

Article



Optoelectronic Effects of Copper–Indium–Gallium–Sulfur (CIGS₂)-Solar Cells Prepared by Three-Stage Co-Evaporation Process Technology

Tzu-Chien Li¹, Chia-Wen Chang², Chia-Chun Tai¹, Jyh-Jier Ho^{1,*}, Tung-Po Hsieh², Yung-Tsung Liu² and Tsung-Lin Lu¹

- ¹ Department of Electrical Engineering, National Taiwan Ocean University, No. 2, Peining Rd., Keelung 20224, Taiwan
- ² Photovoltaic Technology Division, Green Energy and Environment Research Laboratories,
- Industrial Tech Research Institute, No. 195, Sec. 4, Chung-Hsing Rd., Chutung, Hsinchu 310401, Taiwan * Correspondence: jackho@mail.ntou.edu.tw; Tel.: +886-92-834-6560; Fax: +886-2-2463-5408

Abstract: In this paper, the performance of Cu-(In,Ga)-S₂ (CIGS₂) solar cells with adjusting composite [Cu]/([Ga] + [In]) (CGI)-ratio absorber was explored and compared through an improved threestage co-evaporation technique. For co-evaporating CIGS₂ absorber as a less toxic alternative to Cd-containing film, we analyzed the effect of the CGI-ratio stoichiometry and crystallinity, and explored its opto-electric sensing characteristic of individual solar cell. The results of this research signified the potential of high-performance CIGS₂-absorption solar cells for photovoltaic (PV)-module industrial applications. For the optimal CIGS₂-absorption film (CGI = 0.95), the Raman main-phase signal (A1) falls at 291 cm⁻¹, which was excited by the 532 nm line of Ar⁺-laser. Using photo-luminescence (PL) spectroscopy, the corresponding main-peak bandgaps measured was 1.59 eV at the same CGI-ratio film. Meanwhile, the best conversion efficiency (η = 3.212%) and the average external quantum efficiency (EQE = 51.1% in the visible-wavelength region) of photo-electric properties were achieved for the developed CIGS₂-solar cells (CGI = 0.95). The discoveries of this CIGS₂-absorption PV research provided a new scientific understanding of solar cells. Moreover, this research undeniably contributes to a major advancement towards practical PV-module applications and can help more to build an eco-friendly community.

Keywords: Cu-(In,Ga)-S₂ (CIGS₂) solar cells; three-stage co-evaporation technique; [Cu]/([Ga] + [In]) (CGI)-ratio stoichiometry; opto-electric sensing performance; eco-friendly community

1. Introduction

In recent years, photovoltaic (PV) technologies have been the most abundant form of renewable energy of a fast-growing industry [1]. For many PV technologies, solar cells with thin-film light trapping structures have attracted extensive attention due to their advantages such as nanowires for light weight, and manufacturing flexibility [2–4]. Amongst thin-film PV cells with a copper–indium–gallium–selenide (CIGSe) absorber [5–7], a low-temperature fabrication process can be applied to a glass or flexible polyimide (PI) substrate for building-integrated industry PV applications [8]. However, when the substrate temperature is below 500 °C, alkali elemental (Na) diffusion from the substrate is limited for the high-efficiency CIGSe solar cells [9].

In order to solve this limitation, a three-stage process with Na postdeposition treatment (PDT) was reported [10], thus improving the crystallinity and the grain size of the CIGSe absorption layer deposited for the low-temperature process. For the design of CIGS₂-absorber thin-film solar cells on flexible substrates, we focused on developing the novelty of the modified three-stage co-evaporation process, in the meantime maintaining good interface characteristics between the buffer layer and the CIGS₂ absorber on the tunable



Citation: Li, T.-C.; Chang, C.-W.; Tai, C.-C.; Ho, J.-J.; Hsieh, T.-P.; Liu, Y.-T.; Lu, T.-L. Optoelectronic Effects of Copper–Indium–Gallium–Sulfur (CIGS₂)-Solar Cells Prepared by Three-Stage Co-Evaporation Process Technology. *Micromachines* **2023**, *14*, 1709. https://doi.org/10.3390/ mi14091709

Academic Editor: Aiqun Liu

Received: 17 July 2023 Revised: 27 August 2023 Accepted: 29 August 2023 Published: 31 August 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). bandgap effect [4–8]. ZnO thin film was deposited on the CdS surface through the chemical bath deposition (CBD) process to form a stack of buffer layers, denoted as i-ZnO/CdS.

