Quantifying the Actual Impacts of Forest Cover Change on Surface Temperature in Guangdong, China

Wenjuan Shen^{1,2*}, Jiaying He³, Chengquan Huang³, Mingshi Li^{1,2*}

- ¹ College of Forestry, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China
- ² Co-Innovation Center for Sustainable Forestry in Southern China, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing 210037, China
- ³ Department of Geographical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA

Supplemental material includes 5 Figures and 3 Tables. They all have been listed in the main text as Fig. S1, S2, S3, S4, S5, Table S1, S2, S3.

Figure S1. Change in forest cover estimated by the MCD and adjusted SCD methods based on the 3 datasets for 30-m, 500-m, and 1-km resolution between 2000 and 2010 showing the spatial and temporal pattern of unchanged non-forest, unchanged forest, forest gain, and forest loss in the Guangdong Province, China.









Figure S3. The relationships between annual (a) Δ average maximum temperature_{trend} (AVG MAX TEMP) and Δ daytime LST_{trend}, (b) Δ average minimum temperature_{trend} (AVG MIN TEMP) and Δ nightime LST_{trend}, and (c) Δ average temperature_{trend} (AVG airTEMP) and Δ average LST_{trend} (Δ AVG LST_{trend}) during 2000–2010 caused by the 3 dataset-based forest losses and gains estimated by the MCD and adjusted SCD in Guangdong Province, China. The colored lines are the linear regressed lines (*P* < 0.001).



Figure S4. Annual daytime (Δ daytime (AVG MAX)) airTEMP_{trend} and nighttime (Δ nighttime (AVG MIN)) airTEMP_{trend} caused by 3 dataset-based forest losses and gains estimated by the MCD and adjusted SCD in Guangdong Province, China, from 2000–2010. The vertical lines on each bar represent the confidence interval at 95% estimated by *t* test.



Figure S5. Annual daytime, nighttime, and (a) average background LST over the 3 climate zone-based forest zone, and annual daytime, nighttime, and (b) average background LST (Δ background LST_{trend}), as well as annual daytime, nighttime, and (c) average background airTEMP_{trend} (Δ background AirTEMP_{trend}) caused by the Landsat/PALSAR-based forest loss and gain estimated by the MCD and adjusted SCD, in Guangdong Province, China, from 2000–2010. The vertical lines on each bar represent the confidence interval at 95% estimated by *t* test.



Table S1. Comparison of the area of forest loss and gain of different resolution-based satellite datasetsfrom 2000–2010 in northern Guangdong Province (p122r043) and VCT-based forest cover change acts asa reference dataset.

2000-	Algorithm	VCT	GlobeLand30	MCD12Q1	Landsat/PALSAR	
2010		(30-m/1-km,	(30-m/1-km, (500-m/1-km,10 ⁵ ha)		(30-m/1-km,	
		105ha)	105ha)		10⁵ha)	
Forest	MCD	1.27/0.05	0.01/0	0.81/0.04	2.97/1.61	
loss	SCD	1.35/0.2	0.04/0	1.02/0.68	2.94/1.79	
Forest	MCD	0.15/0	0.15/0.39	0.15/0.02	3.37/2.14	
gain	SCD	0.36/0.01	0.59/0.4	0.55/0.3	3.38/2.79	

Table S2. Comparison of the accuracy of forest cover change (the MCD-based and adjusted SCD-based) of different resolution-based satellite datasets from 2000–2010 in northern Guangdong Province (p122r043) by stratified random sampling and ground truth ROIs (forest loss (FL) ROIs, forest gain (FG) ROIs, no-change forest (PF) ROIs, no-change non-forest (PNF) ROIs, and spurious change (SPC) ROIs) selected from VCT-based forest cover change datasets.

