 (0.48 to 0.71)	0	< 0.01
Health-related											
factors											
Self-perceived											
health											
Good or very good	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Less than good	0.86 (0.77 to 0.96)	19	1.05 (0.95 to 1.15)	26	1.14 (0.93 to 1.40)	86	1.25 (1.12 to 1.40)	1	1.10 (0.89 to 1.37)	16	< 0.01
Lifestyle score											
0 or 1	0.67 (0.59 to 0.76)	0	0.81 (0.67 to 0.97)	60	0.78 (0.68 to 0.89)	38	0.79 (0.64 to 0.96)	28	0.69 (0.39 to 1.21)	53	0.34
2	0.81 (0.73 to 0.90)	0	0.81 (0.72 to 0.92)	48	0.88 (0.79 to 0.96)	38	0.97 (0.82 to 1.15)	52	0.92 (0.73 to 1.17)	0	0.34
3 or 4	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		

Last time of a consultation with a GP

^a Age was not included as a covariate in the model for Finland (50–59), Ireland (50–59), Malta (50–54; 70–74), Sweden (50–59) and the UK (50–59) from category (D) and for all countries from category (E) given the narrow age ranges they comprise. ^b Lower education = upper secondary education or less; higher education = post-secondary education or more. For Malta, the categories are "lower secondary education or less" and "upper secondary education or more", respectively. CLower household income = net monthly income of the household below the 2nd quintile; Higher household income = net monthly income of the household between the 2nd and 5th quintiles. ^d Test for subgroup differences (random effects). Data on at least one of the explanatory variables missing for all respondents from the Netherlands and 2881 (19%), 3477 (12%), 1444

(4%) and 3287 (9%) and 614 (13%) respondents from (A), (B), (C), (D) and (E), respectively. These respondents were not considered for this sub-analysis. CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer. bold data are the statistically significant results.

Table 2. Odds ratio estimates and 95% CIs from random-effects subgroup meta-analyses of the association between demographic, socioeconomic, health care use and health-related factors, and colonoscopy use within 10 years by type of CRC screening offer.

Characteristics	(A) Nationwide organised programme with faecal tests, rollout <u>complete</u>		(B) Organised programme with faecal tests, partial rollout or with regional coverage only		(C) Colonoscopy offered as an alternative primary screening modality		(D) No programme, sr organised program opportunistic program faecal tests on	P subgroup differences ^d	
	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I ² (%)	
Demographic ar	nd socioeconomic								
fac	tors								
Sex									
Male	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Female	0.87 (0.79 to 0.96)	0	0.85 (0.77 to 0.93)	36	0.81 (0.76 to 0.86)	0	0.90 (0.83 to 0.98)	10	0.23
Age (years) ^a									
50-54	0.62 (0.50 to 0.77)	16	0.63 (0.52 to 0.76)	45	0.50 (0.40 to 0.62)	78	0.73 (0.62 to 0.85)	14	0.05
55-59	0.85 (0.71 to 1.02)	0	0.85 (0.76 to 0.96)	4	0.73 (0.65 to 0.82)	30	0.73 (0.64 to 0.84)	0	0.19
60-64	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
65-69	1.11 (0.97 to 1.26)	0	1.11 (1.00 to 1.23)	13	1.11 (0.98 to 1.26)	38	1.10 (0.97 to 1.25)	0	1.00
70-74	1.14 (0.92 to 1.41)	46	1.12 (0.99 to 1.28)	28	1.18 (1.00 to 1.39)	60	0.99 (0.84 to 1.16)	22	0.46
Location of									
residence									
City	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Town or suburb	0.92 (0.73 to 1.15)	65	0.92 (0.79 to 1.06)	55	1.09 (0.97 to 1.23)	52	0.85 (0.73 to 1.00)	55	0.07
Rural area	0.89 (0.72 to 1.09)	56	0.88 (0.79 to 0.98)	32	0.99 (0.89 to 1.10)	31	0.87 (0.73 to 1.02)	63	0.33

