



# **Microfluidic Devices for Heavy Metal Ions Detection: A Review**

Myrto-Kyriaki Filippidou 💿 and Stavros Chatzandroulis \*

Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, NCSR "Demokritos", 15341 Aghia Paraskevi, Greece; m.filippidou@inn.demokritos.gr

\* Correspondence: s.chatzandroulis@inn.demokritos.gr

**Abstract:** The contamination of air, water and soil by heavy metal ions is one of the most serious problems plaguing the environment. These metal ions are characterized by a low biodegradability and high chemical stability and can affect humans and animals, causing severe diseases. In addition to the typical analysis methods, i.e., liquid chromatography (LC) or spectrometric methods (i.e., atomic absorption spectroscopy, AAS), there is a need for the development of inexpensive, easy-to-use, sensitive and portable devices for the detection of heavy metal ions at the point of interest. To this direction, microfluidic and lab-on-chip (LOC) devices fabricated with novel materials and scalable microfabrication methods have been proposed as a promising approach to realize such systems. This review focuses on the recent advances of such devices used for the detection of the most important toxic metal ions, namely, lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd) and chromium (Cr) ions. Particular emphasis is given to the materials, the fabrication methods and the detection methods proposed for the realization of such devices in order to provide a complete overview of the existing technology advances as well as the limitations and the challenges that should be addressed in order to improve the commercial uptake of microfluidic and LOC devices in environmental monitoring applications.

Keywords: microfluidic devices; lab on chip; heavy metal ions; biosensors; water safety



Citation: Filippidou, M.-K.; Chatzandroulis, S. Microfluidic Devices for Heavy Metal Ions Detection: A Review. *Micromachines* 2023, 14, 1520. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/mi14081520

Academic Editors: Nam-Trung Nguyen and Xiujun Li

Received: 2 July 2023 Revised: 20 July 2023 Accepted: 27 July 2023 Published: 28 July 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

The environment and human health are threatened by heavy metal ions, such as lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd) and chromium (Cr), which are present in water, air and soil. Heavy metals ions can be categorized into those required by living organisms in small amounts (e.g., iron, manganese, zinc and copper), which only cause toxic effects in high concentrations, and those (e.g., lead, mercury and cadmium) that are highly toxic even in small amounts [1–5].

These contaminants are entering the aquatic and food chains of humans and animals through both human-related activities and natural phenomena. Some examples that result in the release of heavy metal ions include industry activities, urbanization, mining and metals discharges from natural resources, volcanic activities, soil erosion, rainwater and other physical phenomena [2,3,5,6].

Water is the main element of many processes realized in our every-day life; it is related to food and beverages, personal and facilities hygiene, and animal and human healthcare. According to the CDC, heavy metals like arsenic and lead, among others, can easily contaminate tap water [7]. This class of pollutants is characterized by a high toxicity and a density that is greater than 5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> (five times higher than water density) and also exhibits a low biodegradability and high chemical stability, resulting in the pollutants staying present in the environment for a long time. Such heavy metals are detrimental for fauna and flora, catastrophic to animal and plant habitats and can eventually be lethal to living organisms, including humans [8,9].

The ways through which heavy metals accumulate into the human body vary and include inhalation from the atmosphere, the ingestion of contaminated food, the drinking

of contaminated water and skin contact [10]. Heavy metals are responsible for a plethora of diseases, such as cancer, diseases of the immune system, kidney failure, allergies, heart problems, neurodegenerative diseases, etc. [11–14]. In Figure 1, the severe impact of water pollution by heavy metals on human health is depicted.



**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the effects on human health due to water pollution by heavy metals.

The need for clean and safe water can be addressed with the development of easyto-use, compact and portable devices targeting heavy metal ions detection. Microfluidic devices offer the possibility to manipulate minute volumes of fluids (typically a few microliters or less) in a way that makes it possible to perform chemical or biological analysis on a single chip. They usually consist of a simple or complex network of microchannels and microchambers, which serve as reaction chambers or reagent reservoirs. When this technology is applied to the detection of heavy metal ions, rapid and affordable devices with reasonable accuracy can be foreseen [15]. LOCs are a subclass of microfluidic devices that strive to include on a single chip all the functions that are necessary to perform a full analysis of a sample in a much the same way as it is performed in a laboratory. In addition, LOCs should preferably operate autonomously and be portable. Thus, LOCs can be considered microlaboratories with many advantages, including a small sample volume, reduced analysis time, low manufacturing cost and great sensitivity [16–20]. Being a relatively new technology, LOCs and microfluidic devices in general are constantly open to new technological approaches, and new materials play an important role in their development. Materials such as glass, silicon, paper, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), cyclic olefin copolymer (COC), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), polycarbonate (PC) and 3D printing materials are usually used for the fabrication of microfluidics [21]. Silicon and glass were the first-generation materials for microfluidics as they are thoroughly characterized materials with good surface properties and a wide range of well-established processing techniques, while glass has also excellent optical transparency and biocompatibility. Nevertheless, these materials require cleanroom facilities and sophisticated equipment to process, thus rendering the fabrication of LOCs expensive. However, PDMS and thermoplastics, like PMMA, PVC, etc., are commonly used as they are relatively inexpensive and well researched. In particular, PDMS is a material with the following advantages: it is biocompatible, cheap, optically transparent, easy to mold and good for prototyping. Paper microfluidics are characterized by a low cost and can be used to measure desired molecules quickly via visual inspection [22,23].

In addition, LOCs are versatile devices, which can be combined with different detection methods allowing their application in many areas, such as proteomics, gene research, point of care (POC), analytical chemistry, environmental monitoring (heavy metal ions and

pesticide detection), food safety [24–33] and other relevant industrial applications (i.e., liquid–liquid extraction) [34,35].

The fabrication of such innovative microfluidic devices enables the development of portable devices for on-site analysis [36] and can revolutionize the way sciences, such as analytical chemistry and biology, are used. Although conventional spectroscopic techniques, such as atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS) or other analytical methods, like high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), are currently the gold standard for such analyses due to their high accuracy and sensitivity, they cannot meet the specifications for portability, since most of them require bulky and expensive equipment and highly trained personnel and are time-consuming [4,37].

This review paper is focused on providing a comprehensive literature overview of the most recent and promising examples of microfluidic and LOC devices used for the detection of the most important toxic metal ions, namely, lead, mercury, arsenic, cadmium and chromium. Emphasis is given to the fabrication methods, the materials used, the detection methods proposed and their sensitivity, focusing on highlighting the most promising approaches that already exist in the literature. It is additionally intended to present the limitations of the state-of-the-art examples as well as the challenges in the field. Our study shows that several devices can meet the regulation limits of detection, but few of them have passed to the commercial phase. The reasons for this slow uptake of new detection methods and devices are also discussed at the end of this manuscript.

# 2. Microfluidic and Lab-on-Chip Devices for Heavy Metal Ions Detection Using Various Detection Methods

Integrated microfluidic devices enable the transfer of most processes performed on a laboratory bench to a single device, and, in this way, they can meet the requirements of POC systems (Figure 2) [38].



**Figure 2.** Illustration of miniaturized and integrated microfluidic devices for POC diagnosis. Reproduced with permission from reference [38].

To the question of what drives this extensive research and development of new micro total analysis systems ( $\mu$ TAS), or lab-on-chip devices, the answer is the development of micro- and nanotechnology, new materials, and smaller, smarter and more efficient electronic systems. For example, new nanomaterials offer improved sensing properties, greater sensitivity and greater selectivity. Thus, for the fabrication of innovative LOC devices, special attention must be paid to the choice of the materials used for the fabrication of the sensor that is integrated into the LOC devices. Novel materials, including nanomaterials, are utilized for the sensors' fabrication for the detection of heavy metals. Some good examples are carbon nanotubes, graphene, reduced graphene oxide and carbon dots (CDs) and polymeric materials (e.g., Polyaniline (PANI), Nafion, Poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), Poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA)), as well as metal nanoparticles (e.g., gold, silver, copper) and metal oxides (e.g., CuO, MgO, ZnO, MnO<sub>2</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, SNO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub>) [3,6,39–41]. Another common approach is to combine bioreceptors with some of the aforementioned materials for the fabrication of novel sensors in which the detection mechanism is related to the biological molecules' type (e.g., enzymes, antibodies, DNA, RNA, etc.), resulting in the fabrication of immunosensors and aptamer-based and enzyme-based sensors [5]. The development of such nanomaterials with improved electrical and mechanical properties and the development and innovation in the area of microfabrication can transform LOC devices into powerful devices [42].

At the same time, the selected detection scheme is a very important part of the integrated lab-on-chip or microfluidic device. The main goal is to develop portable, low-cost and easy-to-fabricate and -operate devices that can be used in the point of interest [43], and this is the reason for the rise in alternative detection methods. To this end, several detection methods have been proposed to be used in combination with microfluidics in order to provide portable detection devices. Examples of the sensors that have been proposed for the detection of heavy metal ions are the following: electrochemical, fluorescence, colorimetric, (electro)chemiluminescence, piezoresistive, surface plasmon resonance (SPR) and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) sensors [15,44–48]. Figure 3 shows a schematic representation of the different materials and fabrication and detection methods that can be combined for the fabrication of microfluidic devices.



**Figure 3.** Schematic representation of the materials and the fabrication and detection methods that are used for the realization of microfluidic devices intended for heavy metal ions detection.

Electrochemical sensors [15,44,45] offer high sensitivity, a low limit of detection (LOD) and real-time detection results. Such sensors are ideal for water safety applications, since water pollutants monitoring can be achieved via changes in the electrical response, as the pollutants affect the electrical signal [46]. The most popular electrochemical methods combined with microfluidic devices are based on amperometry, conductometry, potentiometry and voltammetry. Electrochemical sensors also include resistive sensors, in which the response is based on resistance changes or differences, and capacitive-type sensors, in which the device capacity is measured. Furthermore, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) is also used for heavy metals ions detection since it is a simple, rapid and low-cost technique. One of the methods that has been widely used for the fabrication of electrochemical sensors intended for environmental monitoring is screen-printing, as reported in the literature [49,50].

Optical methods, like fluorescence, colorimetric methods and surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) spectroscopy, are also very common for heavy metals detection as they have a relatively good sensitivity and specificity and they can be easily combined with microfluidic devices to enable devices suitable for POC applications. Optical methods based on fluorescence, although they are rapid, sensitive, non-destructive and can be employed for in situ or in-line monitoring, usually require more sophisticated external equipment. This equipment used to be bulky and less portable, but nowadays several portable fluorescence sensors exist [51]. Colorimetric methods, however, are usually combined with microfluidic paper-based devices used in water safety for heavy metals detection. Such methods are related to the change in color in the presence of the analyte. In this way, colorimetric methods facilitate the direct detection of heavy metals (liquids or solids) by the naked eye, whereas an optical system can be used for further analysis. This method offers qualitative results in a simple and facile way. Again, in order to achieve the quantification of the results and reach a low LOD, coupling with an image analysis software or device is required, which is nowadays trivial through the wide use of smart portable devices (i.e., mobile phones) [15,47].

SERS is used for the detection of targets on the single-molecule level on the surface using noble metal (e.g., Au, Ag and Cu) nanostructures. SERS is characterized by a high sensitivity and selectivity, less sample pretreatment, a simple operation, a short response time and rich spectral fingerprint information [15,44,52]. Another optical method used for heavy metals detection is SPR [43,53]. SPR has a simple design and high sensitivity, is label free, offers a real-time response and is of low cost.

The rapid growth of chemiluminescence (CL) techniques in the last decades has also led to their use in the detection of different analyte targets including heavy metals amongst others. CL is similar to the fluorescent method and is characterized by high sensitivity. In addition, CL requires simple instrumentation when compared to other optical detection methods, but is restricted by the reagents required (i.e., luminol and ruthenium complexes) and is influenced by the solution composition, its pH and temperature, which can affect reproducibility.

Finally, in some cases microfluidic devices are integrated with piezoresistive sensors in order to fabricate a portable device for rapid heavy metals detection. This kind of sensor relies on a change in electrical resistance under mechanical strain [54]. In the following sections, an overview of these efforts is presented for each one of the most important heavy metal ions.

#### 2.1. Lead (Pb) Detection

Lead (Pb) is one of the most dangerous heavy metals that can be found in the environment. It is released by chemical pollution and industrial activities, whereas pollution in drinking water is usually caused by plumbing materials. Some of the negative effects of Pb on humans are that it can act on the nervous system and on skeletal development and it can cause anemia, hypertension, obesity, arrhythmia, kidney failure and even immune system dysfunctions. The fact that even in small amounts Pb<sup>2+</sup> can inflict damage on adults and children, infants and fetuses especially, stresses the need for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection [44,46]. Several examples have been reported in the literature for microfluidic devices combined with optical and electrochemical detection systems for the detection of Pb.