_

	Product	Class	Producer	User	Overall Accuracy (95% CI)/		
	(30-m/500-m/1-km)		Accuracy	Accuracy	Kappa Coefficient		
			(%)	(%)			
-	GlobeLand30	FG	1%/2%	100%/100%	30-m:		
	(30-m/1-km)	FL	0/0	0/0	[28% (95%CI: 24-33%)/0.16]		
		PF	98%/84%	20%/31%	1-km:		
		PNF	96%/90%	54%/23%	[26% (95%CI: 22-31%)/0.14]		
		SPC	2%/4%	6%/11%			
MCD	MCD12Q1	FG	11%/0	100%/0	500-m:		
	(500-m/1-km)	FL	20%/1%	80%/100%	[35% (95%CI: 30-40%)/0.23]		
		PF	80%/74%	47%/41%	1-km:		
		PNF	92%/88%	28%/27%	[26% (95%CI: 21-30%)/0.13]		
-		SPC	10%/14%	8%/8%			
	Landsat/PALSAR	FG	57%/12%	75%/52%	30-m:		
	(30-m/1-km)	FL	50%/12%	76%/60%	[55% (95%CI: 50-60%)/0.44]		
		PF	78%/80%	38%/30%	1-km:		
		PNF	94%/78%	45%/38%	[31% (95%CI: 27-37%)/0.18]		
		SPC	0/14%	0/10%			
	Product	Class	Producer	User Accuracy	Overall Accuracy/Kappa		
	(30-m/500-m/1-km)		Accuracy	(%)	Coefficient		
			(%)				
	GlobeLand30	FG	2%/0	66.67%/0	30-m:		
	(30-m/1-km)	FL	0/0	0/0	[34% (95%CI: 29-40%)/0.21]		
Adjusted SCD		PF	100%/84%	24%/37%	1-km:		
		PNF	100%/98%	59%/27%	[30% (95%CI: 25-36%)/0.16]		
	MCD12Q1	FG	21%/11%	100%/92%	500-m:		
	(500-m/1-km)	FL	36%/15%	95%/79%	[50% (95%CI: 44-56%)/0.38]		
		PF	86%/84%	51%/38%	1-km:		
		PNF	100%/98%	32%/31%	[39% (95%CI: 34-45%)/0.25]		
	Landsat/PALSAR	FG	49%/19%	88%/61%	30-m:		
	(30-m/1-km)	FL	57%/16%	93%/67%	[66% (95%CI: 60-71%)/0.55]		
		PF	82%/86%	51%/31%	1-km:		
		PNF	100%/92%	49%/43%	[41% (95%CI: 36-47%)/0.27]		

Table S3. The statistical summaries for the forest cover change spatial agreement (SA) and disagreement (SD) maps. OA_FG: overall accuracy of spatial agreement of forest gain, .OA_FL: overall accuracy of spatial agreement of forest loss, OA_PF: overall accuracy of spatial agreement of no-change forest, OA_PNF: overall accuracy of spatial agreement of no-change non-forest, SA_Area: the area of spatial agreement, SD_Area: the area of spatial disagreement.

Algorithm	Product pairs (30-m/	OA (%)	OA_F	OA_FL	OA_PF	OA_PNF	SA_Area	SD_Area
	1-km)		G	(%)	(%)	(%)	(10 ⁶ ha)	(10 ⁶ ha)
			(%)					
MCD	VCT-Landsat/PALSA	58/60	0.3/0	2/0.01	38/42	19/18	1.49/1.54	1.07/1.02
	R (30-m/1-km)							
	VCT-GlobeLand30	75/52	0.01/0	0/0	54/32	20/20	1.92/1.34	0.64/1.23
	(30-m/1-km)							
	VCT-MCD12Q1	~/55	~/0	~/0	~/33	~/21	/~1.40	~/1.16
	(1-km)							
Adjusted SCD	VCT-Landsat/PALSA	62/67	0.4/0	1/0.04	40/47	20/20	1.58/1.68	0.99/0.84
	R(30-m/1-km)							
	VCT-GlobeLand30	80/46	0.1/0	0.01/0	58/23	22/23	2.07/1.16	0.50/1.36
	(30-m/1-km)							
	VCT-MCD12Q1	~/55	~/0.01	~/0	~/32	~/23	~/1.38	~/1.13
	(1-km)							