

Table S1. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for power consumption during rice comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R ² | Adj. R ² | BIC |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Poly (W) | 0.85856 | 0.06133 | 0.07353 | 0.00735 | -38.48362 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 0.25048 | 0.01789 | 0.72971 | 0.71041 | -58.19396 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.18234 | 0.01403 | 0.80324 | 0.77297 | -60.50125 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.09437 | 0.00858 | 0.89817 | 0.86113 | -65.49439 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.09354 | 0.01039 | 0.89906 | 0.83176 | -60.08981 |
| Poly (W^2) | 0.85852 | 0.06604 | 0.07357 | -0.06896 | -35.7118 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.17214 | 0.01324 | 0.81425 | 0.78567 | -61.42236 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.17267 | 0.0157 | 0.81368 | 0.74592 | -55.82826 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.09433 | 0.00943 | 0.89821 | 0.84731 | -62.72884 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.0825 | 0.01179 | 0.91098 | 0.80923 | -56.55507 |
| Poly (W^3) | 0.85445 | 0.0712 | 0.07796 | -0.15255 | -33.01521 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.17155 | 0.0143 | 0.81488 | 0.7686 | -58.70422 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.15759 | 0.01751 | 0.82994 | 0.71657 | -51.74451 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.07902 | 0.01129 | 0.91473 | 0.81729 | -57.24534 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.07843 | 0.01307 | 0.91537 | 0.78841 | -54.59161 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and $R^2 > 0.5$ was marked on red.

Table S2. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for power consumption during corn comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R ² | Adj. R ² | BIC |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Poly (W) | 1.48638 | 0.10617 | 3.22492E-4 | -0.07108 | -29.70216 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 0.24184 | 0.01727 | 0.83735 | 0.82573 | -58.75516 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.24136 | 0.01857 | 0.83767 | 0.8127 | -56.01432 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.20408 | 0.01855 | 0.86274 | 0.81283 | -53.15385 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.16293 | 0.0181 | 0.89042 | 0.81737 | -51.21178 |
| Poly (W^2) | 1.46895 | 0.113 | 0.01204 | -0.13995 | -27.11827 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.22416 | 0.01724 | 0.84924 | 0.82605 | -57.19763 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.20434 | 0.01858 | 0.86257 | 0.8126 | -53.13358 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.18665 | 0.01867 | 0.87447 | 0.8117 | -51.80945 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.1371 | 0.01959 | 0.9078 | 0.80242 | -48.42875 |
| Poly (W^3) | 1.46542 | 0.12212 | 0.01442 | -0.23197 | -24.38426 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.19053 | 0.01588 | 0.87186 | 0.83982 | -57.02555 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.19239 | 0.02138 | 0.8706 | 0.78434 | -48.55202 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.16718 | 0.02388 | 0.88756 | 0.75905 | -45.25406 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.13356 | 0.02226 | 0.91017 | 0.77544 | -46.07439 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and $R^2 > 0.5$ was marked on red.

Table S3. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for throughput during rice comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R ² | Adj. R ² | BIC |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|----------|
| Poly (W) | 308.15213 | 22.01087 | 0.25458 | 0.20134 | 55.64584 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 410.55464 | 29.32533 | 0.00687 | -0.06407 | 60.23649 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega$) | 305.31304 | 23.48562 | 0.26145 | 0.14782 | 58.27034 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 209.114 | 19.01036 | 0.49415 | 0.31021 | 57.76019 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 180.53228 | 20.05914 | 0.56329 | 0.27215 | 60.95384 |
| Poly (W^2) | 243.55644 | 18.73511 | 0.41084 | 0.3202 | 54.65451 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 356.82458 | 27.44804 | 0.13684 | 0.00405 | 60.76484 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega$) | 198.24838 | 18.02258 | 0.52044 | 0.34605 | 56.90644 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 144.51832 | 14.45183 | 0.65041 | 0.47561 | 54.6212 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 77.30327 | 11.04332 | 0.813 | 0.59929 | 52.92825 |
| Poly (W^3) | 241.55623 | 20.12969 | 0.41568 | 0.26959 | 57.29516 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 337.77682 | 28.14807 | 0.18292 | -0.02135 | 62.65969 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega$) | 157.61483 | 17.51276 | 0.61873 | 0.36455 | 58.78176 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 94.35082 | 13.47869 | 0.77177 | 0.51093 | 56.11679 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 75.30305 | 12.55051 | 0.81784 | 0.5446 | 55.28139 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and $R^2 > 0.5$ was marked on red.

