



# **A Comparative Photographic Review on Higher Plants and Macro-Fungi: A Soil Restoration for Sustainable Production of Food and Energy**

Hassan El-Ramady <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Gréta Törős <sup>2</sup>, Khandsuren Badgar <sup>2,\*</sup>, Xhensila Llanaj <sup>2</sup>, Peter Hajdú <sup>2</sup>, Mohammed E. El-Mahrouk <sup>3</sup>, Neama Abdalla <sup>4</sup> and József Prokisch <sup>2</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Soil and Water Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Agricultural and Food Sciences and Environmental Management, Institute of Animal Science, Biotechnology and Nature Conservation, University of Debrecen, 138 Böszörményi Street, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary; toros.greta@agr.unideb.hu (G.T.); xhenillanaj@gmail.com (X.L.); hajdu.peter@agr.unideb.hu (P.H.); jprokisch@agr.unideb.hu (J.P.)
- <sup>3</sup> Horticulture Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr El-Sheikh 33516, Egypt; threemelmahrouk@yahoo.com
- <sup>4</sup> Plant Biotechnology Department, Biotechnology Research Institute, National Research Centre, 33 El Buhouth St., Dokki, Giza 12622, Egypt; neama\_ncr@yahoo.com
- \* Correspondence: hassan.elramady@agr.kfs.edu.eg (H.E.-R.); b\_khandsuren@muls.edu.mn (K.B.)

Abstract: The Kingdom of Plantae is considered the main source of human food, and includes several edible and medicinal plants, whereas mushrooms belong to the Kingdom of fungi. There are a lot of similar characteristics between mushrooms and higher plants, but there are also many differences among them, especially from the human health point of view. The absences of both chlorophyll content and the ability to form their own food are the main differences between mushrooms and higher plants. The main similar attributes found in both mushrooms and higher plants are represented in their nutritional and medicinal activities. The findings of this review have a number of practical implications. A lot of applications in different fields could be found also for both mushrooms and higher plants, especially in the bioenergy, biorefinery, soil restoration, and pharmaceutical fields, but this study is the first report on a comparative photographic review between them. An implication of the most important findings in this review is that both mushrooms and plants should be taken into account when integrated food and energy are needed. These findings will be of broad use to the scientific and biomedical communities. Further investigation and experimentation into the integration and production of food crops and mushrooms are strongly recommended under different environmental conditions, particularly climate change.

**Keywords:** phytoremediation; food crops; energy crops; polluted soils; plant mineral nutrients; phytomedicine

# 1. Introduction

A great challenge faces humanity in producing edible plants, which should contain enough amounts of mineral nutrients, and are required for human nutrition [1]. Although both plants and humans require, in general, the same mineral elements for their healthy growth and development, the ideal future crops for human nutrition should not include toxic elements in the edible parts [2,3]. Mineral elements in the soil are taken up by the plant roots and transported to the edible parts for human consumption through various different transporters. Therefore, several studies focus on the edible plants from different points of view for human health, including (*i*) studies of plant functional traits for human health, especially unconventional edible plants [4–6]; (*ii*) producing biofortified plants with a focus on the malnutrition/medicinal attributes [7–12], (*iii*) nutritional aspects of plant-based diets for human diseases [13–15], (*iv*) studies of plant secondary metabolites



Citation: El-Ramady, H.; Törős, G.; Badgar, K.; Llanaj, X.; Hajdú, P.; El-Mahrouk, M.E.; Abdalla, N.; Prokisch, J. A Comparative Photographic Review on Higher Plants and Macro-Fungi: A Soil Restoration for Sustainable Production of Food and Energy. *Sustainability* 2022, *14*, 7104. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su14127104

Academic Editor: Christophe Waterlot

Received: 15 May 2022 Accepted: 8 June 2022 Published: 9 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). and their extraction as bioactive compounds [16,17], (*v*) anti-nutrients of major plant-based foods [18], (*vi*) food security and plant nutrition under problems of climate change [19], and (*vii*) using mushrooms as bioindicators for pollution and its risks to health [20].

The production of enough food for the global population needs more efforts to exploit every inch to cultivate and produce foods, as well as energy at the same time, because food and energy are essential components for human life and sustainable development [21]. The production of food and energy gives rise to competition for cultivated soil. The arable land that is already used for the cultivation of foods should be increased for more food production, without any deducted lands for energy production. There is a difficult equation concerning the energy–food nexus, which should be solved as reported in many studies on the energy–food nexus from different points of view, such as the production of biofuel based on the water–food–energy nexus [22], rice production and its nexus of food–energy–emissions [23], the scarcity risk of the energy–food nexus [21], and sustainable dairy farming under the security of energy, food, and water [24]. New non-exploited areas such as polluted or marginal soils for energy production through soil restoration are considered sustainable solutions for producing energy [25].

Higher plants and macro-fungi (mushrooms) are important species, which have many common attributes (e.g., the nutritional and medicinal ones), although they have many differences. Higher plants can form their own food (which contains chlorophyll as autotrophic) from sunlight, water, and CO<sub>2</sub>, whereas mushrooms as saprophytes can biodegrade dead organic matter by extracting enzymes [26]. Fungi are considered, in general, decomposers, pathogens, parasites, or mutualists [27].

Therefore, this review is a comparative study between mushrooms as macro-fungi and higher plants from mainly the human health point of view. This review includes also phytomedicine and its potential for human health, the unconventional foods derived from plants and mushrooms, soil degradation and its restoration by plants and mushrooms, the integrated production of food and energy, and finally the agro-integration between food crops and mushrooms.

## 2. Methodology of the Review

This review depended on collecting available published materials from the main websites of major publishers such as Frontiers, Elsevier (ScienceDirect), Springer, Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute (MDPI) journals, medical publications at the U.S. National Institute of Health's National Library of Medicine (PubMed Central or PMC), etc. The main keywords were higher plants and macro-fungi, with the search broadened to include the words "sustainability", "plant nutrition", "unconventional food", "mushrooms", "soil restoration", "food crops", and "energy crops". The main steps used in this review included the building of a table of contents after intensive reading, searching suggested titles or sections, sorting the collected published materials (original articles, reviews, books, and chapters) based on the reputation of both authors and the journal, and then starting to write down the manuscript. At least five essential components of a successful review should be found; (1) the update and clear idea, (2) harmony and logical arrangement of their contents, (3) survey studies concerning some selected sections in the review through some comprehensive and very update tables, (4) photos and drawing figures are important in delivering the idea of the review faster than words, and (5) cited literature reviews, used in this review. This is the first report on a comparative photographic study on mushrooms and plants, which included many photos and drawing figures in this context.

#### 3. Plant and Human Nutrition for Sustainability

Nutrition in plants and human presents many similarities and differences. It is the process of obtaining or providing the organism with food necessary for its growth and health. Several differences in the nutrition of plants and humans can be listed in Table 1. This nutrition has a strong link to Sustainable Development Goals as a part of the global public agenda, which can contribute to the structuring of global sciences and research [28]. Not surprisingly,

considering the lessons learned from Covid-19, the required scientific studies should focus on the area of sustainability and human health [28,29]. Richardson and Lovegrove [29] reported on the nutritional status of some micronutrients (Cu, Fe, Se, and Zn, and vitamin D, A, B vitamins, and vitamin C) and their possible and modifiable risk factor for COVID-19, which support the normal functions of the human immune system. They confirmed that avoiding deficiencies in the intakes of these micronutrients in patients could strengthen their resilience to the COVID-19 pandemic. Finally, a number of important nutrients need to be considered that could be found in vegetables, fruits, or edible plants (Figure 1).

Comparison Item	Plant Nutrition	Human Nutrition
Forming own food	They can because of chlorophyll	They cannot
Main requirements for nutrition	Plants need sunlight, CO <sub>2</sub> and water as autotrophic	They metabolize large food molecules as heterotrophic
Final product from nutrition	Mainly glucose, energy, and oxygen	Amino acids, monosaccharides, fatty acids, and glycerol
Main organs involved in the nutrition	Leaves, including their components (chloroplasts, xylem, and phloem)	Mouth, esophagus, stomach, small intestine and the large intestine
Amino acids forming	Uptake of N, converted to NH <sub>3</sub> , form amino acids and then proteins	Amino acids can be obtained from the breakdown of proteins
Getting energy	Both photosynthesis and respiration can be used for forming energy (ATP)	Only the respiration process can produce energy (ATP)
Storage of carbohydrates	Plants can store glucose in the form of starch	Humans can store glucose in the form of glycogen
Enzymes involved	During nutritional processes, many enzymes: amylase, cellulase, lipase, phosphatase, phytase and urease	During metabolism, the main nutrients are carbohydrates, forming glucose, lipids (fatty acid) & proteins (amino acids)
Essential elements for both plants/humans	C, H, O, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Fe, Mn, Cu, Cl, Mo, Ni, Zn	C, H, O, N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Fe, Mn, Cu, Cl, Mo, Ni, Zn
Suggested as essential	Al, Co, Se, Si, Na	As, F, Si, V, Cr, Sn, Ni
Essential element (only)	В	Na, Co, I, Se

Table 1. The main difference between the nutrition of both plants and humans.

Sources: [2,30-33].

Due to healthy nutrition being the backbone for human health, there is an urgent need to explain the advances in nutrition science during the next years under the "One Health" initiative [34]. Food supply chains should follow a sustainable food system (by reducing food wastes and losses) in vegetables, fruits, and mushrooms under a circular economy strategy [35–37]. The most important nutrition issues and their role in sustainability included the potential of functional food for human health, attaining sustainable food systems, the global crisis of production of healthy and sustainable proteins, nutrigenomics and personalized nutrition, immunometabolism and nutritional immunology, performance nutrition, managing old/new pandemics such as obesity and COVID-19, human gut microbiomes and nutrition, nutrition and brain functions, fasting and identifying alternative dietary strategies, human nutrition types (i.e., basic, applied, and clinical nutrition), and nutritional aspects of emerging technologies [18,28,38,39]. Therefore, several books have been published recently on the role of medicinal plants and human health, such as Frazier and Matthew [40], Goyal and Ayeleso [41], Suleria and Barrow [42], Goyal et al. [43], Goyal and Chauhan [44], Suleria et al. [45], Goyal et al. [46], and Masoodi and Rehman [14,15].



**Figure 1.** The problem of producing enough foods needs fertile soil, which supplies the cultivated plants with proper nutrients. Shown are photos of some edible plants, which can supply human with needed nutrients for human health. The photos in details from the upper photos (tomato and pepper), in the middle (maize and strawberry), and in the lower photos of Jerusalem artichoke tubers and fruits of color pepper, which show a good nutritional status as an important source for human health. All photos by **El-Ramady**.

As an important component of the human diet, proteins derived from plants are considered more sustainable sources compared to protein-derived from animals, because plant proteins have many eco-benefits including higher eco-sustainability to maintain eco-stability, greater food safety, fulfilling higher consumer needs, food affordability, and combating of "protein-energy malnutrition" [47]. Several studies have been published on plant-based proteins as a good source of many essential amino acids (serine, glycine, valine, alanine, cysteine, etc.), vital macro-nutrients, and are sufficient to achieve complete protein nutrition to sustain a better life for humans [19,48–51]. Along with providing amino acids in foods, protein can play a potential role in food formulations due to their distinguishing properties, including emulsification, water holding, gelling, foaming, thickening ability, and fat absorption capacity [52,53]. The main sources of plant-based proteins include many crops such as cereals (wheat (9.3-12.3%), rice (5.8-11%), maize (9-11%), barley (12%), and sorghum (11%)), legumes (chickpea (19-27%) pea (23-31%), soybean (37-44%), kidney bean (22–32%), faba bean (31%), lentil (23–36%), lupin (32–55%), and cowpea (28%)), pseudo-cereals (amaranth (14.5%), buckwheat (14.8%), and quinoa (13%)), nuts (peanuts (25–29%), almonds (29.9%), cashew nut (22.7%), Brazil nuts (19.7%)), and seeds (cottonseeds (38-45%), flax seeds (21-26%), sunflower (21-32%), pumpkin seeds (36.5%), and sesame seeds (18%)) [19,54,55]. Besides seaweed as a sustainable aquatic plant-based protein [3], and the previous non-traditional sources, there are many alternative plant-based proteins as reported in many publications [56-59]. These alternatives may include pea protein

isolate (86%) [60], pulse protein ingredients [55], and using proteins of lentil and quinoa alternative proteins in dairy [61]. There is also an ongoing need for global abundant sources of high-quality proteins for human nutrition. The plant's nutritional quality is controlled by several factors as presented in Figure 2. These factors include soil, plant climate, and environmental conditions. These factors may also control the kind of protein in many crops such as cereal proteins, which are low in their contents of amino acids (e.g., lysine, tryptophan, and threonine), whereas the proteins of vegetables and legumes have a lower amount of S-containing amino acids such as cysteine and methionine [47].



**Figure 2.** Factors affecting crop nutritional quality include factors related to cultivated crops, climate, and environmental and agricultural practices. More summarized information about antinutrients and pollutants is listed as well.

#### 4. Phytomedicine and Human Health

The science of producing medicines from herbs or medicinal plants could be defined as phytomedicine. The historical background of this science may date back to early in human evolution or several thousand years ago, when humans isolated, extracted and purified many drugs from medicinal plants in ancient times for human health [62]. Phytomedicine also involves all clinical, pharmacokinetic, pharmacological, and toxicity-based studies of medicinal plants besides the exploring of different mechanisms of herb extracts [63]. Medicinal plants are in a continuous process of exploration, resulting in the unearthing of novel plant-based pharmaceuticals to understand the molecular mechanisms of conventional medication and its active ingredients, which may help the renovation of plant-derived medications and detection of novel phyto-agents [63]. Phytomedicine may include several issues such as using medicinal plants as wound healing agents [64,65], natural anti-microbials from plants [66], herbal therapy or remedies [67], herbal cosmeticology [68], phytopharmaceuticals [69,70], phyto-pharmacology [71] using the herbal bioactivities in drug delivery systems like the ocular [72], pulmonary [73], transdermal [74], and vaginal and rectal drug delivery systems [75]. Therefore, it is very important to know exactly what we eat to stay healthy for a long time as conveyed by the term "nutraceuticals" [76]. This term was coined by Stephen De-Felice, who referred to pharmaceuticals and nutrients. There are many different kinds of nutraceuticals, such as dietary supplements, functional foods, dietary fibers, medical foods, prebiotics, and probiotics. Nutraceuticals can improve human health through enhancing the absorption of nutrients, supporting the micro-flora of the gastrointestinal system, and increasing detoxification. Nutraceuticals may have some limitations, including their slow mode of action and lack of strict control over the quality and concentration of ingredients [76].

