# A Study on the Range Equation Modeling for Multichannel Medium-Earth-Orbit SAR-GMTI Systems 

Yongkang Li ${ }^{1, *}$, Tong Wang ${ }^{2}$, Tianyu Huo ${ }^{1}$ and Laisen Nie ${ }^{1}$<br>1 School of Electronics and Information, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, China; hty1@mail.nwpu.edu.cn (T.H.); nielaisen@nwpu.edu.cn (L.N.)<br>2 National Laboratory of Radar Signal Processing, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China; twang@mail.xidian.edu.cn<br>* Correspondence: ykli@nwpu.edu.cn

Citation: Li, Y.; Wang, T.; Huo, T.; Nie, L. A Study on the Range Equation Modeling for Multichannel Medium-Earth-Orbit SAR-GMTI

Systems. Remote Sens. 2021, 13, 2734.
https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13142734

Academic Editors: Hing Cheung So, Shiyang Tang and Alfonso Farina

Received: 16 May 2021
Accepted: 1 July 2021
Published: 12 July 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.


Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).


#### Abstract

This paper studies the range equation modeling of a ground moving target for multichannel medium-Earth-orbit (MEO) synthetic aperture radar (SAR) ground moving target indication (GMTI), an issue which is challenging to tackle due to the non-linear motion of the radar platform and the Earth rotation. In the paper, the coordinates of the multichannel MEO SAR and the target, as well as the target's range equation with respect to each channel, are developed. Moreover, an expression of concise form is derived for the target's quadratic-approximated range equation, which will benefit the design of GMTI methods. Furthermore, theoretical analyses are conducted to reveal the dependency between the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation and the parameters of the radar and the target. Numerical simulations are carried out to investigate the influence of the quadratic approximation of the range equation on the GMTI performance and to figure out the quadratic-approximated range equation's scope of application.
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## 1. Introduction

Synthetic aperture radar (SAR) is a powerful modern sensor and has been widely used in many fields [1-5]. As an important application of SAR, SAR-ground moving target indication (GMTI) has been proven to be of great value in space/air-to-ground surveillance and reconnaissance, including civilian traffic monitoring and military surveillance [6-12].

Spaceborne SAR-GMTI has been a hot topic in the past decades and has been proven to be useful in land and maritime traffic monitoring [13-18]. However, most of the existing studies are focused on the low-Earth-orbit (LEO) SAR-GMTI systems, which suffer from the disadvantages of limited coverage and long revisit time. As a potential next-generation spaceborne SAR, medium-Earth-orbit (MEO) SAR owns the characteristics of short revisit time, large coverage, and strong anti-destroy ability [19,20]. Therefore, MEO SAR-GMTI systems will be attractive for space-to-ground surveillance and reconnaissance.

In recent years, MEO SAR has attracted much attention. The observing capabilities and the signal characteristics of MEO SAR were intensively studied in [19], which revealed the superiority of MEO SAR. In [20], a study on the design of suitable orbits and the corresponding coverage and revisit time was presented, and it was shown that MEO SAR was of great potential due to its characteristics of short revisit and large coverage. In addition, several static scene imaging methods have been proposed for MEO SAR [21-26]. Nevertheless, the studies on MEO SAR-GMTI are rare.

A target's range equation (i.e., the instantaneous distance between the radar and the target) is one of the most important parameters in SAR signal processing [27]. A target's phase characteristics and range cell migration (RCM) are depended on its range equation. In addition, a ground moving target's along-track interferometric (ATI) phase and steering
vector, which are key parameters for multichannel SAR-GMTI, are also determined by its range equation. However, different from LEO SAR, the Earth rotation and the curvature of the radar platform's trajectory in the case of MEO SAR are very significant. This complicates the relative motion between the MEO SAR and the ground moving target, and thus makes the range equation modeling challenging.

In this paper, we attempt to present a study on multichannel MEO SAR-GMTI with the focus on range equation modeling. The coordinates of the satellite and the ground moving target in the Earth-centered rotating coordinate system, as well as the target's range equation with respect to each channel of the multichannel system, are developed in the paper. Furthermore, in the field of SAR-GMTI, the quadratic-approximated range equation model is widely used because it can facilitate the design of SAR-GMTI methods [7]. Therefore, in this paper, the analytical expression of the quadratic-approximated range equation for each channel is derived. Moreover, to figure out the dependency between the quadratic-approximated range equation's accuracy and the parameters of the radar and the target, an approximate expression of concise form is derived for the phase error due to the quadratic approximation. Finally, the phase error's influence on the GMTI performance and the quadratic-approximated range equation's scope of application are studied via numerical experiments.

In practice, for SAR signal processing, there are several factors that would also introduce phase error and phase ambiguities, such as the inherent element gain-phase error, array baseline error, time synchronization error, frequency synchronization error, etc. [28,29]. However, most of these factors (including the element gain-phase error, time synchronization error, and frequency synchronization error) merely introduce errors to the complex envelope of the multichannel signals. Nevertheless, the target's range equation mainly affects the exponential phase term of the signal, and the phase error introduced by the approximation of the range equation is in the exponential part of the signal. Therefore, only the array baseline error is considered in this paper, because the focus of the paper is range equation modeling, and the modeling is mainly based on relative geometry and motion between the radar and the target.

