

Article



# Analysis of the Population Structure and Dynamic of Endemic *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (Lamiaceae)

Valentina Lucia Astrid Laface, Carmelo Maria Musarella \*, Agostino Sorgonà and Giovanni Spampinato

Department of AGRARIA, Mediterranean University of Reggio Calabria, 89122 Reggio Calabria, Italy \* Correspondence: carmelo.musarella@unirc.it

Abstract: Understanding how changes of any origin interact with the structure and survival of entire populations is very important for the protection and conservation of threatened, rare and/or endemic species. In this study, structure and population dynamics of Salvia ceratophylloides Ard., a precious endemic species, belonging to the subgenus Sclarea (Moench) Benth., section Plethiosphace Benth. (Lamiaceae) exclusive to the suburban environments of Reggio Calabria (Southern Italy), was analysed. Interactions between this species and its different growth habitats were examined. Moreover, the disturbance factors which directly affect the demographic viability of the species, influencing its growth, reproduction and distribution in its distribution area were identified. From 2019 to 2021, a census by counting individuals of all the known stations, recorded according to a specific protocol, was carried out. The recorded data were statistically analysed and compared with those of the phytosociological surveys carried out using the Braun-Blanquet method and summarised in phytosociological classes, in accordance with the hierarchical floristic classification system of vascular plant communities, accepted at national and European levels. The structure of the different populations was also related to the different threat factors that afflict S. ceratophylloides. It was observed that the most structured populations of *S. ceratophylloides* were found in natural and semi-natural environments where the anthropogenic disturbance was minor, while populations with an irregular structure were found in sites exposed to disturbances of various origins. The different phytosociological classes do not interact directly on population structure. The most important factors affecting structure and distribution are disturbances of various origins, in particular anthropogenic and phytosanitary disturbances. In order to keep populations balanced and stable over time, it would be useful to adopt conservation measures for the species and the habitat in which they live, with practical solutions for the following: (a) to limit infestations by insects that parasitize stems and seeds; (b) to prevent mowing, fires, grazing and the introduction of invasive alien species.

Keywords: endemism; demography; population structure; conservation; Calabria; Southern Italy

# 1. Introduction

A useful tool for the conservation and management of rare and threatened plant species is knowledge of the relationships between population dynamics, vegetation and habitat [1]. Managing the conservation of these populations requires a detailed understanding of the life cycle of the species under study and the temporal variations of "life stages" [2,3].

Critical phases in the life cycle of rare plants can be detected through the study of population dynamics [4–6]. These studies can highlight what factors interfere with, and influence, population trends, and whether these are related to threats to the species, the structure and composition of the surrounding vegetation or different management, control and land-use practices [7]. Through this analysis, it is possible to use a forecasting approach as to what the effects of ecological changes on threatened populations might be

Citation: Laface, V.L.A.; Musarella, C.M.; Sorgonà, A.; Spampinato, G. Analysis of the Population Structure and Dynamic of Endemic *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (Lamiaceae). *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 10295. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su141610295

Academic Editor: C. Ronald Carroll

Received: 4 July 2022 Accepted: 12 August 2022 Published: 18 August 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). [1,8,9]. However, the laboriousness and the timelines associated with this type of study prevent their widespread use in conservation biology [10].

A faster method of conducting population analyses of perennial (herbaceous) plants is to analyse their structure in a single census. The structure of a population can be described by classifying individual plants by age and size, or by considering the different phenological stages of the individuals that compose a population, generally referred to as "life stages" [6,11].

Population dynamics are also strongly influenced by climate change, which, in recent years, is having very serious impacts on flora and fauna [12–16]. As a consequence of climate change, a distributional shift of plant species to higher altitudes and latitudes is expected. This will be almost impossible for rare and/or endemic taxa, which are tightly bound to a narrow ecological niche and exclusive to a specific range, which is very often fragmented [14,17–20]. In addition, a further threat is posed by alien species, which, finding favourable temperatures, rapidly expand their range, competing with native species and causing the loss of habitats that preserve rare and/or endemic species [21–24].

The Lamiaceae family is one of the biggest group of plants which have several properties due to their rich composition in essential oils, and are considered, for this reason, an important group of Medicinal Aromatic Plants (MAPs) [25,26]. They are also valued as ornamental plants, and as culinary and medicinal herbs, making them a group of exceptional ecological, ethnobotanical and floristic importance [27]. The genus *Salvia*, spread from the Far East through Europe to the New World, represents a cosmopolitan group with nearly 1.000 species, resulting in one of the largest genera belonging to this family [28]. It is present in the pharmacopoeia of many countries around the world, where it assumes a very important economic role; numerous species are used for medicinal, food, and ornamental purposes [29,30]. The genus *Salvia* has a very wide range, occurring in Central and South America with 500 spp., 250 spp. in Central Asia/Mediterranean and 90 spp. in East Asia [28]. According to European flora [31], the genus *Salvia* occurs in Europe with 36 species, 3 subspecies, 3 hybrids and 2 aliens. In a more recent work, Nikolova & Aneva [32], counted 39 species and 1 subspecies for Europe.

The Italian flora, to date, includes 28 species and 6 subspecies of the genus *Salvia*, of which 11 taxa allochthonous, and 22 native, of which 4 are endemic [33], these include *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard., a rare endemism exclusive to the suburbs of Reggio Calabria (Southern Italy) (Figure 1). Hedge [31] and Nikolova & Aneva [32] do not recognize *S. ceratophylloides* as a separate species, considering it a synonym of *S. pratensis*. On the contrary, Pignatti [34,35] recognizes it as an endemic species, exclusive to southern Calabria.



**Figure 1.** *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (**a**) breeding individual (LG = Large Generative); (**b**) flower detail; (**c**) detail of strongly incised leaves.

*Salvia ceratophylloides* belongs to the subgenus *Sclarea* (Moench) Benth., section *Plethiosphace* Benth., characterised by a campanulate calyx, above two grooves, concave, with three conniving teeth and corolla with a crescent-shaped, compressed upper lip [36]. It is part of the *S. pratensis* group present in Italy with some entities: *S. pratensis* L. subsp. *pratensis*, *S. pratensis* L. subsp. *saccardina* (Pamp.) Poldini, *S. haematodes* L., *S. virgata* Jacq. and *S. ceratophylloides* Ard. [35]. Del Carratore and Garbari [36], in their study on the section *Plethiosphace*, report the following for Italy: *Salvia clandestina* L., *S. haematodes* L., *S. pratensis* L., *S. saccardiana* (Pamp.) Del Carr. and Garbari, *S. verbenaca* L., *S. virgata* Jacq., and considered *S. ceratophylloides* extinct, as it has not been found in recent decades.

Already in 1800 [37,38] this species was known in the Reggio Calabria area in several localities. Subsequent reports date back to the first two decades of the 20th century [39], when the species was considered extremely rare [40]. The profound environmental transformations undergone by the suburban area of Reggio Calabria, due to intense urbanisation and the devastating fires that ravage the territory, has brought about profound changes in the landscape with the reduction, degradation and disappearance of natural habitats. As a result, in the localities mentioned in the letter (Gallico Superiore, Terreti, Straorino, Ortì, Vito Superiore, Pietrastorta) [40] S. ceratophylloides was no longer found, despite searches by various botanists. So, in 1997, it was declared extinct and listed in the 'Red Book of the Flora of Italy' as 'Extinct in the Wild' (EW) [41]. Its extinction was confirmed by later authors who studied the genus Salvia in Italy [42] and by Scoppola and Spampinato [43] in the "Atlas of Endangered Species" of the Italian flora. Field research, however, made it possible to find four micro-populations of the species at different locations (Puzzi and Cataforio) in 2008. The populations consisted of a few dozen breeding individuals and were about 10 km away from the populations previously reported in the literature [44]. Subsequent studies over time have made it possible to verify the presence of the species in other localities and to define its conservation status and taxonomic and ecological characteristics in relation to related species [19].

The objective of this study was a careful census of the existing population in order to define the actual distribution and composition and the correlations between population distribution, vegetation and threats to the species' habitats. Understanding the interactions between populations and habitats, and how these may affect population dynamics and survival over time, is of fundamental importance in understanding the strategy to safeguard endangered taxa, especially when they are endemic.

### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Study Area

The distribution area of *S. ceratophylloides* falls in southern Italy (South Calabria) and is entirely within the municipality of Reggio Calabria. Field research carried out between 2017 and 2021, ascertained the presence of the species in various localities (Puzzi fraz. di Gallina (Serro dei Morti and Serro d'Angelo), Mosorrofa (Serro Ciugna) and Mosorrofa Vecchio, Aretina (Serro della Cattina, Prai), San Todaro, Armo (Spilingari and Grotta di Sant'Arsenio). A total of 17 micro-populations are known to date, all distributed in the suburban periphery of Reggio Calabria (Figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Distribution of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. near Reggio Calabria (RC), Calabria region (S-Italy). In green are the micro-populations currently present, in red those that are extinct. 1—Serro Ciugna, Mosorrofa; 2—Serro Ciugna, Mosorrofa; 3—Spilingari, Armo; 4—Contrada S. Todaro, Aretina; 5—Contrada S. Todaro, Aretina; 6—Serro dei Morti, Puzzi fraz. di Gallina; 7—Prai, Aretina; 8—Prai, Aretina; 9—Aretina; 10—Aretina; 11—Grotta di S. Arsenio, Armo; 12—Mosorrofa vecchio; 13- Mosorrofa vecchio (exstinct in 2019); 14—Serro d'Angelo, Puzzi fraz. of Gallina; 15—Prai,

Aretina; 16—Prai, Aretina; 17—Serro della Cattina, Aretina; 18—Serro della Cattina, Aretina; 19— Lutrà, Fiumara di Sant'Agata; 20—Galluzzi, Gallico Superiore; 21—Pietra Storta; 22—Croce Missionaria, Terreti; 23—Fontana Acqua Fresca, Straorino.

Table 1 shows the climatic parameters of humidity, temperature and precipitation in the time range 1991–2021 and in the years 2019, 2020, 2021.

**Table 1.** Climatic parameters referred to Armo locality (Reggio Calabria) (these data were obtained from the NASA Langley Research Center (LaRC) POWER Project funded through the NASA Earth Science/Applied Science Program [45]).

Parameter	1991/2021	2019	2020	2021
Specific Humidity at 2 Meters (g/kg)	9.59	9.7	9.58	9.64
Relative Humidity at 2 Meters (%)	71.52	70.88	69.56	70.06
Temperature at 2 Meters Maximum	35.43	35.19	34.13	38.08
Temperature at 2 Meters Minimum	4.64	4.43	6.55	4.44
Temperature at 2 Meters Range	30.79	30.76	27.59	33.64
Precipitation Corrected (mm/day)	1.86	1.85	1.54	1.94
Precipitation Corrected Sum (mm)	609.68	606.45	511.52	706.65

These climatic parameters do not affect the population trend of *S. ceratophylloides* and they did not change significantly over the 3 years of the survey; in fact, they remained within the average calculated over the 30-year period 1991–2021.

The bioclimate of this area is typically Mediterranean Pluviseasonal [46] with average annual temperatures of 18 °C and average annual precipitations of about 600 mm, centralised in the autumn months of November and December. It is characterised by a summer dry period of about 5 months [19].

*Salvia ceratophylloides* grows at altitudes between 260 and 380 m a.s.l., on sandy substrates alternating with soft limestone beds of Pleistocene and Pliocene origin [47].

#### 2.2. Population Analysis

The population census was carried out for three consecutive years (2019–2020–2021), in June, using the protocol proposed by Hegland et al. [11], in a study on the population dynamics of *Salvia pratensis*, a species related to *S. ceratophylloides* in terms of biological and phenological characteristics. The census covered the entire current population of this endemic point species.

In order to facilitate the population census, the micro-populations of the species were subdivided into sub-areas with similar habitats, on which the count of individuals was carried out distinguishing the different "life stages" (Table S1). Micro-population 13, which had only one plant in 2018 [48], was omitted from the census because it became extinct in the following years.

In each sub-area, individuals were surveyed, distinguishing five "life stages" (Figure 3):

S = Seedlings, with cotyledons and one/two main leaves.

JI = (J) Juveniles with two/three pairs of leaves and (I) Immature plants with three/four pairs of leaves. In Hegland et al. [11] these two "life stages" are considered distinct: in this work it was preferred to unify the data, since for *S. ceratophylloides* it is impossible to distinguish the two phenological stages as described for *S. pratensis*.

V = Vegetative adults with at least five pairs of leaves.

G = Generative adults having one to five flowering stems up to 50 cm high. Within the G category, two size sub-classes have been distinguished: SG = Small Generative adults with one or two flowering stems; LG = Large Generative adults with more than two flowering stems, usually the largest individuals are also the oldest (3–4 years old).

In each sub-area, the population density and the increase and decrease over the 3 years of the survey were estimated using the Malthusian exponential growth model [49].

 $N_t = N_0 e^{rt}$ 

where:

 $N_0$  is the number of individuals at time zero (No. of individuals in 2019);  $N_t$  is the number of individuals after a certain time interval (No. Individuals in 2021); e is the Euler number; r is the intrinsic population growth rate for each individual and for each unit of time

considered (the difference between births and deaths in the unit of time); t is the time from  $t_0$  to the year considered.

In particular:

#### $r = \ln(R)$

*R* is the net fundamental growth rate per capita, and indicates the average contribution of each initial individual to the population after the time interval has elapsed. It integrates both the survival of individuals and the birth rate, referred to the time interval.

# $R = N_0/N_t$

In order to assess future population trends, assuming constant ecological and environmental conditions, the variations (increase or decrease) of the different population types revealed by cluster analysis were estimated over a five-year period.



**Figure 3.** "Life stage" of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (S = seedling; JI = Juveniles/Immatures; V = Vegetative; SG = Small Generative; LG = Large Generative). Life stages are according to [11], the original pictures have been drawn by V.L.A. Laface.

#### 2.3. Habitat Characterisation and Georeferencing

In order to characterise the habitat of *S. ceratophylloides* in each micro-population, ecologically and physiognomically homogenous sub-areas were identified, on which vegetation analysis was carried out using the phytosociological method [50–52].

Taxa nomenclature is according to Bartolucci et al. [53] and the relevant updates in the Portale della Flora d'Italia [33].

For each species, the relevant phytosociological class was considered in accordance with Mucina [54] and the Prodromo alla Vegetazione d'Italia [55].

All micro-populations of *S. ceratophylloides* were uniquely recorded, georeferenced and entered into a shapefile processed with QGIS 2.18.7<sup>®</sup> (OSGeo, Beaverton, OR, USA) (Figure 2).

## 2.4. Pressures and Threats

For each sub-area, the pressures and threats acting on the habitat were analysed and coded according to the "Reference list Threats, Pressures and Activities" by Salafsky et al. [56], modified by the European Environment Information and Observation Network (EIONET) [57]. The different threats were estimated in relation to their importance: low (L), medium (M) or high (H). In order to quantify the pressures, the importance values were expressed numerically (1 = low L; 2 = medium M, 3 = high H) (Table S3).

### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

Data on population structure, threats and vegetation were entered into matrices using Microsoft Excel© 2022 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). For statistical analyses, three-year averages of the data were calculated and transformed into percentages. The life stage data of each sub-area were used to analyse the degree of similarity by means of hierarchical cluster analysis with the group average method (UPGMA), chord distance for ratio scale data, and K-means clustering [58]. The Past 4.10© software (Hammer, Oslo, Norway) [59] was used for both statistical analyses. The population groups that the cluster highlighted in relation to the "life stage" ratios, in accordance with Hegland et al. [11] and Oostermeijer et al. [60], can be defined as "Dynamic", characterised by high ratio [(S + JI + V)/G] between breeding and non-breeding individuals, "Normal", with a balanced ratio between breeding. Sub-areas with a very small number of individuals (>10) occupying areas of less than 10 m<sup>2</sup> were excluded from the statistical analysis as they were not significant.

To measure the strength of the relationships between the different "life stages" in relation to pressures and vegetation, the correlation coefficient was calculated using Microsoft Excel© 2022 software: this value is between +1 and -1, indicating a positive (+1) or negative (-1) correlation between the matrices. In the linear regression, the different "life stages" were used as dependent variables and the different pressures as independent variables.

The equation for calculating the correlation coefficient is:

$$Correl(X,Y) = \frac{\sum(x-\bar{x})(y-\bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum(x-\bar{x})^2}\sum(y-\bar{y})^2}$$

where:  $\bar{x}$ ,  $\bar{y}$  are the mean values of the first matrix ("life stage") and mean values of the second matrix (threats; vegetation) of the sample mean.

For the correlation value, the linear regression test was carried out for all parameters considered previously, using the least squares method; this processing was also carried out using Microsoft Excel© 2022 software.