Marital status									
Married	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Unmarried	0.87 (0.78 to 0.97)	0	0.96 (0.89 to 1.05)	16	0.80 (0.72 to 0.89)	52	1.02 (0.94 to 1.11)	0	< 0.01
Education ^b									
Lower education	0.86 (0.64 to 1.15)	82	0.84 (0.76 to 0.93)	22	0.86 (0.78 to 0.94)	25	0.87 (0.76 to 1.00)	56	0.98
Higher education	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Household									
income									
Lower									
household	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
income									
Higher					1.17 (1.10 to				
household	1.03 (0.92 to 1.14)	0	1.19 (1.07 to 1.32)	35	1.25)	0	1.14 (1.00 to 1.30)	52	0.16
income					11=0)				
Health care use									
			Last time o	f a consul	tation with a GP				
Less than 12	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
months ago									
12 months ago or longer	0.61 (0.52 to 0.72)	0	0.57 (0.51 to 0.64)	0	0.55 (0.49 to 0.61)	34	0.59 (0.48 to 0.72)	60	0.73
			Last time of a consultat	ion with	medical or surgical sp	pecialist			
Less than 12 months ago	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
12 months ago or longer	0.59 (0.53 to 0.65)	0	0.59 (0.52 to 0.67)	57	0.56 (0.50 to 0.63)	62	0.47 (0.41 to 0.54)	59	0.06

Health-related									
Self-perceived									
health									
Good or very good	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Less than good	1.58 (1.34 to 1.86)	54	1.43 (1.32 to 1.53)	0	1.18 (1.08 to 1.29)	29	1.70 (1.55 to 1.87)	10	< 0.01
Lifestyle score									
0 or 1	0.88 (0.76 to 1.02)	0	0.78 (0.64 to 0.94)	57	0.77 (0.70 to 0.84)	0	0.74 (0.65 to 0.84)	0	0.35
2	1.00 (0.89 to 1.13)	0	0.92 (0.84 to 1.01)	6	0.86 (0.80 to 0.92)	0	0.80 (0.73 to 0.88)	13	0.02
3 or 4	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		

^a Age was not included as a covariate in the model for Finland (50-59), Ireland (50-59), Malta (50-54; 70-74), Sweden (50-59) and the UK (50-59) from category (D) given the narrow age ranges they comprise. ^b Lower education = upper secondary education or less; higher education = post-secondary education or more. For Malta, the categories are "lower secondary education or less" and "upper secondary education or more", respectively. ^c Lower household income = net monthly income of the household below the 2nd quintile; Higher household income = net monthly income of the household between the 2nd and 5th quintiles. ^d Test for subgroup differences (random effects). Data on at least one of the explanatory variables missing for all respondents from the Netherlands and 3003 (19%), 3992 (13%), 1605 (5%) and 3360 (9%) respondents from (A), (B), (C) and (D), respectively. These respondents were not considered for this sub-analysis. CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer. bold data are the statistically significant results.

Table S3. Odds ratio estimates and 95% CIs from random-effects subgroup meta-analyses of the association between demographic, socioeconomic, health care use and health-related factors, and having undergone either faecal tests within 2 years or colonoscopy within 10 years by type of CRC screening offer.

Characteristics	(A)) Nationv organised prog with faecal tests, complete	vide ramme , rollout e	(B) Organised pro with faecal tests, rollout or with r coverage on	ogramme , partial egional ıly	(C) Colonoscopy as an alternative screening mod	offered primary dality	(D) No programme, s organised program opportunistic progra faecal tests o	small-scale mme, or umme with nly	P subgroup differences ^d
	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	OR (95% CI)	I² (%)	