In $[30,31$ ] we briefly studied the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated and cubicapproximated range equations for the single-channel MEO SAR via numerical simulations. The main innovation and differences between this paper and our previous conference papers are as follows: (1) This paper focused on the multichannel MEO SAR, while the previous paper dealt with the single-channel one. (2) In this paper, besides numerical simulations, the analytical expressions of the quadratic-approximated and cubic-approximated range equations are derived and the dependency between the quadratic-approximated range equation's accuracy and the parameters of the radar and the target is figured out. (3) The phase error's influence on the GMTI performance and the quadratic-approximated range equation's scope of application are figured out in this paper.

The rest of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, the geometry of MEO SAR-GMTI is investigated. In Section 3, the quadratic-approximated range equation for each channel is derived. In Section 4, the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation is investigated and the expression of the phase error due to the quadratic approximation is derived. In Section 5, numerical results are presented. Finally, discussion is made in Section 6, and conclusions are made in Section 7.

## 2. MEO SAR-GMTI Geometry

Figure 1 illustrates the MEO SAR-GMTI geometry. In Figure 1, $\mathrm{OX}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}^{\prime}$ is the Earthcentered inertial (ECI) coordinate system, with the origin being the center of mass of Earth, the OX' axis pointing to the vernal equinox, the OZ' axis pointing to the north pole, and the OY' axis completing a right-hand Cartesian coordinate system. OXYZ is the Earth-centered rotating (ECR) coordinate system, with the origin and the OZ axis identical to those of the ECI coordinate system, the OX axis pointing to the intersection of the prime meridian and the equatorial plane, and the OY axis completing a right-hand Cartesian coordinate
system. Assuming that at the azimuth slow time $t_{a}=0$, the beam center of the radar crosses the target, and the longitude and the latitude of the target are $\delta_{\text {lng }}$ and $\delta_{\text {lat }}$, respectively. At $t_{a}=0$, in the ECR coordinate system, the target is assumed to move with the velocity components $v_{l n g}$ and $v_{l a t}$ and constant acceleration components $a_{l n g}$ and $a_{l a t}$ along the lines of the longitude and latitude, respectively.


Figure 1. MEO SAR-GMTI geometry.
According to the kinematics of the satellite, the satellite's coordinates in the ECI coordinate system can be expressed as [32]

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \cos \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right) P_{x}+R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \sin \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right) Q_{x} \\
& y_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \cos \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right) P_{y}+R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \sin \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right) Q_{y}  \tag{1}\\
& z_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \cos \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right) P_{z}+R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \sin \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right) Q_{z}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)=\frac{a\left(1-e^{2}\right)}{1+e \cdot \cos \left(f\left(t_{a}\right)\right)}  \tag{2}\\
P_{x}=\cos \omega \cos \Omega-\sin \omega \sin \Omega \cos i \\
P_{y}=\cos \omega \sin \Omega+\sin \omega \cos \Omega \cos i  \tag{3}\\
P_{z}=\sin \omega \sin i \\
Q_{x}=-\sin \omega \cos \Omega-\cos \omega \sin \Omega \cos i \\
Q_{y}=-\sin \omega \sin \Omega+\cos \omega \cos \Omega \cos i  \tag{4}\\
Q_{z}=\cos \omega \sin i
\end{gather*}
$$

where $f\left(t_{a}\right)$ is the true anomaly of the satellite, $R_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)$ is the distance between the satellite and the center of mass of Earth, $a$ is the orbit's semi-major axis, $e$ is the orbit's eccentricity, $\omega$ presents the argument of perigee, $\Omega$ presents the right ascension of ascending node, and $i$ presents the orbit's inclination.

According to the definitions of the ECI and ECR coordinate systems, the transformation matrix between them is as follows:

$$
\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R I}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \left[\Omega_{G}\left(t_{a}\right)\right] & \sin \left[\Omega_{G}\left(t_{a}\right)\right] & 0  \tag{5}\\
-\sin \left[\Omega_{G}\left(t_{a}\right)\right] & \cos \left[\Omega_{G}\left(t_{a}\right)\right] & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{G}\left(t_{a}\right)=\Omega_{G 0}+\omega_{e} t_{a} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{G}\left(t_{a}\right)$ is the Greenwich Hour Angle at $t_{a}, \Omega_{\mathrm{G} 0}$ is the Greenwich Hour Angle at $t_{a}=0$, and $\omega_{e}$ is the Earth's rotational angular velocity. Therefore, in the ECR coordinate system, the coordinates of the satellite are as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
x_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \\
y_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) \\
z_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{7}\\
=\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R I}}\left(t_{a}\right)\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right) \\
y_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right) \\
z_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right)
\end{array}\right] \\
=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right) \cos \left(\Omega_{G 0}+\omega_{e} t_{a}\right)+y_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right) \sin \left(\Omega_{G 0}+\omega_{e} t_{a}\right) \\
-x_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right) \sin \left(\Omega_{G 0}+\omega_{e} t_{a}\right)+y_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right) \cos \left(\Omega_{G 0}+\omega_{e} t_{a}\right) \\
z_{s}^{\prime}\left(t_{a}\right)
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}
$$

From now on, the ECI coordinate system is disregarded, and the following studies are based on the ECR coordinate system.