The equation defining the linear correlation is as below:

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon$$

where: *i* is the number of observations,  $Y_i$  is the dependent variable (which in our case coincides with the different "life stages"),  $X_i$  is the independent variable (which coincides with threats or vegetation),

$$\beta_0 + \beta_i X_i$$

is the regression function where:  $\beta_0$  is the intercept of the regression line (it represents the value of *Y* when *X* is equal to 0),  $\beta_i$  represents the gradient of the line (it is the angular coefficient of the regression line i.e., the rate of change of *Y* when *X* changes).  $\varepsilon$  is the stochastic error and represents the difference between the actual value of a dependent variable and its predicted value.

The residuals obtained from the linear regression analysis were analysed graphically by constructing Q-Q plots (quantile-quantile graphs), which made it possible to verify their normally distributed trend in order to obtain confirmation of the validity of the linear regression model.

Co-occurring data on plant species cover (excluding *S. ceratophylloides* and those species found to be less frequent in the surveys) were analysed by Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) [61] using R<sup>©</sup> software (Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

#### 3. Results

## 3.1. Population Structure

The census (Table S1) showed that the population of *S. ceratophylloides* is currently fragmented into 17 micro-populations (census 2021), subdivided into 40 sub-areas, each consisting of 1 individual up to a maximum of 401, for a grand total of 1555 plants.

The number of individuals present in each sub-area was not constant over time. As shown in Figure 4, there were more numerous micro-populations with >60 individuals (5B, 6A, 6B, 6C, 7B, 10) that showed an increasing trend. Conversely the less numerous micro-populations with >20 individuals (1A, 1B, 2A, 3A, 4, 5A, 11B, 11C, 11D, 11E, 11F, 11G, 11H, 11I, 12A, 14A, 15A, 16, 17) were more fluctuating, as were those with a small number of individuals >10 (2B, 2C, 3B, 6D, 6E, 6F, 6G, 7A, 8, 9, 11A, 12B, 15B, 18). For the population 6G, the rate of increase/decrease was calculated with reference to the years 2020–2021 as the sub-area did not exist before. Moreover, this sub-area maintained a constant number of individuals over the two years. Sub-area 2C, on the other hand, was extinct, as no individuals were recorded in 2021.



**Figure 4.** Development of the *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. population census in the 40 sub-areas from 2019 to 2021. The Malthus increase-decrease index (r) [49] is reported for each sub-area.

The total number of individuals for each sub-area in the three different years ranged from a minimum of 1 to a maximum of 272 in 2019, for a total of 1242 individuals, from 1 to 348 in 2020, for a total of 1317 individuals, and from a minimum of 1 to a maximum of 401 in 2021 (Table S1). The distribution of the different "life stages" also varied from year

to year. "Life stage" S represented 1% of the population and remained constant in all three survey years. "Life stage" JI constituted 5% of the individuals surveyed in 2019 and 2020, while in 2021 it represented 10 % of the individuals with an increase of 5%. "Life stage" V increased gradually year on year, from 20% in 2019, to 25% in 2020, to 31% in 2021. The more generative "life stages" SG and LG tended to decrease over time: SG in 2019 represented 43% of the population, in 2020 39% and in 2021 37%, while LG was 31% in 2019, 30% in 2020, and 21% in 2021 (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** Distribution (%) of "life stages" of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. between 2019, 2020 and 2021 (Error bars represent standard deviations).

To confirm the previous results, the population density was estimated in relation to total individuals, distinguishing them as non-breeding and breeding individuals in the 3 different years in relation to the areas considered for each sub-area (Table S1).

The graph (Figure 6) shows that the different sub-areas had different population densities in different years. Some of the sub-areas showed an increasing trend (1A, 2B, 4, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 6C, 6E, 6F, 7A, 7B, 10, 11A, 11B, 11I, 12A, 14A, 14B, 18), others showed a decreasing trend (1B, 2A, 2C, 3A, 3B, 8, 9, 11C, 12B, 17) and some had strong fluctuations from year to year, being those most directly impacted by human activities (6D,11E, 11F, 11G,11H,15A, 15B, 16). Sub-area 6G (discovered in 2020) was constant over the years.



**Figure 6.** Population density of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. in the 40 sub-areas analysed from 2019 to 2021.

The graph of average numbers of individuals/area of the sub-areas over the three years (Figure 7) shows that as the area increased, the number of individuals increased, with a maximum of 340 individuals over an area of 1200 m<sup>2</sup> in sub-area 5B.



**Figure 7.** Average number of individuals of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. per sub-area over the three years.

Analysing the variations in the density of non-breeding individuals over the three years (Figure 8) showed extreme variability. Only in a few micro-populations was a constant density observed (1A, 3A, 5A, 5B, 7B,11D, 11F, 14A, 14B). In many sub-areas, years in which non-breeding individuals were not present alternated with years in which they suddenly appeared (1B, 2A, 2B, 3B, 4, 6A, 6D, 6E, 7A, 11C, 11E, 11G, 12B, 16, 17, 18). Non-breeding individuals were not present in sub-areas 2C, 11A AND 15B.



**Figure 8.** Variation in the density of non-breeding individuals of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. in the sub-areas over the three years.

On the other hand, if we consider the variation in density of breeding individuals over the three years (Figure 9), we can observe that in many sub-areas there was a limited fluctuation in density (1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 6C, 6D, 6E, 6F, 7A, 7B, 8, 9, 10, 11A, 11B, 11C, 11G, 11I, 12A, 12B, 14A, 14B, 17, 18), while in some sub-areas (2C, 11D, 11E, 11F, 11H, 15A, 15B, 16) a strong fluctuation was observed, similar to what was observed for the total density.



**Figure 9.** Variation in the density of breeding individuals of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. in the subareas over the three years.

The cluster analysis of the "life stage"/number of individuals matrix highlighted the dissimilarity between different sub-areas. This aggregation revealed three groups of population types (Figures 10 and 11). To define the significance of the three different groups, the ratio of non-breeding juvenile stages to breeding adult stages was taken into account [(S + JI + V)/G], with G = (SG + LG).

The sub-areas of the first group were clearly distinct from the others and could be defined as "Dynamic", in accordance with [11], as they were characterised by a higher number of non-breeding juveniles than breeding ones. In fact, this group was characterised by a relatively high [(S + JI + V)/G] ratio, with an average of 2.91 and a range of 1.25 to 5.75. The average of young individuals was 71.1%, of which 46.6% were represented by "life stage" V, 19.9% by JI and 4.6% by S (Figure 11).

The second group was characterised by sub-areas with fewer non-breeding juveniles than the previous group, and instead had a higher number of breeding individuals. The ratio [(S + JI + V)/G] averaged 0.49 and ranged from 0.27 to 0.79. "Life stages" were represented by 32.1% non-breeding individuals and 67.9% breeding individuals, of which 45.6% belonged to "life stage" SG. This group could be defined as "Normal" or "Stable" according to [60] (Figure 11).

The third group was represented by aged sub-areas, characterised by a higher presence of SG and LG breeding individuals, corresponding to 83.1% of the entire population, of which the majority belonged to the "life stage" LG (58%). Very few young non-breeding individuals were present in this group, making up 16.9% of all the sub-areas, of which 14.7% belonged to "life stage" V, 1.9% J-I and only 0.3% from S. The ratio [(S + JI + V)/G] had an average value of 0.21 and ranged from 0.07 to 0.30. In relation to the analysed factors, this group could be defined as "Regressive" in accordance with [60] (Figure 11).

Overall, "Regressive" populations accounted for 40% of the entire population, while "Normals" made up only 36% and "Dynamics" 24%.



**Figure 10.** Dendrogram of population classification related to "life stage" of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. The abbreviations refer to the sub-areas shown in Table S1. In green, populations classified as "Normal" -N; in red, "Regressive" -R; in purple, those classified as "Dynamic" -D.



**Figure 11.** Population structure of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. Three population types ("Dynamic", "Normal" and "Regressive") were distinguished in accordance with clustering analysis. The percentage of individuals in relation to the total population type is given for each "life stage". Error bars represent standard deviations.

## 3.2. Relationships between "Life Stages" and Pressures

The field surveys made it possible to determine the pressure factors and the intensity with which they affected the different sub-areas of the *S. ceratophylloides* population (Table S4), detailed in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Pressures observed on the population of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. The abbreviations of the sub-areas refer to Table S1. The intensity of the pressures is expressed in H = high; M = medium; L = low.

