# **Combined Ammonia and Electron Processing of a Carbon-Rich Ruthenium Nanomaterial Fabricated by Electron-Induced Deposition**

Markus Rohdenburg, Johannes E. Fröch, Petra Martinović, Charlene J. Lobo and Petra Swiderek



AFM Data of as-Deposited Pads before NH3 Treatment

**Figure S1.** AFM images and cross sections of (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru deposits on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si before treatment in an atmosphere of 0.11 mbar NH<sub>3</sub> by the 5 keV electron beam, with the times denoting the processing times used later in the experiment. The mean depth and standard deviation (shaded) are shown at the bottom.



### AFM Data for Various Stages of NH<sub>3</sub> Treatment

**Figure S2.** AFM images and cross sections of (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru deposits on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si that have been treated in an atmosphere of 0.11 mbar NH<sub>3</sub> by the 5 keV electron beam for different processing times. Note the change in horizontal scale from 10 min to longer processing times.

## Electron-Induced Reactions of NH3 with the Si Substrate



**Figure S3.** EDX spectra acquired after exposing the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si substrate in absence of a (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru to a 5 keV electron beam at a beam current of 10 nA in an atmosphere of 0.11 mbar NH<sub>3</sub> for 60 min (purple) and for a (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru deposit processed under the same conditions (red). The significantly increased N signal of the processed deposit indicates that electron-induced reactions of NH<sub>3</sub> with the Si substrate contribute only to a minor extent to the observed N incorporation into the deposits.



## AFM Data for Various Stages of NH3 Treatment of H2O-Purified Deposits

**Figure S4.** AFM images and cross sections of (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru deposits on SiO<sub>2</sub>/Si that have been treated in an atmosphere of 0.11 mbar NH<sub>3</sub> by the 5 keV electron beam for different processing times. The deposits had been pre-purified by water-assisted treatment before NH<sub>3</sub> treatment set in (5 keV, 0.13 mbar H<sub>2</sub>O, 30 min).

### ESD and TDS Data on Model Deposit Formation



**Figure S5.** Mass spectra recorded (**a**) during electron exposure of 40 mC/cm<sup>2</sup> at  $E_0 = 31$  eV of an adsorbed layer of (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru with thickness of 13–20 ML on a Ta substrate held at 110 K, (**b**) before the start of irradiation, and (**c**) during dosing of (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru onto the Ta substrate. The peak groups seen in ESD in the range m/z 12–16 give evidence of desorption of CH<sub>4</sub>; m/z 26–30 reveal desorption of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>. Signals at m/z 39 and 41 are small, pointing to very little desorption of species related to the Cp ring [9]. (**d**) TDS experiments performed directly after preparation of the precursor layer and after electron exposure of 40 mC/cm<sup>2</sup> at  $E_0 = 31$  eV. After the temperature ramp terminated at 350 K, the temperature was rapidly increased to 450 K where it was held for 30 s in a final annealing step. The figure is taken from reference [9] of the main manuscript. Reprinted with permission from Markus Rohdenburg, Robert Winkler, David Kuhness, Harald Plank, and Petra Swiderek, ACS Applied Nano Materials, DOI: 10.1021/acsanm.0c01759. Copyright 2020, American Chemical Society.

**TDS After NH3 Treatment** 



**Figure S6.** TDS of the volatile species present after ESD ( $E_0 = 31 \text{ eV}$ , 40 mC/cm<sup>2</sup>) of an NH<sub>3</sub> film condensed on top of an (EtCp)<sub>2</sub>Ru model deposit.