



Article Observation of CO₂ Regional Distribution Using an Airborne Infrared Remote Sensing Spectrometer (Air-IRSS) in the North China Plain

Ruwen Wang ^{1,2}, Pinhua Xie ^{1,3,4*}, Jin Xu ^{1,*}, Ang Li ¹ and Youwen Sun ^{1,*}

- ¹ Key Laboratory of Environmental Optics and Technology, Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China; rwwang@aiofm.ac.cn (R.W.); angli@aiofm.ac.cn (A.L.)
- ² University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China
- ³ CAS Center for Excellence in Urban Atmospheric Environment, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China
- ⁴ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- * Correspondence: phxie@aiofm.ac.cn (P.X.); jxu@aiofm.ac.cn (J.X.); ywsun@aiofm.ac.cn (Y.S.)

Received: 12 November 2018; Accepted: 08 January 2019; Published: 10 January 2019

Abstract: Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is one of the most important anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHG) and significantly affects the energy balance of atmospheric systems. Larger coverage and higher spatial resolution of CO₂ measurements can complement the existing in situ network and satellite measurements and thus improve our understanding of the global carbon cycle. In this study, we present a self-made airborne infrared remote sensing spectrometer (Air-IRSS) designed to determine the regional distribution of CO₂. The Air-IRSS measured CO₂ in the spectral range between 1590 and 1620 nm at a spectral resolution of 0.45 nm and an exposure time of 1 s. It was operated onboard an aircraft at a height of 3 km with a velocity of 180 km/h, and a spatial resolution of 50.00 m × 62.80 m. Weighting function modified differential optical absorption spectroscopy (WFM-DOAS) was used to analyze the measured spectra. The results show that the total uncertainty estimated for the retrieval of the CO₂ column was 1.26% for airborne measurements over a large region, and 0.30% for a fixed point, such as power points or factories. Under vibration-free static conditions, the on-ground Air-IRSS observations can adequately reproduce the variations observed by Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT)with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.72. Finally, we conducted an airborne field campaign to determine the regional distribution of CO₂ over the North China Plain. The regional distribution of CO₂ columns over four cities of Xing-tai, Hengshui, Shijiazhuang, and Baoding were obtained with the GPS information, which ranged from 2.00×10^{21} molec cm⁻² to 3.00×10^{21} molec cm⁻². The CO₂ vertical distributions were almost uniform below a height of 3 km in the area without CO₂ emission sources, and the highest values were found over Baoding City.

Keywords: greenhouse gases; remote sensing; infrared DOAS; regional distribution; airborne-instrument

1. Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is an important greenhouse gas (GHG) contributing to positive radiative forcing of the atmosphere and significantly affects the incoming and outgoing energy balance of atmospheric systems [1–3]. The rise in global temperatures is inextricably linked to increasing CO₂ concentrations. Without prompting regulation and control of GHG emissions, rapid and severe climate change is inevitable [4]. Mitigation of climate change requires further understanding of the quantitative relationship between sources and sinks, and the related transport mechanisms [5,6].

Therefore, accurate measurements of the CO₂ vertical column are beneficial to understanding CO₂ concentration fluctuations [7,8].

Over the last several years, numerous gas measurement technologies, such as in situ gas sensing technologies [9–13] and remote sensing techniques, have been developed. In the field of remote sensing techniques, solar absorption infrared spectroscopy has been widely used to determine changes in atmospheric constituents. The Total Carbon Column Observing Network (TCCON) is a network of ground-based high resolution spectrometers that record near infrared (IR) direct solar spectra, achieving highly accurate and precise XCO₂ (dry column averaged air mole fraction) retrievals of approximately 0.25%, or better than 1 ppm [2,14–16]. However, the TCCON instrument is not a portable spectrometer; moving it to a new site requires time-consuming start-up procedures. This obstacle has impeded the extension of atmospheric carbon column measurement on a global scale. The XCO₂ can also be derived from measurements taken with satellites in space, such as the Chinese Carbon Dioxide Observation Satellite (TanSat), Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2), Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite (GOSAT), and the SCIAMACHY instrument onboard the European environmental satellite ENVISAT [17-19]. However, these space-based instruments do not have sufficient resolution (i.e., 60 km × 30 km for SCIAMACHY, 10 km diameter for GOSAT, and 3.4 km² for OCO-2 and TanSat) to resolve contributions from local emissions sources, which are released in significant amounts to the atmosphere, and cannot be accurately resolved using the currently available satellite observational systems. At present, numerous projects provide airborne measurements of CO₂ profiles and sources emissions, such as the Airliner (Comprehensive Observation Network for Trace Gases by Air Liner, CONTRAIL) project [20,21], the NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, NOAA)/ESRL Carbon Cycle Greenhouse Gases Aircraft Program [22], and the HIAPER pole-to-pole observations (HIPPO) [23]. Compared to satellite instruments, airborne platforms have the characteristics of high temporal and spatial resolution; thus, these airborne instruments can identify local emission sources. However, all the airborne measurements are very sparse in Asia, especially in China.

The differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS) technique was first introduced for atmospheric trace gas measurement in the ultraviolet band in the 1970s by Platt [24]. Recently, passive DOAS has been developed and successfully applied to the observation of gaseous pollutants in the troposphere and stratosphere. Passive DOAS techniques, including MAX-DOAS (multi axis-DOAS) [25–28], mobile DOAS [29], and Imaging DOAS [30], are becoming powerful tools for environmental monitoring. Based on the traditional DOAS method, the University of Bremen developed a new algorithm called the Weighting Function Modified DOAS (WFM-DOAS). The earliest application of WFM-DOAS was in the SCIAMACHY spectrometer onboard the ENVISAT satellite [1,31–34]. Using WFM-DOAS, Buchwitz et al. (2003) accessed the total columns of H₂O, NO, CO₂, and CH₄ [23,25]. Subsequently, WFM-DOAS was applied to MAMAP (Methane Airborne MAPper), an airborne infrared DOAS instrument jointly developed by the University of Bremen, the Helmholtz Center in Potsdam, and the Geological Sciences Research Center of Germany. It was verified that the airborne MAMAP with the WFM-DOAS algorithm was capable of determining the variations of CO₂ and CH₄ in the atmosphere [22,35].

In China, the CO₂ emission level is increasing, owing to rapid economic development [36]. Obtaining a large quantity of data with high temporal and spatial resolution is necessary for further understanding of CO₂ distribution and CO₂ transportation from near-surface emission sources. Yuan et al. (2018) have recently conducted a direct sunlight study of WFM-DOAS at the Anhui Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (AIOFM-CAS). After modifying temperature, pressure, and other parameters, WFM-DOAS was applied to greenhouse gas retrieval in the infrared band on the ground. In this study, an airborne infrared remote sensing spectrometer (Air-IRSS) was developed to measure the regional distribution of CO₂ in the North China Plain. First, the instrumental description, methodology, and the retrieval sensitivity were presented. Next, on-ground Air-IRSS measurements and the GOSAT observations were compared. Next, CO₂ distributions along the flight track in the North China Plain were determined. The paper closed with a summary and an outlook on planned activities.