Sub Aree		1A	6B 7B	11E	11G	11I	3A	4 5	B9	11B	11C	11D	11F	11H	3B	5A	6A	6C	6E 8	12A	14A	14B	17
<b>Population Tipe</b>			D	ynam	ic					Ν	ormal								Regres	sive			
Threats Code	Threats Name																						
A08	Mowing or cutting of grasslands			L	L	L			L	L	L	L	L	L					L	L			
A10	Extensive grazing or undergrazing by livestock						L	Ι							L	L					М	М	
A11	Burning for agriculture	Н																			L	L	
A15	Tillage practices in agriculture		Н													L			М		L	L	М
F07	Sports, tourism and leisure activities			Н	Н	Н				Н	Н	Н	Н	Н									
I01-I02	Invasive alien species of Union/not Union concern	М	М	L	L					L	L	L	L	М				L		Н	L	М	L
L06	Interspecific faunal and floral relations (competition, predation, parasitism, pathogens)	Н	нн	М			М		М	М					М		Н	Н	Н	Н	М	М	L
M05	Collapse of terrain, landslide														L								

Linear regression analysis showed the effect of pressures acting in sub-areas in relation to different "life stages" (Table 3). The youngest "life stages" S-JI were positively correlated with mowing and recreational tourism activities (A08  $R^2 = 0.43$ , p = 0.03; F07  $R^2$ 

= 0.58, *p* = 0.003) and negatively with grazing (A10 R<sup>2</sup> = -0.40, *p* = 0.05). Vegetative stages V were positively correlated with recreational tourism activities (F07 R<sup>2</sup> = 0.352, *p* = 0.08). Breeding "life stages" SG-LG were positively correlated with grazing (A10 R<sup>2</sup> = 0.395, *p* = 0.05) and negatively with mowing (A08 R<sup>2</sup> = 0.395, *p* = 0.05).

**Table 3.** Linear regression analysis between "life stage" and pressure ( $R^2$ -correlation value; p-level of significance).

Life Stage	Threats	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	p	Sign.
S-JI	A08	0.434	0.03	**
	F07	0.576	0.003	**
	A10	-0.403	0.046	**
V	F07	0.352	0.08	*
SG-LG	A08	-0.343	0.09	*
	F07	-0.523	0.01	**
	A10	0.395	0.05	*

 $p \le 0.1, * < 0.05, ** < 0.01.$ 

The Q-Q plot (Quantiles-Quantiles graph) graphically demonstrated an effective normal distribution of the data, validating the hypotheses obtained from the linear regression analysis, as shown in Figure 12.



**Figure 12.** Q-Q plot, with graphic representation of the actual normal distribution of the data for the different "life stages" and pressures examined of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. Only data found to be

significant in the linear regression analysis were analysed (as in Table 3). (**a**) Q-Q plot pressure A8, "life stage" S-JI; (**b**) Q-Q plot pressure F07, "life stage" S-JI; (**c**) Q-Q plot pressure A10, "life stage" S-JI; (**d**) Q-Q plot pressure F07, "life stage" V; (**e**). Q-Q plot pressure A8, "life stage" SG-LG; (**f**) Q-Q plot pressure F07, "life stage" SG-LG; (**g**) Q-Q plot pressure A10, "life stage" SG-LG.

## 3.3. Relationships between "Life Stage" and Vegetation

The relationship between three different population types, resulting from the cluster analysis and the vegetation surveyed with the phytosociological methodology, was examined using DCA (Figure 13). The geometric distance on the diagram reflects the difference between the surveys. Examining the positions of the species on the diagram in relation to the 3 different population groups resulting from the previous analyses ("Dynamic", "Normal", "Regressive") we can see some significant differences. The Eigenvalues for the four axes of the DCA were 0.808, 0.604, 0.341 and 0.219, respectively. Since Jongman et al. [62] believe that axes with Eigenvalue values less than 0.5 should not be considered, as they do not express a relevant floristic gradient, only the first axis (DCA Axis1) and the second (DCA Axis2) can be considered representative of the main floristic gradient.



**Figure 13.** Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA), indicates the distribution of the different population types of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (N = Normal; R = Regressive; D = Dynamic) and the position of co-occurring plant species whose relative abbreviations refer to Table S2. The colours of the species correspond to: Blue = Synanthropic; Green = natural; Purple = semi-natural.

The number of species is higher in the "Normal" populations, ranging from a minimum of 17 to a maximum of 70, with an average of 43 species in all the sub-areas examined. The "Dynamic" populations presented a minimum of 15 and a maximum of 60 species, with an average value of 42.5. Species in the "Regressive" populations were scarcely numerous, with a minimum of 18 and a maximum of 34, the mean value being 28 species (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Maximum and minimum number of species present in the surveys of the different types of populations of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. ("Normal", "Dynamic", "Regressive").

Population Typology	Min. Species	Max. Species	Mean
Normal	17	70	43
Dynamic	15	60	42.5
Regressive	18	34	28

Anemone hortensis L. subsp. hortensis, Arenaria leptoclados (Rchb.) Guss. subsp. leptoclados, Asparagus acutifolius L., Asparagus albus L., Eudianthe coeli-rosa (L.) Fenzl ex Endl., Euphorbia peplus L., Hippocrepis ciliata Willd., Lysimachia arvensis (L.) U.Manns & Anderb. subsp. arvensis, Orchis italica Poir., Petrosedum tenuifolium (Sm.) Grulich, Plantago albicans L., Poa trivialis L., Rumex bucephalophorus L. subsp. bucephalophorus, Senecio leucanthemifolius Poir., Trigonella italica (L.) Coulot & Rabaute, Verbena officinalis L. are only present in "Dynamic" populations.

Rumex pulcher L., Seseli tortuosum L. subsp. tortuosum, Andropogon distachyos L., Echium vulgare L. subsp. pustulatum (Sm.) Em. Schmid & Gams, Linaria purpurea L., Onopordum illyricum L. subsp. illyricum, Pteridium aquilinum (L.) Kuhn subsp. aquilinum, Scolymus hispanicus L., Silene gallica L., Solanum nigrum L., Verbascum thapsus L. subsp. thapsus, Vinca major L. subsp. major, Viola odorata L. are exclusive to "Natural" populations.

Ailanthus altissima (Mill.) Swingle, Anredera cordifolia (Ten.) Steenis, Brassica fruticulosa Cirillo subsp. fruticulosa, Calendula arvensis (Vaill.) L., Convolvulus althaeoides L., Lolium perenne L., Oloptum thomasii (Duby) Banfi & Galasso, Plantago lanceolata L., Silene vulgaris (Moench) Garcke subsp. tenoreana (Colla) Soldano & F.Conti, Crupina crupinastrum (Moris) Vis., Jasione montana L., Papaver rhoeas L., Opuntia ficus-indica (L.) Mill. are exclusive to "Regressive" populations.

The taxa present in the vegetation surveys belonged to 16 phytosociological classes. Of these, 4 brought together vegetation from natural habitats, 5 semi-natural, 7 synanthropic (Tables S2 and S3).

In relation to the phytosociological classes, the populations showed a different distribution (Figure 14). The class *Chenopodietea* was the most represented, with a coverage value of 25% in the "Dynamic", 18% in the "Normal" and 18% in the "Regressive" populations.

The other most represented classes were the following: Lygeo sparti-Stipetea tenacissimae, with a coverage value of 16% in the "Dynamic", 18% in the "Normal" and 17% in the "Regressive" populations; Cisto-Lavanduletea stoechadis, with a coverage value of 8% in the "Dynamic" and "Normal" populations and 13% in the "Regressive" populations; Thlaspietea rotundifoliae, with a coverage value of 8% in the "Dynamic" and "Normal" populations and 14% in the "Regressive" populations; Galio aparines-Urticetea dioicae, with a cover value of 6% in "Dynamic" populations, 10% in "Normal" populations and 13% in "Regressive" populations; Stipo-Trachynietea distachyae, with a cover value of 7% in" Dynamic" populations, 8% in "Normal" populations and 5% in "Regressive" populations. The other phytosociological classes had very low coverage values, and, in particular, the species of the class *Helianthemetea guttati* presented a coverage value of 12% for the "Dynamic" populations, while having very low values for the "Normal" (3%) and "Regressive" (5%) populations. Species of the class Ononido-Rosmarinetea, had higher cover values in "Normal" populations (16%) while they were sporadic in "Dynamic" and "Regressive" populations. Alien species of the class Robinietea were scarcely present (1%) only in the "Regressive" populations.



