

Article

An Evolutionary Approach to Adaptive Capacity Assessment: A Case Study of Soufriere, Saint Lucia

James Ryan Hogarth * and Dariusz Wójcik

Smith School of Enterprise and the Environment and School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford; Overseas Development Institute, 203 Blackfriars Road, London SE1 8NJ, UK

* Correspondence: j.hogarth@odi.org.uk; Tel.: +44-20-3817-0034

Academic Editor: Tan Yigitcanlar

Received: 28 December 2015; Accepted: 18 February 2016; Published: 3 March 2016

Abstract: This paper assesses the capacity of Soufriere, Saint Lucia to adapt to climate change. A community-based vulnerability assessment was conducted that employed semi-structured interviews with community members. The results were analysed using the Local Adaptive Capacity (LAC) framework, which characterises adaptive capacity based on five elements: asset base; institutions and entitlements; knowledge and information; innovation; and flexible forward-looking decision-making and governance. Beyond providing an in-depth analysis of Soufriere’s capacity to adapt to climate change, the paper argues that the elements of the LAC framework largely correspond with an evolutionary perspective on adaptive capacity. However, other evolutionary themes—such as structure, history, path-dependency, scale, agency, conservation of diversity, and the perils of specialisation—should also be taken into account.

Keywords: climate change; adaptation; vulnerability; adaptive capacity; evolutionary; community-based vulnerability assessment; local adaptive capacity framework; Small Island Developing States

1. Introduction

Over the coming years, the Caribbean islands are expected to experience increasing temperatures and changes in wind and rainfall patterns. These climate changes are likely to result in a variety of other changes including sea level rise, ocean warming, coral bleaching, the loss of marine biodiversity, increased coastal erosion and landslides, changes in growing seasons, and increased prevalence of pests, invasive species and contagious diseases [1]. This paper focuses on the capacity of Soufriere, Saint Lucia to adapt to these changes. Soufriere is the westernmost town and district of Saint Lucia, which is located in the Lesser Antilles between the Caribbean Sea and the Atlantic Ocean. The town and district have a combined population of 8472.

The case study is one of four that were conducted for a larger research project called the Global Islands’ Vulnerability Research Adaptation Policy Development (GIVRAPD) project. The other three case studies took place in island communities Jamaica, Mauritius and the Seychelles. Led by the not-for-profit organisation INTASAVE, the project aimed to identify the multi-scale socio-cultural, economic, institutional and ecological factors that shape local vulnerability.

One of the challenges in analysing a human system’s vulnerability to climate change is assessing the capacity that it has to reduce climate impacts through adaptation. This paper conceptualises adaptive capacity from an evolutionary theoretical perspective, which is developed in Hogarth, Campbell and Wandel [2]. As summarised in Section 2, it argues that an evolutionary perspective is largely represented by the Local Adaptive Capacity (LAC) framework, which characterises adaptive capacity based on five elements: asset base; institutions and entitlements; knowledge and information; innovation; and flexible forward-looking decision-making and governance. The LAC framework was

developed by the Overseas Development Institute (ODI) in 2010 during its Africa Climate Change Resilience Alliance project. Until the GIVRAPD project, it had not yet been applied in different contexts; nor has it been sufficiently grounded in academic theory. Therefore, beyond offering an in-depth analysis of Soufriere's capacity to adapt to climate change, the paper provides a critical assessment of whether the LAC framework fully captures the important elements of adaptive capacity.

To evaluate each of the elements of the LAC framework in Soufriere, and understand how they influence the community's capacity to adapt to climate change, a community-based vulnerability assessment was conducted that employed semi-structured interviews with community members within or related to the tourism, fisheries and agricultural sectors. To enable a cross-site comparison, the same theoretical perspective and methodology was applied in a case study in Whitehouse, Jamaica. The results of the Whitehouse study are provided in Hogarth and Wójcik [3].

2. Towards an Evolutionary Perspective on Adaptive Capacity

2.1. Alternative Theories of Adaptive Capacity

A rich body of literature has shown that a system's vulnerability to climate change is a factor not only of exogenous exposure to climatic hazards, but also of endogenous characteristics of the system itself, be it an ecosystem, household, community or nation [4]. Similar to ecosystems and biological organisms, human systems have a capacity to evolve to become more suited to local environmental and climatic conditions [5]. Through the process of adaptation, they will develop an ability to cope to some degree with climatic shocks—sudden-onset events like hurricanes, droughts, flooding, and fires—and slower-onset stresses such as sea level rise and desertification.

Adaptive capacity refers to the ability of human systems to adjust to changes in selective pressures, including changes in climatic stimuli. Adaptive capacity varies between systems and internally, within a system. It can alter a system's vulnerability a great deal. Hence, it is important to consider in assessments of vulnerability [6]. The determinants of a system's adaptive capacity are highly contested. See [2] for an overview of the literature.

Earlier studies on the risk of natural hazards viewed adaptive capacity primarily as a function of agents' ability interpret risks posed by hazards and make rational decisions based on those interpretations. "Floods are 'acts of God,' but flood losses are largely acts of man," argued Gilbert White [7], who observed that vulnerability is often exacerbated by irrational decisions by economic agents. This so-called "behavioural paradigm" draws on psychological and economic theories of choice and (mis)perception of risks to explain human behaviour in the face of hazards [8]. Neoclassical economics' "rational choice" models were a starting point for analysis. In these models rational, self-interested agents choose each course of action based on the *expected utility* that they will gain. More recent literature within the behavioural paradigm has developed more nuanced theories of human choice and action. For example, Eiser *et al.* [9] discussed the role of experience and learning processes in risk perception and decision-making. The paper drew on *cognitive heuristics*, which holds that individuals make decisions based not according to what is statistically rational, but on their own experience or the observed experience of others. Consequently, people will tend to over-generalise from their own relatively small data sets of experiences or observations, leading to underestimations regarding the danger of low-frequency events and overconfidence in safety procedures, buildings and infrastructure that have not been tested [9].

Alternative 'structural' perspectives on vulnerability emerged in the late-1970s out of discontent with the lack of progress on reducing disaster losses in developing countries. Agents were no longer seen as having choice within a wide range of theoretical adjustments to geophysical events. Rather, their choice of action was restricted by socio-economic factors including "(. . .) their social status, level of cultural literacy, access to credit sources, such as those embedded in kinship networks, technical expertise, size, and diversity of assets, employment options, household labour requirements, membership in voluntary organizations, productive capacity of capital, and commitment to cultural

values and religious conventions” [10]. Vulnerability is seen to stem from deeper “root causes”, such as globalisation, social inequalities, and colonization that place “dynamic pressures” on human systems through forces such as political and economic marginalisation, corruption, armed conflict, and ecological degradation [11].

Evolutionary theories offer an alternative perspective on adaptive capacity to behavioural and structural ones. From an evolutionary perspective, adaptation is driven by human agency—the behaviour, creativity, and entrepreneurialism of individuals and organisations. However, agents’ decision-making is structured by socio-economic, ecological and historical factors. From an evolutionary perspective, a full understanding of a human system’s adaptive capacity will therefore require an examination of how structure and agency interact to create locally specific vulnerability trajectories.

Unlike neoclassical economics, agents are not seen to constantly re-evaluate their actions to capture the greatest returns. Rather, firms and individuals tend to act according to routines—a concept that aligns well with that of cognitive heuristics. Beyond these routines, agents will aim to gain a competitive advantage through activities designed to ‘search’ for new more efficient routines or technology, such as R&D or market analysis. Adaptation occurs when a behavioural routine, an institution or a technology that provides a competitive advantage within local conditions is selected and retained. This process is sometimes path-dependent and irreversible in that events and decisions made in the past will limit the adaptive options available the future [12].

As increasingly competitive features are selected and retained, human systems are thought to progressively adapt towards an optimal state in which no potential features exist that are more competitive. In economics literature, such optimal states are characterised by maximisation of production and efficiency, harvesting of renewable resources at the maximum sustainable yield, and often specialisation in industry, technology, skills, behaviour, *etc.* However, at best, optima can only exist within local selection environments and can only be temporary. Over time, higher-level changes—climatic, ecological, technological, cultural or institutional—will lead to a different selection environment in which current routines and technologies are less well adapted or even *maladapted* [13,14]. Such shifts can be gradual or rapid, and can be triggered by processes at a variety of *scales* (community, regional, national and global), not only by the micro-economic processes at the level of the individual and firm. Multiple shifts can also occur simultaneously making it difficult, if not impossible, to predict local outcomes [2,14].

Given that selection pressures shift, excessive specialisation within current selective pressures reduces adaptive capacity by reducing the range of options that a system could use to cope with future pressures [14]. Adaptive capacity is therefore influenced by a system’s *diversity*. Just as genetic diversity is essential in the process of biological evolution, diversity within economic, socio-cultural, institutional, and technological spheres is an essential condition in the adaptation of human systems [14–16].

