

Article



# Causality Investigation between Gut Microbiota, Derived Metabolites, and Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Bidirectional Mendelian Randomization Study

Weiheng Yan <sup>1,†</sup>, Miaomiao Jiang <sup>2,†</sup>, Wen Hu <sup>3</sup>, Xiaojun Zhan <sup>3</sup>, Yifan Liu <sup>3</sup>, Jiayi Zhou <sup>1</sup>, Jie Ji <sup>4</sup>, Shan Wang <sup>5,\*</sup> and Jun Tai <sup>3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Children's Hospital Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences & Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100020, China; yanwh@student.pumc.edu.cn (W.Y.); zjy20001005@163.com (J.Z.)
- <sup>2</sup> National Clinical Research Center for Mental Disorders (Peking University Sixth Hospital), NHC Key Laboratory of Mental Health (Peking University), Peking University Sixth Hospital, Peking University Institute of Mental Health, Beijing 100091, China; miao\_mj@hsc.pku.edu.cn
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Children's Hospital Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing 100020, China; huwen2211@126.com (W.H.); cnczhan81@163.com (X.Z.); shoinlin@126.com (Y.L.)
- <sup>4</sup> Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University, National Center for Children's Health, Beijing 100045, China; joyjee0819@163.com
- <sup>5</sup> Beijing Municipal Key Laboratory of Child Development and Nutriomics, Capital Institute of Pediatrics, Beijing 100020, China
- \* Correspondence: wsaquarius@sina.com (S.W.); trenttj@163.com (J.T.)
- These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: Various studies have highlighted the important associations between obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and gut microbiota and related metabolites. Nevertheless, the establishment of causal relationships between these associations remains to be determined. Multiple mendelian randomization (MR) analyses were performed to genetically predict the causative impact of 196 gut microbiota and 83 metabolites on OSA. Two-sample MR was used to assess the potential association, and causality was evaluated using inverse variance weighted (IVW), MR-Egger, and weighted median (WM) methods. Multivariable MR (MVMR) was employed to ascertain the causal independence between gut microbiota and the metabolites linked to OSA. Additionally, Cochran's Q test, the MR Egger intercept test and the MR Steiger test were used for the sensitivity analyses. The analysis of the 196 gut microbiota revealed that genus\_Ruminococcaceae (UCG009) ( $P_{IVW} = 0.010$ ) and genus\_Subdoligranulum (PIVW = 0.041) were associated with an increased risk of OSA onset. Conversely, Family\_Ruminococcaceae ( $P_{IVW} = 0.030$ ), genus\_Coprococcus2 ( $P_{WM} = 0.025$ ), genus\_Eggerthella (P<sub>IVW</sub> = 0.011), and genus\_Eubacterium (xylanophilum\_group) (P<sub>IVW</sub> = 0.001) were negatively related to the risk of OSA. Among the 83 metabolites evaluated, 3-dehydrocarnitine, epiandrosterone sulfate, and leucine were determined to be potential independent risk factors associated with OSA. Moreover, the reverse MR analysis demonstrated a suggestive association between OSA exposure and six microbiota taxa. This study offers compelling evidence regarding the potential beneficial or detrimental causative impact of the gut microbiota and its associated metabolites on OSA risk, thereby providing new insights into the mechanisms of gut microbiome-mediated OSA development.

**Keywords:** mendelian randomization; gut microbiota; obstructive sleep apnea; causal inference; inflammation; intestinal immunity

## 1. Introduction

Obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) is a commonly occurring sleep disorder distinguished by recurring instances of upper airway constriction or collapse during sleep, leading to intermittent hypoxia (IH) and disruptions in sleep patterns [1]. OSA can lead to a wide



Citation: Yan, W.; Jiang, M.; Hu, W.; Zhan, X.; Liu, Y.; Zhou, J.; Ji, J.; Wang, S.; Tai, J. Causality Investigation between Gut Microbiota, Derived Metabolites, and Obstructive Sleep Apnea: A Bidirectional Mendelian Randomization Study. *Nutrients* **2023**, *15*, 4544. https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu15214544

Academic Editors: Maria Luz Fernandez and Marie-Christine Simon

Received: 28 August 2023 Revised: 16 October 2023 Accepted: 24 October 2023 Published: 26 October 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). range of complications, including cognitive disorders, cardiovascular diseases, metabolic diseases, and other systemic conditions [2–4]. The onset age of OSA spans the entire lifespan, from infancy to middle and old age, imposing a significant medical burden [5,6]. The etiology of OSA is complex. Alterations in the craniofacial structure, enlarged tonsils, edema of the upper respiratory tract, reduced lung volume, and obesity are immediate causes of OSA [7,8]. However, the underlying etiologies of these immediate factors remain largely unknown.

The gut microbiome undergoes substantial modifications in both adolescent and adult patients diagnosed with OSA [9,10]. Several studies have identified a variety of significant imbalances in patients with OSA, including Clostridia, Ruminococcus, Faecalibacterium, and numerous bacteria that produce short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) [11–14]. Thus, new strategies involving prebiotics, probiotics, and SCFAs are expected to emerge for targeting OSA-mediated dysbiosis in the gut microbiome [15]. Previous studies have observed that OSA causes changes in a variety of serum metabolites, including porphyrins, glycerophospholipids (GPL), fatty acids, eicosanoids, and amino acids. These metabolites are mostly associated with oxidative stress [16]. Additionally, reduced acetate and butyrate levels were observed in individuals with OSA-associated hypertension. These disruptions in the SCFA metabolism are considered to be an integral part of the pathogenesis of OSA [17]. Hypoxia-induced oxidative stress may lead to metabolic changes in OSA patients. A study conducted in IH animal models of OSA have found that IH leads to reduced levels of metabolites, including pyruvate, citric acid, succinic acid, and acetoacetic acid, as well as increased levels of oxides such as trimethylamine oxide (TMAO) in urine [18]. Similarly, a study conducted in human subjects observed elevated levels of branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs) such as leucine, isoleucine, and valine [19]. It has been found that chronic IH regulates gut microbes (e.g., *Clostridium*, *Lactococcus*, and *Bifidobacterium*) and the abundance of important functional metabolites (such as free fatty acids and bile acids), thereby promoting the occurrence of lipid metabolism disorders [14]. Furthermore, supplementation with probiotics and key short-chain fatty acids effectively treats hypertension associated with IH [20]. However, the animal model for IH has limitations, as it cannot accurately simulate sleep fragmentation and hypercapnia caused by OSA [21]. Additionally, in most observational studies on OSA, the association between OSA and gut microbiota/metabolites is susceptible to confounding factors. These limitations of current research methods hinder the establishment of causal relationships between gut microbiota/metabolites, and OSA [9,12,22].

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a novel method for investigating the causal relationship between gut microbiota and OSA. In the MR analysis, genetic variants are used as proxies for prospective exposure factors through a succession of algorithms to assess the causal effect of exposure on outcomes [23]. MR analysis can maximally eliminate the interference of common environmental confounding factors, avoiding confusion regarding the chronological order of exposure and outcome. In this study, a bidirectional two-sample MR was used to determine the causal relationship between 196 gut microbiota groups, 83 types of microbiota-derived metabolites, and OSA risk. In addition, we aimed to provide new evidence and insights regarding the role of gut microbiota and derived metabolites in the etiology and pathological process of OSA from a genetic perspective.

## 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Study Design Overview and Data Sources

We first used two-sample MR to comprehensively assess the bidirectional causal relationship between the gut microbiota and its derived metabolites and OSA, as well as to screen potential microbiota and metabolites related to OSA. Subsequently, multivariate mendelian randomization (MVMR) analysis was employed to evaluate whether these potential microbiota and metabolites had an independent effect on the development of OSA. The outline of the methodology is represented in Figure 1.

All genome-wide association study (GWAS) data included in this study were limited to populations of European descent. The FinnGen project (DATA FREEZE 8, https://www.finngen.fi/en, accessed on 23 March 2023) was used to obtain the GWAS summary statistics for OSA. The FinnGen Project is a large genetic research program that aims to explore the relationship between genomic information and health characteristics in Finnish populations (Europeans). The R8 data include GWAS information and health characteristic records for 342,499 individuals. GWAS data for OSA were obtained by submitting a request to the investigators of the FinnGen study for approval. The dataset includes 33,423 patients with OSA and 307,648 control individuals [24]. Summary statistics for the gut microbiota were derived from the largest gut microbiota GWAS project; up to 18,340 individuals were included in this project [25].



**Figure 1.** The outline of the methodology. (**A**) The assumptions for MR analysis. (**B**) The flow of two-sample MR analysis [26]. (**C**) The flow of MVMR analysis. IVs must have a significant correlation with exposure factor (assumption 1). There was no direct correlation between IVs and outcome (assumption 2). There should be no correlation between IVs and potential confounding factors (assumption 3). Jiayi Zhou IVW, inverse-variance weighted; WM, weighted median; MR, Mendelian randomization; MR-PRESSO, MR pleiotropy residual sum and outlier; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; IVs, instrumental variables; MVMR, multivariate Mendelian randomization.

We included the largest collection of GWAS summary data on metabolomics in a European population to date [26]. This project comprised GWAS data for more than 400 metabolites from 7824 samples. We used the Human Metabolome Database (HMDB, https://hmdb.ca/, accessed on 10 May 2023, version 5.0) to screen for metabolites associated with the gut microbiota. The HMDB database contains metabolite information from different sources, such as blood, stool, and urine of human subjects [27]. We manually searched the database to extract all fecal metabolites (download link to list of metabolites: https://hmdb.ca/downloads, accessed on 10 May 2023). We then annotated the metabolites from the metabolomics project [26] based on fecal metabolites of HMDB. In total, 83 gut microbiota-derived metabolites were selected.

In order to mitigate potential gender bias, these three datasets used in our study were carefully selected to include individuals of both genders, thereby ensuring a balanced representation and minimizing the impact of gender-related confounding factors on our analysis.

## 2.2. Selection of IVs

As reported in a previous study, a genome-wide significance level ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) was used as the global IVs screening criterion to obtain sufficient IVs for subsequent analyses [28]. The 1000 Genomes European Sample Data were employed as the criteria to remove linkage disequilibrium. A minor allele frequency threshold of 0.3 was permitted for the palindromic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). Additionally, the clumping threshold was set to  $R^2 < 0.001$ , and the clumping window size was defined as 10,000 kb.

## 2.3. Two-Sample MR Analysis Methods

All analyses were performed using the R software (version 4.1.1). MR analyses were conducted using the TwoSampleMR package (version 0.5.6) [29]. The main analysis was conducted using the inverse variance weighted (IVW), MR-Egger, and weighted median (WM). At least one of the three main methods suggested a significant causal relationship. Additionally, simple and weighted-mode methods were employed as Supplementary Analytical Methods (see Additional File S1: Supplementary Methods). The direction of the MR analysis results (beta values) was consistent across all five methods.

## 2.4. MVMR Analysis Methods

To consider the potential for genetic confounding, traits such as obesity, sex, and smoking were examined in multivariable MR (MVMR) analysis. In simple terms, MVMR disentangles the direct influence of each risk factor on the outcome event by incorporating the genetic variation of each risk factor into the same model [30]. In our study, we constructed an MVMR analysis model by associating potentially associated microbiota/metabolites with each common OSA risk factor, to assess whether microbiota and metabolites are still directly associated with changes in OSA risk while taking these common risk factors into account. MVMR-Robust, MVMR-IVW, MVMR-Egger, MVMR-Median, and the Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) were used to determine the independent effects between exposure and outcome [31]. When at least one MVMR method provided significant evidence of causality, the causal relationship was considered robust (see Additional File S1: Supplementary Methods).

## 2.5. Pleiotropy and Sensitivity Analysis

Cochran's IVW Q statistics were used to calculate potential heterogeneity among the IVs [32]. Additionally, a "leave-one-out" evaluation was performed to identify potential heterogeneous SNPs by excluding each IV SNP. The intercept from the MR-Egger regression was employed to assess the horizontal pleiotropy of the IVs. The MR-pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) method was used to supplement the assessment of the pleiotropy of instrumental SNPs and to screen for possible outlier SNPs [33]. The MR-Steiger test was used to determine the probable direction of this relationship [34]. The Bonferroni correction was applied to adjust the p-values, with a significance threshold set at 0.00017 (0.05/279) to determine strong evidence of a causal association.

## 2.6. Enrichment Analysis of Microbiota-Derived Metabolites

The HMDB database was used for the classification and molecular annotation of metabolites [27]. The MetaboAnalyst (version 5.0) platform was employed to analyze and interpret the functions of the metabolites [35].

## 3. Results

Our research included 196 known taxa (Additional File S2: Table S1) and 83 microbiotaderived metabolites (Additional File S2: Table S2) after excluding undetermined microbiota taxa. The global F-value of the IVs ranged from 17.75 to 760.95, which helped to avoid the confounding bias associated with weak IVs. Details of all IVs included in this study are presented in the Supplementary Materials (Additional File S2: Table S3, Table S9, Table S15 and Table S21).