However, the impact on environmental issues and human health has recently been regarded as one of the top priorities. Therefore, developing alternatives to highly toxic cadmium (Cd)-containing materials has become a primary issue in the eco-friendly research. Thus, a copper–indium–gallium–sulfur (CIGS₂) can be used as a less toxic alternative to Cd-containing semiconductors. Moreover, CIGS₂ is a promising absorber material for the fabrication of high-efficiency thin-film solar cells thanks to its well-adapted bandgap (approximately 1.5 eV) [11,12]. A gradient in the Ga distribution has also been found, and CIGS₂ was also investigated to be an absorber for the top cell of the tandem configuration [13].

For the CIGS₂-solar cell fabrication in this study, the absorber layer was prepared by a modified three-stage sequential co-evaporation method [9], which can effectively adjust the ratio of the [Cu]/([Ga] + [In]) (CGI) process. In this approach, combined with an additional Cu-rich for composite-ratio (CGI ratio for Cu-contents of Cu/(In + Ga)) deposition phase, an annealing process was utilized by different process duration after stage 2. Such a method has shown tremendous potential to improve the crystallinity of the CIGS₂ films deposited at low temperatures.

At the same time, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis, Raman-shift spectroscopy, and photo-luminescence (PL) spectroscopy were used to study the crystallization characteristics of the CIGS₂ absorber layer with different CGI ratios. The two main objectives of this experiment were to increase conversion efficiency (η) and to improve the external quantum efficiency (EQE) in the visible-wavelength region, all for CGI ratio (composite-ratio polymer) approaches 1 of the developed CIGS₂-solar cells. Under the premise of environmental protection for promoting the commercialization of these technologies, we expected to diminish the manufacturing temperature, lessen the toxic material, and reduce the production cost. These eco-friendly cells could be effectively applied to mass production for commercial PV-module applications.

2. Experiments and Measurements

The experimental process, as described in our previous work [6], mainly used the co-evaporation method to make the absorption layer, the CBD process, and sputtering method to plate the buffer layer and the barrier layer, and finally used the sputtering method to make the Ni-Al surface electrode. For the modified three-stage co-evaporation method in this study, four evaporation sources including Cu, In, Ga, and S were deposited on the substrate as the absorption layer. Table 1 illustrates the deposition parameters of the CIGS₂ thin-film absorption layer for preparing sample S1, S2, and S3 with different CGI ratios [14], changing from 0.67 to 1.96 for better crystal thickness and less secondary phase signal. All the parameters were varied by the substrate temperature (°C) and the evaporation time (minutes) of adding the evaporation source (\checkmark check marked) during each stage co-evaporation process.

To investigate the characteristics for the three samples with different absorption layers, Table 2 summarizes the surface composition and the corresponding images of energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) spectrum of their respective absorption layers. The CGI ratios of sample S1, S2, and S3 were separated for 0.78, 0.95, and 1.25. Meanwhile, the sample S2 ([Ga]/([Ga] + [In]), GGI = 0.10) of this table confirmed that CIGS₂ is a high-efficiency device, and the content of Ga atoms (between 0.1 and 0.3) to the GGI in Ga [14]. The crystal structure of films was evaluated by a Rigaku X-ray diffraction (XRD) with Ni-filtered Cu Ka radiation. An atomic force microscope (AFM) instrument (Bruker INNOVA SPM) was used to scan the surface terrain in a typical area of $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2$ (512 × 512 pixels) of the CGIS₂ film on a vibrating-free platform. The root mean square (RMS) surface-roughness values were obtained using the software that came with the instrument. Furthermore, the optical and electrical properties of our cells were also measured using commercially available systems: scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and EDS (Model: JSM-6500F, JEOL Ltd.), micro-Raman scattering (UniDRON, CL Technology Co.) incorporated with microwave-induced photo-conductance decay (μ -PCD) system (Model: U-2001), PV conversion efficiency measuring system (model: Oriel-91192/AM 1.5 GMM), and the EQE measurements (model: QE-R, Enlitech).

Table 1. Experimental parameters of three-stage co-evaporation deposition for CIGS₂ thin-film absorption layer prepared with different CGI ratios, (*a*) S1 (CGI = 0.78), (*b*) S2 (0.95), and (*c*) S3 (CGI = 1.25).