Demographic ar	nd socioeconomic								
fac	tors								
Sex Male	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Female	1.01 (0.92 to 1.10)	0	0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)	62	0.89 (0.81 to 0.97)	47	0.90 (0.83 to 0.99)	36	0.18
Age (years) ^a									
50-54	0.63 (0.47 to 0.85)	60	0.71 (0.53 to 0.96)	86	0.57 (0.50 to 0.64)	34	0.77 (0.64 to 0.92)	52	0.04
55-59	0.86 (0.73 to 1.02)	0	0.76 (0.61 to 0.94)	76	0.81 (0.74 to 0.89)	0	0.87 (0.76 to 1.00)	26	0.68
60-64	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
65-69	1.23 (1.10 to 1.39)	0	1.05 (0.86 to 1.27)	80	1.00 (0.86 to 1.16)	55	1.03 (0.93 to 1.16)	0	0.09
70-74	0.87 (0.55 to 1.38)	90	0.92 (0.78 to 1.09)	65	1.00 (0.78 to 1.28)	82	0.99 (0.88 to 1.11)	0	0.87
Location of									
residence									
City	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Town or suburb	0.93 (0.69 to 1.24)	82	1.02 (0.87 to 1.19)	71	1.03 (0.87 to 1.22)	76	0.90 (0.79 to 1.03)	57	0.52
Rural area	0.85 (0.58 to 1.24)	89	0.98 (0.80 to 1.19)	83	0.96 (0.77 to 1.20)	86	0.88 (0.74 to 1.05)	75	0.83
Marital status									
Married	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Unmarried	0.76 (0.69 to 0.84)	0	0.91 (0.82 to 1.01)	53	0.76 (0.67 to 0.85)	64	1.00 (0.93 to 1.08)	0	< 0.01
Education ^b									
Lower education	0.79 (0.59 to 1.05)	83	0.82 (0.74 to 0.91)	40	0.91 (0.85 to 0.98)	0	0.89 (0.79 to 1.00)	55	0.36
Higher education	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		

Household									
income									
Lower	D (D (D (D (
household	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
income									
Higner	1.02(1.00 + 1.40)	50	1.1E(0.00 + 1.2E)	70	1.16 (1.09 to	0	$1.10(0.06 \pm 1.2E)$	()	0.74
incomo	1.23 (1.06 to 1.42)	50	1.15 (0.99 to 1.35)	78	1.24)	0	1.10 (0.96 to 1.25)	64	0.74
Health care use									
fieatili care use			Last time o	faconcu	Itation with a CP				
Loss than 12			Last time o	i a consu	Itation with a Gr				
months ago	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
12 months ago					0 44 (0 37 to				
or longer	0.55 (0.48 to 0.62)	7	0.59 (0.52 to 0.68)	49	0.53)	79	0.54 (0.46 to 0.63)	54	0.09
0			Last time of a consultat	ion with	medical or surgical sp	ecialist			
Less than 12	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
months ago	Reference		Kelefenee		Reference		Reference		
12 months ago or longer	0.62 (0.53 to 0.73)	62	0.62 (0.54 to 0.71)	74	0.59 (0.48 to 0.71)	88	0.52 (0.47 to 0.58)	49	0.16
Health-related									
factors									
Self-perceived									
health									
Good or very good	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		
Less than good	1.00 (0.85 to 1.18)	62	1.22 (1.10 to 1.35)	48	1.08 (0.97 to 1.21)	54	1.50 (1.39 to 1.63)	6	< 0.01
Lifestyle score									
0 or 1	0.64 (0.48 to 0.86)	76	0.77 (0.63 to 0.95)	72	0.78 (0.67 to 0.92)	61	0.76 (0.67 to 0.85)	9	0.70

2	0.79 (0.66 to 0.95)	55	0.83 (0.75 to 0.91)	27	0.84 (0.78 to 0.91)	17	0.87 (0.79 to 0.95)	32	0.83
3 or 4	Reference		Reference		Reference		Reference		

^a Age was not included as a covariate in the model for Finland (50-59), Ireland (50-59), Malta (50-54; 70-74), Sweden (50-59) and the UK (50-59) from category (D) given the narrow age ranges they comprise. ^b Lower education = upper secondary education or less; higher education = post-secondary education or more. For Malta, the categories are "lower secondary education or less" and "upper secondary education or more", respectively. ^c Lower household income = net monthly income of the household below the 2nd quintile; Higher household income = net monthly income of the household between the 2nd and 5th quintiles. ^d Test for subgroup differences (random effects). Data on at least one of the explanatory variables missing for all respondents from the Netherlands and 2895 (19%), 3839 (13%), 1562 (5%) and 3186 (9%) respondents from (A), (B), (C) and (D), respectively. These respondents were not considered for this sub-analysis. CI, confidence interval; CRC, colorectal cancer. bold data are the statistically significant results.