# 3.1. Causal Relationship between OSA and Gut Microbiota in Two-Sample MR Analysis

Fourteen microbial taxa were associated with OSA. Among them, seven microbiota taxa were associated with an increased risk of OSA, including *class\_Actinobacteria* (OR = 1.13,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0034$ ), *family\_Peptostreptococcaceae* (OR = 1.14,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0091$ ), *genus\_Ruminococcaceae\_UCG009* (OR = 1.09,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0097$ ), *genus\_Subdoligranulum* (OR = 1.10,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0406$ ), *genus\_Butyricimonas* (OR = 1.09,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0267$ ), *genus\_Clostridium* (innocuum group) (OR = 1.08,  $P_{WM} = 0.044$ ), and *genus\_Coprococcus3* (OR = 1.15,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0143$ ) (Figure 2).

desk         All (0) arr         1.40 (1.05 is 1.3)           Weighter median         13         0.027         0.127         0.0605         +         1.14 (1.05 is 1.3)           Bringer mode         13         0.028         0.128         0.0485         +         1.13 (1.04 is 1.3)           Bringer mode         13         0.043         0.128         0.149         +         1.16 (1.04 is 1.3)           Bringer mode         12         0.023         0.128         0.149         +         1.16 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode         12         0.029         0.124         0.049         +         1.16 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode         12         0.029         0.029         +         1.16 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode         11         0.026         0.049         +         1.00 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode         11         0.070         0.019         0.017         +         1.10 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode         11         0.017         0.018         0.027         +         1.10 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode         10         0.017         0.018         0.028         +         1.10 (1.04 is 1.3)           Provide mode <t< th=""><th>Exposure: Outcome</th><th>No. of SNPs</th><th>Pvalue</th><th>Beta</th><th>SE</th><th></th><th></th><th>OR (95% CI)</th></t<>	Exposure: Outcome	No. of SNPs	Pvalue	Beta	SE			OR (95% CI)
AF Lagrent130.2070.2020.2030.1020.0010.1020.101 <t< td=""><td>class Actinobacteria : OSA</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	class Actinobacteria : OSA							
Neglect model130.0270.1270.0260.0271.120.128	MR Egger	13	0.0249	0.3378	0.1302	-		1.40 (1.09 to 1.81)
Image and the second of the	Weighted median	13	0.0373	0.1267	0.0608	_	•	1.14 (1.01 to 1.28)
Brance mode130.1280.11460.11460.11460.11460.11460.11460.11460.11460.11460.11460.1160.128	Inverse variance weighted	13	0.0034	0.1265	0.0432	-	•	1.13 (1.04 to 1.24)
Indepindence100.100110100.020.1.03INTP Operational Control0.2000.1001.100.020.1.03INTE Operational Control0.2000.1000.1001.100.020.1.03INTE Operational Control0.2000.2000.2000.2000.200INTE Operational Control0.2000.2000.2000.2000.200INTE Operational Control0.2000.2000.2000.2000.2000.200INTE Operational Control0.2000.2000.2000.2000.2000.2000.200INTE Operational Control0.200	Simple mode	13	0.1432	0.1795	0.1146	-		1.20 (0.96 to 1.50)
Sheniy Perspectrophococcocco : 0.04	Weighted mode	13	0.231	0.1445	0.1146	+	·	1.16 (0.92 to 1.45)
Integra120.42700.14200.1591.150.0201.500.0200.150Weighter ande120.0200.1200.020	family Peptostreptococcaceae : OSA							
Weighter media         12         0.029	MR Egger	12	0.2478	0.1423	0.1159		•	1.15 (0.92 to 1.45)
nume sequence sequen	Weighted median	12	0.0139	0.1474	0.0599	_	-	1.16 (1.03 to 1.30)
Brues node         12         0.024         0.133         0.133         1.26 (1.01 to 1.30)           grues Miniscoccase UCOBY : 054         1         0.045 0.042         1.00 0.85 0.130           MR Egar         1         0.045 0.042         0.042         1.00 0.85 0.130           Weighted mode         1         0.045 0.042         0.042         1.00 0.85 0.130           Brues names weighted         1         0.040 0.042         0.042         1.00 0.85 0.130           Brues names weighted         1         0.040 0.0420         0.0420	Inverse variance weighted	12	0.0091	0.1284	0.0493	-	-	1.14 (1.03 to 1.25)
Name Anima Construction120.17280.1290.08730.1730.1300.08700.1000.080 <td>Simple mode</td> <td>12</td> <td>0.0624</td> <td>0.235</td> <td>0.1133</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td>1.26 (1.01 to 1.58)</td>	Simple mode	12	0.0624	0.235	0.1133	_		1.26 (1.01 to 1.58)
multication interaction of the section of the sectio	Weighted mode	12	0.1736	0.1233	0.0847	+	-	1.13 (0.96 to 1.34)
Mit Eggar       11       0.0464       0.0812       0.041	genus Ruminococcaceae UCG009 : OSA							
Weighted media         11         0.032         0.042         -         1.08 (1.00 to 1.18)           Simple made         11         0.122         0.185         0.0661         1.12 (0.68 to 1.29)           Weighted made         11         0.122         0.185         0.0661         1.12 (0.68 to 1.29)           Parte Staddingmatum:         0.50         0.1217         1.03 (0.68 to 1.39)         1.01 (0.06 to 1.39)           Weighted made         10         0.0170         0.0180         0.027         1.01 (0.06 to 1.39)           Weighted made         10         0.0379         0.0421         0.047         1.11 (0.02 to 1.39)           Simple made         10         0.0471         0.1180         0.103         -         1.07 (0.64 to 1.39)           Weighted made         13         0.027         0.048         0.039         -         1.07 (0.64 to 1.39)           Weighted made         13         0.027         0.044         0.039         -         1.06 (0.06 to 1.29)           Parter Scatter         13         0.027         0.041         0.037         -         1.06 (0.06 to 1.29)           Parter Scatter         13         0.027         0.045         0.057         1.16 (0.04 to 1.89)           Weighte	MR Egger	11	0.4964	0.0864	0.1219			1.09 (0.86 to 1.38)
Inverse variance weighted         11         0.007         0.008         ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Weighted median	11	0.0534	0.0812	0.042	-•	-	1.08 (1.00 to 1.18)
Simple mode         11         0.120         0.180         0.086         1.12 0.080 10.29)           Prof. School/grandum : 08.         0.087         0.080         0.087         1.18 0.081 10.19)           Weighed mode         10         0.087         0.080         0.087         1.18 0.081 10.19)           Weighed mode         10         0.087         0.083         0.087         1.01 0.080 10.13)           Simple mode         10         0.081         0.087         0.083         0.087         1.07 0.08 10.13)           Prof. Signer         13         0.081         0.081         1.07 0.08 10.13)         1.07 0.08 10.13)           Weighted mode         13         0.081         0.081         1.07 0.08 10.18)         1.07 0.08 10.18)           Weighted mode         13         0.080         0.089         0.089         0.089         1.06 0.08 10.20)           Prof. Signer         13         0.080         0.089         0.089         1.10 0.080 10.20)           Prof. Signer         13         0.090         0.090         0.090         1.10 0.091 10.20)           Prof. Signer         13         0.014         0.010         0.015         1.10 0.010 10.20)           Prof. Signer         13         0.0141	Inverse variance weighted	11	0.0097	0.0821	0.0318	-	-	1.09 (1.02 to 1.16)
Weighted mode prove Sacked James prove James 	Simple mode	11	0.1202	0.1165	0.0686		-	1.12 (0.98 to 1.29)
genus Society and a loss of	Weighted mode	11	0.1757	0.0996	0.0683	-		1.10 (0.97 to 1.26)
INT Eggar100.81830.2270.1271.1100.101Weighted media100.04600.06570.04671.1100.1011.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0121.1100.0120.0121.012 <td>genus Subdoligranulum : OSA</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	genus Subdoligranulum : OSA							
Weighed median         10         0.1172         0.104         0.0660         0.0673         0.0673         1.10 (0.100 to 1.20)           Brue mode         10         0.3789         0.0683         0.1012         1.112 (0.20 to 1.20)           Brue Buyrleinones : 05A         0.024         0.128         0.1012         1.12 (0.20 to 1.20)           Weighed median         13         0.6218         0.0687         0.33         1.07 (0.82 to 1.40)           Weighed median         13         0.628         0.0581         0.0887         1.08 (0.00 to 1.30)           Weighed median         13         0.501         0.6584         0.0885         1.08 (0.00 to 1.60)           Weighed median         6         0.4708         0.1400         0.182         1.15 (0.80 to 1.20)           Weighed median         6         0.4768         0.4769         0.325         1.16 (0.80 to 1.20)           Weighed median         6         0.4768         0.4780         0.325         1.16 (0.80 to 1.20)           Weighed median         8         0.678         0.324         1.11 (0.00 to 1.20)           Weighed median         8         0.678         0.574         1.11 (0.00 to 1.20)           Weighed median         8         0.678         0.	MR Egger	10	0.8193	0.0287	0.1217			1.03 (0.81 to 1.31)
Invest watarace weighted100.04070.04670.04670.0461100.000 to 1.20Simple mode100.2400.102	Weighted median	10	0.1172	0.104	0.0663	-		1.11 (0.97 to 1.26)
Binple mode         10         0.3788         0.0063         1.012         1.112         0.901.33           Weighted modes         13         0.284         0.183         0.007         0.133         1.12         0.92.83.1         0.007         0.12         0.007         0.007         0.007         0.001         0.007         0.001         0.007         0.001	Inverse variance weighted	10	0.0406	0.0957	0.0467	-	-	1.10 (1.00 to 1.21)
Weighted mode100.2440.102 <td>Simple mode</td> <td>10</td> <td>0.3798</td> <td>0.0963</td> <td>0.1043</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.10 (0.90 to 1.35)</td>	Simple mode	10	0.3798	0.0963	0.1043			1.10 (0.90 to 1.35)
genus Baryclinnoss : 05A         13         0.132         0.133         0.107 (0.83 to 1.047)           Winghad madian         13         0.028         0.054         0.081         0.077 (0.83 to 1.04)           Winghad madian         13         0.038         0.089         0.081         0.081 (0.99 to 1.18)           Winghad madian         13         0.030         0.085         0.069 (0.99 to 1.26)           Winghad madian         10         0.070 (0.98 to 1.06)         0.095 (0.98 to 1.26)           Winghad madian         6         0.070 (0.98 to 1.26)         0.075 (0.98 to 1.16)           Winghad madian         6         0.078 (0.95 (0.95 to 1.18)         0.076 (0.95 to 1.16)           Winghad madian         6         0.078 (0.95 (0.	Weighted mode	10	0.294	0.1128	0.1012		-	1.12 (0.92 to 1.37)
MA Eggar       13       0.6278       0.0687       0.051       0.070 02.0 f.0.01         Smople mode       13       0.0207       0.0844       0.031       0.0000 0.000 0.0000 0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	genus Butyricimonas : OSA							
Weighted median         13         0.089         0.061	MR Egger	13	0.6218	0.0687	0.1353			1.07 (0.82 to 1.40)
Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0267         0.0544         0.059         0.069         0.0	Weighted median	13	0.1829	0.068	0.051	÷	_	1.07 (0.97 to 1.18)
Binple mode         13         0.53         0.056	Inverse variance weighted	13	0.0267	0.0844	0.0381	-	-	1.09 (1.01 to 1.17)
Neghad mode         19         0.0004         0.0054	Simple mode	13	0.53	0.058	0.0897		_	1.06 (0.89 to 1.26)
genu         Closticidium innocuum group: Clost	Weighted mode	13	0.5001	0.0594	0.0855		_	1.06 (0.90 to 1.25)
MB Egger       0       0.044       0.762       0.047       0.045         Weighled median       6       0.046       0.053       0.057       1.06 (1.06 ln.19)         Simple mode       6       0.0965       0.053	genus Clostridium innocuum group : OSA							
Weighted median         8         0.048         0.073         0.037         +         1.08 (1.00 to 1.12)           Simple mode         8         0.0958         0.0963         +         1.01 (0.09 to 1.23)           Simple mode         6         0.0198         0.0963         +         1.11 (0.09 to 1.23)           Simple mode         6         0.0193         0.0263         +         1.12 (0.44 to 1.40)           Weighted median         8         0.0149         0.422         +         1.12 (0.45 to 1.55)           Simple mode         8         0.0149         0.423         0.051         1.11 (0.05 to 1.55)           Weighted mode         8         0.1614         0.162         0.193         +         1.21 (0.94 to 1.56)           Imverse variance weighted         10         0.0177         0.151         +         0.86 (0.56 to .57)           Imverse variance weighted         10         0.0227         -0.034 (0.152         +         0.94 (0.57 to .57)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0274         -0.052         +         0.84 (0.57 to .57)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0274         -0.052         +         0.84 (0.57 to .57)           Weighted modia	MR Egger	8	0.4708	0.1409	0.1832		•	1.15 (0.80 to 1.65)
Inverse variance weighted         8         0.148         0.0454         •         •         1.15 (0.058 to 1.23)           Weighted mode         6         0.068         0.0537         •         1.10 (0.98 to 1.23)           genus Coproceccus3 : OSA         •         1.15 (0.51 to 2.16)         •         1.15 (0.51 to 2.16)           Weighted mode         8         0.0149         0.382         •         1.15 (0.51 to 2.16)           Weighted mode         8         0.0149         0.382         0.0561         •         1.21 (0.94 to 1.50)           Inverse variance weighted         8         0.0149         0.124         •         1.21 (0.94 to 1.50)           Fmily Funitoeccaccacea : OSA         0.181 to 1.015         0.135         •         0.0143         0.143           Weighted mode         10         0.0127 - 0.1524         0.0643         •         0.036 (0.76 to 0.27)           Inverse variance weighted         10         0.0277 - 0.1758         0.0874         •         0.88 (0.76 to 0.27)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0274 - 0.180         •         0.88 (0.76 to 0.27)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0274 - 0.180         •         0.88 (0.76 to 0.27)           ME Egger	Weighted median	8	0.044	0.0763	0.0379		-	1.08 (1.00 to 1.16)
Simple mode         8         0.0589         0.0583          1.11 (1.0.0 to 1.2.8)           Weighted mode         8         0.0169         0.0678          1.11 (1.0.0 to 1.2.8)           Weighted media         8         0.0149         0.0251          1.11 (1.0.0 to 1.2.8)           Simple mode         8         0.0149         0.1855         0.0766          1.12 (1.0.4 to 1.2.16)           Inverse variance weighted         8         0.0143         0.1855         0.0766          1.12 (1.0.4 to 1.2.16)           Weighted mode         8         0.0143         0.1855         0.0766          1.21 (0.0.45 to 1.50)           Mile Egger         10         0.0177         0.154         0.0643          0.88 (0.68 to 1.0.3)           Simple mode         10         0.0277         -0.156         0.087          0.88 (0.68 to 1.0.3)           Weighted mode         13         0.0274         -0.150         0.082          0.88 (0.68 to 1.0.3)           Weighted media         13         0.0277         -0.084         0.109          0.88 (0.78 to 0.97)           Inverse variance weighted         3         0.0277	Inverse variance weighted	8	0.1495	0.0497	0.0345	-	-	1.05 (0.98 to 1.12)
Weighted mode       8       0.0169       0.0897       •       1.10       0.99 to 1.23)         ME Egger       8       0.078       0.1404       0.322       •       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.12       1.04       1.12       1.04       1.21       1.04       1.12       1.04       1.12       1.04       1.12       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       1.04       0.04       1.04       0.04 <t< td=""><td>Simple mode</td><td>8</td><td>0.0958</td><td>0.1084</td><td>0.0563</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>1.11 (1.00 to 1.24)</td></t<>	Simple mode	8	0.0958	0.1084	0.0563	-	-	1.11 (1.00 to 1.24)
genus         Corprocecuu3 : 0SA           MH Egger         8         0.0140         0.1865         0.0766         →→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→	Weighted mode	8	0.1089	0.0985	0.0537	-	-	1.10 (0.99 to 1.23)
MF Egger       8       0.0749       0.1404       0.322       →→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→→	genus Coprococcus3 : OSA							
Weighted median         8         0.0149         0.1865         0.0765	MR Egger	8	0.678	0.1404	0.322		• • • •	1.15 (0.61 to 2.16)
Inverse variance weighted         8         0.0143         0.1434         0.0581              •             •	Weighted median	8	0.0149	0.1865	0.0766	-		1.21 (1.04 to 1.40)
Simple mode       8       0.162       0.1939       0.124       ●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●●	Inverse variance weighted	8	0.0143	0.1423	0.0581	-	•	1.15 (1.03 to 1.29)
Weighted mode       8       0.1841       0.1841       0.135       1.21 (0.04 to 1.58)         MR Egger       10       0.3512       -0.1004       0.101       0.0803       0.080 (0.74 to 1.10)         Weighted modian       10       0.0177       -0.0969       0.043       0.080 (0.74 to 1.10)         Weighted mode       10       0.0227       -0.0969       0.043       0.080 (0.74 to 1.00)         Simple mode       10       0.0277       -0.049       0.043       0.080 (0.78 to 0.79)         Weighted mode       10       0.0277       -0.043       0.086       0.480 (0.78 to 0.79)         Weighted mode       13       0.0747       -0.1750       0.0870       -0.80 (0.78 to 1.01)         Inverse variance weighted       13       0.0244       -0.050	Simple mode	8	0.162	0.1939	0.124	+		1.21 (0.95 to 1.55)
timulip concenses: 05A           MH Egger         10         0.0177         -0.104         0.163         -         0.00 (0.74 to 1.10)           Weighted median         10         0.0177         -0.154         0.063         -         0.081 (0.83 to 0.97)           Inverse variance weighted         10         0.0227         -0.096         0.0453         -         0.081 (0.83 to 0.97)           Weighted mode         10         0.0277         -0.1510         0.0875         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.03)           Weighted mode         13         0.0377         -0.1550         0.0652         -         0.89 (0.78 to 0.91)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0277         -0.086         0.1059         -         0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Weighted modian         13         0.0274         -0.086         0.1059         -         0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Weighted modian         8         0.0223         -0.1470         0.682         -         0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Weighted modian         8         0.0223         -0.1470         0.685         -         0.80 (0.80 to 0.90)           Simple mode         8         0.0107         -0.080         0.80 (0.80 to 0.90)         0.80 (0.8	Weighted mode	8	0.1841	0.1915	0.13	+	- <b>-</b>	1.21 (0.94 to 1.56)