2. Instrumentation and Methodology

2.1. Instrumentation

The Air-IRSS developed by the AIOFM-CAS is shown in Figure 1. It comprises three parts: a spectra acquisition unit, a data processing unit, and a GPS. The spectra acquisition unit includes a telescope that collects sunlight at nadir, a fiber, and a spectrometer (Andor 303i). The instantaneous field of view (IFOV) of the Air-IRSS across and along the flight track is 1.16° (θ) × 0.02° (β). At 3 km flight altitude and 180 km/h ground speed, the co-added ground sizes cross, and along the flight track is $62.80 \text{ m} \times 500 \text{ m}$ within an exposure time of 1 s. Light is transmitted into the spectrometer by the fiber. The most important component is the high-performance grating spectrometer with a focal length of F = 303 mm, and an f-number of 4. The spectral resolution of 0.45 nm. The spectrometer includes an Andor iDus depth-cooled InGaAs 1 × 1024 array detector with a compact design and a dedicated deep thermoelectric cooler that can maintain a minimum temperature of -90° C. The spectra are recorded in the computer and analyzed using the WFM-DOAS algorithm to obtain column concentrations. The distribution of the target gas is then derived in conjunction with the GPS information, which includes geographic information and the speed of the airplane.

A special light source Kr lamp was used to calibrate the slit function of the Air-IRSS. Once the slit function was determined, no further adjustment of the full width at half maximum (FWHM) was required, and the slit function was applied to subsequent data processing.



Figure 1. Airborne infrared remote sensing spectrometer (Air-IRSS), and schematic illustration showing the general measurement principle of Air-IRSS. The IFOV across the flight track θ , and the corresponding ground-projected instantaneous width named swath width; the IFOV along the flight track β , and the corresponding ground-projected instantaneous width named W, which can be calculated by flight speed V and flight exposure time t_{exp}, described as W = V × t_{exp}.

2.2. Retrieval Algorithm

The WFM-DOAS retrieval algorithm considers absorption cross-section changes due to altitude dependent effects of temperature and pressure, and has been successfully applied to observations in the infrared band [26]; this was discussed in detail by Krings et al. [35]. Furthermore, the WFM-DOAS was further developed specifically to retrieve CO₂ from space using SCIAMACHY NIR spectral measurements [37–39]. The WFM-DOAS retrieval algorithm is based on a least squares fit of the measured spectra to the modelled spectra, providing the target gas column concentration. As shown in Equation (1), the logarithm of the normalized measured spectrum is the sum of the logarithm of the model radiance, its first derivative, a quadratic polynomial, and the error term.

$$\ln R_{\lambda}^{mea}(\overline{c}) = \ln R_{\lambda}^{mod} + \sum_{i} W_{\lambda,\overline{c}_{i}} \frac{c_{j} - \overline{c}_{j}}{\overline{c}_{i}} + P_{\lambda}(a) + \varepsilon_{\lambda}$$
(1)

Where R_{λ}^{mea} and R_{λ}^{mod} refer to the measured spectrum and modelled radiance at wavelength λ , respectively. P_{λ} is a low order polynomial with free fit parameters denoted as **a** and ε_{λ} is an error term. The low order polynomial is used to account for spectrally smoothly varying parameters that are not explicitly modelled or not well enough known. These parameters, for example, include the Air-IRSS absolute radiometric calibration function, aerosol scattering and absorption parameters, and the surface spectral reflectance. The error term accounts for all wavelength dependent differences between the measurement and the model that cannot be modelled or cannot be modelled without approximations (e.g., aerosol effects). In an ideal case, the error term is identical to the instrument's detector noise; $\overline{c_j}$ refers to the reference value of the *j*-th relevant atmospheric absorber. For CO₂ retrieval in this study, the interfering H₂O, CH₄, and temperature (Temp) were considered. Therefore, $j = CO_2$, H₂O, CH₄, and Temp. c_j is the target parameter to be retrieved, and for each $\overline{c_j}$ exists a corresponding c_j . The column weighting functions (CWF) $W_{\lambda,\overline{c_j}}$ denote the derivatives of radiance with respect to fit parameter c_j . They are computed by adding up all relevant atmospheric layer weighting function $W_{\lambda,\overline{c_j},z}$ as Equation (2).

$$W_{\lambda,\bar{c}_{j}} = \sum_{z_{low}}^{z_{up}} W_{\lambda,\bar{c}_{j},z} = \sum_{z_{low}}^{z_{up}} \frac{\partial \ln R_{\lambda}}{\partial \ln c_{z}} | \bar{c}_{z} \times \Delta z$$
(2)

where z_{low} and z_{up} represent the lower and upper limits of the relevant atmospheric layers. The layer weighting function can be calculated by the radiance change due to the change of parameter c at altitude z times the quadrature weight Δz . The quadrature weight dependents on the geometrical thickness of the layers of the model atmosphere. Although many atmospheric layers exist, the WFM-DOAS retrieval algorithm does not resolve different altitude levels but shifts the mean profile as a whole. The results of the algorithm are height-averaged increased or decreased profile scaling factors (PSF) or a profile shift (in case of temperature).

3. Retrieval Implementation

The WFM-DOAS algorithm implementation process is presented in Figure 2. Briefly, the spectra is first recorded by the Air-IRSS instrument via a convolution of the incident radiation with the slit function. The spectra are then passed into the nonlinear least squares spectral fitting subroutine that iteratively generates forward-modelled spectra until the best fit to the measured spectrum is achieved. In on-ground observation mode, the Air-IRSS takes zenith measurements by pointing zenith telescopes directly into the sky. The solar spectra outside the top of the atmosphere is used to normalize the measured spectra. The WFM-DOAS fitting results are the CO₂ total column along the total atmosphere. In on-board observation mode, the Air-IRSS takes nadir measurements by pointing telescopes directly into the ground. The zenith spectra recorded above the aircraft is taken as the reference to normalize the measured spectra. The WFM-DOAS fitting results are the CO₂ regional distribution.



Figure 2. WFM-DOAS algorithm implementation process.

3.1. Selection of Retrieval Band

The Air-IRSS works in the bandwidth range from 1550 nm to 1650 nm, which provides two underlying absorption bands for CO₂ retrieval (i.e., the 1560–1590 nm band and the 1590–1620 nm band). In both bands, CH₄, H₂O, and CO are the major interfering gases. The level of interference depends on the interfering absorption intensity times the concentration. Figure 3 shows absorption characteristics of CO₂, CH₄, H₂O, and CO, as well as the CO₂ CWF in these two wavelength bands. The CO₂ CWF in the 1590–1620 nm band is larger than that in the 1560–1590 nm band. The absorption intensity of CH₄ in the 1590–1620 nm band is typically larger than that in the 1560–1590 nm band. The absorption intensities of H₂O and CO are comparable in these two wavelength bands. The absorption intensities of CH₄, H₂O, and CO are lower than that of CO₂ by two orders of magnitude. In the atmosphere, the H₂O concentration is typically ~30 times larger than that of CO₂, Given all of these characteristics, the 1590–1620 nm band was selected for CO₂ retrieval, and the H₂O and CH₄ interference was considered, whereas CO interference was disregarded.