(<i>a</i>) S1 (CGI = 0.78)	Cu	In	Ga	S	Temp (°C)	Time (min)
Stage 1	1	1	1	1	380	48.5
Stage 2				1	380-640	40
Stage 3		1	1	1	640	20
(<i>b</i>) S2 (CGI = 0.95)	Cu	In	Ga	S	Temp (°C)	Time (min)
Stage 1	1	1	✓	1	380	41
Stage 2	1			1	380-640	31
Stage 3		1	1	1	640	16
(c) S3 (CGI = 1.25)	Cu	In	Ga	S	Temp (°C)	Time (min)
Stage 1	1	1	✓	1	380	37
Stage 2	✓			1	380-640	33
Stage 3		1	1	1	640	9

Table 2. The surface composition and the corresponding images (below) of EDS spectrum of $CIGS_2$ thin-film absorption layer prepared with different CGI ratios, S1, S2, and S3 for CGI = 0.78, CGI = 0.95 and CGI = 1.25, respectively.



3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 demonstrates top-view and cross-section SEM images of CIGS₂ absorption layers for different CGI ratios. In all cases, the surface morphology was dominated by the underlying granular structure of the $CIGS_2$, which remained visible beneath the overlayers. It was seen that the grain size of the Cu-poor (sample S1) absorber ($0.9 \mu m$ of left of Figure 1a) was slightly smaller compared with Cu-rich (sample S3) absorber (1.3 μ m of left of Figure 1c). Meanwhile, the thickness of Cu-poor absorber (1.875 μ m of right of Figure 1a) was thicker than that of Cu-rich absorber (1.547 μ m of right of Figure 1c). Moreover, quantization from the EDS spectra of CIGS₂ films illustrated the CGI ratios of 0.78, 0.95, and 1.25 for samples S1, S2, and S3, respectively (shown as Figure 1). This led to a slower reaction as well as growth rate leading to the formation of uniform nanoparticles [15]. Furthermore, the incorporation of the Cu-rich (samples S2 and S3) of CIGS2-absorption layer can distinctly boost grain growth and reduce the fine-grain layer, thus greatly improving the absorber crystallinity and reducing the trap state density [16]. Table 3 plots for 2-D and 3-D AFM images of as deposited different CGI-ratio samples, in which R_a and R_{max} stand for the average centre-line and the maximum surface-height roughness, respectively. As is evident from the S2 image's relatively compact and flat surface structure, the film was composed of cone-shaped columns randomly distributed over the surface of the film. Thus, the films' surface was rough and porous with RMS surface roughness (R_q) of 97.3 nm. These results were consistent with the results in the literature [16,17], indicating that a more porous CGI-ratio film structure led to a greater grain size, as seen in Figure 1.



Figure 1. The top view (**left**) and cross-sectional view (**right**) of SEM images with the CIGS₂ absorber layer for the CGI ratios are: (**a**) CGI = 0.78 (Cu-poor), (**b**) CGI = 0.95, and (**c**) CGI = 1.25 (Cu-rich), respectively. The grain size (from 0.9 to 1.3 μ m) increases with copper content for crystal thickness in the range of 1.547~1.875- μ m.

	RMS (Rq)	Ra	Rmax
S1 (CGI = 0.78)	65.2 nm	50.4 nm	407 nm
S2 (CGI = 0.95)	97.3 nm	73.4 nm	483 nm
S3 (CGI = 1.25)	130 nm	104 nm	785 nm
S1 (CGI = 0.78)		266.4 nm	266.4 nm -222.3 nm RMS = 65.2 nm
S2 (CGI = 0.95)	Height 600.0 nm	Height 225.4 nm -246.7 nm	4.2 μm 3.6 μm RMS = 97.3 nm
S3 (CGI = 1.25)	Height 600.0 nm	Height 320.7 nm -286.3 nm	827.7 nm -16.3 nm RMS = 130 nm
	Height Sensor 600.0 nm	h Height	

Table 3. The AFM roughness and the corresponding images (below) of CIGS₂ thin-film absorption layer prepared with RMS (Rq) values, S1, S2, and S3 for 65.2, 97.3, and 130 nm, respectively.