MB Egger       10       0.312       -0.104       0.1015       →       0.080 (0.74 to 1.10)         Inverse variance weighted       10       0.0227       -0.1542       0.0643       →       0.086 (0.76 to 0.97)         Inverse variance weighted       10       0.0227       -0.1581       0.0676       →       0.084 (0.71 to 1.00)         genus Anerotuncus : OSA       -       -       0.84 (0.71 to 1.00)       genus Anerotuncus : OSA       0.077       -0.0801       0.1282       →       0.74 (0.57 to 0.59)         Weighted median       13       0.0264       -0.155       0.0652       →       0.89 (0.78 to 1.01)         Inverse variance weighted       13       0.0244       -0.080       0.180       -       0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)         Simple mode       13       0.0247       -0.080       0.180       -       0.91 (0.75 to 1.11)         genus Coproceccus 2: OSA       -       -       0.91 (0.75 to 1.13)       0.92 (0.74 to 1.30)       0.92 (0.74 to 1.30)         Weighted median       6       0.023       -0.147 (0.080       -       0.91 (0.75 to 1.13)         Inverse variance weighted       8       0.017       -0.081       0.052       -       0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)         Weighted median       9<	family Ruminococcaceae : OSA							
Weighted median       10       0.0177       -0.154       0.0643       →       0.868 (0.75 to 0.87)         Simple mode       10       0.0277       -0.156       0.075       →       0.83 (0.68 to 1.03)         Weighted mode       10       0.0277       -0.175       0.0875       →       0.88 (0.76 to 0.87)         BE ggar       13       0.0377       -0.175       0.876       →       0.89 (0.76 to 1.01)         Inverse variance weighted       13       0.0244       -0.155       0.655       →       0.98 (0.76 to 1.01)         Inverse variance weighted       13       0.0244       -0.155       0.055       →       0.98 (0.76 to 1.01)         Weighted median       13       0.0244       -0.056       0.105       →       0.98 (0.76 to 1.01)         genus Egger Mode       13       0.0253       -0.1470       0.656       →       0.97 (0.50 to 2.49)         Weighted median       8       0.0175       -0.086       0.065       →       0.86 (0.50 to 2.49)         Inverse variance weighted       8       0.017       -0.147       0.066       →       0.86 (0.50 to 2.49)         Simple mode       8       0.019       -0.153       0.057       →       0.83 (0.68 to 1.01) </td <td>MR Egger</td> <td>10</td> <td>0.3512</td> <td>-0.1004</td> <td>0.1015</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>0.90 (0.74 to 1.10)</td>	MR Egger	10	0.3512	-0.1004	0.1015		-	0.90 (0.74 to 1.10)
Inverse valance weighted         10         0.0227         -0.056         -         0.0163         -         0.03 (0.68 to 1.03)           Weighted mode         10         0.0757         -0.158         0.067         -         0.03 (0.68 to 1.03)           Weighted mode         10         0.0757         -0.158         0.067         -         0.084 (0.71 to 1.00)           genus Anaerotuncus : OSA         -         -         0.084 (0.71 to 1.00)         -         0.087 (0.78 to 1.01)           Inverse valance weighted         13         0.0724         -0.155         0.0652         -         0.89 (0.78 to 1.01)           Simple mode         13         0.0217         -0.0881         0.1059         -         0.92 (0.74 to 1.31)           Weighted median         18         0.0213         -0.1476         0.0562         -         0.93 (0.67 to 0.59)           Simple mode         8         0.0213         -0.1476         0.0562         -         0.92 (0.74 to 1.31)           Weighted median         8         0.0213         -0.1476         0.0562         -         0.86 (0.76 to 0.59)           Inverse valance weighted         8         0.0717         -0.143         0.0657         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)	Weighted median	10	0.0177	-0.1524	0.0643			0.86 (0.76 to 0.97)
Simple mode         10         0.1227         -0.181         0.1063          0.83         0.68 bp 1.03)           Weighted mode         10         0.077         -0.1758         0.0876          0.84         0.71 bp 1.00)           genus Anserotuncus : 0SA          0.84         0.71 bp 1.00)          0.84         0.71 bp 1.00)           Weighted median         13         0.074         -0.155         0.0652          0.89         0.78 bp 1.01)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0244         -0.086         0.105          0.91 (0.75 bp 1.11)           genus Experience & 8         0.0073         -0.1416         0.5381          0.87 (0.30 tp 2.49)           Weighted modian         8         0.0253          0.86 (0.75 tp 0.51)          0.81 (0.75 tp 0.11)           genus Experience weighted         8         0.017         -0.018         0.0652          0.83 (0.65 tp 1.00)           Weighted modian         8         0.0274         -0.183         0.067          0.83 (0.65 tp 1.00)           Inverse variance weighted         8         0.017         -0.014          0.83 (0.65 tp 1.00) <td>Inverse variance weighted</td> <td>10</td> <td>0.0297</td> <td>-0.0996</td> <td>0.0458</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>0.91 (0.83 to 0.99)</td>	Inverse variance weighted	10	0.0297	-0.0996	0.0458	-		0.91 (0.83 to 0.99)
Weighted mode         10         0.0757         -0.1768         0.0876         →         0.04 (0.71 to 1.00)           Begger         13         0.0377         -0.0303         0.1288         →         0.74 (0.57 to 0.55)           Weighted median         13         0.0277         -0.155         0.0652         →         0.39 (0.78 to 0.59)           Imrese variance weighted         13         0.0224         -0.155         0.0679         →         0.92 (0.74 to 1.3)           Weighted median         13         0.0254         -0.0660         0.1059         →         0.92 (0.74 to 1.3)           Weighted median         8         0.0232         -0.1478         0.066         →         0.92 (0.74 to 1.3)           Simple mode         13         0.0243         -0.1475         0.066         →         0.92 (0.54 to 0.59)           Imrese variance weighted         6         0.0232         -0.1475         0.666         →         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Simple mode         8         0.0197         -0.183         0.0657         →         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted median         9         0.464         -0.0791         0.376         →         0.93 (0.87 to 0.89)           Simple mode <td< td=""><td>Simple mode</td><td>10</td><td>0.1227</td><td>-0.1811</td><td>0.1063</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.83 (0.68 to 1.03)</td></td<>	Simple mode	10	0.1227	-0.1811	0.1063			0.83 (0.68 to 1.03)
genus Anaerotrucus: SOA           MR Egger         13         0.077         -0.3943         0.185          0.74 (0.57 to 0.95)           Weighted median         13         0.0764         -0.1155         0.0652          0.89 (0.78 to 1.01)           Inverse variance weighted         13         0.0224         -0.0861         0.105          0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Weighted mode         13         0.0237         -0.0861         0.105          0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Penus Coprocecula: 20SA          0.81 (0.75 to 1.11)          0.87 (0.30 to 2.49)           Weighted median         8         0.023         -0.1416         0.532          0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Inverse variance weighted         8         0.071         -0.084         0.082          0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted median         9         0.044         -0.0183         0.0857          0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted median         9         0.0404         -0.072         0.0375          0.93 (0.68 to 1.00)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0417         -0.071         0.0375         -         0.93 (0.85 to 1.	Weighted mode	10	0.0757	-0.1758	0.0876			0.84 (0.71 to 1.00)
ME Egger       13       0.0377       -0.3043       0.1286	genus Anaerotruncus : OSA							
Weighted median         13         0.0764         -0.155         0.0652         -         0.88 (0.78 to 1.01)           Inverse valance weighted         13         0.0241         -0.155         0.015         -         0.91 (0.78 to 1.01)           Weighted mode         13         0.4217         -0.080         0.105         -         0.91 (0.75 to 1.13)           Weighted mode         13         0.4217         -0.081         0.53         -         0.91 (0.75 to 1.13)           Weighted modia         8         0.025         -0.1476         0.066         -         0.86 (0.76 to 0.99)           Weighted modia         8         0.0275         -0.185         0.0627         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.04)           Simple mode         8         0.017         -0.185         0.0627         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           genus Eggerthelia : OSA         -         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Inverse valance weighted         9         0.4167         -0.037         0.302         -         0.93 (0.68 to 1.00)           genus Eggerthelia : OSA         -         0.077 to 0.302         -         0.93 (0.68 to 1.00)         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)	MR Egger	13	0.0377	-0.3043	0.1288			0.74 (0.57 to 0.95)
Inverse valance weighted       13       0.024       -0.105       0.907       0.908 (0.82 to 0.99         Simple mode       13       0.025       -0.086       0.105       -0.092 (0.74 to 1.13)         Weighted mode       13       0.055       -0.086       0.105       -0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)         Brager       6       0.023       -0.1476       0.538       -0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)         Weighted modan       8       0.023       -0.1476       0.666       -0.88 (0.75 to 0.24)         Inverse valance weighted       8       0.017       -0.081       0.062       -0.92 (0.81 to 1.04)         Simple mode       8       0.019       -0.183       0.067       -0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)         Weighted median       9       0.404       -0.077       0.037       -       0.92 (0.81 to 1.04)         Inverse valance weighted       9       0.107       -0.071       0.0362       -       0.92 (0.85 to 1.00)         Inverse valance weighted       9       0.107       -0.077       0.0362       +       0.93 (0.87 to 0.89)         Simple mode       9       0.167       -0.043       0.657       +       0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)         genus Experisonace weighted       6       0.387       -0.040	Weighted median	13	0.0764	-0.1155	0.0652			0.89 (0.78 to 1.01)
Simple mode         13         0.4217         -0.081         0.1059         ●         0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Weighted mode         13         0.4217         -0.086         0.1059         ●         0.91 (0.75 to 1.11)           genus Coprococcus 2: OSA         0.0100         0.5381         ●         ●         0.91 (0.75 to 1.11)           Weighted modia         8         0.023         -0.1476         0.0581         ●         ●         0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Simple mode         8         0.023         -0.1477         0.056         ●         0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)           Simple mode         8         0.027         -0.183         0.0577         ●         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Simple mode         8         0.0197         -0.183         0.0577         ●         0.93 (0.68 to 1.00)           Genus Eggenthelia : OSA         0.1017         -0.0771         0.0370         ●         0.93 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted modia         9         0.1017         -0.083         0.0577         ●         0.93 (0.87 to 0.89)           Simple mode         9         0.1817         -0.084         0.0575         ●         0.93 (0.87 to 0.89)           Simple mode         6         0.3724	Inverse variance weighted	13	0.0264	-0.1052	0.0474			0.90 (0.82 to 0.99)
Weighted mode         13         0.854         -0.086         0.105         -         0.91 (0.75 to 1.11)           Perus Coprococcus2 : 0SA          0.810         -0.146         0.581         -         0.87 (0.30 to 2.49)           Weighted modan         8         0.023         -0.1478         0.066         -         0.086 (0.75 to 0.59)           Imrere variance weighted         8         0.071         -0.083         -         0.832         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted mode         8         0.097         -0.183         0.097         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted moda         9         0.404         -0.072         0.076         -         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted modian         9         0.404         -0.077         0.0302         -         0.93 (0.68 to 1.00)           Imverse variance weighted         9         0.107         -0.071         0.0302         -         0.93 (0.87 to 8.29)           Simple mode         9         0.167         -0.049         0.657         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus Experthelia : CSA         .         .         0.667         -         0.93 (0.77 to 1.23)           Weighted mode         0<	Simple mode	13	0.4217	-0.0881	0.1059		-	0.92 (0.74 to 1.13)
genus Coprocecuéz : OSA           MR Egger         8         0.013         -0.147         0.066         → 0.87 (0.30 to 2.49)           Weighted median         8         0.023         -0.147         0.066         → 0.86 (0.26 to 9.9)           Inverse variance weighted         8         0.0171         -0.086         0.0652         → 0.83 (0.69 to 1.09)           Simple mode         8         0.0197         -0.183         0.0957         → 0.83 (0.69 to 1.01)           genus EggerHeilta : OSA         -         -0.910 (0.69 to 1.18)         0.83 (0.69 to 1.01)           Weighted median         9         0.4107         -0.072         0.0376         → 0.910 (0.69 to 1.18)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.1017         -0.083         0.057         → 0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Genue Egger         9         0.1617         -0.043         0.57         → 0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus Einterorhabdus : OSA         -         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus Einterorhabdus : OSA         -         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genue Elevelend         6         0.057         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)         -         <	Weighted mode	13	0.3554	-0.0966	0.1005		-	0.91 (0.75 to 1.11)
ME Egger       6       0.013       -0.146       0.658       →       0.028       0.0216       0	genus Coprococcus2 : OSA							
Weighted median         8         0.0253         -0.1478         0.066          0.86 (0.75 to 0.89)           Inverse variance weighted         8         0.017         -0.084         0.065          0.82 (0.67 to 0.69)           Simple mode         8         0.097         -0.183         0.0957	MR Egger	8	0.8013	-0.1416	0.5381		<b>,</b>	0.87 (0.30 to 2.49)
Inverse variance weighted         8         0.1715         -0.082         0.022         -         0.28 (0.81 to 1.04)           Simple mode         8         0.097         -0.183         0.087         -         0.83 (0.88 to 1.01)           genus Eggerthelia : SGX         -         -         0.83 (0.88 to 1.01)         -         0.93 (0.88 to 1.01)           Weighted median         9         0.444         -0.0772         0.0376         -         0.93 (0.86 to 1.09)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.444         -0.0772         0.0376         -         0.93 (0.87 to 0.98)           Simple mode         9         0.1617         -0.083         0.0567         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Simple mode         9         0.1617         -0.083         0.0577         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Weighted mode         9         0.1617         -0.083         0.0577         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Weighted mode         0         0.1687         -0.0431         0.057         -         0.96 (0.77 to 1.23)           Weighted mode         0         0.0587         -         0.95 (0.58 to 1.07)         0.97 (0.85 to 1.09)           Simple mode         6         0.05	Weighted median	8	0.0253	-0.1478	0.066			0.86 (0.76 to 0.98)
Simple mode         8         0.0974         -0.183         0.0957          0.83         0.68         0.109         -0.185         0.101          0.83         0.68         1.01           genus Eggerhella : OSA           0.1014          0.83         0.68         to 1.01           Weighted median         9         0.4484         -0.0944         0.1359          0.83         0.68         to 1.01           Simple mode         9         0.4404         -0.0772         0.0376          0.93         0.86         to 0.89         0.68         to 0.97         0.0302         +         0.93         0.86         to 0.92         0.82         to 0.82	Inverse variance weighted	8	0.1715	-0.0864	0.0632	-++		0.92 (0.81 to 1.04)
Weighted mode         6         0.109         -0.158         0.1014         -0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.93         0.93         0.93         0.83         0.93         0.93         0.93         0.93         0.83         0.93	Simple mode	8	0.0974	-0.183	0.0957			0.83 (0.69 to 1.00)
genus Eggerheila : 0SA           MR Egger         9         0.484         -0.094         0.187           Weighted median         9         0.0404         -0.0772         0.037         -         0.91 (0.68 to 1.8)           Weighted median         9         0.0404         -0.0771         0.0302         -         0.93 (0.68 to 1.9)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.1017         -0.071         0.0302         -         0.93 (0.86 to 1.9)           Simple mode         9         0.1017         -0.083         0.0567         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus Enterorhabdus : OSA         -         -         0.0810         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus Enterorhabdus : OSA         -         -         0.0817         -         0.93 (0.85 to 1.07)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0827         -0.043         0.045         -         0.97 (0.85 to 1.19)           Simple mode         6         0.611         -0.034         0.066         -         0.97 (0.85 to 1.19)           Simple mode         6         0.611         -0.034         0.066         -         0.97 (0.85 to 1.19)           Weighted median         9         0.0656 <td< td=""><td>Weighted mode</td><td>8</td><td>0.1109</td><td>-0.185</td><td>0.1014</td><td></td><td></td><td>0.83 (0.68 to 1.01)</td></td<>	Weighted mode	8	0.1109	-0.185	0.1014			0.83 (0.68 to 1.01)
MR Egger       9       0.4884       -0.0940       0.1359       ●       0.910 (0.681 to 1.18)         Weighted median       9       0.0407       -0.0771       0.0302       ●       0.930 (0.68 to 1.00)         Inverse variance weighted       9       0.0107       -0.0771       0.0302       ●       0.930 (0.68 to 1.00)         Weighted mode       9       0.1817       -0.083       0.0567       ●       0.920 (0.82 to 1.03)         genus Enterorhabdus : SDA       Weighted mode       0       0.0567       ●       0.980 (0.77 to 1.23)         Weighted median       6       0.0364       -0.0343       0.0657       ●       0.97 (0.82 to 1.03)         Simple mode       6       0.0364       -0.0343       0.067       ●       0.97 (0.82 to 1.03)         Simple mode       6       0.0364       -0.0343       0.067       ●       0.97 (0.82 to 1.07)         Inverse variance weighted       6       0.0411       -0.0343       0.067       ●       0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)         Weighted mode       9       0.0615       -0.183       ●       ●       0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)         Weighted modan       9       0.0615       -0.184       ●       ●       0.87 (0.80 to 0.07)	genus Eggerthella : OSA							
