

# Article Design and Optimisation of a 5 MW Permanent Magnet Vernier Motor for Podded Ship Propulsion

Nima Arish \*<sup>D</sup>, Maarten J. Kamper <sup>D</sup> and Rong-Jie Wang <sup>D</sup>

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch 7600, South Africa; kamper@sun.ac.za (M.J.K.); rwang@sun.ac.za (R.-J.W.)

\* Correspondence: nima.arishh@gmail.com

Abstract: The evolution of electric propulsion systems in the maritime sector has been influenced significantly by technological advancements in power electronics and machine design. Traditionally, these systems have employed surface-mounted permanent magnet synchronous motors (PMSMs) in podded configurations. However, the advent of permanent magnet Vernier motors (PMVMs), which leverage magnetic gearing effects, presents a novel approach with promising potential. This study conducts a comparative analysis between PMVMs and conventional PMSMs at a power level of 5 MW for podded ship propulsion, with a particular focus on the impact of gear ratios (Gr). An objective function was developed that integrates motor dimension constraints and the power factor (PF), a critical yet frequently neglected parameter in existing research. The findings indicate that PMVMs with lower Gr have lower mass and cost compared to those with higher Gr and traditional PMSMs, at a PF level of 0.7, which is high for Vernier machines. Moreover, PMVMs with lower Gr achieve efficiencies exceeding 99%, outperforming both their higher Gr counterparts and conventional PMSMs. The superior performance of PMVMs is attributed to lower current density and reduced copper loss, which contribute to their enhanced thermal performance. These details are elaborated on further in the paper. Consequently, these findings suggest that PMVMs with lower Gr are particularly well suited for high-power maritime propulsion applications, offering advantages in terms of compactness, efficiency (EF), cost-effectiveness, and thermal performance.

Keywords: finite element analysis; optimisation; permanent magnet; ship propulsion; Vernier motor

## 1. Introduction

Electric machines have played a crucial role in the maritime industry for over a century, serving as auxiliary systems or primary propulsion for a wide range of vessels including cruise ships, ferries, yachts, battleships, icebreakers, shuttle tankers, and specialised vessels [1,2]. Electric propulsion in the maritime sector is a rapidly advancing field driven by advancements in power electronics and machine design [3]. It offers significant environmental benefits by reducing fuel consumption and delivers notable advantages in EF, performance, manoeuvrability and safety compared to traditional diesel-mechanical systems [4]. The latest advancement in electrical ship propulsion is podded propulsion, which involves positioning the prime mover outside the ship's hull on an azimuthing podded, as depicted in Figure 1. This pod-based approach eliminates the need for a gearbox and enables the direct mounting of the propeller into the electric motor. By eliminating mechanical coupling, this design offers space and energy savings, improved EF and enhanced manoeuvrability during shallow-water navigation and embarkation/disembarkation [5]. In addition, electric propulsion systems contribute to a higher comfort class rating on board ships due to reduced vibration and noise levels [6].

When selecting an electric motor for marine propulsion, important considerations include high EF, low torque ripple, and high power and torque density. Therefore, careful selection of the motor type and topology is crucial in developing a podded propulsion



Citation: Arish, N.; Kamper, M.J.; Wang, R.-J. Design and Optimisation of a 5 MW Permanent Magnet Vernier Motor for Podded Ship Propulsion. *World Electr. Veh. J.* 2024, *15*, 119. https://doi.org/10.3390/ wevj15030119

Academic Editors: Youguang Guo, Gang Lei and Xin Ba

Received: 25 January 2024 Revised: 26 February 2024 Accepted: 29 February 2024 Published: 20 March 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). system. These systems are typically categorised based on four specific levels of supply voltage: 690 V, 3.3 kV, 6.6 kV, and 11 kV [7]. Numerous electrical motors designed for marine propulsion have been presented in the literature and compared in references [8–10].



Figure 1. Podded propulsion.

The unique properties of high-temperature superconductor (HTS) materials have led to their application in high-power systems such as ship propulsion, resulting in improved performance [11–13]. However, the use of HTS materials in ship propulsion presents challenges, including increased complexity and the cost of the cooling system. In some cases, the cooling system required for HTS materials can be larger in size than the electrical motor itself, which can be considered a drawback, especially when space limitations are a significant factor [14–17]. PM motors are highly regarded as top contenders for ship propulsion due to their compact size and high torque density. These motors are designed with a wide range of configurations, including surface-mounted PM [18–20], Halbach-array PM [21], spoke-array PM [22], and interior PM [23–27] arrangements. Furthermore, axial flux PM motors [28] are also considered.

In recent years, permanent magnet vernier machines (PMVM) have gained interest due to their higher torque density compared to standard PMSMs while maintaining the same structural simplicity [29–32]. However, PMVMs are characterised by their low power factor (PF), which is a result of high leakage flux and high winding reactance. In an effort to improve the PF of PMVMs, some researchers have proposed more complex topologies to reduce leakage flux, such as double-stator [32], double-rotor [33] and HTS-bulk PMVM [34]. PMVMs with high pole ratios generally utilise a relatively small number of stator pole pairs, combined with a notably greater number of slots. This arrangement results in windings that overlap, accompanied by long end connections. These elongated end-windings can occupy a significant portion of the overall machine volume, particularly in high-power applications when the PMVM has a short stack length. To address this issue and minimise the length of the end-windings, low pole-ratio PMVMs with non-overlap windings are also proposed. These showcase a significant reduction in end-winding length and associated copper loss. However, these PMVMs also exhibit a considerably lower torque capacity due to a lower winding factor [35].

An effective balance can be struck with the Vernier machine by using a fractional-slot winding with a coil pitch of two [36,37]. The main objective of this strategy is to reduce end-winding length while preserving a high winding factor [38–40]. Previous studies have focused primarily on comparing various types of PMVMs and conventional PMSMs at multi-kilowatt power levels and different PF [41]. A novel aspect of this study is the categorization of gear ratios into three distinct types: Type A, Type B, and Type C. This is followed by a comparative analysis of various PMVMs across these categories against conventional PMSMs for ship propulsion applications. Such a comparison, especially at high power levels and with a high PF characteristic of Vernier motors, is rarely addressed

in existing literature. The comparison includes a comprehensive evaluation of all models in terms of mass, cost, EF, PF, torque ripple, losses, cooling system complexity and thermal performance, current density, torque per mass, and torque per volume. The results indicate that Type A, which represents a high gear ratio, is not a suitable candidate for this application. In contrast, Types B and C can compete with conventional PMSMs and, in some aspects, offer better performance for this application. These advantages will be discussed in detail in the following sections.