Chinese herbal medicines are very common and widely used for treating several diseases in Chinese people as a source of bioactive ingredients, which have been extracted from herbs for therapeutic properties. The most well-known example of this medicine is the use of artemisinin to inhibit malaria by Nobel laureate Youyou Tu in 2015 [77]. Many medicinal plants can produce essential oil, which is considered a rich product of bioactives such as royal jasmine (*Jasminum grandiflorum* L.) and orange trees (*Citrus aurantium* L.) (Figure 3). Several bioactive ingredients have already been extracted from many natural medicinal plants such as ailanthone, artesunate, berberine, baicalin, curcumin, corylin, oridonin, triptolide/triptonide, shikonin, paeoniflorin, paeoniflorin, and soybean isoflavones (Figure 4). Bioactive compounds and pharmacological activities in some medicinal plants differ from plant to plant as presented in Table 2. More common medicinal plants are presented in Figure 5, including the basil plant (*Ocimum basilicum* L), scotch marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.), rose geranium (*Pelargonium graveolens* L.), damask rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.), black cumin (*Nigella sativa* L.), moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.), mangrove (*Avicennia marina* (Forssk.) Vierh.), and jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* (Link) C. K.).



**Figure 3.** Medicinal plants are an important source for human health. Photos from left to right in **group (1)** represent the oil extraction from royal jasmine (*Jasminum grandiflorum* L.) flowering plants, whereas the second and third photos represent harvested flowers and jasmine oil. Photos in **group (2)** from left to right: oil extraction from bitter orange trees (*Citrus aurantium* L.) as flowering plants in the first photo, whereas the harvested flowers and Neroli oil can be noticed in the second and third photos by **El-Mahrouk**.



**Figure 4.** Medicinal plants have many bioactive compounds such as phenols, carotenoids, etc. These phyto-bioactives have many applications for human health. Due to bioactive ingredients in herbs, the phytomedicine is well known, especially in Chinese medicine which is famous all over the world, very common, and in general a lot of technologies in drugs or pharmaceuticals could be developed every day particularly using the nanotechnological approaches. "Chinese herbal medicines were the main treatment method used in ancient times by the Chinese to combat disease. As early as the Qin and Han Dynasty (around 221 BCE to 220 CE), Sheng Nong's Herbal Classic recorded 365 medicines. By the time of the Ming Dynasty (1368–1644), the number of CHMs listed in the book of Compendium of Materia Medica had increased to 1892" as reported by Dong et al. [77].

Plant Species (Family)	<b>Bioactive Phytochemicals</b>	Pharmacological Activities	Refs.
Ginger: Zingiber officinale (Zingiberaceae)	Phenolic compounds (gingerols, paradols, and shogaols), flavonoids, carbohydrates, proteins, and terpenes	Antiemetic, anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, anticancer, cardio-protective, and neuroprotective	[78]
Chewing stick or miswak: <i>Salvadora persica</i> Linn. (Salvadoraceae)	Underground parts or roots are used as toothbrushes, due to tannins (tannic acid), alkaloids (salvadorine), essential volatile oils, Vitamin C	Antimicrobial, antidiuretic, tick-repellent, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, hypolipidemic, and analgesic activities	[6]
Black seed: <i>Nigella sativa</i> (Ranunculaceae)	Seeds contain fixed oil (arachidonic, linoleic), protein, alkaloids, volatile oil (anethole, cymene), and saponin	Hepatoprotective, anti-cancer, anti-nephrotoxic, anti-diabetic, anti-parasitic, anti-malarial, anti-inflammatory and analgesic, etc.	[79]
Saffron: <i>Crocus sativus</i> L. (Iridaceae)	Flavonoids (flavone, flavonone), di-, mono-, tri-, tetra-terpenes (lycopene, crocetin) phenolics, carboxylic acids, phytosterols, vitamins (riboflavin)	Antiparasitic, antibacterial, hypotensive, antidepressant, anxiolytic, anticonvulsant, anti-Alzheimer, antitumor, anti-nociceptive, cytotoxic activity	[80]
Chicory: <i>Cichorium intybus,</i> (Asteraceae)	Vitamins (ascorbic acid, thiamine, riboflavin, retinol), carotenoids, inulin, niacin, sesquiterpenes, esculin, esculetin, cichorin A, lactucin, and lactucopicrin	Anti-inflammatory, antidiabetic, antimicrobial, gastroprotective, antioxidant, antimalarial, anthelmintic, analgesic and hepatoprotective activity	[81]
Basil plant: <i>Ocimum basilicum</i> L. (Lamiaceae)	Phenolic acids, isoprenoids, and flavonoids	Antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal and anti-inflammatory activity	[82,83]
Scotch marigold: <i>Calendula officinalis</i> L. (Asteraceae)	Triterpenoid, carotenoids, lutein auroxanthin, zeaxanthin, saponins, beta-carotene, flavonol glycosides	Anti- genotoxic, anti-viral, and anti-inflammatory properties	[84]
Damask rose: <i>Rosa damascena</i> Mill. (Rosaceae)	Essential oil has β-citronellol, citronellol, docosane, geraniol, heneicosane, and nonadecane,	Rose oil is antiviral, anti-cancer, antioxidant, laxative, antiseptic and anti-inflammatory	[85]
Moringa: <i>Moringa oleifera</i> Lam. (Moringaceae)	Flavonoids, alkaloids, phenolics, tannins, saponins, glucosinolates vitamin A, vitamin C, Ca, and K	Anti-cancerous, cardiovascular, anti-asthmatic, antidiabetic, anti-microbial and anti-inflammatory	[86,87]
Mangrove: <i>Avicennia marina</i> (Forssk.) Vierh. (Acanthaceae)	Polyphenols, tannins, eicosanoic acid, cis9-hexadecenal, oleic acid, and di-Ndecylsulfone	Anti- antiviral, antibacterial, antifungal and antioxidant activities	[88,89]
Jojoba: <i>Simmondsia chinensis</i> (Link) C. K. (Simmondsiaceae)	Phenolic compounds like gallic acid, flavonoid, stigmast-5-en-3-ol, cis-9-octadecen-1-ol, 9-octadecen-1-ol, (Z), ergost-5-en-3-ol, (3-β)-ol, (Z)-14-tricosenyl formate,	Antidiabetic, anti-inflammatory, anthelminthic, antirheumatic, antiepileptic, antipsoriatic, antigonorrheal, analgesic, and pesticidal activities	[90,91]
Hibiscus: <i>Hibiscus asper</i> (Malvaceae)	Alkaloids, flavonoids, glycosides, phenols, saponins, steroid, tannin, terpenoids, 9, 12, 15- Octadecatrien-1-ol (Z, Z, Z)	Antiapoptotic Neuroprotective Antibacterial, anti-inflammatory, anti-ulcer, and anti-oxidative properties	[87,92]

 Table 2. List of bioactive compounds and pharmacological activities in some medicinal plants.



Group (1)



# Group (2)

**Figure 5.** Medicinal plants are important source for human health as shown in this group of photos. Photos in **group (1)** include from up left to right (**A**) basil plant (*Ocimum basilicum* L), (**B**) scotch marigold (*Calendula officinalis* L.), and down left to the right (**C**) rose geranium (*Pelargonium graveolens* L.), and (**D**) damask rose (*Rosa damascena* Mill.). Photos in **group (2)** represent some medicinal plants including (**A**) black cumin (*Nigella sativa* L.), (**B**) moringa (*Moringa oleifera* Lam.), (**C**) mangrove (*Avicennia marina* (Forssk.) Vierh.), and (**D**) jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* (Link) C. K.). All photos by **El-Mahrouk**.

Many challenges face the regulation of herbal bioactive-based formulations, including challenges of safety, pharmacovigilance, quality, standardization, and clinical trials, as well as a lack of knowledge about herbal medicines [93]. In Table 2, the most common bioactive compounds in some medicinal plants and their pharmacological activities could be noticed. These bioactives may include main groups (i.e., phenolic compounds, flavonoids, carbohydrates, proteins, and terpenes), which have distinguished pharmacological activities such as antimicrobial, antidiuretic, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, analgesic and hypolipidemic activities. The main differences among these medicinal plants may be represented in the common kind of bioactives, such as phenolic compounds (i.e., gingerols, paradols, and shogaols) in ginger, tannic acid and alkaloids (e.g., salvadorine) in miswak, and others (Table 2).

It is well known that plants have been consumed by humans for thousands of years as a source of daily necessities and food supply. Plants also have been applied in several fields, including infrastructure, papermaking, production of perfumes and spices as well as applications for the treatment and prophylaxis of various diseases [94]. Therefore, traditional herbal medicine can be expressed as the use of plants or herbs as remedies in medicine in the following forms of herbal materials, herbs, herbal preparations, and finished herbal products containing active ingredients derived from plants/plant materials, according to the definition of the WHO [94]. Nano-formulated herbal bioactive could be applied for treating many human diseases such as neurodegenerative diseases, which can be nano-formulated using curcumin, quercetin, resveratrol, rutin, piperine, gallic acid, ferulic acid, and selenium [95]. There are many systems for delivering herbal bioactive-based nano-drugs, such as liposomes, nanoemulsions, niosomes, phytosome, polymeric micelles, nanoparticles, nanogels/hydrogel, and other novel drug delivery systems herbal formulations [87].

### 5. Higher Plants and Mushrooms: A General Comparison

Higher plants have many similarities and differences to macro-fungi (mushrooms) as presented in Figure 6A,B. Both higher plants and mushrooms are living organisms belonging to one domain (Eukarya) and are considered, in general, vegetarian as well as both of them possessing distinguishing attributes for human health. High plants are located in the Kingdom of Plantae, but mushrooms are in the Kingdom of Fungi. They can also be used as edible sources for medicinal activities.

There are also many differences between them, especially the nutrition mode, which depends on their content of pigments or chlorophyll, as well as the reproduction method, and the main structure of each one. From this point, mushrooms are fungi organisms that have no chlorophyll; thus, they cannot form their own food, but they are saprophytes (can release some enzymes to biodegrade organic matters and convert them into simple compounds to obtain their necessary foods). The main method for reproduction of mushrooms is by spores, and not all mushrooms can be cultivated like plants [26,96,97]. Some species of both higher plants and mushrooms have nutritional and medicinal attributes, and are called medicinal plants or mushrooms. There are several kinds of mushrooms, which can in general be categorized into edible, medicinal and poisonous mushrooms, as reported by El-Ramady et al. [36]. More dimensions for the sustainable applications of mushrooms could be found in Elsakhawy et al. [98] and El-Ramady et al. [99] whereas the sustainable production of medicinal plants is a great challenge, especially under the adverse conditions reported in detail by Aftab [100].



Figure 6. Cont.

(A)



**(B)** 

**Figure 6.** (**A**) This is the first part of a comparison between higher plants and mushrooms from different points of view, such as taxonomy (using Jerusalem artichoke as an example of a higher plant and champignon as a mushroom), structure, nutrition, reproduction and growth. (**B**) This is the second part of a comparison between higher plants and mushrooms including more different points of view such as the pigments, cultivation and their nutritional/medicinal attributes.

#### 6. Unconventional Foods of Plants and Mushrooms

There are several classifications of plants, which depend on a specific categories such as higher and lower plants based on the existence of flowers or vascular system; common and unconventional edible plants; traditional and modern wild edible plants [101]; cultivated and wild edible plants [37], and conventional and unconventional food plants [102]. The common attributes that can be existed in edible mushrooms and edible plants are being consumable foods and having a nutritional value, such as desirable content of proteins, carbohydrates, or vitamins. Many studies on unconventional foods derived from plants or mushrooms have been published in different locations all over the world for plants [102–104] or mushrooms [105,106]. Increasing attention has been noticed to this group of underutilized plants, which have many different terms such as unconventional vegetables or traditional vegetables, alternative food plants, famine foods, wild edible plants, and plants for the future [103,107]. Therefore, there is an urgent need for unconventioned for unconventioned for unconventional vegetables.

tional medicinal/food plants with consideration of their potential under the initiative of "from flask to patient" and "from field to fork" [108].

There are many innovative technologies in food production acting as food frontiers, which can achieve eco-sustainability and the security of global food, seeking for more sustainable future. These food frontiers may include controlled-environment agriculture [109], climate-driven northern agricultural expansion [110], cellular agriculture [111], entomophagy [112] and seaweed aquaculture [3,113,114]. Based on the single-cell protein in macro-fungi/mushrooms, many possibilities exist to use agricultural residues and wastes because of their fast growth, high cell densities, long history of use, and simple reactor design. However, they have many challenges, including a need for non-food carbon substrates and a possibility for existing mycotoxins [111]. The main target that attracts several scientists all over the world is how to find "plant protein-based meat and dairy analogues" especially under climate change [115-117], and single-cell proteins derived from mushrooms as reported by Stephan et al. [118] in Table 3. Mushrooms contain many bioactive compounds (e.g., phenolics, polysaccharides, polyketides, steroids, triterpenoids, etc.), are considered nutraceuticals, a vegan protein source (up to 45%), and food flavor agents for the food industry [119]. The protein content in mushrooms depends mainly on the mushroom species and the edible part of the mushroom (i.e., fruiting body and mycelium), where the most common mushrooms *Pleurotus ostreatus*, *Agaricus biosporus*, and Lentinus edodes (Berg) have protein (%) in fruiting body and mycelium as follows (36 and 25.70), (45.9 and 47.1), and (23.5 and 17%), respectively in fruiting body and mycelium [119].