Weighted median         9         0.0404         -0.077         0.0376         -         0.037         0.038         0.456         0.039           Imrese variance weighted         9         0.0107         -0.077         0.0320         -         0.93         0.826         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.92         0.82         0.103           Weighted mode         9         0.167         -0.0843         0.057         -         0.98         0.77         0.123           Weighted median         6         0.356         -0.027         0.1189         -         0.98         0.77         0.123           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0427         -0.043         0.045         -         0.97         0.828         0.139           Simple mode         6         0.7137         -0.034         0.066         -         0.97         0.828         0.149           genus Etubacterium xylanophilum group : OSA         -         -         0.97         0.828         0.491         -         0.82         0.617         0.828<	MR Egger	9	0.4884	-0.0994	0.1359		-	0.91 (0.69 to 1.18)
Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0107         -0.071         0.0302         →         0.038 (0.87 to 0.89)           Simple mode         9         0.1617         -0.063         0.0557         →         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus         Enterorthabdus : OSA         -         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Weighted modia         6         0.887         -0.087         0.0757         →         0.95 (0.77 to 1.23)           Weighted modian         6         0.0872         -0.049         0.0857         →         0.95 (0.57 to 1.23)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0814         -0.043         0.065         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted modia         6         0.0872         -0.0490         0.055         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0411         -0.0340         0.065         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted modia         9         0.0556         -0.9620         0.151         0.58         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.69)           Weighted modia         9         0.0556         -0.152         0.058         →         0.86 (0.77 to 0.59)           Weighted modia </td <td>Weighted median</td> <td>9</td> <td>0.0404</td> <td>-0.0772</td> <td>0.0376</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>0.93 (0.86 to 1.00)</td>	Weighted median	9	0.0404	-0.0772	0.0376	-		0.93 (0.86 to 1.00)
Simple mode         9         0.1817         − 0.083         0.0567         →         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Weighted mode         9         0.1867         −0.083         0.0567         →         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           genus Enterorhabdus : OSA          →         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)         →         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           Weighted modia         6         0.0857         →         0.96 (0.77 to 1.23)           Weighted modia         6         0.0374         -0.034         0.0675         →         0.96 (0.83 to 0.99)           Simple mode         6         0.7137         -0.034         0.067         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted mode         6         0.7137         -0.034         0.066         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted mode         6         0.7137         -0.034         0.067         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted modia         9         0.0556         -0.282         0.1301         →         0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0617         -0.140         0.0435         →         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted modia         9         0.0617         -0.170         <	Inverse variance weighted	9	0.0107	-0.0771	0.0302	+		0.93 (0.87 to 0.98)
weighted mode         9         0.1687         0.0557         →         0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)           MR Egger         6         0.858         -0.022         0.1189         0.956         0.958 (0.77 to 1.23)           Weighted modian         6         0.3872         -0.0491         0.055         →         0.98 (0.77 to 1.23)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.3872         -0.0491         0.055         →         0.98 (0.57 to 1.23)           Simple mode         6         0.3872         -0.0491         0.055         →         0.96 (0.85 to 1.01)           genus Eubacterium xylanophilum group : 054         →         0.067         →         0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)           genus Eubacterium xylanophilum group : 054         →         0.152         0.58         →         0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0041         -0.152         0.058         →         0.86 (0.77 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0614         -0.182         0.0879         →         0.83 (0.68 to 1.00)           Weighted mode         9         0.0614         -0.182         0.0879         →         0.82 (0.68 to 0.07)           genus Eubdemaina : CSA          0.	Simple mode	9	0.1817	-0.083	0.0567			0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)
genus Exheromadous : OSA         0.6227         0.1189         ●0.98 (0.77 to 1.23)           Weighted median         6         0.8372         -0.047         0.0575         ●         0.98 (0.77 to 1.23)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0384         -0.047         0.0575         ●         0.98 (0.77 to 1.23)           Simple mode         6         0.0384         -0.0943         0.045         ●         0.91 (0.83 to 0.99)           Simple mode         6         0.7137         -0.0343         0.066         ●         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted mode         6         0.0411         -0.034         0.0673         ●         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted mode         6         0.0517         -0.052         0.630         ●         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.056         -0.152         0.58         ●         0.74 (0.85 to 0.96)           Simple mode         9         0.0614         -0.182         0.839         ●         0.83 (0.65 to 1.05)           Jenus Holdemaria : OSA          ●         0.041         0.085         0.093         ●         0.83 (0.65 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14	weighted mode	э	0.1687	-0.0843	0.0557			0.92 (0.82 to 1.03)
Nm Egger         6         0.989         -0.022         0.1189         -0.098         0.077 to 1.23)           Weighted median         6         0.3872         -0.0497         0.0575          0.95 (0.85 to 1.07)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0374          0.98 (0.77 to 1.23)         0.986          0.97 (0.85 to 1.07)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.7117         -0.034         0.067          0.97 (0.85 to 1.07)           genus Eubacterium xylanophilum group : OSA           -0.97 (0.85 to 1.02)           Weighted median         9         0.005         -0.2982         0.1301          0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0013         -0.14         0.0435          0.87 (0.85 to 0.59)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0013         -0.1878         0.0879          0.83 (0.69 to 1.00)           Weighted median         9         0.0431         -0.1878         0.0879          0.82 (0.68 to 0.79)           genus Holdemaina : CSA           0.82 (0.68 to 0.79)          0.80 (0.67 to 0.96)           Weighted	genus Enterorhabdus : OSA		0.055		0.4455			0.00.00.77
weighted median         6         0.8472         -0.497         0.0575         →         0.956 (0.85 to 1.07)           Inverse variance weighted         6         0.0341         -0.0340         0.066         →         0.97 (0.85 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         6         0.7137         -0.0340         0.066         →         0.97 (0.85 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         6         0.611         -0.0340         0.066         →         0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)           Weighted mode         6         0.656         -         -         0.97 (0.85 to 1.01)           Weighted mode         9         0.0656         -         -         0.74 (0.58 to 0.95)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0613         -0.142         0.0435         →         0.86 (0.77 to 0.95)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0614         -0.182         0.0879         →         0.83 (0.85 to 1.07)           genus Elddemania : CSA          -         -         0.94 (0.85 to 1.02)         0.941         →         0.94 (0.85 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.0135         -0.0720         0.0431         →         0.94 (0.85 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted	MH Egger	0	0.858	-0.0227	0.1189	_	_	0.98 (0.77 to 1.23)
invese variance weighted         6         0.0394         -0.034         0.045         →         0.047 (0.83 to 0.99)           Simple mode         6         0.7137         -0.034         0.066         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted mode         6         0.7137         -0.034         0.067         →         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           genus Eubacterium xylanophilum group: OSA         -         0.97 (0.82 to 1.94)         -         0.97 (0.82 to 1.94)           MH Egger         9         0.0556         -0.292         0.1301         →         0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0617         -0.140         0.0435         →         0.83 (0.80 to 0.95)           Simple mode         9         0.0611         -0.143         0.0435         →         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           genus Holdemania : OSA         -         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           Weighted median         14         0.0135         -0.02245         0.0317         →         0.83 (0.87 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.070         0.031         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Simple mode         14	weighted median	6	0.3872	-0.0497	0.0575			0.95 (0.85 to 1.07)
simple mode         6         0.113 / -0.0334         0.066         −         0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)           Weighted mode         6         0.6411         −0.0344         0.067         −         0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)           genus Eubacterium xylanophilum group: OSA          −         0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)         0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)           Weighted median         9         0.0056         −0.2982         0.1301         −         0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0013         −0.14         0.0435         −         0.83 (0.67 to 0.96)           Weighted median         9         0.0014         −0.1878         0.0879         −         0.83 (0.66 to 1.00)           Weighted mode         9         0.0511         −0.1878         0.0879         −         0.82 (0.65 to 0.97)           genus Holdemania : OSA          −         0.83 (0.67 to 0.96)         0.845 to 0.77)         0.82 (0.65 to 0.77)           Weighted median         14         0.0135         −0.274 to 0.313         −         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         −0.0770         0.0779         −         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2	inverse variance weighted	0	0.0364	-0.0943	0.045	-		U.91 (U.83 to 0.99)
weighted mode         6         0.6411         -0.033         0.0673         -0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)           MB Egger         9         0.0556         -0.2982         0.1301          0.74 (0.85 to 1.0)           Weighted median         9         0.0556         -0.2982         0.1301          0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0613         -0.152         0.058          0.86 (0.77 to 0.96)           Simple mode         9         0.0614         -0.1870         0.0435          0.82 (0.68 to 0.09)           Weighted mode         9         0.0614         -0.1880         0.0879          0.82 (0.68 to 0.09)           genus         14         0.0305         -0.2245         0.0835          0.82 (0.68 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.0720         0.031          0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.0700         0.0709          0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0760         0.0657          0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	simple mode	6	0.7137	-0.0334	0.086	-	-	0.97 (0.82 to 1.14)
genus cupacterium xytanophilum group : 05A           MR Egger         9         0.055         -0.282         0.1801         -         0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)           Weighted median         9         0.0018         -0.152         0.058         -         0.86 (0.77 to 0.96)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0013         -0.14         0.0435         -         0.87 (0.80 to 0.55)           Simple mode         9         0.0641         -0.1878         0.0879         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           genus Holdemania : OSA         -         -         0.74 (0.58 to 0.96)         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           Weighted mode         9         0.061         -0.1878         0.0879         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           genus Holdemania : OSA         -         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)         -         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           Weighted median         14         0.0335         -0.272 to 0.037         -         0.83 (0.85 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.013         -0.0770         0.079         -         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0766         0.0657         -         0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)  <	Weighted mode	6	U.6411	-0.0334	U.0673			0.97 (0.85 to 1.10)
Imm Egger         9         0.0556         -0.2982         0.1301          0.74 (0.58 to 0.59)           Weighted median         9         0.0013         -0.152         0.058          0.86 (0.77 to 0.99)           Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0013         -0.14         0.0435          0.87 (0.69 to 1.69)           Weighted mode         9         0.0641         -0.187         0.0879          0.82 (0.69 to 1.00)           Weighted mode         9         0.0541         -0.188         0.0879          0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           genus Holdemania : OSA	genus Eubacterium xylanophilum group : C	SA	0.0551		0.465.			0.74 (0.50
weighted median         9         0.0088         -0.152         0.058	MH Egger	9	0.0556	-0.2982	0.1301			U.74 (0.58 to 0.96)
Inverse variance weighted         9         0.0013         -0.14         0.0435         ←         0.87 (0.80 to 0.95)           Simple mode         9         0.0641         -0.1878         0.0839         →         0.83 (0.69 to 0.09)           Weighted mode         9         0.061         -0.1878         0.0879         →         0.83 (0.69 to 0.07)           genus Holdemania : OSA          -0.0335         -0.2245         0.0935         →         0.80 (0.67 to 0.96)           Weighted median         14         0.015         -0.0721         0.0317         →         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.0720         0.0370         →         0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)           Weighted mode         14         0.015         -0.0709         →         0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0769         0.0709         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0766         0.0657         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	Weighted median	9	0.0088	-0.152	0.058			0.86 (0.77 to 0.96)
simple mode         9         0.0804         -0.1876         0.0839         →         0.83 (0.65 to 1.00)           Weighted mode         9         0.0511         -0.1838         0.0879         →         0.82 (0.65 to 0.07)           genus Holdemania : OSA          -         -         0.82 (0.65 to 0.97)         0.83 (0.67 to 0.96)           WR Egger         14         0.0305         -0.2245         0.0935         →         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.1315         -0.0720         0.0371         →         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Simple mode         14         0.015         -0.0720         0.0370         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0760         0.0679         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	Inverse variance weighted	9	0.0013	-0.14	0.0435	-		U.87 (0.80 to 0.95)
weighted mode         9         0.041         -0.198         0.0879         →         0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)           genus Holdemania : OSA         ME Egger         14         0.0335         -0.2245         0.0935         →         0.80 (0.67 to 0.96)           Weighted median         14         0.1034         -0.0571         →         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.0772         0.0317         →         0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)           Weighted mode         14         0.2544         -0.0790         0.0709         →→         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0766         0.0657         →         0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	Simple mode	9	0.0804	-0.1878	0.0939			U.83 (0.69 to 1.00)
genus modemania: :USA	weighted mode	э	0.0541	-0.1983	0.0879			0.82 (0.69 to 0.97)
MH tegger         14         0.0335         -0.226         0.0935         -0.80         0.67 to 0.96)           Weighted median         14         0.0130         -0.065 to 0.0431         -         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.0772         0.0317         -         0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)           Simple mode         14         0.3359         -0.0709         0.0709         -         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0766         0.0657         -         0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	genus Holdemania : OSA							
Weighted median         14         0.1304         -0.0651         0.0431         -         0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)           Inverse variance weighted         14         0.015         -0.0772         0.0317         +         0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)           Simple mode         14         0.0359         -0.0709         0.0709         -         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0766         0.0657	MH Egger	14	0.0335	-0.2245	0.0935			U.80 (0.67 to 0.96)
inverse vanance wegnted         14         0.015         −0.072         0.0317         ←         0.33 (0.87 to 0.99)           Simple mode         14         0.335         -0.0720         0.0370         −++         0.033 (0.81 to 1.05)           Weighted mode         14         0.264         -0.0766         0.0657         −++         0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	Weighted median	14	0.1304	-0.0651	0.0431	-		0.94 (0.86 to 1.02)
Simple mode         14         0.3359         -0.0709         0.0709         -+         0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)           Weighted mode         14         0.2644         -0.0766         0.0657          0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	Inverse variance weighted	14	0.015	-0.0772	0.0317	-		0.93 (0.87 to 0.99)
weighted mode 14 0.2644 -0.0766 0.0657 0.93 (0.81 to 1.05)	Simple mode	14	0.3359	-0.0709	0.0709			0.93 (0.81 to 1.07)
	weighted mode	14	0.2644	-0.0766	0.0657			บ.ช3 (0.81 to 1.05)

