

Article

New Aspect of Composition and Biological Properties of *Glechoma hederacea* L. Herb: Detailed Phytochemical Analysis and Evaluation of Antioxidant, Anticoagulant Activity and Toxicity in Selected Human Cells and Plasma In Vitro

Natalia Sławińska ¹, Magdalena Kluska ², Barbara Moniuszko-Szajwaj ³, Anna Stochmal ³, Katarzyna Woźniak ² and Beata Olas ^{1,*}

- ¹ Department of General Biochemistry, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Lodz, 90-236 Łódź, Poland
- ² Department of Molecular Genetics, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Lodz, 90-236 Łódź, Poland
- ³ Department of Biochemistry and Crop Quality, Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, State Research Institute, 24-100 Puławy, Poland
- * Correspondence: beata.olas@biol.uni.lodz.pl

Abstract: It is known that phenolic compounds can alleviate the negative impact of oxidative stress and modulate hemostasis. However, the effect of extracts and phenolics from *Glechoma hederacea* L. on the biomarkers of these processes is not well documented. The aim of our study was to investigate the in vitro protective effects of one extract and three fractions (20, 60, and 85% fraction) from *G. hederacea* L. on oxidative stress and hemostasis. Phytochemical analysis showed that aerial parts of *G. hederacea* L. are rich in both phenolic acids (such as rosmarinic acid, neochlorogenic acid, and chlorogenic acid) and flavonoids (mainly rutin and glycoside derivatives of apigenin, quercetin, and luteolin). We observed that the 85% fraction (at three concentrations: 5, 10, and 50 µg/mL) inhibited protein carbonylation. Moreover, the extract and 85% fraction (at the concentration of 50 µg/mL) could reduce lipid peroxidation. All fractions and the extract were very effective at decreasing H₂O₂-induced DNA damage in PBM cells. The 85% fraction had the strongest protective potential against DNA oxidative damage. We also observed that the extract and fractions decreased PBM cell viability to a maximum of 65% after 24 h incubation. Our results indicate that the 85% fraction showed the strongest antioxidant potential. The main component of the 85% fraction was apigenin (26.17 \pm 1.44 mg/g), which is most likely responsible for its strong antioxidant properties.

Keywords: cell viability; DNA damage; *Glechoma hederacea* L.; hemostasis; oxidative stress; peripheral blood mononuclear cells

1. Introduction

Glechoma hederacea L. (Lamiaceae) is a plant belonging to the *Labiatae* family, which is commonly known as "ground ivy" and "gill over the ground". It is widely distributed in China, Korea, and Japan. In addition, the species is widespread in almost all of Europe, the Caucasus and Siberia, as well as in North America. Numerous studies have shown that it possesses various beneficial effects. In traditional Chinese medicine, this plant is prescribed to patients with diabetes, cholelithiasis, inflammation, dropsy, and abscess [1]. It also has a positive effect on immune, respiratory, and urinary systems, improves the condition of skin and hair, and has bactericidal and fungicidal effects [1–5].

Phenolic compounds are its main bioactive components [1–5]. Ground ivy is also rich in essential oils, vitamin C, provitamin A, zinc, iron, silicon, molybdenum, and calcium [1–5].



Citation: Sławińska, N.; Kluska, M.; Moniuszko-Szajwaj, B.; Stochmal, A.; Woźniak, K.; Olas, B. New Aspect of Composition and Biological Properties of *Glechoma hederacea* L. Herb: Detailed Phytochemical Analysis and Evaluation of Antioxidant, Anticoagulant Activity and Toxicity in Selected Human Cells and Plasma In Vitro. *Nutrients* 2023, 15, 1671. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu15071671

Academic Editor: Lynnette Ferguson

Received: 9 March 2023 Revised: 24 March 2023 Accepted: 27 March 2023 Published: 29 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). *G. hederacea* has a strong smell and a bitter, spicy taste. Because of these properties, it is a commercially available food product. Gill tea, which was used in England since the 18th century, can be brought up as an example. Dried aerial parts of *G. hederacea* are gaining popularity as a spice. Moreover, fresh, chopped herbs can be added to scrambled eggs, omelettes, herbal butter, and cheese. It also enriches the taste of potato salads, boiled potatoes, rice, or pasta. In addition, breweries sometimes use this plant as a source of bitterness in beer production [5].

Oxidative stress is considered a common pathologic mechanism of different diseases, including cardiovascular diseases and cancer. It is usually attributed to the excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which leads to DNA damage, protein carbonylation, and lipid peroxidation. In addition, oxidative stress can also modulate hemostasis [6].

In recent years, plant-derived compounds have demonstrated numerous biological activities. Antioxidants present in plants play an important role in maintaining human health. Phytochemicals sometimes have anticoagulant or procoagulant properties as well. It is known that some compounds isolated from plants (especially polyphenols) can mitigate the negative impact of oxidative stress and regulate hemostasis [7,8].

Milovanovic et al. [9] studied the antioxidant potential of *G. hederacea* as a food additive. The ethanol–water (8:2, v/v) and purified ethyl acetate extracts had significantly stronger antioxidant properties than other used extracts and commercial antioxidants such as tocopherol and butylohydroxyanizol mixture. The results of Chou et al. [2] also showed the in vitro antioxidant potential of a hot water extract of *G. hederacea* (100–400 µg/mL), in which chlorogenic acid, rosmarinic acid, caffeic acid, genistein, rutin, and ferulic acid were the most abundant phytochemicals. It prevented LPS-induced DNA damage in RAW264.7 macrophages, decreased the level of malondialdehyde, increased the concentration of glutathione, and regulated the activity of antioxidant enzymes (catalase, glutathione peroxidase, and superoxide dismutase).

However, the effect of extracts and phenolics from *G. hederacea* on biomarkers of these processes is not well documented. The aim of our study was to investigate the protective effects of one extract and three fractions (20, 60, and 85% fraction) from *G. hederacea* on oxidative stress and hemostasis in vitro. We measured the levels of two biomarkers of oxidative stress in human plasma treated with H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} : protein carbonylation and lipid peroxidation. Moreover, we studied the effect of this extract and these fractions on the viability of peripheral blood mononuclear (PBM) cells and the level of DNA oxidative damage induced by hydrogen peroxide. We also measured their effects on three hemostatic parameters of human plasma: prothrombin time (PT), thrombin time (TT), and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT). The concentration of plant extract and fractions ($\leq 50 \ \mu g/mL$) used in our study can be obtained through oral administration, which is an important consideration for practical applications [10].

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Reagents

Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), low-melting-point (LMP) and normal-melting-point (NMP) agarose, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), resazurin sodium salt, thiobarbituric acid (TBA), and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and NaCl was purchased from POCH (Avantor performance materials, Gliwice, Poland). Reagents needed for coagulation time measurements were purchased from Kselmed (Grudziądz, Poland). Other reagents were purchased from commercial distributors and were of the highest available grade.

2.2. Plant Material

The aerial parts of *Glechoma hederacea* were collected from a wild site located in the village of Łęka, Lubelskie Voivodeship, Poland (21°54 N, 51°270 E). The plants were harvested after flowering (from a well-lit place) in May 2022 and frozen. Frozen samples were lyophilized (CHRIST Gamma 2-293 16 LSC Freeze Dryers, Osterode am Harz, Germany). A

3 of 20

voucher specimen (IUNG/GH/2021/1) was deposited at the Department of Biochemistry and Crop Quality, Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, State Research Institute, Puławy, Poland.

2.3. Preparation of the Extract and Fractions from Aerial Parts of G. hederacea

The freeze-dried aerial parts of *G. hederacea* were milled in a laboratory mill (ZM200, Retsch, Haan, Germany) and then sieved through a 0.5 mm sieve. The obtained powder was extracted with 70% methanol (v/v) in a ratio of 1:20 during 5 h. The extraction was supported by sonication in an ultrasonic bath (room temperature, 15 min per each extracted hour). The content was centrifuged at $4000 \times \text{ss } g$ for 10 min. The residue was extracted twice under the same conditions as above and centrifuged. The supernatants were pooled together and concentrated, which was carried out under reduced pressure.

Then, the extract was transferred to a preconditioned RP-C18 column (65 × 30 mm, 140 µm; Cosmosil C18-PREP; Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan). The volume of the extract loaded on the column was 5%. Polar compounds were removed with 1% methanol and 0.1% formic acid, v/v), and active metabolites were eluted with 85% methanol with 0.1% formic acid (v/v) to give a purified extract, which was divided into two parts. One part was used as research material, and the second part was applied to the same RP-C18 column, and the active metabolites were fractionated by eluting with 20 methanol (v/v) to obtain the 20% fraction, 60% methanol (v/v) to obtain the 60% fraction, and the rest of the compounds were eluted with 85% methanol (v/v) to obtain the 85% fraction. The elution volume for each fraction was 250 mL. All fractions were freeze-dried. Fraction 20% constituted 36.6%, fraction 60–58.1% and fraction 85–5.3% of this separation. Finally, 3 mg of extract and fractions were dissolved in 1 mL of 70% methanol. Then, 5 µL of each sample was subjected to qualitative analysis with UHPLC-QTOF-MS, while 3 µL was used for UHPLC-MS analysis to determine the concentration of phenolic acids and flavonoids.

2.4. The Qualitative Analysis Using Ultra-High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry UHPLC-QTOF-MS

The qualitative investigations of the extract and three fractions (20%, 60% and 85%) were performed according to previously described procedures in Rolnik et al. [11]. They were determined by high-resolution LC-MS (HR-ESI-MS) analyses which were performed with the Thermo Ultimate 3000 RS (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) chromatographic system coupled with a Bruker Impact II HD (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA) quadrupole-time of flight (Q-TOF) mass spectrometer and CAD detector (Charged Aerosol Detector).