Figure 3. (a) Absorption characteristics of CO₂, H₂O, CH₄, and CO at 1550–1620 nm and (b) CO₂ CWF in two bands.

3.2. Retrieval Demonstration

We took spectra obtained at 10:00 local time (LT) on June 18 in Baoding as an example to demonstrate CO₂ column retrieval with the Air-IRSS instrument. Both the sun-normalized radiance and its derivatives (i.e., CWF) are computed line-by-line using the radiative transfer model SCIATRAN [40] to simulate the measured spectra. The SCIATRAN was developed by the University of Bremen, and was used extensively to perform radiative transfer modeling in any observation geometry appropriate to measurements of the scattered solar radiation in the Earth's atmosphere. The model is designed to be used as a forward model in the retrieval of atmospheric constituents from measurements of scattered solar light by satellite, ground-based, or airborne instruments in UV-Vis-NIR spectral region [40]. The input parameters for SCIATRAN simulation are listed in Table 1. Cloud free condition was assumed in the forward model calculation. The HITRAN 2008 spectroscopic database [41] and a solar spectrum by Livingston and Wallace [42] were used. In order to speed up the calculation, the spectra simulations were limited to within the retrieval spectral region between 1590 and 1620 nm. In this study, the absorptions of CO₂, CH₄, and H₂O are included in the calculation. The US standard atmosphere (USSA), with altitude up to 120 km and 53 vertical levels, was assumed in the radiative transfer simulations. Geographical information including solar zenith angles (SZAs), longitude, latitude, and altitude, were directly obtained from the GPS in the Air-IRSS instrument. The a-priori profiles of CO₂, CH₄, H₂O, pressure, and temperature are adopted from USSA but scaled to current concentrations. The instrumental line shape (ILS) of the spectrometer was approximated as a Gaussian function. The aerosol parameterization parameters

were adopted from the LOWTRAN database and multiple scattering effects were considered. A pseudo-spherical atmosphere was assumed in radiative transfer calculation and the surface type was assumed to be loam soil.

Figure 4 shows the CWFs of CH₄, H₂O, CO₂, and temperature calculated by SCIATRAN. These CWFs were taken as the reference spectra in WFM-DOAS fitting demonstration in Figure 5. The measured spectrum was well reproduced by the modelled spectrum. The root-mean-square (RMS) of the fitting residuum (the difference between measurement and simulation after the fit) was 0.803%. The fitted CO₂ profile scaling factor (PSF) was 0.315 +/- 0.015, which indicates that the retrieved CO₂ column below the airplane is 0.3146 times that of the a priori USSA column. The a priori CO₂ column used for the SCIATRAN model was 8.188 × 10²¹ molec cm⁻²; thus, the retrieved CO₂ column was 2.58×10^{21} +/- 1.27×10^{20} molec cm⁻².

Lower and Upper Boundary for CWF	120 km	Pressure and Temperature	Scaled US	
Calculation		Profiles	Standard	
Number of altitude layers	52	Atmospheric profile	Scaled US	
Number of annual layers	55	Autospheric prome	standard	
Time	2016/6/18	Trace gases	CO ₂ , H ₂ O, CH ₄	
Wavalon ath hand	$1590.0 {\sim} 1620.0$	CIVIE integration mode	numeric	
wavelength band	nm	CWF Integration mode		
Internal wavelength step	0.1 nm	Slit function FWHM	0.45 nm	
Scattering	Multiple	Slit function type	Gaussian	
Latituda & langituda	38.67°N,	Line abcorbor treatment	Line-by-line	
Latitude & longitude	115.45°E	Line absorber treatment		
Aerosol parameterization	LOWTRAN	Radiative transfer model	Pseudo-spherical	
cloud	NO	Surface type	loam soil	
SZA	38°	Thermal emission	NO	
Height above sea level	3 km	Radiance	Relative	

Table 1 The input parameters for SCIATRAN.



Figure 4. CWF of CO₂, H₂O, CH₄, and temperature.



Figure 5. Example of spectral retrieval by WFM-DOAS. (a) Shows the pre-processed measurement and the fitted sun-normalized model. The bottom panel (**f**) shows the fitting residuum, which is the difference between the measurement and model after the fit. The second panel (**b**) shows the CO₂ fit. The solid line is the scaled derivative of the radiance with respect to a change in the CO₂ vertical column. The black dashed line shows the CO₂ fit result, which is identical to the red curve plus the spectral fitting residuum; (**c**), (**d**) and (**e**) show the same as (b), but for the interfering H₂O, Temp, and CH₄.

4. Sensitivity and Error Estimation

The simulated spectra are significantly affected by numerous observation parameters, such as the atmospheric grid mode, the aerosol type, surface albedo, aircraft altitude, SZA, instrument resolution, and poses in flight. In order to estimate the sensitivity of the retrieved CO₂ column to the observation parameters, the retrieval sensitivity was assessed through different radiative transfer simulations. The six observation parameters are listed in Table 2. Each known source of uncertainty is perturbed by several sets of amounts in the SCIATRAN forward model, and the fractional differences in CO₂ column for each uncertainty source, relative to the unperturbed case, are computed. These sensitivities are calculated from a spectrum recorded on a typical flight day. The total uncertainty is the sum in quadrature of each individual uncertainty calculated by assuming a realistic perturbation.

Table 2	Parameters	for	calcu	lating	sensitiv	ity
---------	------------	-----	-------	--------	----------	-----

Parameters	Variables
Aerosol	City (sunny)*, City (sunny after rain), Rural (sunny), Rural (sunny after rain)
Surface albedo	0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 , 0.6, 0.7
Resolution(nm)	0.4, 0.43, 0.45 , 0.47, 0.5
Zenith(°)	10, 15, 20, 25, 30 , 35, 40, 45
Output height(km)	2.5, 2.7, 2.9, 3.0 , 3.1, 3.2
Azimuth(°)	90, 120, 150, 160 , 180, 210, 240, 270

*The bold variables are the actual parameters of the selected spectrum and are taken as the reference in the sensitivity calculations.

4.1. Sensitivity to Vertical Grid Modes

In order to investigate the influence of the vertical layer on retrieval, two kinds of atmospheric grid modes were compared. The first mode separated the total atmosphere into 50 layers. Specifically, the 0–25 km, 25–50 km, and 50–120 km atmosphere were separated into 25, 10, and 14 layers with an interval of 1 km, 2.5 km, and 5 km per layer, respectively. The second mode separated the total atmosphere into 53 layers, where the 0–3 km layer was separated into six layers of 0.5 km per layer, and the separation of the rest of the atmosphere was the same as in the first mode.