Table 3. Some examples of plant protein-based meat and dairy analogues and their sources.

Source of Proteins (the Country, if Any)	Sources of Plant Proteins	Refs.
Dairy-based protein alternatives (general study)	Quinoa and lentil are considered high-digestibility proteins	[61]
Meat analogues including steak, burgers, meatballs, and cutlets (Italy)	Plant steaks, burgers, meatballs, and cutlets	[120]
Fibrous meat analogues (Poland)	Pea protein isolate and oat fiber concentrate	[121]
Innovative approaches for meat production	Strategy of adding quinoa or chia to meat products	[122]
Dairy cheese analogs (the USA)	Plant-based cheese analogs	[123]
Fermented meat sausages (Span and Italy)	Plant-based alternatives includes flavor of plant protein isolates	[124]
Applied binders in meat product (sausages) processing	Quinoa flour could be applied as binder in beef sausage production	[125]
Producing beef burgers formed from flour of quinoa and buckwheat	Flour of both quinoa and buckwheat along with soy protein in beef burgers	[126]
Meat co-products as a meat replacer (general study)	Crops or seaweeds can be replaced by 20% in meat protein	[127]
Boiled meat sausages (Germany)	Pleurotus sapidus as protein in a vegan boiled sausage analog	[118]

On the other hand, many plants are considered plant-based foods that are rich in their content of proteins such as legumes, grains (mainly quinoa), nuts, and certain fruits like apricots, avocados, guavas, peaches, and raspberries [116]. Quinoa, as a pseudo-cereal crop, is considered important protein crop because of its amino acidic profile, gluten-free, high antioxidant content, bioactive properties, high nutrient content (i.e., Ca, P, B, Fe, K, Mg) and vitamins like B1, B2, B3, B6, and E [116]. Quinoa can also be used as an alternative for vegan diets, including in quinoa-based gels [128,129], quinoa protein isolates [130,131], to produce high-quality protein and low-cost enriched pasta [132], and quinoa protein fortification [133].

#### 7. Soil Restoration by Plants and Mushrooms

It is well known that soil is one of the essential components of all life, and our life mainly depends upon it. Soil, as a non-renewable resource, can sustain our life on the Earth by supporting about 95% of global food production [134]. Soils have some essential ecosystem services, such as filtration of pollutants, purification of water, biomass production, and transfer of energy and mass between spheres [134]. In his wonderful book "*The Soil–Human Health Nexus*", Lal [135] stated that human health is indivisible, and has a very strong link to the health of the soil, plants, animals, and the entire ecosystem,

based on the initiative of "One Health". He added this ancient proverb "*When food is right, medicine is of no need; when food is wrong, medicine is of no use*". Therefore, there is a strong need and a global consensus to implement a "*soil protection policy*", enact the "Soil quality act" and respect the "Rights of soil" [135]. Due to misuse of soil resources and soil mismanagement, soil degradation has become a global issue, which impacts the entire environment or ecosystems and human health as well. Thus, the soil–human health nexus is considered a very important global issue that can never be over-emphasized.

Soil is usually formed under different soil forming factors (i.e., time, topography, organisms, climate and parent material), and forming processes. Based on the kind of parent material, the type of formed soil is determined to form sandy, loamy, clayey, calcareous soil, etc. (Figure 7). Several soils suffer from deterioration, which leads to degraded soil and results from many human activities such as urbanization, industrialization and civilization (Figure 8). Degraded soils are generally common under different conditions, which are represented in the decline of soil organic matter, soil acidification and its compaction, the toxicity of pollutants such as heavy metals, intensive use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, low vegetation, and nutrient deficiency (Figure 9). These conditions may change the dynamics of plant–soil interactions and their outcomes.

According to FAO [136], the term land degradation is preferable compared to soil degradation and soil erosion, because it has a wider scope, covers all negative changes in the ecosystem capacity, and provides different services and goods from biological, social and economic aspects. In this section, soil degradation and its restoration by plants and mushrooms (Figure 10) are discussed. There are many human activities that lead to soil degradation all over the world; for example, in the European Mediterranean region [134], chemical degradation resulting from heavy metals in China [137], waterlogging and soil salinity in India [138], or pesticides [139], physical degradation resulting from soil compaction [140], or environmental degradation due to invasive alien plants [141]. Several studies have been reported about different strategies for remediation of pollutants or other causes of soil degradation, which differ using plants or mushrooms. In the case of plants, remediation strategies depend on the type of pollutants (organic or inorganic) and the concentration. These strategies include bio-electrokinetic remediation [142], physicochemical remediation methods (such as chelate-assisted phytoextraction), phytohormones or plant growth regulators (e.g., auxins, abscisic acid, brassinosteroids, cytokinins, ethylene, gibberellins, jasmonic acid, polyamines, and nitric oxide), microbe-assisted remediation, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, inorganic/organic amendments such as biochar, compost, manure for immobilization pollutants in soils, as well as genetic strategies [143].

It is well known that environmental pollution was resulted from many anthropogenic activities such as speedy urbanization, agricultural practices, and rapid industrialization [144]. Several pollutants are involved in these activities, including metalloids, heavy metals, agrochemicals, radionuclides, fly ash, and organic compounds [145,146]. Phytoremediation is considered an environmentally and economically favorable technique using green plants to detoxify pollutants from contaminated water and soil. Phytoremediation has several mechanisms to remove, degrade, or immobilize the pollutants, such as accumulation (by phytoextraction or rhizofiltration), degradation (by rhizo-degradation or phytodegradation), dissipation (phytovolatilization), and immobilization by hydraulic control and phytostabilization [144]. This mechanism depends upon the type of pollutant, and plant species, which may utilize one or more of these mechanisms [147]. Phytoremediation of metals in polluted soil could be enhanced by using soil earthworm and arbuscular mycorrhizae [148]. The main mechanisms that plants can be used in remediation process may include bioremediation, phytoremediation, as reported by many studies such as Elallem et al. [149] Bhat et al. [150], Oladoye et al. [151], Gavrilescu [152], and Wang et al. [148].



**Figure 7.** Soils that result from different geological forms definitely differ in their fertility and characterization, where sandy rocks form sandy soil (as a low-fertility soil, it needs much more treatment), whereas clay or loamy soil possesses better soil fertility. These photos show different geological forms from different places in Egypt (the first 3 photos from upper left from Matrouh; middle right photo from Shalateen; lower photos from Marsa Alam). All photos by **El-Ramady**.



**Figure 8.** This drawn figure is about soil degradation and its categories. It also includes a comparison between the role of higher plants and mushrooms in dealing with soil degradation through the process of soil restoration. Different mechanisms of the restoration process for both are highlighted. **Sources:** Bandyopadhyay [153], FAO [136], Ferreira et al. [134], Zhu et al. [154], and El-Ramady et al. [99].

Myco-remediation of polluted soils by mushrooms is an eco-efficient process of mushrooms to bio-degrade different pollutants through mechanisms such as bioaccumulation, biosorption, bioconversion, and biodegradation [99]. The main difference between remediation by plants and mushrooms is represented in the mechanism of this process, which mainly needs enzymes to be achieved in case of mushrooms, but in the case of plants, needs different approaches (Figure 10). The commonality between myco-remediation and phyto-remediation is that both are considered eco-friendly and capable of sustainable remediation of polluted soils as well as having many eco-benefits. The most important



benefits of myco-remediation are the possibility to produce biodiesel or bioenergy, enzymes and biofertilizers after the biodegradation process [36].

**Figure 9.** Soil/water pollution is considered an important global issue. These photos show the litterfall in the autumn season (**upper left photo**), which can enrich the soil with essential nutrients for plant growth and this reflects the biological activity in soil or soil fauna diversity in fertile soil (**upper right photo**). The middle photos represent soil salinity stress, which is considered a form of chemical soil degradation. The lower photos represent two reasons for soil degradation, including soil pollution and waterlogging (**lower left photo**) and soil pollution from dead animals in irrigation canals (**lower right photo**). The 2 upper photos are from Debrecen (Hungary), whereas the rest from Kafr El-Sheikh (Egypt). All photos by **El-Ramady**.



## I. Food and energy crops definition

Food or edible crop is any plant can be used or any fraction of it to be consumed by humans. Energy crop is any plant can be used in producing the energy by combustion. Some energy crops are already food or edible crops at the same time like maize, sugarcane, sunflower and potatoes

# II. Main difference between them

There is a serious dilemma:

"Energy crops for biofuel production or for food?" Which land areas should be exploited for energy or food production or both together?

- Arable lands should be used for food production - Marginal or polluted or problematic lands should

be used for fuel or biomass production!

# Main generations of energy (biomass or biofuel) crops

## V. Biomass categories for biofuels

**Biomass** is a biological substance (dead or decomposed) from animals and plants:

- 1- Wood (a major source of the biomass),
- 2- Energy crops,
- 3- Agricultural residues,
- 4- Food wastes, and
- 5-Industrial wastes

## V. Second generation biofuels

Second generation are called "olive green" or "cellulosic-ethanol" fuel, and are mainly obtained from nonfood feedstocks; they include

- Wastes of vegetable oil,
- Industry residues, and
- Forest residues as sustainable biomass

# VI. The first generation of biofuels

**Biofuels** commercially can be classified into biodiesel, bio-ethanol, and bio-oils. **First generation** biofuel includes consumable food items such as the following crops:

- Starch crops (potatoes),
- Sugar crops (sugarcane and sugar beet),
- Oil crops (maize and sunflower)

## VI. Third and fourth generation biofuels

Third generation biofuels are also known as "algae fuel" or "oilage" because they are produced from the algae (biodiesel, bioethanol) Fourth generation biofuel (eco-fuels or 4G) are genetically modified organisms for enhancing bio-H production processes and for C capture and storage (e.g., cyanobacteria)

**Figure 10.** The integrated production of food and energy crops is illustrated in this drawn figure. The main differences between energy and food crops are listed, along with their limitations as well as different generations of biofuels with some examples. **Sources:** Saha et al. [155], and Goria et al. [156].

## 7.1. Integrated Production of Food and Energy

A great challenge faces the world to produce enough food for feeding the increasing population, especially under the limitations of global arable lands. This problem has a serious dimension if we need to answer this question: what is the main purpose of global land cultivation: biofuel production from energy crops or food production from food crops? This is a very difficult balance, which requires us to find and explore new lands, as-yet uncultivated, to cultivate and produce more food for the expected larger population in the future [157]. These lands are mainly problematic soils, which suffer from restrictions or obstacles preventing their productivity through the restoration processes such as polluted soils, marginal, waterlogged, submerged, degraded and salt-affected soils. The comparative items that are needed to identify the positive and negative points of each option may

19 of 33

include a large variety of economic, environmental, social, and sustainability aspects. Many suggestions could be applied as strategies and/or alternative choices for green energy supply, sustainability, and enough nutrition for the global growing population [157].

It is well known that the global population may reach 10 billion by 2050, which will lead to an increase in the global need for food, water, and energy (Figure 11). Along with energy, there is an urgent need to save sufficient quantities of clean water for different natural ecosystems and human societies [158]. Why is the integrated production of food and energy needed? Due to both food and energy being essential for human life and the limitation of land and water resources, the production of both should be integrated, where there is no life without all of them. It is logical to search for non-exploited lands for energy production while saving the arable lands for food production, especially under the amazing technologies in vertical expansion for both production systems to avoid the conflict of "food vs. fuel". Thus, many dimensions of the food–energy nexus have been explained in Table 4, which includes different factors that impact this nexus from different points of view. The importance of this nexus is increased when associated with water to be the food–energy–water nexus.

Food-Energy Nexus	The Dimension of the Study	Refs.
Energy-food-water nexus	The performance of the energy–food–water nexus using solar energy under integrated production of fresh water from seawater desalination, biomass gasification and food systems in Qatar	[159]
Food-energy-water nexus	Reducing the losses in energy and water from consumer avoidable food wastes to increase sustainability in the food system in China	[160]
Food–energy nexus related to eco-pollution	Problems resulted from the production of energy and chemical fertilizers, as sources of environmental pollution due to the depletion of groundwater resources in Iran	[161]
Food-energy-water nexus	Identification of the change drivers in urban regions in China by a study of consumption of urban households, fixed capital formation and exports under food–energy–water system	[162]
Food-energy-land-water nexus	There is a need to produce a sustainable source of food, clean energy (biofuels), and water in Nigeria	[163]
Energy–food nexus	Collaborative management and conservation for scarcity of food and energy resources under climate policy were higher for low-income compared to high-income economies	[21]
Food–energy–water nexus	Mitigation of climate change and water circularity role in food–energy–water nexus for transition from a linear economy to a circular economy	[158]
Water-energy-nutrient-food nexus	Under urban agriculture system, water and nutrient needs at greenhouse farm and a container farm could be supplied by resources present in urban waters of wastewater and rainwater	[164]
Nutrient-food-energy-water nexus	Reusing urban wastewaters in urban farming can reduce energy needs for nutrient, water, irrigation, food transport, and wastewater pumping	[165]

Table 4. Different forms of food-energy nexus and their impacts.

Food-Energy Nexus	The Dimension of the Study	Refs.
Food-water-energy nexus	Integrated management in agricultural watershed and under drought can increase food production by 6% and reduce energy consumption by 3% compared to water-saving irrigation	[166]
Food-water-energy nexus	This nexus can contribute to sustainable and efficient management of different agricultural resources (i.e., energy, land, and water)	[167]
Food-energy-water nexus	This nexus governance depends on 9 principles: innovation, sharing, connectivity, participation, equitability, coordination, legitimacy, empowerment, and strategy	[168]
Energy-water-food nexus	Optimizing resilience can calculate to minimize emissions of CO <sub>2</sub> based on total profits, while considering natural disaster events as interruptions	[169]
Food-energy-water nexus	Recycling of food wastes as a source of energy and water can perform using a mechanical presser/anaerobic digester to produce biogas	[170]
Food-water-energy nexus	This nexus could examine the sustainability implications in China, which needs some strategies through developing socio-economic balance and saving resources from the consumption perspectives	[171]

Table 4. Cont.