## 2. Fundamental Working Principle

In a conventional PMSM with Q slots, the stator winding pole-pair number (ps) is equal to the rotor pole-pair number (pr) to attain synchronous speed and induce electromagnetic torque. In a PMSM, the angular velocity of the air-gap field aligns with the rotational speed of the stator field, a condition met when the rotor's pole-pair count matches that of the stator. However, in the case of a Vernier machine, the relationship between ps, pr and Q is governed by

$$ps = |pr - Q| \tag{1}$$

The velocity of the air-gap field is (pr/ps) times faster than that of the stator field, effectively defining the gear ratio (Gr).

This gear ratio in Vernier machines emulates the behavior of a magnetic gear, where a small movement of the rotor triggers a rapidly changing magnetic field in the stator due to field-modulation effects. Figure 2 provides a visual representation of this concept using a specific Vernier machine configuration with a  $Q/2p_r = 24/34$  slot/pole combination and a gear ratio of Gr = 2.4.



**Figure 2.** Gear effect of PMVM with  $G_r = 2.4$ .

#### 2.1. Rotor Harmonic Comparison

The air-gap flux density of the PMVM can be defined from the MMF generated by the PMs and the air-gap permeance of the stator slots, which are expressed by Equation (2) and Equation (3), respectively.

$$F_{PM}(\theta) = F_{PM1}\cos\left(pr\,\theta_2\right) \tag{2}$$

$$\lambda(\theta) = \lambda_0 - \lambda_1 \cos\left(Q\,\theta_1\right),\tag{3}$$

where  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are defined in Figure 3 as mechanical angles, and  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  are given as in [42]:

$$\lambda_0 = \frac{\mu_0}{g} (1 - 1.6\beta_0 \alpha) \tag{4}$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\mu_0}{g} \frac{2\beta_0}{\pi} \left( \frac{0.78}{0.78 - 2\alpha^2} \right) \sin(1.6\pi\alpha), \tag{5}$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the permeability of the air, g is the air-gap length,  $\beta_0 = \frac{r_g \theta_s}{g}$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{\theta_s}{\theta_1}$ . The air-gap flux density of the permanent magnet,  $(B_g)$ , is obtained as

$$B_{g}(\theta) = \frac{F_{PM}(\theta)\lambda(\theta)}{A_{g}} \approx \Lambda_{0} \cos(pr(\theta_{1} - \theta_{m})) -\frac{\Lambda_{1}}{2} (\cos(ps\theta_{1} - pr\theta_{m}) + \cos((pr + Q)\theta_{1} - pr\theta_{m})),'$$
(6)

where  $A_g$  is the area of air gap and

$$\Lambda_1 = \frac{F_{PM1}\lambda_1}{A_g}, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{F_{PM1}\lambda_0}{A_g}.$$
(7)



**Figure 3.** Definition of slot/pole angles.

According to Equation (6), in the Vernier machine, the main components of  $B_g$  are pr, ps and (Q + pr). By conducting an FFT analysis on the radial air-gap flux density of various PMVMs featuring distinct gear ratios (e.g.,  $G_r = 1.18$ ,  $G_r = 2.4$ , and  $G_r = 5$ ), the resulting air-gap flux-density harmonics are presented in Figure 4a. The figure clearly illustrates the active harmonics ( $ps^{th}$ ,  $pr^{th}$ , (ps + Q)<sup>th</sup>, and (pr + Q)<sup>th</sup>) as outlined in Equation (6) for their respective gear ratio and slot configurations.



**Figure 4.** The harmonic distribution of radial air-gap flux density due to the (**a**) PM for different gear ratios, (**b**) stator current for different gear ratios.

#### 2.2. Stator Harmonic Comparison

On the other hand, the stator MMF harmonic due to the stator currents ( $F_c$ ) can be expressed as

$$F_{c} \approx \frac{3k_{w}\sqrt{2}N_{s}I}{ps\,\pi} \left[ \cos(p_{s}\theta_{1} - (\omega t - \alpha)) + \frac{1}{\frac{Q}{ps} - 1} \left( \cos(pr)\theta_{1} + (\omega t - \alpha) \right) - \frac{1}{\frac{Q}{ps} + 1} \left( \cos(Q + ps)\theta_{1} - (\omega t - \alpha) \right) \right],$$

$$(8)$$

where  $N_s$  and  $k_w$  are the total number of turns in series per phase and the winding factor of the fundamental harmonic, respectively. The harmonic distribution of radial air-gap flux density due to stator currents is depicted in Figure 4b for PMSMs with  $G_r = 1.18$ ,  $G_r = 2.4$ , and  $G_r = 5$ , respectively. It is noted that the  $B_g$  and stator MMF have the same working harmonics  $(ps^{th}, pr^{th}, (ps + Q)^{th})$  and  $(pr + Q)^{th}$ . The working harmonics due to stator currents interact with the working harmonics due to the PM, which is called harmonic coupling, yielding an electromagnetic torque.

#### 3. PMVM Gear Ratio Configurations

Research on PMVM structures has revealed that different slot/pole combinations that correspond to various gear ratios have a significant influence on the performance of PMVMs. An optimal gear ratio selection relates to the specific application and power requirements. For easy reference, this paper classifies PMVMs into the following three types according to their gear ratios:

- Type A:  $Gr \ge 5$  with an overlapped winding (ow) and a coil pitch greater than two,
- Type B: 2 < Gr < 5 with an overlapped winding and a coil pitch of two, and
- Type C:  $1 < Gr \le 2$  with a non-overlap winding (nw) and a coil pitch of one.

For PMVMs of Types B and C, the *ps* value is relatively close to half of the total number of slots (Q/2), which leads to a small difference between *ps* and *pr*, resulting in a shorter end-winding length and also a lower  $G_r$  compared to Type A PMVM. This variation opens up a new range of slot per pole per phase (*q*) options, as shown in Table 1. The  $G_r$  of all Type B Vernier machines can be defined based on the *ps*, which progressively converges toward 3 as it increases (refer to Equation (9)).

$$G_r = 3 \pm \frac{2}{ps} \text{ and } G_r = 3 \pm \frac{4}{ps}, \ ps \ge 2$$
 (9)

Similarly, the  $G_r$  of all Type C Vernier machines can be defined based on the parameter *ps*, which progressively converges toward 1 as *ps* increases (refer to Equation (10)).

$$G_r = 1 + \frac{n}{ps}, \ ps \ge n, \ (n = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10)$$
 (10)

Model	Type A	Туре В	Туре С
G <sub>r</sub>	$G_r \ge 5$	$2 \leq G_r < 5$	$1 < G_r < 2$
9	$q \geq 1$	$\frac{1}{2} < q < 1$	$\tfrac{1}{3} < q < \tfrac{1}{2}$
Coil pitch	$\geq 3$	2	1

**Table 1.** Classification of PMVMs based on gear ratios  $(G_r)$ .



This convergence is also depicted in Figure 5 for both Type B and Type C PMVMs (refer to Equations (9) and (10)).