The input parameters for simulations with the two modes are listed in Table 3. All parameters used the reference values except the output height, which was perturbed by seven sets of amounts, ranging from 1 km to 20 km. The results, shown in Figure 6, indicate that CO₂ absorption under the second grid mode is stronger than that under the first mode (49/53, with a ratio of less than 1, Figure 6b). For both modes, CO₂ absorption increases with the output height because with increasing output height, the differential optical path between the measured spectra and the reference spectra increases (Figure 6a). When the output height is less than 3 km, the ratio of the two vertical grid modes is 0.9981. When the output height is greater than 3 km, the ratio of the two vertical grid modes increases with the output height. However, the ratio remains smaller than that at output heights less than 3 km (Figure 6b). Given that the Air-IRSS is designated to work in the flight altitude range of 0–3 km (the second grid mode), the finer grid results in a smaller effect on the retrieval compared with the first one.

Grid Mode	Aerosol	Surface Albedo	Resolution (nm)	Zenith (°)	Output height (km)	Azimuth (°)
50 layers	City (sunny)	0.5	0.45	30	1,2,3,4,5,10,20	160
53 layers	City (sunny)	0.5	0.45	30	1,2,3,4,5,10,20	160

Table 3. Parameter settings of two different vertical grid modes.



Figure 6. (a) CO₂ CWFs of two different vertical grid modes; (b) the ratios of two CO₂ CWFs at the same height.

4.2. Sensitivity to Aerosol Type

The flight observation crosses two types of areas (i.e., urban and rural areas). Therefore, two different types of aerosols are used for urban and rural areas. Considering the actual weather conditions during airborne measurements, sunny weather was divided into two cases: continuous sunny days and sunny days after rain. The sensitivity of CO₂ CWF with respect to four different types of aerosols is shown in Figure 7, and the resulting statistics are listed in Table 4. As shown in Figure 7a, the CO₂ CWF for the rural case is larger than that for the urban case. Furthermore, the CO₂ CWF in the case of sunny days after rain is larger than continuous sunny days. A smaller CO₂ CWF

indicates a larger CO₂ vertical column. These simulation results are in good agreement with the actual situation, in which the CO₂ vertical column in city areas is larger than that in rural areas. CO₂ concentration in the case of sunny days after the rain was smaller than that in the case of continuous sunny days, because the atmosphere can be purified by rainwater. The influence of rainwater on the two types of aerosols was also different, i.e., the influence was more significant in the case of city areas than in the case of rural areas, as shown in Figure 7b.



Table 4. Sensitivity to different types of aerosols.

Figure 7. (a) CO₂ CWF under four different scenarios (urban and rural areas and continuous sunny days and sunny days after rain) and (b) CO₂ CWF ratio between the two types of sunny days for the same aerosol scenario.

4.3. Sensitivity to Other Parameters

The sensitivity of CO₂ CWF to solar zenith angle, azimuth angle, surface albedo, spectral resolution, and output altitude are shown in Figure 8, and the corresponding statistics are listed in Table 5.

SZA

The solar zenith angle, in the range of $0-90^{\circ}$, is the angle between the sun's rays and the zenith. When the angle is small, photon scattering mainly occurs in the lower troposphere. When the solar zenith angle is larger than 45° , the scattering mainly occurs in the stratosphere. The SZA has a significant influence on the optical path. Investigating the influence of SZA is beneficial in the selection of the reference spectra because the sun's position changes regularly during the day. In the present study, nine angles were selected: 10° , 20° , 30° , 40° , 50° , 60° , 70° , 80° , and 90° . When the solar zenith angle is greater than 75° , calculation errors will increase due to the limitations of the model. Thus, the flight measurement was conducted within an effective time when the SZA was smaller than 75° . As shown in Figure 8a, the CO₂ CWF decreases as the SZA increases and a smaller SZA results in a larger CO₂ CWF. Furthermore, the variation of CO₂ CWF at low SZA is less sensitive to the variation of SZA than that at high SZA. Therefore, the most optimal time for airborne experiments is at noon.

11 of 21

The azimuth angle, in the range of $0-360^\circ$, is the angle between the sun's rays and Earth's due north. Figure 8b shows that the CWF did not change with the azimuth angles. Therefore, the influence of the azimuth angle could be ignored in CO₂ retrieval with the Air-IRSS spectra.

Surface Albedo

In airborne observation, light is received mainly from surface reflection, and with increasing surface albedo, the light intensity reflected from the surface increases. Therefore, surface albedo is an important parameter to be considered in the present study. In order to study this effect, different surface albedo values were set in the simulation: 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9, and 1.0. As shown in Figure 8c, the CO2 CWF increased with increasing surface albedo. Therefore, the retrieval accuracy could be improved by optimizing the surface albedos for different types of surfaces.

Spectral Resolution

The selection of spectral resolution is related to the absorption line width of the target gas, and the closer the resolution is to the actual absorption structure of the target gas, the more accurate the retrieval results will be. As shown in Figure 8d, fine absorption structures can be observed when the resolution is sufficiently high. As the resolution increased further, the CO₂ CWF increased and the fine absorption structures disappeared, resulting in a smooth CO₂ absorption peak. The CO₂ absorption line was located very near the wavelength range of 1590–1620 nm, and an error in the instrument line shape would be expected to cause an error in the CO₂ retrieval. Therefore, the instrument resolution should be optimized for the spectral measurement conditions.

Output Altitude

The optical path changes with the flight altitude. In the simulation, eleven output altitudes of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, and 10 km were selected. As shown in Figure 8e, the CO₂ CWF decreases with output height. In addition, the rate of the decrease in the CWF becomes smaller with increasing output height. This result also indicates that CO₂ absorption in the low-altitude region is much larger than that in the high-altitude region. When the flight altitude of 3 km is set as the reference altitude, the value of the CWF will obviously change, even with small fluctuations in altitude. In the retrieval process, the grid is refined as an altitude below 3 km to improve the precision.





Figure 8. CO₂ CWF at different (**a**) SZAs, (**b**) azimuth angles, (**c**) surface albedo, (**d**) resolutions, and (**e**) output heights.

Parameters		Sensitivity/% [CO2]	Parameters		Sensitivity/% [CO2]	
SZA (°)	10	-4.520		0.5	0.000	
	15	-3.240	Albedo	0.6	+0.200	
	20	-2.501		0.7	+0.401	
	25	-1.130		0.4	-0.080	
	30	0.000	Decelection	0.43	-0.030	
	35	1.150	(nm)	0.45	0.000	
	40	2.991	(1111)	0.47	+0.020	
	45	3.200		0.5	+0.051	
	160	0.000		2.5	-1.420	
Azimuth (º)	90,120,150,180,	0.000		2.7	-1.190	
	210,240,270	0.000	Altitude	2.9	-0.480	
Albedo	0.2	-0.600	(km)	3.0	0.000	
	0.3	-0.410		3.1	+0.430	
	0.4	-0.201		3.2	+0.650	

Table 5. Sensitivity of CO₂ column under the aircraft.