**Figure 11.** Several common energy crops, which can be used in energy generation for humans. It is also common to use wood as burning material for producing energy. These photos represent examples of energy crops; the upper-left photo is sunflower, to its right is alfalfa, the middle photos from the left, maize, in the right, wood materials. The lower-left photo is *Miscanthus* sp. And on the right is *Arundo donax* (as non-edible energy crops). All photos from the experimental farm, Debrecen University by **El-Ramady**.

Edible or food crops (e.g., potato, maize, sunflower, sugar cane, sugar beet, etc.) were the main candidates for the first generation of biofuels, whereas the residues of crops or forests or industrial wastes were presented the second generation seeking for clean energy and reduction of the dependence on fossil fuels [172]. Lignocellulosic biomass, as the most abundant bio-renewable materials in the world, can be produced from water and atmospheric  $CO_2$  through photosynthesis using sunlight energy [173]. This biomass may represent forest residues, crop residues, industrial wastes, wood crops, municipal solid wastes, and food wastes [172]. The main herbaceous energy crops, which are considered the major sources of lignocellulosic biomass, include canary grass (Phalaris canariensis), switchgrass (Panicum virgatum), Miscanthus (Miscanthus giganteus), giant reed (Arundo donax), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), and Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) (Table 5). There is no subject discussed and reports on energy as published during 2022 (till 11 May 2022) by ScienceDirect about "Crop and Energy" 13,833 published materials, and a similar number (13,957) by SpringerLink. The future of renewable fuel resources and biofuel crops is the main target of climate change for several nations, such as China [174]. As is well known, each energy crop has certain growth conditions starting from the growing seeds or tubers till flowering and getting the harvested plant to produce the bioenergy as shown in Figure 12. The growing stage definitely differs from the processing stage for energy production, which depends on the plant species.

Table 5. An overview of some common energy crops and mushroom species.

Energy Crop	The Common Meaning or Species in the Category
I. Energy crops	
Lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) categories	
1. Annual and perennial energy grasses	Canary grass, switchgrass, Miscanthus, giant reed, alfalfa, and Napier grass
2. Woody biomass	Natural forest residues, forestry wastes (wood chips, and branches from
3. Non-woody biomass	Agricultural wastes in the field (crop stubble, grasses, paddy husks, straw) and agricultural processing wastes (animal paunch waste, sugarcane bagasse, palm oil waste, cotton gin trash, etc.).
Lignocellulosic biomass from crop residues	
Biorefinery of crop residues applications	Converting biomass into bio-based products (biofuels, bioenergy, pharmaceuticals, biopolymers, surfactants) under circular bioeconomy Lignocellulose-degrading enzymes from microorganisms and their biotechnological applications
Biofuels	Bioethanol, biodiesel, biohydrogen, and biobutanol
Bioenergy	Biochar, biogas, syngas, methane, etc.
Recent fractionation process of lignocellulosic bion	nass
	Pyrolysis, microwave assisted deep eutectic solvents, aldehydes, organo-Cat, hydrothermal and delignification
Mechanism of biofuel production by plants	
	Novel biofuels have been produced from LCB, such as bio-hydrogen, biobutanol, dimethylfuran by enzymes of cellulases, hemicellulases, lytic polysaccharide monooxygenase, ligninase, and cellobiose dehydrogenases
Main food crops and their generated residues	
	Apple (apple pomace), cotton (cotton sheets, cotton stalks), rice (rice straw, rice hulls), coffee (coffee husks, coffee pulp, wastewater), sugarcane (sugarcane bagasse, cane straw), barley (barley straw), beans (peel beans), sorghum (sorghum straw), orange (orange peel, orange bagasse), maize (corncobs, corn straw), soybean (soybean hull), wheat (wheat straw), grapes (grape pomace)

Energy Crop	The Common Meaning or Species in the Category
Main biorefinery applications of some major crop re	esidues
Coffee residues	Production of levulinic acid, gibberellic acid, biogas, bioethanol, biodiesel, $\alpha$ -amylase, pectinase, endoglucanase, and cultivation of mushrooms
Maize residues	Production of methane, prebiotic xylo-oligosaccharides, biosorbent, biogas
Soybean residues	Production of protease, $\beta$ -amylase, $\alpha$ -amylase, biodiesel, biogas, bioethanol
Sugarcane residues	Production of glycosyl hydrolases, xylanases, endoglucanase, biobutanol, bioethanol, lignin, and levulinic acid
Rice residues	Production of cellulase, lignin degrading enzymes, biochar, nano-silica, nanocrystals, biobutanol, lignin, and cellulose
Wheat residues	Production of bioethanol, biogas, levulinic acid, bacterial cellulose, and mushroom cultivation
II. Mushrooms	
Most important mushroom species produce bioethan	nol
	Pleurotus florida, P. ostreatus, Ganoderma lucidum, Lentinula edodes
Mechanism of biofuel production by mushroom	
	Production of biofuels and energy from LCB is based on biochemical processes, which LCB needs C:N ratio < 30 and humidity >30% through degrading enzymes (laccase, mannanase, cellulase, xylanase, etc.)
Spent mushroom substrates (SMS) and its use for bi	ioethanol production
	I. SMS of both mushrooms ( <i>Agaricus bisporus</i> and <i>Pleurotus forida</i> ) used for lignocellulolytic enzymes (hydrolytic and oxidative enzymes) II. SMS of <i>Lentinula edodes</i> was used for enzymatic saccharification, which resulted in high glucan digestibility (80–90%) in the SMS beside phenolics III. Using Hot-air (75–100 °C) pasteurization instead of autoclaving for SMS of <i>Lentinula edodes</i> by enzymatic digestibility of glucan in SMS IV. SMS of <i>Ganoderma lucidum</i> used by 0.2% ( <i>v</i> / <i>v</i> ) for fermentation using baker's yeast ( <i>Saccharomyces cerevisiae</i> ) and incubated for 5 days at 30 °C
Fermentation using mushroom for bioethanol production	
	I. Fermentation using <i>Pleurotus florida</i> on cotton spinning wastes and the optimum ethanol yield (1.18 g L <sup>-1</sup> ) was obtained by 64% at 60 h II. Mushroom of <i>Dictyopanus genera</i> can its enzyme (laccase activity 267 U L <sup>-1</sup> ) from oil palm delignification process for bioethanol derived-cellulose

Table 5. Cont.

Sources: [99,172,173,175–182].

#### 7.2. Integrating Food Crops and Mushrooms

Integration in agricultural sectors is very important as a general target for sustainable agriculture, in particular the production of food and energy. Mushrooms represent an important source of non-traditional food for human nutrition. So, the marketing of mushrooms includes cultivated edible (54%), medicinal mushrooms (38%), and wild mushrooms (8%) [183]. Mushrooms are also being considered as second-generation biofuels, and this does not compete with the production of foods; the cultivation of mushrooms and their waste recycling should be exploited for a circular bioeconomy [184]. Agro-wastes could be also bio-converted and composted with wastes of dairy foods during the cultivation of mushrooms [185]. Cultivation of edible and/or medicinal mushrooms is a sustainable food–energy production system because they can mainly cultivate agro-wastes and/or agro-industrial residues as well as the wastes of their cultivation (spent mushroom substrate or SMS) themselves, which can be used in bioenergy production. Therefore, this combination of food–energy production by mushrooms is considered an integrated form of production as reported in the case of production of mushrooms and tomatoes under the circular bioeconomy approach [186]. The co-cultivation of plants (e.g. vegetables) and

mushrooms has been reported in many studies, which leads to higher yields and higher biomass production, due to the impacts of mushroom hyphae in increasing the availability of water and minerals around plant roots [186,187].



**Figure 12.** Jerusalem artichoke as an example of energy crop and as a biorefinery crop with focus on different growth stages. These photos start from the upper left, which presents the storing of some tubers in the soil from the previous crop until March, and then the tubers were collected from soil and put in containers in refrigerator until the cultivation in April, where the right photo after cultivation shows the tubers in June. The middle photos represent the plants during October and November. The lower photos: left is the tubers in the mature stage during December and the right photo for plants during January in winter. All photos were taken from the experimental farm, Debrecen University by **El-Ramady**.

The integrated relationship between mushrooms and higher plants does not only include co-cultivation at the same time, but also include many benefits in different applications, such as:

- (1) Improving mushroom (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) production cultivated on staple crop residues including banana, cassava, common bean, and maize [188],
- (2) Utilizing fruit waste substrates (peels of avocado, orange, and pineapple) in mushroom (*Pleurotus eryngii* and *P. ostreatus*) production [189],
- (3) Using hulls of faba bean as substrate for mushroom (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) cultivation and for animal feed production [190],
- (4) Producing spawns from banana leaf-midribs for cultivation of oyster (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) mushrooms [191],
- (5) Integrating mushroom cultivation and production in a circular agro-system into food chains [192], and
- (6) Using spent mushroom compost of mushroom (*Agaricus subrufescens* and *A. bisporus*) for cultivation of lettuce, tomato, and/or cucumber in a sustainable system in the same container under greenhouse conditions [193].

There are many species of mushrooms that have the ability to produce bioethanol, such as *Pleurotus florida*, *P. ostreatus*, *Ganoderma lucidum*, *Lentinula edodes*, etc., as reported by Xiong et al. [178], Rueda et al. [182], Sudhakar et al. [179], Devi et al. [177],

Periyasamy et al. [181], and Ranjithkumar et al. [180] (Table 5; Figure 13). The suggested mechanism of producing biofuels and energy from lignocellulosic biomass (LCB) is based on biochemical processes, which need certain conditions, especially C:N ratio < 30 and humidity >30% through biodegrading enzymes (e.g., laccase, mannanase, cellulase, and xylanase).



**Figure 13.** Some common fresh edible mushrooms from the upper photos left (*Pleurotus ostreatus*) to right (*Lentinula edodes*) and in the lower photos: white champignon mushroom (*Agaricus bisporus*) left (quality: II. class) and right (quality: I. class). All photos by **Gréta Törős** (Debrecen Uni.) from Magyar Gomba Kertész Kft.

#### 8. General Discussion

A variety of applications of mushrooms in the agricultural, medicinal, and pharmaceutical fields are well known. Although this review focuses on the comparison between mushrooms and higher plants, the findings will have a bearing on the co-cultivation of mushrooms and cultivated plants as an agro-sustainable approach. Returning to the main questions posed at the beginning of this study, it is now possible to state that the answer to the previous questions could be mentioned in brief as follows:

What is the preferable for soil restoration food crops or energy crops, or mushrooms? In general, energy crops (especially non-edible crops) are preferable plants for problematic soils, since they have the ability to reclaim these soils, are tolerant to stressful conditions in these soils, and could save arable soils for food production. Mushrooms have many benefits in the respiration of degraded soils through many biochemical processes resulting from the enzymatic activities of mushrooms, such as decomposition, biodegradation, bio-weathering, bioconversion, and biosorption. Mushrooms also have the ability to increase soil aggregates, promote biodegradation of pollutants, and enhance the nutrient bioavailability in soil [194].

What is the possibility for sustainable production of both food crops and mushrooms? The sustainable agriculture for both mushrooms and cultivated plants could be achieved under the co-cultivation of mushrooms and vegetables as an integrated system for foods and mushrooms production. This approach will prove useful in expanding our understanding of how the cultivated mushrooms can support the growth and productivity of co-cultivated vegetables at the same time. Co-cultivation of mushrooms and lettuce can reduce the accumulated  $CO_2$  emission into the air by 80.6%, due to the ability of mushrooms. This continuous cultivation system of both mushrooms and lettuce can reduce  $CO_2$  emissions into the air and achieve sustainable agriculture [195]. The circular bioeconomy approach could be realized for the integrated production of foods and mushrooms. Different agro-

industrial residues could be managed in a sustainable approach through motivating the use of these residues for the production of both vegetables and mushrooms or fungi culture.

#### 9. Conclusions and Future Perspectives

This is the first study to report a photographic comparison between mushrooms and plants. This comparison that we have identified therefore assists in our understanding of the role of both mushrooms and cultivated plants especially towards the circular biorefinery approach. The findings from this study make several contributions to the current literature. First, several similar and differences are be noticed between mushrooms and plants. Second, the most important difference lies in the fact that plants have the ability to make their own food (autotrophic), due to the existence of chlorophyll, whereas mushrooms are saprophytic organisms. Third, the co-cultivation of mushrooms and vegetables is an integrated and sustainable production system. Fourth, mushrooms are considered a sustainable solution for bioenergy and biorefinery. Although the study has successfully demonstrated that mushrooms and plants could be integrated in many different agricultural, medicinal, and pharmaceutical practices, it has certain limitations in terms of the harmony between mushrooms and cultivated crops. It is suggested that the association of these factors is investigated in future studies to make precise criteria for this co-cultivation of mushrooms and plants. It is suggested that before the generalization is introduced, many co-attributes between mushroom and plants should be carried out on the phytomedicinal and ecotoxicological attributes. This review also focused on the phytomedicine and its potential for human health, the unconventional foods derived from plants and mushrooms, soil degradation and its restoration by plants and mushrooms, the integrated production of food and energy, and finally the agro-integration between food crops and mushrooms. This review may open new windows concerning the urgent strategy for producing food and energy at the same time.

**Author Contributions:** J.P. and H.E.-R. developed the idea and outline of the review. The 2nd and 3rd sections were written by K.B., the 4th and 5th sections by N.A. and the 6th section by M.E.E.-M. The 7th section was written by H.E.-R., whereas the 8th and 9th sections were written by G.T., X.L. and P.H. Both H.E.-R. and K.B. revised the manuscript thoroughly and finalized it. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** The research was supported by the Stipendium Hungaricum Scholarship Program (SH ID:140993). The work/publication is supported by the EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-00008 project. The project is co-financed by the European Union and the European Social Fund.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Acknowledgments:** The authors are grateful for the support of the 2020-1.1.2-PIACI-KFI-2020-00100 Project "Development of innovative food raw materials based on Maillard reaction by functional transformation of traditional and exotic mushrooms for food and medicinal purposes".