Figure 5. The effect of *ps* on the gear ratio of Type B and Type C PMVMs.

Various slot–pole combinations for three types of PMVMs with high winding factors are provided and presented in Table 2.

**Table 2.** Various slot–pole combinations and their winding factors in PMVMs for all types of  $G_r$ .

		Ç	<b>)</b> = 6			Q	= 12			Q	= 18			Q	= 24			Q	= 30	
ps	$p_r$	G <sub>r</sub>	$k_w$	q	$p_r$	$G_r$	$k_w$	q	$p_r$	G <sub>r</sub>	$k_w$	q	$p_r$	G <sub>r</sub>	$k_w$	q	p <sub>r</sub>	Gr	$k_w$	q
1	5	5	1	1	11	11	0.97	2	17	17	0.96	3	23	23	0.96	4	29	29	0.96	5
2	4	2	0.87	1/2	10	5	1	1	16	8	0.95	1.5	22	11	0.97	2	28	14	0.95	2.5
3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	-	-	-	-	8	2	0.87	2/4	14	3.5	0.95	3/4	20	5	1	1	26	6.5	0.91	1.25
5	-	-	-	-	7	1.4	0.93	2/5	13	2.6	0.95	3/5	19	3.8	0.93	4/5	25	5	1	1
6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	2	0.86	3/6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	1.57	0.90	3/7	17	2.43	0.93	4/7	23	3.29	0.95	5/7
8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	1.25	0.95	3/8	16	2	0.87	4/8	22	2.75	0.95	5/8
10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	1.4	0.93	4/10	20	2	0.87	5/10
11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	1.18	0.95	4/11	19	1.73	0.87	5/11
13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	1.31	0.94	5/13
14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	1.14	0.95	5/14

The yellow , the green , and the grey colour are representative of Type A, Type B, and Type C  $G_r$ , respectively.

## 4. Design Optimisation

In this study, an integrated methodology that combines the NSGA-II algorithm with finite element analysis (FEA) using Ansys Maxwell and Motor-CAD was applied for design optimization. This approach ensured that the optimised motor design met both dimensional and performance requirements while maintaining a fixed outer diameter of 1.3 m. The NSGA-II algorithm was used to assess the objectives and constraints, yielding a collection of solutions forming the Pareto front. Through an analysis of the trade-offs between the



objectives, the optimal design could be discerned from the converged solutions. The flowchart of the formulated design and optimisation framework is depicted in Figure 6a.

**Figure 6.** Definition of the (**a**) design and optimisation flow diagram of NSGA-II and (**b**) variable parameters.

#### Multi-Objective Function and Constraint

The main aim of this study was to evaluate PMVM technologies for 5 MW podded ship-propulsion applications with a speed of 300 rpm. Among the slot-pole combinations given in Table 2, the study focuses on the 24-slot design options. This selection is informed by considerations of practical electrical frequency and their common usage, as evident from earlier comparative investigations [38].

These selected combinations include gear ratios such as 24/28 ( $G_r = 1.4$ , Type C), 24/26 ( $G_r = 1.18$ , Type C), 24/34 ( $G_r = 2.4$ , Type B), 24/36 ( $G_r = 3.8$ , Type B), 24/40 ( $G_r = 5$ , Type A), and 24/44 ( $G_r = 11$ , Type A), 24/22 PMSM and 90/20 PMSM, as tabulated in Table 3. To optimise the design, various constraints were considered, with a focus on minimising the active mass and magnet mass to reduce the overall cost of the system. The multi-objective function for this optimisation is defined as follows:

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Output power } (P_{\text{out}}) \\ \text{Power factor } (\text{PF}) \\ \text{EF}(\eta) \\ \text{Ripple torque} \\ \text{Current density } (J_{\text{max}}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \text{ MW} \\ \text{PF} \ge 0.7 \text{ and } \text{PF} \ge 0.5 \\ \ge 98\% \\ \le 15\% \\ \le 5 \text{ A/m}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

$$\min_{X_n}(F(X_n)) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{active}(X_n) \\ M_{PM}(X_n) \end{bmatrix}$$
(12)

$$M_{active} = M_{Fe} + M_{PM} + M_{Cu} \tag{13}$$

where  $M_{Fe}$  represents the combined mass of the stator and rotor iron laminations,  $M_{Cu}$  represents the total mass of copper, which includes the end-winding, and  $M_{PM}$ , represents the total mass of permanent magnets.  $X_n$  is the input vector representing the variable parameters shown in Figure 6b.

$$X_n = \begin{bmatrix} l_{stk} & h_{sy} & h_s & g & \alpha & \beta & h_m & h_{ry} \end{bmatrix},$$
(14)

where g is air gap and

$$\beta = \frac{\theta_{pm-s}}{\theta_{pm-p}} \tag{15}$$

$$X_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 800 \text{ mm} \ge l_{\text{stk}} \ge 2000 \text{ mm} \\ 10 \text{ mm} \ge h_{\text{sy}} \ge 50 \text{ mm} \\ 20 \text{ mm} \ge h_{s} \ge 100 \text{ mm} \\ 0.4 \ge \alpha \ge 0.75 \\ 0.5 \ge \beta \ge 0.95 \\ 4 \text{ mm} \ge h_{m} \ge 20 \text{ mm} \\ 10 \text{ mm} \ge h_{\text{ry}} \ge 100 \text{ mm} \\ 1.3 \text{ mm} \ge g \ge 5 \text{ mm} \end{bmatrix}$$
(16)

Table 3. Slot-pole combinations considered in design optimisation.

Q = 24							
$Q_s$	$p_s$	<i>p</i> <sub>r</sub>	Gr	q	$k_w$	Coil Pitch	Winding Type
24	2	22	11 (Type A)	2	0.97	5	ow
24	4	20	5 (Type A)	1	1	3	ow
24	5	19	3.8 (Type B)	4/5	0.93	2	ow
24	7	17	2.4 (Type B)	4/7	0.93	2	ow
24	10	14	1.4 (Type C)	4/10	0.93	1	nw
24	11	13	1.18 (Type C)	4/11	0.95	1	nw
24	11	11	1 (PMSM)	4/11	0.95	1	nw
90	10	10	1 (PMSM)	3/2	0.94	4	ow

#### 5. Pareto Front Results

The Pareto front results are provided in Figure 7, depicting two distinct ranges for the lower boundary of PF (0.5 and 0.7) for the two PMSMs with slot/pole combinations of 24/22 and 90/20, as well as three different types of gear ratios for PMSMs.

These results offer valuable insights into the comparison between PMVMs and PMSMs, specifically concerning active mass and magnet mass within the high power range of this application. From the Pareto front results at PF with the lower boundary of 0.5, it is evident that Types B and C exhibit lower weights compared to Type A and the PMSM. Notably, Type A, particularly with a *Gr* of 5, demonstrates competitiveness with the PMSM in terms of active mass. The Pareto front plot provides a clear visualisation of the inherently high PF of the PMSM, even when constrained by a lower PF boundary of 0.5.