Figure 2 shows XRD patterns (under 5° incident angle) of CIGS₂ layers with different CGI ratios, in which the chalcopyrite structure of these films was determined by XRD using Cu-K radiation of 1.5418 Å. The peak pattern was in good agreement with the Joint Committee on Powder Diffraction Standards (JCPDS) reference diagrams for the corresponding bulk phases. The (112), (204/220), and (116/312) lattice plane, respectively, located at 27.9°, 46.5°, and 55.0° (2-theta values) from CuInS₂ (JCPDS # 00-042-1475). The secondary-phase signal was located at CuS (103) at 32.38°, Mo (110) at 40.5°, MoS₂ (104) at 44°, and CuInS₂ (440) at 48.06°.

Figure 3 illustrates the Raman spectra of CIGS₂ thin-film absorption layers, which exhibited peaks that can be attributed to the phonon modes of the ternary CuInS₂ chalcopyrite. The absorption layers were excited by the 532 nm line of an argon (Ar⁺) laser at room temperature. Meanwhile, the modes of secondary phase were formed during the deposition process. The most peak at 291 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the A1-mode of CuInS₂, thus coinciding a noticeable contribution from Cu–Au mode (310 cm⁻¹) in CIGS₂ films [18].



Figure 2. The X-ray diffractograms (under the 5° incident angle) are mainly in the deeper part of the CIGS₂ absorption layer with different CGI ratios. The 20 values of the main diffraction peaks appear at 27.9°, 46.5°, and 55° from zoom-in patterns (inserted images), respectively, on (112), (204/220), and (116/312) facets. The secondary-phase signal is located at CuS (103) at 32.38°, Mo (110) at 40.5°, MoS₂ (104) at 44°, and CuInS₂ (440) at 48.06°.



Figure 3. The Raman spectra of CIGS thin film absorption layer prepared with different sample of S1, S2, and S3, which contain various concentrations of Cu-Au ordering, in which the Raman main-phase signal (A1) falls at 291 cm⁻¹, which is excited by the 532 nm line of Ar⁺-laser.

At same modulation source as Raman spectra on $CIGS_2$ thin films, Figure 4 plots the energy bandgap (eV)—the photoluminescence (PL) spectra measured at the 600–980 nm wavelength transmission. Therein, the Cu-rich with samples S2 and S3, the main peak displaced to about 1.59 and 1.61 eV, which was possibly induced by the secondary phase of CuS (103) at 32.38° (shown in XRD pattern of Figure 2) [16]. In the lowest CGI-ratio film (sample S1), it did not have an obvious peak. The samples S2 and S3 showed the obvious

and wanted peak at 1.59 and 1.61 eV, respectively. However, sample S1 had the unwanted peak at 1.41 eV of $CuIn_5S_8$, thus increasing defect density of the $CIGS_2$ film in concurrence with the literature reports [19].



Figure 4. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra of CIGS₂ thin film absorption layer, which the mainpeak bandgaps measured are 1.58, 1.59, and 1.61 eV, respectively, for S1, S2, and S3 samples.

The current density-voltage (*J*–*V*) characteristics of solar cells were measured under illumination and under the conditions of AM1.5G and 1000 W/cm². Figure 5 shows the *J*–*V* curve under illumination and the electrical properties of the CGIS₂-layer solar cells are summarized on the top. The best performance of sample S2 (CGI = 0.95) yielded the highest efficiency of the CGIS₂-layer solar cell, with short-circuit current (*J*_{SC}) = 15.53 mA/cm², open-circuit voltage (*V*_{OC}) =590 mV, series resistance (*R*_S) = 189 Ω , and conversion efficiency (η) = 3.212%, yielding a gain of 2.304% absolute compared to the S1 cell. Although there was improvement in fill factor (*F*.*F*.) for the higher CGI-ratio solar cell, it resulted in larger-*J*_{SC} value. Upon further investigation, it appeared that the cause for the lower CGI-ratio device required with this synthesis technique (CGI ratio ~1.0), which showed an approximate 1.6-eV-bandgap phenomena that was also observed with the CuGaS₂ absorption layer at the PL-spectra of Figure 4, as described previously, thus improving optoelectronic quality and increasing the charge-carrier lifetime of the absorption layer [20].

Figure 6 shows the EQE effect for our CIGS₂-absorption solar cells with different CGI ratios. In this study, for the visible-wavelength range (400–700 nm), the average EQE value of our CIGS₂-solar cell was increased as the CGI-ratio was increased, due to the low transmittance of the absorption layer [21]. This was attributed to the light absorption effect [6]—the absorber structure (CIGS₂ layer) adopted narrower bandgap of CIGS₂ (~1.61 eV), thus avoiding more incident light into the main absorption layer. This phenomenon also indicates that, as shown in Figure 5, only a very small number of carriers were generated in the lower CGI-ratio absorption layer after illumination, resulting in a decrease in J_{SC} and η values. Additionally, it can be noticed that in the visible-wavelength range of Figure 6, sample S3 exhibited a great improvement in EQE as compared with sample S1 by approximately 29.8% absolute. This was presumed to be due to the inability of the absorber layer to efficiently extract current in the visible-wavelength region.