Assuming that the Earth is locally flat, according to Figure 1, the coordinates of the target are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{t}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{e} \cos \delta_{l a t} \cos \delta_{l n g}-\left(v_{l a t} t_{a}+0.5 a_{l a t} t_{a}^{2}\right) \sin \delta_{l n g}-\left(v_{l n g} t_{a}+0.5 a_{\text {lng }} t_{a}^{2}\right) \sin \delta_{l a t} \cos \delta_{\text {lng }} \\
y_{t}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{e} \cos \delta_{\text {lat }} \sin \delta_{\text {lng }}+\left(v_{l a t} t_{a}+0.5 a_{\text {lat }} t_{a}^{2}\right) \cos \delta_{\text {lng }}-\left(v_{\text {lng }} t_{a}+0.5 a_{\text {lng }} t_{a}^{2}\right) \sin \delta_{\text {lat }} \sin \delta_{\text {lng }}  \tag{8}\\
z_{t}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{e} \sin \delta_{l a t}+\left(v_{\text {lng }} t_{a}+0.5 a_{\text {lng }} t_{a}^{2}\right) \cos \delta_{\text {lat }}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R_{e}$ is the radius of Earth.

## 3. Range Equation Modeling

The range equation of a target is a very important parameter for SAR imaging and SAR-GMTI. A target's azimuth phase modulation, RCM, ATI phase, and steering vector are all depended on its range equation. Therefore, many range equation models have been proposed, among which the quadratic-approximated (i.e., the second-order Taylor approximated) range equation is the preferred one for SAR-GMTI. It benefits the derivation of a signal model of concise form and the design of GMTI methods (e.g., the motion parameters estimation methods) [7]. Therefore, in this section, the target's quadraticapproximated range equations for the multichannel MEO SAR-GMTI system are derived. For the sake of clarity, the derivations of the quadratic-approximated range equation for the reference channel and the $n$th channel are presented separately.

In the following derivations, it is assumed that the antenna is accurately zero-Doppler steered, which is very common for modern spaceborne SAR systems [27]. Moreover, the baseline error is considered in the derivation of the quadratic-approximated range equation for the $n$th channel.

### 3.1. Derivation of the Quadratic-Approximated Range Equation for the Reference Channel

Assuming that the MEO SAR has $N$ channels in azimuth (see Figure 2), and the position vector of the reference channel (the first channel) is $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\left[x_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) y_{s}\left(t_{a}\right) z_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)\right]$. Then, the range equation of the target with respect to the reference channel, i.e., the instantaneous distance between the target and the reference channel, is as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
R\left(t_{a}\right)  \tag{9}\\
=\left|\mathbf{R}\left(t_{a}\right)\right| \\
=\sqrt{\mathbf{R}\left(t_{a}\right) \mathbf{R}\left(t_{a}\right)^{T}} \\
=\sqrt{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)\right]^{T}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathbf{R}\left(t_{a}\right)=\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)$ is the range vector from the satellite to the target, $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)=$ [ $x_{t}\left(t_{a}\right) y_{t}\left(t_{a}\right) z_{t}\left(t_{a}\right)$ ] is the target's position vector, and superscript " T " represents the vector transpose. Note that in the paper, a bold letter represents a vector, and the corresponding regular italic font represents the magnitude of the vector.


Figure 2. Geometry of the multichannel MEO SAR.
The quadratic-approximated range equation can be obtained by taking a second-order Taylor series expansion to the range equation at the beam center crossing time (i.e., $t_{a}=0$ ). By using the principles of matrix differential, the quadratic-approximated range equation of the reference channel is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)= R(0)+\dot{R}(0) t_{a}+\frac{\ddot{R}(0)}{2} t_{a}^{2}  \tag{10}\\
&=R_{0}+l_{1} t_{a}+l_{2} t_{a}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{0}=R(0)  \tag{11}\\
l_{1}=\dot{R}(0) \\
=\frac{\dot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \mathbf{R}(0)^{T}}{R(0)}  \tag{12}\\
=\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}} \\
=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \ddot{R}(0) \\
l_{2} \quad=\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\left[\ddot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \mathbf{R}(0)^{T}+\dot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \dot{\mathbf{R}}(0)^{T}\right] R(0)-\dot{\mathbf{R}}\left(t_{a}\right) \mathbf{R}\left(t_{a}\right)^{T} \dot{R}(0)}{R^{2}(0)} \\
=\frac{\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{2 R_{0}}+\frac{\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|^{2}}{2 R_{0}}-\frac{\left.\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}\right]^{2}}{2 R_{0}^{3}} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)$ is the target's quadratic-approximated range equation of the reference channel, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)$ and $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)$ are the velocity and acceleration vectors of the reference channel, respectively, $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)$ and $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}=\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)$ are the velocity and acceleration vectors of the target, respectively.