In stark contrast, the PMVMs do not inherently possess a comparably high PF. However, it is worth noting that Type B and Type C PMVMs can achieve relatively higher PF values compared to Type A, while the latter struggles to attain a PF higher than 0.5. This observation underscores the inverse correlation between  $G_r$  and PF, suggesting that higher gear ratios tend to result in lower PF values.

When considering the Pareto front with the lower boundary of PF as 0.7, a distinct pattern emerges: the PF values of the PMVMs tend to approach the lower boundary of PF optimisation (PF = 0.7), a trend not observed in the PMSM. Interestingly, Type B and Type C PMVMs exhibit modest changes in their Pareto fronts, resulting in slightly higher mass values.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of Pareto front results comparison for all  $G_r$  types, with a lower boundary of (**a**) PF = 0.5 and (**b**) PF = 0.7.

However, in contrast, Type A experiences a more pronounced shift in its Pareto front, especially as the gear ratio increases. This observation suggests that the weight of the Type A gear ratio undergoes a remarkable change when altering the PF from 0.5 to 0.7. Consequently, it becomes evident that the Type A gear ratio is less suited for achieving a high PF at the MW (megawatt) level and may not be the most economically favourable choice for such applications.

It was observed that the PMVM performed poorly in terms of PF, making it an unfair comparison to assess performance at different PF levels. However, it is important to note that, except for a few instances, most of the previous comparisons in the literature between PMSM and PMVM have not considered this important factor. For this paper, the comparison was conducted in terms of electromagnetic performance and the cost of the system. To make sure the cost of the inverter was taken into account in this comparison, all optimal models were selected from the second Pareto front at the same level of PF = 0.7. The winding arrangement and 3D structure size of the optimal models are illustrated in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. Figure 10 presents a comparative analysis of the mass components and stack lengths. Elevation in the  $G_r$  corresponds to proportional increments in PM mass, end-winding mass, and active mass. Furthermore, it is discernible that Type B and Type C configurations exhibit comparatively reduced stack lengths. Taking into account the length of the end-winding for different coil pitches and winding types is crucial, as it has a significant effect on the performance and optimisation results, especially when the Pareto front is focused on minimising active mass and includes the end-winding. It is evident that increasing the difference between pr and ps (increasing the  $G_r$ ) leads to an increase in both the end-winding length and mass. Figure 11 illustrates the effect of increasing the gear ratio on the PMVM and compares it with a conventional PMSM in terms of the physical shape and end-winding length of the 3D winding. As shown, a higher gear ratio results in longer and heavier end-windings.



**Figure 8.** Winding arrangement: (**a**) Type C, *Gr* = 1.18 and PMSM, 24/22, (**b**) Type C, *Gr* = 1.4, (**c**) Type B, *Gr* = 2.4, (**d**) Type B, *Gr* = 3.8, (**e**) Type A, *Gr* = 5, (**f**) Type A, *Gr* = 11, and (**g**) PMSM, 90/20 (24 slots).



Figure 9. The 3D structure sizes of the optimal models.



Figure 10. Comparison of (a) stack length and (b) mass of optimally designed PMVMs and PMSMs.



**Figure 11.** Comparison of winding coil size, (**a**) 3D model, (**b**) end-winding length, and (**c**) end-winding mass of optimal design for all models.

## 6. Effect of Gear Ratio on the Performance

## 6.1. Flux Density Comparison

The flux line and flux density distribution of all models at full load are depicted in Figure 12. As shown, the structures exhibit no signs of deep saturation, and increasing the gear ratio reduces the saturation.



Figure 12. Comparison of the flux density distribution of the different optimised 5 MW PM motors.

## 6.2. Loss and Cooling Comparison

Copper loss and core loss analysis is another crucial aspect to consider that has an effect on the performance of electric motors. As mentioned previously, all motors are designed and optimised for a power output of 5 MW, with varying dimensions and stack lengths. To attain a line-to-line voltage of 3.3 kV, the number of turns in series per phase is adjusted to match the intended voltage level. An escalation in the gear ratio results in a proportional increase in leakage flux. As a result, to sustain a precise voltage level, it becomes imperative to reduce the number of turns in series per phase as the gear ratio increases. This adjustment not only affects the turns, but also leads to a reduction in phase resistance, which can reduce copper loss. Moreover, non-overlapping windings have a shorter coil pitch, which at the same slot size and machine dimensions results in a lower induced voltage and lower torque at the same level of current density. Therefore, to achieve the desired torque, it is necessary to increase the current density, as can be seen in Figure 13a, which in turn leads to an overall increase in copper losses, as depicted in Figure 13b. The PMVM can experience higher core losses compared to the conventional PMSM operating at the same speed. This difference can be attributed to the increased number of poles of the PMVM, which results in a higher operating frequency. Moreover, increasing the gear ratio amplifies the number of poles and operating frequency, thereby intensifying the core loss even further. Based on Figure 13b, PMVM has higher core losses compared to the normal PMSM, while the normal PMSM has higher copper losses. It is worth noting that core cooling is generally more straightforward compared to coil cooling.

Therefore, it can be argued that the Vernier machine surpasses the conventional PMSM in terms of the cooling system, assuming an equal number of slots. Furthermore, it is evident that Type C and Type B configurations demonstrate lower total losses compared to the normal PMSM, which makes EF higher. Based on Figure 13c increasing  $G_r$  leads to a decrease in EF. Notably, Type B and Type C exhibit the highest EF among all models.

An effective cooling system and efficient heat extraction are critical for electrical machines, especially in high-power applications with significant loss factors. The AJ value, derived from the electrical loading (A) and slot current density (J), serves as an indicator of cooling system complexity. Higher AJ values signify increased electrical loading and current density, requiring advanced cooling systems such as oil or water cooling. However,

these systems introduce extra components and control mechanisms, increasing overall system complexity. Consequently, a higher AJ value necessitates sophisticated cooling approaches to manage thermal demands effectively. Electrical loading can be defined as

$$A = \frac{JA_s k_f Q}{\pi D_i},\tag{17}$$

where  $A_s$  is the slot area (side areas and bottom area) available for the copper conductors,  $k_f$  is the copper filling factor and  $D_i$  is the inner diameter of the stator. The AJ value for all models is defined in Figure 14a. The AJ values in the PMVMs are lower than those of the normal PMSMs. By increasing the  $G_r$ , the AJ value decreases drastically, specifically for Type A PMVMs. The copper loss per slot-wall area serves as a measure of heat extraction, given by

$$C_{sw} = \frac{P_{cu}}{(2h+b)l_{stk}Q},\tag{18}$$

where  $P_{cu}$ , h and b are the active copper loss (without end-winding), height and width of the slot, respectively. The  $C_{sw}$  for all models are shown in Figure 14b. Increasing the gear ratio ( $G_r$ ) leads to a decrease in  $C_{sw}$  due to a lower current density.