**Figure 14.** Coverage percentages of the different phytosociological classes in relation to population types: "Dynamic", "Normal", "Regressive". ART–*Artemisietea vulgaris*; CHE–*Chenopodietea*;

LAV—*Cisto-Lavanduletea stoechadis;* CYM—*Cymbalario-Parietarietea diffusae;* URT—*Galio aparines-Urticetea maioris;* TUB—*Helianthemetea guttati;* LYG—*Lygeo sparti-Stipetea tenacissimae;* MOL— Molinio-Arrhenatheretea; ROS—Ononido-Rosmarinetea; PAR—Papaveretea rhoeadis; QUI—Quercetea ilicis; ROB—Robinietea; SIS—Sisymbrietea; TRA—Stipo-Trachynietea distachyae; THL—*Thlaspietea rotundifoliae;* GER—*Trifolio-Geranietea sanguinei* (for class descriptions see Table S3).

Taking into consideration the habitats (natural, semi-natural and synanthropic) to which the vegetation classes refer (Figure 15), it can be seen that the "Dynamic" populations presented a greater number of species linked to semi-natural habitats (44%) and synanthropic species (32%). The "Normal" populations predominantly presented species typical of natural habitats (38%), with fewer species linked to semi-natural habitats (33%) and synanthropic species (29%). Regarding the "Regressive" populations, the species belonging to the three habitats were distributed almost equally.



**Figure 15.** Percentage coverage of the different habitat types in relation to "Dynamic", "Normal", "Regressive" population types of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard.

### 4. Discussion

The census revealed that the population of *S. ceratophylloides* showed an inconstant trend over time, with significant differences in the number of individuals present in the different populations (Figure 4). The more structured populations, with a greater number of individuals, tended to grow over the years, as evidenced by the positive values of the Malthus index [49] (5B, 6B, 6C, 7B, 10). This increase was due to the better organised structure of the population itself, and its ability to resist external influences that may affect its dynamics. Populations with fewer individuals, on the other hand, tended to be more sensitive to external influences that caused their decrease: this was highlighted by the negative value of the Malthus index [49] (2A, 8, 9, 15, 17).

The trend of the census population in the 40 sub-areas from 2019 to 2021 (Figure 4), also showed considerable differences in the rate of increase–decrease: in fact, in some of the sub-areas there was a strong variation, due to the fact that some micro-populations had been subjected to very strong external pressures, such as fire or mowing.

The analysis of the "life stages" of the entire population (Figure 5) showed that nonbreeding "life stages" (S, JI, V) represented the lowest percentage of the population, varying from 26% in 2019, to 31% in 2020, up to 42% in 2021, showing an overall increasing trend. In contrast, the reproductive "life stages" represented more than 50% of the population and underwent a significant decrease over the three years. The increase and decrease in "life stages" was directly related to the pressures the habitat underwent, with these pressures acting more violently on the more advanced "life stages" and being less influential in the juvenile stages (Table 3).

The cluster analysis of the matrix No. of individuals/"life stage"/sub-area, made it possible to distinguish, in accordance with Heglad [11] and Oostermeijer [60], three

different types of populations in relation to the distribution of the different "life stages", defined as: "Dynamic", "Normal", "Regressive" (Figure 10). "Regressive" populations accounted for 40% of the entire population, while "Normal" constituted 36% and "Dynamic" 24%. Non-breeding "life stages" (S, JI, V) were more represented in the "Dynamic" populations, which were characterised by a high ratio of non-breeding individuals to breeding individuals (SG, LG) (Figure 11). In the "Normal" populations, a balance between breeding and non-breeding "life stages" was observed, while in the "Regressive" populations there was a greater representation of non-breeding "life stages", represented mainly by "life stage" LG, an indication of the ageing of the population.

The pressures affecting the population and the individual sub-areas in which it was distributed (Table S4) were, first and foremost, the fires that often affect this area [63,64], mostly linked to grazing or agricultural activities, which, if repeated over time, can lead to the extinction of *S. ceratophylloides* populations, as observed for micro-population 13 [61]. Alien invasive species, both included and not included in EU Directive 1143/2014, were often introduced accidentally (micro-population 12) or deliberately (micro-population 11), with serious negative consequences for the invaded habitat [21–24]. *Salvia ceratophylloides* was severely damaged by various pests that affect fruits and stems. *Squamapion elongatum* (Germar, 1817) (*Coleoptera-Apionidae*) digs tunnels into the stems, inhibiting plant growth and subsequent flower formation. *Systole salvia* (Zerova 1970) (*Hymenoptera-Eurytomidae*) irreparably damages the fruits, as the larva of this species feeds on them, emptying them completely [65].

The pressures analysed affected the different "life stages" differently (Table 3) and, consequently, the different types of population. In particular, the non-breeding "life stages" (S, JI, V), that characterised the "Dynamic" populations, were positively correlated with anthropic activities, such as mowing and sporting, tourist and recreational activities. These pressures tended, on the other hand, to negatively influence the breeding "life stages" (SG, LG), thus affecting, above all, the "Regressive" populations. Mowing damages adult individuals, often represented by large branched plants 0.5–1 m high, while avoiding very young individuals (S, JI), which grow close to the ground (Figure 3). Although the species was not eaten by animals, due to the presence of essential oils [66], young individuals were negatively affected by the presence of grazing [67]. Trampling caused by ungulates [*Capra hircus* (L., 1758) and *Ovis aries* (L., 1758)], irreparably damages the seedlings. On the contrary, the latter was positively correlated with "life stage" SG, LG to which it did not cause damage, instead limiting competition with other pabular species eaten by grazing animals.

There are also many threats acting in the areas surrounding the population, which could affect the species' habitats. Urban expansion of cities, with the consequent construction of infrastructure (E01, F01) is one of the main threats as it is a factor in the fragmentation and change of natural and semi-natural habitats [68–70], as highlighted by Spampinato et al. [71,72]. Urbanisation has already, in the past, caused the extinction of several micro-populations (19, 20, 21) of the species known from the literature (Figure 2) [39]. Reforestations carried out mainly in the 1950s (B01) [73,74] contributed to the extinction of other populations (22, 23) reported in the literature (Figure 2) [40]. Studies on the eco-physiological characteristics of the species [66] show that climate change [16] may adversely affect the species' population. On the whole, all the sub-areas suffered mainly from anthropogenic pressures, which, as shown, acted by altering the relationships between the different life stages. Under conditions of less anthropic disturbance, the population structure was 'normal' with a more or less balanced ratio of breeding individuals to nonbreeding individuals. Under more natural conditions of less anthropogenic disturbance, the latter was probably the population structure of S. ceratophylloides. The DCA analysis of the species/population type matrix (Figure 13) showed that the different species detected in the vegetation analysis did not follow a defined trend: in fact synanthropic, natural and semi-natural species, in relation to the different types of populations obtained from the cluster analysis ("Normal", "Dynamic",

"Regressive"), on the whole were distributed in a way that did not involve making groups. It was observed, however, that some of them were more closely linked to certain population types. In particular, the "Regressive" (R) populations were characterised by a greater presence of synanthropic and ruderal species (*Robinia pseudoacacia L., Rubus ulmifolius* Schott, *Sisymbrium officinale* (L.) Scop., *Mercurialis annua L., Galactites tomentosus* Moench, *Dasypyrum villosum* (L.) P.Candargy, *Centranthus ruber* (L.) DC. subsp. *ruber, Sonchus oleraceus L., Umbilicus horizontalis* (Guss.) DC., *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers.), frequent in heavily disturbed habitats. The "Dynamic" (D) populations, on the other hand, presented numerous semi-natural species (*Lotus cytisoides L., Trifolium stellatum L., Silene colorata* Poir., *Aira caryophyllea L., Sixalix atropurpurea* (L.) Greuter & Burdet, *Lagurus ovatus* L. subsp. *ovatus*). The "Normal" (N) populations showed an equal distribution of the different species types, with greater representation of natural habitat species (*Pistacia lentiscus L., Phlomis fruticosa L., Teucrium flavum* L. subsp. *flavum*).

Heglad et al. [11], in their analysis of the related *Salvia pratensis*, analysed the populations in relation to the different management methods (mowing or grazing) and showed how these significantly influence the vegetation of the different population types ("Regressive", "Dynamic" and "Normal"). They clearly distinguish groups of species related by ecological characteristics (nutrient-poor or nutrient-rich soil species) from the different population types.

