

Supplementary material

Table S1. Details of pool-type fishways studies focusing on the Iberian barbel developed in Iberian Peninsula between 2009-2019. Conf. – Configuration; L x B – Pool length x width; OF – Orifice fishway, NOF – Notch and orifice fishway, VSF – Vertical slot fishway (Fishway type). Other abbreviations stand as following: Env. – Environment; M- Males, F- females (Fish characteristics); B- Backwater profile, D- Drawdown profile (Flow characteristics); Visual obs. - Visual observations, EMG – Electromyogram, PIT- Passive Integrated Transponder (Fish Monitoring); ΔH – head drop between the pools; d- mean water depth in the pool. The main findings of each study are also shown.

Conf.	Env.	Fishway characteristics												Flow characteristics					Fish characteristics		Fish Monitor.	References	Main findings				
		Fishway type	Slope (%)	Number of pools	L x B (m)	Orifices			Notches		Slots			Additional features			Type	Regime in notches	Q (L.s ⁻¹)	ΔH (cm)	d (cm)	N	Total / Fork length (FL) (mm)				
						Y/N	Size (m ²)	Orient.	Y/N	Width (cm)	Y/N	N	Width (cm)	Y/N	Type	N	Size (cm)										
1	Lab	NOF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	20	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	Plunging	70.6	16	-	45	140-285	Silva et al. 2009 [88]	•Overall, orifices were the clear choice to negotiate the fishway •Orifices and notches equally used during streaming flow •Orifices used much more than notches during plunging flow	
2	Lab	NOF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	20	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	Streaming	90.3	16	-	45				
3	Lab	NOF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	30	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	Plunging	83.2	16	-	45				
4	Lab	NOF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	30	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	Streaming	108.3	16	-	45				
5	Lab	OF	8.7	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Straight	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Uniform	-	72	-	-	8				
6	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	38.5	16	79	20	150-350	Silva et al. 2011 [34]	•Larger adults with higher passage success than smaller ones •Time to negotiate the fishway lower for larger adults •Horizontal RSS as the most important hydraulic variable	
7	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	47.5	16	86	20				
8	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	62.7	16	85	20				
9	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.06	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	77.0	16	84	20				
10	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	47.5	16	86	20				
11	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	62.7	16	85	20	150-350	Silva et al. 2012 [39]	•Offset orifice configuration better than the straight one •RSS the one that most strongly influenced fish movements	
12	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Straight	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	50.1	16	88	20				
13	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Straight	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	71.7	16	89	20				
14	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	38.5	16	89	20				
15	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	47.5	16	86	20				
16	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	62.7	16	85	20	150-350	Silva et al. 2012 [38]	•Higher passage rates / lower time with offset orifices •Size-related behavioural responses to turbulence •RSS most important turbulence descriptor •Behavior of larger fish strongly affected by eddies	
17	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Straight	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	50.0	16	88	20				
18	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Straight	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	71.8	16	89	20				
19	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Straight	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Deflector bar 1/pool	-	Uniform	-	38.5	16	88	20					
20	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Straight	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Deflector bar 1/pool	-	Uniform	-	62.7	16	84	20					
21	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	65	16	-	24	450 (mean)	EMG telemetry	Alexandre et al. 2013 [41]	•Burst swimming was required to move through the orifices •Horizontal RSS as the most important hydraulic variable
22	Lab	NOF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	20	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	Plunging	59.3	16	90	15				
23	Lab	NOF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	20	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	Uniform	Streaming	78.5	16	100	15				
24	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Bottom boulders 12/pool	15x15x10	Uniform	-	62.7	16	84	20	150-350	Visual obs. + video	Santos et al. 2013 [86]	•Lower relative depth of flow more beneficial to fish passage	
25	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Bottom boulders 12/pool	15x15x15	Uniform	-	62.7	16	53	20					
26	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Bottom boulders 12/pool	15x15x10	Uniform	-	62.7	16	84	20					
27	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.05	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Bottom boulders 8/pool	15x15x10	Uniform	-	62.7	16	84	20					
28	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Bottom boulders 12/pool	15x15x10	Uniform	-	38.5	16	88	20					
29	Lab	OF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	Y	0.03	Offset	N	-	N	-	-	Y	Bottom boulders 8/pool	15x15x10	Uniform	-	38.5	16	88	20	150-250	Visual obs. + video	Santos et al. 2014 [40]	•Fish passage success discharge-related •Fish passage success independent of boulder density •Higher boulder density and discharge lowered time of passage	
30	Lab	VSF	7.5	11	1.85 x 1.5	N	-	-	-	Y	1	27	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	100	14	30	81					