The solar-panel manufacturing process brought together six different CIGS₂-absorber cells to create a functioning PV-array module, the size of which was the dimension of 30×30 -cm² area. For commercial applications of mass production of PV modules, the overall performance will vary significantly under different photo-intensity conditions, which will have a serious impact on the yield of PV systems. Variations in the intensity of solar radiation falling on a PV module affect many of its parameters, including V_{OC} , *F.F.*, conversion efficiency, and output power. For a PV module (CGI = 0.95) prepared with the

optimal CIGS₂-solar cells under photo intensity set at 1 KW/m², Figure 7 plots the current (A)-voltage (mV) cures of a module with varying ambient temperatures. Among them, the $V_{\rm OC}$ value decreased (from 570 to 410 mV) with the increase in the ambient temperature (from 30 to 75 °C). At these ambient temperatures, the corresponding optoelectrical performance ($V_{\rm OC}$, *F.F.*, and η values) of the developed PV module was attached on the top of Figure 7. This was the negative-temperature effect of $V_{\rm OC}$ value, which, in turn, led to a drop in its η value (from 5.47% to 3.21%) with the same point of view found in [22].

	V _{OC} (mV)	J _{SC} (mA/cm ²)	F.F. (%)	η (%)	R _{Sh} (Ohm)	Rs (Ohm)
S1	480	8.98	21	0.908	399.5	1474.5
S2	590	15.53	34.8	3.212	1215	189
S3	230	18.07	44.4	1.899	390.8	36.4



Figure 5. The current density-output voltage (J-V) curves prepared from different samples of S1, S2, and S3, where the optoelectrical performance of CIGS₂-solar cells has been attached on the top.



Figure 6. The EQE of CIGS₂-solar cells prepared with different samples of S1, S2, and S3. The S3 sample EQE value (average for the wavelength range of 400-700 nm) is about 51.5% higher than that of the other samples.



Figure 7. Under the fixed photo intensity (KW/m²) for the optimal CIGS₂-solar cells prepared with a PV module (CGI = 0.95), the current-voltage (I–V) curves observed from different ambient temperatures ($30 \sim 75 \degree$ C).

As photo intensity increased, again, the incident photo energy was absorbed more because a greater percentage of the incident light had enough energy to raise charge carriers from the valence band to the conduction band [23]. For a PV module (CGI = 0.95), Figure 8 illustrates the output power (W) relation with voltage (V), and it showed a linear upward trend with the gradual increase in photo intensity (from 250 to 1000 W/m²). On the contrary, this was the positive-photo-intensity effect of V_{OC} value, which, in turn, led to a raise in its η value (from 1.58% to 5.73%), which was consistent with the findings in [23].



Figure 8. Under the fixed ambient temperature (25 °C) for the optimal CIGS₂-solar cells prepared with a PV module (CGI = 0.95), the output power-voltage (P_{out} -V) curves observed from variable photo intensity (250~1000 W/m²).

4. Conclusions

In summary, the modified three-stage co-evaporation method was used to prepare the CIGS₂-absorber solar cell by adjusting the CGI-ratio to obtain the optimal PV characteristics. All the CIGS₂-absorption layers were characterized by the EDS, XRD, Raman, and PL spectra, which were persuasive and distinguished the effect of CGI ratios on CIGS₂ thinfilm layers. The SEM and AFM images (Figure 1 and Table 3) clearly showed nanocrystalline CIGS₂-absorber solar-cell structures without interfacial reactions, and these thick interfaces between the layers indicated that all layers are physically stable. For the developed CIGS₂-absorption film (CGI = 0.95), the Raman main-phase signal (A1) fell at 291 cm⁻¹, which was excited by the 532 nm line of Ar⁺-laser. Using PL spectroscopy, the corresponding main-peak bandgaps measured was 1.59 eV at the same CGI-ratio film. Meanwhile, the best conversion efficiency (η = 3.212%) and the average EQE (=51.1% in the visible-wavelength region) of photo-electric properties were achieved for the developed CIGS₂-solar cells (CGI = 0.95).