*The bold variables are the actual parameters of the selected spectrum and are taken as the reference in the sensitivity calculations.

4.4. Total Error Estimation

In addition to the above uncertainty sources, the uncertainties of a priori profiles of CO_2 , pressure, and temperature also lead to potential retrieval errors. In order to assess the influence of these factors in the total uncertainty, the following hypothesis was applied: the temperature or pressure a priori profile increases or decreases by 1 K/1 hPa at all altitudes when the a priori profile of CO_2 is shifted downward or upward by 1 km. Table 6 lists typical uncertainties that may generally

be expected for a retrieval of CO_2 total column using the WFM-DOAS. The total uncertainty estimated for the retrieval of the CO_2 column was found to be 1.26% for airborne WFM-DOAS over a large region, and 0.30% for a fixed point, such as power points or factories, which shows that the parameters of flight experiments over the North China Plain can meet the accuracy requirements of data retrieval.

Parameter	Expected Variation (airborne/fixed)	Uncertainty CO ₂ [%] (airborne/fixed)
Aerosol	urban \rightarrow rural/urban	0.044/0
SZA	$\pm 5^{\circ}/\pm 3^{\circ}$	1.150/0.600
Albedo	0.500 ± 0.100	0.200
Resolution	0.450 ± 0.010	0.010
Aircraft altitude	±100 m/±50 m	0.480/0.220
Total unce	ertainty estimate:	1.26/0.30

Fable 6.	Total	uncertaintie	es to be	estimated i	in a	WFM-DOAS	5 retrieval	of CO	2 total	column.
i usie oi	roun	ancertaintic		countrated			/ i cui c v ui	01 002	- totai	coranni.

5. Application and Discussion

5.1. Comparison with GOSAT

In order to investigate the instrument's performance under vibration-free static conditions, the on-ground Air-IRSS results were compared with the GOSAT observations. The Air-IRSS, shown in Figure 9, was deployed on the roof of a six-story building at the AIOFM-CAS campus (117.158°E, 31.908°N). The observation site is adjacent to the Shu Shan Lake that covers an area of 207.5 km². This area is prevailed by southeast winds in summer and northwest winds in winter. The observation site is adjacent to the Shu Shan Lake, which covers an area of 207.5 km². In this area southeast winds prevail in the summer, and northwest winds prevail in the winter. The regional landscape is mostly flat with a few hills. Downtown of Hefei is located in the southeast of this site and is densely populated with seven million people. In other directions, the site is surrounded by wetlands or cultivated lands. The relevant anthropogenic emissions mainly originate from the city, and natural emissions originate from cultivated lands or wetlands. The scattered light zenith radiance measurements (measurements of the down-welling diffuse radiance) were performed by pointing the Air-IRSS zenith telescopes directly into the sky. The spectra were collected under clear sky conditions with a single readout exposure time of 4 s and an average times of 5.



Figure 9. The Air-IRSS observation in zenith geometry on the roof of a six-story building.

The selection of the coincidence criterion is of great significance, and generally the stricter the criterion, the better the satellite validation. The best choice would be the time-scale; the spatial coverage of Air-IRSS observations is the same as that of the satellite data. However, in reality, a compromise criterion needs to be used to balance the accuracy and the number of the valid data. Since GOSAT does not target the Hefei site, the strict criteria (e.g., 1°) would result in very sparse valid data. A relatively relaxed criterion can produce more valid data but does not influence the validation considerably, because at the GOSAT overpass time (13:00 \pm 00:15 LT), SZA is very small and the surrounding emissions have a small influence on the observations. In this study, a relatively relaxed criterion was used; that is, the mean of the GOSAT data was selected within the 5° latitude and longitude rectangular area around the Hefei site, and the mean of Air-IRSS data was selected within 30 min of the GOSAT overpass time. This coincidence criterion is a balance between the accuracy and the number of valid data.

The time series and correlation plot of CO₂ columns obtained by Air-IRSS and GOSAT are shown in Figure 10. Generally, the two instruments are in good agreement. The Air-IRSS observations can reproduce the variations observed by GOSAT well with a correlation coefficient (R²) of 0.72. The CO₂ total columns of Air-IRSS and GOAST are on average of 8.63 × 10²¹ molec cm⁻² and 8.89 × 10²¹ molec cm⁻², respectively. Average differences between Air-IRSS and GOSAT data (Air-IRSS minus GOSAT) were -0.26×10^{21} molec cm⁻² (-2.90%). This discrepancy is most likely due to the usage of a relatively relaxed criterion, which results in a relatively larger inhomogeneity (Figure11). Regionally higher CO₂ level over emission sources, such as densely popularized area or industrialized area, could aggravate the inhomogeneity within the selected GOSAT coverage, and thus aggravate the difference. Meanwhile, the mismatch of temporal resolution (±0.5 h) could also cause difference between the Air-IRSS and GOSAT.



Figure 10. (a) Time series of CO₂ columns measured by the Air-IRSS and GOSAT. The GOSAT data are selected within 5° latitude and longitude box around Hefei site (black dots) and the Air-IRSS data are selected within 30 min of the GOSAT overpass time (red dots). (b) Correlation plot between coincident CO₂ columns obtained by the Air-IRSS and GOSAT. Only measurements that fulfill the coincidence criteria are included. The red solid line is the linear fitted curve of the scatter points. The gray dashed line denotes one-to-one line.



Figure 11. GOSAT CO2 total column from October to December, 2016, for a specific ground pixel ((117.158 ± 5) °E, (31.908 ± 5) °N).

5.2. Airborne Field Campaign

5.2.1. Field Campaign Description

On June 16 and 18, 2016, an 8-h airborne field campaign was conducted at Luancheng Airport (114.4°E, 37.8°N) in Hebei Province (including four cities of Xing-tai, Baoding, Henshui, and Shijiazhuang). The Air-IRSS was deployed on a Y-5 aircraft with a nadir telescope. The spectra were collected during the flight with an integration time of 1 s and an average number of 10. The latitude, longitude, and altitude data were recorded by a GPS device (data acquisition speed of 1 Hz) on the aircraft. The flight altitudes were from 200 to 3000 m and the flight speed ranged from 140 to 200 km/h. Two groups of flight experiments were conducted: one on June 16, 13:30–16:10, and the other on June 18, 08:20–13:20 local time. The fight trajectories are shown in Figure 12. On June 16, starting from Luancheng Airport, the aircraft initially passed over the hilly Xing-tai Mountain Area and then returned to the airport over Xing-tai City. On June 18, the aircraft departed from Luancheng Airport and passed over Baoding, Hengshui, and Shijiazhuang, finally returning to Luancheng Airport.