To obtain an expression of concise form for the quadratic-approximated range equation, by utilizing the vector projection, $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ are simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{1}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0) \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0) \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}  \tag{14}\\
=v_{t r}-v_{s r} \\
=v_{t r}  \tag{15}\\
l_{2}=\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{2 R_{0}}-\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{2 R_{0}}+\frac{\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)\right|^{2}-2 \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0) \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)^{T}+\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|^{2}}{2 R_{0}}-\frac{\left(v_{t r}-v_{s r}\right)^{2}}{2 R_{0}} \\
=\frac{a_{t r}}{2}-\frac{a_{s r}}{2}+\frac{v_{t 0}^{2}-2 v_{t a} v_{s 0}+v_{s 0}^{2}}{2 R_{0}}-\frac{v_{t r}^{2}-2 v_{t r} v_{s r}+v_{s r}^{2}}{2 R_{0}} \\
=\frac{v_{t 0}^{2}-2 v_{t a} v_{s 0}+v_{s 0}^{0}-v_{t r}^{2}}{2 R_{0}}+\frac{a_{t r}}{2}-\frac{a_{s r}}{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{t r}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0) \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}  \tag{16}\\
v_{s r}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0) \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}  \tag{17}\\
a_{t r}=\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}  \tag{18}\\
a_{s r}=\frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}  \tag{19}\\
v_{t a}=\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0) \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)^{T}}{\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $v_{t r}$ and $a_{t r}$ are the projections of the target's velocity and acceleration onto the radial direction, respectively, $v_{s r}$ and $a_{s r}$ are the projections of the satellite's velocity and acceleration onto the radial direction, respectively, $v_{t a}$ is the projection of the target's velocity onto the direction of the satellite's velocity vector. All of these parameters are defined at the beam center crossing time. $v_{t 0}=\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)\right|$ and $v_{s 0}=\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|$ are the velocity of the target and the satellite at $t_{a}=0$, respectively. Note that since the zero-Doppler steering is assumed, $v_{s r}$ is equal to zero.

Based on (14) and (15), one can obtain an expression of concise form for the quadraticapproximated range equation as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)=R_{0}+v_{t r} t_{a}+\left[\frac{v_{t 0}^{2}-2 v_{t a} v_{s 0}+v_{s 0}^{2}-v_{t r}^{2}}{2 R_{0}}+\frac{a_{t r}}{2}-\frac{a_{s r}}{2}\right] t_{a}^{2} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. In the fields of SAR-GMTI, the estimation of a target's motion parameters is usually accomplished via the estimation of the first-order and second-order coefficients of its range equation. Therefore, the derivation of an expression of concise form for the quadratic-approximated range equation will benefit the design of motion parameters estimation methods, because it can clearly reveal the relationships between the motion parameters and the coefficients of the range equation.

### 3.2. Derivation of the Quadratic-Approximated Range Equation for the nth Channel

Due to the zero-Doppler steering, the azimuth axis of the antenna is parallel to the satellite's velocity vector $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)$, as shown in Figure 2. Therefore, the position vector of the effective phase center of the $n$th $(n=1,2, \ldots, N)$ channel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)-(n-1) d \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)}-\Delta d_{n} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the nominal baseline (i.e., the distance between adjacent effective phase centres) and $\Delta d_{n}$ is the baseline error $\left(\Delta d_{1}=0\right)$. Thus, the target's range equation of the $n$th channel can be expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{n}\left(t_{a}\right)=\left|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}\left(t_{a}\right)\right| \\
=\left|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}\left(t_{a}\right)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)+(n-1) d \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)}+\Delta d_{n} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}\right| \tag{23}
\end{gather*}
$$

To obtain the expression for the quadratic-approximated range equation of the $n$th channel, the expressions for $R_{n}(0), \dot{R}_{n}(0)$, and $\ddot{R}_{n}(0)$ are derived in the following.

The expression of $R_{n}(0)$ can be easily obtained by definition

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{n}(0)=\left|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+(n-1) d \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}+\Delta d_{n} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)}\right| \\
\approx\left|\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|  \tag{24}\\
=R_{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $(n-1) d \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}+\Delta d_{n} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)}$ has been ignored because it is far smaller than $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)$. Note that the norm of $(n-1) d \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}+\Delta d_{n} \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)}{v_{s}\left(t_{a}\right)}$ is in the order of 1 m , while the norm of $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)$ is in the order of $10^{7} \mathrm{~m}$.

The expression for $\dot{R}_{n}(0)$ is derived as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{R}_{n}(0) \quad\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right] \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{n}(0)} \\
=\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}\right] \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}\right]^{T}}{R_{n}(0)} \\
\approx\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \cdot \frac{\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)^{T}}{v_{s 0} R_{0}}  \tag{25}\\
+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0) \frac{\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{v_{s 0} R_{0}} \\
=l_{1}+\frac{(n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}}{R_{0}} \cdot\left(v_{t a}-v_{s 0}\right)+\frac{(n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}}{v_{s 0}} \cdot a_{s r}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the term $\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)} \cdot \frac{\left[\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}\right]^{T}}{R_{n}(0)}$ is ignored because it is very small (it is in the order of $10^{-13} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ).

The expression for $\ddot{R}_{n}(0)$ is derived as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{n}(0)= \frac{\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{n}(0)}+\frac{\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right|^{2}}{R_{n}(0)}-\frac{\left[\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]^{T}\right]^{2}}{R_{n}^{3}(0)} \\
&\left.=\frac{\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right)\right.}{\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)} \frac{v_{s}(0)}{v_{s}}\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}(0)}^{v_{s}(0)}\right]^{T} \\
& R_{n}(0)  \tag{26}\\
&+\frac{\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)}{v_{s}(0)}\right|^{2}}{R_{n}(0)} \\
&\left.\left.-\frac{\left[( \mathbf { v } _ { \mathbf { t } } ( 0 ) - \mathbf { v } _ { \mathbf { s } } ( 0 ) + ( ( n - 1 ) d + \Delta d _ { n } ) \frac { \mathbf { a } _ { \mathbf { s } } ( 0 ) } { v _ { s } ( 0 ) } ) \left(\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right.\right.}{v_{s}(0)}\right)^{T}\right]^{2} \\
& R_{n}^{3}(0) \\
& \approx \frac{\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]^{T}}{R_{0}}+\frac{\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|^{2}}{R_{0}}-\frac{\left[\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{n}}(0)\right]^{t}\right]^{2}}{R_{0}} \\
&=2 \cdot l_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)=\ddot{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{s}}\left(t_{a}\right)$ are the jerk vector of the satellite, and the double-dot notation indicates the second derivative with respect to $t_{a}$.