**Figure 13.** Comparison of (**a**) current density, (**b**) loss, (**c**) EF, and (**d**) ripple torque of the different optimised 5 MW PM motors.



Figure 14. Comparison of (a) AJ value and (b) Csw value of the different optimised 5 MW PM motors.

The PMVMs exhibit lower  $C_{sw}$  compared to the normal PMSMs with the same number of slots. Among the various types, Type A exhibits the lowest AJ value and the least  $C_{sw}$ , indicating that it offers superior performance in terms of cooling system and heat extraction.

## 6.3. Torque Ripple Comparison

The interaction between back EMF harmonics and the current results in ripple torque. Due to the undesirable effects of high ripple torque, such as noise and vibration, it becomes a crucial design parameter, especially for ship propulsion. The percentage of ripple torque is calculated by

$$T_{ripple(\%)} = \frac{T_{max} - T_{min}}{T_{avg}} \times 100\%, \tag{19}$$

where  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{min}$  are maximum and minimum torque, respectively. The percentage ripple torque of all the 5 MW PM machine models is compared in Figure 13d. The Type B PMVM demonstrates superior performance in terms of ripple torque. Note, however, that this comparison was conducted without employing any methodology to reduce the ripple torque, such as by skewing, PM shaping, or other techniques.

#### 6.4. EF versus Speed Load

The propulsion motor's high torque at the propeller shaft is essential and electric motors can be designed with a torque characteristic that gives maximum torque at low propeller speed, even when the propeller is stopped. A typical torque-speed characteristic of the propulsion motor is shown in Figure 15. The efficiency map is a valuable tool for comparing the performance of electrical machines and understanding their EF [43]. For each motor design, EF under various load conditions is presented in Figure 16. Overall, the Type C and Type B PMVM showcase a higher maximum EF compared to the PMSM. However, when the gear ratio is augmented, the extent of the high-efficiency range (97%) diminishes, as shown in Table 4.

Model	Туре	$\mathrm{EF}>97\%$
Gr = 1.18	С	71%
Gr = 1.4	С	71%
Gr = 2.4	В	70%
Gr = 3.8	В	70%
Gr = 5	А	52%
Gr = 11	А	35%
24/22	PMSM	68%
90/20	PMSM	61%

Table 4. EF versus speed load.



Figure 15. Torque speed of propulsion motor [44].



**Figure 16.** Torque EF map of (**a**) Type C, *Gr* = 1.18, (**b**) Type C, *Gr* = 1.4, (**c**) Type B, *Gr* = 2.4, (**d**) Type B, *Gr* = 3.8, (**e**) Type A, *Gr* = 5, (**f**) Type A, *Gr* = 11, (**g**) PMSM, 24/22, and (**h**) PMSM, 90/20.

#### 6.5. Power Factor and Cost Comparison

As explained earlier, the PMVM and PMSM models are compared at the same PF = 0.7. The PF can be explained based on Equation (20), which is extracted from the phasor diagram. In the phasor diagram, the phase resistance is ignored. Further,  $I_d = 0$ , as all the PM machines were optimised, with only q-axis current flowing. Lastly, theta is the power factor angle.

$$PF = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{G_r L_q I_q}{E})^2}} \tag{20}$$

Equation (20) demonstrates that, when aiming to maintain a PF of 0.7 at the same current and voltage level, it becomes necessary to reduce the inductance by increasing the  $G_r$ . Figure 17a illustrates the phase inductance values of all PM machine models, revealing a decrease in phase inductance with increasing  $G_r$ . The comparison of the 5 MW PM machines at the same PF level makes it a fair comparison in terms of the cost of the PM machine drives, as all the PM machines require the same kVA-size power

converter (inverter). With the inverter cost the same, only the material cost of the PM machines has to be considered. The costs of the PM, copper and iron materials are based on PM = 120 USD/kg, copper = 10 USD/kg, and laminated core = 3 USD/kg. The cost comparison for all models is depicted in Figure 17b per unit. As can be seen, Type A is the most expensive type, Type C is the cheapest model and Type B is competitive with the normal PMSM.



**Figure 17.** Comparison of (a)  $L_q$  and (b) cost.

#### 7. Discussion

The objective function for all models was chosen to ensure that all optimised models had a lower active mass and magnet mass. The models were selected from the optimum point on the Pareto front, close to the knee point, with a PF = 0.7, and are physically and electromagnetically compared in Table 5. The mass of the core and magnets reflects the material costs and structural considerations of the models. Lighter models are often preferred for portable applications due to their cost effectiveness. In the mass comparison, increasing the gear ratio results in a higher mass for the magnet, core, and end-winding. However, in comparing PMVM with normal PMSMs, Type B and Type C are lighter than Type A and normal PMSMs. The lowest mass is observed for the gear ratio of 2.4, with a total weight of 5342 kg, which includes 373 kg of magnet mass and 202 kg of end-winding mass. In a cost comparison based on material weight, Type A was the most expensive due to its higher-value magnet and core, while Type C was the least expensive due to its lower-value materials. Type B and normal PMSMs had similar costs. Although this comparison primarily considered material costs, the similar power factors across all models suggest that it may also be indicative of the overall system cost.

In ship propulsion, where space constraints often necessitate compact motors, the motor's stack length emerges as a critical design parameter that influences its electromagnetic performance. Shortening the stack length can potentially reduce manufacturing costs and render the motor more appropriate for applications with limited space. Type B and Type C, when compared to Type A PMVM and normal PMSMs, have shorter stack lengths, rendering them more suitable for such applications in terms of size and dimension. Among all models compared, the PMVM with a gear ratio of 2.4 had the lowest stack length, of 1445 mm, thereby making it the most suitable for this application. As mentioned before, current density and AJ are crucial parameters affecting the thermal aspects of electrical machines, where higher values can significantly affect performance in terms of thermal management and cooling complexity. In this comparison, PMVM exhibited better performance relative to conventional PMSMs, with an increase in gear ratio leading to reduced current density and AJ values. The lowest J and AJ values belonged to Type A, with values of  $1.1 \, (A/m^2)$  and  $0.016 \, (A/m)$ , respectively, indicating that Type A had superior performance in terms of thermal management and cooling efficiency. Core and copper losses are key indicators of model EF. The core loss in PMVM was higher than in PMSM, a consequence of the higher frequency. In addition, an increase in the gear ratio leads to a further rise in the core loss. However, the lowest core loss in the Vernier machine, at 19,311 W, still exceeded the highest core loss observed in PMSM, at 15,109 W. On the other hand, the copper loss in PMSM was greater than in PMVM, attributable to the higher

current density. Increasing the gear ratio decreases copper loss. Interestingly, the highest copper loss in the Vernier machine, at 26,154 W, was lower than the lowest copper loss in a normal PMSM, which is 38,848 W.