Resilience theories, which are grounded in ecology, have similarly observed the importance of diversity. Resilience refers to the ability of a system to withstand or absorb sudden shocks and slower onset stressors. In ecosystems, “Reducing variability and diversity produced conditions that cause a system to flip into an irreversible (typically degraded) state controlled by unfamiliar process” [17]. Biodiversity spreads risk across a variety of species analogous to the way diversity reduces risk in an investment portfolio [18]. Similarly, “diversity related to a wide range of activities, including agricultural techniques, industrial production methods, means of communication, languages, institutions, legislation and informal rules (culture)” is thought to increase the resilience of human systems in the face of structural change [14]. Diversity in a system can be retained, for example through conservation measures to protect local ecosystems, cultures, traditional ecological knowledge, *etc.* It can also be created through innovative processes that add novelty to the system such as entrepreneurialism, academia, R&D, and technology transfer.

Central to adaptive capacity of human systems is the adaptive flexibility its current components in response changing conditions. Due to the routinisation, path-dependency and structural conditioning of human activity, human systems tend to exhibit a degree of inertia, and are liable to get *locked-in* to specific industrial, technological and institutional constellations. Adaptations that limit flexibility in response

to unforeseen changes in the selection environment are particularly liable to lead to *maladaptations*. For example, infrastructure is particularly susceptible to lock-in maladaptations due to the large sunken costs and long life spans of road networks, buildings, water and sewerage systems and electricity grids.

Institutions, the “rules of the game” that structure behaviour, are also liable limit a systems flexibility [19]. Well-designed institutions can promote rational forward-looking decision-making, the creation of economic opportunity and the conservation of diversity. Poorly designed or enforced institutions can lock-in maladaptive behaviour, undermine the functioning of organisations and promote irrational and myopic decision-making. Dietz, Ostrom and Stern [20] argue that institutions can be designed to be flexible and responsive with built in mechanisms such as appropriate informational feedback systems and the regular deliberation of informed stakeholders.

2.2. Applying an Evolutionary Perspective to Adaptive Capacity Assessments

A variety of empirical methods have been used to assess adaptive capacity ranging from relatively quantitative to highly qualitative. Quantitative frameworks use surrogate indicators of adaptive capacity, the value of which they obtain from open sourced data or measure using surveys (see, for example, [21–23]). Some have adopted the five capitals of Sustainable Livelihoods approach to poverty reduction as proxy indicators of adaptive capacity (see [24,25]).

While the availability of assets is essential, as argued by Jones Ludi and Levine [26] “(...) asset-oriented approaches typically mask the importance of processes and functions in supporting adaptive capacity.” They fail to capture the role of agency in adaptation, *i.e.* the behaviour, creativity and entrepreneurialism of the individuals and organisations within the system, as well as the role that historical and structural factors play in constraining or enhancing those agents’ adaptive choices. Relevant structural factors could exist at a variety of different scales and, among others, could include class systems, gender inequalities, dysfunctional political systems, underdeveloped financial markets, and institutions governing land-use, resource extraction, and domestic and international trade. Finally, asset-oriented approaches fail to give weight to agents’ abilities to access information about hazards and adaptive options [27].

Jones, Ludi, and Levine [26] developed the LAC framework, which characterises adaptive capacity based on five elements: asset base; institutions and entitlements; knowledge and information; innovation; and flexible forward-looking decision-making and governance. The LAC framework is an improvement over capital-based approaches in that it examines the processes through which a system adapts, rather than just what it has that enables it to adapt. Its focus on institutions, knowledge, innovation, and flexibility in decision-making and governance corresponds with an evolutionary perspective on adaptive capacity. Table 1 describes the different elements that make up the LAC framework. Section 4 assesses each of these elements in the contexts of Soufriere. Section 5 concludes with a reflection on how well the LAC framework captures the different elements that an evolutionary perspective observes to influence adaptive capacity.

Table 1. Local Adaptive Capacity Framework [26].

Characteristic	Description
Asset Base	Tangible (natural, physical and financial) and intangible (human and social) capitals
Institutions and entitlements	Equitability of access to key assets and the process through which institutions evolve
Knowledge and information	The system’s ability to generate and disseminate information
Innovation	Degree to which the system fosters and retains novel behaviour, technology and institutions
Decision-making and governance	Degree to which governance and decision-making systems anticipate change and respond accordingly

3. Methodology

The GIVRAPD project employed a community-based vulnerability assessment (CBVA) methodology based on the work of Smit and Wandel [6]. Qualitative approaches to vulnerability assessment place strong emphasis on the local context—the local culture, history, social dynamics, and institutions—and how this context is shaped by forces at different scales (see, for example, [28–32]).

Prior to each field study, interviews with key informants were carried out to determine which site-specific topics would be covered in addition to the general topics described below. The field studies comprised semi-structured interviews with community members within or related to the tourism, fisheries and agricultural sectors. Local partners became part of the research team, with involvement ranging from introducing the GIVRAPD team to the field site to actively participating in interviews. Where the respondents did not speak English, local interpreters joined the researchers. Respondents were selected through a snowball sampling methodology in which interviewed individuals were asked to suggest additional interview subjects. To ensure adequate representation of the population, multiple “snowballs” were initiated, and interviews were carried out until “saturation” was reached, *i.e.*, no new information was being revealed by each additional interview.

The field study in Soufriere was conducted in July and August, 2012. In total, 180 interviews were conducted in Soufriere, distributed approximately equally among the three sectors. All interviewed individuals were adults. However, the sample included individuals from across all other age groups. It also comprised individuals from all income levels in Soufriere, and a roughly equal number of men and women.

Semi-structured interviews were based on an interview guide with thematic topics. Researchers guided the conversation and adjusted their questions based on respondents’ situations. Each interview began with contextual questions about the individual’s social and economic situation, followed by open-ended questions designed to explore, not probe. General topics that were covered at each field site included, among others, (1) changes that the respondent has observed in their community, regarding culture, social dynamics, environment and/or climate; (2) the respondent’s livelihood strategies and the specific challenges that they face; (3) the diversity of practices and technologies within the respondent’s occupation, how these have changed over the years, and whether these are “good practice” in terms of quality, environment impacts, *etc.*; (4) interactions that the respondent has had with their governments and/or other community organisations; (5) the respondent’s access to insurance, credit, and other sources of financial capital; (6) experiences that the respondent has had with climate-related stimuli, including both sudden shocks and slow onset stresses; and (7) the diversity of coping strategies that the respondent has at his or her disposal to deal with those challenges. After an open-ended phase of the interview, in which the discussion was led by the respondent, the interviewers probed into any specific topics that had not yet been covered. Finally, the interviewer would ask the respondent about whether they were aware of anticipated changes in the climate, how potential changes in their exposure to climate-related stimuli might affect their livelihoods and communities, and what coping strategies they might employ in different scenarios.

The interviews were transcribed, and the software NVivo was used to “code” the transcriptions according to the themes outlined in the LAC framework. The process of coding allowed for reflection on each interview, adding rigour to the analysis. Section 4 presents the findings. The specific topics covered (and the sub-headings of Section 4.2 on institutions and entitlements) emerged from the coding process. Findings were triangulated using a variety of third-party sources, including other studies, government documents and historical records.

4. Results in Soufriere, Saint Lucia

Soufriere is the westernmost town and district of Saint Lucia, which is located in the Lesser Antilles between the Caribbean Sea and the Atlantic Ocean (see Figure 1). The town and district have a combined population of 8472.



Figure 1. Map of Soufriere. Adapted from [33].

4.1. The Asset Base

This section examines the stock of natural, financial, human, physical and social capital available to residents of Soufriere, how that stock affects their ability to deal with changing selection pressures, and, if applicable, how that stock may be affected by climate change.

4.1.1. Financial Capital

Saint Lucia is classified as a middle-income country, with a per capita GDP of USD 5544.20 [34]. During most of the 20th century, its economy was based on a combination of tourism, agriculture, manufacturing and fishing. However, in recent years, Saint Lucia has increasingly relied on tourism for foreign exchange earnings. Soufriere, in particular, has become specialised in tourism. Between 1991 and 2012, the portion of residents in Soufriere with livelihoods in agriculture, fishing, and forestry

declined from 25.5% to 8.3%. The portion of those in manufacturing more than halved from 10.9% to 4.9%, while the portion employed in hotels and manufacturing almost doubled from 15.6% to 29.9% [34].

Agriculture and fishing have traditionally been the industries that have absorbed surplus labour in Soufriere. With the decline in these industries, there are now fewer employment options outside of the service sector. A number of respondents argued that tourism has not had the same economic uplift for lower income populations:

You still have some of the poorest parts of St. Lucia sitting in that town [Soufriere] . . . Yet St. Lucia's economy is driven 60% by tourism. 95% of every tourist goes into that town. Look at it. Look at Barons' Drive. Look at the whole area on the water front . . . There's nothing new undertaken. The gigantic windfall of money that comes in everyday on these boats, and used to come in by taxi... They spend, they eat in the restaurants. There must be 4000 people a day eating lunch in Soufriere . . . That's a lot of bread. Where do you see the benefit?

Although the tourism industry was able to absorb some of the excess labour created by a decline in fishing, agriculture and manufacturing, unemployment in Soufriere remains high. In 2012, the unemployment rate was 23.8%, relative to a national average of 21.4% [34]. Unemployment has consistently been higher among women than men since 1994.