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## References

- Elbasiouny, H.; El-Ramady, H.; Elbehiry, F.; Rajput, V.D.; Minkina, T.; Mandzhieva, S. Plant Nutrition under Climate Change and Soil Carbon Sequestration. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 914. [CrossRef]
- Huang, S.; Wang, P.; Yamaji, N.; Ma, J.F. Plant Nutrition for Human Nutrition: Hints from Rice Research and Future Perspectives. Mol. Plant 2020, 13, 825–835. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Raja, K.; Kadirvel, V.; Subramaniyan, T. Seaweeds, an Aquatic Plant-Based Protein for Sustainable Nutrition—A Review. *Future Foods* **2022**, *5*, 100142. [CrossRef]
- Larson-Meyer, E.D.; Ruscigno, M. Plant-Based Sports Nutrition: Expert Fueling Strategies for Training, Recovery, and Performance, 1st ed.; Human Kinetics: Champaign, IL, USA, 2020.

- 5. Agidew, M.G. Phytochemical Analysis of Some Selected Traditional Medicinal Plants in Ethiopia. *Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.* **2022**, *46*, 87. [CrossRef]
- Alam, T.; Khan, S.A.; Dhanalekshmi, U.M. Traditional Uses, Phytochemistry, and Pharmacological Profile of Salvadora Persica Linn. In Edible Plants in Health and Diseases; Masoodi, M.H., Rehman, M.U., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2022; pp. 95–134. ISBN 9789811649585.
- Dhaliwal, S.S.; Sharma, V.; Shukla, A.K.; Verma, V.; Kaur, M.; Shivay, Y.S.; Nisar, S.; Gaber, A.; Brestic, M.; Barek, V.; et al. Biofortification—A Frontier Novel Approach to Enrich Micronutrients in Field Crops to Encounter the Nutritional Security. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 1340. [CrossRef]
- El-Ramady, H.; Abdalla, N.; Elbasiouny, H.; Elbehiry, F.; Elsakhawy, T.; Omara, A.E.-D.; Amer, M.; Bayoumi, Y.; Shalaby, T.A.; Eid, Y.; et al. Nano-Biofortification of Different Crops to Immune against COVID-19: A Review. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf.* 2021, 222, 112500. [CrossRef]
- El-Ramady, H.; Brevik, E.C.; Elbasiouny, H.; Elbehiry, F.; El-Henawy, A.; Faizy, S.E.-D.; Elsakhawy, T.; Omara, A.E.-D.; Amer, M.; Eid, Y. Soils, Biofortification, and Human Health Under COVID-19: Challenges and Opportunities. *Front. Soil Sci.* 2021, 1, 732971. [CrossRef]
- El-Ramady, H.; Faizy, S.E.-D.; Abdalla, N.; Taha, H.; Domokos-Szabolcsy, É.; Fari, M.; Elsakhawy, T.; Omara, A.E.-D.; Shalaby, T.; Bayoumi, Y.; et al. Selenium and Nano-Selenium Biofortification for Human Health: Opportunities and Challenges. *Soil Syst.* 2020, 4, 57. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kumar, S.; Dikshit, H.K.; Mishra, G.P.; Singh, A. (Eds.) *Biofortification of Staple Crops*; Springer: Singapore, 2022; ISBN 9789811632792.
- Kumar, S.; Dikshit, H.K.; Mishra, G.P.; Singh, A.; Aski, M.; Virk, P.S. Biofortification of Staple Crops: Present Status and Future Strategies. In *Biofortification of Staple Crops*; Kumar, S., Dikshit, H.K., Mishra, G.P., Singh, A., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2022; pp. 1–30. ISBN 9789811632792.
- González-Ball, R.; Bermúdez-Rojas, T.; Romero-Vargas, M.; Ceuterick, M. Medicinal Plants Cultivated in Urban Home Gardens in Heredia, Costa Rica. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 2022, 18, 7. [CrossRef]
- Masoodi, M.H.; Rehman, M.U. (Eds.) Edible Plants in Health and Diseases: Volume 1: Cultural, Practical and Economic Value; Springer Nature: Singapore, 2022; ISBN 9789811648793.
- 15. Masoodi, M.H.; Rehman, M.U. (Eds.) *Edible Plants in Health and Diseases: Volume II: Phytochemical and Pharmacological Properties;* Springer: Singapore, 2022; ISBN 9789811649585.
- 16. Iqbal, Y.; Ponnampalam, E.N.; Cottrell, J.J.; Suleria, H.A.R.; Dunshea, F.R. Extraction and Characterization of Polyphenols from Non-Conventional Edible Plants and Their Antioxidant Activities. *Food Res. Int.* **2022**, 157, 111205. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhang, H.; Jiang, F.; Zhang, J.; Wang, W.; Li, L.; Yan, J. Modulatory Effects of Polysaccharides from Plants, Marine Algae and Edible Mushrooms on Gut Microbiota and Related Health Benefits: A Review. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **2022**, 204, 169–192. [CrossRef]
- 18. Nath, H.; Samtiya, M.; Dhewa, T. Beneficial Attributes and Adverse Effects of Major Plant-Based Foods Anti-Nutrients on Health: A Review. *Hum. Nutr. Metab.* **2022**, *28*, 200147. [CrossRef]
- 19. Kumar, M.; Tomar, M.; Punia, S.; Dhakane-Lad, J.; Dhumal, S.; Changan, S.; Senapathy, M.; Berwal, M.K.; Sampathrajan, V.; Sayed, A.A.S.; et al. Plant-Based Proteins and Their Multifaceted Industrial Applications. *LWT* **2022**, *154*, 112620. [CrossRef]
- Lu, Q.; Ruan, H.-N.; Sun, X.-Q.; Luo, J.-Y.; Yang, M.-H. Contamination Status and Health Risk Assessment of 31 Mycotoxins in Six Edible and Medicinal Plants Using a Novel Green Defatting and Depigmenting Pretreatment Coupled with LC-MS/MS. LWT 2022, 161, 113401. [CrossRef]
- Xia, Y.; Yan, B. Energy-Food Nexus Scarcity Risk and the Synergic Impact of Climate Policy: A Global Production Network Perspective. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 2022, 135, 26–35. [CrossRef]
- 22. Terneus Páez, C.F.; Viteri Salazar, O. Analysis of Biofuel Production in Ecuador from the Perspective of the Water-Food-Energy Nexus. *Energy Policy* **2021**, *157*, 112496. [CrossRef]
- 23. Yuan, S.; Peng, S. Food-Energy-Emission Nexus of Rice Production in China. Crop Environ. 2022, 1, 59–67. [CrossRef]
- Luqman, M.; Al-Ansari, T. Energy, Water and Food Security through a Waste-Driven Polygeneration System for Sustainable Dairy Production. Int. J. Hydrog. Energy 2022, 47, 3185–3210. [CrossRef]
- Ambaye, T.G.; Chebbi, A.; Formicola, F.; Prasad, S.; Gomez, F.H.; Franzetti, A.; Vaccari, M. Remediation of Soil Polluted with Petroleum Hydrocarbons and Its Reuse for Agriculture: Recent Progress, Challenges, and Perspectives. *Chemosphere* 2022, 293, 133572. [CrossRef]
- 26. Chen, Y.; Svenning, J.-C.; Wang, X.; Cao, R.; Yuan, Z.; Ye, Y. Drivers of Macrofungi Community Structure Differ between Soil and Rotten-Wood Substrates in a Temperate Mountain Forest in China. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *9*, 37. [CrossRef]
- Fernández Ruiz, A.; Rodríguez de la Cruz, D.; Vicente Villardón, J.L.; Sánchez Durán, S.; García Jiménez, P.; Sánchez Sánchez, J. Considerations on Field Methodology for Macrofungi Studies in Fragmented Forests of Mediterranean Agricultural Landscapes. *Agronomy* 2022, 12, 528. [CrossRef]
- 28. Bassaganya-Riera, J.; Berry, E.M.; Blaak, E.E.; Burlingame, B.; le Coutre, J.; van Eden, W.; El-Sohemy, A.; German, J.B.; Knorr, D.; Lacroix, C.; et al. Goals in Nutrition Science 2020–2025. *Front. Nutr.* **2021**, *7*, 606378. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Richardson, D.P.; Lovegrove, J.A. Nutritional Status of Micronutrients as a Possible and Modifiable Risk Factor for COVID-19: A UK Perspective. Br. J. Nutr. 2021, 125, 678–684. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Hu, X.; Wei, X.; Ling, J.; Chen, J. Cobalt: An Essential Micronutrient for Plant Growth? Front. Plant Sci. 2021, 12, 768523. [CrossRef]

- 31. Kabata-Pendias, A. *Trace Elements in Soils and Plants*, 4th ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor and Francis Group, LLC: Abingdon, UK, 2011.
- 32. Kabata-Pendias, A.; Mukherjee, A. Trace Elements from Soil to Human; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2007.
- 33. Kabata-Pendias, A.; Szteke, B. *Trace Elements in Abiotic and Biotic Environments*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group, LLC: Abingdon, UK, 2015.
- 34. Garcia, S.N.; Osburn, B.I.; Jay-Russell, M.T. One Health for Food Safety, Food Security, and Sustainable Food Production. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2020**, *4*, 1. [CrossRef]
- 35. Cassani, L.; Gomez-Zavaglia, A. Sustainable Food Systems in Fruits and Vegetables Food Supply Chains. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *9*, 829061. [CrossRef]
- 36. El-Ramady, H.; Abdalla, N.; Badgar, K.; Llanaj, X.; Törős, G.; Hajdú, P.; Eid, Y.; Prokisch, J. Edible Mushrooms for Sustainable and Healthy Human Food: Nutritional and Medicinal Attributes. *Sustainability* **2022**, *14*, 4941. [CrossRef]
- 37. Ray, A.; Ray, R.; Sreevidya, E.A. How Many Wild Edible Plants Do We Eat—Their Diversity, Use, and Implications for Sustainable Food System: An Exploratory Analysis in India. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2020**, *4*, 56. [CrossRef]
- 38. Bruulsema, T.; Cakmak, I.; Dobermann, A.; Gerard, B.; Majumdar, K.; McLaughlin, M.; Reidsma, P.; Vanlauwe, B.; Wollenberg, E.K.; Zhang, F.; et al. A New Paradigm for Plant Nutrition. 2020. Available online: https://www.apni.net/downloads/sprpnIss01.pdf (accessed on 25 April 2022).
- Dobermann, A.; Bruulsema, T.; Cakmak, I.; Gerard, B.; Majumdar, K.; McLaughlin, M.; Reidsma, P.; Vanlauwe, B.; Wollenberg, L.; Zhang, F.; et al. Responsible Plant Nutrition: A New Paradigm to Support Food System Transformation. *Glob. Food Secur.* 2022, 33, 100636. [CrossRef]
- 40. Frazier, M.; Matthew, R. No Meat Athlete: A Plant-Based Nutrition and Training Guide for Athletes at Any Level; Fair Winds Press: Beverly, MA, USA, 2018.
- Goyal, M.; Ayeleso, A. *Bioactive Compounds of Medicinal Plants: Properties and Potential for Human Health*; Innovations in Plant Science for Better Health: From Soil to Fork Book Series; Apple Academic Press, Inc.: Palm Bay, FL, USA; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2019.
- Suleria, H.; Barrow, C. Bioactive Compounds from Plant Origin: Extraction, Applications, and Potential Health Benefits; Innovations in Plant Science for Better Health: From Soil to Fork Book Series; Apple Academic Press, Inc.: Palm Bay, FL, USA; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2020.
- Goyal, M.; Joy, P.; Suleria, H. Plant Secondary Metabolites for Human Health: Extraction of Bioactive Compounds; Innovations in Plant Science for Better Health: From Soil to Fork Book Series; Apple Academic Press, Inc.: Palm Bay, FL, USA; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2020.
- 44. Goyal, M.; Chauhan, D. Assessment of Medicinal Plants for Human Health: Phytochemistry, Disease Management, and Novel Applications; Innovations in Plant Science for Better Health: From Soil to Fork Book Series; Apple Academic Press, Inc.: Palm Bay, FL, USA; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2022.
- 45. Suleria, H.; Goyal, M.; Ul Ain, H. Bioactive Compounds from Multifarious Natural Foods for Human Health: Foods and Medicinal Plants; Innovations in Plant Science for Better Health: From Soil to Fork Book Series; Apple Academic Press, Inc.: Palm Bay, FL, USA; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2022.
- 46. Goyal, M.; Birwal, P.; Chauhan, D. Herbs, Spices, and Medicinal Plants for Human Gastrointestinal Disorders: Health Benefits and Safety; Innovations in Plant Science for Better Health: From Soil to Fork Book Series; Apple Academic Press, Inc.: Palm Bay, FL, USA; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group: Abingdon, UK, 2022.
- 47. Langyan, S.; Yadava, P.; Khan, F.N.; Dar, Z.A.; Singh, R.; Kumar, A. Sustaining Protein Nutrition Through Plant-Based Foods. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *8*, 772573. [CrossRef]
- 48. Deprá, M.C.; Dias, R.R.; Sartori, R.B.; de Menezes, C.R.; Zepka, L.Q.; Jacob-Lopes, E. Nexus on Animal Proteins and the Climate Change: The Plant-Based Proteins Are Part of the Solution? *Food Bioprod. Process.* **2022**, *133*, 119–131. [CrossRef]
- Hadidi, M.; Jafarzadeh, S.; Forough, M.; Garavand, F.; Alizadeh, S.; Salehabadi, A.; Khaneghah, A.M.; Jafari, S.M. Plant Protein-Based Food Packaging Films; Recent Advances in Fabrication, Characterization, and Applications. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2022, 120, 154–173. [CrossRef]
- 50. Ramya, K.R.; Tripathi, K.; Pandey, A.; Barpete, S.; Gore, P.G.; Raina, A.P.; Khawar, K.M.; Swain, N.; Sarker, A. Rediscovering the Potential of Multifaceted Orphan Legume Grasspea- a Sustainable Resource With High Nutritional Values. *Front. Nutr.* 2022, *8*, 826208. [CrossRef]
- 51. Sagis, L.M.; Yang, J. Protein-Stabilized Interfaces in Multiphase Food: Comparing Structure-Function Relations of Plant-Based and Animal-Based Proteins. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 2022, 43, 53–60. [CrossRef]
- 52. Cao, Y.; Bolisetty, S.; Wolfisberg, G.; Adamcik, J.; Mezzenga, R. Amyloid Fibril-Directed Synthesis of Silica Core–Shell Nanofilaments, Gels, and Aerogels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 4012–4017. [CrossRef]
- 53. Cao, Y.; Mezzenga, R. Food Protein Amyloid Fibrils: Origin, Structure, Formation, Characterization, Applications and Health Implications. *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2019, 269, 334–356. [CrossRef]
- 54. Sá, A.G.A.; Moreno, Y.M.F.; Carciofi, B.A.M. Plant Proteins as High-Quality Nutritional Source for Human Diet. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *97*, 170–184. [CrossRef]
- 55. Vogelsang-O'Dwyer, M.; Zannini, E.; Arendt, E.K. Production of Pulse Protein Ingredients and Their Application in Plant-Based Milk Alternatives. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *110*, 364–374. [CrossRef]

