

Editorial

Editorial for Special Issue “Remote Sensing for Target Object Detection and Identification”

Gemine Vivone ^{1,2,*}, Paolo Addesso ² and Amanda Ziemann ³

¹ IMAA, CNR, 85050 Tito, Italy

² DIEM, University of Salerno, 84084 Fisciano, Italy; paddesso@unisa.it

³ Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA; ziemann@lanl.gov

* Correspondence: gvivone@unisa.it

Received: 27 December 2019; Accepted: 2 January 2020; Published: 6 January 2020



Abstract: This special issue gathers fourteen papers focused on the application of a variety of target object detection and identification techniques for remotely-sensed data. These data are acquired by different types of sensors (both passive and active) and are located on various platforms, ranging from satellites to unmanned aerial vehicles. This editorial provides an overview of the contributed papers, briefly presenting the technologies and algorithms employed as well as the related applications.

Keywords: target detection; target identification; SAR; visible; infrared; hyperspectral

Target object detection and identification is among the primary uses for a remote sensing system. It is of paramount importance in several fields, including environmental and urban monitoring, hazard and disaster management, and defense and military applications. In recent years, these analyses have made use of the tremendous amount of data acquired by sensors mounted on satellite, airborne, and unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) platforms.

The papers included in this special issue exploit different remote sensing phenomenologies for target object detection and identification; this includes synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imaging, which uses active sensors operating in the microwave domain, and multispectral and hyperspectral imaging, which uses passive sensors that typically capture visible and/or infrared radiation. The selection of one particular technology depends on both the specific application and the desired signal detection technique. As such, these aspects will be highlighted when summarizing the aforementioned papers.

Data acquired by SAR sensors are used in two papers [1,2], both focusing on environmental and hazard monitoring. In particular, Biondi et al. [1] present a robust procedure to evaluate water flow elevation by using SAR data (e.g., using COSMO-SkyMed images). By tracking the double-bounce reflections from a bridge crossing a river over time, it is possible to estimate the distance between the river surface and the bridge and, consequently, the water flow level. The paper by Liu et al. [2] is focused on the assessment of flood hazard for power grids using SAR data, where the aim is to assess the safety of the transmission line towers. This is performed by identifying indicators such as the shortest distance from a tower to a flood, the proportion of flood in a search area, and the difference in elevation between the tower base and the flood level.

Another group of papers [3–9] proposes object detection and recognition approaches that use images (or videos) acquired in the visible and near-infrared (VNIR) wavelength range, making use of the high (or very high) spatial resolution and high spectral content. Indeed, the latter are key features in order to identify shapes, thus enabling more reliable object detection and recognition. The use of convolutional neural networks (CNNs) in particular is becoming more widespread, as demonstrated in the work of Zhang et al. [3]. In this paper, a region-based object detection is performed, relying on the so-called feature pyramid network (FPN) which combines high and low resolution features without

any additional memory consumption. Alternatively, Ma et al. [4] employ CNNs to perform a stable and robust multi-model decision fusion, which jointly uses contextual features and object spatial structure information. Another interesting application of CNNs is described in Zhang et al. [5], in which vehicle detection for traffic monitoring systems is performed using satellite video data. In contrast, Li et al. [6] focus their work on the design of a parallel hardware architecture, based on multiple neural processing units (NPU), for performing a power-efficient object detection by using CNNs. Liu et al. [7] explore alternative frameworks to CNNs with the aim of avoiding time-consuming training phases. Specifically, in [7], the authors exploit an unsupervised saliency detection method aimed at the identification of oil tanks when the images are affected by various disturbance factors, such as different colors and shadows (caused by changes in view angles and illumination conditions). The problem of vehicle detection is also addressed by Cao et al. in [8], where the authors present a new object matching framework based on affine-function transformations by using images acquired by UAVs (i.e., the DJI Phantom 4 Pro). Finally, Yang et al. [9] perform anomaly detection by using high spectral resolution hyperspectral data from visible to infrared wavelengths. The anomalies are caused by rare and sparse small objects whose spectra are significantly different from the background. In order to deal with the high dimensionality of the problem and to reduce the computational burden, an approach based on low-rank representations is presented.