Based on (23)-(26), the quadratic-approximated range equation of the $n$th channel is as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\quad=R_{n}(0)+\dot{R}_{n}(0) t_{a}+\frac{\ddot{R}_{n}(0)}{2} t_{a}^{2} \\
\approx R_{0}+l_{1} t_{a}+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right)\left(\frac{v_{t a}-v_{s 0}}{R_{0}}+\frac{a_{s r}}{v_{s 0}}\right) t_{a}+l_{2} t_{a}^{2}  \tag{27}\\
=R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)+\left((n-1) d+\Delta d_{n}\right)\left(\frac{v_{t a}-v_{s 0}}{R_{0}}+\frac{a_{s r}}{v_{s 0}}\right) t_{a}
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark. For multichannel SAR-GMTI, the steering vector and ATI phase of a target are very important for the design of methods for clutter suppression, motion and position parameters estimation, and detection [7]. Their analytical expressions can be obtained by comparing the range equations of the reference channel and the nth channel [7,33]. Therefore, the derivation of the quadratic-approximated range equation for the n th channel will also facilitate the design of SAR-GMTI methods.

## 4. Investigation on the Accuracy of the Quadratic-Approximated Range Equation

The quadratic-approximated range equation's accuracy is generally sufficient for RCM correction. However, for azimuth compression, the quadratic approximation of the target's range equation will lead to a phase error that could result in azimuth defocusing and a loss of the target's peak power in SAR image when it is larger than $\pi / 4$ [34]. This section will derive such an analytical expression for this phase error that can reveal its dependence on the parameters of the radar and the target.

The phase error caused by the quadratic approximation can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\max _{t_{a} \in\left[-T_{a} / 2, T_{a} / 2\right]}\left\{4 \pi \frac{\left|R\left(t_{a}\right)-R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)\right|}{\lambda}\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength, and $T_{a}$ is the target's illumination time. $T_{a}$ depends on the target's distance and the azimuth resolution, and it can be approximately expressed as $T_{a} \approx \lambda R_{0} /\left(2 \rho_{a} v_{s 0}\right)$, where $\rho_{a}$ is the azimuth resolution [19]. It should be noted that since the phase error of the reference channel and that of the $n$th channel are almost identical (we will validate this statement in Section 5), the range equation of the reference is utilized to investigate the phase error.

This phase error is mainly determined by the cubic term of the target's range equation because the higher terms are much smaller than the cubic term (we will validate this statement in Section 6). Therefore, the phase error can be calculated as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi \approx \max _{t_{a} \in\left[-T_{a} / 2, T_{a} / 2\right]}\left\{\frac{4 \pi}{\lambda}\left|l_{3} t_{a}^{3}\right|\right\}  \tag{29}\\
=\frac{4 \pi}{\lambda}\left|l_{3}\right|\left(\frac{T_{a}}{2}\right)^{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $l_{3}$ is the coefficient of the cubic term. To obtain such an analytical expression for $\Phi$ that can reveal its dependence on the parameters of the radar and the target, the expression of $l_{3}$ is derived and simplified as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\quad=\frac{1}{6} \cdot \dddot{R}(0) \\
=\frac{\ddot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \mathbf{R}(0)^{T}+3 \mathbf{R}(0) \dot{\mathbf{R}}(0)^{T}}{6 R(0)}-\frac{\dot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \mathbf{R}(0)^{T}\left[\mathbf{R}(0) \mathbf{R}(0)^{T}+\dot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \dot{\mathbf{R}}(0)^{T}\right]}{2 R^{3}(0)}+\frac{\left[\dot{\mathbf{R}}(0) \mathbf{R}(0)^{T}\right]^{3}}{2 R^{5}(0)} \\
=\frac{-\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}+3\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \cdot\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{6 R_{0}}  \tag{30}\\
-\frac{\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}\left[\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]+\left|\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right|^{2}\right]}{2 R_{0}^{3}} \\
+\frac{\left[\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}\right]^{3}}{2 R_{0}^{5}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the terms containing $1 / R_{0}^{5}$ and $1 / R_{0}^{3}$ are very small, and $\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)^{T}$ is far smaller than $\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)^{T}$, they can be ignored. (Assuming that the parameters of the target are: $v_{\text {lng }}=10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, v_{\text {lat }}=8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, a_{\text {lng }}=0.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}, a_{\text {lat }}=0.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}, \delta_{\text {lat }}=10^{\circ}$, $\delta_{\text {lng }}=30^{\circ}, R_{0}=1.12 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}$, and other parameters are the same as those given in Table 1. Then, the terms containing $1 / R_{0}^{5}$ and $1 / R_{0}^{3}$ are in the orders of $10^{-7} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{3}$ and $10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{3}$, respectively, $\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)^{T}$ is in the order of $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{3}$ while $\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)^{T}$ is in the order of $10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{3}$.) Therefore, (30) can be simplified to