Due to its high unemployment rate, Soufriere has one of the highest poverty rates in the country, despite being a major tourist centre. A 2005 Saint Lucia Country Poverty Assessment commissioned by the Caribbean Development Bank estimated that the minimum amount of money necessary to meet basic food and non-food needs in Saint Lucia was USD 5.22 per day. It found that 42.9% of the residents of Soufriere lived below this poverty line, compared to 30.4% nationally. Among children under 14, this figure increased to 59.3% [35].

With little financial capital to invest in adaptive measures, the adaptive capacity of many of the residents in Soufriere is severely curtailed. Many respondents explained that they lack the financial capital to invest in adaptive technologies such as drip irrigation systems, water catchments and greenhouses. Others were unable to invest in higher education. Some who wished to start a new business, for example, in agroprocessing, were hampered by their lack of investment capital.

Also reducing Soufriere's adaptive capacity is the lack of insurance against climate-related damages. A survey conducted in Soufriere by CARIBSAVE [36] found that only 8.7% of the sample had flood, fire or storm insurance. The main reasons cited by our respondents for not purchasing insurance against flood, fire or storm risk were the high cost of premiums, lack of knowledge about insurance products and a lack of trust of insurance companies. Furthermore, our research identified no farmers that had purchased crop insurance against weather-related risks, nor any financial institution providing such insurance products, indicating that institutional factors also inhibit Soufriere from insuring assets against climate-related damages.

4.1.2. Human Capital

Soufriere's human capital is improving, but remains lacking. The Ministry of Education introduced universal secondary education in Saint Lucia 2006. At that time, as seen in Figure 2, the majority of the population's highest level of education was primary school. Only 10.3% of Saint Lucia's population had any training beyond secondary school. Of those that have completed secondary school, few have received scientific and technical training, making the workforce unfit to take advantage of global technological advances.




Figure 2. Highest level of educational attainment in Saint Lucia in 1995 and 2005/06. Data from [35].

Furthermore, many of those from Soufriere that do receive technical training move to Castries or abroad to find work, resulting in a brain drain:

The community suffers, because students go to Castries to work, because the type of job that's needed in the community is not there... Skilled tradesmen are not working in Soufriere . . . We need people who are skilled, but we have big migration, because we have little jobs.

Soufriere's lack of human capital contributes to its high unemployment rate because its workforce is unable to compete in an increasingly knowledge- and service-based economy [35]. Numerous respondents from both public and private organisations explained that a lack of human capital also presents significant barriers in implementing adaptive strategies. For example, a lack of technical expertise was cited as a major impediment to monitoring and managing the coral reefs or to developing a national land-use plan. Springer [37] found that weak human resources also inhibited "water and wastewater management, pollution control, finance, integrated water resource planning, and the operation and maintenance of water-related infrastructure and services."

4.1.3. Natural Capital

Soufriere has a rich stock of natural capital that underpins its three main industries—fisheries, agriculture, and tourism. The main tourist attractions in Soufriere are forms of natural capital. The Pitons, two volcanic plugs that rise 743 and 771 m from the sea directly to south of the city, have earned Soufriere its status as a World Heritage Site. Tourists are also drawn to Soufriere for its volcanic sulphur springs, tropical forests, sandy beaches, waterfalls, coral reefs, biodiversity and comfortable climate.

Both tourism and agriculture rely on Soufriere's reliable supply of freshwater, and because agriculture in the area is predominantly rainfed, it depends on sufficient and predictable precipitation. Due to Saint Lucia's volcanic geology, groundwater sources are relatively few [36].

The fishing industry in Soufriere depends on a diverse and renewable fish stock. Offshore fisheries target pelagic fish species including tuna, mahi mahi, flying fish, wahoo, and black fish, while the near

shore fisheries target species that populate the coral reefs including grunts, snappers, parrotfish and groupers. The near shore area around Soufriere is governed by the Soufriere Marine Management Area (SMMA), which was established in 1994 and is discussed in detail in subsequent sections. A baseline assessment of fish stock was conducted at the outset of the SMMA by Goodridge *et al.* [38] and a follow-up study was done five years later by Roberts *et al.* [39]. As demonstrated in Figure 3, it was found that reef fish biomass increased four-fold within the marine reserves and three-fold within the fishing grounds during the five-year period.




Figure 3. The mean biomass of commercially important fish per count in kilograms in fished and un-fished areas of the SMMA from 1995 to 2001 [39]. (Copyright from Roberts, C.; Bohnsack, J.; Gell, F.; Hawkins, J.; Goodridge, R. Effects of marine reserves on adjacent fisheries. *Science* 2001, 294, 1920–1923. Reprinted with permission from AAAS.)

Climate change and human activity threatens much of the natural capital that is vital to fisheries, agriculture and tourism, and it is likely that without institutional or technical intervention, projected declines in natural capital will reduce Soufriere’s adaptive capacity. Downscaled Regional Circulation Models (RCMs) based on the IPCC’s higher emissions scenario project (The IPCC’s A2 scenario) that mean annual temperatures in Saint Lucia will increase by between 2.4 °C and 3.3 °C by 2080 relative to the 1970–1999 mean. While it is not yet possible to determine exactly what impact climate change will have on precipitation in Soufriere, most climate models point to a decrease in precipitation, and an increase in areas with rainfall deficit [40]. Depending on what parameters are fed into General Circulation Models (GCM), projections of the change in average monthly precipitation range from a large decrease in rainfall of 37 mm (−66%) to a moderate increase of 7 mm (+14%) by 2080. In the higher emissions scenario, large reductions in precipitation are projected to occur in all seasons, except for the current wet season in September, October, and November [36].

Soufriere has already experienced occasional shortages of water during the dry season from February to May. One respondent explained that, during these shortages, the government-run Water and Sewerage Company (WASCO) has difficulty supplying water to the large hotels. Moreover, farmers explained that their productivity is reduced during water shortages. An impact assessment conducted by the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean [41] projected that by 2050 increased temperatures and decreased rainfall in Saint Lucia will lead to a decrease in yields of root crops, bananas and other tree crops (While the models showed that the yields of non-banana tree crops will decrease, predicted increases in the prices of these crops caused their projected value to increase.), and an increase in the yields of vegetable crops.

The IPCC [42] projects that warming ocean temperatures caused by climate change will lead to a poleward shift in the distribution of pelagic fish species. If these projections are accurate, Saint Lucia,

at a latitude of 14° North, will likely experience a decrease in pelagic fish stock. Some fishers reported that the pelagic fish stock had already decreased:

Well there is a decrease in the types of fish for which I go deep sea fishing . . . mahi-mahi, king fish. I don't know whether it's because of the Japanese with all their nets and their huge boats.... fish migrate at certain times of the year to our waters here. But I guess because of global warming—the water is getting warmer up [North] so the fish don't migrate anymore. They just stay in the warmer waters.

Pauly [43] estimated that by 2050 global warming will cause the Caribbean Large Marine Ecosystem to experience a decrease in catch potential of 10%–20% relative to 2005 levels (all other things remaining constant). Currently, when the catch is poor offshore, fishers tend to rely on fishing in shallow waters. However, this adaptive strategy may not be an option in the future, because Saint Lucia's coral reefs and associate fish species are also under threat.

Despite the SMMA's success in reducing pressure from near-shore fishing, the coral reef ecosystem faces a number of other stressors. Tropical storms damage reefs through the sediment outflow triggered by erosion and landslides. The combination of Tropical Storm Debbie (1994) and Hurricane Lenny (1999) caused up to 50% mortality of the reefs around Soufriere Bay through sediment smothering [44]. Respondents reported that Hurricane Tomas (2010) also had devastating effects, along with coastal development, poor waste management, intensive agricultural practices and increasingly, coral bleaching:

Couple years ago we had coral bleaching... 2005, and the previous years before... the water was pretty warm around that time so you had some level of bleaching... You had Hurricane Tomas, just recently. That was devastating, because a lot of damage inland resulted in outflow. We also have a level of pollution, because...in and around Soufriere, within the interior, you have a lot of agriculture, so you have a lot of run-off into the marine environment. With time you find that accumulation would impact on the resources . . . Even now, after Tomas, the recovery of the interior forest hasn't picked up, so you'll find that after lots of rain you'll markedly see a pool of brown water enter the SMMA. What happens on land definitely affects what happens in the water... So that would affect the reef. It's going to affect fisheries. It's also going to affect tourism because poor water quality is going to affect the reef, scuba diving is poor.

Soufriere has experienced two large-scale bleaching events, in 1998 and 2005. The 2005 event was reported to have affected 43.8% of the corals. Only 4.3% of the corals affected died in 2006. Climate change will exacerbate coral bleaching. The IPCC [42] predicted with *high confidence* that globally, even under the most optimistic projections, warming oceans and ocean acidification will cause a 50% loss of coral to bleaching by 2050. In Saint Lucia, climate models project that bleaching will occur every year after 2040 due to thermal stress, and coral calcification is projected to decline 10% due to ocean acidification [44]. While the extent that coral bleaching will affect the near-shore fish stock in Soufriere is not yet clear, Pratchett *et al.* [45] predicted that in a higher emissions scenario productivity of coastal fisheries in the Pacific will decrease by between 10 and 35% by 2100.