Lifestyle score	Points	Description
Smoking ^a	0	Daily smoking
	1	Nonsmoking or occasional smoking
Alcohol ^b	0	≥2 drinks per day
	1	<2 drinks per day
Physical activity ^c	0	<150 minutes per week
	1	≥150 minutes per week
BMI	0	Overweight or obese (BMI ≥25 kg/m²)
	1	Normal weight (18.5 kg/m ² \leq BMI $<$ 25 kg/m ²)

Table 4. Healthy lifestyle score.

^a Tobacco products (manufactured cigarettes, hand-rolled cigarettes, cigars, pipes, etc.). ^b Consumption of alcoholic drinks of any kind (e.g. beer, wine, cider, spirits, cocktails, premixes, liqueurs, homemade alcohol) on average on one of the days (Monday to Thursday). ^c It includes time spent on doing sports, fitness or recreational physical activities in a typical week. BMI, body mass index. Respondents with BMI <18.5 kg/m2 were not considered for the lifestyle score.

Table 5. Categorisation of countries/ age groups by type of colorectal cancer screening offer ^a.

Analys	es of faecal test use		Analyses of colonoscopy use and use of either faecal tests or colonoscopy					
Type of CRC screening offer	Country	Age group (years)	Type of CRC screening offer	Country	Age group (years)			
(A) Nationwide fully rolled	Croatia	50-74	(A) Nationwide fully relled	Croatia	50-74			
(A) Nationwide fully folled	France	50-74	(A) Nationwide fully folled	France	50-74			
with faceal tests	Slovenia	50–69	with faccal tests	Slovenia	50-74			
with factal tests	UK	60–74	with faecal tests	UK	60–74			
	Belgium	50-74		Belgium	50-74			
	Czech Republic	50-74		Denmark	50–74			
	Denmark	50-74		Finland	60–74			
	Finland	60–69	(B) Organised programmes	Ireland	60–74			
(B) Organised programmes	Ireland	60–69	with faecal tests in partial	Italy	50-74			
with faecal tests in partial	Italy	50-69	rollout or with regional	Lithuania	50-74			
coverage only	Lithuania	50-74	coverage only	Malta	55-69			
coverage only	Malta ^d	55-64		The Netherlands	55–74			
	The Netherlands	55–74		Spain	50-74			
	Spain	50-69		Sweden	60–74			
	Sweden	60–69		Austria	50-74			
	Austria	50-74		Czech Republic	50-74			
	Germany	50-74	(C) Colonoccony offered as an	Germany	50-74			
(C) Opportunistic	Greece	50-74	(C) Colonoscopy onered as an	Greece	50-74			
programmes with faecal tests	Latvia	50-74	modality	Iceland ^e	50-74			
b	Luxembourg	50-74	modality	Luxembourg	50-74			
	Portugal	50-74		Portugal	50-74			
	Slovakia	50-74		Slovakia	50–74			
(D) No programma with	Bulgaria	50-74	(D) No programmo small	Bulgaria	50-74			
(D) No programme with	Cyprus	50-74	(D) No programme, small-	Cyprus	50-74			
nilot programme only	Estonia	50-74	opportunistic programme with	Estonia	50-74			
phot programme only	Finland	50-59	opportunisae programme with	Finland	50-59			

				_	
	Hungary	50-74	faecal tests as the first-line	Hungary	50-74
	Iceland	50-74	method only	Ireland	50–59
	Ireland	50-59		Latvia	50-74
	Malta	50-54; 70-74		Malta	50–54; 70–74
	The Netherlands	50-54		The Netherlands	50-54
	Norway	50-74		Norway	50-74
	Poland	50-74		Poland	50-74
	Romania	50-74		Romania	50-74
	Sweden	50–59		Sweden	50–59
_	UK ^c	50–59		UK ^c	50-59
(E) Other, i.e. no programme among the indicated age groups, but available in the preceding age groups	Finland	70–74			
	Ireland	70–74			
	Italy	70–74			
	Malta	65–69			
	Slovenia	70–74			
	Spain	70–74			
	Sweden	70–74			

^a This categorisation represents the status of colorectal cancer screening implementation at the time the EHIS was carried out. ^b This group also includes countries where small-scale, pilot, organised programmes are in place, but where CRC screening is offered mainly in an opportunistic manner. ^c In Scotland, faecal tests are also offered to the 50-59-year age group. ^d In Malta, the screening programme targets the age group 55-66 years. ^e In Iceland, colonoscopy was the only screening modality offered.