Conf.	Env.	Fishway characteristics												Flow characteristics					Fish characteristics			Fish Monitor.	References	Main findings					
		Fishway type	Slope (%)	Number of pools	L x B (m)	Orifices			Notches		Slots		Additional features			Type	Regime in notches	Q (L.s⁻¹)	ΔH (cm)	d (cm)	N	Total / Fork length (FL) (mm)							
						Y/N	Size (m²)	Orient.	Y/N	Width (cm)	Y/N	N	Width (cm)	Y/N	Type	N	Size (cm)												
33	Lab	VSF	7.5	11	1.85 x 1.5	N	-	-	-	-	Y	1	27	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	250	14	75	63	100-350	Video	Aramburu et al. 2014 [90] Rodríguez et al. 2015 [80]	*Fish avoided high velocity and turbulent areas *Fish rested frequently in the upstream low-velocity areas of the pools *Lower fish passage at higher discharge		
34	Field	VSF	7.7	9	2.4 x 1.6	N	-	-	-	-	N	1	20	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	-	245	20	110	17							
35	Field	VSF	7.7	9	2.4 x 1.6	N	-	-	-	-	N	1	20	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	-	334	20	110	17	M: 241.8±58.2 (FL) F: 250.3±46.9 (FL)	PIT telemetry	Sanz-Ronda et al. 2016 [49]	*Barbel and nase ascended easily the VSF *Barbel and nase performed better than trout *Fishway discharge affected fish motivation *Fishway discharge did not influence passage success			
36	Field	VSF	7.7	9	2.4 x 1.6	N	-	-	-	-	N	1	20	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	Uniform	-	402	20	110	17						
37	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	N	-	-	-	-	Y	1	10	Y	Lateral + central baffle (C1)	-	6.25 ± 25	Uniform	-	110	16	80	25	157-236	Visual obs. + video	Romão et al. 2017 [69]	*Barbel: no difference in N UP movements between C1 and C2 *Chub: higher N UP movements in C2 *C2 more cost-effective because requires less water		
38	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	N	-	-	-	-	Y	1	10	Y	Lateral baffle (C2)	-	6.25	Uniform	-	81	16	80	25	160-308					
39	Field	NOF	8.8-9.8	23	2.6 x 1.6	Y	0.02-0.06	Offset	Y	20-40	N	-	-	Y	Bottom substrate	-	10	-	-	159-395	25	-	89	171-388 (FL)	PIT telemetry				
40	Field	NOF	8.8-9.8	23	2.6 x 1.6	Y	0.02-0.06	Offset	Y	20-40	N	-	-	Y	Bottom substrate	-	10	-	-	159-395	25	-	30	343 (mean) (FL)	Bravo-Córdoba et al. 2018 [92]	*Flow, temperature and atmospheric pressure were important for fish entrance. *No preference between path routes (bypass and turbine channel) *Dual entrance fishway could be important for conservation			
41	Field	NOF	8.8-9.8	23	2.6 x 1.6	Y	0.02-0.06	Offset	Y	20-40	N	-	-	Y	Bottom substrate	-	10	-	-	159-395	25	-	50	172 (mean) (FL)					
42	Field	NOF	8.8-9.8	23	2.6 x 1.6	Y	0.02-0.06	Offset	Y	20-40	N	-	-	Y	Bottom substrate	-	10	-	-	159-395	25	-	28	186 (mean) (FL)					
43	Field	VSF	6.52	18	2.1 x 1.6	-	-	-	-	Y	1	20	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	-	-	250	15	-	41	110-240 (FL)	PIT telemetry					
44	Field	VSF	6.52	18	2.1 x 1.6	-	-	-	-	Y	1	20	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	-	-	250	15	-	33	112-277 (FL)	Bravo-Córdoba et al. 2018 [42]	*Ascent ability in VSF and NOF were similar *Larger fish displayed lower transit time in ascension *Motivation greater in VSF, though not relevant for success				
45	Field	NOF	8.77	11	2.6 x 1.6	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	30	N	-	-	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	-	-	270	25	-	41	112-340 (FL)					
46	Field	NOF	8.77	11	2.6 x 1.6	Y	0.04	Offset	Y	30	N	-	-	Y	Bottom substrate	-	-	-	-	270	25	-	38	110-240 (FL)					
47	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	-	-	-	-	Y	1	10.5	N	-	-	-	Uniform	-	81	17.8	72	5	210-300	Visual obs. + video	Fuentes-Pérez et al. 2018 [76]	*Broader fish use of the fishway with lower head drop *Protection by the walls was key in the use of space *VSF designed with uniform flow can be unsuitable when non-uniform flows are present			
48	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	-	-	-	-	Y	1	10.5	N	-	-	-	Non-uniform (B)	-	50	7.4	71	5	210-300						
49	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	-	-	-	-	Y	1	10.5	N	-	-	-	Non-uniform (D)	-	81	21.2	61	5	210-300						
50	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	N	-	-	-	-	Y	1	10	Y	Lateral baffle (C2)	-	6.25	Uniform	-	81	16	80	25	208±36	Visual obs. + video	Romão et al. 2018 [93]	*No difference in passage performance between VSF and MSF *MSF is preferable as it requires less water (31%) to operate		
51	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	N	-	-	-	-	Y	2	10	Y	Lateral baffle (C2)	-	6.25	Uniform	-	56	16	80	25	208±36					
52	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	N	-	-	N	-	Y	1	10	Y	Lateral baffle	-	6.25	Uniform	-	110	16	80	70	185 (mean)	Visual obs. + video	Romão et al. 2018 [94]	*No significant differences on passage performance between spring and autumn *Evaluation of passage performance in fishways can be extended to early-autumn		
53	Lab	VSF	8.5	6	1.9 x 1.0	N	-	-	N	-	Y	2	10	-	-	-	Uniform	-	56	16	80	50	196 (mean)	Visual obs. + video	Romão et al. 2019 [95]	*No seasonal differences in passage performance between spring and autumn *MSF can be considered a cost-effective design for the I. barbel			
54	Field	NOF	16.3	46	1.6 x 1.75	Y	0.03	Offset	Y	17/40	N	-	-	N	-	-	-	-	140	25-30	100	61	336 (mean) (FL)	PIT telemetry	Pedescoll et al. 2019 [96]	*Upstream passage efficiency was the greatest for barbel (60%) *Entry efficiency was low for the barbel (3.8%) *Fishway has limited efficiency for small fish			