The chromatographic separation was carried out on a Waters HSS T3 column (150 \times 2.1 mm, 1.8 μ m, Wexford, Ireland) at 40 °C, and the flow rate was 400 μ L/min. A linear gradient used to separate analytes was as follows: from 2% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid to 99% acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid over 22 min. The sample injection volume was 5.0 μ L.

The compounds were analyzed based on data from UV and mass spectra. Electrospray ionization (ESI) was performed in negative and positive ion mode. The mass scan range was set from 80 to 2000 m/z. Ions source parameters: capillary voltage 3.0 kV, dry gas 6.0 L/min and dry temperature 200 °C. The PDA was operated in the range of 190–750 nm. Data processing was performed using DataAnalysis 4.3 (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany).

2.5. Ultra-High-Pressure Liquid Chromatography (UHPLC-MS) Conditions

The quantitation of flavonoids and phenolic acids was performed with an ACQUITY UPLC system, which was equipped with a triple quadrupole mass detector and a PDA (TQD, Waters, Milford, MA, USA). The separation of compounds was carried out with an Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column ($100 \times 2.1 \text{ mm}$, $1.7 \mu \text{m}$ particle size; Waters, Wexford, Ireland) with a gradient mobile phase. Solvent A—0.1% formic acid and solvent B—

acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid were used as follows: 6–33% of B in 10.9 min at a flow rate of 400 μ L/min. The temperature of the column was maintained at 45 °C. The injection volume was 3 μ L. Compound identification was carried out on the basis of data from mass spectra. The ESI ionization was carried out in negative ion mode. The PDA was operated in the range of 191–480 nm; the resolution was 3.6 nm. Obtained data were processed with MassLynx V4.1 software, Waters. The quantitative analysis of the analyzed compounds was carried out with the help of data from UV spectra (350 nm for flavonoids and 320 nm for phenolic acids). The quantity of compounds was determined with an external standard method. The results were expressed as mg/g of extract and fractions. The linearity of the method was shown with a calibration curve, which used eight known concentrations of the standard (0.1–325 μ g/mL). The linear correlation coefficient (R²) for the curve of chlorogenic acid and rutin was 0.9998 for phenolic acids and 0.999 for flavonoids respectively.

2.6. Stock Solution of Extract and Fractions from Aerial Parts of G. hederacea

To make stock solutions, 10 mg of lyophilized extract or fractions was dissolved in 1:1 (v/v) distilled water/DMSO. Then, stock solutions were diluted to obtain working solutions at concentrations of 100, 500, 1000 and 5000 µg/mL. The final concentrations of the extract or fractions in the biological samples were 1, 5, 10, and 50 µg/mL.

2.7. Plasma Isolation

Human whole blood was collected from healthy (n = 6, aged 25–28) medication-free and non-smoking donors (male (n = 3) and female (n = 3)). The blood was drawn at the "Diagnostyka" blood collection center on Brzechwy 7a St in Lodz, Poland. The volunteers did not take any addictive substances (e.g., tobacco, alcohol), antioxidant supplementation, or any other substances that could influence oxidative status or hemostasis. The study was accepted by the Committee for Research on Human Subjects of the University of Lodz (the number of permission is 3/KBBN-UŁ/II/2016). Blood was drawn into CPDA1 (Citrate, Phosphate, Dextrose, Adenine) tubes. Plasma was obtained by differential centrifugation ($2800 \times g$, 20 min).

2.8. Coagulation Times of Human Plasma

Coagulation times were determined coagulometrically with an Optic Coagulation Analyser, model K-3002 (Kselmed, Grudziadz, Poland), according to the method described by Malinowska et al. [12]. The reagents were purchased from Kselmed. The samples containing human plasma and the extract or fractions (at concentrations: 1, 5, 10, and 50 μ g/mL) were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. In control, 0.9% NaCl was used instead of the extract and fractions. To measure the prothrombin time, 50 μ L of the samples was incubated again at 37 °C for 2 min, and 100 μ L of Dia-PT solution was added immediately before the measurements. To measure the thrombin time, 50 μ L of the samples was incubated at 37 °C for 1 min, and 100 μ L of thrombin (at the final concentration of 5 U/mL) was added immediately before the start of the measurement. To measure the activated partial thromboplastin time, 50 μ L of the samples was incubated at 37 °C for 3 min with 50 μ L of Dia-PTT solution; after the incubation, 50 μ L of Dia-CaCl₂ solution was added. All samples were measured in duplicate.

2.9. Lipid Peroxidation Measurement in Human Plasma

Lipid peroxidation was assessed by measuring the concentration of thiobarbituric acid-reactive substances (TBARS) in human plasma. The final concentrations of extract and fractions were 1, 5, 10, and 50 μ g/mL. The method was carried out as described in Sławińska et al. [13].

2.10. Carbonyl Group Measurement in Human Plasma

Measuring the levels of carbonyl groups in plasma was carried out with a method involving 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (DNPH). The samples were incubated with the extract or fractions at the final concentrations of 1, 5, 10, and 50 μ g/mL. The method was carried out as described in Sławińska et al. [13].

2.11. PBM Cells Isolation

Peripheral blood mononuclear (PBM) cells were isolated from the leucocyte-buffy coat, which was collected from the blood of healthy, non-smoking donors from Blood Bank (Lodz, Poland) as described previously [14].

2.12. DNA Damage

PBM cells were incubated (2 h at 37 °C) with plant extract and fractions (the concentration range was 1–50 μ g/mL). After treatment, cells were washed and suspended in RPMI 1640 medium. Then, 25 μ M H₂O₂ was added. PBM cells were incubated on ice for 15 min. The DNA damage was studied with the alkaline comet assay, according to Singh et al. [15], as described previously by Tokarz et al. [16].

2.13. PBM Cells Viability

Plant extract and fractions were added to wells to obtain final concentrations of 1, 5, 10, and 50 μ g/mL and incubated for 2 h and 24 h (37 °C, 5% CO₂). The cell viability resazurin assay was performed similarly to the method described by O'Brien et al. [17].

2.14. Statistical Analysis

Results were presented as mean \pm SD. For the data that did not have normal distribution, the Mann–Whitney test was used. Student's *t*-test or ANOVA was used for the data with normal distribution. The differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results

Purification and fractionation of the extract isolated from *G. hederacea* resulted in three fractions: 20% fraction, 60% fraction, and 85% fraction. Major components of the abovementioned preparations were tentatively identified and classified on the basis of their MS and UV spectra, chemical analysis, and literature data [18–49] (Table 1). For example, the total concentration of phenolic acids in the extract was 177.64 mg/g, while the total flavonoid content was 115.8 mg/g (Tables 2 and 3). The main identified phenolic acids are rosmarinic acid, rosmarinic acid methyl ester, chlorogenic acid, neochlorogenic acid and among the flavonoids there are rutin, quercetin 3-[6''-(3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl)-galactoside] and apigenin 7-(6''-malonylglucoside). The 20% fraction consists almost exclusively of phenolic acids, of which neochlorogenic acid, 2-O-caffeoylthreonic acid, is the most abundant. No flavonoids were identified in this fraction. In turn, the 60% fraction contains phenolic acids (mostly rosmarinic acid, rosmarinic acid methyl ester and chlorogenic acid) as well as flavonoids (rutin, quercetin 3-[6''-(3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl)-galactoside] and apigenin 7-(6''-malonylglucoside). In the 85% fraction, there are mainly flavonoids with the highest content of apigenin (Tables 1–3).

Peak	RT (min)	Max. m/z (-/+)	Ion Formula	mSigma (–)	HRMS-MS/MS Fragment (ESI–), <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> /Fragment (ESI ⁺), <i>m</i> / <i>z</i>	Class	Tentative ID	References:	Area Frac. % of Extract	Area Frac. % of 20%	Area Frac. % of 60%	Area Frac. % of 85%
1	4.70	371.0632	C ₁₅ H ₁₅ O ₁₁	0.7	209.0303 (100), 191.0207 (35)	phenolic acids	4-caffeoylglucaric acid	[18]	0.46	7.61	nd	nd
2	4.81	315.0734	C ₁₃ H ₁₅ O ₉	5.6	315.0731 (100), 153.0185 (10.9), 109.0282 (1.4)	phenolic acids	gentisic acid 5-O-glucoside	[19]	0.23	- 7.01	nd	nd
3	5.21	371.0627	C ₁₅ H ₁₅ O ₁₁	4.6	209.0309 (100), 191.0201 (40.5)	phenolic acids	2-caffeoylglucaric acid	[18]	0.59	7.20	nd	nd
4	5.56	353.0889	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ O ₉	8.2	353.0888 (8,6), 191.0566 (100), 179.0355 (54.4), 135.0436 (7.2)	phenolic acids	3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (neochlorogenic acid)	identified by comparison to reference compound	2.75	27.34	ta	nd
5	6.11	297.0624	C ₁₃ H ₁₃ O ₈	3.6	179.0360 (40), 135.0289 (100)	phenolic acids	3-O-caffeoylthreonic acid	[20]	0.8	9.09	ta	nd
6	6.75	297.0622	C ₁₃ H ₁₃ O ₈	9.6	179.0350 (17.3), 135.0281 (100)	phenolic acids	2-O-caffeoylthreonic acid	[20]	2.77	23.98	ta	nd
7	7.24	337.0933	$C_{16}H_{17}O_8$	11.1	191.0891 (24.6), 163.0392 (100)	phenolic acids	p-coumaroylquinic acid	[20]	0.99	9.27	ta	nd
8	7.91	353.0884	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ O ₉	3.4	353 (0,4, 191 (100), 179 (0,8), 173 (0,4), 161 (0,6)	phenolic acids	5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid)	identified by comparison to reference compound	4.94	20.84	5.00	nd
9	8.38	353.0887	C ₁₆ H ₁₇ O ₉	5.8	353.0888 (9.6), 191.0566 (100), 179.0356 (77.7), 173.0454 (72.3), 161.0246 (2.6), 135.0437 (17.3)	phenolic acids	4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid)	identified by comparison to reference compound	0.99	ta	ta	nd
10	8.53	325.0572	$C_{14}H_{13}O_9$	13.8	193.0510 (100)	phenolic acids	fertaric acid	[21]	0.38	ta	ta	nd
11	8.96	625.1414	C ₂₇ H ₂₉ O ₁₇	7.1	625.1420 (11.6), 463.0870 (100), 301.0354 (66.5)	flavonoid	quercetin-3- gentiobioside (quercetin 3-O-diglucoside)	[22]	0.79	nd	ta	nd
12	9.52	297.0620	C ₁₃ H ₁₃ O ₈	10.5	179.0360 (33.3), 135.0276 (100)	phenolic acids	4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid	[20]	0.98	nd	ta	nd

Table 1. Phytochemical characteristics of the crude extract and three fractions (20%, 60%, and 85%) from *G. hederacea* identified by UHPLC-QTOF MS/MS.