There is also risk that Soufriere's temperature and weather patterns will become less hospitable with climate change. Increased frequency of heat waves, water shortages, flooding, intensity of storms and risk of vector borne diseases such as dengue fever, combined with biodiversity loss and shoreline erosion, may reduce the attractiveness of Soufriere as a tropical destinations [46,47].

4.1.4. Physical Capital

Much of Soufriere's physical capital is ill equipped to deal with current climate pressures, and there is risk that it will become increasingly maladapted with a changing climate. Respondents reported that Soufriere's drainage system reaches its capacity after an ordinary rainfall of only 25 min, and that larger rainfalls lead to flooding in parts of the town centre. Saint Lucia has only one large water storage facility, and few households have private water storage tanks. Hence, despite 98% of

the population having piped-water in their houses, Saint Lucia remains vulnerable during periods of low precipitation. Moreover, after a heavy rainfall, treatment plants are sometimes incapable of treating water due to high water turbidity, causing some communities to lose access to water for up to four days [36].

The District of Soufriere is more prone to landslides than elsewhere on the island. These are usually triggered by heavy rainfall, often during hurricanes. The hilly farming community of Fond St Jacques is particularly at risk. In 2010, the wind and rainfall of Hurricane Tomas triggered a landslide that destroyed numerous homes and killed ten residents. The total impact of Hurricane Tomas on Saint Lucia was estimated to be USD 336 million [48]. Relative to the rest of the island, Soufriere suffered the greatest damage to its housing stock [36]. During Hurricane Thomas, the road to Castries was damaged by erosion and landslides triggered by Hurricane Thomas. Respondents reported that it has not yet been adequately repaired and poses a risk of further slippage. Some of the hillsides along Soufriere's roads and near residences have been reinforced, but the majority of them have not. Fond St Jacques also experienced landslides in 1960, during a tropical depression that became Hurricane Abbey, which killed six people and caused XCD 4 million (USD 1.48 million) in damage to physical capital; as well as in 1994, during Tropical Storm Debbie, which killed three people and caused XCD 250 million (USD 92.6 million) damage [49]. Figure 4 tracks the historical hurricanes and tropical storms in the vicinity of Saint Lucia, giving an indication of frequency. The tracks of Allen, Debbie and Tomas are in red.




Figure 4. Historical storm tracks in the vicinity of Saint Lucia since 1848 [50]. (Copyright from Quinn, P. Landslide susceptibility and risk in Saint Lucia. *Can. Geotech. J.* 2014, submitted.)

Hurricanes tend to strike Saint Lucia from the east placing Soufriere on the leeward side of the island. The exception to this rule was Hurricane Lenny, which in 1999 travelled an unprecedented eastward route from the southwest Caribbean through the Lesser Antilles to the Atlantic Ocean.

Throughout the region, Lenny caused extensive damage to communities on western coasts, which, unlike eastern facing communities, had not evolved under direct exposure to hurricanes. Although the eye of the storm passed well to the north of Saint Lucia, and hence is not featured in Figure 4, the rough seas created by Hurricane Lenny damaged the seawall, the coastal road and numerous coastal homes in Soufriere. The most damage occurred to homes in Baron's Drive, a small fishing settlement on the southern shore of Soufriere Bay that consisted of approximately 100 households, many of which were of poor quality construction and lacked legal tenancy. Waves also inundated the river causing floods that cut off the southern half of Soufriere Town from the hospital [51].

While a reversal in the direction of hurricane tracks in the Caribbean is not a projected outcome of climate change, Hurricane Lenny illustrates how human systems will tend to evolve with little or no capacity to cope with extreme events unless they are foreseen and planned for. Much of Soufriere's infrastructure is built on or near the coastline, making it highly exposed to any increase in storms surge. The main road, jetties, town centre, numerous residences, hotels and resorts, hospital, and the police, fire and ambulance stations are located just above sea level. One respondent explained that this location is largely a path-dependent outcome of Saint Lucia's colonial past:

That's where the British and the French put the stuff because they didn't have the technology to go in land and to tackle these hills.

The position of Soufriere's infrastructure close to sea level also makes it sensitive to sea level rise. The IPCC [42] projects that in the year 2100 the global average sea level will be between 0.35 to 0.70 m higher than present day due primarily to thermal expansion [52]. However, there is also a possibility of much larger and irreversible sea level rise. (Sustained warming could lead to the near-complete loss of the Greenland ice sheet over the next millennium or more, which would result in average global sea levels rising about seven meters [52].

4.1.5. Social Capital

Social capital refers to the norms of reciprocity and trustworthiness between individuals. Social capital is thought to facilitate co-operation within communities because it reduces uncertainty and costs involved in enforcing contracts [53]. Therefore, communities with higher levels of social capital are better able to overcome problems of collective action.

In general, respondents felt that there was a scarcity of social capital in Soufriere Town. It was suggested that much of this lack of social capital is deeply rooted in history:

They know each other's history so they use it sometimes to say that they won't work with this person, because this person . . . his family steals . . . It's a small community—everybody knows everybody. As long as I know your last name I can know who you are.

Another respondent felt that social capital has eroded over time:

A lot of our vulnerability comes from the fact that we are losing the things that give us strength . . . We are losing communities. We are losing community life. And so, not just the naturally vulnerable sectors, but everybody—the nation, the communities—are becoming more and more vulnerable as we lose our strength... We are losing the very thing that gave us strength as a people—that we took care of each other within the community.

Lack of social capital reduces Soufriere's adaptive capacity for a number of reasons. It undermines institutions and increases enforcement costs. It also makes it difficult individuals to work together to implement and scale-up adaptive options:

In St. Lucia it is already difficult to get groups going because one other thing is lack of trust . . . Everybody wants to be an individual... We called it 'ti-mo-ti' in St. Lucia, which means small shop mentality . . . It is not going to work here, because right now even the funding agencies don't fund individuals; they only fund groups...

Interestingly, there was noticeable difference in the views of respondents from Soufriere Town and those from Fond St Jacques. In Fond St Jacques respondents highlighted the community's strong social capital, and stressed its importance in coping with Hurricane Tomas and the landslide:

All the people in the community just came together as one after that storm, helped out, pulled people out that were trapped in their house, and put them on stable ground. We didn't need no paramedic to do that. That's my third hurricane that I saw already here in that community . . . Debbie, I lost a cousin and two nephews. And Tomas, a cousin . . . It was the community coming together, because just a day or two after the hurricane you cannot expect government to do anything... It is 6–7 days after that you can expect the government will try to do something.

4.2. Institutions and Entitlements

This section will discuss institutions at different scales that respondents highlighted as having influence on their adaptive capacity.

4.2.1. Historical Institutions of a Plantation Economy

As a colonial settlement in the 18th and 19th Centuries, Soufriere was the parish with the most sugar, coffee and cocoa plantations on the island [54]. When the British solidified control of Saint Lucia with the Treaty of Paris in 1814, the population of the island included “1200 whites, 1800 coloured, and 14,000 blacks, the vast majority of which were slaves” [55]. It was not until the 1830s, that the British Parliament passed the “Act for the abolition of slavery throughout the British colonies” [55].

It is difficult to determine the extent of the effects of Soufriere's history of slavery on today's community. However, it likely contributed to the inequality that still exists in the area. After emancipation, many of the newly freed slaves began subsistence farming in small plots in the hills. Others began subsistence fishing [56]. These livelihoods still dominate the lower-income populations of Soufriere. Others still continued to work the estates as free labourers in return for food and shelter. This economic model has only recently changed, as explained by one interview respondent:

My father used to pay the people XCD 20. They now want XCD 60/80... Back then the people respected the white man... He had just one set of guys. They would work from 7 in the morning until 1 o'clock; and from 1 until 4 o'clock would be their time to do the garden, their vegetable garden for themselves. So they would feed their family that way . . . You don't get people doing that anymore . . . The younger generation has moved away from farming and into tourism—quick money.

A more tangible impact of slavery is Soufriere's pattern of land ownership. After Emancipation, with the plantations still in control of the most fertile and accessible agricultural land, freed slaves founded communities in the hills, including Zenon and Fond St Jacques, where they lived as small-scale subsistence farmers. This path-dependent land ownership continues to constrain the production and adaptive capacity of these communities. The steep slopes prevent mechanisation and irrigation and inhibit transportation. Without irrigation, farmers are limited in crop types and timing and at the mercy of increasingly unpredictable weather patterns. Perhaps most worrisome, the clearing of vegetation on this land has intensified soil erosion and increased the risk of landslides [36].