For example, K. A. Shaikh et al. [55] have developed a simple and low-cost LOC system, which incorporates channels, reaction chambers, sensors and actuators for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection. By using a DNAzyme fluorescent biosensor, they manage to detect Pb<sup>2+</sup> concentrations from 10  $\mu$ M to 500 nM. The DNAzyme scheme has also been used in another work for real-time Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection, by utilizing fluorescent tags on the DNAzyme, which are immobilized inside a PMMA-based microfluidic device [56]. A microfluidic device with a passive mixer and fluorescent molecular sensors based on calixarene systems for selective Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection in water is presented in [57]. Using a PDMS Y-shape microchannel bonded on a glass substrate via oxygen plasma treatment, they achieved the detection of 5 ppb of Pb<sup>2+</sup>. A portable and power-free PDMS microfluidic device based on 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid-functionalized gold nanoparticles for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection is reported in [58]. The detection is achieved using a microscope or a water drop as a magnifier, and 10  $\mu$ M of Pb<sup>2+</sup> are detected by this scheme.

W. H. Huang et al. [59] have fabricated a PDMS microfluidic device based on graphene oxide (GO)/aptamer sensors for the simultaneous detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  ions. They have achieved the detection of concentrations of around 0.70 ppb and 0.53 ppb for  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$ , respectively, which are lower than those proposed by the World Health Organization (WHO) (Figure 4). An alternative method for  $Pb^{2+}$  detection is achieved using a microfluidic analogue of Wheatstone-bridge (SMAW) with a microgel [60]. In particular, the PDMS/glass-based SMAW microchip allows signal conversion and amplification for the real-time continuous detection of  $Pb^{2+}$ , with a detection limit of  $10^{-14}$  M, utilizing an optical microscope.



**Figure 4.** Schematic representation of the measurement system used for the detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  (0.70 ppb) and Pb<sup>2+</sup> (0.53 ppb) inside a PDMS microfluidic device. The GO/aptamer suspension and the metal ion solutions are introduced inside the microfluidic device through the two inlets of the device using a syringe pump. The detection is achieved via the GO/aptamer suspension fluorescence intensity change, while the quenching effect is evaluated via image analysis software installed on an interfaced PC. Reproduced with permission from reference [59]. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license.

Another promising portable and low-cost platform is presented in [61]. In particular, a paper-based microfluidic device for heavy metal ions detection using DNA strands and electrochemiluminescence (ECL) labels was fabricated. In this way, they have achieved the simultaneous detection of 10 pM Pb<sup>2+</sup> and 0.2 nM Hg<sup>2+</sup>. N. Fakhri et al. [62] have also proposed a paper-based microfluidic for lead detection in water. They have combined aptamers with gold nanoparticles for the colorimetric detection of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, and, using two types of filter paper, namely, Whatman No. 1 and nylon filter papers, they have detected

1.2 nM and 0.7 nM of Pb<sup>2+</sup>, respectively. Furthermore, a microfluidic paper-based analytical device ( $\mu$ PAD) has been proposed in which lead detection is either performed via naked eye estimation of the color change on the pad (principle 1) or using image analysis for the color change intensity (principle 2) [63]. A sodium rhodizonate reagent (NaR) is used in both approaches and after the injection of the sample a color change is observed; while using principle 1, the limit of detection is 0.756 mg L<sup>-1</sup>.

In addition, J. Zhou et al. [64] have fabricated a portable paper-based device for heavy metals detection with quantitative information, resulting in a promising platform for the rapid testing of metal ions. In this approach, ZnSe quantum dots (QDs) are combined with ion imprinted polymers (IIPs) for the detection of  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  ions with detection limits of 0.245 µg  $L^{-1}$  and of 0.335 µg  $L^{-1}$ , respectively. Finally, a cloth/paper hybrid microfluidic analytical device with a fluorescence sensing cloth-based component has been proposed for the detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> ions with concentrations down to 0.18 and 0.07 µg  $L^{-1}$ , respectively [65]. For the realization of this fluorescence sensing cloth-based component, which is assembled on a rotary µPAD substrate, first quantum dots are grafted onto the cotton cloth and then IIPs are used for further modification. While using this scheme, they have managed to optimize the portability of the device.

Many attempts have also been reported in the literature for paper-based microfluidic devices that are combined with electrochemical detection systems. Such systems can be more versatile and more quantitative. Z. Nie et al. [66] have described a paper-based microfluidic device in which the screen-printed electrodes (SPEs) made from conducting inks, like carbon or Ag/AgCl, are fabricated on paper or polyester film for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection. Furthermore, M. Medina-Sánchez et al. [67] have fabricated a portable lab-on-paper device, which also allows sample pretreatment for the electrochemical sensing of lead and cadmium with concentrations down to 7 and 11 ppb, respectively. The device was fabricated using wax and screen-printing technologies. A miniaturized paper-based microfluidic device with a three-dimensional layout with working and counter electrodes using a graphite foil was used for Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection, and this electrochemical-based microfluidic device can detect concentrations down to 1.2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> and 1.8  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 5) [68]. In another approach, a boron-doped diamond paste electrode, which can be stencil-printed, was combined with paper-based microfluidic devices for the realization of a low-cost, high-performance electrochemical sensor for the detection of  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  [69]. The detection limit of this device is 1 and 25 ppb for  $Pb^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$ , respectively.



**Figure 5.** Illustration of a paper-based (light blue) microfluidic device with graphite foil (black) for Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> monitoring. The electrodes are placed on a PMMA substrate to facilitate operation. This device with the carbon-based sensor has a three-dimensional layout in which the counter and the working electrodes are facing each other and as a result the microfluidic paper channel is placed among these two electrodes. A piece of sponge is used as analyte reservoir and an absorbent pad is placed on the channel end and capillary flow is enabled. Reproduced with permission from reference [68]. This is an open-access article published under an ACS AuthorChoice License, which permits the copying and redistribution of the article or any adaptations for non-commercial purposes.

W. Jung et al. [70] have fabricated a miniaturized polymer lab chip sensor that is reusable, using microfabrication technology for the continuous and on-site detection of heavy metals and especially  $Pb^{2+}$ . The device consists of a microfluidic channel and a fully integrated sensor with a planar Ag working electrode and Ag counter/quasi-reference. The LOD was measured via square-wave anodic stripping voltammetry (SWASV) and it was found to be 0.55 ppb. A transparent integrated microfluidic device with a 3D-printed thinlayer flow cell (3D-PTLFC) and an S-shaped SPE for heavy metal ion stripping analysis is presented in [71]. This device has a high performance and is characterized by a low cost, and the LOD for  $Pb^{2+}$  is 0.3 µg L<sup>-1</sup>. An electrochemical system using 3D-PTLFC and a flow-field shaped solid electrode (FFSSE) was used for  $Pb^{2+}$  detection (Figure 6A) [72]. This squarewave anodic stripping voltammetry (ASV) system is characterized by a better sensitivity and reproducibility compared to a traditional ASV-based method with a detection limit of 0.2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (0.2 ppb). B. Ding et al. [73] have fabricated a 3D-printed flow reactor in which porous carbon electrodes made via direct laser sintering on polymer films are placed. This scheme is used for  $Pb^{2+}$  detection with an LOD of 0.0330 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. A. Chałupniak et al. [74] have fabricated a LOC platform that consists of a screen-printed carbon electrode (SPCE), a PDMS chip and a GO–PDMS chip for the preconcentration and detection of heavy metals like Pb<sup>2+</sup>. Another lab-on-chip device in which a 3D-printed microfluidic device is combined with an epitaxial graphene (EG) sensor was fabricated for Pb<sup>2+</sup> electrochemical detection [75] (Figure 6B). The authors reported a quite low LOD of 95 nM for  $Pb^{2+}$ , which is attributed to the high sensitivity of the sensing material. In addition, a portable resistive device for the detection of  $Pb^{2+}$  in water, with an LOD of 0.81 nM and a shelflife of ~45 days, was reported in [76]. For the realization of this device, they combined miniaturized electronics with a microfluidic well, while the sensing material is based on  $\alpha$ -MnO<sub>2</sub>/GQD nanocomposites.

Furthermore, J. Dai et al. [77] have reported an integrated and miniaturized microfluidic electrochemical sensor for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection. For the fabrication of the device, a "glasssilicon-glass" sandwich structure was developed, while the microsensor has a nanochannel liquid conjunct Ag/AgCl reference electrode, a working electrode with a three-dimensional Au micropillar array and a detection chamber for sample measurement. Finally, the device is characterized by a good sensitivity, repeatability and selectivity and a wide detection range, enabling its use for water quality monitoring, and its LOD is 0.13  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. In another work, a microfluidic device with an electrochemical carbon sensor was used for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection, since this kind of sensor decreases the LOD, which is 40 ppt, by three orders compared to traditional heavy metal sensors.

A novel autonomous robotic system for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection in surface water has been developed [78]. More specifically, a microfluidic device was combined with an electrochemical sensor made from carbon-based screen-printed electrodes. The device has the ability of performing 39 measurements per day, and the limit of detection for Pb<sup>2+</sup> for this integrated system is 4  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 6C). M. Zhang et al. [79] have proposed a chip consisting of an electrochemical sensor and a digital microfluidic (DMF) platform for the detection of Pb<sup>2+</sup> in tap water (Figure 6D). This portable device has a low power consumption and relay control modules, it enables automatic sample pretreatment, sensing, waste collection and data acquisition, and the sensing node can be functional for several years using a normal battery. Another example of a microfluidic device with an electrochemical sensor is presented in [80]. In particular, a 3D Ag-rGO-f-Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/NF composite is utilized as an amplifier of the electrochemical signals under the synergistic effect of thermocapillary convection, with which an acceleration of the preconcentration process and reduction in the detection time (it saves 300 s of preconcentration time) is achieved. This portable device is controlled by a smartphone and the LOD of Pb<sup>2+</sup> in river water is 0.00498  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. Finally, a microfluidic channel was combined with a wireless sensor in a low-temperature cofired ceramic substrate among the capacitor plates for the detection of various metals ions, like  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  and  $Cd(NO_3)_2$  (Figure 6E) [81]. Thus, via the changes in the amplitude



of reflection coefficient, the detection of metal ion solutions in a low concentration range of 0–5 mM can be obtained, and the LOD of this kind of sensor can be as low as 5  $\mu$ M.

**Figure 6.** Examples of different microfluidic devices proposed for heavy metal ions detection: (**A**) An electrochemical sensor (FFSSE) combined with a 3D-PTLFC for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection. Reproduced with permission from reference [72]. (**B**) Schematic representation of a lab-on-chip device consisting of a 3D-printed microfluidic device and an EG sensor for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection. Reproduced with permission from reference [75]. This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license. (**C**) (**a**,**b**) Images of an autonomous vehicle for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection in surface water and (**c**) illustration of the main parts of the microfluidic device of the particular system. Reproduced with permission from reference [78]. (**D**) The detection system for Pb<sup>2+</sup> monitoring in tap water using a device comprising of DMF platform and an electrochemical sensor. Reproduced with permission from reference [79]. (**E**) The measurement set up for Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> detection using a microfluidic device. Reproduced with permission from reference [81]. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of thris is an open-access article distributed under the terms from reference [81]. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution CC-BY-NC-ND license.

#### 2.2. Mercury (Hg) Detection

Mercury (Hg) is another harmful pollutant that affects the environment, and it is produced by various industries, such as the paper, pharmaceuticals and horticulture industries. It can be absorbed by fish or shellfish and enter to human body via the food chain or by drinking water, affecting in this way cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, urinary and neurological systems. The toxicity of Hg and its compounds differs according to the method of inhalation or ingestion or the amount. The provisional tolerable weekly intake of Hg in foods proposed by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the WHO is 0.3 mg. No detectable negative effects are caused to the human body below this limit, but this high safety standard stresses the need for accurate Hg detection [44,46].

Similarly to Pb<sup>2+</sup>, many efforts have been made for the detection of Hg using microfluidics devices and optical detection systems. For example, Hg<sup>2+</sup> was detected via an optical microfluidic device with ionophore modified gold nanoparticles [82]. This device, which uses an easy and automated procedure, is selective to Hg<sup>2+</sup> among different ions that may exist in environmental water samples, with an LOD of 11 ppb. Guilong Peng et al. [83] have achieved the detection of 0.031  $\mu$ M of Hg<sup>2+</sup> using a microfluidic chip, fabricated after the bonding of PDMS with a cleaned glass slide via an oxygen plasma treatment step. The chip is then integrated with on-line complexing and laser-induced fluorescence detection. The device is based on a rhodamine derivative (RD), which is the fluorescent chemosensor and thus, by combining the microfluidic technology with the chemosensor, they have developed a portable and low-cost device with less consumption of sample and reagents, high selectivity and a rapid response time, demonstrating the potential in on-site analysis. In addition, a digital microfluidic fluorometric sensor was proposed for Hg<sup>2+</sup> detection in costal seawater [84]. As previously discussed, a rhodamine-based fluorescent probe is used for the detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> due to its fast response at ambient temperatures and high selectivity in Hg<sup>2+</sup>, and by changing the sensitive fluorescent probe other metals like Pb and Cd can also be detected. This rapid and low-cost device enabled the detection of mercury with a concentration down to 1.4 ppb. Another example for the detection of toxic metals is the use of CDs as the selective optical reagents in a microanalyzer consisting of a COC analytical microsystem, a flow management system and a miniaturized customized optical detection system (Figure 7) [85]. By utilizing this device, they have managed to detect various heavy metals ions such as  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  with detection limits ranging from 2 to 12 ppb and even lower for  $Hg^{2+}$ .