Table 1. Cont.

HRMS-MS/MS Fragment Area Frac. Max. m/zIon mSigma Area Frac. Area Frac. Area Frac. Peak RT (min) (ESI-), m/z/Fragment (ESI+), Class **Tentative ID References:** % of (_/+) Formula (-) % of 20% % of 60% % of 85% m/zExtract identified by phenolic comparison 9.72 13 353.0885 C16H17O9 1.7 191.0563 (100) 1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 0.48nd nd ta to reference acids compound 387.1662 3.3 C18H27O9 387.1662 (100), 207.1032 (14.3) oxylipins tuberonic acid glucoside [23] nd ta nd quercetin 3-O-(6"-14 9.96 1.24 667.1517 (9.1), 505.0999 (24.1) 711.1416 9.4 $C_{30}H_{31}O_{20}$ flavonoids malonylglucoside)-7-[24,25] nd ta nd 463.0859 (100), 301.0360 (53.6) glucoside 7-β-galactopyranosylphenolic 15 10.25 395.099 oxycoumarin-4-acetic [26] $C_{18}H_{19}O_{10}$ 5.5 335.0780 (3.5), 233.0674 (100) 1.1 nd ta nd glycosides acid methyl ester 741.1904 (13.7), 591.1338 (2.4), quercetin rutinoside 741.1870 $C_{32}H_{37}O_{20}$ 14.2 flavonoids [27,28] nd 3.07 nd 475.0886 (1.8), 3010.0282 (100) pentoside quercetin-3-O-2.25 16 11.98 (2"rhamnosyl)-7-O-755.2050 [29] C33H39O20 7.2 755.2046 (12.7), 300.0279(100) flavonoids nd nd rutinoside (manghaslin) rutin (quercetin rutinoside, identified by quercetin-3-O-α-Lcomparison 609.1460 17 13.43 $C_{27}H_{29}O_{16}$ 5.1 609.1463 (27.9), 301.0343 (100) flavonoids 8.31 nd 11.29 nd rhamnopyranosylto reference (1→6)-β-Dcompound glucopyranose) (-)-11-hydroxy-9,10-389.1823 (100), 227.1298 (7.6) 18 389.1822 dihydrojasmonic acid 1.742.3 13.69 C18H29O9 10.6 fatty acyls [30,31] nd nd 209.1187 (9.6) 11-β-D-glucoside quercetin deoxyhexsoside 753.1892 C33H37O20 21.9/12.4 609.1473 (16.1), 301.0279 (100) flavonoids not found nd nd hexsoside 3-hydroxyl-3methyloglutaryl 19 13.82 3.11 3.74 identified by hyperoside (quercetin comparison 463.0885 C₂₁H₁₉O₁₂ 30.5/7.9 463.0899 (9.3), 301.0348 (100) flavonoids nd nd 3-galactoside) to reference compound

Table 1. Cont.

HRMS-MS/MS Fragment Area Frac. mSigma Area Frac. Max. m/zIon Area Frac. Area Frac. RT (min) Peak (ESI-), m/z/Fragment (ESI+), Class **Tentative ID References:** % of (_/+) Formula (-) % of 20% % of 60% % of 85% m/zExtract identified by comparison 20 14.05 447.0936 luteolin 7-O-glucoside to reference 0.88 C₂₁H₁₉O₁₁ 4.4 447.0932 (53.1), 285.0405 (100) flavonoids nd ta nd compound [32] 279.1229 (73.8), 217.1230 (100), 21 14.24 279.1238 $C_{15}H_{19}O_5$ 5.8 sesquiterpenes phaseic acid [33] 1.2 nd ta nd 165.0898 (53.7) quercetin 3-O-(6"malonylglucoside)/quercetin 3-O-(6''-[34] 7.41.75 22 14.59 549.0889 C₂₄H₂₁O₁₅ 505.0995 (9.5), 301.0277 (100) flavonoids 1.45 nd nd malonylgalactoside quercetin 3-[6"-(3-hydroxy-3- $C_{27}H_{27}O_{16}$ 607.1306 4.5 463.0887 (27.1), 301.0277 (100) flavonoids [29,35,36] nd 2.51 nd methylglutaryl)-23 14.69 3.42 galactoside] kaempferol 593.1508 C₂₇H₂₉O₁₅ 15.8 593.1509 (26.5), 285.0399 (100) flavonoids [37] nd 2.37 nd 3-robinobioside yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid (+) 521.1081 (2), 295.0599 phenolic E/salvianolic acid 717.1457/ 14.81 C₃₆H₂₉O₁₆ 7.4 [38] 3.76 24 nd nd 719.1591 L/isosalvianolic acid (100), 181.0493 (8.3) acids B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 4.92 identified by comparison 353.0880 (50.9), 191.0563 (100), to reference phenolic 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid 2.08 25 15.04 515.1199 C₂₅H₂₃O₁₂ 16.3 nd nd 179.0348 (58.5) acids compound and [39]

Table 1. Cont.

HRMS-MS/MS Fragment Area Frac. Max. m/zIon mSigma Area Frac. Area Frac. Area Frac. Peak RT (min) (ESI-), m/z/Fragment (ESI+), Class **Tentative ID References:** % of (_/+) Formula (-) % of 20% % of 60% % of 85% m/zExtract 359.0779 (53.2), 243.0299 (36.7), 229.0142 (49.3), cvclobutane 26 15.11 719.1622 $C_{36}H_{31}O_{16}$ 3.2 sagerinic acid [40,41,44] 1.80 nd nd 197.0459 (82.7), 179.0351 (25.2), lignans 161.0237 (100), 135.0433 (6.1) 4.83 identified by comparison 27 2.2 to reference 1.81 nd 15.55 431.0985 C₂₁H₁₉O₁₀ flavonoids nd 431.0985 (100), 269.0447 (50) apigenin 7-O-glucoside compound and [41] luteolin 7-O-(6"-15.90 533.0946 [42] 3.06 1.63 28 14.8 489.1047 (100), 285.0407 (87.9) flavonoids nd $C_{24}H_{21}O_{14}$ nd malonylglucoside) identified by 197.0459 (100), 179.0352 (32.1), phenolic comparison 359.0777 C18H15O8 8.0 161.0238 (88.9), 135.0435 (5.0), rosmarinic acid nd 22.00 nd acids to reference 133.0275 (6.3) compound 29 16.02 15.94 kaempferol 3-[6"-(3-hydroxy-3-489.1041 (77.3), 447.0948 591.1367 $C_{27}H_{27}O_{15}$ 14.3 flavonoids [35] nd 2.15 nd (34.3), 285.0404 (100) methylglutaryl)glucoside] yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 519.0942 (3.4), 339.0515 (47.9), phenolic 6.7 [38] 30 17.19 717.1467 C₃₆H₂₉O₁₆ 5.87 8.37 nd nd L/isosalvianolic acid 321.0408 (100) acids B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 473.1103 (5.5), 269.0457 517.0991/ apigenin 17.42 31 $C_{24}H_{21}O_{13}$ 14.5 (100)/519.1130 (89.9), 433.1128 flavonoids [43] 2.79 nd 4.82 nd 519.1129 7-(6"-malonylglucoside) (8.6), 271.0599 (100) 773.3969 (56.2), 627.3386 (100), diterpene 32 18.21 773.3969 10.4 4.87 5.82 40.37 $C_{38}H_{61}O_{16}$ diterpenes not found nd dHex-Hex-HMG 465.2845 (17.7) 33 18.32 367.1405 C18H23O8 10.8 163.0761 (100), 148.0511 (78.3) lactones unknown lactone not found 1.26 nd 1.43 ta 197.0453 (95.4), 175.0404 phenolic 3-O-methyl-rosmarinic 34 18.44 373.0932 C19H17O8 8.9 [44] 1.29 nd ta (73.6), 135.0435 (100) acids acid

