Supplementary Materials: Semi-automatization of support vector machines to map lithium (Li) bearing pegmatites

Joana Cardoso-Fernandes*1,2, Ana C. Teodoro^{1,2}, Alexandre Lima^{1,2} and Encarnación Roda-Robles³

- ¹ Department of Geosciences, Environment and Land Planning, Faculty of Sciences, University of Porto, Rua Campo Alegre, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal; amteodor@fc.up.pt (A.C.T.); allima@fc.up.pt (A.L.)
- ² Institute of Earth Sciences (ICT), Pole of University of Porto, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal
- ³ Departamento Mineralogía y Petrología, Univ. País Vasco (UPV/EHU), Barrio Sarriena, 48940 Leioa, Bilbao, Spain; encar.roda@ehu.es
- * Correspondence: joana.fernandes@fc.up.pt

1. Splitting the data into training and test subsets

To ensure the independence between the training and test subsets, the samples were randomly divided according to their respective region of interest (ROI). This means that the samples belonging to the same ROI will either belong to the training or the test set. Unlike the scikit-learn's train_test_split function, the GroupShuffleSplit iterator does not allow to stratify the sample splitting, i.e., GroupShuffleSplit does not guarantee that all classes will be present in both subsets. Therefore, it is necessary to find, by trial and error method, a random state seed that satisfies this condition (in this work the random seed found was 1020).

The data for this example can be found here: <u>https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1K43om-5XMh0DBwSqwDF-Tz4CEW2ul92a?usp=sharing</u>.

The Sentinel-2 image (S2B_MSIL2A_20190907T112119_N0213_R037_T29TPF_20190907T144322) can be downloaded here: <u>https://scihub.copernicus.eu/dhus/#/home</u>.

```
## The scikit-learn version is 0.20.1
# Start with the general imports
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
# Load the data
path = '/user/.../'
S2 dataset = pd.read csv(path, sep='\t')
# Load the ROI information of every sample
path2 = '/user/.../'
groups = pd.read csv(path2, sep='\t')
groups = groups['Groups'] # remove second column
groups = np.array(groups)
# Create features
X S2 = S2 dataset.drop('Classname', axis=1)
X S2 = np.array(X S2)
print("Features shape: {}".format(X_S2.shape))
```

```
# Create target
y_S2 = S2_dataset['Classname']
y_S2= np.array(y_S2)
print("Target shape: {}".format(y_S2.shape))
# Use GroupShuffleSplit to create train/test set
from sklearn.model_selection import GroupShuffleSplit
train_inds, test_inds = next(GroupShuffleSplit(test_size=0.25,
random_state=1020).split(X_S2, y_S2, groups))
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = X_S2[train_inds],
X_S2[test_inds], y_S2[train_inds], y_S2[test_inds]
print("X_train shape: {}".format(X_train.shape))
print("y_train shape: {}".format(X_test.shape))
print("y_test shape: {}".format(Y_test.shape))
```

2. First stage grid-search

Having the data loaded and the training/test subsets created, it is possible to instantiate the gridsearch process. Since the first stage requires more control from the operator, each model was addressed separately. In practice, the first stage grid-search was repeated every time one of the following variables changed: (i) type of data (imbalanced/balanced); (ii) type of model (Linear model, linear kernel, polynomial kernel, and RBF kernel); (iii) parameter range.

As mentioned, the metric score employed in this first stage was the harmonic mean of the precision and recall, i.e., the F1-score of the selected classes (Li-bearing pegmatite and Metasediments). For that, it was necessary to create a personalized metric score to pass was one of the GridSearchCV attributes. Instead of using the f1_score function directly, we decided to use the fbeta_score since it gives the operator the ability to attribute a bigger weight to precision or to recall according to the final goal.

For demonstration purposes, only the source code employed for the Linear model using the class-weight balancing strategy is shown.

```
# General imports
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns; sns.set()
from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
from sklearn.metrics import fbeta_score
from sklearn.metrics import make_scorer
# Make notebook stable across runs
```

```
np.random.seed(42)
```

```
# Make a personalized score (F1-score), where precision and recall
have the same weight
score beta = make scorer(fbeta score, beta=1,
     labels = ['Li-bearing pegmatite', 'Metasediments'],
     average = 'micro')
# Specify the parameter range (search space) using a dictionary
    # using the parameters used by Noi & Kappas 2018
param grid = { 'C': [0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128] }
print("Parameter grid:\n{}".format(param grid))
# Create grid-search
grid search = GridSearchCV(LinearSVC(class weight='balanced'),
     param grid, cv=5, n jobs=-1, verbose=1, scoring=score beta,
     return_train_score=True)
# Fit grid-search
grid search.fit(X train, y train)
# View best hyperparameters
print('Best C:', grid search.best estimator .get params()['C'])
# Show best cross-validation F1-score
print("Best CV F1-score: {:.6f}".format(grid search.best score ))
# Show the results of the grid search
results = pd.DataFrame(grid search.cv results )
results
# Show results in an image
scores = np.array(results.mean test score)
C param = [0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128]
plt.plot(C param, scores)
plt.xlabel('C')
plt.ylabel('scores')
```

3. Second stage grid-search, model evaluation and image prediction

This section corresponds to the automatized part of the image classification process. During the second stage grid-search, the Linear model, linear kernel and RBF kernel are confronted, and the best model is automatically returned. The best model is evaluated using the test subset and used to predict the whole image.