- Marcinkowska-Lesiak, M.; Wojtasik-Kalinowska, I.; Onopiuk, A.; Stelmasiak, A.; Wierzbicka, A.; Poltorak, A. Application of Atmospheric Pressure Cold Plasma Activated Plant Protein Preparations Solutions as an Alternative Curing Method for Pork Sausages. *Meat Sci.* 2022, 187, 108751. [CrossRef]
- 57. Onwezen, M.C.; Bouwman, E.P.; Reinders, M.J.; Dagevos, H. A Systematic Review on Consumer Acceptance of Alternative Proteins: Pulses, Algae, Insects, Plant-Based Meat Alternatives, and Cultured Meat. *Appetite* **2021**, *159*, 105058. [CrossRef]
- Sha, L.; Xiong, Y.L. Plant Protein-Based Alternatives of Reconstructed Meat: Science, Technology, and Challenges. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, 102, 51–61. [CrossRef]
- 59. Zhang, C.; Guan, X.; Yu, S.; Zhou, J.; Chen, J. Production of Meat Alternatives Using Live Cells, Cultures and Plant Proteins. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* **2022**, 43, 43–52. [CrossRef]
- 60. Bäuerle, L.; Kühn, S. Development of a Protein Supplemented Fruit Smoothie Using Pea Protein Isolate as a Plant-Based Protein Alternative. *Future Foods* **2022**, *5*, 100145. [CrossRef]
- 61. Alrosan, M.; Tan, T.-C.; Mat Easa, A.; Gammoh, S.; Alu'datt, M.H. Recent Updates on Lentil and Quinoa Protein-Based Dairy Protein Alternatives: Nutrition, Technologies, and Challenges. *Food Chem.* **2022**, *383*, 132386. [CrossRef]
- 62. Kurhekar, J.V. Ancient and Modern Practices in Phytomedicine. In *Preparation of Phytopharmaceuticals for the Management of Disorders*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 55–75. ISBN 978-0-12-820284-5.
- 63. Nigam, M. Phytomedicine: Scope and Current Highlights. In *Preparation of Phytopharmaceuticals for the Management of Disorders: The Development of Nutraceuticals and Traditional Medicine*; Egbuna, C., Mishra, A.P., Goyal, M.R., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2021; pp. 39–54.
- Vater, C.; Bosch, L.; Mitter, A.; Göls, T.; Seiser, S.; Heiss, E.; Elbe-Bürger, A.; Wirth, M.; Valenta, C.; Klang, V. Lecithin-Based Nanoemulsions of Traditional Herbal Wound Healing Agents and Their Effect on Human Skin Cells. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 2022, 170, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- Yadav, S.; Mishra, A.P.; Kumar, S.; Negi, A.; Maurya, V.K. Herbal Wound Healing Agents. In Preparation of Phytopharmaceuticals for the Management of Disorders; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 169–184. ISBN 978-0-12-820284-5.
- Rai, M.; Kosalec, I. (Eds.) Promising Antimicrobials from Natural Products; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; ISBN 978-3-030-83503-3.
- 67. Chavda, V.P.; Patel, A.B.; Vihol, D.; Vaghasiya, D.D.; Ahmed, K.M.S.B.; Trivedi, K.U.; Dave, D.J. Herbal Remedies, Nutraceuticals, and Dietary Supplements for COVID-19 Management: An Update. *Clin. Complement. Med. Pharmacol.* 2022, 2, 100021. [CrossRef]
- Ugoeze, K.C.; Odeku, O.A. Herbal Bioactive–Based Cosmetics. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 195–226. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- 69. Egbuna, C.; Mishra, A.P.; Goyal, M.R. *Preparation of Phytopharmaceuticals for the Management of Disorders*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; ISBN 978-0-12-820284-5.
- Tsirigotis-Maniecka, M.; Szyk-Warszyńska, L.; Maniecki, Ł.; Szczęsna, W.; Warszyński, P.; Wilk, K.A. Tailoring the Composition of Hydrogel Particles for the Controlled Delivery of Phytopharmaceuticals. *Eur. Polym. J.* 2021, 151, 110429. [CrossRef]
- Kumari, P.; Raina, K.; Thakur, S.; Sharma, R.; Cruz-Martins, N.; Kumar, P.; Barman, K.; Sharma, S.; Kumar, D.; Prajapati, P.K.; et al. Ethnobotany, Phytochemistry and Pharmacology of Palash (*Butea Monosperma* (Lam.) Taub.): A Systematic Review. *Curr. Pharmacol. Rep.* 2022, *8*, 188–204. [CrossRef]
- Algın Yapar, E.; Durgun, M.E.; Esentürk, I.; Güngör, S.; Özsoy, Y. Herbal Bioactives for Ocular Drug Delivery Systems. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 25–61. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- 73. Virmani, R.; Pathak, K. Herbal Bioactives for Pulmonary Drug Delivery Systems. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 63–92. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- Kaur, G.; Kaur, P.; Madaan, P.; Verma, R.; Chandel, P.; Salgotra, T.; Kaur, H.; Sindhu, R.K. Herbal Bioactives in Transdermal Drug Delivery System. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 93–110. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- Deshkar, S.S.; Mahore, J.G. Herbal Bioactive–Based Vaginal and Rectal Drug Delivery Systems. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 111–168. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- Maurya, A.P.; Chauhan, J.; Yadav, D.K.; Gangwar, R.; Maurya, V.K. Nutraceuticals and Their Impact on Human Health. In *Preparation of Phytopharmaceuticals for the Management of Disorders*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2021; pp. 229–254. ISBN 978-0-12-820284-5.
- 77. Dong, Y.; Chen, H.; Gao, J.; Liu, Y.; Li, J.; Wang, J. Bioactive Ingredients in Chinese Herbal Medicines That Target Non-Coding RNAs: Promising New Choices for Disease Treatment. *Front. Pharmacol.* **2019**, *10*, 515. [CrossRef]
- Singh, P.; Mishra, G.; Pottoo, F.H.; Singh, B.; Zeleke, M.M. Zingiber Officinale: Its Ethanobotanical Uses, Phytochemistry, and Pharmacology. In *Edible Plants in Health and Diseases*; Masoodi, M.H., Rehman, M.U., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2022; pp. 1–42. ISBN 9789811649585.
- Taleuzzaman, M.; Ahmad, A.; Ahmad, M.; Gilani, S.J. Nigella Sativa: Its Ethnobotany, Phytochemistry, and Pharmacology. In *Edible Plants in Health and Diseases*; Masoodi, M.H., Rehman, M.U., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2022; pp. 175–203. ISBN 9789811649585.
- Sharma, S.; Kumar, D. Chemical Composition and Biological Uses of *Crocus Sativus* L. (Saffron). In *Edible Plants in Health and Diseases*; Masoodi, M.H., Rehman, M.U., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2022; pp. 249–277. ISBN 9789811649585.

- Qadir, I.; Bazaz, M.R.; Dar, R.M.; Ovais, S.; Mir, S.R.; Zargar, M.I.; Rehman, M.U. Cichorium Intybus: A Comprehensive Review on Its Pharmacological Activity and Phytochemistry. In *Edible Plants in Health and Diseases*; Masoodi, M.H., Rehman, M.U., Eds.; Springer: Singapore, 2022; pp. 373–398. ISBN 9789811649585.
- 82. Aye, A.; Jeon, Y.-D.; Lee, J.-H.; Bang, K.-S.; Jin, J.-S. Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Ethanol Extract of Leaf and Leaf Callus of Basil (*Ocimum Basilicum* L.) on RAW 264.7 Macrophage Cells. *Orient. Pharm. Exp. Med.* **2019**, *19*, 217–226. [CrossRef]
- 83. Jakovljević, D.; Stanković, M.; Warchoł, M.; Skrzypek, E. Basil (*Ocimum* L.) Cell and Organ Culture for the Secondary Metabolites Production: A Review. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. PCTOC* **2022**, 149, 61–79. [CrossRef]
- 84. Jiménez-Medina, E.; Garcia-Lora, A.; Paco, L.; Algarra, I.; Collado, A.; Garrido, F. A New Extract of the Plant *Calendula Officinalis* Produces a Dual in Vitroeffect: Cytotoxic Anti-Tumor Activity and Lymphocyte Activation. *BMC Cancer* **2006**, *6*, 119. [CrossRef]
- 85. Ghavam, M.; Afzali, A.; Manconi, M.; Bacchetta, G.; Manca, M.L. Variability in Chemical Composition and Antimicrobial Activity of Essential Oil of Rosa × Damascena Herrm. from Mountainous Regions of Iran. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 2021, *8*, 22. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, N.; Pareek, S. Bioactive Compounds of Moringa (*Moringa* Species). In *Bioactive Compounds in Underutilized Vegetables* and Legumes; Reference Series in Phytochemistry; Murthy, H.N., Paek, K.Y., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; pp. 503–524. ISBN 978-3-030-57414-7.
- Sohaib, M.; Al-Barakah, F.N.I.; Migdadi, H.M.; Husain, F.M. Comparative Study among *Avicennia Marina, Phragmites Australis,* and *Moringa Oleifera* Based Ethanolic-Extracts for Their Antimicrobial, Antioxidant, and Cytotoxic Activities. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 2022, 29, 111–122. [CrossRef]
- 88. Glasenapp, Y.; Korth, I.; Nguyen, X.-V.; Papenbrock, J. Sustainable Use of Mangroves as Sources of Valuable Medicinal Compounds: Species Identification, Propagation and Secondary Metabolite Composition. S. Afr. J. Bot. **2019**, 121, 317–328. [CrossRef]
- Karthi, S.; Vinothkumar, M.; Karthic, U.; Manigandan, V.; Saravanan, R.; Vasantha-Srinivasan, P.; Kamaraj, C.; Shivakumar, M.S.; De Mandal, S.; Velusamy, A.; et al. Biological Effects of *Avicennia Marina* (Forssk.) Vierh. Extracts on Physiological, Biochemical, and Antimicrobial Activities against Three Challenging Mosquito Vectors and Microbial Pathogens. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2020, 27, 15174–15187. [CrossRef]
- Abou-Zeid, S.M.; Tahoun, E.A.; AbuBakr, H.O. Ameliorative Effects of Jojoba Oil on Fipronil-Induced Hepatorenal- and Neuro-Toxicity: The Antioxidant Status and Apoptotic Markers Expression in Rats. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2021, 28, 25959–25971. [CrossRef]
- 91. Qadir, A.; Khan, N.; Arif, M.; Mir Najib Ullah, S.N.; Khan, S.A.; Ali, A.; Imran, M. Identification of Phytobioconstituents Present in *Simmondsia Chinensis* L. Seeds Extract by GC-MS Analysis. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* **2022**, *99*, 100354. [CrossRef]
- 92. Olivia, N.U.; Goodness, U.C.; Obinna, O.M. Phytochemical Profiling and GC-MS Analysis of Aqueous Methanol Fraction of *Hibiscus Asper Leaves*. *Future J. Pharm. Sci.* **2021**, *7*, 59. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Walia, R.; Saxena, S.; Dey, P.; Madaan, R. Regulatory Considerations of Herbal Bioactive–Based Formulations. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 419–436. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- Zhang, J.; Hu, K.; Di, L.; Wang, P.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, J.; Yue, P.; Song, W.; Zhang, J.; Chen, T.; et al. Traditional Herbal Medicine and Nanomedicine: Converging Disciplines to Improve Therapeutic Efficacy and Human Health. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* 2021, 178, 113964. [CrossRef]
- Sajadimajd, S.; Moradi, S.Z.; Akbari, V.; Aghaz, F.; Farzaei, M.H. Nanoformulated Herbal Bioactives for the Treatment of Neurodegenerative Disorders. In *Herbal Bioactive-Based Drug Delivery Systems*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 371–391. ISBN 978-0-12-824385-5.
- 96. Cotter, T. Organic Mushroom Farming and Mycoremediation: Simple to Advanced and Experimental Techniques for Indoor and Outdoor Cultivation; Chelsea Green Publishing: White River Junction, VT, USA, 2015.
- 97. Korman, R. Growing Mushrooms: The Complete Grower's Guide to Becoming a Mushroom Expert and Starting Cultivation at Home; Amazon Digital Services LLC: Seattle, WA, USA, 2020; ISBN 978-1-65911-727-1.
- Elsakhawy, T.; Omara, A.E.-D.; Abowaly, M.; El-Ramady, H.; Badgar, K.; Llanaj, X.; Törős, G.; Hajdú, P.; Prokisch, J. Green Synthesis of Nanoparticles by Mushrooms: A Crucial Dimension for Sustainable Soil Management. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 4328. [CrossRef]
- El-Ramady, H.; Abdalla, N.; Fawzy, Z.; Badgar, K.; Llanaj, X.; Törős, G.; Hajdú, P.; Eid, Y.; Prokisch, J. Green Biotechnology of Oyster Mushroom (*Pleurotus Ostreatus L.*): A Sustainable Strategy for Myco-Remediation and Bio-Fermentation. *Sustainability* 2022, 14, 3667. [CrossRef]
- Aftab, T. (Ed.) Environmental Challenges and Medicinal Plants: Sustainable Production Solutions under Adverse Conditions; Environmental Challenges and Solutions; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2022; ISBN 978-3-030-92049-4.
- León-Lobos, P.; Díaz-Forestier, J.; Díaz, R.; Celis-Diez, J.L.; Diazgranados, M.; Ulian, T. Patterns of Traditional and Modern Uses of Wild Edible Native Plants of Chile: Challenges and Future Perspectives. *Plants* 2022, *11*, 744. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 102. Milião, G.L.; de Oliveira, A.P.H.; de Soares, L.S.; Arruda, T.R.; Vieira, É.N.R.; de Leite Junior, B.R.C. Unconventional Food Plants: Nutritional Aspects and Perspectives for Industrial Applications. *Future Foods* 2022, *5*, 100124. [CrossRef]
- 103. Leal, M.L.; Alves, R.P.; Hanazaki, N. Knowledge, Use, and Disuse of Unconventional Food Plants. J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed. 2018, 14, 6. [CrossRef]