Peak	RT (min)	Max. <i>m</i> /z (-/+)	Ion Formula	mSigma (—)	HRMS-MS/MS Fragment (ESI–), <i>m/z</i> /Fragment (ESI ⁺), <i>m/z</i>	Class	Tentative ID	References:	Area Frac. % of Extract	Area Frac. % of 20%	Area Frac. % of 60%	Area Frac. % of 85%
35	18.65	373.0934	C ₁₉ H ₁₇ O ₈	1.2	179.0350 (17.6), 135.0434 (100)	phenolic acids	rosmarinic acid methyl ester	[44,47]		nd	12.01	ta
		717.1469	C ₃₆ H ₂₉ O ₁₆	16.4	519.0920 (10.5), 339.0515 (100), 321.0424 (10.6), 295.0621 (5.6)	phenolic acids	yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I	[38]	8.58	nd	nd	ta
		745.1782	C ₃₈ H ₃₃ O ₁₆	17.6	489.1197 (57.8), 445.1294 (68.1), 379.0825 (42.5), 339.0513 (100), 295.0617 (38.9), 229.0142 (22.6)	phenolic acids	dimethyl lithospermate B	[45]		nd	nd	ta
36	18.92	717.1458	$C_{36}H_{29}O_{16}$	6.4	519.0925 (8.1), 339.0510 (100), 321.0430 (12.5), 295.0626 (6.4)	phenolic acids	yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I	[38]	1.32	nd	nd	ta
37	20.43	771.3812	C ₃₈ H ₅₉ O ₁₆	6.4	591.3215 (10.6), 547.3276 (100), 465.2870 (8.3), 465.2870 (8.2), 161.0447 (46.8)	diterpens	leucasperoside C	[46]	1.67	nd	nd	26.32
38	21.59	269.0456	C ₁₅ H ₉ O ₁₆	4.6	269.0458 (100), 225.0562 (1.6)	flavonoids	apigenin	identified by comparison to reference compound and [49]	0.88	nd	nd	17.55
39	22.01	313.072	C ₁₇ H ₁₃ O ₆	5.3	161.0238 (100)	flavon	unknown flavon	not found	0.88	nd	nd	8.38
40	24.78	327.0876	C ₁₈ H ₁₅ O ₆	9.8	327,0875 (100), 312.0622 (32.5), 284.0656 (14.2), 242.0557 (16.6), 150.0317 (37.3)	flavon	salvigenin	[48]	0.27	nd	nd	7.37

ta—trace amounts. nd—not detected.

Table 1. Cont.

Extract20% Fraction60% of Fraction85% of Fraction4-caffeoylglucaric acidtraces 3.2 ± 0.19 NDNDgentisic acid 5-O-glucoside 0.82 ± 0.17 2.58 ± 0.1 NDND2-caffeoylglucaric acid 1.15 ± 0.29 5.35 ± 0.71 NDND3-O-caffeoylglucaric acid 1.66 ± 1.07 45.07 ± 1.43 1.44 ± 0.16 ND3-O-caffeoylglurinic acid (neochlorogenic acid) 16.6 ± 1.07 45.07 ± 1.43 1.44 ± 0.16 ND3-O-caffeoylglurinic acid 2.69 ± 0.63 8.09 ± 0.25 NDND2-O-caffeoylglurinic acid 1.355 ± 0.06 35.35 ± 1.39 2.83 ± 0.31 Tracesp-coumaroylquinic acid 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 NDND5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 2.41 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1		Phenolic Acids (mg/g \pm SD)					
4-caffeoylglucaric acidtraces 3.2 ± 0.19 NDNDgentisic acid 5-O-glucoside 0.82 ± 0.17 2.58 ± 0.1 NDND2-caffeoylglucaric acid 1.15 ± 0.29 5.35 ± 0.71 NDND3-O-caffeoylglucaric acid 16.6 ± 1.07 45.07 ± 1.43 1.44 ± 0.16 ND3-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 2.69 ± 0.63 8.09 ± 0.25 NDND2-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 13.55 ± 0.06 35.35 ± 1.39 2.83 ± 0.31 Tracesp-coumaroylquinic acid 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 NDND5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chorogenic acid) 2.74 ± 0.54 1.858 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.74 ± 0.54 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 1-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 0.91 ± 0.55 ND $1.2.9 \pm 0.18$ NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 7.59 ± 0.80 ND $1.2.3 \pm 1.65$ NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid	Compound	Extract	20% Fraction	60% of Fraction	85% of Fraction		
gentisic acid 5-O-glucoside 0.82 ± 0.17 2.58 ± 0.1 NDND 2 -caffeoylglucaric acid 1.15 ± 0.29 5.35 ± 0.71 NDND 3 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (neochlorogenic acid) 16.6 ± 1.07 45.07 ± 1.43 1.44 ± 0.16 ND 3 -O-caffeoylthreonic acid 2.69 ± 0.63 8.09 ± 0.25 ND 2 -O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.355 ± 0.06 35.35 ± 1.39 2.83 ± 0.31 Traces p -coumaroylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 ND 5 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 27.44 ± 0.54 18.58 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND 4 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND 4 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND 4 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND 4 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND 4 -O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND 4 -O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND 7 - β -galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 ND γ -grigatopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.61 ND 1.22 ± 0.22 ND γ -grigatopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.61 ND $1.29 \pm$	4-caffeoylglucaric acid	traces	3.2 ± 0.19	ND	ND		
$2 - caffeoylglucaric acid1.15 \pm 0.295.35 \pm 0.71NDND3 - O - caffeoylqluinic acid (neochlorogenic acid)16.6 \pm 1.0745.07 \pm 1.431.44 \pm 0.16ND3 - O - caffeoylthreonic acid2.69 \pm 0.638.09 \pm 0.25ND2 - O - caffeoylthreonic acid13.55 \pm 0.0635.35 \pm 1.392.83 \pm 0.31TracesP - coumaroylquinic acid1.1 \pm 0.233.67 \pm 0.6NDND5 - O - caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid)27.44 \pm 0.5418.58 \pm 0.9531.77 \pm 4.03ND4 - O - caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid)2.61 \pm 0.641.7 \pm 0.33.76 \pm 0.45ND4 - O - caffeoylquinic acid1.87 \pm 0.42ND2.6 \pm 0.32ND4 - O - caffeoylquinic acid1.87 \pm 0.42ND2.6 \pm 0.32ND4 - O - caffeoylquinic acid1.12 \pm 0.22ND1.62 \pm 0.22ND7 - \beta - galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester2.93 \pm 0.60ND4.34 \pm 0.52NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid I5.71 \pm 0.95ND9.14 \pm 1.38NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I0.91 \pm 0.55ND1.29 \pm 0.18NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I7.59 \pm 0.80ND12.3 \pm 1.65NDyunnaneic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I7.59 \pm 0.80ND12.3 \pm 1.65ND3' - O - methyl - rosmarinic acid1.46 \pm 0.13Traces3.683 \pm 1.47$	gentisic acid 5-O-glucoside	0.82 ± 0.17	2.58 ± 0.1	ND	ND		
3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (neochlorogenic acid) 16.6 ± 1.07 45.07 ± 1.43 1.44 ± 0.16 ND3-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 2.69 ± 0.63 8.09 ± 0.25 ND2-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 13.55 ± 0.06 35.35 ± 1.39 2.83 ± 0.31 Tracesp-coumaroylquinic acid 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 ND5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 27.44 ± 0.54 18.58 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 2.308 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Traces	2-caffeoylglucaric acid	1.15 ± 0.29	5.35 ± 0.71	ND	ND		
$3 \cdot O$ -caffeoylthreonic acid 2.69 ± 0.63 8.09 ± 0.25 ND $2 \cdot O$ -caffeoylthreonic acid 13.55 ± 0.06 35.35 ± 1.39 2.83 ± 0.31 Traces p -coumaroylquinic acid 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 ND $5 \cdot O$ -caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 27.44 ± 0.54 18.58 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND $4 \cdot O$ -caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND $4 \cdot O$ -caffeoylquinic acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 $4 \cdot O$ -caffeoylquinc acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND $4 \cdot O$ -caffeoylquinc acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND $1 \cdot O$ -caffeoylquinic acid $E / salvianolic acid5.71 \pm 0.95ND9.14 \pm 1.38ND2 \cdot isosalvianolic acid E / salvianolic acid0.91 \pm 0.55ND1.29 \pm 0.18ND2 \cdot isosalvianolic acid E / salvianolic acid0.91 \pm 0.55ND1.29 \pm 0.18ND2 \cdot isosalvianolic acid B / lithospermic acid B / clinopodic acid 17.59 \pm 0.80ND12.3 \pm 1.65ND3' - O-methyl-rosmarinic acid1.46 \pm 0.13Traces2.16 \pm 0.32ND3' - O-methyl-rosmarinic acid1.46 \pm 0.13Traces3.63 \pm 1.47Tracestotal phenolic acids1.764126.13216.381.36$	3-O-caffeoylquinic acid (neochlorogenic acid)	16.6 ± 1.07	45.07 ± 1.43	1.44 ± 0.16	ND		
2-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 13.55 ± 0.06 35.35 ± 1.39 2.83 ± 0.31 Tracesp-coumaroylquinic acid 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 ND5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 27.44 ± 0.54 18.58 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 ND1/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 3.683 ± 1.47 Traces1/isosalvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 3.683 ± 1.47 Traces1	3-O-caffeoylthreonic acid	2.69 ± 0.63	8.09 ± 0.25		ND		
p-coumaroylquinic acid 1.1 ± 0.23 3.67 ± 0.6 ND5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 27.44 ± 0.54 18.58 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 ND4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 ND1/isosalvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.23 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 3.63 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 17.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	2-O-caffeoylthreonic acid	13.55 ± 0.06	35.35 ± 1.39	2.83 ± 0.31	Traces		
5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid) 27.4 ± 0.54 18.58 ± 0.95 31.77 ± 4.03 ND4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 NDfertaric acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmari	p-coumaroylquinic acid	1.1 ± 0.23	3.67 ± 0.6		ND		
4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid) 2.61 ± 0.64 1.7 ± 0.3 3.76 ± 0.45 NDfertaric acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7- β -galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDfrosmarinic acid 63.72 ± 1.27 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDyunnaneic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces $3.6.83 \pm 1.47$ Traces <td>5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid)</td> <td>27.44 ± 0.54</td> <td>18.58 ± 0.95</td> <td>31.77 ± 4.03</td> <td>ND</td>	5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (chlorogenic acid)	27.44 ± 0.54	18.58 ± 0.95	31.77 ± 4.03	ND		
fertaric acid 3.32 ± 0.78 2.53 ± 0.32 4.78 ± 0.61 1.36 ± 0.08 4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7- β -galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 63.72 ± 1.27 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid rosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid)	2.61 ± 0.64	1.7 ± 0.3	3.76 ± 0.45	ND		
4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid 1.87 ± 0.42 ND 2.6 ± 0.32 ND1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7- β -galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid rosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	fertaric acid	3.32 ± 0.78	2.53 ± 0.32	4.78 ± 0.61	1.36 ± 0.08		
1-O-caffeoylquinic acid 1.12 ± 0.22 ND 1.62 ± 0.22 ND7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 63.72 ± 1.27 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	4-O-caffeoylthreonic acid	1.87 ± 0.42	ND	2.6 ± 0.32	ND		
7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester 2.93 ± 0.60 ND 4.34 ± 0.52 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDTosmarinic acid 63.72 ± 1.27 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDrosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	1-O-caffeoylquinic acid	1.12 ± 0.22	ND	1.62 ± 0.22	ND		
yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 5.71 ± 0.95 ND 9.14 ± 1.38 NDrosmarinic acid 63.72 ± 1.27 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/clinopodic acid I 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDyunnaneic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	7-β-galactopyranosyl-oxycoumarin-4-acetic acid methyl ester	2.93 ± 0.60	ND	4.34 ± 0.52	ND		
rosmarinic acid 63.72 ± 1.27 ND 101.52 ± 5.76 Tracesyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDrosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I	5.71 ± 0.95	ND	9.14 ± 1.38	ND		
yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 0.91 ± 0.55 ND 1.29 ± 0.18 NDyunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND3'-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid rosmarinic acid methyl ester 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDtotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	rosmarinic acid	63.72 ± 1.27	ND	101.52 ± 5.76	Traces		
yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I 7.59 ± 0.80 ND 12.3 ± 1.65 ND $3'$ -O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 NDrosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I	0.91 ± 0.55	ND	1.29 ± 0.18	ND		
$3'$ -O-methyl-rosmarinic acid 1.46 ± 0.13 Traces 2.16 ± 0.32 ND rosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Traces total phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	yunnaneic acid G/salvianolic acid E/salvianolic acid L/isosalvianolic acid B/lithospermic acid B/clinopodic acid I	7.59 ± 0.80	ND	12.3 ± 1.65	ND		
rosmarinic acid methyl ester 23.08 ± 0.94 Traces 36.83 ± 1.47 Tracestotal phenolic acids177.64126.13216.381.36	3′-O-methyl-rosmarinic acid	1.46 ± 0.13	Traces	2.16 ± 0.32	ND		
total phenolic acids 177.64 126.13 216.38 1.36	rosmarinic acid methyl ester	23.08 ± 0.94	Traces	36.83 ± 1.47	Traces		
	total phenolic acids	177.64	126.13	216.38	1.36		