**Figure 7.** Images of (**A**) the microfluidic device and (**B**) the compact optical-based detection system in which the microfluidic device is placed for heavy metal ions detection, e.g.,  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$ . Reproduced with permission from reference [85].

Paper-based microfluidics are constantly gaining ground in Hg<sup>2+</sup> monitoring. An example of this case is presented in [86], in which they have managed to quantify mercury ions using a distance-based detection method on a microfluidic portable and low-cost µPAD. An insoluble colored complex is obtained when mercury ions interact with dithizone, and mercury is quantified by measuring the length of the colored precipitate utilizing a printed ruler along each device. A three-dimensional origami microfluidic paper-based chip for fluorescence detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  ions is reported in [87]. In particular, in this device CdTe QDs are combined with ion imprinting technique resulting in a quantitative information and in a detection limit of 0.056  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>. In another similar work, a three-dimensional microfluidic paper-based device was presented as a solution for the detection of several metals (i.e.,  $Cd^{2+}$ ,  $Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Hg^{2+}$ ) in coastal waters. The design of this device enabled a more homogeneous permeation of the fluid in the paper chip, resulting in a 25-fold enrichment for each metal. The reported LOD ranged from 0.007 to 0.015  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for all metals tested [88]. K. Patir et al. [89] have used nitrogen-doped carbon dots (NCDs) for fluorescence detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup>. Thus, by fabricating a filter paper-based microfluidic device with NCDs they have achieved detections of Hg<sup>2+</sup> with the concentration down to 0.1  $\mu$ M. A chemically functionalized paper-based microfluidic platform, in which silane compounds terminating in amine  $(NH_2)$ , carboxyl (COOH) and thiol (SH) are immobilized on a patterned chromatography paper, has been developed for the detection of various heavy metal ions, like Cr (VI) and  $Hg^{2+}$  [90]. For the quantification of the heavy metals detection, they used color intensity measurements, and the LODs for Cr (VI) and Hg<sup>2+</sup> are 0.18 ppm and 0.19 ppm, respectively. Another paper-based device, in which a smartphone is used as a colorimetric analyzer for monitoring  $Hg^{2+}$  in water samples, enabling use by unskilled users, is described in [91]. Using this device with unmodified silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on the detection zones, an LOD down to  $Hg^{2+}$  0.003 mg L<sup>-1</sup> is achieved. G. Dindorkar et al. [92] have also considered that the fabrication of a device for mercury detection is an imperative need. So, they have fabricated a  $\mu$ PAD, which is combined with a simple colorimetric android-based application, for Hg<sup>2+</sup> quantification with concentrations from  $0.1 \text{g L}^{-1}$  to  $0.001 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  in water. The detection scheme proposed included gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) functionalized with Papain and 2,6-pyridinedicarboxylic acid. A novel  $\mu$ PAD device, which is combined with a colorimetric sensor, was proposed for the detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  ions in aqueous solutions [93]. In particular, cysteamine@gold nanoparticles (CysA@AuNPs) are used with amino acids as ion detection probes and Hg<sup>2+</sup> was detected via a naked eye color change for concentrations down to 0.001 ppm. In another example, a paper-based analytical device utilizing colorimetry for the quantification of various metal ions such as Hg<sup>2+</sup> was also presented [94]. The metals are monitored via the reaction between the metal ions and complexing agents in the detection zone and the LOD of the proposed device for  $Hg^{2+}$  is 0.20 mg L<sup>-1</sup>. In another approach, a paper disc device, which works with a compact smartphone-based reading device, was proposed for the detection of water contaminants, such as Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup> [95]. The method is based on fluorescence, and concentrations down to 20 nM and 4 nM for Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup>, respectively, were detected.

A novel label-free device without the requirement of bulky equipment, enabling portability, was reported in [96]. In particular, an SERS substrate in a PDMS microfluidic channel, using silver nanostructures inside the microfluidic channel, for the rapid detection of Hg ions compared to conventional SERS devices, was fabricated. This device can detect Hg ions in aqueous solutions with a high sensitivity and good selectivity, and the reported LOD is  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  M. W. Zhang et al. [97] have also achieved the detection of Hg<sup>2+</sup> using a localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) nanosensor and a microfluidic chip made from PDMS, which is bonded with a cover glass. This device, with nanostructures formed by nanorods, can monitor Hg<sup>2+</sup> in real-life water samples with an LOD of 2.7 pM.

Microfabrication technology for the fabrication of an on-chip integrated electrochemical detector with planar electrodes (Au–Ag–Au three-electrode system) and a PDMS microfluidic channel was utilized in reference [98]. In this way, a simple in use, low analyte consumption, rapid response, miniaturized device with a high sensitivity and good reproducibility is developed for Hg<sup>2+</sup> monitoring. This device has an LOD of 3 ppb and shows great potential for the application of in situ or on-line mercury detection. H. L. Nguyen et al. [99] have also combined a PDMS microfluidic chip with an electrochemical sensor for mercury detection. They have used a complex of PANI and sodium dodecyl sulfate to modify the electrode placed inside the microfluidic chip and the device limit of detection was reported to be as low as 2.4 nM.

In another approach, microfluidic technology was again coupled with electrochemical detection [100]. The reported device consisted of an array of interdigitated microelectrodes with a small volume (~4  $\mu$ L) and the authors studied the effect of the spacing between the electrodes. The LOD for Hg<sup>2+</sup> was 12.4 ± 1.95  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, which is nevertheless higher than the LOD requirements of the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>).

Finally, a microfluidic device with unmodified ITO electrodes, which were formed using a  $CO_2$  laser ablation method, has been presented for mercury detection [101]. The microchannel was made from PDMS and it was bonded via plasma with a glass containing the ITO electrodes. The microfluidic device has an integrated pump for the analytes' introduction and a portable potentiostat connected with a smartphone for various metals' detection (i.e., mercury). The LOD for mercury was reported to be 3.19  $\mu$ M, and it was reported that simultaneous detection of various metals such as copper and mercury was also possible.

#### 2.3. Arsenic (As) Detection

Another toxic metal that can easily pollute drinking water via agrochemical and industrial waste is arsenic (As), which is reported as the most toxic substance among others. This heavy metal can harm multiple organ systems in the human body, like the bladder, liver, lungs and skin, and chronic arsenic exposure via drinking water is the reason for anemia and thrombocytopenia, blackfoot disease, dyspepsia, peripheral neuropathy, pigmentation and keratosis, and leucopenia. The WHO and the EPA have set the maximum contaminant level of As in drinking water at 10 ppb. Since the arsenic compounds are highly toxic, there is an urgent need for their detection. Some of the microfluidic devices used for this purpose are described below [44,46,102].

P. Nath et al. [103] have described the detection of  $As^{3+}$  ions using a paper-based microfluidic, realizing a portable, power-free chip device. The device is based on a gold nanosensor (Au–TA–TG) for the detection of 1.0 ppb; according to the WHO, this value is lower than the reference standard for drinking water. Similarly, a µPAD with a gold nanosensor, which was functionalized with  $\alpha$ -lipoic acid and thioguanine (Au–TA–TG), was proposed for the monitoring of arsenic in groundwater [104]. Authors have proved that this device is able to detect if the arsenic level is above or below the WHO guideline level of 10 µg L<sup>-1</sup>. M. Gabhane et al. [105] succeed in detecting 5 ppb of As<sup>3+</sup> ions using a combination of gold nanoparticles and a paper-based microfluidic device equipped with a mini microscope for colorimetric monitoring.

In another interesting work, a semi-automated portable device with a disposable microfluidic cartridge for untrained users was presented for the detection of arsenic ( $As^{3+}$  and  $As^{5+}$ ), and with this colorimetric device they have measured concentrations in drinking water down to 1 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, which is again lower than the WHO guidelines of 10 µg L<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 8A) [106]. In another work,  $As^{3+}$  ions are detected in a continuous flow by surfaceenhanced Raman scattering on a zigzag PDMS microfluidic chip [107]. For this purpose, they have utilized AgNPs, for the SERS enhancement substrate, conjugated with glutathione with 4-mercaptopyridine, and the highly sensitive and reproducible analysis of the device is performed very fast (several minutes). This kind of device, with an LOD of 0.67 ppb, has great potential in use with a lab-on-chip technique for environmental monitoring. Finally, a portable smartphone-based PDMS microfluidic kit has been developed for the detection of various heavy metals like arsenic and mercury (Figure 8B) [108]. In this colorimetric based device used for POC detection, the response is obtained through the formation of nanoparticle aggregates via the interaction of gold nanoparticles with dithiothreitol10,12-pentacosadiynoic acid and lysine in the presence of  $As^{3+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$  ions, enabling the monitoring of water sample concentrations in ranges from 710 to 1278 µg L<sup>-1</sup> and from 10.77 to 53.86 µg L<sup>-1</sup> for  $As^{3+}$  and  $Hg^{2+}$  ions, respectively.



**Figure 8.** (**A**) Images of the portable colorimetric-based device for arsenic detection in drinking water and image of the detection device from the 3D CAD, where the disposable microfluidic cartridge and the way that the water sample is inserted are depicted. Reproduced with permission from reference [106]. (**B**) Images (**a**) of the portable PDMS microfluidic kit, (**b**) of the way that the measurement is realized using a smartphone and (**c**) of the application utilized for the detection of heavy metals, such as arsenic and mercury. Reproduced with permission from reference [108]. This article is distributed under an Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 license (CC BY-NC 3.0).

Subsequently, several examples in which a microfluidic channel is combined with an electrochemical sensor for heavy metal ions detection have also been reported. For example, U. Kim et al. [109], knowing the effects of arsenic contaminated drinking water, have decided to fabricate a microfluidic device with an electrochemical sensor combined with a handheld electrochemical analyzer for rapid detection at the test site. This low-cost and portable point-of-use microfluidic platform is comprised of a plastic substrate based on polyphenylene ether above which electrochemical sensors are ink printed (carbon, silver and silver/silver chloride ink electrodes). In another example, a microfluidic device that had a PDMS reaction chamber and single-walled carbon nanotubes patterned on a glass substrate coupled with gold nanoparticles at specific positions inside the microfluidic channels has been reported [110]. The device exhibited a high sensitivity and can detect 30 ppb of As<sup>3+</sup> in 60 s. In addition, a microfluidic device with an electrochemical sensor based on a gold thin-film electrode modified with AuNPs has been presented in [111]. Using the aforementioned device,  $As^{3+}$  with a concentration 0.42 µg L<sup>-1</sup>, lower than WHO standards (10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>), can be detected in water. Furthermore, a point-of-use microfluidic device consisting of radial channels and an electrochemical sensor for arsenic monitoring in water samples was reported in reference [112]. The authors achieved nanoelectrokinetic preconcentration of the target via ion concentration polarization and managed to preconcentrate and detect As<sup>3+</sup> with concentrations as low as 1 ppb without including valves. Finally, G. Zhao et al. [113] have integrated electrochemical sensors in a 3D-printed polymer flow cell for various heavy metals' detection in water, such as As<sup>3+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> (Figure 9). A flexible substrate is used for the fabrication of the sensor, which consists of screen-printed commercial graphite ink (working and counter electrode) and a Ag/AgCl ink (reference electrode) for the realization of SPEs on the polyimide substrate. By modifying the two different working electrodes with a (BiO)<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>-reduced graphene oxide–Nafion nanocomposite, the detection of 0.8  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Cd<sup>2+</sup> and 1.2  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> Pb<sup>2+</sup> is achieved. With an Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> magnetic nanoparticle–Au nanoparticle–ionic liquid nanocomposite, 2.4  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> of  $As^{3+}$  is detected.



**Figure 9.** Schematic representation of the flow injection system for various heavy metals' detection in water, e.g., As<sup>3+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup>. The 3D-printed polymer flow cell with an integrated electrochemical sensor is depicted. Reproduced with permission from reference [113]. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

#### 2.4. Cadmium (Cd) Detection

Minerals include metals like cadmium (Cd) in the form of chemical compounds. Such compounds are utilized in industries like electroplating, solar cells, battery and plastic stabilizers [44,46]. In addition, they are commonly discharged into the environment via waste gas and waste water. Such substances are toxic since they can enter the human body through the food chain and affect the kidneys and liver, resulting in chronic poisoning. The toxicity limit for humans is 100 mg. Below, some of the microfluidic devices used for this purpose are presented.