$$
\begin{gather*}
l_{3} \approx \frac{-\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}+3\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \cdot\left[\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{6 R_{0}} \\
\approx \frac{-\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}+3\left[\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{t}}-\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right] \cdot\left[-\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T}}{6 R_{0}}  \tag{31}\\
=\frac{3 a_{s a} v_{s 0}-j_{s r} R_{0}}{6 R_{0}}-\frac{3 a_{t a} v_{s 0}}{6 R_{0}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a_{s a}$ and $a_{t a}$ are the projection of the accelerations of the satellite and the target onto the direction of the satellite's velocity vector at the beam center crossing time, respectively, and $j_{s r}=\mathbf{j}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\left[\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{t}}(0)-\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{s}}(0)\right]^{T} / R_{0}$ is the projection of the satellite's jerk onto the radial direction at the beam center crossing time.

Table 1. Orbit parameters for the numerical simulations.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Height of the orbit | $10,000 \mathrm{~km}$ |
| Radius of the Earth | 6371 km |
| Right ascension of ascending node | 0 |
| Argument of perigee | 0 |
| Orbit inclination | $90^{\circ}$ |
| Eccentricity | 0 |
| Greenwich hour angle at $t_{a}=0$ | 0 |

According to (29) and (31), one can obtain an analytical expression for the phase error as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi & \approx \frac{4 \pi}{\lambda}\left|\frac{3 a_{s a} v_{s 0}-j_{s r} R_{0}}{6 R_{0}}-\frac{3 a_{t a} v_{s 0}}{6 R_{0}}\right|\left(\frac{T_{a}}{2}\right)^{3} \\
& \approx \frac{4 \pi}{\lambda}\left|\frac{3 a_{s a} v_{s 0}-j_{s r} R_{0}}{6 R_{0}}-\frac{3 a_{t a t} v_{s 0}}{6 R_{0}}\right|\left(\frac{\lambda R_{0}}{4 \rho_{a} v_{s 0}}\right)^{3}  \tag{32}\\
& =\pi\left|\frac{3 a_{s a} v_{s 0}-j_{s r} R_{0}}{6}-\frac{3 a_{t a} v_{s 0}}{6}\right| \cdot \frac{\lambda^{2} R_{0}^{2}}{16 \sigma_{a}^{3} v_{s 0}^{3}}
\end{align*}
$$

The phase error's dependence on the parameters of the radar and the target is revealed in (32), and the following points can be noted.
(1) The phase error is strongly sensitive to the azimuth resolution and the wavelength. It is approximately proportional to the square of the wavelength and inversely proportional to the cube of the azimuth resolution.
(2) The phase error is proportional to the distance between the target and the MEO SAR and is inversely proportional to the speed of the MEO SAR. In addition, the phase error depends on the target's along-track acceleration, while the dependency between the phase error and the target's radial acceleration and along-track velocity can be ignored.
(3) Different from LEO SAR, the dependency between the phase error and the target's radial velocity can be neglected. Moreover, in the case of MEO SAR, the phase error depends also on the projection of the satellite's acceleration onto the direction of its velocity vector and the projection of the satellite's jerk onto the radial direction.

## 5. Numerical Results

In this section, first, numerical simulations are carried out to quantitatively investigate the phase error due to the quadratic approximation. Then, the SNR loss and parameter estimation accuracy loss caused by the phase error are investigated. Finally, the quadraticapproximated range equation's scope of application is studied. The main orbit parameters of the satellite used in the numerical simulations are presented in Table 1.

### 5.1. Phase Error

First, simulations were carried out to study the dependence of the phase error on the target's motion parameters. The results are presented in Figures 3 and 4, which show the dependence of the phase error on the target's velocities and accelerations, respectively. In Figures 3 and 4, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian. From Figure 3a,b one can see that the dependency between the phase error and the target's velocities is relatively small. From Figure $4 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ it can be seen that the dependency between the phase error and the target's along-track acceleration is significant, while there is no obvious dependency between the phase error and the target's radial acceleration. Moreover, it is seen that the numerical results agree with the theoretical analysis presented in Section 4. Furthermore, from Figures $3 c$ and $4 c$, it can be seen that the differences between phase error in the reference channel and the second channel are so small that can be ignored. Therefore,
in the following simulations and analyses, only the range equation of the reference channel is utilized to study the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation. The parameters of the radar and the target used in the simulations are given in Table 2. Note that in Table 2, the target's latitude is chosen randomly. The phase error is mainly depended on the distance between the target and the radar (this distance is mainly depended on the target's longitude). The dependence between the phase error and the target's latitude is relatively small, and the phase error increases slightly with the increase of the latitude.


Figure 3. Dependency between the phase error and $v_{t a}$ and $v_{t r}$. (a) The reference channel, (b) the 2 th channel, and (c) the difference between phase error in the reference channel and the 2th channel. The target's accelerations are set to be: $a_{t a}=0.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}, a_{t r}=-0.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. In the figures, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian.

Table 2. Parameters of the MEO SAR and the target for the simulations.