Figure 12. Flight trajectories of the airborne campaign: the line in purple represents the flight path on June 16, and the red circle indicates that the aircraft in the area is spiraling up and down; the line in blue represents the flight path on June 18, and the arrows represent the flight directions.

5.2.2. Results and Discussion

In order to minimize the impacts of significant weather events or instrument problems, we established a specific filter criterion to remove outliers by setting certain thresholds for measurement intensity, SNR, and fitting residuals. Measurements satisfying the following criteria were classified as valid and were subsequently used in the analysis.

(1) Spectra recorded with insufficient incident signals were discarded to ensure adequate SNR, and spectra recorded with excessive incident signals were discarded because of non-linearity in the detector. These criteria ensured that the SNR would be larger than 500 and the detector would be less than 70% saturated.

(2) Auxiliary data, such as GPS information, solar intensity, and meteorological data that were not recorded synchronously with the measurement, were eliminated.

(3) The observed scene had to be nearly cloud-free and not seriously affected by smog or unknown opaque objects. Spectra recorded with a solar intensity variation (SIV) of more than 10% were not used in this study, where the SIV was defined as the ratio of the standard deviation to the average of the sun intensities within the duration of a spectrum.

(4) The RMS of the residual difference (relative difference between measured and calculated spectra after the fit) has to be less than 2%.

Figure 13 shows temporal variations in the retrieved CO₂ column along with the flight altitude. The aircraft flew smoothly at 3 km in the period of 13:32–15:35 on June 16 and 09:36–14:35 on June 18. In contrast, it circled up and down between 500 m and 3000 m in the rest of the time. The CO₂ column varied in the range of $0.50-3.00 \times 10^{21}$ molec cm⁻², and the RES of the CO₂ column ranged from 0.60% to 1.20%. On June 18, the CO₂ column at 09:36–11:00 was higher than that at 11:00–14:35 by approximately (0.30–0.80) × 10²¹ molec cm⁻², even though the flight altitude was not changed. This is because the emissions are not consistent in different areas. Figure 14 shows the linear fit between the CO₂ column and the altitude. The data were only collected during spiral up and plummet down stages. The spiral up data were obtained on June 16 (at an average surface altitude of 60 m) and June 18 (an average surface altitude of 60 m). The results show that the CO₂ column below the aircraft was highly correlated with aircraft altitude and the higher the altitude, the larger the CO₂ columns. The CO₂ columns at the spiral up stage were approximately (1.90–2.00) × 10²⁰ molec cm⁻² higher than those at the plummet down stage, mainly because the surface topography within the plummet down stage was 100–110 m higher than that of the spiral up stage.



Figure 13. Time series of CO₂ column, height, and retrieval errors during flight.



Figure 14. Linear relationship between CO₂ column and altitudes during spiraling up and plummeting down stages.

As shown in Figure 15, the regional distribution of CO₂ was obtained with the GPS information. The CO₂ column ranged from 2.00×10^{21} molec cm⁻² to 2.50×10^{21} molec cm⁻² in the three cities of Xing-tai, Hengshui, and Shijiazhuang, with a uniform distribution. The CO₂ column was high only at Baoding, at approximately (2.50-3.00) × 10^{21} molec cm⁻²; the CO₂ column in the northern part of Baoding city was higher than that in the south. The CO₂ column in Xing-tai city was approximately (2.50-3.00) × 10^{20} molec cm⁻² higher than that in the Xingtai Mountainous Area. The column concentration is higher in the northern part of Xing-tai city than in the south by approximately (1.00-1.50) × 10^{20} molec cm⁻². The values in the eastern and western areas were higher than those in the city center, which was approximately (1.00-1.40) × 10^{20} molec cm⁻².



Figure 15. Regional CO₂ distribution of the four cities (Xing-tai, Baoding, Henshui, and Shijiazhuang) observed at 3 km by Air-IRSS.

In addition, a detailed analysis of Baoding was conducted because the CO₂ column in this area is the highest among the four cities. As shown in Figure 16a (sourced from Google Maps), several major CO₂ emissions sources are distributed in the flight area. Three functional zonings of Baoding can be identified: industrial district, urban district, and suburban district. There are several large emission sources in the industrial district, including large thermal power plants, paper mills, breweries, and cigarette factories. The flight time in Baoding was from 9:00 to 11:20 on June 18. The wind speed and wind direction are shown in Figure 16b. A northeast wind prevailed from 9:00 to 10:00, and a north wind prevailed from 10:00 to 11:20, with a steady wind speed at 3.0 m/s. The Figure 16a shows that the CO₂ column was high around the CO₂ sources and along the wind direction. Since a large number of emission sources are distributed north of the flight area, the CO₂ column in the northern part of the flight area was higher than that in the south.



Figure 16. (**a**) Functional zoning of Baoding; (**b**) wind speed and wind direction of Baoding during the flight experiment.

6. Conclusions

This study presented a self-made airborne infrared remote sensing spectrometer (Air-IRSS) designated to determine the regional distribution of CO₂. It measured CO₂ in the spectral range between 1590 and 1620 nm at a spectral resolution of 0.45 nm and an exposure time of 1 s. The Air-IRSS was operated onboard an aircraft at a height of 3 km with a velocity of 180 km/h. The instantaneous field of view (IFOV) of the Air-IRSS is 1.16° (across the flight track) × 0.02° (along the flight track), which corresponds to a ground size of 50.00 m × 62.80 m. The weighting function modified differential optical absorption spectroscopy (WFM-DOAS) technique was used to analyze the measured spectra.

In order to estimate the sensitivity of the retrieved CO₂ columns to the observation parameters, retrieval sensitivity with respect to different radiative transfer simulations have been performed. The total uncertainty estimated for the retrieval of the CO₂ column was found to be 1.26% for airborne measurements over a large region, and 0.30% for a fixed point, such as power points or factories. In order to investigate the instrument's performance under vibration-free static conditions, the on-ground Air-IRSS results were compared with the GOSAT observations. The on-ground Air-IRSS observations can reproduce the variations observed by GOSAT well, with a correlation coefficient (r) of 0.72. Finally, we conducted an airborne field campaign to determine the regional distribution of CO₂ in the North China Plain. The regional distribution of CO₂ over four cities of Xing-tai, Hengshui, and Shijiazhuang, and Baoding were obtained with the GPS information. The CO₂ columns of these four cities ranged from 2.00×10^{21} molec cm⁻² to 3.00×10^{21} molec cm⁻². The CO₂ vertical distributions were almost uniform below a height of 3 km in the area without CO₂ emission sources, such as power plants and factories.

Author Contributions: P.X., J.X., and A.L. conceived, designed the experiments. R.W. and J.X. performed the experiments. R.W. analyzed the data. R.W. wrote the paper. P.X., J.X., and Y.S. improved the paper.