For example, H. Zhang et al. [114] have integrated a fluorescent sensor based on Rhod-5N in a microfluidic detection system comprising a Y-shape PDMS microchannel fixed on a glass substrate. Using this device, 0.45  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> of Cd<sup>2+</sup> can be detected, and solid-phase

adsorption on aminopropyl silica beads is used in order to avoid Pb<sup>2+</sup> interference. In another work, a microfluidic device for the selective and sensitive monitoring of different heavy metals, like As<sup>3+</sup>, Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup>, was presented [115]. In particular, the device was made after bonding PDMS with a glass substrate, which incorporated a solid-phase extraction unit for sample pretreatment, a micropump and a micromixer for flow control and a detachable graphene oxide quantum dot (GOQD) as a sensing array. For the fluorescence detection of heavy metal ions, the GOQD of the sensor array is linked with DNA aptamer. Using this scheme,  $As^{3+}$ ,  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  can be detected with detection limits of 5.03 nM, 41.1 nM and 4.44 nM, respectively. In another approach, an optofluidic sensor for Cd<sup>2+</sup> detection in tap water has been developed (Figure 10) [116]. This device enables label-free detection of  $Cd^{2+}$  and has a polymeric microresonator functionalized with a cadmium ion-specific ligand, and a PDMS microfluidic channel is used for the introduction as well as for the flow control of the analyte solution, forcing it to pass onto the microresonator, which was placed at the center of the channel. This group, using the particular device, has achieved the detection of the presence of  $Cd^{2+}$  with concentrations down to  $0.36 \pm 0.10$  nM or  $38.9 \pm 10.6$  ng L<sup>-1</sup> in tap water, whereas when a post-measurement median filter was used the LOD was 25 times lower (0.17  $\pm$  0.04 nM or 18.3  $\pm$  4.4 ng L<sup>-1</sup>) than the European maximum acceptable concentration in drinking water (0.45  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>).



**Figure 10.** (**Top**) Image of an optofluidic sensor device for Cd detection in tap water. The optical device and the microfluidic chamber are bonded together by applying pressure onto the flexible microfluidic

chamber. The optofluidic cell is installed on a thermostatic mount to control the sensor temperature, since the response of the polymeric microresonator is thermally dependent, whereas thermo-electric cooling (TEC) devices are used for temperature stability. The above components are finally placed onto a mechanical mount for the insertion of the sensor in the measurement bench. (**Bottom**) Schematic representation of the optical detection, label-free device. The optofluidic sensor has a SU-8 microracetrack vertically bonded to a SU-8 bus waveguide, which acts as an input/output channel. The lower and the upper cladding of the bus waveguide are made of a thick silica layer, which is deposited on a silicon substrate, and a CYTOP amorphous fluoro-copolymer, respectively. The microfluidic channel is molded inside a polymer substrate, which is afterwards integrated upon the lower cladding of the optical microracetrack, while the direction of the microfluidic flow is perpendicular to that of the bus waveguide. Reproduced with permission from reference [116].

An on-site, easy-to-use, compact and low-weight heavy metal analyzer combined with a disposable COC lab-on-chip devices is presented in [117]. The system includes seven disposable lab chips, a plastic fluidic motherboard with a microchannels network, microvalves and pump, control circuits, a wireless communication module, a potentiostatic battery and LabVIEW control, allowing low power consumption and automatic control. The proposed system was tested for the detection of Cd<sup>2+</sup> using an electrochemical detection method based on a microfabricated planar bismuth electrode on the chip, while the system was also tested for the on-site detection of  $Cd^{2+}$  in soil pore and ground water samples. A. Jang et al. [118] have also integrated an electrochemical sensor in a polymer lab chip for on-site measurement of  $Cd^{2+}$ . Using a bismuth electrode, which is an environmentally friendly solution, they detected 9.3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> of Cd<sup>2+</sup> in aqueous samples. They have also shown that, in a binary mixture of Cd<sup>2+</sup> and Pb<sup>2+</sup>, Pb<sup>2+</sup> will probably not significantly affect  $Cd^{2+}$  measurement in typical samples of interest (Figure 11A). In another effort, a portable and low-cost microfluidic chip with a miniaturized Ag/AgCl reference electrode for  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  in sea water is described in [119]. The detection limits of this device, which is again a PDMS microfluidic channel, are 0.34 and 0.15 ppm for  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  ions, respectively. A microfluidic device consisting of PDMS microfluidic channels and inkjet printed interdigitated electrodes made from silver on a flexible PET substrate is reported in [120]. For the formation of the final device, the PDMS and the PET substrate are bonded together. The proposed device is used for the detection of mercury sulfide and cadmium sulfide with concentrations down to picomolar levels. Y. Yuan et al. [121] have achieved the detection of cadmium ions, with a concentration down to 0.03  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, using a PMMAbased microfluidic electrochemical sensing platform based on GO/MWCNTs/Nafion modified SPEs.

In another example [122], filter paper strips are integrated with commercial SPCE for the fabrication of a paper-based microfluidic device. Thus, a portable and cheap electrochemical device is fabricated for the measurement of Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> in aqueous samples. A remarkable advantage of the device is that there is no need for a pretreatment step. With this device, concentrations down to 2.0 and 2.3 ppb for Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup>, respectively, are measured. Finally, a portable microfluidic platform with a microcantilever-based piezoresistive sensor was fabricated for Cd<sup>2+</sup> detection in ground water (Figure 11B) [123]. The selective detection of the metal ions is performed through the use of a cysteamine-functionalized microcantilever-based sensor with cross-linked DL-glyceraldehyde. Thus, an ultra-sensitive detection of Cd<sup>2+</sup> is achieved with a concentration down to 2.78 pM, which is below the WHO limit of 3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>, in a very short time (20–23 min).



**Figure 11.** (**A**) Images (**a**) of the experimental set up for on-site measurement of  $Cd^{2+}$  and (**b**) of the disposable polymer lab chip with the microchannel and the integrated electrochemical sensor. Reproduced with permission from reference [118]. (**B**) Image of the portable experimental set up for  $Cd^{2+}$  detection in ground water using a microfluidic platform consisting of a microcantilever-based piezoresistive sensor. (1 and 2) are used for the flow control (syringe pump and controller), (3) the piezoresistive module and (4) the interface software running on a PC. Reproduced with permission from reference [123]. This article is published under a CC BY license.

#### 2.5. Chromium (Cr) Detection

Chromium (Cr) is used in electroplating, chrome plating, leather tanning, dye and pigment production, wood preservation and metallurgy. It can further be found in water, sediments, soils, rocks, biota and volcanic emissions. Trivalent (Cr (III)) and hexavalent (Cr (VI)) chromium are the most stable forms of chromium in aqueous solutions. Although Cr (III) is essential for living organisms in a high dose, it can cause digestive problems and affect the kidneys and liver. Furthermore, Cr (VI) is considered highly toxic and carcinogenic for humans. These kinds of metal ions are probably released in the environment through waste water due to industrial activities but also from other human activities (i.e., agriculture activities). Drinking water is also polluted by Cr (III) and Cr (VI) as a result of the utilization of corrosion inhibitors in water pipes and containers or by the pollution of underground water [124–126]. Thus, the detection of chromium in water samples is necessary and, in

this direction microfluidic devices with optical and electrochemical methods are widely used [125,127–132]. In the following paragraphs, we will discuss several attempts to detect Cr reported to date.

A simple glass microfluidic device with T channels based on CL is proposed for the detection of Cr (III) and total chromium monitoring in water samples [133]. The detection of Cr is relying on luminol oxidation via hydrogen peroxide in a basic aqueous solution catalyzed by Cr (III). In this way, Cr (III) with a concentration down to  $1.6 \times 10^{-16}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup> is detected, while total Cr can be defined using the same strategy as Cr (III). W. Alahmad et al. [134] have also detected Cr (III) with a miniaturized chemiluminescence detection system, in which luminol is oxidized by hydrogen peroxide in the presence of Cr (III). In this case, the microfluidic device is fabricated on a paper substrate and it has six separate channels in a parallel alignment. Using this device, in which each channel has an injection zone for a reagent solution, a reaction zone and a waste zone, they have managed to detect Cr (III) in a very short time with an LOD of 0.02 ppm. Another paper-based microfluidic device using the same scheme as previously for Cr (III) detection is described in [135]. The main difference with other devices is that in this work a solely gravity and capillary force-driven flow chemiluminescence platform is fabricated. Using this low-cost, simple, fast and portable device, a concentration down to  $0.0245 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  is measured in less than 30 s. H. Wang et al. [136] have also reported a paper-based microfluidic device for the colorimetric detection of various heavy metals in water samples. In particular, they achieved the colorimetric detection of  $Cd^{2+}$  and Cr (VI) with concentrations down to 0.19 ppm and 0.35 ppm, respectively. The color change is detected by imagining the metal chromogenic signals using a mobile phone camera and further analyzing the signal with image processing and analysis software. A paper-based microfluidic device in which the connection or disconnection among detection zones and fluid channels is controlled by rotational valves is described in [137]. In this way, cheap and portable devices for on-site measurement can be developed, while the particular device is used for the detection of various metals including Cr (VI). Using the appropriate colorimetric reagent (1,5-diphenylcarbazide), a concentration down to 0.18 mg  $L^{-1}$  is measured. F. Li et al. [138] have also detected Cr (VI) using a 3D  $\mu$ PAD. In particular, the device consisted of two layers: one for pretreatment (top layer) and a second for the detection (bottom layer). An L-type circuitous flow route is designed for the transportation of the pretreated sample solution to the detection zones, avoiding the random diffusion of the chromogenic reagents. In this way, the colorimetric performance is improved and the color uniformity and the assay accuracy are enhanced. They also used a smartphone to capture the color images, and the reported LOD was  $0.1 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ .

Hexavalent chromium with a concentration of 3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> is detected in water samples by another  $\mu$ PAD [139]. Diphenylcarbazide (DPC) is again used for the colorimetric detection of Cr (VI), while for the quantitative analysis a commercial desktop scanner with ImageJ software is used. Abdellah Muhammed et al. [126] have developed a simple, portable, and low-cost µPAD, in which Cr (VI) and Cr (III) can be detected. The device uses the left channels for the detection of Cr (VI) using 1,5-diphenylcarbazide and the right channels for the total Cr detection. Using this colorimetric device, the limits of detection are  $0.008 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  for Cr (VI) and  $0.07 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  for Cr (III) or total Cr. In another effort reported in the literature, a PMMA microfluidic chip was coupled with a smartphone camera for Cr (III) detection [140]. The detection of Cr (III) is achieved through the color change in AgNPs, which are modified with pyrrolidine-1-dithiocarboxylic acid ammonium salt, upon Cr (III) exposure. The color change can be observed either by the naked eye or using a smartphone camera. Using a camera, they detected Cr (III) with an LOD of 9.18 nM. N. Mishra et al. [141] have fabricated a PDMS/glass-based microfluidic system for  $Pb^{2+}$ , Cr (III) and  $Hg^{2+}$  detection in drinking water. In particular, the detection is achieved via the immobilization of nanoparticles in the microfluidic system, while the microabsorbance method is used for detection. They also report that their device enables label-free detection of a minimum of 0.5 ppb for all the aforementioned heavy metal ions.

In another approach, RD is used for the detection of Cr (III) due to its high sensitivity and selectivity to Cr (III) and, thus, the fluorescence detection is achieved through the on-line derivatization of Cr (III) ions inside a PDMS microfluidic chip (Figure 12A) [124]. This chip has staggered herringbone grooves in the channel, allowing rapid and efficient mixing between the metal ions and the complex reagent, and it is combined with a portable laser-induced fluorescence detection system. With this method, which enables a low fluid volume consumption and low waste generation, this group measured concentrations down to 0.094 nM.



**Figure 12.** (**A**) Illustration of the experimental set up for the fluorescence detection of Cr (III) utilizing a PDMS microfluidic device. Reproduced with permission from reference [124]. (**B**) (**Right**) Image of the microchip sensor platform for Cr (VI) detection (including the PC for the readout), (**top left**) the USB electrochemical system and (**bottom left**) the sensor with the three electrodes (Au–Ag–Pt) on the PMMA substrate. Reproduced with permission from reference [131].

An easy-to-use and low-cost PMMA lab-on-disk, which is combined with an optical detection system, was presented for Cr (III) and Cr (VI) detection in water samples [142]. By combining the device with specific ligands, like 2,6-pyridine dicarboxylic acid for Cr (III) and DPC for Cr (VI), concentrations down to 21 mg  $L^{-1}$  and 4 µg  $L^{-1}$  for Cr (III) and Cr (VI), respectively, can be detected. M. Manachino et al. [143] tried to fabricate a device for autonomous and on-site measurement of heavy metals, such as Cr (VI). For this purpose, they have integrated microfluidic, electronical and optical devices via the miniaturization and optimization of the standard spectrophotometric analysis using specific ligands.