- 104. Peisino, M.C.O.; Zouain, M.S.; de Christo Scherer, M.M.; Schmitt, E.F.P.; Toledo e Silva, M.V.; Barth, T.; Endringer, D.C.; Scherer, R.; Fronza, M. Health-Promoting Properties of Brazilian Unconventional Food Plants. *Waste Biomass Valorization* 2020, 11, 4691–4700. [CrossRef]
- 105. Das, A.K.; Nanda, P.K.; Dandapat, P.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Gullón, P.; Sivaraman, G.K.; McClements, D.J.; Gullón, B.; Lorenzo, J.M. Edible Mushrooms as Functional Ingredients for Development of Healthier and More Sustainable Muscle Foods: A Flexitarian Approach. *Molecules* 2021, 26, 2463. [CrossRef]
- 106. Rangel-Vargas, E.; Rodriguez, J.A.; Domínguez, R.; Lorenzo, J.M.; Sosa, M.E.; Andrés, S.C.; Rosmini, M.; Pérez-Alvarez, J.A.; Teixeira, A.; Santos, E.M. Edible Mushrooms as a Natural Source of Food Ingredient/Additive Replacer. *Foods* 2021, 10, 2687. [CrossRef]
- 107. Do Nascimento, V.T.; de Oliveira Campos, L.Z. Famine Foods: Thoughts from a Caatinga Research Experience. In *Local Food Plants of Brazil*; Ethnobiology; Jacob, M.C.M., Albuquerque, U.P., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2021; pp. 161–176. ISBN 978-3-030-69138-7.
- 108. Dou, J.; Weathers, P. Specialty Molecules from Plants and in Vitro Cultures as New Drugs: Regulatory Considerations from Flask to Patient. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. PCTOC* **2022**, *149*, 105–111. [CrossRef]
- Thomson, A.; Price, G.W.; Arnold, P.; Dixon, M.; Graham, T. Review of the Potential for Recycling CO2 from Organic Waste Composting into Plant Production under Controlled Environment Agriculture. J. Clean. Prod. 2022, 333, 130051. [CrossRef]
- 110. Meyfroidt, P. Emerging Agricultural Expansion in Northern Regions: Insights from Land-Use Research. *One Earth* **2021**, *4*, 1661–1664. [CrossRef]
- 111. Nyyssölä, A.; Suhonen, A.; Ritala, A.; Oksman-Caldentey, K.-M. The Role of Single Cell Protein in Cellular Agriculture. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2022, 75, 102686. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Bisconsin-Júnior, A.; Rodrigues, H.; Behrens, J.H.; da Silva, M.A.A.P.; Mariutti, L.R.B. "Food Made with Edible Insects": Exploring the Social Representation of Entomophagy Where It Is Unfamiliar. *Appetite* **2022**, *173*, 106001. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Bizzaro, G.; Vatland, A.K.; Pampanin, D.M. The One-Health Approach in Seaweed Food Production. *Environ. Int.* 2022, 158, 106948. [CrossRef]
- 114. Glaros, A.; Marquis, S.; Major, C.; Quarshie, P.; Ashton, L.; Green, A.G.; Kc, K.B.; Newman, L.; Newell, R.; Yada, R.Y.; et al. Horizon Scanning and Review of the Impact of Five Food and Food Production Models for the Global Food System in 2050. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2022, 119, 550–564. [CrossRef]
- 115. Van Vliet, S.; Kronberg, S.L.; Provenza, F.D. Plant-Based Meats, Human Health, and Climate Change. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* **2020**, *4*, 128. [CrossRef]
- 116. Singh, M.; Trivedi, N.; Enamala, M.K.; Kuppam, C.; Parikh, P.; Nikolova, M.P.; Chavali, M. Plant-Based Meat Analogue (PBMA) as a Sustainable Food: A Concise Review. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* **2021**, 247, 2499–2526. [CrossRef]
- 117. Klöckner, C.A.; Engel, L.; Moritz, J.; Burton, R.J.; Young, J.F.; Kidmose, U.; Ryynänen, T. Milk, Meat, and Fish From the Petri Dish—Which Attributes Would Make Cultured Proteins (Un)Attractive and for Whom? Results From a Nordic Survey. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 2022, *6*, 847931. [CrossRef]
- 118. Stephan, A.; Ahlborn, J.; Zajul, M.; Zorn, H. Edible Mushroom Mycelia of Pleurotus Sapidus as Novel Protein Sources in a Vegan Boiled Sausage Analog System: Functionality and Sensory Tests in Comparison to Commercial Proteins and Meat Sausages. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2018, 244, 913–924. [CrossRef]
- 119. Bakratsas, G.; Polydera, A.; Katapodis, P.; Stamatis, H. Recent Trends in Submerged Cultivation of Mushrooms and Their Application as a Source of Nutraceuticals and Food Additives. *Future Foods* **2021**, *4*, 100086. [CrossRef]
- 120. Cutroneo, S.; Angelino, D.; Tedeschi, T.; Pellegrinin, N.; Martini, D.; Group, S.Y.W. Nutritional Quality of Meat Analogues: Results From the Food Labelling of Italian Products (FLIP) Project. *Front. Nutr.* **2022**, *9*, 852831. [CrossRef]
- Ramos Diaz, J.M.; Kantanen, K.; Edelmann, J.M.; Suhonen, H.; Sontag-Strohm, T.; Jouppila, K.; Piironen, V. Fibrous Meat Analogues Containing Oat Fiber Concentrate and Pea Protein Isolate: Mechanical and Physicochemical Characterization. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2022, 77, 102954. [CrossRef]
- Fernández-López, J.; Viuda-Martos, M.; Pérez-Alvarez, J.A. Quinoa and Chia Products as Ingredients for Healthier Processed Meat Products: Technological Strategies for Their Application and Effects on the Final Product. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 2021, 40, 26–32. [CrossRef]
- 123. Grossmann, L.; McClements, D.J. The Science of Plant-Based Foods: Approaches to Create Nutritious and Sustainable Plant-Based Cheese Analogs. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2021**, *118*, 207–229. [CrossRef]
- 124. Flores, M.; Piornos, J.A. Fermented Meat Sausages and the Challenge of Their Plant-Based Alternatives: A Comparative Review on Aroma-Related Aspects. *Meat Sci.* 2021, *182*, 108636. [CrossRef]
- Muchekeza, J.T.; Jombo, T.Z.; Magogo, C.; Mugari, A.; Manjeru, P.; Manhokwe, S. Proximate, Physico-Chemical, Functional and Sensory Properties OF Quinoa and Amaranth Flour AS Potential Binders in Beef Sausages. *Food Chem.* 2021, 365, 130619. [CrossRef]
- 126. Bahmanyar, F.; Hosseini, S.M.; Mirmoghtadaie, L.; Shojaee-Aliabadi, S. Effects of Replacing Soy Protein and Bread Crumb with Quinoa and Buckwheat Flour in Functional Beef Burger Formulation. *Meat Sci.* **2021**, *172*, 108305. [CrossRef]
- 127. Anzani, C.; Boukid, F.; Drummond, L.; Mullen, A.M.; Alvarez, C. Optimising the Use of Proteins from Rich Meat Co-Products and Non-Meat Alternatives: Nutritional, Technological and Allergenicity Challenges. *Food Res. Int.* 2020, 137, 109575. [CrossRef]

- Felix, M.; Camacho-Ocaña, Z.; López-Castejón, M.L.; Ruiz-Domínguez, M. Rheological Properties of Quinoa-Based Gels. An Alternative for Vegan Diets. *Food Hydrocoll.* 2021, 120, 106827. [CrossRef]
- 129. Huang, K.; Shi, J.; Li, M.; Sun, R.; Guan, W.; Cao, H.; Guan, X.; Zhang, Y. Intervention of Microwave Irradiation on Structure and Quality Characteristics of Quinoa Protein Aggregates. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2022**, 130, 107677. [CrossRef]
- Luo, L.; Cheng, L.; Zhang, R.; Yang, Z. Impact of High-Pressure Homogenization on Physico-Chemical, Structural, and Rheological Properties of Quinoa Protein Isolates. *Food Struct.* 2022, 32, 100265. [CrossRef]
- 131. Zuo, Z.; Zhang, X.; Li, T.; Zhou, J.; Yang, Y.; Bian, X.; Wang, L. High Internal Phase Emulsions Stabilized Solely by Sonicated Quinoa Protein Isolate at Various PH Values and Concentrations. *Food Chem.* **2022**, *378*, 132011. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 132. Gupta, A.; Sharma, S.; Reddy Surasani, V.K. Quinoa Protein Isolate Supplemented Pasta: Nutritional, Physical, Textural and Morphological Characterization. *LWT* **2021**, *135*, 110045. [CrossRef]
- 133. Ingrassia, R.; Busti, P.A.; Boeris, V. Physicochemical and Mechanical Properties of a New Cold-Set Emulsion Gel System and the Effect of Quinoa Protein Fortification. *LWT* **2022**, *156*, 113048. [CrossRef]
- 134. Ferreira, C.S.S.; Seifollahi-Aghmiuni, S.; Destouni, G.; Ghajarnia, N.; Kalantari, Z. Soil Degradation in the European Mediterranean Region: Processes, Status and Consequences. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *805*, 150106. [CrossRef]
- Lal, R. (Ed.) The Soil-Human Health-Nexus; Advances in Soil Science Book Series; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA; Taylor & Francis Group, LLC: Abingdon, UK, 2021.
- FAO Soil Degredation. Available online: https://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soil-degradation-restoration/en/ (accessed on 8 May 2022).
- 137. Wu, Y.; Li, X.; Yu, L.; Wang, T.; Wang, J.; Liu, T. Review of Soil Heavy Metal Pollution in China: Spatial Distribution, Primary Sources, and Remediation Alternatives. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* **2022**, *181*, 106261. [CrossRef]
- 138. Prajapati, G.S.; Rai, P.K.; Mishra, V.N.; Singh, P.; Shahi, A.P. Remote Sensing-Based Assessment of Waterlogging and Soil Salinity: A Case Study from Kerala, India. *Results Geophys. Sci.* 2021, 7, 100024. [CrossRef]
- Rasool, S.; Rasool, T.; Gani, K.M. A Review of Interactions of Pesticides within Various Interfaces of Intrinsic and Organic Residue Amended Soil Environment. *Chem. Eng. J. Adv.* 2022, *11*, 100301. [CrossRef]
- 140. Bello-Bello, E.; López-Arredondo, D.; Rico-Chambrón, T.Y.; Herrera-Estrella, L. Conquering Compacted Soils: Uncovering the Molecular Components of Root Soil Penetration. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2022**, S1360138522001054. [CrossRef]
- 141. Rai, P.K. Environmental Degradation by Invasive Alien Plants in the Anthropocene: Challenges and Prospects for Sustainable Restoration. *Anthr. Sci.* 2022, *1*, 5–28. [CrossRef]
- 142. Fan, R.; Tian, H.; Wu, Q.; Yi, Y.; Yan, X.; Liu, B. Mechanism of Bio-Electrokinetic Remediation of Pyrene Contaminated Soil: Effects of an Electric Field on the Degradation Pathway and Microbial Metabolic Processes. J. Hazard. Mater. 2022, 422, 126959. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 143. Zulfiqar, U.; Jiang, W.; Xiukang, W.; Hussain, S.; Ahmad, M.; Maqsood, M.F.; Ali, N.; Ishfaq, M.; Kaleem, M.; Haider, F.U.; et al. Cadmium Phytotoxicity, Tolerance, and Advanced Remediation Approaches in Agricultural Soils; A Comprehensive Review. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2022, 13, 773815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 144. Kafle, A.; Timilsina, A.; Gautam, A.; Adhikari, K.; Bhattarai, A.; Aryal, N. Phytoremediation: Mechanisms, Plant Selection and Enhancement by Natural and Synthetic Agents. *Environ. Adv.* **2022**, *8*, 100203. [CrossRef]
- Upadhyay, S.K.; Edrisi, S.A. Developing Sustainable Measures to Restore Fly-ash Contaminated Lands: Current Challenges and Future Prospects. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 2021, 32, 4817–4831. [CrossRef]
- 146. Rajput, V.D.; Minkina, T.; Upadhyay, S.K.; Kumari, A.; Ranjan, A.; Mandzhieva, S.; Sushkova, S.; Singh, R.K.; Verma, K.K. Nanotechnology in the Restoration of Polluted Soil. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 769. [CrossRef]
- 147. Saleem, M.H.; Ali, S.; Rehman, M.; Hasanuzzaman, M.; Rizwan, M.; Irshad, S.; Shafiq, F.; Iqbal, M.; Alharbi, B.M.; Alnusaire, T.S.; et al. Jute: A Potential Candidate for Phytoremediation of Metals—A Review. *Plants* **2020**, *9*, 258. [CrossRef]
- 148. Wang, L.; Yang, D.; Chen, R.; Ma, F.; Wang, G. How a Functional Soil Animal-Earthworm Affect Arbuscular Mycorrhizae-Assisted Phytoremediation in Metals Contaminated Soil? *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2022**, *435*, 128991. [CrossRef]
- 149. Ait Elallem, K.; Sobeh, M.; Boularbah, A.; Yasri, A. Chemically Degraded Soil Rehabilitation Process Using Medicinal and Aromatic Plants: Review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2021**, *28*, 73–93. [CrossRef]
- 150. Bhat, S.A.; Bashir, O.; Ul Haq, S.A.; Amin, T.; Rafiq, A.; Ali, M.; Américo-Pinheiro, J.H.P.; Sher, F. Phytoremediation of Heavy Metals in Soil and Water: An Eco-Friendly, Sustainable and Multidisciplinary Approach. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *303*, 134788. [CrossRef]
- 151. Oladoye, P.O.; Olowe, O.M.; Asemoloye, M.D. Phytoremediation Technology and Food Security Impacts of Heavy Metal Contaminated Soils: A Review of Literature. *Chemosphere* 2022, 288, 132555. [CrossRef]
- 152. Gavrilescu, M. Enhancing Phytoremediation of Soils Polluted with Heavy Metals. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2022, 74, 21–31. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 153. Bandyopadhyay, S. Plant-Assisted Metal Remediation in Mine-Degraded Land: A Scientometric Review. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2021. [CrossRef]
- 154. Zhu, S.-C.; Zheng, H.-X.; Liu, W.-S.; Liu, C.; Guo, M.-N.; Huot, H.; Morel, J.L.; Qiu, R.-L.; Chao, Y.; Tang, Y.-T. Plant-Soil Feedbacks for the Restoration of Degraded Mine Lands: A Review. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *12*, 751794. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saha, S.; Sharma, A.; Purkayastha, S.; Pandey, K.; Dhingra, S. Bio-Plastics and Biofuel. In *Plastics to Energy*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2019; pp. 365–376. ISBN 978-0-12-813140-4.