Table 2. Content of phenolic acids in the extract from *G. hederacea* and its fractions.

Table 3. Content of flavonoids in the extract from *G. hederacea* and its fractions.

	Flavonoids (mg/g \pm SD)					
Compound	Extract	20% Fraction	60% Fraction	85% Fraction		
quercetin 3-O-(6"-malonylglucoside)-7-glucoside	2.16 ± 0.58	ND	3.85 ± 0.53	ND		
quercetin-3-O-(2"rhamnosyl)-7-O-rutinoside	4.52 ± 1.19	ND	7.56 ± 0.34	ND		
rutin	38.65 ± 4.92	ND	62.31 ± 0.98	Traces		
hyperoside	9.03 ± 2.24	ND	14.79 ± 2.01	1.25 ± 0.1		
quercetin deoxyhexsoside hexsoside 3-hydroxyl-3-methyloglutaryl; luteolin 7-O-glucoside	8.68 ± 2.28	ND	14.22 ± 2.04	ND		
quercetin 3-[6 ^{''} -(3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl)-galactoside]	14.75 ± 4.14	ND	23.94 ± 2.89	Traces		
apigenin 7-O-glucoside	6.32 ± 1.74	ND	10.14 ± 0.6	Traces		
luteolin 7-O-(6"-malonylglucoside)	5.94 ± 1.99	ND	9.75 ± 0.81	ND		
kaempferol 3-[6"-(3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl)-glucoside]	8.04 ± 2.11	ND	12.75 ± 1.8	ND		
apigenin 7-(6″-malonylglucoside)	10.51 ± 2.6	ND	17.41 ± 1.65	ND		
luteolin	2.05 ± 0.6	ND	3.07 ± 0.5	2.1 ± 0.19		
apigenin	3.49 ± 1.03	ND	2.33 ± 0.38	26.17 ± 1.44		
unknown flavon	1.66 ± 0.7	ND	1.98 ± 0.12	$\overline{2.5\pm0.24}$		
total flavonoids	115.8		184.1	32.02		



The analysis of coagulation times in human plasma showed that the tested plant preparations (extract and three fractions isolated from *G. hederacea* L.; concentration range: $1-50 \ \mu\text{g/mL}$; incubation time: 30 min) did not affect APTT, PT, or TT (Figure 1A–C).

Figure 1. The effect of the extract and three fractions (20%, 60% and 85%; concentration range: 1–50 µg/mL; incubation time: 30 min) on the hemostatic parameters of human plasma: PT (**A**), TT (**B**), and APTT (**C**). Data represent means \pm SD of 6 experiments; *p* > 0.05 (compared with control).

The extract and 85% fraction at the highest used concentration—50 μ g/mL—inhibited plasma lipid peroxidation induced by H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ (Figure 2A). As demonstrated in Figure 2B, extract and two fractions (20 and 60% fraction; at the highest used concentration—50 μ g/mL) reduced plasma protein carbonylation stimulated by H₂O₂/Fe²⁺. In addition, 85% fraction (at three concentrations: 5, 10, and 50 μ g/mL) also inhibited protein carbonylation. At the highest concentration (50 μ g/mL), protein carbonylation was decreased by approximately 50% compared to human plasma treated with only H₂O₂/Fe²⁺ (Figure 2B).

We have shown that the extract and fractions isolated from *G. hederacea* L. do not induce DNA damage with the exception of 60% fraction at 50 µg/mL (p < 0.05) (Figure 3C). All fractions and the extract were very effective at decreasing H₂O₂-induced DNA oxidative damage in PBM cells (Figure 3A–D). Our research has shown that the 85% fraction has the strongest protective properties against DNA damage induced by H₂O₂ (Figure 3D). With the exception of the sample pre-incubated with the 85% fraction at a concentration of 10 µg/mL (p < 0.05), all other samples showed a decrease in DNA damage to the level visible in the negative control (control (–)). Figure 4 shows representative photos of the comets, which were obtained after pre-incubation of PBM cells with extract and fractions isolated from *G. hederacea* at 50 µg/mL and followed by incubation with H₂O₂ at 25 µM. In microscopic slides obtained from the cells that were pre-incubated with the extract and fractions, comets with smaller tails are visible compared to comets obtained from cells incubated only with H₂O₂.



Figure 2. The effect of extract and three fractions (20%, 60% and 85%; concentration range: 1–50 µg/mL; incubation time: 30 min) on lipid peroxidation (**A**) and on protein carbonylation (**B**) in plasma treated with H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} . Negative control (control (–)) refers to plasma not treated with H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} , whereas positive control (control (+)) to plasma treated with H_2O_2/Fe^{2+} . The differences between control (–) and control (+) were statistically significant. Data represent means ± SD of 5 experiments; * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *p* > 0.05 (compared with positive control).



Figure 3. The effect of extract (**A**) and three fractions (20%, 60% and 85%; concentration range 1–50 µg/mL; pre-incubation time: 2 h) (**B**–**D**, respectively) on DNA damage in PBM cells treated with H₂O₂ at 25 µM for 15 min on ice. Data represent means \pm SEM of 3 experiments (from different donors). * *p* < 0.05, ** *p* < 0.01, *** *p* < 0.001 (compared with control (–)); # *p* < 0.05, ## *p* < 0.01, ### *p* < 0.001 (compared with control (+)).



Figure 4. Representative photos of comets obtained in the alkaline version of the comet assay after pre-incubation of PBM cells for 2 h with extract and fractions (20%, 60% and 85%) at 50 μ g/mL and incubation with 25 μ M H₂O₂ for 15 min on ice. 1. control (–); 2. DMSO; 3. control (+) (H₂O₂); 4. control (+) with DMSO; 5. fraction 20%; 6. fraction 60%; 7. fraction 85%; 8. extract; 9. fraction 20% + H₂O₂; 10. fraction 60% + H₂O₂; 11. fraction 85% + H₂O₂; 12. extract + H₂O₂.

In our study, cell viability was measured with the resazurin reduction assay, which is based on the ability of viable cells to reduce resazurin to fluorescent resorufin [17]. We

observed that the cell viability was decreased after 2 h incubation of PBM cells with extract and fractions isolated from *G. hederacea* up to 80% (Figure 5A). A decrease in cell viability at all used concentrations (1–50 µg/mL) was noted after incubation with the 20% and 85% fractions. The extract was the least cytotoxic because the decrease in viability of PBM cells was visible only after incubation with the two highest concentrations of 10 µg/mL (p < 0.01) and 50 µg/mL (p < 0.05) (Figure 5A). During the 24 h incubation of PBM cells, their viability was decreased to a maximum of about 65% (p < 0.001) in all used concentrations of extract and fractions isolated from *G. hederacea* (Figure 5B).