Table S4. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for throughput during corn comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R^2 | Adj. R^2 | BIC |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Poly (W) | 601.07571 | 42.93398 | 0.23566 | 0.18106 | 66.33588 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 764.35603 | 54.59686 | 0.02803 | -0.0414 | 70.18089 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega$) | 579.03434 | 44.5411 | 0.26369 | 0.15041 | 68.51072 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 424.85456 | 38.62314 | 0.45975 | 0.26329 | 69.10206 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 416.94165 | 46.32685 | 0.46981 | 0.11635 | 74.34643 |
| Poly (W^2) | 567.2993 | 43.63841 | 0.27861 | 0.16763 | 68.18313 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 619.19565 | 47.63043 | 0.21262 | 0.09148 | 69.58368 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega$) | 536.23852 | 48.74896 | 0.31811 | 0.07015 | 72.82738 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 391.07814 | 39.10781 | 0.5027 | 0.25404 | 70.54922 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 382.15907 | 54.59415 | 0.51404 | -0.04135 | 78.49786 |
| Poly (W^3) | 566.56068 | 47.21339 | 0.27955 | 0.09944 | 70.93487 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 611.5543 | 50.96286 | 0.22233 | 0.02792 | 72.15758 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega$) | 534.49374 | 59.38819 | 0.32033 | -0.13279 | 78.32041 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 389.0618 | 55.58026 | 0.50526 | -0.06016 | 78.78428 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 381.42045 | 63.57007 | 0.51498 | -0.21256 | 81.23949 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and $R^2 > 0.5$ was marked on red.

Table S5. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for specific energy consumption during rice comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R^2 | Adj. R^2 | BIC |
|---|---|---|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Poly (W) | 0.00262 | $1.87183 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.04441 | -0.02384 | -131.15348 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00223 | $1.59594 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.18526 | 0.12706 | -133.70478 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00211 | $1.62502 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.22967 | 0.11116 | -131.82904 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00104 | $9.48599 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.6195 | 0.48114 | -137.56932 |
| Poly ($W, S\Delta\omega^3$) | $8.65173 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $9.61304 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.68452 | 0.47419 | -135.022 |
| Poly (W^2) | 0.00242 | $1.86081 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.11789 | -0.01781 | -129.66113 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00138 | $1.06219 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.49647 | 0.41901 | -138.63194 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.0017 | $1.54128 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.38177 | 0.15696 | -129.8032 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^2$) | $8.41944 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $8.41944 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.69299 | 0.53948 | -138.23006 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^3$) | $6.42658 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $9.18083 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.76566 | 0.49783 | -134.23389 |
| Poly (W^3) | 0.0023 | $1.91346 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.16271 | -0.04661 | -127.72283 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.00138 | $1.14651 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.49831 | 0.37289 | -135.9179 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00155 | $1.72389 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | 0.43425 | 0.05708 | -125.6772 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^2$) | $5.24798 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $7.49711 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.80863 | 0.58993 | -137.47549 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^3$) | $5.19755 \cdot 10^{-4}$ | $8.66258 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.81047 | 0.52618 | -134.85741 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and $R^2 > 0.5$ was marked on red.

Table S6. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for specific energy consumption during corn comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R ² | Adj. R ² | BIC |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Poly (W) | 0.00794 | 5.67185·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.07075 | 0.00438 | -113.41592 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00611 | 4.36576·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.28474 | 0.23365 | -117.60349 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00551 | 4.23651·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.35549 | 0.25634 | -116.49748 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00457 | 4.15238·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.46548 | 0.2711 | -113.9461 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.00414 | 4.59621·10⁻⁴ | 0.51592 | 0.19319 | -109.98685 |
| Poly (W^2) | 0.00793 | 6.0987·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.07219 | -0.07055 | -110.66812 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00524 | 4.0319·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.38662 | 0.29225 | -117.28953 |
| Poly ($W^2,S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00543 | 4.93266·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.36503 | 0.13413 | -111.19094 |
| Poly ($W^2,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00456 | 4.55533·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.46691 | 0.20037 | -111.21663 |
| Poly ($W^2,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.00403 | 5.76225·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.52797 | -0.01149 | -104.84517 |
| Poly (W^3) | 0.00793 | 6.60692·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.07219 | -0.15976 | -107.89553 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.00494 | 4.11301·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.42241 | 0.27801 | -115.47893 |
| Poly ($W^3,S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00534 | 5.92801·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.37565 | -0.04059 | -105.91555 |
| Poly ($W^3,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00434 | 6.19918·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.49218 | -0.08819 | -103.67574 |
| Poly ($W^3,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.00403 | 6.72262·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.52797 | -0.18007 | -102.07258 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and R²>0.5 was marked on red.