References:

[88] Silva, A.T.; Santos, J.M.; Franco, A.C.; Ferreira, M.T.; Pinheiro, A.N. Selection of Iberian barbel *Barbus bocagei* (Steindachner, 1864) for orifices and notches upon different hydraulic configurations in an experimental pool-type fishway. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* **2009**, *25*, 173-177.

- [89] Quintella, B.R.; Mateus, C.S.; Silva, A.T.; Ferreira, M.T.; Almeida P.R. Assessing the behavioural response of the Iberian barbel (*Barbus bocagei*) in a pool-and-weir type fishway prototype using EMG telemetry. Proceedings of the 33rd IAHR Congress held in Vancouver, Canada, **2009**
- [34] Silva, A.T.; Santos, J.M.; Ferreira, M.T.; Pinheiro, A.; Katopodis, C. Effects of water velocity and turbulence on the behaviour of Iberian barbel (*Luciobarbus bocagei*, Steindachner 1864) in an experimental pool-type fishway. *River Res. Appl.* **2011**, *27*, 360-373.
- [39] Silva, A.T.; Santos, J.M.; Ferreira, M.T.; Pinheiro, A.N.; Katopodis, C. Passage efficiency of offset and straight orifices for upstream movements of Iberian barbel in a pool-type fishway. *River Res. Appl.* **2012**, *28*, 529-542.
- [38] Silva, A.T.; Katopodis, C.; Santos, J.M.; Ferreira, M.T.; Pinheiro, A.N. Cyprinid swimming behaviour in response to turbulent flow. *Ecol. Eng.* **2012**, *44*, 314-328.
- [41] Alexandre, C.M.; Quintella, B.R.; Silva, A.T.; Mateus, C.S.; Romão, F.; Branco, P.; Ferreira, M.T.; Almeida, P.R. Use of electromyogram telemetry to assess the behavior of the Iberian barbel (*Luciobarbus bocagei* Steindachner, 1864) in a pool-type fishway. *Ecol. Eng.*, **2013**, *51*, 191-202.
- [85] Branco, P.; Santos, J.M.; Katopodis, C.; Pinheiro, A.N.; Ferreira, M.T. Pool-type fishways: two different morpho-ecological cyprinid species facing plunging and streaming flows. *Plos One* **2013**, *8*(5), e65089.
- [86] Santos, J.M.; Branco, P.; Silva, A.T.; Katopodis, C.; Pinheiro, A.N.; Viseu, T.; Ferreira, M.T. Effect of two flow regimes on the upstream movements of the Iberian barbel (*Luciobarbus bocagei*) in an experimental pool-type fishway. *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* **2013**, *29*, 425-430.
- [40] Santos, J.M.; Branco, P.; Katopodis, C.; Ferreira, M.T.; Pinheiro, A.N. Retrofitting pool-and-weir fishways to improve passage performance of benthic fishes: effect of boulder density and fishway discharge. *Ecol. Eng.* **2014**, *73*, 335-344.
- [90] Aramburu, E.; Morcillo, F.; Castillo, M.; Lara, A. Research on fish behavior in a fishway in the Hydraulic Laboratory of the Centre for Hydrographic Studies. Conclusions of the experiment period from 2009 to 2012. *Ingeniería Civil* **2014**, *173*, 33-42 [In Spanish].
