Supplementary file 1

Table S1. Composition of regular and fortified rice lunch meals served at readymade garment factories

	Servings per week × s	erving size (grams)	Average gr	ams per day	
	Regular lunch*	Fortified lunch	Regular lunch	Fortified lunch	
Rice*	375 g × 6 days	375 g × 6 days	375 g	375 g	
Lentil* †	150 g × 6 days	150 g × 6 days	150 g	150 g	
Meat/poultry/fish	48 g meat/poultry or 75 g fish × 3 days	90 g × 3 days minimum	29 g	45 g	
Egg	$50 \text{ g} \times 1.5$	90 g × 1 day	13 g	15 g	
Total animal source	-		42 g	60 g	
foods					
Green leafy vegetable	-	90g × 6 days	0 g	90 g	
Other vegetables	82 g (served with meat dish) or	75 g (served with meat dish) × 2 days and	54 g	50 g	
	135 g (no meat served) × 3 days	150 g (no meat served) × 3 days			
Total vegetables	~ 5 days	scrved, ~ 5 days	54 g	140 g	
Vegetable oil	$10 \text{ g} \times 6 \text{ days}$	$10 \text{ g} \times 6 \text{ days}$	10 g	10 g	
Iron/folate supplement	-	1	n/a	n/a	

^{*} Rice and lentils were provided in unlimited quantities to workers

[†] The thickness of the lentil was increased by doubling the content of lentils per volume of cooked lentil

Table S2. Comparison of the regular lunch menu and the fortified lunch menu according to the Dietary Guidelines for Bangladesh 2013 [1]*

Dictary Galdenness		B 2013		ır lunch menu	Fortified lunch menu		
	Recomn	nendation	J				
		[1]					
	Per day	Applied	Amount	Meets	Amount	Meets	
		to lunch	provided	recommendation	provided	recommendation	
		meal**					
Energy, kcal	2200	880	761	†	881	†	
Fat, % energy	15-30%	15-30%	19%	Yes	20%	Yes	
Protein, % energy	~10%	~10%	12%	Yes	15%	Yes	
Animal source	260	104	42	No	60	No	
foods, grams							
Fruits, grams	100	40	0	No	0	No	
Leafy vegetables,	≥ 100	≥ 40	0	No	90	Yes	
grams							
Other vegetables,	≥ 200	≥80	54	No	50	No	
grams							
Fruits &	≥ 400	≥ 160	54	No	140	No	
vegetables, grams							
Sugar, grams	≤ 20	≤8	0	Yes	0	Yes	

^{*1.} BIRDEM. Dietary Guidelines for Bangladesh; Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2013.

tAs rice and lentil were consumed without limit, the adequacy of energy provided by the menu could not be assessed. Kcal shown for regular and fortified menus used the estimated serving size of 375 grams cooked rice and 150 grams cooked lentil as shown in Table S1

^{**} Based on the assumption that the lunch meal would provide 40% of the total day's intake

Table S3. Estimated energy and nutrient content of regular and fortified lunch menu served in garment factories

Mean nutrient intake per day	Regular lunch	Fortified lunch + weekly iron/folate supplement *	Factory without lunch + twice weekly iron/folate supplement	without lunch lunch + twice weekly iron/folate		Factory without lunch + twice weekly iron/folate supplement	
	Average	e intake per lund	ch meal / per		% Recommendat	tion‡	
	-	day					
Energy, kcal	761	881	n/a	36	42	n/a	
Protein, g	22	33	n/a	12 **	15 **	n/a	
Fat, g	16	19	n/a	19 **	20 **	n/a	
Iron, mg	2.7	22.6	17	5/9†	38 / 77 †	29 / 58 †	
Zinc, mg	3.1	8.2	n/a	32	84	n/a	
Folate, μg	93	584	114	23	146	29	
Vitamin B12, μg	0.68	2.13	n/a	28	89	n/a	
Vitamin A, μg RAE	51	636	n/a	10	127	n/a	

^{*}Assumes Fortified lunch meal as summarized in Table S1, and substituting regular rice with multi-nutrient fortified rice and vitamin A-fortified vegetable oil, plus the daily equivalent of a once weekly iron/folate supplement (60 mg iron and 400 μ g folate per weekly dose). The additional amount of iron/folate derived from the twice-weekly supplement without lunch is also shown.

‡ Recommendations are the WHO/FAO Recommended Nutrient Intakes for micronutrients and recommendations for energy[2] and percent energy from protein and fat of the Dietary Guidelines for Bangladesh 2013[1]

† % RNI for iron shown for low / moderate bioavailability diets

Reference:

- 1. BIRDEM. Dietary Guidelines for Bangladesh; Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2013.
- 2. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; World Health Organization; United Nations University. Human energy requirements: Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation, Rome, Italy, 17-24 October 2001; FAO: Rome, 2004.