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda$ | 0.056 m |
| $d$ | 4 m |
| $\rho_{a}$ | 10 m |
| $\delta_{\text {lat }}$ | $10^{\circ}$ |
| $\delta_{\text {lng }}$ | $30^{\circ}$ |
| $T_{a}$ | 6.26 s |
| $P R F$ | 500 Hz |
| $R_{0}$ | $1.12 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}$ |



Figure 4. Dependency between the phase error and $a_{t a}$ and $a_{t r}$. (a) The reference channel, (b) the 2th channel, and (c) the difference between phase error in the reference channel and the 2 th channel. The target's velocities are set to be: $v_{t a}=-10 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, v_{t r}=12 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. In the figures, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian.

Secondly, simulations were conducted to investigate the phase error's dependence on the parameters of the radar, and the results are shown in Figures 5-7. Note that to illustrate the influence of the wavelength on the phase error, the $X$ band ( 10 GHz ), the C band ( 5.4 GHz ), and the S band ( $3.3 \mathrm{GHz)}$ systems were considered in the simulations. In addition, in the simulations, the latitude of the target was set fixedly to be $10^{\circ}$, while the longitude of the target was set to range from $21^{\circ}$ to $77^{\circ}$ with a step size of $2^{\circ}$. The change of the distance between the target and the radar was accomplished by the change of the target's longitude. Furthermore, the azimuth resolution was set to be 10 m in the simulations.

Figures 5-7 show the dependence of the phase error on the azimuth resolution and the distance. In addition, in Figures 5-7, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian. Note that the phase errors illustrated in Figures 5-7 are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{\text {cnst }}=\max _{\substack{v_{\text {lat }} \in[-30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}] \\ v_{\text {lng }} \in[-30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]}}\left\{\max _{t_{a} \in\left[-T_{a} / 2, T_{a} / 2\right]}\left[4 \pi \cdot \frac{\left|R\left(t_{a}\right)-R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)\right|}{\lambda}\right]\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{a c c}= & \max _{\substack{v_{\text {lat }} \in[-30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]\\
}}^{v_{\text {lng }} \in[-30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}, 30 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}]} \tag{34}
\end{align*}\left\{\max _{t_{a} \in\left[-T_{a} / 2, T_{a} / 2\right]}\left[4 \pi \cdot \frac{\left|R\left(t_{a}\right)-R_{q}\left(t_{a}\right)\right|}{\lambda}\right]\right\}
$$

where $\Phi_{\text {cnst }}$ and $\Phi_{a c c}$ are the phase errors for the target with constant velocity and the accelerating target, respectively. From (33) and (34) one can see that the phase errors shown in Figures 5-7 are chosen to be the maximum value among the possible motion parameters.


Figure 5. Dependency between the phase error and $\rho_{a}$ and $R_{0}$ for the X band system. (a) Targets with constant speeds and (b) accelerating targets. In the figures, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian.


Figure 6. Dependency between the phase error and $\rho_{a}$ and $R_{0}$ for the C band system. (a) Targets with constant speeds and (b) accelerating targets. In the figures, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian.

From Figures 5-7 one can see that the phase error increases significantly with the decreasing of the azimuth resolution and the increasing of the distance and wavelength, as indicated by (32). It can also be seen that the phase errors of accelerating targets are much larger than those of targets with constant speeds. Specifically, by comparing Figure 5a,b, one can see that the maximum phase error of accelerating targets are over ten times larger than that of targets with constant speeds. Similar results can be found via comparing Figure $6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and Figure 7a,b respectively. In addition, by comparing Figure 5 with Figure 6, it can be seen that the phase error of the $C$ band system is over three times larger than that of the X band system.


Figure 7. Dependency between the phase error and $\rho_{a}$ and $R_{0}$ for the $S$ band system. (a) Targets with constant speeds and (b) accelerating targets. In the figures, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian.

### 5.2. Influence of the Phase Error on the GMTI Performance

The phase error may lead to a significant loss of the target's peak power in SAR image and thus the loss of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The loss of SNR will result in the degradation of the GMTI performance, such as the degradation of the accuracy of the parameter estimation. In this subsection, numerical simulations are carried out to investigate the dependence of the SNR loss on the phase error, and the dependence of the loss of the achievable radial velocity estimation accuracy and azimuth repositioning accuracy on the phase error.

Figure 8 shows the dependence of the SNR loss on the phase error. As can be seen from Figure 8, the SNR loss is proportional to the phase error, and the SNR loss exceeds 3 dB when the phase error is larger than 2.5 radian. In the simulations, the parameters of the MEO SAR and the target for the simulations are: $\lambda=0.03 \mathrm{~m}, \delta_{\text {lat }}=10^{\circ}, \delta_{\operatorname{lng}}=30^{\circ}$, $R_{0}=1.12 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}$. The change of the phase error is accomplished by the change of the azimuth resolution. Note that for targets with different parameters, the relationships between the phase error and the SNR loss are almost the same.


Figure 8. Dependence of the SNR loss on the phase error.

For multichannel SAR-GMTI, the achievable radial velocity estimation and azimuth repositioning accuracy depend on the target's SNR, and their relationships can be expressed as $[35,36]$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{r a}=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2 \pi^{2}}} \frac{R}{\sqrt{S N R}} \frac{\lambda}{L_{a}}  \tag{35}\\
\sigma_{v t r}=\frac{v_{s}}{R} \sigma_{r a} \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\sigma_{r a}$ and $\sigma_{v t r}$ are the achievable minimum azimuth repositioning error and minimum radial velocity estimation error, respectively, $L_{a}$ is the antenna length in azimuth.