- [91] Branco, P.; Santos, J.M.; Katopodis, C.; Viseu, T.; Ferreira, M.T.; Pinheiro, A.N. The influence of bottom rugosity on the performance of upstream fish movements through a pool-type fishway under different discharges. E-proceedings of the 36th IAHR Congress held in Delft, Netherlands, **2015**.
- [80] Rodríguez, A.; Bermúdez, M.; Rabunal, J.; Puertas, J. Fish tracking in vertical slot fishways using computer vision techniques. *J. Hydroinform.* **2015**, *17*, 2, 275-292.
- [49] Sanz-Ronda, F.J.; Bravo-Córdoba, F.J.; Fuentes-Pérez, J.F.; Castro-Santos, T. Ascent ability of brown trout, *Salmo trutta*, and two Iberian cyprinids - Iberian barbel, *Luciobarbus bocagei*, and northern straight-mouth nase, *Pseudochondrostoma duriense* - In a vertical slot fishway. *Knowl. Manag. Aquat. Ecosystems* **2016**, *417*, 10.
- [69] Romão, F.; Quesada, A.L.; Branco, P.; Santos J.M.; Amaral, S.; Ferreira, M.T.; Katopodis, C.; Pinheiro, A.N. Passage performance of two cyprinids with different ecological traits in a fishway with distinct vertical slot configurations. *Ecol. Eng.* **2017**, *105*, 180-188.
- [92] Bravo-Córdoba, F.J.; Sanz-Ronda, F.J.; Ruiz-Legazpi, J.; Fernandes Celestino, L.; Makrakis, S. Fishway with two entrance branches: Understanding its performance for potamodromous Mediterranean barbels. *Fish. Manag. Ecol.* **2018**, *25*:12-21.
- [42] Bravo-Córdoba, F.J.; Sanz-Ronda, F.J.; Ruiz-Legazpi, J.; Valbuena-Castro, J.; Makrakis, S. Vertical slot versus submerged notch with bottom orifice: Looking for the best technical fishway type for Mediterranean barbels. *Ecol. Eng.* **2018**, *122*, 120-125.
- [76] Fuentes-Pérez, J.F.; Eckert, M.; Tuhtan, J.A.; Ferreira, M.T.; Kruusmaa, M.; Branco, P. Spatial preferences of Iberian barbel in a vertical slot fishway under variable hydrodynamic scenarios. *Ecol. Eng.* **2018**, *125*, 131-142.
- [93] Romão, F.; Branco, P.; Quesada A.L.; Amaral, S.; Pinheiro, A.N. Effectiveness of a multi-slot vertical slot fishway versus a standard slot fishway for potamodromous cyprinids. *Hydrobiologia* **2018**, *816*, 153-163.
- [94] Romão, F.; Santos, J.M.; Katopodis, C.; Pinheiro, A.N.; Branco P. How does season affect passage performance and fatigue of potamodromous cyprinids? An experimental approach in a vertical slot fishway. *Water* **2018**, *10*, 395.
- [95] Romão, F.; Quesada, A.L.; Santos, J.M.; Branco, P.; Pinheiro, A.N. Cyprinid passage performance in an experimental multislots fishway across distinct seasons. *Mar. Freshwater Res.* **2019**, *70*, 881-890.
- [96] Pedescoll, A.; Aguado, R.; Marcos, C.; González, G. Performance of a pool and weir fishway for Iberian cyprinids migration: a case study. *Fishes* **2019**, *4*, 45.