**Figure 2.** A forest plot of the potential causal relationship between gut microbiota and OSA. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; SE, standard error.

Decreased Risk Increased Risk

The results showed that seven microbiota taxa was associated with a decreased risk of OSA (Figure 2). The detailed results can be viewed in Additional File S2: Table S4. They were as follows: *family\_Ruminococcaceae* (OR = 0.91,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0297$ ), *genus\_Anaerotruncus* (OR = 0.90,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0264$ ), *genus\_Coprococcus2* (OR = 0.86,  $P_{WM} = 0.0253$ ), *genus\_Eggerthella* (OR = 0.93,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0107$ ), *genus\_Enterorhabdus* (OR = 0.91,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0364$ ), *genus\_Eubacterium* (*xylanophilum group*) (OR = 0.87,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0013$ ), and *genus\_Holdemania* (OR = 0.93,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0150$ ).

The reverse MR analysis was conducted to investigate the putative causal effects of OSA and all 196 microbiota taxonomies (Additional File S2: Table S10). The results showed a nominally causal effect OSA on *genus\_Ruminococcaceae* (*UCG004*) (beta = 0.08,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0331$ ), *family\_Family\_XI* (beta = 0.24,  $P_{WM} = 0.0429$ ), *genus\_Actinomyces* (beta = -0.09,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0402$ ), *genus\_Collinsella* (beta = -0.27,  $P_{Egger} = 0.0278$ ), *genus\_Desulfovibrio* (beta = -0.11,  $P_{WM} = 0.0417$ ), and *genus\_Slackia* (beta = -0.11,  $P_{IVW} = 0.0194$ ) (Figure 3).

Exposure: Outcome	No. of SNPs	Pvalue	Beta	SE		Beta (95% CI)				
OSA : genus Ruminococcaceae UCG004										
MR Egger	79	0.4047	0.1189	0.1419	<b>_</b>	0.12 (-0.16 to 0.40)				
Weighted median	79	0.2629	0.0619	0.0553	<b>-</b>	0.06 (-0.05 to 0.17)				
Inverse variance weighted	79	0.0331	0.0832	0.0391		0.08 (0.01 to 0.16)				
Simple mode	79	0.7024	0.0541	0.141		0.05 (-0.22 to 0.33)				
Weighted mode	79	0.9708	0.0049	0.1339		0.00 (-0.26 to 0.27)				
OSA : family Family XI										
MR Egger	56	0.0422	0.6912	0.3321		→ 0.69 (0.04 to 1.34)				
Weighted median	56	0.0429	0.2361	0.1166		0.24 (0.01 to 0.46)				
Inverse variance weighted	56	0.1021	0.1304	0.0798		0.13 (-0.03 to 0.29)				
Simple mode	56	0.139	0.3883	0.2586		0.39 (-0.12 to 0.90)				
Weighted mode	56	0.1451	0.3543	0.2397		0.35 (-0.12 to 0.82)				
OSA : genus Actinomyces										
MR Egger	79	0.3085	-0.1638	0.1598	<b>_</b>	-0.16 (-0.48 to 0.15)				
Weighted median	79	0.1379	-0.0963	0.0649		-0.10 (-0.22 to 0.03)				
Inverse variance weighted	79	0.0402	-0.0902	0.044		-0.09 (-0.18 to -0.00				
Simple mode	79	0.2304	-0.1834	0.1518	<b>_</b>	-0.18 (-0.48 to 0.11)				
Weighted mode	79	0.2021	-0.1643	0.1277	<b>_</b>	-0.16 (-0.41 to 0.09)				
OSA : genus Collinsella										
MR Egger	79	0.0278	-0.2707	0.1207	<b>-</b>	-0.27 (-0.51 to -0.03				
Weighted median	79	0.1168	-0.0755	0.0481		-0.08 (-0.17 to 0.02)				
Inverse variance weighted	79	0.2173	-0.0417	0.0338		-0.04 (-0.11 to 0.02)				
Simple mode	79	0.3855	-0.1071	0.1227	<b>-</b> _	-0.11 (-0.35 to 0.13)				
Weighted mode	79	0.2551	-0.12	0.1046		-0.12 (-0.33 to 0.09)				
OSA : genus Desulfovibrio										
MR Egger	79	0.3713	-0.1295	0.144		-0.13 (-0.41 to 0.15)				
Weighted median	79	0.0417	-0.1128	0.0554		-0.11 (-0.22 to -0.00)				
Inverse variance weighted	79	0.7533	-0.0125	0.0397	-	-0.01 (-0.09 to 0.07)				
Simple mode	79	0.2811	-0.1451	0.1337	<b>-</b>	-0.15 (-0.41 to 0.12)				
Weighted mode	79	0.1679	-0.1496	0.1074	<b>_</b>	-0.15 (-0.36 to 0.06)				
OSA : genus Slackia										
MR Egger	79	0.9967	$-7 \times 10^{-4}$	0.1767		-0.00 (-0.35 to 0.35)				
Weighted median	79	0.1296	-0.1061	0.07		-0.11 (-0.24 to 0.03)				
Inverse variance weighted	79	0.0194	-0.1141	0.0488		-0.11 (-0.21 to -0.02)				
Simple mode	79	0.3426	0.1454	0.1523		0.15 (-0.15 to 0.44)				
Weighted mode	79	0.2478	-0.1612	0.1384	<b>_</b>	-0.16 (-0.43 to 0.11)				
					-0.5 0 0.5	1				
					Decrease Increase	•				

**Figure 3.** A forest plot of the potential causal relationship between OSA and gut microbiota. CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; SE, standard error.