Table S7. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for size reduction ratio during rice comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R ² | Adj. R ² | BIC |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Poly (W) | 0.01434 | 0.00102 | 0.01169 | -0.05891 | -103.9609 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00183 | 1.30382·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.87418 | 0.86519 | -136.93943 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00166 | 1.2737·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.88587 | 0.86831 | -135.7265 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00164 | 1.49209·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.88687 | 0.84573 | -130.32213 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.00102 | 1.13081·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.92985 | 0.88308 | -132.42366 |
| Poly (W^2) | 0.01432 | 0.0011 | 0.01306 | -0.13877 | -101.21062 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00182 | 1.40082·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.87448 | 0.85516 | -134.20438 |
| Poly ($W^2,S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00163 | 1.47782·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.88795 | 0.8472 | -130.47588 |
| Poly ($W^2,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00162 | 1.62133·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.88824 | 0.83237 | -127.74545 |
| Poly ($W^2,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 9.7441·10 ⁻⁴ | 1.39201·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.93284 | 0.85608 | -127.57439 |
| Poly (W^3) | 0.0143 | 0.00119 | 0.01404 | -0.23245 | -98.45392 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 0.0012 | 9.97952·10⁻⁵ | 0.91745 | 0.89682 | -138.13822 |
| Poly ($W^3,S\Delta\omega$) | 0.00159 | 1.7645·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.89054 | 0.81756 | -125.3047 |
| Poly ($W^3,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 0.00158 | 2.26246·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.89084 | 0.76608 | -119.8032 |
| Poly ($W^3,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 9.60194·10 ⁻⁴ | 1.60032·10 ⁻⁴ | 0.93382 | 0.83454 | -125.03695 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and R²>0.5 was marked on red.

Table S8. Ranks of tested polynomial fitting curves for size reduction ratio during corn comminution as a function of batch dosing speed W and total increase in angular speeds $S\Delta\omega$

| Model | Residual Sum of Squares | Reduced Chi Sq | R ² | Adj. R ² | BIC |
|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Poly (W) | 21.28902 | 1.52064 | 0.00888 | -0.06191 | 12.88741 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega$) | 4.40668 | 0.31476 | 0.79485 | 0.78019 | -12.31371 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega$) | 4.21585 | 0.3243 | 0.80373 | 0.77353 | -10.24944 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega^2$) | 4.08608 | 0.37146 | 0.80977 | 0.7406 | -5.2045 |
| Poly ($W,S\Delta\omega^3$) | 3.39615 | 0.37735 | 0.84189 | 0.73649 | -2.61843 |
| Poly (W^2) | 20.65312 | 1.5887 | 0.03849 | -0.10944 | 15.1748 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^2$) | 4.39685 | 0.33822 | 0.7953 | 0.76381 | -9.57686 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega$) | 3.46002 | 0.31455 | 0.83892 | 0.78034 | -7.86552 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 3.45018 | 0.34502 | 0.83938 | 0.75906 | -5.13846 |
| Poly ($W^2, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 2.63743 | 0.37678 | 0.87721 | 0.73689 | -1.11863 |
| Poly (W^3) | 20.1386 | 1.67822 | 0.06244 | -0.17195 | 17.54374 |
| Poly ($S\Delta\omega^3$) | 3.81087 | 0.31757 | 0.82258 | 0.77823 | -9.09275 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega$) | 2.82268 | 0.31363 | 0.86859 | 0.78098 | -5.57773 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^2$) | 2.70889 | 0.38698 | 0.87389 | 0.72976 | -0.69091 |
| Poly ($W^3, S\Delta\omega^3$) | 2.12291 | 0.35382 | 0.90117 | 0.75292 | -1.81831 |

The model with the best fitting selected based on the BIC and $R^2 > 0.5$ was marked on red.