- 156. Goria, K.; Kothari, R.; Singh, H.M.; Singh, A.; Tyagi, V.V. Biohydrogen: Potential Applications, Approaches, and Hurdles to Overcome. In *Handbook of Biofuels*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; pp. 399–418. ISBN 978-0-12-822810-4.
- Paschalidou, A.; Tsatiris, M.; Kitikidou, K.; Papadopoulou, C. Using Energy Crops for Biofuels or Food: The Choice; Green Energy and Technology; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; ISBN 978-3-319-63942-0.
- 158. Samberger, C. The Role of Water Circularity in the Food-Water-Energy Nexus and Climate Change Mitigation. *Energy Nexus* 2022, *6*, 100061. [CrossRef]
- 159. Fouladi, J.; AlNouss, A.; Bicer, Y.; Al-Ansari, T. Thermodynamic Analysis of a Renewable Energy-Water-Food Nexus: A Trade-off Analysis of Integrated Desalination, Gasification and Food Systems. *Case Stud. Therm. Eng.* **2022**, *34*, 102024. [CrossRef]
- Coudard, A.; Corbin, E.; de Koning, J.; Tukker, A.; Mogollón, J.M. Global Water and Energy Losses from Consumer Avoidable Food Waste. J. Clean. Prod. 2021, 326, 129342. [CrossRef]
- 161. Mahdavian, S.M.; Ahmadpour Borazjani, M.; Mohammadi, H.; Asgharipour, M.R.; Najafi Alamdarlo, H. Assessment of Food-Energy-Environmental Pollution Nexus in Iran: The Nonlinear Approach. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2022**, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- 162. Deng, C.; Wang, H.; Hong, S.; Zhao, W.; Wang, C. Identifying the Drivers of Changes in Embodied Food–Energy–Water in the Bohai Mega-Urban Region, China: A Perspective of Final Demands. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2022, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- Gazal, A.A.; Jakrawatana, N.; Silalertruksa, T.; Gheewala, S.H. Water-Energy-Land-Food Nexus for Bioethanol Development in Nigeria. *Biomass Convers. Biorefinery* 2022, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 164. Haitsma Mulier, M.C.G.; Van de Ven, F.H.M.; Kirshen, P. Quantification of the Local Water Energy Nutrient Food Nexus for Three Urban Farms in Amsterdam & Boston. *Energy Nexus* **2022**, *6*, 100078. [CrossRef]
- 165. Haitsma Mulier, M.C.G.; Van de Ven, F.H.M.; Kirshen, P. Circularity in the Urban Water-Energy-Nutrients-Food Nexus. *Energy* Nexus 2022, 100081. [CrossRef]
- 166. Liu, Y.; Jiang, Y.; Xu, C.; Lyu, J.; Su, Z. A Quantitative Analysis Framework for Water-Food-Energy Nexus in an Agricultural Watershed Using WEAP-MODFLOW. *Sustain. Prod. Consum.* **2022**, *31*, 693–706. [CrossRef]
- 167. Li, M.; Zhao, L.; Zhang, C.; Liu, Y.; Fu, Q. Optimization of Agricultural Resources in Water-Energy-Food Nexus in Complex Environment: A Perspective on Multienergy Coordination. *Energy Convers. Manag.* 2022, 258, 115537. [CrossRef]
- Yuan, M.-H.; Lo, S.-L. Principles of Food-Energy-Water Nexus Governance. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2022, 155, 111937.
   [CrossRef]
- Núñez-López, J.M.; Rubio-Castro, E.; Ponce-Ortega, J.M. Optimizing Resilience at Water-Energy-Food Nexus. Comput. Chem. Eng. 2022, 160, 107710. [CrossRef]
- 170. Siaw, M.N.K.; Oduro-Koranteng, E.A.; Dartey, Y.O.O. Food-Energy-Water Nexus: Food Waste Recycling System for Energy. *Energy Nexus* 2022, *5*, 100053. [CrossRef]
- 171. Xu, Z.; Yao, L. Opening the Black Box of Water-Energy-Food Nexus System in China: Prospects for Sustainable Consumption and Security. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 2022, 127, 66–76. [CrossRef]
- Andrade, M.C.; de Gorgulho Silva, C.O.; de Souza Moreira, L.R.; Ferreira Filho, E.X. Crop Residues: Applications of Lignocellulosic Biomass in the Context of a Biorefinery. *Front. Energy* 2021, 1–22. [CrossRef]
- Yousuf, A.; Pirozzi, D.; Sannino, F. Fundamentals of Lignocellulosic Biomass. In Lignocellulosic Biomass to Liquid Biofuels; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; pp. 1–15. ISBN 978-0-12-815936-1.
- 174. Cao, B.; Bai, C.; Zhang, M.; Lu, Y.; Gao, P.; Yang, J.; Xue, Y.; Li, G. Future Landscape of Renewable Fuel Resources: Current and Future Conservation and Utilization of Main Biofuel Crops in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2022**, *806*, 150946. [CrossRef]
- 175. Holmatov, B.; Schyns, J.F.; Krol, M.S.; Gerbens-Leenes, P.W.; Hoekstra, A.Y. Can Crop Residues Provide Fuel for Future Transport? Limited Global Residue Bioethanol Potentials and Large Associated Land, Water and Carbon Footprints. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2021, 149, 111417. [CrossRef]
- 176. Chen, F.; Xiong, S.; Latha Gandla, M.; Stagge, S.; Martín, C. Spent Mushroom Substrates for Ethanol Production—Effect of Chemical and Structural Factors on Enzymatic Saccharification and Ethanolic Fermentation of *Lentinula edodes*-Pretreated Hardwood. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2022, 347, 126381. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Devi, R.; Kapoor, S.; Thakur, R.; Sharma, E.; Tiwari, R.K.; Joshi, S.J. Lignocellulolytic Enzymes and Bioethanol Production from Spent Biomass of Edible Mushrooms Using Saccharomyces Cerevisiae and *Pachysolen Tannophilus*. *Biomass Convers. Biorefinery* 2022, 1–15. [CrossRef]
- 178. Xiong, S.; Martín, C.; Eilertsen, L.; Wei, M.; Myronycheva, O.; Larsson, S.H.; Lestander, T.A.; Atterhem, L.; Jönsson, L.J. Energy-Efficient Substrate Pasteurisation for Combined Production of Shiitake Mushroom (*Lentinula edodes*) and Bioethanol. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2019, 274, 65–72. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 179. Sudhakar, M.P.; Ravel, M.; Perumal, K. Pretreatment and Process Optimization of Bioethanol Production from Spent Biomass of Ganoderma Lucidum Using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Fuel* **2021**, *306*, 121680. [CrossRef]
- Ranjithkumar, M.; Uthandi, S.; Senthil Kumar, P.; Muniraj, I.; Thanabal, V.; Rajarathinam, R. Highly Crystalline Cotton Spinning Wastes Utilization: Pretreatment, Optimized Hydrolysis and Fermentation Using *Pleurotus florida* for Bioethanol Production. *Fuel* 2022, 308, 122052. [CrossRef]
- 181. Periyasamy, S.; Karthik, V.; Senthil Kumar, P.; Isabel, J.B.; Temesgen, T.; Hunegnaw, B.M.; Melese, B.B.; Mohamed, B.A.; Vo, D.-V.N. Chemical, Physical and Biological Methods to Convert Lignocellulosic Waste into Value-Added Products. A Review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 2022, 20, 1129–1152. [CrossRef]

- 182. Rueda, A.M.; López de los Santos, Y.; Vincent, A.T.; Létourneau, M.; Hernández, I.; Sánchez, C.I.; Molina, V.D.; Ospina, S.A.; Veyrier, F.J. Genome Sequencing and Functional Characterization of a *Dictyopanus pusillus* Fungal Enzymatic Extract Offers a Promising Alternative for Lignocellulose Pretreatment of Oil Palm Residues. *PLoS ONE* 2020, 15, e0227529. [CrossRef]
- 183. Grimm, D.; Wösten, H.A.B. Mushroom Cultivation in the Circular Economy. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *102*, 7795–7803. [CrossRef]
- 184. Kumar Sarangi, P.; Subudhi, S.; Bhatia, L.; Saha, K.; Mudgil, D.; Prasad Shadangi, K.; Srivastava, R.K.; Pattnaik, B.; Arya, R.K. Utilization of Agricultural Waste Biomass and Recycling toward Circular Bioeconomy. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2022, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 185. Jasinska, A.; Wojciechowska, E.; Stoknes, K.; Roszak, M. Bioconversion of Agricultural Wastes into a Value-Added Product: Straw of Norwegian Grains Composted with Dairy Manure Food Waste Digestate in Mushroom Cultivation. *Horticulturae* 2022, *8*, 331. [CrossRef]
- 186. Oliveira Vieira, V.; Almeida Conceição, A.; Raisa Barbosa Cunha, J.; Enis Virginio Machado, A.; Gonzaga de Almeida, E.; Souza Dias, E.; Magalhães Alcantara, L.; Neil Gerard Miller, R.; Gonçalves de Siqueira, F. A New Circular Economy Approach for Integrated Production of Tomatoes and Mushrooms. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 2022, 29, 2756–2765. [CrossRef]
- Jasińska, A. Spent Mushroom Compost (SMC)—Retrieved Added Value Product Closing Loop in Agricultural Production. Acta Agrar. Debr. 2018, 150, 185–202. [CrossRef]
- Kazige, O.K.; Chuma, G.B.; Lusambya, A.S.; Mondo, J.M.; Balezi, A.Z.; Mapatano, S.; Mushagalusa, G.N. Valorizing Staple Crop Residues through Mushroom Production to Improve Food Security in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. J. Agric. Food Res. 2022, 8, 100285. [CrossRef]
- Otieno, O.D.; Mulaa, F.J.; Obiero, G.; Midiwo, J. Utilization of Fruit Waste Substrates in Mushroom Production and Manipulation of Chemical Composition. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 2022, 39, 102250. [CrossRef]
- 190. Ivarsson, E.; Grudén, M.; Södergren, J.; Hultberg, M. Use of Faba Bean (*Vicia faba* L.) Hulls as Substrate for *Pleurotus ostreatus* Potential for Combined Mushroom and Feed Production. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2021**, *313*, 127969. [CrossRef]
- 191. Chouhan, P.; Koreti, D.; Kosre, A.; Chauhan, R.; Jadhav, S.K.; Chandrawanshi, N.K. Production and Assessment of Stick-Shaped Spawns of Oyster Mushroom from Banana Leaf-Midribs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B Biol. Sci. 2022, 92, 405–414. [CrossRef]
- 192. Grimm, D.; Kuenz, A.; Rahmann, G. Integration of Mushroom Production into Circular Food Chains. *Org. Agric.* 2021, 11, 309–317. [CrossRef]
- 193. Stoknes, K.; Wojciechowska, E.; Jasinska, A.; Noble, R. Amelioration of Composts for Greenhouse Vegetable Plants Using Pasteurised Agaricus Mushroom Substrate. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 6779. [CrossRef]
- 194. Hu, Y.; Mortimer, P.E.; Hyde, K.D.; Kakumyan, P.; Thongklang, N. Mushroom Cultivation for Soil Amendment and Bioremediation. *Circ. Agric. Syst.* **2021**, *1*, 11. [CrossRef]
- Jung, D.-H.; Son, J.-E. CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization Strategy for Sustainable Cultivation of Mushrooms and Lettuces. Sustainability 2021, 13, 5434.
   [CrossRef]