For PV modules used in commercial mass production, the overall performance will vary greatly under different photo intensities. Variations in the intensity of solar radiation falling on a PV module affect many of its parameters, including V_{OC} , *F.F.*, η , and output power. For a PV module (CGI = 0.95) prepared with the optimal CIGS₂-solar cell, the η values decreased with rising ambient temperature (30~75 °C). At the same time, its output powers also increased with increasing photo intensity. In addition, these optimal CIGS₂-absorption layers showed excellent thermal stability at all ambient temperatures under photo intensity set at 1 KW/m². On the premise of improving the performance of the developed devices, we hope that the proposed technology can not only reduce the process temperature and production cost but also lessen the toxic Cd-containing materials. Except the modified three-stage co-evaporation method in this study, the novel discoveries of this CIGS₂-absorber research provide a new scientific understanding of PV applications. From a perspective of sustainability environmental consciousness, thus, the eco-friendly PV modules can be effectively applied to commercial mass production.

Author Contributions: All authors conceived the idea; C.-W.C., C.-C.T., T.-P.H. and T.-L.L. worked on the experiments and analyzed the data; T.-C.L. wrote the paper; J.-J.H. edited and revised the paper; all authors participated in the discussion of the results and proofread the paper; J.-J.H. supervised the project. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors acknowledge financial support from The National Science and Technology Council of R.O.C. under contract No. MOST 108-2221-E-019-054.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- International Energy Agency. *Renewables 2019, Market Analysis and Forecast from 2019 to 2024*; IEA: Paris, France, 2019. Available online: https://www.iea.org/reports/renewables-2019 (accessed on 10 February 2023).
- Alanazi, T.I.; Sabbagh, M.E. Proposal and Design of Flexible All-Polymer/CIGS Tandem Solar Cell. *Polymers* 2023, 15, 1823. [CrossRef]
- Nafie, N.; Abouda Lachiheb, M.; Ben Rabha, M.; Dimassi, W.; Bouaïcha, M. Effect of the doping concentration on the properties of silicon nanowires. *Phys. E Low Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* 2014, *56*, 427–430. [CrossRef]
- Karyaoui, M.; Bardaoui, A.; Rabha, M.B.; Harmand, J.C.; Amlouk, M. Effect of rapid oxidation on optical and electrical properties of silicon nanowires obtained by chemical etching. *Eur. Phys. J. Appl. Phys.* 2012, 58, 20103. [CrossRef]
- 5. Bourlier, Y.; Cristini Robbe, O.; Lethien, C.; Roussel, P.; Pastre, A.; Zegaoui, M.; Bernard, R. Control of gallium incorporation in sol–gel derived CuIn_(1-x)GaxS₂ thin films for photovoltaic applications. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2015**, *70*, 137–144. [CrossRef]
- Ho, J.-S.; Chang, S.-C.; Ho, J.-J.; Hsu, W.-T.; Chiang, C.-C.; Tsai, S.-Y.; Wang, S.-S.; Lin, C.-K.; Chou, C.-C.; Yeh, C.-H.; et al. Improving the Performance of Solar Cells with Novel Buffer Structure by the Chemical Bath Deposition Technique. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 2017, 59, 29–34. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, F.; Anderson, T.H.; Monk, P.B.; Lakhtakia, A. Efficiency enhancement of ultrathin CIGS solar cells by optimal bandgap grading. *Appl. Opt.* 2019, 58, 6067–6078. [CrossRef]