The third group of papers [10–14] focuses on object detection using infrared sensors. Zhang et al. [10] propose a method based on a low rank sparse decomposition that uses a non-convex optimization with an L_p -norm constraint in order to identify small targets in sequences of infrared images. In this paper, an efficient solver based on the alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) is presented. The detection of small targets by using infrared radiation is also the main topic of the contribution by Zhang et al. [11], in which a low-rank-based method with a regularization term based on the nuclear norm is proposed. This approach is able to properly solve the tensor robust principal component analysis (TRPCA) problem which models the separation of targets from the background. Again, ADMM is employed to provide a computationally efficient solver. Sun et al. [12] address the infrared small target detection problem using a noise model based on a non-independent identically distributed mixture of Gaussians, which is able to deal with real and complex scenarios in which the noise can change in different frames of a sequence of infrared images. The final target identification paper is focused on a flux density-based algorithm, which is able to identify the different infrared gradient vector fields between target and noise. Li et al. [13] present a thermal infrared (TIR) target tracking algorithm based on semantic features. Specifically, a mask sparse representation is used to distinguish the reliable pixels (for target tracking) from the unreliable ones in each TIR frame. The last step uses this model to improve a particle filter-based approach for TIR target tracking. The final paper is authored by Niu et al. [14], and in this paper, the authors present a study about the observability of an Earth entry orbital test vehicle (OTV) via ground-based infrared sensors. The physical foundation of this work relies on the high-temperature flow field that originates during the entry phase of an OTV. A suitable physical model is developed in order to simulate the infrared signature of the Earth entry OTV, which is useful in computing the so-called maximum detecting range, and is more broadly useful in designing remote ground-based detection systems.

Acknowledgments: We are grateful for having the opportunity to lead this special issue. We would like to thank the journal editorial team and reviewers for conducting the review process, and all of the authors for their submissions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Biondi, F.; Tarpanelli, A.; Addabbo, P.; Clemente, C.; Orlando, D. Pixel Tracking to Estimate Rivers Water Flow Elevation Using Cosmo-SkyMed Synthetic Aperture Radar Data. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 2574. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Liu, L.; Du, R.; Liu, W. Flood Distance Algorithms and Fault Hidden Danger Recognition for Transmission Line Towers Based on SAR Images. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1642. [[CrossRef](#)]

3. Zhang, X.; Zhu, K.; Chen, G.; Tan, X.; Zhang, L.; Dai, F.; Liao, P.; Gong, Y. Geospatial Object Detection on High Resolution Remote Sensing Imagery Based on Double Multi-Scale Feature Pyramid Network. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 755. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Ma, W.; Guo, Q.; Wu, Y.; Zhao, W.; Zhang, X.; Jiao, L. A Novel Multi-Model Decision Fusion Network for Object Detection in Remote Sensing Images. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 737. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Zhang, J.; Jia, X.; Hu, J. Local Region Proposing for Frame-Based Vehicle Detection in Satellite Videos. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 2372. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Li, L.; Zhang, S.; Wu, J. Efficient Object Detection Framework and Hardware Architecture for Remote Sensing Images. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 2376. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Liu, Z.; Zhao, D.; Shi, Z.; Jiang, Z. Unsupervised Saliency Model with Color Markov Chain for Oil Tank Detection. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1089. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Cao, S.; Yu, Y.; Guan, H.; Peng, D.; Yan, W. Affine-Function Transformation-Based Object Matching for Vehicle Detection from Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Imagery. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1708. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Yang, Y.; Zhang, J.; Song, S.; Liu, D. Hyperspectral Anomaly Detection via Dictionary Construction-Based Low-Rank Representation and Adaptive Weighting. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 192. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Zhang, T.; Wu, H.; Liu, Y.; Peng, L.; Yang, C.; Peng, Z. Infrared Small Target Detection Based on Non-Convex Optimization with Lp-Norm Constraint. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 559. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Zhang, L.; Peng, Z. Infrared Small Target Detection Based on Partial Sum of the Tensor Nuclear Norm. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 382. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Sun, Y.; Yang, J.; Li, M.; An, W. Infrared Small-Faint Target Detection Using Non-i.i.d. Mixture of Gaussians and Flux Density. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 2831. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Li, M.; Peng, L.; Chen, Y.; Huang, S.; Qin, F.; Peng, Z. Mask Sparse Representation Based on Semantic Features for Thermal Infrared Target Tracking. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1967. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Niu, Q.; Meng, X.; He, Z.; Dong, S. Infrared Optical Observability of an Earth Entry Orbital Test Vehicle Using Ground-Based Remote Sensors. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 2404. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).