Compared to optical-based microfluidic devices for Cr detection, microfluidics devices with electrochemical sensors are limited. For example, a novel paper-based microfluidic device where colorimetric and electrochemical detection methods are used in a single device is described in [132]. In this case, separate detection layers, which enable the application of different chemistries, are used. Thus, the colorimetric detection method is used for the detection of Cr with an LOD of 0.12  $\mu$ g and electrochemical detection method for Pb and Cd with an LOD of 0.25 ng. Y. An et al. [125] have also combined electrochemical and colorimetric detection in the same paper-based analytical device for the detection of the total chromium (Cr) and Cr (VI) in water samples via one injection. In particular, the device consists of a fiberglass filter paper with a patterned microchannel, enabling the fluidic control, Cr (III) pretreatment in the middle region and colorimetric detection at one end of the microchannel, which is modified with chromogenic agents, and a custom-made threeelectrode ectrochemical detection system at another end of the microchannel. In this way, a cheap and easy-to-use device with a low reagent consumption is developed with which concentrations down to 0.01 mg  $L^{-1}$  and 0.06 mg  $L^{-1}$  detected for Cr (VI) and total Cr, respectively. Finally, an LOC device was fabricated and proposed for Cr (VI) detection [131]. In the work, an electrochemical sensor with three electrodes (Au–Ag–Pt) fabricated on a PMMA substrate is combined with a PDMS microchannel (Figure 12B). In addition, a custom USB electrochemical system is used enabling the device's use for on-site measurements, since it is compact and has a rapid response time, and the LOD of the device is  $0.9 \mu$ M.

#### 2.6. Discussion on Perspectives and Challenges

The WHO [144] has already pointed out the existing danger that heavy metals pose to human health and thus the need for a high water quality and clean and safe drinking water. According to our review on the progress of microfluidic and LOC devices implemented for the detection of some of the most dangerous heavy metal ions, it turns out that up to now several efforts have been made using a wide range of different materials for the fabrication of such devices. The most common materials used include paper, thermoplastics such as PMMA and COC, and elastomers, i.e., PDMS, whereas the detection methods mainly used are optical and electrochemical, due to the high sensitivity and simplicity they offer.

In Table 1, we present a summary of microfluidic or LOC devices used for heavy metal ions detection together with information about the materials used for the device fabrication, the detection method and the LOD achieved. The limit values in drinking water, according to WHO standards, are 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> (up to 50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> in some countries) for As, 3  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for Cd, 50  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for Cr, 10  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for Pb and 6  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup> for Hg.

Table 1 summarizes several microfluidic or LOC example approaches for the detection of metal ions to date. In many of these examples, the reported LOD can meet or even exceed the WHO standards. However, to our knowledge most of these efforts have not been transformed to diagnostic products. The main reasons for this slow uptake by the industry of these devices are (a) The fabrication complexity, which makes chips harder to manufacture, while at the same time requiring more complex external components to control and readout the sensors, thus limiting their autonomous operation or their user-friendly operation; (b) Less complex devices (i.e., paper-based ones), as, although they are user-friendly, they usually use a colorimetric detection scheme, which without coupling with a camera device and analysis software cannot meet the LOD requirements by the legislation standards and thus their use is limited to screening purposes only; (c) The technological maturity is, in most cases, low and the reproducibility of the device performance is limited. Many of the reported efforts have yet to be evaluated with complex real water samples and in relevant industrial environments; (d) Industry is generally reluctant to adapt new technologies, because time and investments are required to develop and then adopt the new approaches. To address these limitations, new fabrication methods, improvements in the detection schemes as well as in the devices required for the output of the results, and extensive evaluation protocols using real samples are required.

21 of 29

**Table 1.** Summary of the most promising microfluidic or LOC devices categorized according to the heavy metal ions detected. The detection method, its LOD and the material used for the fabrication of each device are provided.

Heavy Metal Ion	Reported LOD	Device Material	Detection Method	Reference				
Single heavy metal ion detection devices								
Pb (II)	$5~\mu g~L^{-1}$	PDMS and glas	Fluorescence	[57]				
	1.2 nM and 0.7 nM, respectively, for each type of material	Whatman No.1 and nylon	Colorimetric using image analysis	[62]				
	$0.13 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	Glass-silicon-glass	Electrochemical	[77]				
	$40 \text{ ng } \mathrm{L}^{-1}$	NOA 81 polymer and silicon	Electrochemical	[145]				
Hg (II)	0.031 μΜ	PDMS and glass	Fluorescence using an optical spectrometer	[83]				
	$1.4 \mu g  L^{-1}$	Glass	Fluorescence with a CCD camera	[84]				
	$0.056 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	Paper	Fluorescence	[87]				
	$3 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	Paper	Colorimetric using a smartphone	[91]				
	2.7 pM	PDMS and glass	LSPR coupled with a dark-field testing microfluidic platform	[97]				
	$3 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	PDMS	Electrochemical	[98]				
	2.4 nM	PDMS	Electrochemical	[99]				
	$1.0~\mu g~L^{-1}$	Paper	Colorimetric	[103]				
As (III)	$5~\mu g~L^{-1}$	Paper	Colorimetric using a mini microscope	[105]				
	$0.67  \mu g  L^{-1}$	PDMS	SERS	[107]				
	$0.42~\mu g~L^{-1}$	PC, PMMA, PDMS	Electrochemical	[111]				
	$0.45~\mu g~L^{-1}$	PDMS and glass	Fluorescence	[114]				
C4 (II)	$38.9 \mathrm{~ng~L^{-1}}$	PDMS	Optical	[116]				
Ca (11)	9.3 $\mu g L^{-1}$	COC polymer	Electrochemical	[118]				
	$0.03~\mu g~L^{-1}$	PMMA	Electrochemical	[121]				
	0.094 nM	PDMS	Fluorescence using a portable fluorescence detection device	[124]				
Cr (III)	$24.5~\mu g~L^{-1}$	Paper	CL coupled with image analysis	[135]				
	9.18 nM	PMMA	Colorimetric using a smartphone coupled with image analysis software	[140]				
Cr (VI)	$4~\mu g~L^{-1}$	PMMA	Optical	[142]				
	10 µg L <sup>-1</sup>	Paper	Electrochemical	[125]				
	0.9 µM	PMMA and PDMS	Electrochemical	[131]				
	Multiple hea	vy metal ions detection	n devices					
Рь (II) Нg (II)	0.53 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> and 0.70 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively	PDMS	Fluorescence	[59]				
	0.07 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> and 0.18 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively	Cloth and paper	Fluorescence	[65]				
	10 pM and 0.2 nM, respectively	Paper	ECL	[61]				

Heavy Metal Ion	Reported LOD	Device Material	Detection Method	Reference
Pb (II) Cd (II)	$0.335~\mu g~L^{-1}$ and $0.245~\mu g~L^{-1}$	Paper	Fluorescence using a custom device and a smartphone	[64]
	1.8 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> and 1.2 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively	Paper	Electrochemical	[68]
	$1 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$ and 25 $\mu g \ L^{-1}$ , respectively	Paper	Electrochemical	[69]
	2.0 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> and 2.3 $\mu$ g L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively	Paper	Electrochemical	[122]
Pb (II) As (III) Cd (II)	4.44 nM, 5.03 nM and 41.1 nM, respectively	PDMS and glass	Fluorescence	[115]
	$1.2~\mu g~L^{-1}$ , 2.4 $\mu g~L^{-1}$ and 0.8 $\mu g~L^{-1}$ , respectively	3D-printed polymer flow cell from clear resin	Electrochemical	[113]
As (III) As (V)	$1 \ \mu g \ L^{-1}$	Poly-urethane, flexible polymer film (PET/EVOH/PE) and FR-4	Colorimetric using a portable device equipped with a camera for image analysis	[106]
Cr (III) Cr (VI)	70 μg L <sup>-1</sup> and 8 μg L <sup>-1</sup> , respectively	Paper	Colorimetric using image analysis and inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry	[126]
Pb (II) Cr (III) Hg (II)	$0.5~\mu g~L^{-1}$	PDMS and glass	Microabsorbance	[141]

Table 1. Cont.

The food and water safety markets are highly regulated and, therefore, in order for new methods to proceed to the commercialization phase, specific legislations and directives should include them as accepted analysis methods. Otherwise, new methods will never be tested under real conditions because there will be no market interest. A representative example in order to better understand the reason for the slow uptake of new methods in the food and water safety market work is the following: Regarding the quality of water intended for human consumption, only recently the EU Directive [146] has included new detection methods (i.e., PCR-based methods) as alternatives to the gold standard method (plating) in microbiology analysis. It is therefore evident that new methods and devices can reach the commercialization phase only through close collaboration between different shareholders in the field of food and water safety (scientific community, public bodies, governments, non-governmental organizations, industry, accredited water safety companies and laboratories).

During the pandemic, in an effort to limit the spread of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, several methods were rapidly developed and accredited, thus opening a favorable environment in the post-COVID era for new methods and innovative devices to find their way to the health, food and water safety markets.

### 3. Conclusions

One of the scientific sectors in which microfluidic and LOC devices are widely used is that of environmental monitoring. One of the most important targets in environmental monitoring is water pollution by heavy metal ions as they can easily pass to drinking water. Miniaturized systems that take advantage of microfluidic devices equipped with appropriate detection schemes seem to be a very promising tools for water safety and the detection of heavy metals. The main reason is that such devices can offer sensitivity, specificity, portability and multiplexing in terms of multiple targets' detection in a single device, specifications which are essential in water and environmental monitoring.

This review paper reports the most common microfluidic-based devices with a particular focus on the LOC approaches together with details on their fabrication methods, the materials used, their detection schemes and their performance capabilities. It is clear that state-of-the-art examples, which can meet the legislation limit values, have already been reported. However, despite this great progress in the field, several challenges still exist. According to our point of view, one of the biggest is the device integration, i.e., the incorporation of all the necessary parts (i.e., microfluidic channels, the detection unit, heating elements, electrical connections, etc.). Additionally, on their way towards possible commercialization, performance and detection repeatability is another aspect which could be improved along with an extensive industrially relevant evaluation of these devices, which is also missing. It is therefore evident that, further to the technology advancements that are required, it is also important to develop collaboration frameworks to address these shortcomings. In this way, important feedback can be provided by the end users, which can help the research community to work on the drawbacks and improve the performance and repeatability of LOC devices. To date, conditions are in favor of new technologies and methods and it is expected that during the next years more and more LOC and microfluidic devices in general will reach the commercialization level.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.-K.F. and S.C.; writing—original draft preparation, M.-K.F.; writing—review and editing, M.-K.F. and S.C.; visualization, M.-K.F.; supervision, S.C.; funding acquisition, S.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research is co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund of the European Union and Greek national funds through the Operational Program Competitiveness, Entrepreneurship and Innovation, under the call RESEARCH–CREATE–INNOVATE (project code: T2EDK-02144).

**Data Availability Statement:** No new data were created. This review paper is focused on microfluidicbased and LOC devices used for the detection of specific heavy metal ions (Pb, Hg, As, Cd and Cr). Reports about other heavy metal ions are not included. In addition, the main timeframe was the last 6 years; however, highly cited papers from previous years are also included. The literature search was performed using Scopus and Google Scholar databases.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Wang, Y.; Xu, Y.; Jiang, J.; Li, Y.; Tong, J.; Bian, C. A Portable Sensor System with Ultramicro Electrode Chip for the Detection of Heavy-Metal Ions in Water. *Micromachines* **2021**, *12*, 1468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mukherjee, S.; Bhattacharyya, S.; Ghosh, K.; Pal, S.; Halder, A.; Naseri, M.; Mohammadniaei, M.; Sarkar, S.; Ghosh, A.; Sun, Y.; et al. Sensory development for heavy metal detection: A review on translation from conventional analysis to field-portable sensor. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2021, 109, 674–689. [CrossRef]
- 3. Nigam, A.; Sharma, N.; Tripathy, S.; Kumar, M. Development of semiconductor based heavy metal ion sensors for water analysis: A review. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2021**, 330, 112879. [CrossRef]
- Karthik, V.; Karuna, B.; Kumar, P.S.; Saravanan, A.; Hemavathy, R.V. Development of lab-on-chip biosensor for the detection of toxic heavy metals: A review. *Chemosphere* 2022, 299, 134427. [CrossRef]
- Chauhan, S.; Dahiya, D.; Sharma, V.; Khan, N.; Chaurasia, D.; Nadda, A.K.; Varjani, S.; Pandey, A.; Bhargava, P.C. Advances from conventional to real time detection of heavy metal(loid)s for water monitoring: An overview of biosensing applications. *Chemosphere* 2022, 307, 136124. [CrossRef]
- 6. Buledi, J.A.; Amin, S.; Haider, S.I.; Bhanger, M.I.; Solangi, A.R. A review on detection of heavy metals from aqueous media using nanomaterial-based sensors. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, *28*, 58994–59002. [CrossRef]
- Water Contamination and Diseases. Available online: https://www.cdc.gov/healthywater/drinking/contamination.html (accessed on 25 June 2023).
- Mitra, S.; Chakraborty, A.J.; Tareq, A.M.; Emran, T.B.; Nainu, F.; Khusro, A.; Idris, A.M.; Khandaker, M.U.; Osman, H.; Alhumaydhi, F.A.; et al. Impact of heavy metals on the environment and human health: Novel therapeutic insights to counter the toxicity. J. King Saud Univ. Sci. 2022, 34, 101865. [CrossRef]