## 3.2. Causal Relationship between OSA and Gut Microbiota Metabolites in Two-Sample MR Analysis

The bidirectional causal relationships between the 83 metabolites and OSA were evaluated (Additional File S2: Table S16). Among them, betaine (OR = 0.60, P<sub>WM</sub> = 0.0191), gamma-glutamylvaline ( $\gamma$ -EV) (OR = 0.55, P<sub>WM</sub> = 0.0316), and kynurenine (OR = 0.56, P<sub>Egger</sub> = 0.0486) were found to have potential protective effects against OSA (Figure 4). In addition, 3-dehydrocarnitine, androsterone sulfate, butyrylcarnitine, epiandrosterone sulfate, leucine, and phenylalanylphenylalanine were potentially associated with an increased risk of OSA (OR > 1, p < 0.05).



**Figure 4.** Heatmap plot of the potential causal relationship between OSA and metabolite. For upper part, exposure: outcome (metabolite: OSA); for lower part, exposure: outcome (OSA: metabolite). Enrichment map of HMBD analysis for the metabolites. \* p < 0.05. OSA, obstructive sleep apnea; IVW, inverse-variance weighted.

The reverse MR analysis investigating suggestive association between exposure to OSA and three metabolites (Additional File S2: Table S22), including gamma-glutamylmethionine (beta = -0.12, P<sub>Egger</sub> = 0.0420), guanosine (beta = 0.06, P<sub>IVW</sub> = 0.0166), and succinylcarnitine (beta = 0.06, P<sub>Egger</sub> = 0.0364) (Figure 4).

Enrichment analysis revealed that the metabolites causally related to OSA were mainly associated with key metabolic pathways, including glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism; tryptophan metabolism; valine, leucine, and isoleucine biosynthesis; aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis; and purine metabolism in the KEGG pathway.

#### 3.3. Sensitivity Analysis

When assessing the causal relationship between betaine, leucine, and OSA, we observed heterogeneity among the IVs (IVW Cochrane Q test: *p*-value < 0.05); however, no horizontal pleiotropy were found. In addition, for the other potential causal associations, the Cochrane Q test showed no heterogeneity among the IVs, and the MR-Egger regression demonstrated no horizontal pleiotropy (Additional File S2: Tables S3–S26). The leave-one-out analysis and funnel plot confirmed the robustness of the MR results (Additional File S3: Supplementary Figures). The MR Steiger test provided further support for our conclusions regarding all 14 potential causal relationships between the gut microbiota and OSA (Additional File S2: Tables S3–S26).

## 3.4. MVMR Analysis for OSA and Gut Microbiota/Metabolites

MVMR analysis revealed that some gut microbiota and metabolites were associated with OSA (Figure 5). For example, when adjusted for obesity, *family\_Ruminococcaceae* (adjusted OR = 0.90,  $P_{\text{Robust}} = 0.0426$ ), *genus\_Coprococcus2* (adjusted OR = 0.86,  $P_{\text{LASSO}} = 0.0031$ ), *genus\_Eggerthella* (adjusted OR = 0.94,  $P_{\text{Robust}} = 0.0237$ ), and *genus\_Eubacterium* (*xylanophilum group*) (adjusted OR = 0.89,  $P_{\text{Robust}} = 0.0223$ ) demonstrated negative correlations with the occurrence of OSA. Even after adjusting for gender and smoke, these associations were also robust (Figure 5A and Additional File S2: Table S27). The *genus\_Ruminococcaceae* (*UCG009*) and *genus\_Subdoligranulum* were found to be associated with an increased risk of OSA.



**Figure 5.** MVMR associations of OSA with gut microbiota and metabolites. For each MVMR analysis, we added each genetic confounding separately. (**A**) The direct causality between gut microbiota and OSA. (**B**) The direct causality between metabolites and OSA. Lasso, least absolute selection and shrinkage operator; IVW, inverse-variance weighted; MVMR, multivariate Mendelian randomization; Median, weighted-median; OR, odds ratio; OSA, obstructive sleep apnea. \* p < 0.05.

Alternatively, when metabolites were used as exposures, 3-dehydrocarnitine, epiandrosterone sulfate, and leucine were found to be potentially independent risk factors for the development of OSA (Figure 5B and Additional File S2: Table S28). When adjusted for obesity, the associations were as follows: 3-dehydrocarnitine on OSA (adjusted OR = 1.40,  $P_{\text{Lasso}} = 0.0231$ ), epiandrosterone sulfate on OSA (adjusted OR = 1.19,  $P_{\text{Robust}} = 1.44 \times 10^{-5}$ ), and leucine on OSA (adjusted OR = 1.70,  $P_{\text{Robust}} = 0.009$ ). Even after adjusting for gender and smoking, these associations were also robust.

## 4. Discussion

This study is the first to assess the bidirectional causal relationship between 196 gut microbiota- and 83 microbiota-derived metabolites and OSA risk. The *genus\_Ruminococcaceae* (*UCG009*) and *genus\_Subdoligranulum* might be risk factors for OSA onset. Moreover, the levels of the *family\_Ruminococcaceae*, *genus-Coprococcus2*, *genus\_Eggerthella*, and *genus\_Eubacterium* (*xylanophilum group*) were negatively correlated with the risk of OSA. The MVMR analysis revealed that some metabolites (3-dehydrocarnitine, epiandrosterone sulfate, and leucine) were potential independent risk factors for OSA development. Our findings highlight the potential impact of disturbances in the gut microenvironment, alterations in derived

metabolites, and increased systemic pro-inflammatory responses on OSA. These findings provide important information regarding the potential gut-microbiome-related pathogenesis and targeted treatment strategies for OSA.

## 4.1. Amino Acid and Lipid Metabolism Disorders Associated with OSA

The study demonstrated that gut microbiota-derived metabolites, particularly leucine and 3-dehydrocarnitine, were associated with an increased risk of OSA, and this causal relationship remained robust even after adjusting for obesity, sex, and smoking. Notably, elevated leucine and isoleucine levels were observed in children diagnosed with OSA but were not associated with obesity [36], and circulating isoleucine levels were associated with the OSA wakefulness index and sleep fragmentation. Conversely, randomized controlled trials suggested a decrease in leucine levels in individuals with OSA treated with continuous positive airway pressure [37]. The presence of OSA is a risk factor for type 2 diabetes and significantly increases the risk of diabetic nephropathy [38]. Meta-analysis has shown that elevated leucine is associated with an increased risk of developing type 2 diabetes [39]. In addition, lipid metabolism disorders in OSA are closely associated with cardiovascular diseases and diabetes [40], and 3-dehydrocarnitine (a carnitine precursor) and butyrylcarnitine (a short-chain acylcarnitine) are involved in fatty acid metabolism [41]. Moreover, patients with severe sleep apnea showed a significant increase in the levels of free fatty acids throughout the sleep cycle compared to controls [42], and a plasma metabolomic study revealed that butyrylcarnitine levels were associated with steatosis [43]. Furthermore, a previous MR study reported an increased risk of polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) with genetically predicted levels of 3-dehydrocarnitine [44], and an observational study suggested that the prevalence of OSA was higher in patients with PCOS. That study also found that androgen metabolites, including epiandrosterone sulfate and androsterone sulfate, were related to OSA. Interestingly, increased free testosterone levels were found to be associated with a high risk of PCOS combined with OSA [45]. Microorganisms can metabolize carnitine to betaine, and betaine supplementation can enhance the antioxidant capacity and protect the central nervous system [46,47]. Notably, in the present study, betaine was associated with a reduced risk of OSA. Animal experiments have shown that transplantation of gut microbiota leads to changes in metabolism and sleep status [48]. Based on these findings, further exploration is needed to determine whether microbialtargeted modifications aimed at reducing leucine levels and supplement betaine may be an effective intervention for OSA.

## 4.2. Inflammation and OSA

OSA patients commonly experience IH and sleep disruption, which can lead to oxidative stress and inflammatory responses in the brain [49]. In this study, gammaglutamylmethionine ( $\gamma$ -EV) was found to have a potential protective effect against OSA. A previous study has shown that activation of the calcium-sensing receptor (CaSR) by  $\alpha$ -EVs has an anti-inflammatory effect on mouse adipocytes, resulting in maintaining intestinal homeostasis [50]. The fragmented sleep experienced by individuals with OSA can contribute to dysbiosis of the gut microbiota and disruption of intestinal homeostasis, consequently leading to the occurrence of gastrointestinal comorbidities such as gastroesophageal reflux disease [51]. The  $\gamma$ -Glutamyl peptide, which is present in beans, garlic, and onions, among other foods, is known for its potential as an anti-inflammatory peptide. This is attributed to its ability to activate CaSR. Furthermore, studies conducted in vitro have demonstrated the anti-inflammatory properties of  $\gamma$ -EV on gastrointestinal inflammation and vascular endothelial cells [52]. IH elicits sympathetic nervous system activation and provokes oxidative stress, thereby initiating a cascade of systemic inflammation [53]. Therefore, it is speculated that  $\gamma$ -EV can reduce the systemic multi-system damage caused by OSA through its anti-inflammatory effect. Moreover, a cohort study has demonstrated the negative correlation between  $\gamma$ -EV and chronic kidney disease through metabolomics [54]. Collectively,  $\gamma$ -EV may have health benefits through its anti-inflammatory and anti-obesity properties, consistent with the observations in the present study.

In addition, our study establishes a link between SCFA-producing bacteria and a reduced risk of OSA, such as *family\_Ruminococcaceae*. *Ruminococcaceae* is one of the primary bacteria responsible for producing SCFAs, and variations in its abundance can influence SCFA secretion [55,56]. SCFAs derived from *Ruminococcaceae* have been shown to reduce intestinal inflammation and promote stem cell differentiation and repair [57]. Hence, it is plausible that the protective effects of *Ruminococcaceae* against OSA are partially attributable to SCFA production. SCFAs, primarily acetate, propionic acid, and butyric acid, are the primary end products of human gut microbiota metabolism [58]. In OSA patients, both gut microbial diversity and the ratio of firmicutes to Bacteroides are reduced [59]. Moreover, CIH has been found to alter the distribution of intestinal microbiota in mice [14]. SCFAs are among the most important microbiota-derived metabolites. Several animal and clinical studies have found reduced SCFA levels and diminished abundance of SCFA-producing bacteria in individuals with OSA or IH animal models [12,60,61]. Notably, SCFAs, mainly acetate, can promote the differentiation and secretion of intestinal epithelial goblet cells [62], thereby improving the tight functional connection of intestinal epithelial cells, enhancing the intestinal epithelial immune defense barrier function [63,64] and synergistically inhibiting the formation of harmful bacteria and their metabolites [65]. Furthermore, acetate and butyrate exert anti-inflammatory effects by restoring the Th17/Treg imbalance [66]. In individuals with OSA, a decrease in SCFA-producing bacteria, an imbalance in effector helper T cells (Th cells), and an increase in the corresponding inflammatory cytokines were also found [17]. Therefore, SCFA supplementation and fecal microbiota transplantation are expected to become effective treatments for OSA [15]. As important SCFA producers, *Ruminococcaceae* is also associated with OSA comorbidities. *Ruminococcaceae* (UCG013) has been shown to promote obesity resistance in mice [67]. Ruminococcaceae (UCG010) was significantly reduced in the gut of hypertensive patients [68]. However, to date, no clinical trials have described the beneficial effects of probiotic supplementation on OSA prevention. As such, further studies are needed to evaluate whether probiotics and SCFAs are promising novel strategies against OSA-mediated dysbiosis.

#### 4.3. Intestinal Microenvironment Disorders and OSA

In the present study, several gut microbial taxa were identified as potentially protective against OSA, with a significant proportion belonging to the *family\_Ruminococcaceae*. *Ruminococcaceae* are of great value in maintaining intestinal homeostasis and the stability of the gut microbiota [69]. A study found a significant reduction in *Ruminococcaceae* abundance among patients with mild, moderate, and severe OSA (n = 113) [12]. These findings are consistent with our results, further supporting the potential protective effect of *Ruminococcaceae* abundance against OSA.

What is clearly known is that most bacteria in the *Ruminococcaceae* family are involved in bile acid (BA) metabolism. Recent studies have indicated that BA metabolism is closely linked to sleep. Specifically, BA metabolites influence sleep regulatory centers and circadian rhythms [70], affecting human sleep quality and health. Animal experiments conducted by Ferrell et al. [71] demonstrated that even short-term (no more than five days) circadian disruption substantially altered the expression of hepatic clock genes and BA metabolism. In addition, Kanemitsu et al. [72] found that specific BAs block the activation of circadian transcription factors and the nuclear receptor peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor y. However, there is no unified theory linking IH to BA regulation in humans or animals. It has only been observed in animal models that CIH disrupts BA metabolism [73]. In our study, we also identified the *genus\_Eubacterium* (*xylanophilum group*) and two genera (*Anaerotruncus* and *Coprococcus2*) belonging to *genus\_Ruminococcaceae* as protective factors against OSA. The *genus\_Eubacterium* is significantly associated with secondary BA synthesis [74]. Our study provides preliminary evidence supporting the possible protective role of *Ruminococcaceae* and BA metabolism in the pathogenesis of OSA. However, further larger-scale prospective studies are needed to reveal the possible role of the gut microbiota belonging to *Ruminococcaceae* in sleep-disordered breathing.