Shomurodov et al. [6] stated that populations of Salvia lilacinocoerulea Nevski, endemic and punctiform species to the Western Pamir-Alay mountain range, are strongly conditioned by vegetation and soil type. These two factors, strongly affect the population dynamics, in some cases representing a real limitation for the species. In our study, these factors did not influence the population, essentially because the pedology was the same in all sub-areas. The analysis of the vegetation classes (Figure 14) showed that the species of the class *Chenopodietea* were widely distributed in all the population types, bearing witness to the anthropic disturbance affecting them. The class Lygeo sparti-Stipetea tenacissimae was also widespread in all population types, i.e., in arid environments of the Mediterranean belt, influenced by fires and characterised by herbaceous perennials, such as Hyparrhenia hirta (L.) Stapf subsp. hirta and Ampelodesmos mauritanicus (Poir.) T.Durand & Schinz. In the "Normal" populations, the species of the garrigues, typical of the classes Cisto-Lavanduletea stoechadis and Ononido-Rosmarinetea, were better represented, while in the "Regressive" populations the species of the latter classes were poorly represented, and the species linked to synanthropic environments, such as those characteristic of the classes Galio aparines-Urticetea maioris, Papaveretea rhoeadis and Robinietea, were better represented.

Overall, a certain relationship was observed between the characteristic species of the various vegetation classes (natural, semi-natural, synanthropic) and the population types ("Regressive"," Dynamic", "Normal") (Figure 15). In particular, species typical of the natural type classes were well represented in the "Normal" populations, while species typical of the semi-natural vegetation classes were better represented in the "Dynamic" type populations and, finally, albeit slightly, species typical of the synanthropic vegetation classes were better represented in the "Regressive" type populations.

Numerous studies have dealt with threatened and/or endangered species [4–6]. These studies focused on population dynamics, in order to establish safeguards and protection measures for these species. This can be achieved through specific *in situ* conservation measures, such as the establishment of micro-reserves [75], an approach successfully developed in recent decades in Spain and, subsequently, in other European and Mediterranean countries [76–78]. For *S. ceratophylloides*, the extension of the micro-reserve should cover about 7 km<sup>2</sup>, around the hills of Reggio Calabria, encompassing the entire Area Of Occurrence (AOO) of the species [48].

#### 5. Conclusions

This work lays the scientific basis for the planning of conservation and protection measures capable of reducing and eliminating, over time, the pressures that adversely affect the *Salvia ceratophylloides* population.

The work shows that S. ceratophylloides micro-populations have non-stable dynamics over time, and are deeply conditioned by the pressures exerted on the habitat and on individuals, acting with different intensity in relation to different "life stages". These stresses are mostly represented by anthropogenic disturbances, which affect habitat quality and are reflected in population dynamics over time. Important also are the pressures of natural origin that affect the reproductive and regenerative capacities of the species. The reiteration of such pressures over time could lead to slow and ineluctable decline and, thus, extinction of *S. ceratophylloides* in the wild. This would primarily affect micro-populations with a small number of individuals of the order of a dozen or less, which, as evidenced by the Malthus index, show a decrease. It is therefore on these micropopulations, composed of a limited number of individuals, that action needs to be taken to reduce impacts and favour the renovation of the species, including through reintroduction. This can be achieved through specific in situ conservation measures, such as the establishment of micro-reserves. However, possible *ex situ* conservation measures at germplasm banks and botanical gardens should not be ruled out, nor the dissemination of this species in private gardens.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/su141610295/s1, Table S1: Data from the population census of Salvia ceratophylloides Ard., the micro-populations of the species were divided into sub-areas with similar habitats, in each sub-area the count of individuals was carried out distinguishing the different "life stages"; Table S2: Complete list of the species present in the stations of Salvia ceratophylloides Ard. with the relative phytosociological class to which they belonged, in accordance with Mucina [50] and the "Prodromo della Vegetazione d'Italia" [51]: ART-Artemisietea vulgaris; CHE-Chenopodietea; LAV-Cisto-Lavanduletea stoechadis; CYM-Cymbalario-Parietarietea diffusae; URT-Galio aparines-Urticetea maioris; TUB-Helianthemetea guttati; LYG-Lygeo sparti-Stipetea tenacissimae; MOL—Molinio-Arrhenatheretea; ROS—Ononido-Rosmarinetea; PAR—Papaveretea rhoeadis; QUI–Quercetea ilicis; ROB–Robinietea; SIS –Sisymbrietea; TRA–Stipo-Trachynietea distachyae; THL-Thlaspietea rotundifoliae; GER-Trifolio-Geranietea sanguinei. Table S3: Phytosociological classes of the taxa present in the vegetation surveys. The class name, acronym, habitat type (S = synanthropic, SN = semi-natural, N = natural) and description are indicated; Table S4: Pressures observed in the sub-areas of Salvia ceratophylloides Ard. The codes and description of pressures/threats are in accordance to "Reference list Threats, Pressures and Activities" by [52], as modified by EIONET [53].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, V.L.A.L., C.M.M., A.S. and G.S.; Data curation, V.L.A.L. and G.S.; Formal analysis, V.L.A.L. and G.S.; Investigation, V.L.A.L. and C.M.M.; Methodology, V.L.A.L., C.M.M. and G.S.; Project administration, A.S. and G.S.; Resources, V.L.A.L., A.S. and G.S.; Supervision, A.S. and G.S.; Validation, V.L.A.L., C.M.M., A.S. and G.S.; Visualization, V.L.A.L., C.M.M. and G.S.; Writing—original draft, V.L.A.L. and G.S.; Writing—review & editing, V.L.A.L., C.M.M. and G.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors are very grateful to Antonino Marino and Michele Calabrò for providing important information on the sites of some micro-populations of *Salvia ceratophylloides*, and to Meriem Miyassa Aci and Antonio Lupini for their logistical support in the use of the statistical software R<sup>©</sup>.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- 1. Hutchings, M.J. Monitoring plant populations: Census as an aid to conservation. In *Monitoring for Conservation and Ecology;* Goldsmith, F.B., Ed.; Chapman & Hall: London, UK, 1991; pp. 61–76.
- 2. Oostermeijer, J.G.B.; Brugman, M.L.; de Boer, E.R.; den Nijs, J.C.M. Temporal and spatial variation in the demography of *Gentiana pneumonanthe*, a rare perennial herb. *J. Ecol.* **1996**, *84*, 153–166.
- 3. Menges, E.S. Population viability analyses in plants: Challenges and opportunities. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 2000, 15, 51–56.
- 4. Aikens, M.L.; Roach, D.A. Population dynamics in central and edge populations of a narrowly endemic plant. *Ecology* **2014**, *95*, 1850–1860.
- Shaltout, K.H.; Ahmed, D.A.; Hatem, A. Shabana, Population structure and dynamics of the endemic species *Phlomis aurea* Decne in different habitats in southern Sinai Peninsula, Egypt. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* 2015, 4, 505–515; ISSN 2351–9894. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2015.10.002.
- Shomurodov, H.F.; Khuzhanazarov, U.E.; Beshko, N.Y.; Akhmadalieva, B.; Sharipova, V.K. A. demographic structure of populations of *Salvia lilacinocoerulea* Nevski, a rare species endemic to the Western Pamir-Alay (Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan). *Am. J. Plant Sci.* 2017, *8*, 1411.
- Hoekstra, J.M.; Boucher, T.M.; Ricketts, T.H.; Roberts, C. Confronting a biome crisis: Global disparities of habitat loss and protection. *Ecol. Lett.* 2005, *8*, 23–29. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2004.00686.x.
- 8. Schemske, D.W.; Husband, B.C.; Ruckelshaus, M.H.; Goodwillie, C.; Parker, I.M.; Bishop, J.G. Evaluating Approaches to the Conservation of Rare and Endangered Plants. *Ecology* **1994**, *75*, 585–606. https://doi.org/10.2307/1941718.
- 9. Menges, E.S. Evaluating extinction risks in plant populations. In *Conservation Biology for the Coming Decade*; Fiedler, P.L., Jain, S.K., Eds.; Chapman & Hall: New York, NY, USA, 1998; pp. 49–65.
- 10. Harvey, H.J. Population biology and the conservation of rare species. In *Studies on Plant Demography: A Festschrift for John L. Harper;* White, J., Ed.; Academic Press: London, UK, 1985; pp. 111–123.
- 11. Hegland, S.J.; Van Leeuwen, M.; Oostermeijer, J.G.B. Population structure of *Salvia pratensis* in relation to vegetation and management of Dutch dry floodplain grasslands. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **2001**, *38*, 1277–1289. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0021-8901.2001.00679.x.
- 12. Thuiller, W.; Lavorel, S.; Araújo, M.B.; Sykes, M.T.; Prentice, I.C. Climate change threats to plant diversity in Europe. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2005**, *102*, 8245–8250.
- 13. Walther, G.R.; Post, E.; Convey, P.; Menzel, A.; Parmesan, C.; Beebee, T.J.; Fromentin, J.M.; Hoegh-Guldberg, O.; Bairlein, F. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **2002**, *416*, 389.
- 14. Jump, A.S.; Penuelas, J. Running to stand still: Adaptation and the response of plants to rapid climate change. *Ecol. Lett.* **2005**, *8*, 1010–1020.
- 15. del Río, S.; Canas, R.; Cano, E.; Cano-Ortiz, A.; Musarella, C.; Pinto-Gomes, C.; Penas, A. Modelling the impacts of climate change on habitat suitability and vulnerability in deciduous forests in Spain. *Ecol. Indic.* **2021**, *131*, 108202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108202.
- 16. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. In Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Shukla, P.R., Skea, J., Slade, R., al Khourdajie, A., van Diemen, R., McCollum, D., Pathak, M., Some, S., Vyas, P., Fradera, R., et al., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2022.
- 17. Vié, J.C.; Hilton-Taylor, C.; Stuart, S.N. (Eds.). Wildite in a Changing Word—An Analysis of the 2008 /UN Red List of Threatened Species; IUCN: Gland, Switzerland, 2009; p. 180.
- 18. Içik, K. Rare and endemic species: Why are they prone to extinction? Turk. J. Bot. 2011, 35, 411–417.
- Spampinato, G.; Laface, V.L.A.; Ortiz, A.C.; Canas, R.Q.; Musarella, C.M. Salvia ceratophylloides Ard. (Lamiaceae): A rare endemic species of Calabria (Southern Italy). In *Endemic Species*; Cano Carmona, E., Musarella, C.M., Cano Ortiz, A., Eds.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2019. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.84905.
- Fenu, G.; Ferretti, G.; Gennai, M.; Lahora, A.; Mendoza-Fernández, A.J.; Mota, J.; Robles, J.; Serra, L.; Schwarzer, H.; Sánchez-Gómez, P.; et al. Global and Regional IUCN Red List Assessments: 4. *Ital. Bot.* 2017, 4, 61–71. https://doi.org/10.3897/italianbotanist.4.21595.
- Thuiller, W.; Richardson, D.M.; Midgley, G.F. Will Climate Change Promote Alien Plant Invasions? Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2008; pp. 197–211.
- Laface, V.L.A.; Musarella, C.M.; Cano Ortiz, A.; Quinto Canas, R.; Cannavò, S.; Spampinato, G. Three new alien taxa for Europe and a chorological update on the alien vascular flora of Calabria (Southern Italy). *Plants* 2020, 9, 1181. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9091181.
- Musarella, C.M.; Stinca, A.; Cano-Ortíz, A.; Laface, V.L.A.; Petrilli, R.; Esposito, A.; Spampinato, G. New data on the alien vascular flora of Calabria (Southern Italy). Ann. Bot. 2020, 10, 55–66. https://doi.org/10.13133/2239-3129/14838.
- 24. Spampinato, G.; Laface, V.L.A.; Posillipo, G.; Cano Ortiz, A.; Quinto Canas, R.; Musarella, C.M. Alien flora in Calabria (Southern Italy): An updated checklist. *Biol. Invasions* **2022**, *24*, 2323–2334. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-022-02800-y.
- Perrino, E.V.; Valerio, F.; Jallali, S.; Trani, A.; Mezzapesa, G.N. Ecological and biological properties of *Satureja cuneifolia* Ten. and *Thymus spinulosus* Ten.: Two wild officinal species of conservation concern in Apulia (Italy). a preliminary survey. *Plants* 2021, 10, 1952.