- Sall, M.L.; Diaw, A.K.D.; Gningue-Sall, D.; Efremova Aaron, S.; Aaron, J.-J. Toxic heavy metals: Impact on the environment and human health, and treatment with conducting organic polymers, a review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2020, 27, 29927–29942. [CrossRef]
- 10. Briffa, J.; Sinagra, E.; Blundell, R. Heavy metal pollution in the environment and their toxicological effects on humans. *Heliyon* **2020**, *6*, e04691. [CrossRef]
- 11. Tsekenis, G.; Filippidou, M.K.; Chatzipetrou, M.; Tsouti, V.; Zergioti, I.; Chatzandroulis, S. Heavy metal ion detection using a capacitive micromechanical biosensor array for environmental monitoring. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2015**, *208*, 628–635. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sharifi, H.; Tashkhourian, J.; Hemmateenejad, B. Identification and determination of multiple heavy metal ions using a miniaturized paper-based optical device. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2022**, *359*, 131551. [CrossRef]
- 13. Zhang, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, J.; Qi, H.; Zhao, M.; Yi, M.; Li, Z.; Zheng, L. Real-time Cd<sup>2+</sup> detection at sub-femtomolar level in various liquid media by an aptasensor integrated with microfluidic enrichment. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2021**, *329*, 129282. [CrossRef]
- 14. Ullah, S.; Zahra, Q.U.A.; Mansoorianfar, M.; Hussain, Z.; Ullah, I.; Li, W.; Kamya, E.; Mehmood, S.; Pei, R.; Wang, J. Heavy Metal Ions Detection Using Nanomaterials-Based Aptasensors. *Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem.* **2022**, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- 15. Cui, W.; Ren, Z.; Song, Y.; Ren, C.L. Development and potential for point-of-care heavy metal sensing using microfluidic systems: A brief review. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2022**, *344*, 113733. [CrossRef]
- 16. Becker, H.; Gärtner, C. Microfluidics-Enabled Diagnostic Systems: Markets, Challenges, and Examples. *Methods Protoc.* 2017, 1547, 3–21. [CrossRef]
- 17. Chin, C.D.; Linder, V.; Sia, S.K. Lab-on-a-chip devices for global health: Past studies and future opportunities. *Lab A Chip* 2007, 7, 41–57. [CrossRef]
- Luka, G.; Ahmadi, A.; Najjaran, H.; Alocilja, E.; DeRosa, M.; Wolthers, K.; Malki, A.; Aziz, H.; Althani, A.; Hoorfar, M. Microfluidics Integrated Biosensors: A Leading Technology towards Lab-on-a-Chip and Sensing Applications. *Sensors* 2015, 15, 30011–30031. [CrossRef]
- 19. Kumar, S.; Kumar, S.; Ali, M.A.; Anand, P.; Agrawal, V.V.; John, R.; Maji, S.; Malhotra, B.D. Microfluidic-integrated biosensors: Prospects for point-of-care diagnostics. *Biotechnol. J.* 2013, *8*, 1267–1279. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Guo, W.; Jiao, S.; Liu, X. The Global Research Trend in Electrochemical Microfluidic Technology: A Bibliometric Review. *Chemosensors* 2023, 11, 14. [CrossRef]
- 21. Mitrogiannopoulou, A.-M.; Tselepi, V.; Ellinas, K. Polymeric and Paper-Based Lab-on-a-Chip Devices in Food Safety: A Review. *Micromachines* **2023**, *14*, 986. [CrossRef]
- 22. Nielsen, J.B.; Hanson, R.L.; Almughamsi, H.M.; Pang, C.; Fish, T.R.; Woolley, A.T. Microfluidics: Innovations in Materials and Their Fabrication and Functionalization. *Anal. Chem.* **2020**, *92*, 150–168. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Ren, K.; Zhou, J.; Wu, H. Materials for Microfluidic Chip Fabrication. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2396–2406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kellner, C.; Botero, M.L.; Latta, D.; Drese, K.; Fragoso, A.; O'Sullivan, C.K. Automated microsystem for electrochemical detection of cancer markers. *Electrophoresis* 2011, 32, 926–930. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Temiz, Y.; Lovchik, R.D.; Kaigala, G.V.; Delamarche, E. Lab-on-a-chip devices: How to close and plug the lab? *Microelectron. Eng.* 2015, 132, 156–175. [CrossRef]
- Sackmann, E.K.; Fulton, A.L.; Beebe, D.J. The present and future role of microfluidics in biomedical research. *Nature* 2014, 507, 181–189. [CrossRef]
- 27. Wang, R.; Wang, X. Sensing of inorganic ions in microfluidic devices. Sens. Actuators B Chem. 2021, 329, 129171. [CrossRef]
- Wang, T.; Yu, C.; Xie, X. Microfluidics for Environmental Applications. Adv. Biochem. Eng. Biotechnol. 2022, 179, 267–290. [CrossRef]
- Ellinas, K.; Pliaka, V.; Kanakaris, G.; Tserepi, A.; Alexopoulos, L.G.; Gogolides, E. Micro-bead immunoassays for the detection of IL6 and PDGF-2 proteins on a microfluidic platform, incorporating superhydrophobic passive valves. *Microelectron. Eng.* 2017, 175, 73–80. [CrossRef]
- Gogolides, E.; Ellinas, K.; Tserepi, A. Hierarchical micro and nano structured, hydrophilic, superhydrophobic and superoleophobic surfaces incorporated in microfluidics, microarrays and lab on chip microsystems. *Microelectron. Eng.* 2015, 132, 135–155. [CrossRef]
- Moschou, D.; Vourdas, N.; Filippidou, M.K.; Tsouti, V.; Kokkoris, G.; Tsekenis, G.; Zergioti, I.; Chatzandroulis, S.; Tserepi, A. Integrated biochip for PCR-based DNA amplification and detection on capacitive biosensors. In Proceedings of the Event SPIE Microtechnologies BioMEMS and Medical Microdevices Conference, Grenoble, France, 24–26 April 2013; Volume 8765.
- Georgoutsou-Spyridonos, M.; Filippidou, M.; Kaprou, G.D.; Mastellos, D.C.; Chatzandroulis, S.; Tserepi, A. Isothermal Recombinase Polymerase Amplification (RPA) of *E. coli* gDNA in Commercially Fabricated PCB-Based Microfluidic Platforms. *Micromachines* 2021, 12, 1387. [CrossRef]
- Chen, X.; Wang, J.; Shen, H.-Y.; Su, X.; Cao, Y.; Li, T.; Gan, N. Microfluidic Chip for Multiplex Detection of Trace Chemical Contaminants Based on Magnetic Encoded Aptamer Probes and Multibranched DNA Nanostructures as Signal Tags. ACS Sens. 2019, 4, 2131–2139. [CrossRef]
- Marsousi, S.; Karimi-Sabet, J.; Moosavian, M.A.; Amini, Y. Liquid-liquid extraction of calcium using ionic liquids in spiral microfluidics. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2019, 356, 492–505. [CrossRef]
- Amini, Y.; Hassanvand, A.; Ghazanfari, V.; Shadman, M.M.; Heydari, M.; Alborzi, Z.S. Optimization of liquid-liquid extraction of calcium with a serpentine microfluidic device. *Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transf.* 2023, 140, 106551. [CrossRef]

- 36. Hu, T.; Lai, Q.; Fan, W.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Z. Advances in Portable Heavy Metal Ion Sensors. Sensors 2023, 23, 4125. [CrossRef]
- Ding, R.; Cheong, Y.H.; Ahamed, A.; Lisak, G. Heavy Metals Detection with Paper-Based Electrochemical Sensors. *Anal. Chem.* 2021, 93, 1880–1888. [CrossRef]
- Liu, D.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Li, M.; Wu, Q.; Song, Y.; Zhu, Z.; Yang, C. Integrated microfluidic devices for in vitro diagnostics at point of care. *Aggregate* 2022, 3, e184. [CrossRef]
- Hou, H.; Zeinu, K.M.; Gao, S.; Liu, B.; Yang, J.; Hu, J. Recent Advances and Perspective on Design and Synthesis of Electrode Materials for Electrochemical Sensing of Heavy Metals. *Energy Environ. Mater.* 2018, 1, 113–131. [CrossRef]
- Lu, Y.; Liang, X.; Niyungeko, C.; Zhou, J.; Xu, J.; Tian, G. A review of the identification and detection of heavy metal ions in the environment by voltammetry. *Talanta* 2018, *178*, 324–338. [CrossRef]
- 41. Numan, A.; Gill, A.A.S.; Rafique, S.; Guduri, M.; Zhan, Y.; Maddiboyina, B.; Li, L.; Singh, S.; Nguyen Dang, N. Rationally engineered nanosensors: A novel strategy for the detection of heavy metal ions in the environment. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2021**, 409, 124493. [CrossRef]
- 42. West, J.; Becker, M.; Tombrink, S.; Manz, A. Micro total analysis systems: Latest achievements. *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 4403–4419. [CrossRef]
- 43. Lace, A.; Cleary, J. A Review of Microfluidic Detection Strategies for Heavy Metals in Water. Chemosensors 2021, 9, 60. [CrossRef]
- Rai, P.K.; Islam, M.; Gupta, A. Microfluidic devices for the detection of contamination in water samples: A review. Sens. Actuators A Phys. 2022, 347, 113926. [CrossRef]
- 45. Eddaif, L.; Shaban, A.; Telegdi, J. Sensitive detection of heavy metals ions based on the calixarene derivatives-modified piezoelectric resonators: A review. *Int. J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **2019**, *99*, 824–853. [CrossRef]
- Li, S.; Zhang, C.; Wang, S.; Liu, Q.; Feng, H.; Ma, X.; Guo, J. Electrochemical microfluidics techniques for heavy metal ion detection. *Analyst* 2018, 143, 4230–4246. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Lin, Y.; Gritsenko, D.; Feng, S.; Teh, Y.C.; Lu, X.; Xu, J. Detection of heavy metal by paper-based microfluidics. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2016**, *83*, 256–266. [CrossRef]
- 48. Alhalaili, B.; Popescu, I.N.; Rusanescu, C.O.; Vidu, R. Microfluidic Devices and Microfluidics-Integrated Electrochemical and Optical (Bio)Sensors for Pollution Analysis: A Review. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 12844. [CrossRef]
- Choudhari, U.; Jagtap, S.; Ramgir, N.; Debnath, A.K.; Muthe, K.P. Screen-printed electrochemical sensors for environmental monitoring of heavy metal ion detection. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* 2022. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, C.; Li, C.; Han, X. Screen printed electrode containing bismuth for the detection of cadmium ion. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2023, 933, 117291. [CrossRef]
- Shin, Y.-H.; Teresa Gutierrez-Wing, M.; Choi, J.-W. Review—Recent Progress in Portable Fluorescence Sensors. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2021, 168, 17502. [CrossRef]
- 52. Xu, G.; Song, P.; Xia, L. Examples in the detection of heavy metal ions based on surface-enhanced Raman scattering spectroscopy. *Nanophotonics* **2021**, *10*, 4419–4445. [CrossRef]
- Liu, T.; Li, S.; Deng, J.; Wang, G.; Liu, Y. Design and study of microfluidic differential phase surface plasmon resonance sensor. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 2022, 2206, 12026. [CrossRef]
- 54. Rotake, D.R.; Darji, A.D.; Kale, N.S. Design of microfluidic experimental setup for the detection of heavy metal ions using piezoresistive BioMEMS sensor. *Microelectron. Int.* **2020**, *37*, 10–28. [CrossRef]
- Shaikh, K.A.; Ryu, K.S.; Goluch, E.D.; Nam, J.M.; Liu, J.; Thaxton, C.S.; Chiesl, T.N.; Barron, A.E.; Lu, Y.; Mirkin, C.A.; et al. A modular microfluidic architecture for integrated biochemical analysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2005, 102, 9745–9750. [CrossRef]
- Dalavoy, T.S.; Wernette, D.P.; Gong, M.; Sweedler, J.V.; Lu, Y.; Flachsbart, B.R.; Shannon, M.A.; Bohn, P.W.; Cropek, D.M. Immobilization of DNAzyme catalytic beacons on PMMA for Pb<sup>2+</sup> detection. *Lab Chip* 2008, *8*, 786–793. [CrossRef]
- 57. Zhao, L.; Wu, T.; Lefèvre, J.-P.; Leray, I.; Delaire, J.A. Fluorimetric lead detection in a microfluidic device. *Lab Chip* **2009**, *9*, 2818–2823. [CrossRef]
- Fan, C.; He, S.; Liu, G.; Wang, L.; Song, S. A Portable and Power-Free Microfluidic Device for Rapid and Sensitive Lead (Pb<sup>2+</sup>) Detection. *Sensors* 2012, 12, 9467–9475. [CrossRef]
- 59. Huang, W.-H.; Mai, V.-P.; Wu, R.-Y.; Yeh, K.-L.; Yang, R.-J. A Microfluidic Aptamer-Based Sensor for Detection of Mercury(II) and Lead(II) Ions in Water. *Micromachines* **2021**, *12*, 1283. [CrossRef]
- Peng, J.; Zhao, N.; Lin, S.; Wang, W.; Zhang, M.-J.; Su, Y.-Y.; Xie, R.; Ju, X.-J.; Liu, Z.; Chu, L.-Y. Smart microfluidic analogue of Wheatstone-bridge for real-time continuous detection with ultrasensitivity and wide dynamic range. *Chem. Eng. J.* 2021, 407, 127138. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, M.; Ge, L.; Ge, S.; Yan, M.; Yu, J.; Huang, J.; Liu, S. Three-dimensional paper-based electrochemiluminescence device for simultaneous detection of Pb<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> based on potential-control technique. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2013, 41, 544–550. [CrossRef]
- 62. Fakhri, N.; Hosseini, M.; Tavakoli, O. Aptamer-based colorimetric determination of Pb<sup>2+</sup> using a paper-based microfluidic platform. *Anal. Methods* **2018**, *10*, 4438–4444. [CrossRef]
- Wisang, Y.F.; Sulistyarti, H.; Andayani, U.; Sabarudin, A. Microfluidic Paper-based Analytical Devices (μPADs) For Analysis Lead Using Naked Eye and Colorimetric Detections. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 546, 32033. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, J.; Li, B.; Qi, A.; Shi, Y.; Qi, J.; Xu, H.; Chen, L. ZnSe quantum dot based ion imprinting technology for fluorescence detecting cadmium and lead ions on a three-dimensional rotary paper-based microfluidic chip. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2020, 305, 127462. [CrossRef]