The following source code exemplifies the automated process of model selection, evaluation and classification for the imbalanced dataset. As before, the training/test subsets are already loaded.

```
# General imports
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns; sns.set()
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.svm import SVC
from sklearn.svm import LinearSVC
from sklearn.model selection import GridSearchCV
from sklearn.pipeline import Pipeline
import rasterio as rio
from rasterio import plot
import geopandas as gpd
# Make notebook stable accross runs
np.random.seed(42)
# Create a pipeline
pipe = Pipeline([("classifier", SVC())])
# Create dictionary with candidate algorithms and their parameters
search space = [{"classifier": [LinearSVC()],
    "classifier__max_iter": [2500],
    "classifier C": [30.5, 31, 31.5, 32, 32.5, 33, 33.5]},
    {"classifier": [SVC()],
     "classifier kernel":['linear'],
    "classifier C": [6.5, 7, 7.5, 8, 8.5, 9, 9.5]},
    {"classifier": [SVC()],
     "classifier kernel":['rbf'],
     "classifier C": [0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5],
     "classifier gamma": [1.4,1.6,1.8,2,2.2,2.4,2.6]}]
# Create grid-search
grid search = GridSearchCV(pipe, search space, cv=5, n jobs=-1,
```

```
verbose=1, scoring= 'accuracy', return_train_score=True)
```

Fit grid-search

best model = grid search.fit(X train, y train)

```
print("Best parameters: {}".format(best_model.best_params_))
print("Best CV score: {:.6f}".format(best_model.best_score_))
print("Test-score: {:.6f}".format(best model.score(X test, y test)))
```

```
# Show the results of the grid search
results = pd.DataFrame(best_model.cv_results_)
# Nested cross-validation to evaluate the best model
from sklearn.model_selection import cross_val_score
scores= cross_val_score(grid_search, X_S2, y_S2)
print("Cross-validation scores: ", scores)
print("Mean cross-validation score: ", scores.mean())
### Evaluate the classifier predictions
# Predict labels for new data
y_predicted = best_model.predict(X_test)
# Get classification report
from sklearn.metrics import classification_report
print(classification_report(y_test, y_predicted))
# Show confusion matrix
from sklearn.metrics import confusion matrix
```

```
plt.figure(figsize = (16,10))
sns.heatmap(dataframe, annot=True, cbar=None,
    fmt='g',cmap='YlGnBu', annot_kws={"size": 15})
plt.title("Confusion Matrix",fontsize=32, pad=15),
    plt.tight_layout()
plt.ylabel("True Class",fontsize=25, labelpad=15),
    plt.xlabel("Predicted Class",fontsize=25, labelpad=15)
plt.xticks(fontsize=16,rotation = 45)
plt.yticks(fontsize=16)
plt.show()
```

```
# Compute kappa statistics
from sklearn.metrics import cohen_kappa_score
kappa = cohen_kappa_score(y_test, y_predicted)
print("kappa statistics: ", kappa)
```

```
## Predict the whole image
#Load the Sentinel-2 bands
b2 = rio.open('/user/.../B2.tif')
b3 = rio.open('/user/.../B3.tif')
b4 = rio.open('/user/.../B4.tif')
b8 = rio.open('/user/.../B8.tif')
b11 = rio.open('/user/.../B11.tif')
b12 = rio.open('/user/.../B12.tif')
B2 = b2.read(1).astype('float32')
B3 = b3.read(1).astype('float32')
B4 = b4.read(1).astype('float32')
B8 = b8.read(1).astype('float32')
B11 = b11.read(1).astype('float32')
B12 = b12.read(1).astype('float32')
# Write image to stack
reshaped_img = np.dstack([B2, B3, B4, B8, B11, B12])
print(reshaped img.shape)
# Predict image
class_prediction = best_model.predict(reshaped_img.reshape(-1, 6))
# Reshape image back into a 2D matrix
class prediction =
   class prediction.reshape(reshaped img[:, :, 0].shape)
# Convert the classes' string labels to a numpy array
class prediction[class prediction == 'Agricultural fields'] = 0
class prediction[class prediction == 'Burned areas'] = 1
class prediction[class prediction == 'Granite'] = 2
class prediction[class prediction == 'Li-bearing pegmatite'] = 3
class prediction[class prediction == 'Metasediments'] = 4
class prediction = class prediction.astype(float)
```

Imb_acc.close()