Model	Type C, Gr = 1.18	Type C, Gr = 1.4	Type B, Gr = 2.4	Type B, Gr = 3.8	Type A, Gr = 5	Type A, Gr = 11	90/20	24/22
Coil pitch	1	1	2	2	3	5	4	1
Winding factor	0.95	0.93	0.92	0.92	1	0.93	0.94	0.95
Series turn per phase	40	40	32	24	16	8	60	40
<b>R</b> <sub>s</sub> (Ω)	0.0053	0.0060	0.0051	0.0029	0.0020	0.0004	0.0111	0.0066
Q	24	24	24	24	24	24	90	24
pr	13	14	17	19	20	22	10	11
ps	11	10	7	5	4	2	10	11
n (rpm)	300	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
Frequency (Hz)	65	70	85	95	100	110	50	55
g (mm)	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.4	2.2	1.3
l <sub>e</sub> (m)	0.183	0.185	0.309	0.306	0.718	1.12	0.254	0.185
$L_d = L_q$ (mH)	2.64	2.63	2.32	1.75	1.89	1.28	3.45	2.55
l <sub>stk</sub> (mm)	1552	1589	1445	1604	1868	1993	1697	1 749
h <sub>m</sub> (mm)	9.6	9.8	13.1	12.84	11.2	15.85	11.56	10.65
J (A/m <sup>2</sup> )	4.1	4.3	4.4	3.3	2.4	1.1	4.85	5
AJ (A/m)	0.258	0.254	0.203	0.124	0.056	0.016	0.418	0.338
M <sub>PM</sub> (kg)	302	278	373	401	411	675	278	327
M <sub>Active</sub> (kg)	5641	5440	5342	5895	7776	12,800	6578	5703
Active copper mass (kg)	1557	1507	1148	1324	1544	2345	2051	1638
End-winding mass (kg)	168	157	202	213	429	848	267	157
P <sub>core</sub> (W)	19,311	20,885	26,505	32,882	49,108	73,555	15,109	14,813
P <sub>cu</sub> (W)	23,464	26,154	21,183	14,229	8644	2775	44,729	38,848
T <sub>ripple</sub> (%)	2	5	1.5	1.6	8	3.5	10	2.8
EF (%)	99.11	99.04	99.06	99.03	98.81	98.41	98.75	98.93
PF	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.75	0.7
Cws (W/m <sup>2</sup> )	2323	2610	2382	1477	724	165	1314	3553
Torque (KNm)	159	159	159	159	159	159	159	159
Power (MW)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Torque per volume (KNm/m <sup>3</sup> )	76.7	76.4	81	76.2	66	59	72	70
Torque per mass (Nm/kg)	28.18	29.2	29.78	27	20.5	12.5	24.2	27.8
Cost (pu)	0.94	0.88	1.01	1.1	1.18	1.9	0.98	1

Table 5. Comparison of the electromagnetic performance of PMSM and PMVM.

The EF is arguably the most direct measure of performance, where higher EF indicates a model's superior capability to convert electrical energy into mechanical energy with minimal waste. In the comprehensive comparison of EF, it can be confirmed that PMVM, even with its higher frequency, exhibited better EF compared to conventional PMSM. In addition, increasing the gear ratio reduced the EF of PMVM. However, it has been observed that Type B and Type C demonstrate efficiencies higher than 99%, a level of performance not seen in normal PMSM. Regarding wall loss, which indicates the heat-extraction capability of an electrical model and is influenced by slot area, copper loss, stack length, and number of slots, the Vernier machine outperforms conventional PMSMs. An increase in the gear ratio leads to a reduction in wall loss. When comparing lower values of wall loss, Type A, with a Gr = 11 demonstrated the lowest wall loss even which had the lower number of slots compared to the normal PMSM, with a higher slot number (90/20). The wall loss for the Type A PMVM was 165  $(W/m^2)$ . In the comparison of torque per volume and torque per mass, it can be concluded that, both metrics decreased with an increase in the gear ratio. Type B and Type C exhibited higher values compared to conventional PMSM. The highest values for torque per volume and torque per mass were achieved at a gear ratio of 2.4, with values of 81 ( $KNm/m^3$ ) and 29.76 (Nm/kg), respectively. Based on this discussion, it can be concluded that for high power levels (MW) in ship and marine propulsion, a low gear ratio (Type B, Type C) demonstrates better performance compared to conventional PMSM. High gear ratios (Type A) are not suitable for this application.

## 8. Conclusions

This paper presents the design, optimisation, and performance evaluation of a 5 MW open-slot PMSM and PMVM for ship propulsion, conducting comparisons and optimisations at the same PF. The study encompassed six PMVMs and two PMSMs, dividing the PMVMs into three types: Type A, Type B, and Type C. Type C featured a non-overlap winding with a coil pitch of one, Type B used an overlapped winding with a coil pitch of two, and Type A adopted an overlapped winding with a coil pitch greater than two. The results of the comparison study are summarised in Table 5. They reveal that Type A PMVMs are heavier and more expensive, making them less suitable for high-power applications due to their substantial iron losses and mass. On the other hand, Type B and Type C PMVMs are cost-competitive with PMSMs and, in certain respects, offer superior performance in terms of losses, EF, mass, torque per volume, torque per mass, and cooling system effectiveness. In conclusion, the study illustrates that Type B and Type C PMVMs, with their low gear ratio, stand as promising alternatives to traditional PMSMs for high-power applications, such as podded ship propulsion. They provide lower losses, higher EF exceeding 99%, and necessitate smaller cooling systems than standard PMSMs. Among the evaluated models, the Type B PMVM with a gear ratio of 2.4 was identified as the most suitable option due to its exceptional overall performance, shortest stack length, lowest ripple torque, and enhanced torque per volume and mass for this specific application.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualisation, N.A.; methodology, M.J.K. and R.-J.W.; software, N.A.; validation, N.A., M.J.K., and R.-J.W.; formal analysis, N.A., M.J.K., and R.-J.W.; investigation, M.J.K. and R.-J.W.; writing—original draft preparation, N.A.; writing—review and editing, M.J.K. and R.-J.W.; visualisation, N.A., M.J K., and R.-J.W.; supervision, M.J.K. and R.-J.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

**Data Availability Statement:** The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by ABB Corporate Research in Sweden and by Stellenbosch University in South Africa.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### Nomenclature