- Nakamura, M.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kimoto, Y.; Yasaki, Y.; Kato, T.; Sugimoto, H. Cd-Free Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)₂ Thin-Film Solar Cell with Record Efficiency of 23.35%. *IEEE J. Photovolt.* 2019, *9*, 1863–1867. [CrossRef]
- Santos, P.; Brito, D.; Anacleto, P.; Fonseca, J.; de Brito Sousa, D.; Tavares, C.J.; Virtuoso, J.; Alves, M.; Pérez-Rodríguez, A.; Sadewasser, S. Effect of Cu-In-Ga Target Composition on Hybrid-Sputtered Cu(In,Ga)Se₂ Solar Cells. *IEEE J. Photovolt.* 2021, 11, 1206–1212. [CrossRef]
- 10. Rudmann, D.; Brémaud, D.; da Cunha, A.F.; Bilger, G.; Strohm, A.; Kaelin, M.; Zogg, H.; Tiwari, A.N. Sodium incorporation strategies for CIGS growth at different temperatures. *Thin Solid Films* **2005**, *480–481*, 55–60. [CrossRef]
- 11. Li, W.; Yao, L.; Li, K.; Li, X.; Yang, B.; Xu, S.; Shi, S.; Yi, C.; Chen, M.; Feng, Y.; et al. Enabling Low-Temperature Deposition of High-Efficiency CIGS Solar Cells with a Modified Three-Stage Co-Evaporation Process. *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.* **2020**, *3*, 4201–4207. [CrossRef]
- Álvarez-García, J.; Pérez-Rodríguez, A.; Romano-Rodríguez, A.; Calvo-Barrio, L.; Barcones, B.; Morante, J.R.; Siemer, K.; Luck, I.; Klenk, R. Microstructural characterisation of CuInS₂ polycrystalline films sulfurised by rapid thermal processing. *Thin Solid Films* 2001, 387, 219–221. [CrossRef]
- Merdes, S.; Mainz, R.; Klaer, J.; Meeder, A.; Rodriguez-Alvarez, H.; Schock, H.W.; Klenk, R. 12.6% efficient CdS/Cu (In, Ga) S2-based solar cell with an open circuit voltage of 879 mV prepared by a rapid thermal process. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 2011, 95, 864–869. [CrossRef]
- 14. Hanna, G.; Jasenek, A.; Rau, U.; Schock, H.W. Influence of the Ga-content on the bulk defect densities of Cu(In,Ga)Se₂. *Thin Solid Films* **2001**, *387*, 71–73. [CrossRef]
- Singh, S.; Samji, S.K.; Ramachandra Rao, M.S. Synthesis and characterisation of CuInGaS₂ nano-ink for photovoltaic applications. J. Exp. Nanosci. 2013, 8, 320–325. [CrossRef]
- 16. Gao, Q.; Yuan, S.; Zhou, Z.; Kou, D.; Zhou, W.; Meng, Y.; Qi, Y.; Han, L.; Wu, S. Over 16% Efficient Solution-Processed Cu(In,Ga)Se2 Solar Cells via Incorporation of Copper-Rich Precursor Film. *Small Adv. Sci. News* **2022**, *18*, 2203443. [CrossRef]
- 17. Ben Rabha, M.; Belhadj Mohamed, S.; Dimassi, W.; Gaidi, M.; Ezzaouia, H.; Bessaïs, B. Reduction of absorption loss in multicrystalline silicon via combination of mechanical grooving and porous silicon. *Phys. Status Solidi C Curr. Top. Solid State Phys.* **2011**, *8*, 883–886. [CrossRef]
- Wu, K.; Wang, D. Temperature-dependent Raman investigation of CuInS₂ with mixed phases of chalcopyrite and CuAu. *Phys. Status Solidi A* 2011, 208, 2730–2736. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nasser, S.; Alsloum, M.N.; Hussain, N. Preparing of Copper Oxides Thin Films by Chemical Bath Deposition (CBD) for Using in Environmental Application. *Energy Procedia* 2015, 74, 1459–1465. [CrossRef]
- Shukla, S.; Sood, M.; Adeleye, D.; Peedle, S.; Kusch, G.; Dahliah, D.; Melchiorre, M.; Rignanese, G.-M.; Hautier, G.; Oliver, R.; et al. Over 15% efficient wide-band-gap Cu(In,Ga)S₂ solar cell: Suppressing bulk and interface recombination through composition engineering. *Joule* 2021, *5*, 1816–1831. [CrossRef]
- Ho, J.; Wu, T.-C.; Ho, J.-J.; Hung, C.-H.; Chen, S.-Y.; Ho, J.-S.; Tsai, S.-Y.; Chou, C.-C.; Yeh, C.-H. Rear-Surface Line-Contact Optimization Using Screen-Print Techniques on Crystalline Solar Cells for Industrial Applications. *Mater. Sci. Semicond. Process.* 2018, 83, 22–26. [CrossRef]
- 22. Chikate, B.V.; Sadawarte, Y.A. The Factors Affecting the Performance of Solar Cell. Int. J. Comput. Appl. 2015, 1, 1–5.
- 23. Li, Z.; Yang, J.; Dezfuli, P.A.N. Study on the Influence of Light Intensity on the Performance of Solar Cell. *Int. J. Photoenergy* **2021**, 2021, 6648739. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.