Funding: This work was supported by the Key Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No: 41530644), the Young Scientists Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China(No: 41405033), the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (No: 2014AA06A508, No.

2016YFC0200800), the National key research and development program (No: 2017YFC0209902), and the National Science Foundation of China (No. 41605018, No.41877309).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Buchwitz, M.; de Beek, R.; Burrows, J.P.; Bovensmann, H.; Warneke, T.; Notholt, J.; Meirink, J.F.; Goede, A.P.H.; Bergamaschi, P.; Korner, S.; et al. Atmospheric methane and carbon dioxide from SCIAMACHY satellite data: Initial comparison with chemistry and transport models. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *5*, 941–962.
- Wunch, D.; Toon, G.C.; Wennberg, P.O.; Wofsy, S.C.; Stephens, B.B.; Fischer, M.L.; Uchino, O.; Abshire, J.B.; Bernath, P.; Biraud, S.C.; et al. Calibration of the Total Carbon Column Observing Network using aircraft profile data. *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*. 2010, *3*, 1351–1362.
- 3. Wunch, D.; Toon, G.C.; Blavier, J.F.; Washenfelder, R.A.; Notholt, J.; Connor, B.J.; Griffith, D.W.; Sherlock, V.; Wennberg, P.O. The total carbon column observing network. *Philos. Trans. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2011, 369, 2087–2112.
- 4. Barros, V.R.; Field. C. B.; Dokken, D.J. *IPCC: Climate Change 2014*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2014, p. 688.
- 5. Paul, D.; Chen, H.; Been, H.A.; Kivi, R.; Meijer, H.A.J. Radiocarbon analysis of stratospheric CO2 retrieved from AirCore sampling. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* **2016**, *9*, 4997–5006.
- 6. Stephens, B.B.; Gurney, K.R.; Tans, P.P.; Sweeney, C.; Peters, W.; Bruhwiler, L.; Ciais, P.; Ramonet, M.; Bousquet, P.; Nakazawa, T.; et al. Weak northern and strong tropical land carbon uptake from vertical profiles of atmospheric CO2. *Science* **2007**, *316*, 1732–1735.
- Chevallier, F.; Bréon, F.-M.; Rayner, P.J. Contribution of the Orbiting Carbon Observatory to the estimation of CO2sources and sinks: Theoretical study in a variational data assimilation framework. *J. Geophys. Res.* 2007, 112, doi:10.1029/2006JD007375.
- Miller, C.E.; Crisp, D.; DeCola, P.L.; Olsen, S.C.; Randerson, J.T.; Michalak, A.M.; Alkhaled, A.; Rayner, P.; Jacob, D.J.; Suntharalingam, P.; et al. Precision requirements for space-based data XCO2 data. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 2007, 112, doi:10.1029/2006JD007659.
- 9. Gayraud, N.; Kornaszewski, L.W.; Stone, J.M.; Knight, J.C.; Reid, D.T.; Hand, D.P.; MacPherson, W.N. Mid-infrared gas sensing using a photonic bandgap fiber. *Appl. Opt.* **2008**, *47*, 1269–1277.
- 10. Kim, K.J.; Chong, X.Y.; Kreider, P.B.; Ma, G.H.; Ohodnicki, P.R.; Baltrus, J.P.; Wang, A.X.; Chang, C.H. Plasmonics-enhanced metal-organic framework nanoporous films for highly sensitive near-infrared absorption. *J. Mater. Chem C.* **2015**, *3*, 2763–2767.
- 11. Lai, W.C.; Chakravarty, S.; Wang, X.; Lin, C.; Chen, R.T. On-chip methane sensing by near-IR absorption signatures in a photonic crystal slot waveguide. *Opt. Lett.* **2011**, *36*, 984–986.
- 12. Ying, H.; Yujun, Z.; Liming, W.; Kun, Y.; Xiaomin, S.; Zhenmin, L. Laser technology for CO2 and H2O on-line detection in large-scale region. *Chin. J. Lasers*. **2014**, *42*, 0115003–1.
- 13. Chan, K.L.; Ning, Z.; Westerdahl, D.; Wong, K.C.; Sun, Y.W.; Hartl, A.; Wenig, M.O. Dispersive infrared spectroscopy measurements of atmospheric CO2 using a Fabry-Perot interferometer sensor. *Sci Total Environ.* **2014**, *472*, 27–35.
- Oh, Y.S.; Kenea, S.T.; Goo, T.Y.; Chung, K.S.; Rhee, J.S.; Ou, M.L.; Byun, Y.H.; Wennberg, P.O.; Kiel, M.; DiGangi, J.P.; et al. Characteristics of greenhouse gas concentrations derived from ground-based FTS spectra at Anmyeondo, South Korea. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* 2018, *11*, 2361–2374.
- 15. Kiel, M.; Wunch, D.; Wennberg, P.O.; Toon, G.C.; Hase, F.; Blumenstock, T. Improved retrieval of gas abundances from near-infrared solar FTIR spectra measured at the Karlsruhe TCCON station. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* **2016**, *9*, 669–682.
- Velazco, V.A.; Morino, I.; Uchino, O.; Hori, A.; Kiel, M.; Bukosa, B.; Deutscher, N.M.; Sakai, T.; Nagai, T.; Bagtasa, G.; et al. TCCON Philippines: First Measurement Results, Satellite Data and Model Comparisons in Southeast Asia. *Remote Sens.* 2017, 9, 1228.
- 17. Morino, I.; Uchino, O.; Inoue, M.; Yoshida, Y.; Yokota, T.; Wennberg, P.O.; Toon, G.C.; Wunch, D.; Roehl, C.M.; Notholt, J.; et al. Preliminary validation of column-averaged volume mixing ratios of carbon dioxide and methane retrieved from GOSAT short-wavelength infrared spectra. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* **2011**, *4*, 1061–1076.