Figure 5. The effect of extract and fractions (20%, 60% and 85%; concentration range 1–50 µg/mL) on the viability of PBM cells. The cell viability of PBM cells was measured after 2 h (**A**) and 24 h (**B**) incubation with extract and fractions. Data represent means \pm SD of 3 experiments (from different donors). * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001 compared with control (–).

4. Discussion

Various studies examined the effect of different *G. hederacea* preparations on selected biological processes both in vivo and in vitro. For example, Wang et al. [1] observed the beneficial effects of daily *G. hederacea* extracts (saline and hot water extract) supplementation against cholestatic liver injury in Sprague–Dawley rats. These effects were associated with anti-fibrotic, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant activity. Recently, Xiao et al. [50] have used *G. hederacea* extract (Hitechol[®]), which contains saponins, essential oil, and phenolic compounds (chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, flavonoids, and tannins), and studied its effect on gallstone formation. Normal and C57BL/6 mice with or without cholesterol gallstone, which was mediated via its antioxidant properties. To evaluate its antioxidant potential, the activity of catalase and superoxide dismutase as well as the level of reduced glutathione were measured in plasma and prepared liver homogenate.

An important, novel aspect of our findings is that the tested extract and all tested fractions from aerial parts of *G. hederacea* protected human plasma proteins from damage induced by hydroxyl radicals. Moreover, the 85% fraction (a flavonoid fraction containing large quantities of apigenin) had the strongest antioxidant activity. On the other hand, all the obtained results suggest that the tested extract and three fractions did not influence in vitro coagulation in human plasma.

The bioavailability and toxicity of phenolic compounds is an important element in the evaluation of their biological activity. For example, the research of Chao et al. [4] indicates that a hot water extract of *G. hederacea* (12.5, 25, and 50 μ g/mL) ameliorates H₂O₂-mediated cytotoxicity and DNA damage, inhibits caspase-3 activity and apoptosis, stabilizes mito-chondrial transmembrane potential, and reduces ROS production in rat pheochromocytoma line 12 (PC12) cells. The authors reported that chlorogenic acid, rutin, rosmarinic acid, caffeic acid, ferulic acid, and genistein are the most abundant phytochemicals detected in the extract. In another in vitro model, the same authors [3] investigated the cytotoxic effects of ethyl acetate fraction extract of *G. hederacea* (200–400 µg/mL) on HepG2 cells. Rosmaric acid, caffeic acid, and ferulic acid were the most abundant phenolic compounds. The authors suggest that this extract can inhibit the proliferation of HepG2 cells through intracellular ROS-mediated apoptosis.

Grabowska et al. [5] have studied cytotoxic properties of water and ethanol extracts from dried aerial parts of G. hederacea (10–100 µg/mL) in vitro. Cytotoxicity analysis of the extracts included two colon cancer (Caco2, HT29) and two melanoma cell lines (HTB140, A375). In addition, studies were performed on hepatoma cells HepG2, revealing the phenotype of normal hepatocytes, and normal skin keratinocytes (HaCaT) were also included. The results indicate that the extracts are not toxic to normal human cells (measured by the MTT assay) and cancer cells. Moreover, the tested extracts had good antioxidant properties which were correlated with their chemical content. The water extracts showed significantly higher antioxidant activity compared to the ethanol extracts prepared by the same method. The HPLC method was applied to determine and compare the content of phenolic acids (rosmarinic, chlorogenic, protocatechuic) and flavonoids (rutin, isoquercetin) in the extracts. HPLC analysis indicated that among phenolic acids, rosmarinic acid was the main one, with its highest content (4.28-4.89 mg/g dry plant material) in water extracts prepared by the I/ME method (infusion combined with maceration). The level of this acid was significantly lower in ethanol extracts prepared by the same method (1.07-1.14 mg/g dry plant material). Similarly to rosmarinic acid, the highest levels of chlorogenic acid were found in the water extracts prepared by the I/ME method (3.41–3.70 mg/g of dry plant material). The highest content of rutin and isoquercetin was found in ethanol extracts prepared by the HRE method (heat reflux extraction) (0.84–0.99 and 0.82–0.96 mg/g of dry plant material, respectively) [5].

Recently, Kim et al. [51] have isolated different terpenoids from *G. hederacea*, which had various biological properties, including cytotoxic activity against selected human cancer cell lines such as malignant ovarian ascites (SK-OV-3) and skin melanoma (SK-MEL-2). Some of

these terpenoids exhibited inhibitory effects on NO production, a significant stimulating effect on nerve growth factor (NGF) secretion in C6 glioma cells, and a neurotrophic effect [51].

Another novel finding of our study is that the extract and three fractions isolated from aerial parts of G. hederacea can reduce DNA oxidative damage induced by hydrogen peroxide in PBM cells (Figure 3A–D). The 85% fraction had the greatest protective potential (Figure 3D). Phytochemical analysis showed that this fraction contains a high concentration of apigenin (26.17 \pm 1.44 mg/g), which might be responsible for its antioxidant properties (Table 3). Apigenin (4',5,7-trihydroxyflavone) is an important flavonoid abundant in many plants, including fruits and vegetables. Parsley, chamomile, celery, spinach, artichoke, and oregano are especially rich in apigenin. For example, dried parsley contains $45.035 \,\mu g/g$ of this compound [52]. Numerous in vitro and in vivo studies conducted over the last few years have shown many valuable properties of apigenin, including antioxidant, antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties. Flavonoids have strong antioxidant potential and regulate many cellular processes by scavenging ROS. Recent studies have shown that apigenin can effectively prevent cyclophosphamide hepatotoxicity by inhibiting inflammatory response, oxidative stress, and apoptosis [53]. The hepatoprotective potential of apigenin is associated with the upregulation of Nrf2/HO-1 signaling and enhancement of antioxidant defenses. A more detailed description of the mechanisms of antioxidant activity of apigenin can be found in a review by Kashyap et al. [54].

Another flavonoid that we have identified in aerial parts of *G. hederacea* is rutin. Rutin is particularly abundant in the 60% fraction (62.31 \pm 0.98 mg/g) (Table 3). The name rutin originates from *Ruta graveolens* L., which is a plant that is rich in rutin. Rutin has also been named rutoside, vitamin P, quercetin-3-O-rutinoside, and sophorin. The natural sources of rutin are fruits, medicinal herbs, and plants [55]. Numerous studies have indicated many pharmacological properties of rutin such as its antiprotozoal, antibacterial, antiinflammatory, antitumor, antiviral, antiallergic, vasoactive, cytoprotective, antispasmodic, hypolipidemic, antihypertensive, and antiplatelet properties. It has shown huge anticancer potential against a range of cancer cell lines including glioblastoma, breast cancer, lung adenocarcinoma, prostate cancer, cervical cancer, gastric cancer, leukemia, hepatocellular carcinoma, and colon cancer cell lines [55]. Many studies have also shown the protective properties of rutin in various types of cells as well as in vivo [56–58]. For example, it may protect endothelial dysfunction through inhibiting Nox4-responsive oxidative stress and ROS-sensitive NLRP3 signaling pathway under high glucose stress both in vivo and vitro [56]. It was also shown that pre-treatment with rutin ameliorated the toxic effect of t-BHP by modulating the basal level of glutathione, carbonyl, and thiol groups. It also protected erythrocytes against the t-BHP-induced oxidative stress as evidenced by the augmented activity of antioxidant enzymes such as catalase, dismutase and others. The qPCR analyses showed that t-BHP potently upregulates the *iNOS* and downregulates the Nrf2 expression, which was ameliorated with rutin treatment in a dose-dependent manner like silymarin [57].

The studies we carried out showed the presence of phenolic acids in the aerial parts of *G. hederacea*. Two of them, present mainly in the fraction 60%, are noteworthy. There are rosmarinic acid (101.52 \pm 5.76 mg/g) and chlorogenic acid (31.77 \pm 4.03 mg/g) (Table 2). Rosmarinic acid (O-caffeoyl-3,4-dihydroxyphenyl lactic acid) is a naturally occurring polyphenolic compound, which is abundantly distributed in herbs, such as rosemary, sweet basil and perilla [59]. It was shown that Cr-induced preneoplastic lesions on the liver and kidney tissues of rats were alleviated by rosmarinic acid through the upregulation of the Nrf2 pathway and its powerful antioxidant effects [60]. Moreover, rosmarinic acid and its derivatives can protect cells against H₂O₂-induced DNA damage and apoptosis [61] and UVB-induced DNA damage and oxidative stress in HaCaT keratinocytes [62].

5. Conclusions

This study provides information about the chemical content and biological activity of various preparations (crude extract and three fractions) from aerial parts of *G. hederacea*.

Our results indicate that the 85% fraction (rich in flavonoids, mostly apigenin) has especially potent activity and could be used as valuable source of antioxidants. However, the mechanism of their antioxidant properties remains unclear and requires further studies.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.O.; Methodology, N.S., M.K. and B.M.-S.; Formal analysis, N.S. and B.M.-S.; Investigation, N.S., M.K. and B.M.-S.; Writing—original draft, N.S., B.M.-S. and K.W.; Writing—review & editing, A.S. and B.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Committee for Research on Human Subjects of the University of Lodz (3/KBBN-UŁ/II/2016).