4.2.2. International Trade Law

International trade law has had a substantial impact on Soufriere's stock of financial capital and, as a result, its adaptive capacity. Over the last three decades, international trade liberalisation has led to a flood of cheap imports into Saint Lucia and the loss of its protected foreign markets for cash crops. In Soufriere, the industry hit hardest by increased cheap imports was coconut (copra) oil production. Since 1959, the Saint Lucia Coconut Growers Association (SLCGA) and Coconut Manufacturers Limited operated a copra factory in Palmiste, near Soufriere town. The factory processed coconut jelly into cooking oil, margarine, suntan oil and soap, and sold the products within the CARICOM region. At its

height, in the 1970s and 1980s, the factory was a major source of employment in Soufriere, employing over 200 people, mostly women. Moreover, the factory created a guaranteed market for members of the coconut growers of the SLCGA, which numbered approximately 3000, 95% of which produced less than 10 tons of copra per annum. However, the availability of cheaper alternatives, including soybean and palm oil, due to international trade liberalisation caused Saint Lucia's copra industry to become increasingly reliant on the protectionist policies entrenched in CARICOM's Oil and Fats Agreement. (CARICOM's Oil and Fats Agreement provided for negotiated guaranteed prices for copra oil and strict controls on imports of substitutable products. In 1988, when this price was set at XCD 1120 (US\$415) per ton, CARICOM countries were purchasing edible oil from non-CARICOM countries at 50% the agreed upon price. Given this price differential the policies were relaxed somewhat in the 1990s. Despite common external tariffs on substitutable products, including 40% tariffs in 2007, the CARICOM market for copra oil was undercut by cheaper forms of edible oil.) As these policies were relaxed in the 1990s, business for Soufriere's copra factory rapidly slowed and the factory went bankrupt in 2011.

Across the entire island of Saint Lucia, the industry that was impacted the most by international trade liberalisation was banana production. Saint Lucia was historically entitled to privileged access to the EU banana market as a former UK colony (This access was formalised with the Lomé Convention in 1975, which allowed most agricultural and mineral goods from 71 African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) countries to enter the then European Community free of duty. The goal was to allow former British, Dutch, Belgian and French colonies to make the transition to independent statehood and grow their economies without recourse to foreign aid. After the formation of the single European market in 1992, EU-wide tariffs were imposed on bananas from non-APC countries and country-specific guaranteed quotas were granted to ACP states. These policies enabled Caribbean countries to secure a 7% market share in the 1990s despite the fact that Latin American producers, which held three-quarters of the EU-market, were much more efficient). However, after the World Trade Organisation (WTO) was formed in 1995, this privileged access was gradually eroded. Years of preferential treatment for Caribbean banana producers led Saint Lucian farmers to specialise in banana production, and the impact of the policy shift on Saint Lucia's economy was profound. Between 1992 and 2008, the number of banana farmers decreased 85% from 10,000 to 1500 [57]. Exports declined from 133 thousand tonnes in 2002 to 30 thousand tonnes in 2010, and revenues fell from XCD 157 million (USD 58 million) to XCD 39 million (USD 14 million) [34]. Already reeling from international trade liberalisation, the banana industry has subsequently had to face an influx of the black sigatoka disease, which between 2010 and 2013 infested an estimated 70% of Saint Lucia's banana plants [58]. The successive shifts in the selection environment, and their devastating consequences for Saint Lucia's primary export, demonstrate the perils of specialisation.

Soufriere did not specialise in banana production as much as other parts of the island due to its hilly terrain. However, interview respondents explained that other regions' preoccupation with export markets had allowed Soufriere to secure a large share of the domestic market for vegetables. As the banana industry in Saint Lucia declined, competition in the domestic vegetable market increased. Hence, the indirect effects of the decline of the banana industry on farmers in Soufriere were significant.

4.2.3. Institutions Surrounding Land-Use and Construction

Institutions surrounding land-use and construction have significant implications for adaptive capacity because they influence private decisions that have long-term consequences. Major developments in Saint Lucia are subject to approval of an environmental impact assessment (EIA) by the Development Control Authority (DCA). Respondents raised a number of concerns about the EIA process. One explained that EIAs were not made public, and due to a lack of transparency, there was inadequate public discussion and consultation about the approval of developments. Another was concerned that when approving developments, inadequate consideration was given to landslides and flooding.

Saint Lucia has a building code. However, it is considered a guideline, not an instrument of law, and is therefore not enforced by the DCA. One respondent explained how the enforcement has allowed for myopic decision-making during construction:

The building of houses still hasn't taken into account hurricanes . . . Older buildings, in the 19th century, 18th century, they have been built with gable roofs, principally for hurricanes. That's the whole idea of the gable roofs in the tropics . . . everything was to offset the weight. Now we [can] forecast hurricanes . . . we seem to [have] less care . . . with the kind of designs—flat roofs and things without hurricanes in mind. And you see it in public buildings, which shouldn't be . . . Before we had a widely distributed public water system, every house was to have some water harvesting system. Either they would use their own oil drums or they would use these metal tanks . . . to collect rainwater for use. With the emergence of the public water system, people started building houses of all sizes with no allocation for water harvesting. Incidentally, in the Developing Control Authority Act . . . every household above a given size is supposed to have its own water harvesting equipment. Nobody pays heed to it.

In 2012, the government revised the voluntary guidelines so that wind speeds up category 4 and 5 hurricanes would be taken into account when considering the structural engineering of public buildings. Moreover, the Caribbean region is in the process of developing a Caribbean Uniform Building Code [36]. Nonetheless, lack of enforcement of the both the building code and EIA within private sector remains problematic.

Compounding the issue, a portion of construction and farming in Soufriere occurs without legal tenure in place, thus is not subject to any regulations at all. One respondent explained how insufficient regulation has contributed to Soufriere's vulnerability:

It's contributing to the changes in use that make our environment more vulnerable to further degradation and to damage or impacts by storms and climate change . . . Those types of interventions by squatters, they will not pass under the scrutiny of planning. The guys go in and cut down, build on a steep slope, put a house there . . . In Hurricane Tomas that, a lot of the places that were affected were places that had less than optimal standards in construction and planning standards.

4.2.4. Soufriere Marine Management Area

In 1986, the Government of Saint Lucia passed legislation designating many reefs in Saint Lucia as marine reserves. However, without funds for marking boundaries or enforcement, the legislation proved ineffective. Meanwhile, in Soufriere, conflicts were breaking out between fishers, yachtsmen, scuba divers and snorkelers over entitlement to the use of near-shore resources. In 1992, the Department of Fisheries began a consultation process to identify the conflicts between the various users and the condition of coastal resources around Soufriere. In 1994, the Government of Saint Lucia approved the creation of the Soufriere Marine Management Area (SMMA) and the designation of a local NGO, the Soufriere Marine Management Association, as the Local Fishery Management Authority. The SMMA was launched in 2005.

The SMMA's boundaries stretch along 11 km of coastline (See Figure 1), and its width extends either 100 m off shore or to a depth of 70 m, whichever is greater. Within the SMMA there are five different types of management zones: marine reserve, where no fishing is permitted; fishing priority areas; recreational areas; yachting areas; and one sanctuary, where no activity permitted, except scientific studies.

The SMMA has enhanced Soufriere's adaptive capacity in multiple ways. First, it has reduced conflict over near-shore resources, hence increasing social capital. Second, as discussed in Section 4.1, it has conserved biodiversity and increased local fish biomass. Gell and Roberts [59] attributed this success to the SMMA's design, in which continuous reef habitat across the zones allows fish to breed in four no-take marine reserves and "spill-over" into fishing priority zones. Respondents also highlighted the importance of the consultation process in laying the ground for high levels of compliance.

4.3. Knowledge and Information

Adaptation to any change in selection pressures requires that agents have an understanding, not only about the pressure itself, but also about their adaptive options [27]. In general, most respondents in Soufriere were aware of climate change, but had a poor understanding of how it might affect them and how they might respond.

I think that's the sad element of it. You hear about it all the time, but there is still that kind of attitude that it's somebody else's problem . . . It lacks visibility... Even the idea of stronger hurricanes, and we saw ours with Thomas in Soufriere... people still do not relate to it. You cannot blame the layman in the street... The layman will take heed when he sees that the institutions are restructuring themselves to deal with these things.

There are a number of measures in place in Soufriere to both generate knowledge about hazards and adaptive options, and to disseminate that knowledge. The CARIBSAVE Partnership has been influential in producing detailed climate modelling projections for Saint Lucia and disseminating the results in an easily interpreted Climate Change Risk Atlas [36]. CARIBSAVE also developed maps of areas at risk of inundation from sea level rise elsewhere on the island, but not of Soufriere. It is not yet scientifically possible to project the many impacts of climate change with precision, hence the large ranges provided for projected annual precipitation and sea level rise. Public officials explained that this uncertainty makes it difficult to make decisions concerning long-term infrastructure investments.

The information that is available about potential future impacts has not always resulted in sufficient systems being put in place to monitor those impacts. For example, it is known that climate change will likely result in coral bleaching, which will in turn impact the health of fish populations within the SMMA boundaries. However, multiple respondents explained that monitoring of corals and fish is done on an ad hoc basis, largely by foreign researchers. Coral bleaching is generally reported to the SMMA informally by scuba diving tourist operators. Adaptive governance necessitates much more systematic and thorough monitoring.