- Wang, L.; Li, B.; Wang, J.; Qi, J.; Li, J.; Ma, J.; Chen, L. A rotary multi-positioned cloth/paper hybrid microfluidic device for simultaneous fluorescence sensing of mercury and lead ions by using ion imprinted technologies. J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 428, 128165. [CrossRef]
- 66. Nie, Z.; Nijhuis, C.A.; Gong, J.; Chen, X.; Kumachev, A.; Martinez, A.W.; Narovlyansky, M.; Whitesides, G.M. Electrochemical sensing in paper-based microfluidic devices. *Lab Chip* 2010, *10*, 477–483. [CrossRef]
- Medina-Sánchez, M.; Cadevall, M.; Ros, J.; Merkoçi, A. Eco-friendly electrochemical lab-on-paper for heavy metal detection. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2015, 407, 8445–8449. [CrossRef]
- Shen, L.-L.; Zhang, G.-R.; Li, W.; Biesalski, M.; Etzold, B.J.M. Modifier-Free Microfluidic Electrochemical Sensor for Heavy-Metal Detection. ACS Omega 2017, 2, 4593–4603. [CrossRef]
- Nantaphol, S.; Channon, R.B.; Kondo, T.; Siangproh, W.; Chailapakul, O.; Henry, C.S. Boron Doped Diamond Paste Electrodes for Microfluidic Paper-Based Analytical Devices. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*, 4100–4107. [CrossRef]
- 70. Jung, W.; Jang, A.; Bishop, P.L.; Ahn, C.H. A polymer lab chip sensor with microfabricated planar silver electrode for continuous and on-site heavy metal measurement. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2011**, *155*, 145–153. [CrossRef]
- 71. Hong, Y.; Zou, J.; Ge, G.; Xiao, W.; Gao, L.; Shao, J.; Dong, X. Finite element modeling simulation-assisted design of integrated microfluidic chips for heavy metal ion stripping analysis. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2017**, *50*, 415303. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Q.; Wang, J.; Tang, M.; Huang, L.; Zhang, Z.; Liu, C.; Lu, X.; Hunter, K.W.; Chen, G. A New Electrochemical System Based on a Flow-Field Shaped Solid Electrode and 3D-Printed Thin-Layer Flow Cell: Detection of Pb<sup>2+</sup> Ions by Continuous Flow Accumulation Square-Wave Anodic Stripping Voltammetry. *Anal. Chem.* 2017, *89*, 5024–5029. [CrossRef]
- 73. Ding, B.; Zhang, Q.; Yang, C.; Yang, W.; Liu, J.; Li, C.; Tao, S. Laser-Induced Carbon Electrodes in a Three-Dimensionally Printed Flow Reactor for Detecting Lead Ions. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6*, 12470–12479. [CrossRef]
- 74. Chałupniak, A.; Merkoçi, A. Graphene Oxide–Poly(dimethylsiloxane)-Based Lab-on-a-Chip Platform for Heavy-Metals Preconcentration and Electrochemical Detection. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 44766–44775. [CrossRef]
- 75. Santangelo, M.F.; Shtepliuk, I.; Filippini, D.; Puglisi, D.; Vagin, M.; Yakimova, R.; Eriksson, J. Epitaxial Graphene Sensors Combined with 3D-Printed Microfluidic Chip for Heavy Metals Detection. *Sensors* **2019**, *19*, 2393. [CrossRef]
- Gupta, A.K.; Khanna, M.; Roy, S.; Pankaj; Nagabooshanam, S.; Kumar, R.; Wadhwa, S.; Mathur, A. Design and development of a portable resistive sensor based on α-MnO<sub>2</sub>/GQD nanocomposites for trace quantification of Pb(II) in water. *IET Nanobiotechnol.* 2021, *15*, 505–511. [CrossRef]
- 77. Dai, J.; Gao, W.; Yin, J.; Liang, L.; Zou, J.; Jin, Q. Microfluidic sensor integrated with nanochannel liquid conjunct Ag/AgCl reference electrode for trace Pb(II) measurement. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2021, *1164*, 338511. [CrossRef]
- 78. De Vito-Francesco, E.; Farinelli, A.; Yang, Q.; Nagar, B.; Álvarez, R.; Merkoçi, A.; Knutz, T.; Haider, A.; Stach, W.; Ziegenbalg, F.; et al. An innovative autonomous robotic system for on-site detection of heavy metal pollution plumes in surface water. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* **2022**, *194*, 122. [CrossRef]
- 79. Zhang, M.; Zhang, X.; Niu, P.; Shen, T.; Yuan, Y.; Bai, Y.; Wang, Z. On-site low-power sensing nodes for distributed monitoring of heavy metal ions in water. *Nanotechnol. Precis. Eng.* 2021, *4*, 13005. [CrossRef]
- Ma, S.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, Q.; Zhang, K.; Liang, C.; Wang, D.; Liu, X.; Zhan, X. A portable microfluidic electrochemical sensing platform for rapid detection of hazardous metal Pb<sup>2+</sup> based on thermocapillary convection using 3D Ag-rGO-f-Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>/NF as a signal amplifying element. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2023, 448, 130923. [CrossRef]
- 81. Liang, Y.; Ma, M.; Zhang, F.; Liu, F.; Lu, T.; Liu, Z.; Li, Y. Wireless Microfluidic Sensor for Metal Ion Detection in Water. ACS Omega 2021, 6, 9302–9309. [CrossRef]
- Gómez-de Pedro, S.; Lopes, D.; Miltsov, S.; Izquierdo, D.; Alonso-Chamarro, J.; Puyol, M. Optical microfluidic system based on ionophore modified gold nanoparticles for the continuous monitoring of mercuric ion. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2014, 194, 19–26. [CrossRef]
- Peng, G.; Chen, Y.; Deng, R.; He, Q.; Liu, D.; Lu, Y.; Lin, J.-M. Highly sensitive and selective determination of Hg(II) based on microfluidic chip with on-line fluorescent derivatization. Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 2018, 204, 1–6. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Q.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, L.; Yin, J.; Zhang, P.; Han, S.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, G. A feedback-controlling digital microfluidic fluorimetric sensor device for simple and rapid detection of mercury (II) in costal seawater. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 2019, 144, 20–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 85. Pascual-Esco, A.; Alonso-Chamarro, J.; Puyol, M. Rapid warning microanalyzer for heavy metals monitoring in natural waters. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2022**, *368*, 132180. [CrossRef]
- 86. Cai, L.; Fang, Y.; Mo, Y.; Huang, Y.; Xu, C.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, M. Visual quantification of Hg on a microfluidic paper-based analytical device using distance-based detection technique. *AIP Adv.* **2017**, *7*, 85214. [CrossRef]
- Qi, J.; Li, B.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Han, J.; Chen, L. Three-dimensional paper-based microfluidic chip device for multiplexed fluorescence detection of Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Hg<sup>2+</sup> ions based on ion imprinting technology. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2017, 251, 224–233. [CrossRef]
- Wang, M.; Song, Z.; Jiang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Wang, L.; Zhao, H.; Cui, Y.; Gu, F.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, G. A three-dimensional pinwheelshaped paper-based microfluidic analytical device for fluorescence detection of multiple heavy metals in coastal waters by rational device design. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2021, *413*, 3299–3313. [CrossRef]
- Patir, K.; Gogoi, S.K. Nitrogen-doped carbon dots as fluorescence ON–OFF–ON sensor for parallel detection of copper(II) and mercury(II) ions in solutions as well as in filter paper-based microfluidic device. *Nanoscale Adv.* 2019, 1, 592–601. [CrossRef]