Gr	Gear ratio
PF	Power factor
Q	Number of stator slots
ps	Stator winding pole-pair number
pr	Rotor pole-pair number
$F_{PM}(\theta)$	Permeance of the permanent magnets as a function of the position $\theta$
$\lambda( heta)$	Air-gap permeance of the stator slots as a function of the position $\theta$
$\theta_1, \theta_2$	Angles
<i>u</i> <sub>0</sub>	Permeability of the air
%	Air-gap length
$B_g(\theta)$	Air-gap flux density as a function of the position $\theta$
$A_g$	Area of air gap
F <sub>c</sub>	Stator MMF harmonic due to stator currents
$N_s$	Total number of turns in series per phase
$k_w$	Winding factor for the fundamental harmonic
7	Slot per pole per phase
EF	Efficiency
I	Current density
$M_{Fe}$	Iron mass
$M_{Cu}$	Copper mass
$M_{PM}$	Magnet mass

X <sub>n</sub>	Input vectors
l <sub>stk</sub>	Stack length
$A_s$	Slot area
k <sub>f</sub>	Copper filling factor
Å	Electrical loading
$D_i$	Inner diameter of the stator
$C_{sw}$	Copper loss per slot-wall area
Pcu	Active copper loss
h,b	Height and width of the slot, respectively
T <sub>ripple</sub>	Ripple torque
T <sub>max</sub>	Maximum torque
T <sub>min</sub>	Minimum torque
I <sub>d</sub> , I <sub>q</sub>	d-axis and q-axis currents, respectively
$L_d, \dot{L}_q$	d-axis and q-axis inductances, respectively
E	Voltage
п	Speed
Rs	Phase resistance

*le* End-winding length

## References

- 1. Kirtley, J.L.; Banerjee, A.; Englebretson, S. Motors for ship propulsion. *Proc. IEEE* 2015, *103*, 2320–2332. [CrossRef]
- 2. McCoy, T.J. Electric ships past, present, and future [technology leaders]. IEEE Electrif. Mag. 2015, 3, 4–11. [CrossRef]
- Bruzzese, C. A high absolute thrust permanent magnet linear actuator for direct drive of ship's steering gears: Concept and fem analysis. In Proceedings of the 2012 XXth International Conference on Electrical Machines, Marseille, France, 2–5 September 2012; pp. 556–562.
- 4. Sulligoi, G.; Vicenzutti, A.; Menis, R. All-electric ship design: From electrical propulsion to integrated electrical and electronic power systems. *IEEE Trans. Transp. Electrif.* **2016**, *2*, 507–521. [CrossRef]
- 5. Doerry, N.; Amy, J.; Krolick, C. History and the status of electric ship propulsion, integrated power systems, and future trends in the u.s. navy. *Proc. IEEE* 2015, *103*, 2243–2251. [CrossRef]
- 6. Thongam, J.S.; Tarbouchi, M.; Okou, A.F.; Bouchard, D.; Beguenane, R. Trends in naval ship propulsion drive motor technology. In Proceedings of the 2013 IEEE Electrical Power & Energy Conference, Halifax, NS, Canada, 21–23 August 2013; pp. 1–5.
- Chasiotis, I.D.; Karnavas, Y.L. A study on design and optimization of high power density pmsm for pod propulsion system. In Proceedings of the 2018 XIII International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM), Alexandroupoli, Greece, 3–6 September 2018; pp. 534–540.
- Arish, N.; Kamper, M.J.; Wang, R.-J. Electromagnetic Analysis of Flux Barrier U-Shaped Permanent Magnet Vernier Motor. In Proceedings of the 2021 International Aegean Conference on Electrical Machines and Power Electronics (ACEMP) & 2021 International Conference on Optimization of Electrical and Electronic Equipment (OPTIM), Brasov, Romania, 2–3 September 2021; pp. 198–204.
- Lateb, R.; Takorabet, N.; MeibodyTabar, F.; Mirzaian, A.; Enon, J.; Sarribouette, A. Performances comparison of induction motors and surface mounted pm motor for pod marine propulsion. In Proceedings of the Fourtieth IAS Annual Meeting, Conference Record of the 2005 Industry Applications Conference, Hong Kong, China, 2–6 October 2005; Volume 2, pp. 1342–1349.
- 10. Zeraoulia, M.; Benbouzid, M.E.H.; Diallo, D. Electric motor drive selection issues for hev propulsion systems: A comparative study. *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.* 2006, *55*, 1756–1764. [CrossRef]
- 11. Arish, N.; Marignetti, F.; YazdaniAsrami, M. Comparative study of a new structure of hts-bulk axial flux-switching machine. *Phys. C Supercond. Its Appl.* **2021**, *584*, 1353854. [CrossRef]
- 12. Arish, N.; Ardestani, M.; Hekmati, A. Optimum structure of rotor slot for a 20 kw hts induction motor. *Phys. C Supercond. Its Appl.* **2021**, *582*, 1353829. [CrossRef]
- 13. Arish, N. Leakage flux reduction of axial-flux switching pm machine by using hts-disk. *Phys. C Supercond. Its Appl.* **2021**, 590, 1353962. [CrossRef]
- 14. Yanamoto, T.; Izumi, M.; Umemoto, K.; Oryu, T.; Murase, Y.; Kawamura, M. Load test of 3-mw hts motor for ship propulsion. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2017, 27, 5204305. [CrossRef]
- Umemoto, K.; Aizawa, K.; Yokoyama, M.; Yoshikawa, K.; Kimura, Y.; Izumi, M.; Ohashi, K.; Numano, M.; Okumura, K.; Yamaguchi, M.; et al. Development of 1 mw-class hts motor for podded ship propulsion system. *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* 2010, 234, 032060. [CrossRef]
- 16. Gamble, B.; Snitchler, G.; MacDonald, T. Full power test of a 36.5 mw hts propulsion motor. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2011, 21, 1083–1088. [CrossRef]
- 17. Xu, L.; Liu, G.; Zhao, W.; Ji, J. Stator-excited vernier high-temperature superconducting machine for direct drive propulsion. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2016, 26, 5207505. [CrossRef]