In other areas, appropriate monitoring systems have been put in place. For example, water supply is monitored at stations that measure rainfall across Saint Lucia, and in 2006, approximately 90% of the water users on the island had meters installed in 2006, allowing for monitoring of water demand [36]. Likewise, the Met Office monitors approaching storm systems in the Atlantic.

To enable a response, these monitoring systems must be linked to systems that disseminate information about hazards to all stakeholders. Most respondents agreed that the early warning system in place for hurricanes is effective. The Met Office red flags any approaching storms for the National Emergency Management Office (NEMO), the Cabinet Secretary and the Prime Minister. NEMO then informs District Disaster Committees, which hold pre-strike meetings. The Prime Minister initiates meetings with government agencies and ministries and utility companies. Hurricane warnings are then disseminated to people via NEMO's Facebook page, text messages via the telephone companies, radio stations and the cable service providers.

NEMO recently installed a pilot early warning system for flooding in the community of Corinth in northern Saint Lucia. However, there is no early warning system in place for flooding in Soufriere. The Ministry of Agriculture and the Water Resources Management Authority are in the early stages of developing an early warning system for drought, and the Ministry of Health has early warning systems in place for epidemics, etc. Such systems could prove vital in increasing Soufriere and Saint Lucia's capacity to cope with extreme events.

A number of government agencies have taken steps to get information about adaptive options to relevant stakeholders. For example, the Department of Fisheries has an Extension and Technology Adaptation Unit that offers presentations and training programmes for fishermen on new practices and technologies. At the time of research, an exhibition had recently taken place on environmental considerations in diving and other water-based activities. The Forestry Department engages in awareness campaigns targeted at farmers about maintaining forest cover on slopes to mitigate the risk

of landslides. Finally, the Development Control Authority offers training workshops on the northern part of the island for architects, planners, builders, engineers and contractors on construction practices that take into account the risk of hurricanes. These workshops were not offered in Soufriere.

4.4. Innovation

Innovation is the process through which economic agents “search” for new products, processes and forms of organisation that offer a competitive advantage in local selection pressures, and bring those novelties into use [12]. It is a socio-technical process that involves dynamic interplay between knowledge flows, market forces, social norms, politics and institutions [60]. The diffusion of knowledge about the new behaviour or technology and the development of skills, organisational structures, and financing mechanisms necessary to apply it, are often as important as the discovery of product or practice. Innovation is central to adapting to climate change, because it involves the adoption of new behaviours and technologies that are more suited to changing local conditions.

In general, Soufriere has few formal processes in place to actively “search” for novel behaviour or technologies. A notable exception would be the nursery of the Belle Vue Farmers’ Co-operative, which trials new seedlings to determine which are most appropriate within the current local climatic conditions. Future changes in temperature and precipitation will likely change the species and strains of crops that are suitable locally. Respondents argued that further research by the government was needed to determine the most appropriate crops in projected future climate conditions.

If we’re going to have changes in the weather and the climate patterns for the Caribbean, we have to start investing in—especially into the impact on the types of crops that we will be able to grow... If the climate patterns are going to change, it will impact on the species that we can grow and what varieties. If [the Ministry of Agriculture] could start the research now, then we could gradually ease into any change.

The Fisheries Department has been successful in shifting fishing activity away from the near-shore through the implementation of Fish Aggregating Devices (FADs), which consist of a float attached to concrete blocks on the ocean floor and serve to attract schools of fish. FADs are often run over and dislodged by large ships, and need to be replaced frequently. The Fisheries Department hopes that eventually it will be able to hand the FAD programme over to the local fishermen co-operatives, but the technical capacity among fishers is not yet adequate. At the time of research, the Fisheries Department was training fishermen in FAD construction.

Indeed, the lack of soft technologies—the knowledge, technical skills and the availability of financing mechanisms—combined with the lack of financial capital, were frequently cited as the main barriers to the adoption of fitter technologies and practices. For example, greenhouses and drip irrigation systems are technologies that could increase farmers’ capacity to cope with current selective pressures, let alone future climate conditions. Respondents repeatedly cited a lack of financial capital as a barrier to uptake of both of these technologies.

In efforts to overcome these barriers, the Belle Vue Co-operative has partnered with the Fond St Jacques Credit Union to secure affordable loans for its members for the purchase of adaptive technologies, including greenhouses. It has also partners with external agencies to promote innovation in agricultural practices. For example, to adapt to the rising costs of fertiliser, and restore the fertility of its topsoil, it has partnered with the Global Environment Facility to implement a project that promotes supplementing or substituting the use of chemical fertilisers with natural compost.

Numerous respondents felt that there was also room for innovation and entrepreneurialism in the area of agro processing. They pointed to the frequent gluts of fruit, many of which go to waste in farmers’ fields due to lack of markets. One agro processing initiative that has been successful is Rainforest Cereal, a group of women supported by the Soufriere Foundation that produces granola. Respondents generally cited lack of human capital and financial capital as the main barriers to further agro processing businesses.

In contrast to fisheries and agriculture, the tourism industry in Soufriere has displayed a high degree of innovative and entrepreneurial capacity with nascent businesses and varieties of tours emerging regularly. Despite this capacity, the tourism industry has demonstrated limited capacity to innovate in the area of water conservation. While recycling wastewater to water lawns and gardens has become common practice, water conservation technologies remain inadequate and rudimentary [36,37].

4.5. Flexible Forward-looking Decision-making and Governance

Central to the adaptive capacity of a system is the capacity of the agents within that organisations to anticipate changes in selection pressures and to respond accordingly [26]. Responding to an anticipated change often requires long-term planning in order to prevent maladaptive behaviour [61]. In theory, private actors have the incentive to plan for anticipated changes in selection pressures. However, private decision-making is often myopic due to asymmetric information, public goods, externalities, a lack of financial capital and the tendency of individuals and organisations to act according to routines. In cases where private decision-making tends to be myopic it is often necessary for more centralised planning, either by government or community groups, and/or the creation of institutions to guide private behaviour.

Successful planning initiatives to guide decision-making have occurred at the local and national levels. At the local level, the launch of the SMMA marked a significant step in planning the use of near-shore resources in Soufriere. The SMMA's success in protecting the biodiversity and ecological resources is integral to maintaining Soufriere's adaptive capacity. However, the challenges faced by the SMMA are dynamic. Further planning is required to address the challenges that Soufriere's near-shore resources are expected to face in the future including coral bleaching and the decline in near-shore fisheries, invasive species and increased intensity of storms.

Saint Lucia developed its National Climate Change Policy and Adaptation Plan (NCCPAP) in 2003. The NCCPAP broadly outlines the government's policy goals and objectives of addressing potential impacts of climate change including impacts on marine and terrestrial biodiversity, water resources, human health, infrastructure and agriculture. The Plan provides a useful framework for government action on climate change. A number of sector specific plans have also been developed at the national level that address challenges created by climate change. In 2004, Saint Lucia developed a National Water Policy, which governs the allocation of water among competing uses; and in 2009, the Cabinet approved a revised Water Management Plan for Drought Conditions. During periods of drought, WASCO manages abstraction levels and rations water resources to prevent overuse [40]. In 2006, Saint Lucia passed a National Disaster Management Act, which defines the roles of various agencies involved in disaster response, including NEMO, and sets guidelines for emergency shelter operations.

Planning at the national level in Saint Lucia has partly been driven by funding and initiatives at the regional and international levels (Saint Lucia has participated in the Caribbean Planning for Adaptation to Climate Change project (1997 to 2001), the Adaptation to Climate Change in the Caribbean Project (2001 to 2004), and the Mainstreaming Adaptation to Climate Change project (2004 to 2009). These projects sought to build adaptive capacity in the region by encouraging governments to mainstream adaptation considerations into development agendas. Saint Lucia has also benefited from World Bank funding to help strengthen the government's capacity to respond to adverse natural events such as hurricanes and floods. Finally, the country is part of Pilot Programme for Climate Resilience (PPCR), a long-term project with USD 60–75 million dollars in grant funding for the Caribbean region from the Climate Investment Funds, which are managed by multilateral development banks. Like previous projects, the PPCR aims to integrate considerations of climate risk into national development planning). Despite this support, a number of priority actions listed in Saint Lucia's NCCPAP have yet to materialise. For example, a high priority action was to "Develop a comprehensive national land-use and management plan, which, *inter alia*, incorporates climate change concerns and which based upon such concerns, makes prescriptions regarding the location of future settlements and urban development without compromising water supply and other such requisites for the sustainability

of settlements” [1]. This priority was reiterated by numerous respondents who argued that private decision-making about land-use has frequently resulted in myopic behaviour such as the clearing of steep hillsides for agriculture and construction in areas prone to landslides, flooding, and inundation from sea level rise and storm surge. Such decisions are highly prone to maladaptive outcomes due to the path-dependent and often irreversible nature of development. To reduce this risk, respondents stressed that a national land-use strategy must incorporate no-build zones in high-risk areas and begin to accommodate sea level rise through coastal setbacks.