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Dariusz Jędrejek and Mariusz Kowalczyk (Department of Biochemistry and Crop Quality, Institute of Soil Science and Plant Cultivation, State Research Institute, Puławy) for UHPLC-MS analyses. The authors would also like to thank Michalina Serwa for her help in research on DNA damage and cell viability.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Wang, Y.Y.; Lin, S.Y.; Chen, W.Y.; Liao, S.L.; Wu, C.C.; Pan, P.H.; Chou, S.T.; Chen, C.J. *Glechoma hederacea* Extracts Attenuate Cholestatic Liver Injury in a Bile Duct-Ligated Rat Model. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* **2017**, *204*, 58–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Chou, S.-T.; Lin, T.-H.; Peng, H.-Y.; Chao, W.-W. Phytochemical Profile of Hot Water Extract of *Glechoma hederacea* and Its Antioxidant, and Anti-Inflammatory Activities. *Life Sci.* 2019, 231, 116519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Chao, W.W.; Liou, Y.J.; Ma, H.T.; Chen, Y.H.; Chou, S.-T. Phytochemical Composition and Bioactive Effects of Ethyl Acetate Fraction Extract (EAFE) of *Glechoma hederacea* L. *J. Food Biochem.* **2021**, *45*, e13815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chao, W.-W.; Chan, W.-C.; Ma, H.-T.; Chou, S.-T. Phenolic Acids and Flavonoids-Rich *Glechoma hederacea* L. (Lamiaceae) Water Extract against H₂O₂-Induced Apoptosis in PC12 Cells. *J. Food Biochem.* 2022, 46, e14032. [CrossRef]
- Grabowska, K.; Amanowicz, K.; Paśko, P.; Podolak, I.; Galanty, A. Optimization of the Extraction Procedure for the Phenolic-Rich Glechoma hederacea L. Herb and Evaluation of Its Cytotoxic and Antioxidant Potential. Plants 2022, 11, 2217. [CrossRef]
- 6. Nowak, P.; Olas, B.; Wachowicz, B. Stres Oksydacyjny w Przebiegu Hemostazy. *Postepy Biochem.* 2010, 56, 329–347.
- Iqbal, O. Different Classes of Anticoagulant Drugs in Clinical Use. Is There a Class Effect? J. Hematol. Thromboembolic Dis. 2015, 3, 197. [CrossRef]
- Olas, B. The Multifunctionality of Berries toward Blood Platelets and the Role of Berry Phenolics in Cardiovascular Disorders. *Platelets* 2017, 28, 540–549. [CrossRef]
- 9. Milovanovic, M.; Zivkovic, D.; Vucelic-Radovic, B. Antioxidant Effects of *Glechoma hederacea* as a Food Additive. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **2010**, *5*, 61–63. [CrossRef]
- 10. Manach, C.; Scalbert, A.; Morand, C.; Rémésy, C.; Jiménez, L. Polyphenols: Food Sources and Bioavailability. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2004**, *79*, 727–747. [CrossRef]
- 11. Rolnik, A.; Soluch, A.; Kowalska, I.; Olas, B. Antioxidant and Hemostatic Properties of Preparations from Asteraceae Family and Their Chemical Composition—Comparative Studies. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2021**, 142, 111982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Malinowska, J.; Kołodziejczyk-Czepas, J.; Moniuszko-Szajwaj, B.; Kowalska, I.; Oleszek, W.; Stochmal, A.; Olas, B. Phenolic Fractions from Trifolium Pallidum and Trifolium Scabrum Aerial Parts in Human Plasma Protect against Changes Induced by Hyperhomocysteinemia in Vitro. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2012**, *50*, 4023–4027. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sławińska, N.; Żuchowski, J.; Stochmal, A.; Olas, B. Extract from Sea Buckthorn Seeds—A Phytochemical, Antioxidant, and Hemostasis Study; Effect of Thermal Processing on Its Chemical Content and Biological Activity In Vitro. *Nutrients* 2023, 15, 686. [CrossRef]
- Kluska, M.; Juszczak, M.; Wysokiński, D.; Żuchowski, J.; Stochmal, A.; Woźniak, K. Kaempferol Derivatives Isolated from Lens Culinaris Medik. Reduce DNA Damage Induced by Etoposide in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells. *Toxicol. Res.* 2019, *8*, 896–907. [CrossRef]
- 15. Singh, N.P.; McCoy, M.T.; Tice, R.R.; Schneider, E.L. A Simple Technique for Quantitation of Low Levels of DNA Damage in Individual Cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* **1988**, *175*, 184–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Tokarz, P.; Piastowska-Ciesielska, A.; Kaarniranta, K.; Blasiak, J. All-Trans Retinoic Acid Modulates DNA Damage Response and the Expression of the VEGF-A and MKI67 Genes in ARPE-19 Cells Subjected to Oxidative Stress. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 898. [CrossRef]
- O'Brien, J.; Wilson, I.; Orton, T.; Pognan, F. Investigation of the Alamar Blue (Resazurin) Fluorescent Dye for the Assessment of Mammalian Cell Cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2000, 267, 5421–5426. [CrossRef]
- Garran, T.A.; Ji, R.; Chen, J.L.; Xie, D.; Guo, L.; Huang, L.Q.; Lai, C.J.S. Elucidation of Metabolite Isomers of Leonurus Japonicus and Leonurus Cardiaca Using Discriminating Metabolite Isomerism Strategy Based on Ultra-High Performance Liquid Chromatography Tandem Quadrupole Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. 2019, 1598, 141–153. [CrossRef]
- Moloto, M.R.; Phan, A.D.T.; Shai, J.L.; Sultanbawa, Y.; Sivakumar, D. Comparison of Phenolic Compounds, Carotenoids, Amino Acid Composition, In Vitro Antioxidant and Anti-Diabetic Activities in the Leaves of Seven Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) Cultivars. *Foods* 2020, *9*, 1285. [CrossRef]
- Krzyżanowska-Kowalczyk, J.; Pecio, Ł.; Mołdoch, J.; Ludwiczuk, A.; Kowalczyk, M. Novel Phenolic Constituents of *Pulmonaria* officinalis L. LC-MS/MS Comparison of Spring and Autumn Metabolite Profiles. *Molecules* 2018, 23, 2277. [CrossRef]
- Kammerer, D.; Claus, A.; Carle, R.; Schieber, A. Polyphenol Screening of Pomace from Red and White Grape Varieties (*Vitis vinifera* L.) by HPLC-DAD-MS/MS. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2004, 52, 4360–4367. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sánchez-Rabaneda, F.; Jáuregui, O.; Lamuela-Raventós, R.M.; Viladomat, F.; Bastida, J.; Codina, C. Qualitative Analysis of Phenolic Compounds in Apple Pomace Using Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Mass Spectrometry in Tandem Mode. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2004, 18, 553–563. [CrossRef]
- Quirantes-Piné, R.; Arráez-Román, D.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. Characterization of Phenolic and Other Polar Compounds in a Lemon Verbena Extract by Capillary Electrophoresis-Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry. J. Sep. Sci. 2010, 33, 2818–2827. [CrossRef]
- Tsugawa, H.; Nakabayashi, R.; Mori, T.; Yamada, Y.; Takahashi, M.; Rai, A.; Sugiyama, R.; Yamamoto, H.; Nakaya, T.; Yamazaki, M.; et al. A Cheminformatics Approach to Characterize Metabolomes in Stable-Isotope-Labeled Organisms. *Nat. Methods* 2019, 16, 295–298. [CrossRef]
- Gluchoff-Fiasson, K.; Fiasson, J.L.; Waton, H. Quercetin Glycosides from European Aquatic Ranunculus Species of Subgenus Batrachium. *Phytochemistry* 1997, 45, 1063–1067. [CrossRef]
- 26. Baggett, N.; Case, M.A.; Darby, P.R.; Gray, C.J. 7-β-d-Galactopyranosyloxycoumarin-4-Acetic Acid and Its Methyl Ester as Substrates for the β-d-Galactosidase of Escherichia Coli. *Carbohydr. Res.* **1990**, *197*, 295–301. [CrossRef]
- Raal, A.; Jaama, M.; Utt, M.; Püssa, T.; Žvikas, V.; Jakštas, V.; Koshovyi, O.; Nguyen, K.V.; Thi Nguyen, H. The Phytochemical Profile and Anticancer Activity of Anthemis Tinctoria and Angelica Sylvestris Used in Estonian Ethnomedicine. *Plants* 2022, 11, 994. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Astiti, M.A.; Jittmittraphap, A.; Leaungwutiwong, P.; Chutiwitoonchai, N.; Pripdeevech, P.; Mahidol, C.; Ruchirawat, S.; Kittakoop, P. LC-QTOF-MS/MS Based Molecular Networking Approach for the Isolation of α-Glucosidase Inhibitors and Virucidal Agents from *Coccinia grandis* (L.) Voigt. *Foods* 2021, 10, 3041. [CrossRef]
- Simirgiotis, M.J.; Caligari, P.D.S.; Schmeda-Hirschmann, G. Identification of Phenolic Compounds from the Fruits of the Mountain Papaya Vasconcellea Pubescens A. DC. Grown in Chile by Liquid Chromatography–UV Detection–Mass Spectrometry. *Food Chem.* 2009, 115, 775–784. [CrossRef]
- Rached, W.; Barros, L.; Ziani, B.E.C.; Bennaceur, M.; Calhelha, R.C.; Heleno, S.A.; Alves, M.J.; Marouf, A.; Ferreira, I.C.F.R. HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS Screening of Phytochemical Compounds and the Bioactive Properties of Different Plant Parts of *Zizyphus lotus* (L.) Desf. *Food Funct.* 2019, *10*, 5898–5909. [CrossRef]
- Karar, M.; Kuhnert, N. UPLC-ESI-Q-TOF-MS/MS Characterization of Phenolics from Crataegus Monogyna and Crataegus Laevigata (Hawthorn) Leaves, Fruits and Their Herbal Derived Drops (Crataegutt Tropfen). J. Chem. Biol. Ther. 2015, 1, 1000102. [CrossRef]
- 32. Li, C.; Zang, C.; Nie, Q.; Yang, B.; Zhang, B.; Duan, S. Simultaneous Determination of Seven Flavonoids, Two Phenolic Acids and Two Cholesterines in Tanreqing Injection by UHPLC-MS/MS. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2019, 163, 105–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- da Silva, C.M.S.; Habermann, G.; Marchi, M.R.R.; Zocolo, G.J. The Role of Matrix Effects on the Quantification of Abscisic Acid and Its Metabolites in the Leaves of *Bauhinia variegata* L. Using Liquid Chromatography Combined with Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.* 2012, 24, 223–232. [CrossRef]
- Kamata, K.; Seo, S.; Nakajima, J. Constituents from Leaves of *Apocynum venetum* L. J. Nat. Med. 2008, 62, 160–163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Barreca, D.; Gattuso, G.; Laganà, G.; Leuzzi, U.; Bellocco, E. C- and O-Glycosyl Flavonoids in Sanguinello and Tarocco Blood Orange (*Citrus sinensis* (L.) Osbeck) Juice: Identification and Influence on Antioxidant Properties and Acetylcholinesterase Activity. *Food Chem.* **2016**, 196, 619–627. [CrossRef]
- Porter, E.A.; van den Bos, A.A.; Kite, G.C.; Veitch, N.C.; Simmonds, M.S.J. Flavonol Glycosides Acylated with 3-Hydroxy-3-Methylglutaric Acid as Systematic Characters in Rosa. *Phytochemistry* 2012, *81*, 90–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kazuma, K.; Noda, N.; Suzuki, M. Malonylated Flavonol Glycosides from the Petals of Clitoria Ternatea. *Phytochemistry* 2003, 62, 229–237. [CrossRef]