#### 4.4. Strengths and Weaknesses

This study has several strengths. First, this study utilized the largest and most recent GWAS data collection for OSA, comprising 33,423 patients and 307,648 controls. Second, we separately examined the causal relationships between the gut microbiota or metabolites and OSA and identified three microbe-derived metabolites that were associated with an increased risk of OSA for the first time. Third, this is the first MR study to evaluate the causal relationship between OSA and gut microbiota. It utilized various complementary MR methods and confounding bias correction for recognized risk factors for OSA. As a result, this study provides independent evidence of the association between gut microbiota and OSA risk.

However, this study had several limitations. First, the GWAS included in this study was based on European populations. Future research could include populations with diverse characteristics, which may increase the representation of the present findings across different populations. Second, the GWAS data for gut microbiota included in this study did not contain detailed species-level information. The analysis was only performed at the genus level but not at a more specialized level, such as species or strain levels. Future studies exploring the relationship between specific intestinal bacteria and OSA at the species level could provide more theoretical support for the involvement of the microbiome in the pathophysiological mechanisms of OSA.

# 4.5. Future Directions

There are several avenues for future research that can expand upon and further elucidate the scope of the relationship between gut microbiota and OSA. Tracking changes in gut microbiota composition and their association with OSA development and clinical outcomes would provide valuable insights into the dynamic nature of this relationship. Additionally, further mechanistic investigations are warranted to unravel the underlying mechanisms through which specific microbial taxa and their derived metabolites modulate the occurrence of sleep apnea events. Exploring the interactions between these microbial communities and key factors such as the immune system, inflammatory responses, and the gut–brain axis could shed light on the potential mechanisms driving OSA. Interventions targeting the gut microbiota, such as dietary modifications, probiotic supplementation, or fecal microbiota transplantation, could be explored to modify the composition and metabolite profiles of microbial communities, thus improving symptoms and sleep quality in OSA patients. These future research directions have the potential to deepen our understanding of the pathogenesis of OSA and offer more effective management and care for patients.

## 5. Conclusions

In this study, some metabolites, such as leucine and 3-dehydrocarnitine, increased the risk of OSA, while gamma-glutamylvaline and betaine had protective effects. And several SCFA-producing gut microbial taxa were identified as potentially protective against OSA, a significant portion of which belong to the *Ruminococcaceae* family. Through bidirectional MR and MVMR, we provide evidence that gut microbiota and the derived metabolites are causally associated with OSA. These causally associated gut microbiota and metabolites are associated with disturbances in the gut microenvironment, changes in derived metabolites, and an increase in systemic pro-inflammatory response.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/nu15214544/s1. Additional File S1: Supplementary methods and results; Additional File S2: Supplementary Tables S1–S28; Additional File S3: Supplementary Figures S1–S12. References [75–81] are cited in the Supplementary Materials.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization W.Y., M.J., W.H., X.Z., Y.L., J.Z. and J.J.; Visualization, W.Y. and M.J.; writing—original draft preparation, W.Y. and M.J.; writing—review and editing, all authors; supervision S.W. and J.T.; funding acquisition, J.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Capital's Funds for Health Improvement and Research, grant number 2022-2-1132, Beijing Hospitals Authority's Ascent Plan, grant number DFL20221102, National Natural Science foundation of China grant number 81970900 and 8227041206, and Public service development and reform pilot project of Beijing Medical Research Institute grant number BMR2021-3.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** All data used in this work are publicly available, and they were obtained from studies with the consent and ethical approval of the relevant participants. Therefore, this study did not require the ethical approval of an institutional review board.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors want to acknowledge all of the participants and investigators for contributing and sharing summary-level data on GWAS.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Levy, P.; Kohler, M.; McNicholas, W.T.; Barbe, F.; McEvoy, R.D.; Somers, V.K.; Lavie, L.; Pepin, J.L. Obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 2015, *1*, 15015. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Cowie, M.R.; Linz, D.; Redline, S.; Somers, V.K.; Simonds, A.K. Sleep Disordered Breathing and Cardiovascular Disease: JACC State-of-the-Art Review. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2021, 78, 608–624. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Ji, T.; Li, X.; Chen, J.; Ren, X.; Mei, L.; Qiu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Wang, S.; Xu, Z.; Li, H.; et al. Brain function in children with obstructive sleep apnea: A resting-state fMRI study. *Sleep* 2021, 44, zsab047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Borel, A.L. Sleep Apnea and Sleep Habits: Relationships with Metabolic Syndrome. Nutrients 2019, 11, 2628. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.; Celestin, J.; Lockey, R.F. Pediatric Sleep Apnea Syndrome: An Update. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. Pract. 2016, 4, 852–861. [CrossRef]
- 6. Morley, J.E.; Sanford, A.; Bourey, R. Sleep Apnea: A Geriatric Syndrome. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 2017, 18, 899–904. [CrossRef]
- Dempsey, J.A.; Veasey, S.C.; Morgan, B.J.; O'Donnell, C.P. Pathophysiology of sleep apnea. *Physiol. Rev.* 2010, 90, 47–112. [CrossRef]
- 8. Jordan, A.S.; McSharry, D.G.; Malhotra, A. Adult obstructive sleep apnoea. Lancet 2014, 383, 736–747. [CrossRef]
- 9. Cai, Y.; Juszczak, H.M.; Cope, E.K.; Goldberg, A.N. The microbiome in obstructive sleep apnea. Sleep 2021, 44, zsab061. [CrossRef]
- Liu, W.; Du, Q.; Zhang, H.; Han, D. The gut microbiome and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in children. *Sleep Med.* 2022, 100, 462–471. [CrossRef]
- Tripathi, A.; Melnik, A.V.; Xue, J.; Poulsen, O.; Meehan, M.J.; Humphrey, G.; Jiang, L.; Ackermann, G.; McDonald, D.; Zhou, D.; et al. Intermittent Hypoxia and Hypercapnia, a Hallmark of Obstructive Sleep Apnea, Alters the Gut Microbiome and Metabolome. *mSystems* 2018, 3, 10–1128. [CrossRef]
- Ko, C.Y.; Liu, Q.Q.; Su, H.Z.; Zhang, H.P.; Fan, J.M.; Yang, J.H.; Hu, A.K.; Liu, Y.Q.; Chou, D.; Zeng, Y.M. Gut microbiota in obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome: Disease-related dysbiosis and metabolic comorbidities. *Clin. Sci.* 2019, 133, 905–917. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Wu, J.; Lu, Y.; Cai, X.; Chen, Y.; Shen, Z.; Lyv, Q. Gut microbiota dysbiosis in 4- to 6-year-old children with obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **2022**, *57*, 2012–2022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, F.; Zou, J.; Xu, H.; Huang, W.; Zhang, X.; Wei, Z.; Li, X.; Liu, Y.; Zou, J.; Liu, F.; et al. Effects of Chronic Intermittent Hypoxia and Chronic Sleep Fragmentation on Gut Microbiome, Serum Metabolome, Liver and Adipose Tissue Morphology. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2022, *13*, 820939. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Badran, M.; Mashaqi, S.; Gozal, D. The gut microbiome as a target for adjuvant therapy in obstructive sleep apnea. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* **2020**, *24*, 1263–1282. [CrossRef]
- 16. Zhang, X.; Wang, S.; Xu, H.; Yi, H.; Guan, J.; Yin, S. Metabolomics and microbiome profiling as biomarkers in obstructive sleep apnoea: A comprehensive review. *Eur. Respir. Rev.* 2021, *30*, 200220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Zhang, L.; Ko, C.Y.; Zeng, Y.M. Immunoregulatory Effect of Short-Chain Fatty Acids from Gut Microbiota on Obstructive Sleep Apnea-Associated Hypertension. *Nat. Sci. Sleep* **2022**, *14*, 393–405. [CrossRef]
- Conotte, S.; Tassin, A.; Conotte, R.; Colet, J.M.; Zouaoui Boudjeltia, K.; Legrand, A. Metabonomic profiling of chronic intermittent hypoxia in a mouse model. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 2018, 256, 157–173. [CrossRef]
- 19. Xu, H.; Zheng, X.; Qian, Y.; Guan, J.; Yi, H.; Zou, J.; Wang, Y.; Meng, L.; Zhao, A.; Yin, S.; et al. Metabolomics Profiling for Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Simple Snorers. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 30958. [CrossRef]

- Ganesh, B.P.; Nelson, J.W.; Eskew, J.R.; Ganesan, A.; Ajami, N.J.; Petrosino, J.F.; Bryan, R.M., Jr.; Durgan, D.J. Prebiotics, Probiotics, and Acetate Supplementation Prevent Hypertension in a Model of Obstructive Sleep Apnea. *Hypertension* 2018, 72, 1141–1150. [CrossRef]
- 21. Toth, L.A.; Bhargava, P. Animal models of sleep disorders. Comp. Med. 2013, 63, 91–104.
- 22. Valentini, F.; Evangelisti, M.; Arpinelli, M.; Di Nardo, G.; Borro, M.; Simmaco, M.; Villa, M.P. Gut microbiota composition in children with obstructive sleep apnoea syndrome: A pilot study. *Sleep Med.* **2020**, *76*, 140–147. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Davies, N.M.; Holmes, M.V.; Davey Smith, G. Reading Mendelian randomisation studies: A guide, glossary, and checklist for clinicians. *BMJ* 2018, 362, k601. [CrossRef]
- Strausz, S.; Ruotsalainen, S.; Ollila, H.M.; Karjalainen, J.; Kiiskinen, T.; Reeve, M.; Kurki, M.; Mars, N.; Havulinna, A.S.; Luonsi, E.; et al. Genetic analysis of obstructive sleep apnoea discovers a strong association with cardiometabolic health. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2021, 57, 2003091. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kurilshikov, A.; Medina-Gomez, C.; Bacigalupe, R.; Radjabzadeh, D.; Wang, J.; Demirkan, A.; Le Roy, C.I.; Raygoza Garay, J.A.; Finnicum, C.T.; Liu, X.; et al. Large-scale association analyses identify host factors influencing human gut microbiome composition. *Nat. Genet.* 2021, *53*, 156–165. [CrossRef]
- Shin, S.Y.; Fauman, E.B.; Petersen, A.K.; Krumsiek, J.; Santos, R.; Huang, J.; Arnold, M.; Erte, I.; Forgetta, V.; Yang, T.P.; et al. An atlas of genetic influences on human blood metabolites. *Nat. Genet.* 2014, 46, 543–550. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Wishart, D.S.; Guo, A.; Oler, E.; Wang, F.; Anjum, A.; Peters, H.; Dizon, R.; Sayeeda, Z.; Tian, S.; Lee, B.L.; et al. HMDB 5.0: The Human Metabolome Database for 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2022**, *50*, D622–D631. [CrossRef]
- 28. Li, P.; Wang, H.; Guo, L.; Gou, X.; Chen, G.; Lin, D.; Fan, D.; Guo, X.; Liu, Z. Association between gut microbiota and preeclampsia-eclampsia: A two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *BMC Med.* **2022**, *20*, 443. [CrossRef]
- 29. Gu, X.; Dou, M.; Cao, B.; Jiang, Z.; Chen, Y. Peripheral level of CD33 and Alzheimer's disease: A bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Transl. Psychiatry* **2022**, *12*, 427. [CrossRef]
- 30. Burgess, S.; Thompson, S.G. Multivariable Mendelian randomization: The use of pleiotropic genetic variants to estimate causal effects. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **2015**, *181*, 251–260. [CrossRef]
- Grant, A.J.; Burgess, S. Pleiotropy robust methods for multivariable Mendelian randomization. *Stat. Med.* 2021, 40, 5813–5830. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Greco, M.F.; Minelli, C.; Sheehan, N.A.; Thompson, J.R. Detecting pleiotropy in Mendelian randomisation studies with summary data and a continuous outcome. *Stat. Med.* 2015, *34*, 2926–2940. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Verbanck, M.; Chen, C.Y.; Neale, B.; Do, R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat. Genet.* 2018, 50, 693–698. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hemani, G.; Tilling, K.; Davey Smith, G. Orienting the causal relationship between imprecisely measured traits using GWAS summary data. *PLoS Genet.* 2017, 13, e1007081. [CrossRef]
- Pang, Z.; Chong, J.; Zhou, G.; de Lima Morais, D.A.; Chang, L.; Barrette, M.; Gauthier, C.; Jacques, P.E.; Li, S.; Xia, J. MetaboAnalyst 5.0: Narrowing the gap between raw spectra and functional insights. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2021, 49, W388–W396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Martinez-Ceron, E.; Barquiel, B.; Bezos, A.M.; Casitas, R.; Galera, R.; Garcia-Benito, C.; Hernanz, A.; Alonso-Fernandez, A.; Garcia-Rio, F. Effect of Continuous Positive Airway Pressure on Glycemic Control in Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea and Type 2 Diabetes. A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2016, 194, 476–485. [CrossRef]
- Barcelo, A.; Morell-Garcia, D.; Salord, N.; Esquinas, C.; Perez, G.; Perez, A.; Monasterio, C.; Gasa, M.; Fortuna, A.M.; Montserrat, J.M.; et al. A randomized controlled trial: Branched-chain amino acid levels and glucose metabolism in patients with obesity and sleep apnea. J. Sleep Res. 2017, 26, 773–781. [CrossRef]
- 38. Strausz, S.; Havulinna, A.S.; Tuomi, T.; Bachour, A.; Groop, L.; Makitie, A.; Koskinen, S.; Salomaa, V.; Palotie, A.; Ripatti, S.; et al. Obstructive sleep apnoea and the risk for coronary heart disease and type 2 diabetes: A longitudinal population-based study in Finland. *BMJ Open* **2018**, *8*, e022752. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ramzan, I.; Ardavani, A.; Vanweert, F.; Mellett, A.; Atherton, P.J.; Idris, I. The Association between Circulating Branched Chain Amino Acids and the Temporal Risk of Developing Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review & Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* 2022, 14, 4411. [CrossRef]
- 40. Barcelo, A.; Bauca, J.M.; Pena-Zarza, J.A.; Morell-Garcia, D.; Yanez, A.; Perez, G.; Pierola, J.; Toledo, N.; de la Pena, M. Circulating branched-chain amino acids in children with obstructive sleep apnea. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **2017**, *52*, 1085–1091. [CrossRef]
- 41. Bremer, J. Carnitine—Metabolism and functions. Physiol. Rev. 1983, 63, 1420–1480. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Jun, J.C.; Drager, L.F.; Najjar, S.S.; Gottlieb, S.S.; Brown, C.D.; Smith, P.L.; Schwartz, A.R.; Polotsky, V.Y. Effects of sleep apnea on nocturnal free fatty acids in subjects with heart failure. *Sleep* 2011, *34*, 1207–1213. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 43. Kalhan, S.C.; Guo, L.; Edmison, J.; Dasarathy, S.; McCullough, A.J.; Hanson, R.W.; Milburn, M. Plasma metabolomic profile in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Metabolism* 2011, 60, 404–413. [CrossRef]
- Sun, S.; Jiao, M.; Han, C.; Zhang, Q.; Shi, W.; Shi, J.; Li, X. Causal Effects of Genetically Determined Metabolites on Risk of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: A Mendelian Randomization Study. *Front. Endocrinol.* 2020, 11, 621. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Tock, L.; Carneiro, G.; Togeiro, S.M.; Hachul, H.; Pereira, A.Z.; Tufik, S.; Zanella, M.T. Obstructive sleep apnea predisposes to nonalcoholic Fatty liver disease in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Endocr. Pract.* **2014**, *20*, 244–251. [CrossRef]