The Ministry of Physical Planning and the Environment has developed planning guidelines that call for setbacks from the high water mark, buffers next to rivers and ravines, and consideration of slopes in construction [62]. Nonetheless, no binding institutions have been created that mandate coastal setbacks, the relocation of settlements at risk or the types of developments allowed in areas along the coast or on steep slopes [36].

Respondents explained that Saint Lucia’s existing institutions concerning land-use are inflexible and make land-use planning unlikely. The country’s constitution guarantees landowners’ rights to enjoy their land, which could be interpreted broadly, and there is reluctance among politicians to pass laws that may encroach on these rights:

Government should demark areas and declare areas disasters zones or no build zones, but does government have the political will to do that . . . : ‘Where else am I going to build Mr. Minister? Are you telling me I cannot build there? Are you going to give me the land to build somewhere else? What about the land that I would have planted on, within that same no build area?’ Government needs to show that it has vision and it has the wellbeing of the residents at heart . . . They’ll articulate a policy, but the enforcement of will not go ahead because that affects political survival.

Such political impasse can sometimes be overcome during windows of opportunity created by external pressures or events. For example, the damage to property caused by the storm surge from Hurricane Lenny created a window of opportunity for the Government of Saint Lucia to engage with the residents of Baron’s Drive regarding resettlement away from the shoreline. A new settlement was built on what was subsequently named “Lenny’s Hill” and with government support, a number of households on Baron’s Drive relocated. The event also prompted the government to begin the process of coastal development planning in Soufriere [51].

5. Discussion and Conclusions

An adaptive capacity assessment that relies on assets alone would paint a rather stark picture of Soufriere’s capacity to adapt to climate change. Soufriere’s adaptive capacity is weakened by its inadequate stock of financial, human, physical and social capital (though the distribution of each of these assets within the community is highly unequal); and it is enhanced by the community’s rich natural capital—its fertile soil, fresh water, coral reefs, near shore and pelagic fish populations, hospitable climate and the Pitons. Much of this natural capital is at risk from pollution, overexploitation, as well as from climate change, which threatens to undermine the very assets that are the foundation of Soufriere’s economy. However, an assessment of Soufriere’s adaptive capacity would be incomplete without an examination of factors such as the NEMO’s early warning system for hurricanes, the Belle Vue Co-operative’s trial nursery or the SMMA. The success of the SMMA in establishing such an innovative institution to enhance social capital and to protect the biodiversity of Soufriere’s near shore ecosystems demonstrates a high degree of adaptive capacity that would not be captured through an approach that focused exclusively on assets.

Therefore, it was evident from this study that when assessing adaptive capacity it is important to consider not only the assets that agents have at their disposal to adapt, but also the processes whereby institutions guide private behaviour, knowledge is generated and disseminated, novel practices and technologies are introduced, and governance decisions are made.

Beyond these elements of the LAC framework, this study observed a number of themes prevalent in evolutionary literature that the LAC framework does not explicitly take into account. For example, much of Soufriere's lack of social capital is the result of historical social divisions that have undermined trust and made it difficult for community members to cooperate to implement adaptive strategies. As in Whitehouse, Soufriere's landownership patterns are a path-dependent product of slavery and colonialism. Institutions, including clauses in Saint Lucia's Constitution that guarantee landowners' rights to determine the use of their land, reinforce this path-dependency of land-use by reducing the government's flexibility in instituting mandatory coastal set-backs or land reforms.

This case study further revealed that consideration must be given to scale. For example, Soufriere's stock of financial capital has been heavily influenced by international institutions that govern trade. At first, these institutions protected the markets for Saint Lucia's copra and banana industries; subsequently, they undermined them. Understanding Soufriere's declining agriculture sector and its increasing specialisation in tourism would not be possible without consideration of the evolving institutional environment at the national and international scales. There is a danger that the 'Local' Adaptive Capacity framework will fail to capture such higher-level factors that influence a locality's adaptive capacity if they are not explicitly included.

By factoring in innovation and flexible and forward-looking decision-making, the LAC framework implicitly considers the role of agency in fostering new adaptive options and shaping the institutional structures that guide private behaviour. However, the LAC framework does not explicitly consider that different agents have different capabilities of making rational decisions within the structured spectrum of adaptive options. For example, although seemingly irrational decisions—such as the use of weak building materials – could be partially attributed to structural root causes, they could also simply be the result of poor decision-making due to humans' tendency to act heuristically and sometimes myopically.

Lastly, the LAC framework does not explicitly consider a system's capacity to conserve diversity. The institutions designed to conserve diversity, particularly biodiversity, proved to be essential in maintaining Soufriere's adaptive capacity. In contrast, the study further demonstrated the perils of specialisation in one industry. Saint Lucia's specialisation in bananas would not necessarily have factored poorly in an assessment using the LAC framework during boom times. Likewise, Soufriere's increasing specialisation in the industry could easily have been interpreted as positive, given tourism's role in increasing financial and human capital.

Evolutionary themes—including structure, history, path-dependency, scale, agency, conservation of diversity, and the perils of specialisation—should be explicitly taken into account in a framework for assessing adaptive capacity. Some of them, such as agency and conservation of diversity, could be included as new categories within the LAC framework. However, it would likely be more practical to include these evolutionary themes as explicit considerations within the other categories as was done in this study.

Finally, this paper demonstrated the importance of using qualitative research methods in adaptive capacity assessments. While quantitative data, particularly about the asset base, are essential, in order to unearth the evolutionary themes discussed above, rich descriptive data are required that can only be captured using qualitative research methods that place strong emphasis on the local context. This case study captured a wealth of such data, which will be valuable to decision-makers in deciding which interventions are most appropriate in enhancing Soufriere's adaptive capacity and how they can be effectively implemented.

Acknowledgments: We would like to acknowledge all of those in Soufriere who generously gave up their time to be interviewed for this project. We are grateful for their insights. We would like to thank Jeremy Pittman for managing the fieldwork in Soufriere, and Johanna Wandel for developing the methodology and reviewing the document. We would also like to thank Donovan Campbell, Sarah Corry, Wadzanai Madangombe and Marium Alleyne for their contribution to the fieldwork, as well as Machilu Zimba for managing the GIVRAPD project. Finally, we would like to thank Martin and Elise Smith and the Social Science and Humanities Research Council

of Canada for generously funding Ryan Hogarth's studies, as well as the Climate and Development Knowledge Network (CDKN) for funding the GIVRAPD research project.

Author Contributions: Ryan Hogarth is the primary author of this paper. He contributed to the fieldwork in Saint Lucia, analysed the results and wrote the document. Dariusz Wojcik reviewed the paper and supervised Ryan Hogarth's research.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. GoSL. *Saint Lucia National Climate Change Policy and Adaptation Plan*; Government of Saint Lucia: Castries, Saint Lucia, 2003.
2. Hogarth, J.; Campbell, D.; Wandel, J. Assessing human vulnerability to climate-related extreme events: From theory to practice. In *Reducing Disaster: Early Warning Systems for Climate Change*; Zommers, Z., Singh, A., Eds.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2014; pp. 63–88.
3. Hogarth, J.; Wójcik, D. An evolutionary approach to adaptive capacity assessment: A case study of Whitehouse, Jamaica. *J. Rural Stud.* **2016**, *43*, 248–259. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. IPCC. *Third Assessment Report, Climate Change 2001*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2001.
5. Smit, B.; Pilifosova, O. Adaptation to climate change in the context of sustainable development and equity. In *Third Assessment Report—Working Group II: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2001; Chapter 18.
6. Smit, B.; Wandel, J. Adaptation, adaptive capacity and vulnerability. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **2006**, *16*, 282–292. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. White, G. *Human Adjustment to Floods: A Geographical Approach to the Flood Problem in United States*; Department of Geography, University of Chicago: Chicago, IL, USA, 1945.
8. Smith, K.; Petley, D. *Environmental Hazards: Assessing Risk and Reducing Disasters*, 5th ed.; Routledge: London, UK, 2009.
9. Eiser, J.; Bostrom, A.; Burton, I.; Johnston, D.; McClure, J.; Paton, D.; van der Pligt, J.; White, M. Risk interpretation and action: A conceptual framework for responses to natural hazards. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* **2012**, *1*, 5–16. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Torry, W. Hazards, hazes and holes: A critique of 'The Environment as Hazard' and general reflections on disaster research. *Can. Geogr.* **1979**, *23*, 368–383. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Wisner, B.; Blaikie, P.; Cannon, T.; Davis, I. *At Risk: Natural Hazards, People's Vulnerability and Disasters*; Routledge: Oxford, UK, 2004.
12. Nelson, R.; Winter, S. *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*; Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1982.
13. Barnett, J.; O'Neill, S. Maladaptation. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **2010**, *20*, 211–213. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Rammel, C.; van den Bergh, J. Evolutionary policies for sustainable development: Adaptive flexibility and risk minimising. *Ecol. Econ.* **2003**, *47*, 121–133. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Boyd, R.; Richerson, P. *Culture and the Evolutionary Process*; University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA, 1985.
16. Matutinovic, I. The aspects and role of diversity in socio-economic systems: An evolutionary perspective. *Ecol. Econ.* **2002**, *39*, 239–256. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Holling, C.; Gunderson, L. Resilience and adaptive cycles. In *Panarchy*; Gunderson, L., Holling, C., Eds.; Island Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2002; Chapter 2.
18. Di Falco, S.; Chavas, J. On crop biodiversity, risk exposure, and food security in the Highlands of Ethiopia. *Am. J. Agric. Econ.* **2009**, *91*, 599–611. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Geels, F. Technological transitions as evolutionary reconfiguration processes: A multi-level perspective and a case-study. *Res. Policy* **2002**, *31*, 1257–1274. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Dietz, T.; Ostrom, E.; Stern, N. The struggle to govern the commons. *Science* **2008**, *302*, 1907–1912. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
21. Brooks, N.; Adger, W.; Kelly, P. The determinants of vulnerability and adaptive capacity at the national level and the implications for adaptation. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **2005**, *15*, 151–163. [[CrossRef](#)]