- Qiao, M.; Zhu, Y.; Li, G.; Liang, J. Analysis and design of low-speed six-phase fractional-slot concentrated-winding pm motor applied to marine propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2015 IEEE International Conference on Mechatronics and Automation (ICMA), Beijing, China, 2–5 August 2015; pp. 681–686.
- Choi, H.Y.; Park, S.J.; Kong, Y.K.; Bin, J.G. Design of multi-phase permanent magnet motor for ship propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2009 International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems, Tokyo, Japan, 15–18 November 2009; IEEE: Piscataway, NJ, USA, 2019; pp. 1–4.
- Qiao, M.; Jiang, C.; Zhu, Y.; Li, G. Research on design method and electromagnetic vibration of six-phase fractional-slot concentrated-winding pm motor suitable for ship propulsion. *IEEE Access* 2016, *4*, 8535–8543. [CrossRef]
- Liu, Y.; Long, F.; Yin, J.; Lei, J.; Gao, Y. Optimal design of a halbach magnetized permanent magnet motor applied in electrical marine propulsion system. In Proceedings of the 2014 IEEE Conference and Expo Transportation Electrification Asia-Pacific (ITEC Asia-Pacific), Beijing, China, 31 August–3 September 2014; pp. 1–5.
- Guerroudj, C.; Saou, R.; Charpentier, J.F.; Boulayoune, A. Optimal design of a novel doubly salient permanent magnet motors for high power ship propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2018 XIII International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM), Alexandroupoli, Greece, 3–6 September 2018; pp. 2556–2562.
- Tao, X.; Yiguang, C.; Haotian, S.; Ning, X.; Weijie, H. Design and Analysis of Fractional Pole-Pair Permanent Magnet Vernier Machine Using Asymmetrical V-Shaped Permanent Magnet. In Proceedings of the 2023 26th International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS), Zhuhai, China, 5–8 November 2023; pp. 2529–2533.
- Yu, J.; Mi, W.; Cai, Z.; Song, Z.; Liu, S.; Liu, C. Design Principle Considering Structural Mutual Effects of Double-Stator V-Shape-PM Vernier Machines for Electric Ship Propulsion. *IEEE Trans. Transp. Electrif.* 2023, 10, 496–508. [CrossRef]
- Ruzojcic, B.; Zarko, D.; Ban, D. Interior permanent-magnet motor for ship propulsion, design and testing. In Proceedings of the 2009 13th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications, Barcelona, Spain, 8–10 September 2009; pp. 1–10.
- Bianchi, N.; Bolognani, S.; Ruzojcic, B. Design of a 1000 hp permanent magnet synchronous motor for ship propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2009 13th European Conference on Power Electronics and Applications, Barcelona, Spain, 8–10 September 2009; pp. 1–8.
- Cho, Y.U.; Lee, S.K.; Kang, G.H.; Kim, B.W. Design and verification of 200kw interior permanent magnet synchronous motor for ship propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE Conference on Electromagnetic Field Computation (CEFC), Miami, FL, USA, 13–16 November 2016; pp. 1–2.
- Caricchi, F.; Crescimbini, F.; Honrati, O. Modular axial-flux permanent-magnet motor for ship propulsion drives. *IEEE Trans.* Energy Convers. 1999, 14, 673–679. [CrossRef]
- Wu, W.; Xu, L.; Liu, B. Design, Analysis, and Optimization of Permanent Magnet Vernier Machines Considering Rotor Losses. Energies 2022, 15, 1502. [CrossRef]
- Arish, N.; Kamper, M.J.; Wang, R.-J. Performance of Large Low-Pole-Ratio Non-Overlap-Winding PM Vernier Motor. In Proceedings of the 2023 26th International Conference on Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS), Zhuhai, China, 5–8 November 2023; pp. 5255–5260.
- Li, W.; Ching, T.W.; Chau, K.T.; Lee, C.H.T. A superconducting vernier motor for electric ship propulsion. *IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond.* 2018, 28, 5201706. [CrossRef]
- Li, D.; Qu, R.; Lipo, T.A. High-power-factor vernier permanent-magnet machines. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 2014, 50, 3664–3674. [CrossRef]
- 33. Yang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhu, C.; Wang, X.; Zhang, R. Study of vernier permanent magnet machine with the dual rotor, toroidal winding and ferrite magnet on both sides of stator and rotor. *IET ELectric Power Appl.* **2020**, *14*, 2675–2686.
- Arish, N. Electromagnetic performance analysis of linear vernier machine with pm and hts-bulk. *Phys. C Supercond. Its Appl.* 2020, 579, 1353751. [CrossRef]
- Xu, L.; Liu, G.; Zhao, W.; Ji, J.; Zhou, H.; Zhao, W.; Jiang, T. Quantitative comparison of integral and fractional slot permanent magnet vernier motors. *IEEE Trans. Energy Convers.* 2015, 30, 1483–1495. [CrossRef]
- Arish, N.; Kamper, M.J.; Wang, R.-J. Performance Comparison of 5-MW Normal and Dual Three-Phase PM Vernier Motors for Ship Propulsion. In Proceedings of the 2023 International Aegean Conference on Electrical Machines and Power Electronics (ACEMP) and 2023 International Conference on Optimization of Electrical and Electronic Equipment (OPTIM), Istanbul, Turkiye, 1–2 September 2023; pp. 1–8.
- Arish, N.; Kamper, M.J.; Wang, R.-J.; Dramat, A.Z.; Phumudzo, M. Design and Optimization of Fractional Slot Concentrated Winding PM Vernier Motor. In Proceedings of the 32nd Southern African Universities Power Engineering Conference (SAUPEC), Stellenbosch, South Africa, 24–25 January 2024; pp. 1–6.
- Li, D.; Zou, T.; Qu, R.; Jiang, D. Analysis of fractional-slot concentrated winding PM vernier machines with regular open-slot stators. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl.* 2018, 54, 1320–1330. [CrossRef]
- Yu, Y.; Pei, Y.; Chai, F. Doppelbauer, M. Analysis of back EMF harmonics influenced by slot–pole combinations in permanent magnet vernier in-wheel motors. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2023, 70, 4461–4471.
- 40. Tlali, P.; Wang, R.J. Prospect of PM vernier machine for wind power application. Energies 2022, 15, 4912. [CrossRef]
- Arish, N.; Teymoori, V.; Dube, L.; Kamper, M.J.; Wang, R.-J.; Dramat, A.Z.; Phumudzo, M. Comparative Analysis of PM and Non-PM Design Options for Small-Scale Wind Generators. In Proceedings of the 32nd Southern African Universities Power Engineering Conference (SAUPEC), Stellenbosch, South Africa, 24–25 January 2024; pp. 1–6.

- 42. Lipo, T.A.; Kim, B. Operation and Design Principles of a PM Vernier Motor. IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl. 2014, 50, 3656–3663.
- Vadamodala, L.; Das, S.; Gundogmus, O.; Husain, T.; Harasis, S.; Chowdhury, S.; Sozer, Y.; Venegas, F.; Colvincenzo, D. Comparison of Electrical Machine Types for Electrically Driven Engine Accessories Using Multiphysics Simulation Tools. In Proceedings of the 2018 IEEE Energy Conversion Congress and Exposition (ECCE), Portland, OR, USA, 23–27 September 2018; pp. 5223–5229. [CrossRef]
- 44. Hanninen, S.; Heideman, T.; Tenhunen, E. Development of Azipod Propulsion for High Power Arctic Offshore Vessels. In Proceedings of the 2012 OTC Arctic Technology Conference, Houston, TX, USA, 3–5 December 2012; pp. 1–13.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.