- 90. Devadhasan, J.P.; Kim, J. A chemically functionalized paper-based microfluidic platform for multiplex heavy metal detection. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2018**, 273, 18–24. [CrossRef]
- Jarujamrus, P.; Meelapsom, R.; Pencharee, S.; Obma, A.; Amatatongchai, M.; Ditcharoen, N.; Chairam, S.; Tamuang, S. Use of a Smartphone as a Colorimetric Analyzer in Paper-based Devices for Sensitive and Selective Determination of Mercury in Water Samples. Anal. Sci. Int. J. Jpn. Soc. Anal. Chem. 2018, 34, 75–81. [CrossRef]
- 92. Dindorkar, G.; Rathee, V.; Balpande, S. Detection of Mercury in Water using Filter Paper Based Channel and Colorimetric-Android Readout. *Int. J. Eng. Adv. Technol.* 2019, *9*, 2249–8958. [CrossRef]
- 93. Abdollahiyan, P.; Hasanzadeh, M.; Pashazadeh-Panahi, P.; Seidi, F. Application of Cys A@AuNPs supported amino acids towards rapid and selective identification of Hg(II) and Cu(II) ions in aqueous solution: An innovative microfluidic paper-based (μPADs) colorimetric sensing platform. J. Mol. Liq. 2021, 338, 117020. [CrossRef]
- Kamnoet, P.; Aeungmaitrepirom, W.; Menger, R.F.; Henry, C.S. Highly selective simultaneous determination of Cu(II), Co(II), Ni(II), Hg(II), and Mn(II) in water samples using microfluidic paper-based analytical devices. *Analyst* 2021, 146, 2229–2239. [CrossRef]
- 95. Jin, B.; Li, Z.; Zhao, G.; Ji, J.; Chen, J.; Yang, Y.; Xu, R. Upconversion fluorescence-based paper disc for multiplex point-of-care testing in water quality monitoring. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2022, 1192, 339388. [CrossRef]
- Yan, S.; Chu, F.; Zhang, H.; Yuan, Y.; Huang, Y.; Liu, A.; Wang, S.; Li, W.; Li, S.; Wen, W. Rapid, one-step preparation of SERS substrate in microfluidic channel for detection of molecules and heavy metal ions. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* 2019, 220, 117113. [CrossRef]
- 97. Zhang, W.; Liu, G.; Bi, J.; Bao, K.; Wang, P. In-situ and ultrasensitive detection of mercury (II) ions (Hg<sup>2+</sup>) using the localized surface plasmon resonance (LSPR) nanosensor and the microfluidic chip. *Sens. Actuators A Phys.* **2023**, 349, 114074. [CrossRef]
- 98. Chen, C.; Zhang, J.; Du, Y.; Yang, X.; Wang, E. Microfabricated on-chip integrated Au–Ag–Au three-electrode system for in situ mercury ion determination. *Analyst* 2010, 135, 1010–1014. [CrossRef]
- 99. Nguyen, H.L.; Cao, H.H.; Nguyen, D.T.; Nguyen, V.-A. Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Doped Polyaniline for Enhancing the Electrochemical Sensitivity of Mercury Ions. *Electroanalysis* 2017, *29*, 595–601. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.; Cheng, Y.-H.; Chande, C.; Chatterjee, S.; Basuray, S. A highly sensitive, easy-and-rapidly-fabricable microfluidic electrochemical cell with an enhanced three-dimensional electric field. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2022, 1232, 340488. [CrossRef]
- Mohan, J.M.; Dudala, S.; Amreen, K.; Javed, A.; Dubey, S.K.; Goel, S. Microfluidic Device Integrated with PDMS Microchannel and unmodified ITO glass Electrodes for Highly Sensitive, Specific and Point-of Care Detection of Copper and Mercury. *IEEE Trans. NanoBioscience* 2023, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- Yogarajah, N.; Tsai, S.S.H. Detection of trace arsenic in drinking water: Challenges and opportunities for microfluidics. *Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol.* 2015, 1, 426–447. [CrossRef]
- Nath, P.; Arun, R.K.; Chanda, N. A paper based microfluidic device for the detection of arsenic using a gold nanosensor. *RSC Adv.* 2014, 4, 59558–59561. [CrossRef]
- 104. Chowdury, M.A.; Walji, N.; Mahmud, M.A.; MacDonald, B.D. Paper-Based Microfluidic Device with a Gold Nanosensor to Detect Arsenic Contamination of Groundwater in Bangladesh. *Micromachines* 2017, *8*, 71. [CrossRef]
- 105. Gabhane, M.; Arunachaleshwar, K.; Kapoor, A.; Deepa, K. Paper-based microfluidic device coupled with foldscope for the detection of As<sup>3+</sup> ions using gold nanoparticles. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2023**, 2427, 20078. [CrossRef]
- 106. Bonyár, A.; Nagy, P.; Mayer, V.; Vitéz, A.; Gerecs, A.; Sántha, H.; Harsányi, G. A colorimetry based, semi-automated portable sensor device for the detection of arsenic in drinking water. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2017**, *251*, 1042–1049. [CrossRef]
- 107. Qi, N.; Li, B.; You, H.; Zhang, W.; Fu, L.; Wang, Y.; Chen, L. Surface-enhanced Raman scattering on a zigzag microfluidic chip: Towards high-sensitivity detection of As(III) ions. *Anal. Methods* **2014**, *6*, 4077–4082. [CrossRef]
- 108. Motalebizadeh, A.; Bagheri, H.; Asiaei, S.; Fekrat, N.; Afkhami, A. New portable smartphone-based PDMS microfluidic kit for the simultaneous colorimetric detection of arsenic and mercury. *RSC Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 27091–27100. [CrossRef]
- 109. Kim, U.; VanderGiessen, J.; Demaree, B.; Reynolds, M.; Perricone, K. Development of low-cost plastic microfluidic sensors toward rapid and point-of-use detection of arsenic in drinking water for global health. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS), Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 31 October–2 November 2013; pp. 113–117.
- 110. Han, K.N.; Li, C.A.; Bui, M.-P.N.; Pham, X.-H.; Kim, B.S.; Choa, Y.H.; Lee, E.K.; Seong, G.H. On-chip electrochemical detection of bio/chemical molecule by nanostructures fabricated in a microfluidic channel. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2013, 177, 472–477. [CrossRef]
- 111. Giménez-Gómez, P.; Baldi, A.; Ayora, C.; Fernández-Sánchez, C. Automated Determination of As(III) in Waters with an Electrochemical Sensor Integrated into a Modular Microfluidic System. *ACS Sens.* **2019**, *4*, 3156–3165. [CrossRef]
- 112. Subramanian, V.; Lee, S.; Jena, S.; Jana, S.K.; Ray, D.; Kim, S.J.; Thalappil, P. Enhancing the sensitivity of point-of-use electrochemical microfluidic sensors by ion concentration polarisation—A case study on arsenic. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2020, 304, 127340. [CrossRef]
- 113. Zhao, G.; Tran, T.-T.; Modha, S.; Sedki, M.; Myung, N.V.; Jassby, D.; Mulchandani, A. Multiplexed Anodic Stripping Voltammetry Detection of Heavy Metals in Water Using Nanocomposites Modified Screen-Printed Electrodes Integrated with a 3D-Printed Flow Cell. Front. Chem. 2022, 10, 815805. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.; Faye, D.; Lefèvre, J.-P.; Delaire, J.A.; Leray, I. Selective fluorimetric detection of cadmium in a microfluidic device. *Microchem. J.* 2013, 106, 167–173. [CrossRef]

- 115. Park, M.; Seo, T.S. An integrated microfluidic device with solid-phase extraction and graphene oxide quantum dot array for highly sensitive and multiplex detection of trace metal ions. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2019**, *126*, 405–411. [CrossRef]
- 116. Chauvin, D.; Bell, J.; Leray, I.; Ledoux-Rak, I.; Nguyen, C.T. Label-free optofluidic sensor based on polymeric microresonator for the detection of cadmium ions in tap water. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2019**, *280*, 77–85. [CrossRef]
- 117. Zou, Z.; Jang, A.; MacKnight, E.T.; Wu, P.M.; Do, J.; Shim, J.S.; Bishop, P.L.; Ahn, C.H. An On-Site Heavy Metal Analyzer With Polymer Lab-on-a-Chips for Continuous Sampling and Monitoring. *IEEE Sens. J.* 2009, *9*, 586–594. [CrossRef]
- 118. Jang, A.; Zou, Z.; Lee, K.K.; Ahn, C.H.; Bishop, P.L. Potentiometric and voltammetric polymer lab chip sensors for determination of nitrate, pH and Cd(II) in water. *Talanta* 2010, *83*, 1–8. [CrossRef]
- 119. Zhou, J.; Ren, K.; Zheng, Y.; Su, J.; Zhao, Y.; Ryan, D.; Wu, H. Fabrication of a microfluidic Ag/AgCl reference electrode and its application for portable and disposable electrochemical microchips. *Electrophoresis* **2010**, *31*, 3083–3089. [CrossRef]
- Narakathu, B.B.; Avuthu, S.G.R.; Eshkeiti, A.; Emamian, S.; Atashbar, M.Z. Development of a Microfluidic Sensing Platform by Integrating PCB Technology and Inkjet Printing Process. *IEEE Sens. J.* 2015, 15, 6374–6380. [CrossRef]
- 121. Yuan, Y.; Jia, H.; Wang, J. A microfluidic electrochemical sensing platform for in situ detection of trace cadmium ions. *Anal. Methods* **2022**, *14*, 3802–3813. [CrossRef]
- 122. Shi, J.; Tang, F.; Xing, H.; Zheng, H.; Lianhua, B.; Wei, W. Electrochemical detection of Pb and Cd in paper-based microfluidic devices. *J. Braz. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *23*, 1124–1130.
- Rotake, D.; Darji, A.; Kale, N. Ultrasensitive detection of cadmium ions using a microcantilever-based piezoresistive sensor for groundwater. *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* 2020, 11, 1242–1253. [CrossRef]
- 124. Peng, G.; He, Q.; Lu, Y.; Huang, J.; Lin, J.-M. Flow injection microfluidic device with on-line fluorescent derivatization for the determination of Cr(III) and Cr(VI) in water samples after solid phase extraction. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2017, 955, 58–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 125. An, Y.; Wang, W.; Lv, Q.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, X. A dual-readout paper-based analytical device for the simultaneous determination of hexavalent Cr and total Cr. *Microchim. Acta* 2022, *189*, 445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 126. Muhammed, A.; Hussen, A.; Kaneta, T. Speciation of chromium in water samples using microfluidic paper-based analytical devices with online oxidation of trivalent chromium. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2021**, *413*, 3339–3347. [CrossRef]
- 127. Lu, K.-H.; Lin, J.-H.; Lin, C.-Y.; Chen, C.-F.; Yeh, Y.-C. A fluorometric paper test for chromium(VI) based on the use of N-doped carbon dots. *Microchim. Acta* 2019, *186*, 227. [CrossRef]
- 128. Lace, A.; Ryan, D.; Bowkett, M.; Cleary, J. Chromium Monitoring in Water by Colorimetry Using Optimised 1,5-Diphenylcarbazide Method. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 1803. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 129. Cai, J.; Han, G.; Ren, J.; Liu, C.; Wang, J.; Wang, X. Single-layered graphene quantum dots with self-passivated layer from xylan for visual detection of trace chromium(Vl). *Chem. Eng. J.* **2022**, *435*, 131833. [CrossRef]
- Mettakoonpitak, J.; Khongsoun, K.; Wongwan, N.; Kaewbutdee, S.; Siripinyanond, A.; Kuharuk, A.; Henry, C.S. Simple biodegradable plastic screen-printing for microfluidic paper-based analytical devices. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2021, 331, 129463. [CrossRef]
- Li, D.; Li, J.; Jia, X.; Xia, Y.; Zhang, X.; Wang, E. A novel Au–Ag–Pt three-electrode microchip sensing platform for chromium(VI) determination. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2013, 804, 98–103. [CrossRef]
- Rattanarat, P.; Dungchai, W.; Cate, D.; Volckens, J.; Chailapakul, O.; Henry, C.S. Multilayer Paper-Based Device for Colorimetric and Electrochemical Quantification of Metals. *Anal. Chem.* 2014, *86*, 3555–3562. [CrossRef]
- 133. Som-Aum, W.; Threeprom, J.; Li, H.; Lin, J.-M. Determination of chromium(III) and total chromium using dual channels on glass chip with chemiluminescence detection. *Talanta* 2007, *71*, 2062–2068. [CrossRef]
- 134. Alahmad, W.; Uraisin, K.; Nacapricha, D.; Kaneta, T. A miniaturized chemiluminescence detection system for a microfluidic paper-based analytical device and its application to the determination of chromium(III). *Anal. Methods* **2016**, *8*, 5414–5420. [CrossRef]
- 135. Shang, Q.; Zhang, P.; Li, H.; Liu, R.; Zhang, C. A flow chemiluminescence paper-based microfluidic device for detection of chromium (III) in water. *J. Innov. Opt. Health Sci.* 2019, 12, 1950016. [CrossRef]
- 136. Wang, H.; Li, Y.-J.; Wei, J.-F.; Xu, J.-R.; Wang, Y.-H.; Zheng, G.-X. Paper-based three-dimensional microfluidic device for monitoring of heavy metals with a camera cell phone. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2014**, *406*, 2799–2807. [CrossRef]
- 137. Sun, X.; Li, B.; Qi, A.; Tian, C.; Han, J.; Shi, Y.; Lin, B.; Chen, L. Improved assessment of accuracy and performance using a rotational paper-based device for multiplexed detection of heavy metals. *Talanta* **2018**, *178*, 426–431. [CrossRef]
- 138. Li, F.; Hu, Y.; Li, Z.; Liu, J.; Guo, L.; He, J. Three-dimensional microfluidic paper-based device for multiplexed colorimetric detection of six metal ions combined with use of a smartphone. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2019**, *411*, 6497–6508. [CrossRef]
- Alahmad, W.; Tungkijanansin, N.; Kaneta, T.; Varanusupakul, P. A colorimetric paper-based analytical device coupled with hollow fiber membrane liquid phase microextraction (HF-LPME) for highly sensitive detection of hexavalent chromium in water samples. *Talanta* 2018, 190, 78–84. [CrossRef]
- 140. Taheri, H.; Khayatian, G. Smartphone-based microfluidic chip modified using pyrrolidine-1-dithiocarboxylic acid for simultaneous colorimetric determination of Cr<sup>3+</sup> and Al<sup>3+</sup> ions. *Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2022**, 272, 121000. [CrossRef]
- Mishra, N.; Dhwaj, A.; Verma, D.; Prabhakar, A. Cost-effective microabsorbance detection based nanoparticle immobilized microfluidic system for potential investigation of diverse chemical contaminants present in drinking water. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2022, 1205, 339734. [CrossRef]

- 142. Duffy, G.; Maguire, I.; Heery, B.; Gers, P.; Ducrée, J.; Regan, F. ChromiSense: A colourimetric lab-on-a-disc sensor for chromium speciation in water. *Talanta* 2018, 178, 392–399. [CrossRef]
- Manachino, M.; Periolatto, M.; Catania, F.; Scaltrito, L.; Pirri, F.; Ferrero, S. Miniaturization and Optimization of the Standard Spectrophotometric Analysis for Autonomous, Continuous and On-site Heavy Metal Detection in Water. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* 2020, 82, 181–186. [CrossRef]
- 144. World Health Organization. *Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality: Fourth Edition Incorporating the First and Second Addenda;* World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2022; ISBN 978-92-4-004506-4.
- 145. Zhou, P.; Zhang, T.; Xu, Y.; Simon, T.; Cui, T. Microfluidic electrochemical sensor for heavy metal detection using pyrolytic carbon electrodes and valveless micropump. In Proceedings of the 2022 International Conference on Manipulation, Automation and Robotics at Small Scales (MARSS), Toronto, ON, Canada, 25–29 July 2022; pp. 1–6.
- 146. Directive (EU) 2020/2184 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on the Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption. Available online: https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2020/2184/oj (accessed on 25 June 2023).

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.