- Frankenberg, C.; Pollock, R.; Lee, R.A.M.; Rosenberg, R.; Blavier, J.F.; Crisp, D.; amp; apos; Dell, C.W.; Osterman, G.B.; Roehl, C.; Wennberg, P.O.; et al. The Orbiting Carbon Observatory (OCO-2): Spectrometer performance evaluation using pre-launch direct sun measurements. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* 2015, *8*, 301–313.
- Schneising, O.; Buchwitz, M.; Burrows, J.P.; Bovensmann, H.; Bergamaschi, P.; Peters, W. Three years of greenhouse gas column-averaged dry air mole fractions retrieved from satellite—Part 2: Methane. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2009, *9*, 443–465.
- 20. Ying, H.; Yujun, Z.; Liming, W.; Kun, Y.; Xiaomin, S.; Zhenmin, L. A Comparison of the Atmospheric CO2 Concentrations Obtained by an Inverse Modeling System and Passenger Aircraft Based Measurement. *Atmosphere* **2016**, *26*, 387–400.
- 21. Machida, T.; Matsueda, H.; Sawa, Y.; Nakagawa, Y.; Hirotani, K.; Kondo, N.; Goto, K.; Nakazawa, T.; Ishikawa, K.; Ogawa, T. Worldwide Measurements of Atmospheric CO2 and Other Trace Gas Species Using Commercial Airlines. *J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol.* **2008**, *25*, 1744–1754.
- 22. Gerilowski, K.; Tretner, A.; Krings, T.; Buchwitz, M.; Bertagnolio, P.P.; Belemezov, F.; Erzinger, J.; Burrows, J.P.; Bovensmann, H. MAMAP—A new spectrometer system for column-averaged methane and carbon dioxide observations from aircraft: Instrument description and performance analysis. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* **2011**, *4*, 215–243.
- 23. Houweling, S.; Hartmann, W.; Aben, I.; Schrijver, H.; Skidmore, J.; Roelofs, G.J.; Breon, F.M. Evidence of systematic errors in SCIAMACHY-observed CO2 due to aerosols. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *5*, 3003–3013.
- 24. Platt, U. Dry Deposition of SO2. Atmos. Environ. 1978, 12, 363–367.
- Reuter, M.; Bovensmann, H.; Buchwitz, M.; Burrows, J.P.; Connor, B.J.; Deutscher, N.M.; Griffith, D.W.T.; Heymann, J.; Keppel-Aleks, G.; Messerschmidt, J.; et al. Retrieval of atmospheric CO2 with enhanced accuracy and precision from SCIAMACHY: Validation with FTS measurements and comparison with model results. J. Geophys Res.-Atmos. 2011, 116, doi:10.1029/2010JD015047.
- 26. Buchwitz, M.; Rozanov, V.V.; Burrows, J.P. A near-infrared optimized DOAS method for the fast global retrieval of atmospheric CH4, CO, CO2, H2O, and N2O total column amounts from SCIAMACHY Envisat-1 nadir radiances. *J. Geophys Res.-Atmos.* **2000**, *105*, 15231–15245.
- 27. Chan, K.L.; Wiegner, M.; Wenig, M.; Pohler, D. Observations of tropospheric aerosols and NO2 in Hong Kong over 5 years using ground based MAX-DOAS. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *619*, 1545–1556.
- Chan, K.L.; Hartl, A.; Lam, Y.F.; Xie, P.H.; Liu, W.Q.; Cheung, H.M.; Lampel, J.; Pohler, D.; Li, A.; Xu, J.; et al. Observations of tropospheric NO2 using ground based MAX-DOAS and OMI measurements during the Shanghai World Expo 2010. *Atmos. Environ.* 2015, *119*, 45–58.
- 29. Wu, F.C.; Xie, P.H.; Li, A.; Mou, F.S.; Chen, H.; Zhu, Y.; Zhu, T.; Liu, J.G.; Liu, W.Q. Investigations of temporal and spatial distribution of precursors SO2 and NO2 vertical columns in the North China Plain using mobile DOAS. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *18*, 1535–1554.
- 30. Schonhardt, A.; Altube, P.; Gerilowski, K.; Krautwurst, S.; Hartmann, J.; Meier, A.C.; Richter, A.; Burrows, J.P. A wide field-of-view imaging DOAS instrument for two-dimensional trace gas mapping from aircraft. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* **2015**, *8*, 5113–5131.
- Buchwitz, M.; Burrows, J.P. Retrieval of CH4, CO, and CO2 total column amounts from SCIAMACHY near infrared nadir spectra: Retrieval algorithm and first results. In Proceedings of the Remote Sensing of Clouds and the Atmosphere VIII, Barcelona, Spain, 16 February 2004; pp. 375–388.
- 32. MBuchwitz, M.; De Beek, R.; Bramstedt, K.; Noël, S.; Bovensmann, H.; Burrows, J.P. Global carbon monoxide as retrieved from SCIAMACHY by WFMDOAS.pdf. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2004**, *4*, 1945–1960.
- 33. Buchwitz, M.; Beek, R.D.; Noël, S.; Burrows, J.P.; Bovensmann, H.; Bremer, H.; Bergamaschi, P.; Körner, S.; Heimann, M. Carbon monoxide, methane and carbon dioxide columns retrieved from SCIAMACHY by WFM-DOAS_ year 2003 initial data set.pdf. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *5*, 3313–3329.
- 34. Sun, Y.W.; Xie, P.H.; Xu, J.; Zhou, H.J.; Liu, C.; Wang, Y.; Liu, W.Q.; Si, F.Q.; Zeng, Y. Measurement of atmospheric CO2 vertical column density using weighting function modified differential optical absorption spectroscopy. *Acta Phys. Sin.* **2012**, *62*, 130703–10.
- 35. Krings, T.; Gerilowski, K.; Buchwitz, M.; Reuter, M.; Tretner, A.; Erzinger, J.; Heinze, D.; Pfluger, U.; Burrows, J.P.; Bovensmann, H. MAMAP—A new spectrometer system for column-averaged methane and carbon dioxide observations from aircraft: Retrieval algorithm and first inversions for point source emission rates. *Atmos. Measure. Tech.* **2011**, *4*, 1735–1758.
- 36. Yong-wei, L.; Qing-wu, Y. On the spatiotemporal distribution regularity of the carbon emissions based on the GIS in China *J. Saf. Environ.* **2016**, *15*, 0199–07.

- 37. Barkley, M.P.; Monks, P.S.; Friess, U.; Mittermeier, R.L.; Fast, H.; Korner, S.; Heimann, M. Comparisons between SCIAMACHY atmospheric CO2 retrieved using (FSI) WFM-DOAS to ground based FTIR data and the TM3 chemistry transport model. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 4483–4498.
- 38. Barkley, M.P.; Monks, P.S.; Engelen, R.J. Comparison of SCIAMACHY and AIRS CO2 measurements over North America during the summer and autumn of 2003. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2006**, *33*, doi:10.1029/2006GL026807.
- 39. Barkley, M.P.; Friess, U.; Monks, P.S. Measuring atmospheric CO2 from space using full spectral initiation (FSI) WFM-DOAS. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *6*, 3517–3534.
- 40. Rozanov, A.; Rozanov, V.; Buchwitz, M.; Kokhanovsky, A.; Burrows, J. SCIATRAN 2.0—A new radiative transfer model for geophysical applications in the 175–2400 nm spectral region. *Adv. Space Res.* **2005**, *36*, 1015–1019.
- 41. Rothman, L.S.; Gordon, I.E.; Barbe, A.; Benner, D.C.; Bernath, P.E.; Birk, M.; Boudon, V.; Brown, L.R.; Campargue, A.; Champion, J.P.; et al. The HITRAN 2008 molecular spectroscopic database. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat.* **2009**, *110*, 533–572.
- 42. Livingston, W. and Wallace, L. An atlas of the solar spectrum in the infrared from 1850 to 9000 cm.1 (1.1 to 5.4 μm), National Solar Observatory, Tech. rep., 1991, 91-001.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).