^{**}Expressed as recommended protein or fat content as % energy content

 Table S4. Sensitivity analysis for replacement respondents at lunch meal intervention factory

Indicators	Before replacement	After replacement	Overall	p- value
Respondents age, mean ± SD	27.1 ± 4.9	28.4 ± 5.3	27.8 ± 5.1	0.69
Wealth index, n(%)				
Lowest	90 (17)	93 (18.2)	183 (17.6)	
Second	99 (18.7)	91 (17.8)	190 (18.3)	
Middle	97 (18.3)	105 (20.6)	202 (19.4)	0.815
Fourth	110 (20.8)	103 (20.2)	213 (20.5)	
Highest	134 (25.3)	118 (23.1)	252 (24.2)	
Marital status, n (%)				
Married	426 (80.4)	422 (82.8)	848 (81.5)	
Unmarried	65 (12.3)	51 (10)	116 (11.2)	0.502
Divorced/ separated/widow	39 (7.4)	37 (7.3)	76 (7.3)	
Education, n (%)				
No	72 (13.6)	70 (13.7)	142 (13.7)	0.047
Yes	458 (86.4)	440 (86.3)	898 (86.4)	0.947
Place of residence, n (%)				
Rural	97 (18.3)	97 (19)	194 (18.7)	0.766
Urban	433 (81.7)	413 (81)	846 (81.4)	0.766
Religion, n(%)				
Islam	495 (93.4)	482 (94.5)	977 (93.9)	
Hindu	33 (6.2)	27 (5.3)	60 (5.8)	0.697
Christian	2 (0.4)	1 (0.2)	3 (0.3)	
Household ownership, n (%)				
Own house	54 (10.2)	56 (11)	110 (10.6)	0.670
Rented house/ shared	476 (89.8)	454 (89)	930 (89.4)	0.678
Working overtime, n (%)			•	
No	19 (3.6)	26 (5.1)	45 (4.3)	0.231
Yes	511 (96.4)	484 (94.9)	995 (95.7)	0.231

Continuous variables are presented by mean \pm SD and categorical variables are presented as the percentage of participants (%).

Table S5. DID analysis reporting both replacement and non-replacement participant results: Effect of intervention on anemia reduction (% of women with any anemia)

			Endline		- Unadjusted DID (E-B)	A diseased DID1			
Indicator	I	С	B=Diff (I-C)	I	С	E=Diff (I-C)	Unadjusted DID (E-B)	Adjusted DID ¹	
With replacement participants									
Lunch meal (A vs B)	60.7	33.2	27.5	36.9	41.2	-4.3	-31.8***	-32.4***	
Non meal (C vs D)	47.9	36.3	11.6	41.5	41.8	-0.3	-11.9**	-11.6**	
Nonreplacement participants	_								
Lunch meal (A vs B)	63.8	33.3	30.5	35.1	41.1	-6.0	-36.5***	-37.0***	
Non meal (C vs D)	48.3	35.9	12.4	39.4	39.8	-0.4	-12.8*	-12.0**	

Abbreviation: I: intervention; C: control. Indicator variable anemia changes are presented as the percentage points (%). Model 1: adjusted for marital status, asset index, household ownership, overtime work hours per month, baseline anemia difference and intracluster correlation (ICC); **** p < 0.001; ***p < 0.001.

Table S6. Changes of characteristics over the baseline to endline survey

_	Lunch meal						Non-meal					
Variables	Baseline	Endline		Baseline	Endline		Baseline	Endline		Baseline	Endline	
	I (n=326)	I (n=306)	р	C (n=328)	C (n=328)	- p	I (n=328)	I (n=328)	р	C (n=328)	C (n=328)	– р
Asset quintile, r	າ (%)											
Poorest	61 (18.7)	65 (21.2)		93 (28.4)	105 (32)		54 (16.5)	47 (14.3)		50 (15.2)	60 (18.3)	
Poorer	58 (17.8)	60 (19.6)		86 (26.2)	61 (18.6)		54 (16.5)	48 (14.6)		70 (21.3)	75 (22.9)	
Middle	63 (19.3)	64 (20.9)	NS	61 (18.6)	63 (19.2)	0.03	77 (23.5)	60 (18.3)	NS	59 (18)	66 (20.1)	NS
Richer	59 (18.1)	59 (19.3)		58 (17.7)	50 (15.2)		62 (18.9)	80 (24.4)		69 (21)	59 (18)	
Richest	85 (26.1)	58 (19)		30 (9.1)	49 (14.9)		81 (24.7)	93 (28.4)		80 (24.4)	68 (20.7)	
Overtime												
hours in a	33.3	49.6	< 0.001	41.1	45.5 (8.5)	< 0.001	44.6	38.6	< 0.001	47.4 (12)	44.1 (12)	< 0.001
month,	(10.5)	(17)	<0.001	(9.3)	45.5 (6.5)	<0.001	(19.5)	(20.6)	<0.001	47.4 (12)	44.1 (12)	\0.001
Mean (SD)												
Total Income	100 (00	110 /101		108	112 (105		104 (02	102 (02		100 /09	112 /104	
USD, Median	100 (88, 108)	118 (101,	< 0.001	(100,	113 (105,	< 0.001	104 (92, 118)	102 (92,	NS	109 (98, 116)	113 (104,	< 0.001
(IQR)	100)	131)		113)	119)		110)	113)		110)	124)	
Total Household	94 (85,	113 (100,		100 (88,	109 (100		101 /00	100 (80		106 (93,	112 (100	
Expenditure,	•	•	< 0.001	,	108 (100,	< 0.001	101 (88, 114)	100 (89,	NS	,	113 (100,	NS
Median(IOR)	106)	128)		113)	115)		114)	113)		113)	119)	

Abbreviation: I: intervention; C: control; IQR: interquartile range. Continuous variables are presented by mean ± SD and categorical variables are presented as the percentage of participants (%).