22. Yohe, G.; Tol, R. Indicators for social and economic coping capacity—moving toward a working definition of adaptive capacity. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **2002**, *12*, 25–40. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Luers, A.; Lobell, D.; Sklar, L.; Addams, L.; Matson, P. A method for quantifying vulnerability, applied to the agricultural system of the Yaqui Valley, Mexico. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **2003**, *13*, 255–267. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Hahn, M.; Riederer, A.; Foster, S. The livelihood vulnerability index: A pragmatic approach to assessing risks from climate variability and change—A case study in Mozambique. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* **2009**, *19*, 74–88. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Osman-Elsha, B.; Elhassan, N.; Ahmed, H.; Zakiel, S. *Sustainable Livelihood Approach for Assessing Community Resilience to Climate Change: Case Studies from Sudan*; Assessments of Impacts and Adaptations to Climate Change: Washington, DC, USA, 2005.
26. Jones, L.; Ludi, E.; Levine, S. *Towards a Characterisation of Adaptive Capacity: A Framework for Analysing Adaptive Capacity at the Local Level*; Overseas Development Institute: London, UK, 2010.
27. Fankhauser, S.; Tol, R. The social costs of climate change: The IPCC second assessment report and beyond. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.* **1997**, *1*, 385–403. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Wandel, J.; Young, G.; Smit, B. The 2001–2002 drought: Vulnerability and adaptation in Alberta’s special areas. *Prairie Forum* **2009**, *34*, 211–234.
29. Pouliotte, J.; Smit, B.; Westerhoff, L. Adaptation and development: Livelihoods and climate change in Subarnabad, Bangladesh. *Clim. Dev.* **2009**, *1*, 31–46. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Pittman, J. Nêhiyawak (cree) and climate change in Saskatchewan: Insights from the James Smith and Shoal Lake First Nations. *Geogr. Res. Forum* **2010**, *30*, 88–104.
31. Pittman, J.; Wittrock, V.; Kulshreshtha, S.; Wheaton, E. Vulnerability to climate change in Rural Saskatchewan: Case study of the Rural Municipality of Rudy no. 284. *J. Rural Stud.* **2011**, *27*, 83–94. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Young, G.; Humberto, Z.; Wandel, J.; Smit, B.; Salas, S.; Jimenez, E.; Fiebig, M.; Espinoza, R.; Diaz, H.; Cepeda, J. Vulnerability and adaptation in a dryland community of the Elqui Valley, Chile. *Clim. Chang.* **2010**, *98*, 245–276. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. GoogleMaps. Map of Soufriere, St Lucia. Available online: <https://www.google.co.uk/maps/@13.8557054,-61.0567331,14z> (accessed on 15 February 2016).
34. CSO St Lucia. Central Statistics Office. Available online: stats.gov.lc/ (accessed on 20 February 2016).
35. Kairi Consultants Ltd. *Saint Lucia Country Poverty Assessment 2005/06. Volume I: Main Report*; Caribbean Development Bank: Christ Church, Barbados, 2006.
36. CARIBSAVE. *Caribsava Climate Change Risk Atlas: Climate Change Risk Profile for Saint Lucia*; CARIBSAVE Partnership: Christ Church, Barbados, 2012.
37. Springer, C. *Cost Pricing for Water Production and Water Protection Services in Saint Lucia*; Impact Consultancy Services, Inc.: London, UK, 2005.
38. Goodridge, R.; Oxenford, H.; Hatcher, B.; Narcisse, F. *Changes in the Shallow Reef Fishery Associated with the Implementation of a System of Priority and Marine Reserve Areas in Soufriere, St Lucia*; Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute: Marathon, FL, USA, 1997; Volume 49.
39. Roberts, C.; Bohnsack, J.; Gell, F.; Hawkins, J.; Goodridge, R. Effects of marine reserves on adjacent fisheries. *Science* **2001**, *294*, 1920–1923. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
40. GoSL. *Water Management Plan for Drought Conditions*; Government of Saint Lucia: Castries, Saint Lucia, 2005.
41. United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC). *An Assessment of the Economic Impact of Climate Change on the Agricultural Sector in Saint Lucia*; ECLAC: Santiago, Chile, 2011.
42. IPCC. *Fifth Assessment Report: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Stanford, CA, USA, 2014.
43. Pauly, D. If you didn’t like overfishing, you sure won’t like global warming. In Proceedings of the 62nd Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute, Cumana, Venezuela, 2–6 November 2009.
44. Australian Caribbean Coral Reef Collaboration. Reefs in St Lucia. Available online: <http://climateandreefs.org/st-lucia/> (accessed on 15 June 2015).
45. Pratchett, M.; Munday, P.; Graham, N.; Kronen, M.; Pinca, S.; Friedman, K.; Brewer, T.; Bell, J.; Wilson, S.; Cinner, J.; et al. Vulnerability of coastal fisheries in the tropical Pacific to climate change. In *Vulnerability of Tropical Pacific Fisheries and Aquaculture to Climate Change*; Bell, J., Johnson, J., Hobday, A., Eds.; Secretariat of the Pacific Community: Noumea, New Caledonia, 2011; pp. 493–573.

46. ECLAC. *An Assessment of the Economic Impact of Climate Change on the Tourism Sector in Saint Lucia*; Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean: Santiago, Chile, 2011.
47. Simpson, M.; Gossling, S.; Scott, D. *Report on the International Policy and Market Response to Global Warming and the Challenges and Opportunities that Climate Change Issues Present for the Caribbean Tourism Sector*; Caribbean Regional Sustainable Tourism Development Programme; Caribbean Tourism Organization: Saint Michael, Barbados, 2008.
48. ECLAC. *Saint Lucia: Macro Socio-economic and Environmental Assessment of the Damage and Losses Caused by Hurricane Tomas: A Geo-environmental Disaster*; United Nations Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean: Santiago, Chile, 2011.
49. GoSL. *Landslide Response Plan*; Government of Saint Lucia: Castres, Saint Lucia, 2005.
50. Quinn, P. Landslide susceptibility and risk in Saint Lucia. *Can. Geotech. J.* **2014**, submitted.
51. USAID. *Hurricane Lenny Recovery in the Eastern Caribbean: Special Objective Document*; United States Agency for International Development: Washington, DC, USA, 2000.
52. IPCC. *Fifth Assessment Report—Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*; Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014; Chapter 13.
53. Putnam, R. *Making Democracy Work*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, USA, 1993.
54. Margot, T. *From Slavery to Freedom: Some Aspects of the Impact of Slavery on Saint Lucia*; Saint Lucia National Commission for UNESCO: Castries, Saint Lucia, 2006.
55. Jesse, C. *Outlines of St Lucia—s History*; Saint Lucia Archaeological and Historical Society: Castries, Saint Lucia, 1962.
56. Soufriere Foundation. Soufriere Regional Development Foundation. Available online: soufrierefoundation.org (accessed on 15 January 2016).
57. Fairtrade Foundation. Cornelius Lynch, Banana Farmer, St Lucia. Available online: http://www.fairtrade.org.uk/producers/bananas/winfa_2/cornelius_lynch_banana_farmer_st_lucia_windward_islands.aspx?printversion=true (accessed on 15 January 2016).
58. TaiwanICDF. Banana Black Sigatoka Disease Prevention and Treatment Project (St Lucia). Available online: <http://www.icdf.org.tw/ct.asp?xItem=18907&ctNode=29823&mp=2> (accessed on 4 November 2015).
59. Gell, F.; Roberts, C. *The Fishery Effects of Marine Reserves and Fishery Closures*; World Wildlife Fund: Washington, DC, USA, 2003.
60. Sovacool, B. Rejecting renewables: The socio-technical impediments to renewable electricity in the United States. *Energy Policy* **2009**, *37*, 4500–4513. [[CrossRef](#)]
61. Ayers, J.; Huq, S. Supporting adaptation to climate change: What role for official development assistance. *Dev. Policy Rev.* **2009**, *27*, 675–692. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Walker, L. *Towards the Development of a Coastal Zone Management Strategy and Action Plan for Saint Lucia*; United Nations: Manhattan, NY, USA, 2006.



© 2016 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons by Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).