- Zheleva-Dimitrova, D.; Simeonova, R.; Gevrenova, R.; Savov, Y.; Balabanova, V.; Nasar-Eddin, G.; Bardarov, K.; Danchev, N. In Vivo Toxicity Assessment of *Clinopodium vulgare* L. Water Extract Characterized by UHPLC-HRMS. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 2019, 134, 110841. [CrossRef]
- He, W.; Liu, X.; Xu, H.; Gong, Y.; Yuan, F.; Gao, Y. On-Line HPLC-ABTS Screening and HPLC-DAD-MS/MS Identification of Free Radical Scavengers in Gardenia (*Gardenia jasminoides* Ellis) Fruit Extracts. *Food Chem.* 2010, 123, 521–528. [CrossRef]
- 40. Nuengchamnong, N.; Krittasilp, K.; Ingkaninan, K. Characterisation of Phenolic Antioxidants in Aqueous Extract of Orthosiphon Grandiflorus Tea by LC-ESI-MS/MS Coupled to DPPH Assay. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *127*, *1287*–1293. [CrossRef]
- 41. Velamuri, R.; Sharma, Y.; Fagan, J.; Schaefer, J. Application of UHPLC-ESI-QTOF-MS in Phytochemical Profiling of Sage (*Salvia officinalis*) and Rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*). *Planta Med. Int. Open* **2020**, 07, e133–e144. [CrossRef]
- 42. Ding, G.; Li, B.; Han, Y.; Liu, A.; Zhang, J.; Peng, J.; Jiang, M.; Hou, Y.; Bai, G. A Rapid Integrated Bioactivity Evaluation System Based on Near-Infrared Spectroscopy for Quality Control of Flos Chrysanthemi. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 2016, 131, 391–399. [CrossRef]
- 43. Xie, G.; Xu, Q.; Li, R.; Shi, L.; Han, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Wu, G.; Qin, M. Chemical Profiles and Quality Evaluation of Buddleja Officinalis Flowers by HPLC-DAD and HPLC-Q-TOF-MS/MS. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2019**, *164*, 283–295. [CrossRef]
- 44. Kim, J.; Song, S.; Lee, I.; Kim, Y.; Yoo, I.; Ryoo, I.; Bae, K. Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Constituents from *Glechoma hederacea* Var. Longituba. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett* **2011**, *21*, 3483–3487. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zeng, G.; Xiao, H.; Liu, J.; Liang, X. Identification of Phenolic Constituents in Radix Salvia Miltiorrhizae by Liquid Chromatography/Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 2006, 20, 499–506. [CrossRef]
- 46. Sadhu, S.K.; Okuyama, E.; Fujimoto, H.; Ishibashi, M. Diterpenes from Leucas Aspera Inhibiting Prostaglandin-Induced Contractions. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2006**, *69*, 988–994. [CrossRef]
- 47. Aihaiti, K.; Li, J.; Yaermaimaiti, S.; Liu, L.; Xin, X.; Aisa, H.A. Non-Volatile Compounds of Hyssopus Cuspidatus Boriss and Their Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activities. *Food Chem.* **2022**, *374*, 131638. [CrossRef]
- Venuprasad, M.P.; Kumar Kandikattu, H.; Razack, S.; Khanum, F. Phytochemical Analysis of Ocimum Gratissimum by LC-ESI– MS/MS and Its Antioxidant and Anxiolytic Effects. S. Afr. J. Bot. 2014, 92, 151–158. [CrossRef]
- Farooq, M.U.; Mumtaz, M.W.; Mukhtar, H.; Rashid, U.; Akhtar, M.T.; Raza, S.A.; Nadeem, M. UHPLC-QTOF-MS/MS Based Phytochemical Characterization and Anti-Hyperglycemic Prospective of Hydro-Ethanolic Leaf Extract of Butea Monosperma. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 3530. [CrossRef]
- 50. Xiao, M.; Yang, M.; Ji, X.; Li, D.; Xie, Y.; Lyu, Y.; Zuo, Z. Protective Effect of *Glechoma hederacea* Extract against Gallstone Formation in Rodent Models. *BMC Complement. Med. Ther.* **2021**, *21*, 199. [CrossRef]
- 51. Kim, D.H.; Ham, S.L.; Khan, Z.; Kim, S.Y.; Choi, S.U.; Kim, C.S.; Lee, K.R. Terpenoids from *Glechoma hederacea* Var. Longituba and Their Biological Activities. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2022**, *18*, 555–566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Jang, J.Y.; Sung, B.; Kim, N.D. Role of Induced Programmed Cell Death in the Chemopreventive Potential of Apigenin. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2022**, *23*, 3757. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Al-Amarat, W.; Abukhalil, M.H.; Alruhaimi, R.S.; Alqhtani, H.A.; Aldawood, N.; Alfwuaires, M.A.; Althunibat, O.Y.; Aladaileh, S.H.; Algefare, A.I.; Alanezi, A.A.; et al. Upregulation of Nrf2/HO-1 Signaling and Attenuation of Oxidative Stress, Inflammation, and Cell Death Mediate the Protective Effect of Apigenin against Cyclophosphamide Hepatotoxicity. *Metabolites* 2022, 12, 648. [CrossRef]
- 54. Kashyap, P.; Shikha, D.; Thakur, M.; Aneja, A. Functionality of Apigenin as a Potent Antioxidant with Emphasis on Bioavailability, Metabolism, Action Mechanism and in Vitro and in Vivo Studies: A Review. *J. Food Biochem.* **2022**, *46*, e13950. [CrossRef]
- 55. Pandey, P.; Khan, F.; Qari, H.A.; Oves, M. Rutin (Bioflavonoid) as Cell Signaling Pathway Modulator: Prospects in Treatment and Chemoprevention. *Pharmaceuticals* **2021**, *14*, 1069. [CrossRef]
- 56. Wang, W.; Wu, Q.; Sui, Y.; Wang, Y.; Qiu, X. Rutin Protects Endothelial Dysfunction by Disturbing Nox4 and ROS-Sensitive NLRP3 Inflammasome. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2017**, *86*, 32–40. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Singh, S.; Singh, D.K.; Meena, A.; Dubey, V.; Masood, N.; Luqman, S. Rutin Protects T-butyl Hydroperoxide-Induced Oxidative Impairment via Modulating the Nrf2 and INOS Activity. *Phytomedicine* **2019**, *55*, 92–104. [CrossRef]
- 58. Wu, H.; Wang, Y.; Huang, J.; Li, Y.; Lin, Z.; Zhang, B. Rutin Ameliorates Gout via Reducing XOD Activity, Inhibiting ROS Production and NLRP3 Inflammasome Activation in Quail. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* **2023**, *158*, 114175. [CrossRef]
- 59. Petersen, M. Rosmarinic Acid. Phytochemistry 2003, 62, 121–125. [CrossRef]
- 60. Khalaf, A.A.; Hassanen, E.I.; Ibrahim, M.A.; Tohamy, A.F.; Aboseada, M.A.; Hassan, H.M.; Zaki, A.R. Rosmarinic Acid Attenuates Chromium-Induced Hepatic and Renal Oxidative Damage and DNA Damage in Rats. J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol. 2020, 34, e22579. [CrossRef]
- Gerogianni, P.S.; Chatziathanasiadou, M.V.; Diamantis, D.A.; Tzakos, A.G.; Galaris, D. Lipophilic Ester and Amide Derivatives of Rosmarinic Acid Protect Cells against H₂O₂-Induced DNA Damage and Apoptosis: The Potential Role of Intracellular Accumulation and Labile Iron Chelation. *Redox Biol.* 2018, 15, 548–556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Vostálová, J.; Zdarilová, A.; Svobodová, A. Prunella Vulgaris Extract and Rosmarinic Acid Prevent UVB-Induced DNA Damage and Oxidative Stress in HaCaT Keratinocytes. *Arch. Dermatol. Res.* **2010**, *302*, 171–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.