- 26. Valerio, F.; Mezzapesa, G.N.; Ghannouchi, A.; Mondelli, D.; Logrieco, A.F.; Perrino, E.V. Characterization and antimicrobial properties of essential oils from four wild taxa of Lamiaceae family growing in Apulia. *Agronomy* **2021**, *11*, 1431.
- Zhao, F.; Chen, Y.P.; Salmaki, Y.; Drew, B.T.; Wilson, T.C.; Scheen, A.C.; Celep, F.; Bräuchler, C.; Bendiksby, M.; Wang, Q.; et al. An updated tribal classification of Lamiaceae based on plastome phylogenomics. *BMC Biol.* 2021, 19, 2. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-020-00931-z
- 28. Walker, J.B.; Sytsma, K.J.; Treutlein, J.; Wink, M. Salvia (Lamiaceae) is not monophyletic: Implications for the systematics, radiation, and ecological specializations of *Salvia* and tribe *Mentheae*. *Am. J. Bot.* **2004**, *91*, 1115–1125. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.91.7.1115.
- 29. Kintzios, S.E. Sage-The Genus Salvia; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2003; p. 318.
- 30. Askari, S.F.; Avan, R.; Tayarani-Najaran, Z.; Sahebkar, A.; Eghbali, S. Iranian *Salvia* species: A phytochemical and pharmacological update. *Phytochemistry* **2021**, *183*, 112619.
- Hedge, I.C. Salvia L. Flora Europaea, Volume 3; Tutin, T.G., Heywood, V.H., Burges, N.A., Moore, D.M., Valentine, D.H., Walters, S.M., Webb, D.A., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1972; pp. 188–192.
- Nikolova, M.; Aneva, I.Y. European Species of Genus Salvia: Distribution, Chemodiversity and Biological Activity. Salvia Biotechnol. 2017, 1–30. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73900-7\_1
- 33. Portal to the Flora of Italy. Available online: http:/dryades.units.it/floritaly (accessed on 21 June 2022).
- 34. Pignatti, S. Flora d'Italia; Edagricole: Bologna, Italy, 1982; Volume 2, pp. 502–507.
- 35. Pignatti, S. Flora d'Italia 2 Edizione; Edagricole: Bologna, Italy, 2018; Volume 3, pp. 301–310.
- 36. Del Carratore, F.; Garbari, F. Indagini Biosistematiche Sul Genere Salvia L. Sect. Plethiosphace Benyham (Labiatae). Inf. Bot. Ital. 1997, 29, 297–299.
- 37. Tenore, M. Sylloge Plantarum Vascularium Florae Neapolitanae Hucusque Detectarum; Ex Typographia Fibreni: Neapoli, Italy, 1831; Volume 1.
- 38. Macchiati, C. Catalogo delle piante raccolte nei dintorni di Reggio Calabria dal settembre 1881 al febbraio 1883. *Nuovo G. Bot. Ital.* **1884**, *16*, 59–100.
- 39. Lacaita, C. Addenda et emendanda ad floram italicam. Bull. Della Soc. Bot. Ital. 1921, 18–19.
- 40. Lacaita, C. Piante italiane critiche o rare: 67. Salvia ceratophylloides Arduino. Nuovo G. Bot. Ital. 1921, 28, 144–147.
- 41. Conti, F.; Manzi, A.; Pedrotti, F. *Liste Rosse Regionali delle Piante d'Italia*; Assoc. Ital. WWF, Società Botanica Italiana: Camerino, Italy, 1997.
- 42. Del Carratore, F.; Garbari, F. Il Gen. Salvia Sect. Plethiosphace (Lamiaceae) in Italia. Arch. Geobot. 2001, 7, 41-62.
- Scoppola, A.; Spampinato, G. Atlante delle specie a rischio di estinzione. Versione 1.0. CD-Rom enclosed to the volume. In *Stato Delle Conoscenze Sulla Flora Vascolare d'Italia*; Scoppola, A., Blasi, C., Eds.; Palombi Editori: Roma, Italy, 2005.
- Spampinato, G.; Crisafulli, A. Struttura delle popolazioni e sinecologia di *Salvia ceratophylloides* (Lamiaceae) specie endemica minacciata di estinzione, 56. In *Book of Abstracts of 103° S.B.I. Congress*; Università Mediterranea di: Reggio Calabria, Italy, 2008; pp. 17–19.
- Prediction of Worldwide Energy Resource (POWER) Project Funded through the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Earth Science/Applied Science Program. Available online: https://power.larc.nasa.gov/data-accessviewer/ (accessed on 26 July 2022).
- Pesaresi, S.; Galdenzi, D.; Biondi, E.; Casavecchia, S. Bioclimate of Italy: Application of the worldwide bioclimatic classification system. J. Maps 2014, 10, 538–553. https://doi.org/10.1080/17445647.2014.891472.
- 47. Cassa per il Mezzogiorno–Cassa per Opere Straordinarie di Pubblico Interesse nell'Italia Meridionale, Carta Geologica della Calabria; Cassa per il Mezzogiorno: Rome, Italy, 1967–1972.
- Laface, V.L.A.; Musarella, C.M.; Spampinato, G. Conservation status of the Aspromontana flora: Monitoring and new stations of *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (Lamiaceae) endemic species in Reggio Calabria (southern Italy). In *Abstracts Book of 113° Congresso della Società Botanica Italiana (V International Plant Science Conference (IPSC)*; Società Botanica Italiana: Fisciano, Italy, 2018; pp. 12–15, ISBN 978-88-85915-22-0.
- 49. Malthus, T.R. An Essay on the Principle of Population; Printed for J. Johnson in St. Paul's Church-Yard: London, UK, 1798.
- 50. Braun-Blanquet, J. *Pflanzensoziologie, Grundzüge der Vegetationskunde,* 3rd ed.; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 1964; Volume 631. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-8110-2.
- 51. Ighbareyeh, J.M.H.; Suliemieh, A.A.R.A.; Sheqwarah, M.; Cano-Ortiz, A.; Carmona, E.C. Flora and Phytosociological of Plant in Al-Dawaimah of Palestine. *Res. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *2*, 58–91. https://doi.org/10.31586/rjees.2022.202.
- 52. Raposo, M.; Pinto-Gomes, C.; Quinto-Canas, R. Priority tree and shrubs for use in Landscape Architecture based on the dynamic states of native vegetation with the highest ecological value in mainland Portugal. *Res. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci.* **2022**, *2*, 46–57. https://doi.org/10.31586/rjees.2022.234.
- Bartolucci, F.; Peruzzi, L.; Galasso, G.; Albano, A.; Alessandrini, A.; Ardenghi, N.M.G.; Astuti, G.; Bacchetta, G.; Ballelli, S.; Banfi, E.; et al. An updated checklist of the vascular flora native to Italy. *Plant Biosyst.* 2018, 152, 179–303. https://doi.org/10.1080/11263504.2017.1419996.
- Mucina, L.; Bültmann, H.; Dierßen, K.; Theurillat, J.P.; Raus, T.; Čarni, A.; Šumberová, K.; Willner, W.; Dengler, J.; Gavilán García, R.; et al. Vegetation of Europe: Hierarchical floristic classification of vascular plant, bryophyte, lichen, and algal communities. *Appl. Veg. Sci.* 2016, 19, 3–264.