- Ahmed, H.; Leyrolle, Q.; Koistinen, V.; Karkkainen, O.; Laye, S.; Delzenne, N.; Hanhineva, K. Microbiota-derived metabolites as drivers of gut-brain communication. *Gut Microbes* 2022, 14, 2102878. [CrossRef]
- Zhong, C.; Lu, Z.; Che, B.; Qian, S.; Zheng, X.; Wang, A.; Bu, X.; Zhang, J.; Ju, Z.; Xu, T.; et al. Choline Pathway Nutrients and Metabolites and Cognitive Impairment After Acute Ischemic Stroke. *Stroke* 2021, *52*, 887–895. [CrossRef]
- 48. Badran, M.; Khalyfa, A.; Ericsson, A.; Gozal, D. Fecal microbiota transplantation from mice exposed to chronic intermittent hypoxia elicits sleep disturbances in naive mice. *Exp. Neurol.* **2020**, *334*, 113439. [CrossRef]
- Nair, D.; Zhang, S.X.; Ramesh, V.; Hakim, F.; Kaushal, N.; Wang, Y.; Gozal, D. Sleep fragmentation induces cognitive deficits via nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidase-dependent pathways in mouse. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2011, 184, 1305–1312. [CrossRef]
- Xing, L.; Zhang, H.; Majumder, K.; Zhang, W.; Mine, Y. gamma-Glutamylvaline Prevents Low-Grade Chronic Inflammation via Activation of a Calcium-Sensing Receptor Pathway in 3T3-L1Mouse Adipocytes. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2019, 67, 8361–8369. [CrossRef]
- 51. Gao, T.; Wang, Z.; Dong, Y.; Cao, J.; Chen, Y. Butyrate Ameliorates Insufficient Sleep-Induced Intestinal Mucosal Damage in Humans and Mice. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2023, *11*, e0200022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Guha, S.; Paul, C.; Alvarez, S.; Mine, Y.; Majumder, K. Dietary gamma-Glutamyl Valine Ameliorates TNF-alpha-Induced Vascular Inflammation via Endothelial Calcium-Sensing Receptors. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2020, 68, 9139–9149. [CrossRef]
- May, A.M.; Mehra, R. Obstructive sleep apnea: Role of intermittent hypoxia and inflammation. *Semin. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2014, 35, 531–544. [CrossRef]
- 54. Kim, H.; Yu, B.; Li, X.; Wong, K.E.; Boerwinkle, E.; Seidelmann, S.B.; Levey, A.S.; Rhee, E.P.; Coresh, J.; Rebholz, C.M. Serum metabolomic signatures of plant-based diets and incident chronic kidney disease. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2022**, *116*, 151–164. [CrossRef]
- Tremlett, H.; Zhu, F.; Arnold, D.; Bar-Or, A.; Bernstein, C.N.; Bonner, C.; Forbes, J.D.; Graham, M.; Hart, J.; Knox, N.C.; et al. The gut microbiota in pediatric multiple sclerosis and demyelinating syndromes. *Ann. Clin. Transl. Neurol.* 2021, *8*, 2252–2269. [CrossRef]
- Sun, W.; Du, D.; Fu, T.; Han, Y.; Li, P.; Ju, H. Alterations of the Gut Microbiota in Patients with Severe Chronic Heart Failure. Front. Microbiol. 2021, 12, 813289. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xie, J.; Li, L.F.; Dai, T.Y.; Qi, X.; Wang, Y.; Zheng, T.Z.; Gao, X.Y.; Zhang, Y.J.; Ai, Y.; Ma, L.; et al. Short-Chain Fatty Acids Produced by Ruminococcaceae Mediate alpha-Linolenic Acid Promote Intestinal Stem Cells Proliferation. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2022, 66, e2100408. [CrossRef]
- Martin-Gallausiaux, C.; Marinelli, L.; Blottiere, H.M.; Larraufie, P.; Lapaque, N. SCFA: Mechanisms and functional importance in the gut. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 2021, *80*, 37–49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Moreno-Indias, I.; Torres, M.; Sanchez-Alcoholado, L.; Cardona, F.; Almendros, I.; Gozal, D.; Montserrat, J.M.; Queipo-Ortuno, M.I.; Farre, R. Normoxic Recovery Mimicking Treatment of Sleep Apnea Does Not Reverse Intermittent Hypoxia-Induced Bacterial Dysbiosis and Low-Grade Endotoxemia in Mice. *Sleep* 2016, *39*, 1891–1897. [CrossRef]
- O'Connor, K.M.; Lucking, E.F.; Bastiaanssen, T.F.S.; Peterson, V.L.; Crispie, F.; Cotter, P.D.; Clarke, G.; Cryan, J.F.; O'Halloran, K.D. Prebiotic administration modulates gut microbiota and faecal short-chain fatty acid concentrations but does not prevent chronic intermittent hypoxia-induced apnoea and hypertension in adult rats. *EBioMedicine* 2020, *59*, 102968. [CrossRef]
- 61. Lu, D.; Xu, S.; Dai, P.; Wu, L.; Zhang, H.; Zhou, B. Gut microbiota in hypertensive patients with versus without obstructive sleep apnea. J. Clin. Hypertens. 2022, 24, 1598–1605. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wrzosek, L.; Miquel, S.; Noordine, M.L.; Bouet, S.; Joncquel Chevalier-Curt, M.; Robert, V.; Philippe, C.; Bridonneau, C.; Cherbuy, C.; Robbe-Masselot, C.; et al. Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron and Faecalibacterium prausnitzii influence the production of mucus glycans and the development of goblet cells in the colonic epithelium of a gnotobiotic model rodent. *BMC Biol.* 2013, *11*, 61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Raqib, R.; Sarker, P.; Bergman, P.; Ara, G.; Lindh, M.; Sack, D.A.; Nasirul Islam, K.M.; Gudmundsson, G.H.; Andersson, J.; Agerberth, B. Improved outcome in shigellosis associated with butyrate induction of an endogenous peptide antibiotic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 9178–9183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Raqib, R.; Sarker, P.; Mily, A.; Alam, N.H.; Arifuzzaman, A.S.; Rekha, R.S.; Andersson, J.; Gudmundsson, G.H.; Cravioto, A.; Agerberth, B. Efficacy of sodium butyrate adjunct therapy in shigellosis: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *BMC Infect. Dis.* **2012**, *12*, 111. [CrossRef]
- 65. Fukuda, S.; Toh, H.; Hase, K.; Oshima, K.; Nakanishi, Y.; Yoshimura, K.; Tobe, T.; Clarke, J.M.; Topping, D.L.; Suzuki, T.; et al. Bifidobacteria can protect from enteropathogenic infection through production of acetate. *Nature* **2011**, *469*, 543–547. [CrossRef]
- 66. Robles-Vera, I.; Toral, M.; de la Visitacion, N.; Sanchez, M.; Gomez-Guzman, M.; Romero, M.; Yang, T.; Izquierdo-Garcia, J.L.; Jimenez, R.; Ruiz-Cabello, J.; et al. Probiotics Prevent Dysbiosis and the Rise in Blood Pressure in Genetic Hypertension: Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 2020, 64, e1900616. [CrossRef]
- 67. Feng, J.; Ma, H.; Huang, Y.; Li, J.; Li, W. Ruminococcaceae\_UCG-013 Promotes Obesity Resistance in Mice. *Biomedicines* **2022**, 10, 3272. [CrossRef]
- Calderon-Perez, L.; Gosalbes, M.J.; Yuste, S.; Valls, R.M.; Pedret, A.; Llaurado, E.; Jimenez-Hernandez, N.; Artacho, A.; Pla-Paga, L.; Companys, J.; et al. Gut metagenomic and short chain fatty acids signature in hypertension: A cross-sectional study. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 6436. [CrossRef]

- 69. Michels, N.; Zouiouich, S.; Vanderbauwhede, B.; Vanacker, J.; Indave Ruiz, B.I.; Huybrechts, I. Human microbiome and metabolic health: An overview of systematic reviews. *Obes. Rev.* **2022**, *23*, e13409. [CrossRef]
- Yang, Y.; Zhang, J. Bile acid metabolism and circadian rhythms. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2020, 319, G549–G563. [CrossRef]
- Ferrell, J.M.; Chiang, J.Y. Short-term circadian disruption impairs bile acid and lipid homeostasis in mice. *Cell. Mol. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2015, 1, 664–677. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Kanemitsu, T.; Tsurudome, Y.; Kusunose, N.; Oda, M.; Matsunaga, N.; Koyanagi, S.; Ohdo, S. Periodic variation in bile acids controls circadian changes in uric acid via regulation of xanthine oxidase by the orphan nuclear receptor PPARalpha. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2017**, *292*, 21397–21406. [CrossRef]
- 73. Zhang, Y.; Luo, H.; Niu, Y.; Yang, X.; Li, Z.; Wang, K.; Bi, H.; Pang, X. Chronic intermittent hypoxia induces gut microbial dysbiosis and infers metabolic dysfunction in mice. *Sleep Med.* **2022**, *91*, 84–92. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Liu, Y.; Xu, J.; Ren, X.; Zhang, Y.; Ke, Z.; Zhou, J.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, Y. Cholecystectomy-induced secondary bile acids accumulation ameliorates colitis through inhibiting monocyte/macrophage recruitment. *Gut Microbes* **2022**, *14*, 2107387. [CrossRef]
- 75. Burgess, S.; Dudbridge, F.; Thompson, S.G. Combining information on multiple instrumental variables in Mendelian randomization: Comparison of allele score and summarized data methods. *Stat. Med.* **2016**, *35*, 1880–1906. [CrossRef]
- Bowden, J.; Davey Smith, G.; Burgess, S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: Effect estimation and bias detection through Egger regression. *Int. J. Epidemiol.* 2015, 44, 512–525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 77. Bowden, J.; Davey Smith, G.; Haycock, P.C.; Burgess, S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet. Epidemiol.* **2016**, *40*, 304–314. [CrossRef]
- Chen, W.; Cai, X.; Yan, H.; Pan, Y. Causal Effect of Obstructive Sleep Apnea on Atrial Fibrillation: A Mendelian Randomization Study. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 2021, 10, e022560. [CrossRef]
- 79. Manousaki, D.; Harroud, A.; Mitchell, R.E.; Ross, S.; Forgetta, V.; Timpson, N.J.; Smith, G.D.; Polychronakos, C.; Richards, J.C. Vitamin D levels and risk of type 1 diabetes: A Mendelian randomization study. *PLoS Med.* **2021**, *18*, e1003536.
- 80. Hemani, G.; Zheng, J.; Elsworth, B.; Wade, K.H.; Haberland, V.; Baird, D.; Laurin, C.; Burgess, S.; Bowden, J.; Langdon, R.; et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. *eLife* **2018**, *7*, e34408. [CrossRef]
- 81. Zheng, H.; Shi, Y.Z.; Liang, J.T.; Lu, L.L.; Chen, M. Modifiable factors for migraine prophylaxis: A mendelian randomization analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2023, 14, 1010996. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.