According to (35) and (36), Figure 9 shows the dependence of the losses of the achievable radial velocity estimation accuracy and azimuth repositioning accuracy on the phase error. It can be seen that there will be a loss of about $29 \%$ in achievable estimation accuracy when the phase error is 2.5 radian (the corresponding SNR loss is 3 dB ).


Figure 9. Dependence of the achievable estimation accuracy loss on the phase error.

### 5.3. Quadratic-Approximated Range Equation's Scope of Application

This subsection studies the quadratic-approximated range equation's scope of application based on the investigations conducted in Sections 5.1 and 5.2. The maximum acceptable SNR loss is set to be 3 dB , and thus the upper bound for the phase error is 2.5 radian.

In the simulations, four typical carrier frequencies were considered: 10 GHz (X Band), 5.4 GHz ( C band), 3.3 GHz ( S band), and 1.3 GHz ( L band). The results are shown in Figure 10. The curves in Figure 10 represent the lower bound of the azimuth resolution to let the phase error be less than 2.5 radian. For example, from Figure 10a one can see that when $R_{c}$ is $1.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km}$, to let the phase error of targets moving with constant speed be less than 2.5 radian, the lower bounds of azimuth resolution for the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{S}$, and L band systems are about $2.5 \mathrm{~m}, 3.5 \mathrm{~m}, 5.0 \mathrm{~m}$, and 9.5 m , respectively. The areas above each curve in Figure 10 are actually the cases where the quadratic-approximated range equation is applicable.

From Figure 10a one can also see that when the target moves with constant speed, the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation may be sufficient as long as the azimuth resolution of the MEO SAR-GMTI system is not very high. From Figure 10b it is seen that for the target moves with accelerations, the quadratic-approximated range equation is applicable to the MEO SAR-GMTI systems with medium or low azimuth resolutions.


Figure 10. Lower Bounds of azimuth resolution. (a) Targets with constant speeds and (b) accelerating targets.

## 6. Discussion

In Section 5, numerical experiments have been carried out to quantitatively investigate the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation via analyzing the phase error. In addition, the influence of the phase error on the GMTI performance has been investigated via analyzing the SNR loss and the parameter estimation accuracy loss caused by the phase error. Moreover, simulations have also been carried out to find out the quadraticapproximated range equation's scope of application.

The results presented in Section 5.1 illustrate the relationship between the phase error and the parameters of the target and radar. The results validate the theoretical analysis presented in Section 4, i.e., the dependency between the phase error and the target's radial velocity, along-track velocity, and radial acceleration is negligible; the phase error is proportional to the radar's wavelength and distance, and inversely proportional to the azimuth resolution.

The results shown in Section 5.2 illustrate the SNR loss caused by the phase error. It is shown that there will be a loss of 3 dB in SNR when the phase error is 2.5 radian. In Section 5.3, with the upper bound for the phase error being set to be 2.5 radian, the quadratic-approximated range equation's scope of application has been figured out. It should be noted that for precise ground moving target imaging the phase error should be less than $\pi / 4$ radian.

As indicated in Section 5.3, the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation will be insufficient when the azimuth resolution is very high. We have worked out that the accuracy of cubic-approximated range equation is sufficient even when the azimuth resolution is very high (the derivation of the cubic term is presented in Section 4). Figure 11 illustrates the dependence of the phase error on the azimuth resolution and the distance, with the color indicating the value of the phase error (the unit is radian). Figure 12 illustrates the cubic-approximated range equation's scope of application. From Figures 11 and 12, one can see that the cubic-approximated is accurate enough even when the azimuth resolution is very high. Moreover, by comparing Figure 11 with Figure 6, it can be seen that the phase errors of the cubic-approximated range equation are much smaller than those of the quadratic-approximated range equation. Therefore, it could be concluded that the phase error of the quadratic-approximated range equation is mainly depended on the cubic term.


Figure 11. Dependency between the phase error of the cubic-approximated range equation and $\rho_{a}$ and $R_{0}$ for the C band system. (a) Targets with constant speeds and (b) accelerating targets. In the figures, the color indicates the value of the phase error, and the unit is radian.


Figure 12. Lower Bounds of azimuth resolution for the cubic-approximated range equation. (a) Targets with constant speeds and (b) accelerating targets.

## 7. Conclusions

A study on the range equation modeling for multichannel MEO SAR-GMTI systems has been presented in this paper. The coordinates of the multichannel MEO SAR and the target in the ECR coordinate system, as well as the target' range equation with respect to each channel, have been developed in the paper. The analytical expression has also been derived for the target's quadratic-approximated range equation of each channel. Moreover, the dependence of the accuracy of the quadratic-approximated range equation on the parameters of the radar and the target has been figured out. Finally, the influence of the phase error on the GMTI performance and the quadratic-approximated range equation's scope of application have been studied via numerical simulations.
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SAR synthetic aperture radar
GMTI ground moving target indication
RCM range cell migration
ATI along-track interferometric
LEO low-Earth-orbit
MEO medium-Earth-orbit
ECI Earth-centered inertial
ECR Earth-centered rotating
SNR signal-to-noise ratio
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