- 55. Biondi, E.; Blasi, C. Prodromo alla vegetazione d'Italia. Available online: https://www.prodromo-vegetazione-italia.org/ (accessed on 21 June 2022).
- Salafsky, N.; Salzer, D.; Stattersfield, A.J.; Hilton-Taylor, C.; Neugarten, R.; Butchart, S.H.M.; Collen, B.; Cox, N.; Master, L.L.; O'Connor, S.; et al. A Standard Lexicon for Biodiversity Conservation: Unified Classifications of Threats and Actions. *Conserv. Biol.* 2007, 22, 4.
- 57. EIONET (European Environment Information and Observation Network). Available online: http:// biodiversity.eionet.europa.eu/activities/Reporting/Article\_17/Reports\_2019/ Files\_2019/Pressures\_Threats\_Final\_20180507.xls (accessed on 12 April 2022).
- 58. Hartigan, J.A. Clustering Algorithms. Wiley and Sons Inc.: New York, NY, USA, 1975.
- 59. Hammer, Ø. Past Software. Natural History Museum; University of Oslo: Oslo, Norway, 2022.
- 60. Oostermeijer, J.G.B.; van't Veer, R.; den Nijs, J.C.M. Population structure of the rare long-lived perennial *Gentiana pneumonanthe* in relation to vegetation and management in the Netherlands. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **1994**, *31*, 428–438.
- 61. Hill, M.O. DECORANA-A FORTRAN Program for Detrended Correspondence Analysis and Reciprocal Averaging; Cornell University: New York, NY, USA, 1979.
- 62. Jongman, R.H.G.; Ter Braak, C.J.F.; van Tongeren, O.F.R. *Data Analysis in Community and Landscape Ecology*; Pudoc: Wageningen, The Netherlands, 1987.
- 63. De Luca, G.; Modica, G. Canopy fire effects estimation using Sentinel-2 imagery and deep learning approach. A case study on the Aspromonte National Park. In: *Communications in Computer and Information Science series (CCIS)*—*AII. International Conference on Applied Intelligence and Informatics;* Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022, accepted.
- 64. European Forest Fire Informationon System (EFFIS). Available online: https://effis.jrc.ec.europa.eu/ (accessed on 30 June 2022).
- Bonsignore, C.P.; Laface, V.L.A.; Vono, G.; Marullo, R.; Musarella, C.M.; Spampinato, G. Threats Posed to the Rediscovered and Rare *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (Lamiaceae) by Borer and Seed Feeder Insect Species. *Diversity* 2021, 13, 33. https://doi.org/10.3390/d13010033.
- 66. Vescio, R.; Abenavoli, M.R.; Araniti, F.; Musarella, C.M.; Sofo, A.; Laface, V.L.A.; Spampinato, G.; Sorgonà, A. The assessment and the within-plant variation of the morpho-physiological traits and vocs profile in endemic and rare *Salvia ceratophylloides* Ard. (Lamiaceae). *Plants* 2021, 10, 474. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10030474.
- 67. Crawley, M.J. The relative importance of vertebrate and invertebrate herbivores in plant population dynamics. In *Insect-Plant Interactions;* CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2019; pp. 45–71.
- Grimm, N.B.; Faeth, S.H.; Golubiewski, N.E.; Redman, C.L.; Wu, J.; Bai, X.; Briggs, J.M. Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science* 2008, 319, 756–760. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150195.
- Hahs, A.K.; McDonnell, M.J.; McCarthy, M.A.; Vesk, P.A.; Corlett, R.T.; Norton, B.A.; Clemants, S.E.; Duncan, R.P.; Thompson, K.; Schwartz, M.W.; et al. A global synthesis of plant extinction rates in urban areas. *Ecol. Lett.* 2009, *12*, 1165–1173. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01372.x.
- Le Roux, J.J.; Hui, C.; Castillo, M.L.; Iriondo, J.M.; Keet, J.H.; Khapugin, A.A.; Médail, F.; Rejmánek, M.; Theron, G.; Yannelli, F.A.; et al. Recent anthropogenic plant extinctions differ in biodiversity hotspots and coldspots. *Curr. Biol.* 2019, 29, 2912–2918. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.063.
- Spampinato, G.; Crisarà, R.; Cameriere, P.; Cano-Ortiz, A.; Musarella, C.M. Analysis of the Forest Landscape and Its Transformations through Phytotoponyms: A Case Study in Calabria (Southern Italy). *Land* 2022, 11, 518. https://doi.org/10.3390/land11040518.
- Spampinato, G.; Crisarà, R.; Cannavò, S.; Musarella, C.M. Phytotoponims of southern Calabria: A tool for the analysis of the landscape and its transformations. *Atti Della Soc. Toscana Di Sci. Nat. Mem. Ser. B* 2017, 124, 61–72. https://doi.org/10.2424/ASTSN.M.2017.06.
- 73. Spampinato, G.; Cameriere, P.; Caridi, D.; Crisafulli, A. Carta della biodiversità vegetale del Parco Nazionale dell'Aspromonte (Italia meridionale). *Quad. Bot. Amb. Appl.* **2008**, *19*, 3–36.
- 74. Mercurio, R. *Restauro Della Foresta Mediterranea;* Clueb, Ed.; Cooperativa Libraria Universitaria Editrice Bologna: Bologna, Italy, 2008. ISBN: 978-88-491-3399-8.
- Médail, F.; Diadema, K.; Pouget, M.; Baumel, A. Identification of plant micro-reserves using conservation units and population vulnerability: The case of an endangered endemic Snowflake (*Acis nicaeensis*) in the Mediterranean Basin hotspot. *J. Nat. Conserv.* 2021, *61*, 125980.
- Laguna, E.; Deltoro, V.I.; Pérez-Botella, J.; Pérez-Rovira, P.; Serra, L.; Olivares, A.; Fabregat, C. The role of small reserves in plant conservation in a region of high diversity in eastern Spain. *Biol. Conserv.* 2004, 119, 421–426. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. biocon.2004.01.001.
- Laguna, E.; Fosa, S.; Jim'enez, J.; Volis, S. Role of micro-reserves in conservation of endemic, rare and endangered plants of the Valencian region (Eastern Spain). *Isr. J. Plant Sci.* 2016, 63, 320–332. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/07929978.2016.1256131.
- 78. Kadis, C.; Thanos, C.A.; Laguna Lumbreras, E. (Eds.) Plant micro-reserves: From theory to practice. *Experiences Gained FROM EU LIFE and other Related Projects*; Utopia Publishing: Athens, Greece, 2013.