

Supplementary information

Table S1. Selected Population pharmacokinetic models for infliximab in ulcerative colitis/inflammatory bowel diseases patients.

Model	Structural PK model				Covariate model	IIV/IOV model	Residual error model	Specified implementation			
	Parameter estimates (RSE)				Parameter estimate (RSE)	CV (RSE)	Type: parameter estimate (RSE)	Full model code	ODEs/ model schematic	Covariate relationships	Random effects
<b>Ternant-model (2008)</b>	<b>2-CMT model, first order elimination</b>							NO	NO	YES	YES
Article	CL (L/h)	V1 (L)	V2 (L)	Q (L/h)							
Ternant (2008) <sup>1</sup>	ADA-: 0.012 (7.9%)	Smen: 2.3 (18.1%)	1.0 (22.6%)	0.0054 (34.5)	NA, see structural model		Additive: 1.04 mg/L (12.0%)				
	ADA+: 0.032 (10.9%)	women: 1.1 (31.4%)				CL ADA-: 22.5%					
		WT: 1.7 (19.6%)				CL ADA+: 22.7%					
						V1 <sub>men</sub> : 14.1%					
						V1 <sub>women</sub> : 11.3%					
						V1 WT: 1.719.3%					
						V2: 15%					
						Q: 10%					
<b>Fasanmade-model (2009)</b>	<b>2-CMT model, first order elimination</b>							NO	NO	YES	YES
Article	CL (L/day)	V1 (L)	V2 (L)	Q (L/day)							
Fasanmade (2009) <sup>2</sup>	0.407 L/day (2.5%)	3.29 L (2.1%)	4.13 L (3.9%)	7.14 L/day (6.8%)		CV (RSE)	Proportional error: 40.30% (2.6%)				
					ALB~CL: -1.54 (2.5%)	CL: 37.68% (8.5%)	Additive error: 0.0413 µg/L (3.5%)				

					ADA~CL: 0.471 (22.5%) SEX <sub>Female</sub> ~CL: - 0.236 (11.9%) WT~V1: 0.538 (13.5%) SEX <sub>Female</sub> ~V1: - 0.137 (23.2%)	V1: 22.11 (16.6%)					
Vande Casteele (2019) <sup>3</sup>	<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009)				<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009)	<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009)	<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009)	NO	NO		
Kimura (2019) <sup>4</sup>	<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009, 2011)				<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009, 2011)	<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009, 2011)	<i>Fasanmade</i> (2009, 2011)	NO	YES		
Kimura (2020) <sup>5</sup>	CD: 0.353 (0.212– 0.442)  UC: 0.450 (0.223– 0.811)	CD: 2.97 (1.96– 5.00)  UC: 2.25 (1.60– 3.22)	CD: 0.137 (0.0944– 0.273)  UC: 3.71 (3.29– 4.06)	CD: 1.22 (1.10–1.51)	NA	NA	NA	NO	NO		
<b>Fasanmade-model (2011, CD)</b> <b>2-CMT model, first order elimination</b>								NO	NO	YES	YES
<i>Article</i>	<i>CL</i> (mL/kg/day)	<i>V1</i> (mL/kg)	<i>V2</i> (mL/kg)	<i>Q</i> (mL/kg/day)							
<i>Fasanmade</i> (2011) <sup>6</sup>	5.42 (2.0)	52.4 (0.9)	19.6 (4.2)	2.26 (9.9)	ALB~CL: –0.855 (13.5%) ADA~CL: 0.291 (15.2%)  IMM~CL: –0.137 (20.5%) WT~CL: –0.313 (14.6%) WT~V1: –0.233 (12.3%)	CL: 30.7% (8.1%) V1: 12.6% (19.2%)  V2: 55.3% (18.5%)	Proportional error: 29.2% (2.7) Additive error: 0.371 µg/mL (18.3%)				



Dubinsky (2017) <sup>11</sup>	Xu (2012)				Xu (2012)	Xu (2012)	Xu (2012)	NO	NO
Wojciechowski (2017) <sup>12</sup>	Xu (2012)				Xu (2012)	Xu (2012)	Xu (2012)	NO	
Eser (2018) <sup>13</sup>								NO	NO
Model 1	0.246 (10.1%)	4 (20.1%)	2.34 (28.8%)	0.07 (20.5%)	WT~CL: 1.59 (20.1%) ALB~CL: -1.45 (27.7%) ADA~CL: 0.44 (23%) WT~V1: 2.16 (28.6%) WT~V2: NE WT~Q: NE	CL: 33.02% (33.1%) V1: 40.12% (58.7%) V2: 122.07% (40.3%) Q: NE	Proportional: 53.10% (5.1%)		
Model 2	0.28 (NE)	3.16 (NE)	0.477 (NE)	0.04 (NE)	WT~CL: 1.56 (NE) ALB~CL: -0.763 (NE) ADA~CL: 0.76 (NE) WT~V1: 2.25 (NE) WT~V2: NE WT~Q: NE	CL: 24.04% (NE) V1: 56.66% (NE) V2: 81.30% (NE) Q: NE	Proportional: 54.40% (NE)		
Model 3	0.243 (7.7%)	4.04 (13.2%)	3.02 (52.3%)	0.03 (24.4%)	WT~CL: 0.921 (29%) ALB~CL: -1.24 (28.1%) ADA~CL: 1.11 (13.5%) WT~V1: 1.12(41.3%) WT~V2: NE WT~Q: NE	CL:25.10% (47.5%) V1: 49.80% (32.6%) V2: 92.47% (68.1%) Q: NE	Proportional: 56.70% (4.7%)		

Dubinsky (2022) <sup>14</sup>	Xu (2012)				Xu (2012)	Xu (2012)	Xu (2012)	NO	NO		
<b>Dotan-model (2014)</b>	<b>2-CMT model, first order elimination</b>							NO	NO	YES	YES
<i>Article</i>	<i>CL (L/day)</i>	<i>V1 (L)</i>	<i>V2 (L)</i>	<i>Q (L/day)</i>							
Dotan (2014) <sup>15</sup>	0.381 (0.5%)	2.37 (0.2%)	1.37 (0.4%)	0.122 (0.4%)	WT~CL: 0.612 (2.1%) ALB~CL: -1.39 (1.5%) ADA~CL: 1.59 (13%) WT~V1: 0.696 (1.9%) WT~Q: 1.15 (3.6%) WT~V2: 0.604 (10.2%)	CL: 13.45 (4%) V1: 42.07 (1.5%) V2: 32.40 (1.7%) Q: 85.15 (1.2%)	NR				
<b>Buurman-model (2015)</b>	<b>2-CMT model, first order elimination</b>							NO	NO	YES	NO
<i>Article</i>	<i>CL (L/day)</i>	<i>V1 (L)</i>	<i>V2 (L)</i>	<i>Q (L/day)</i>							
Buurman (2015) <sup>16</sup>	0.199 (6%)	4.94 (10%)	3.13 (32%)	0.0618 (23%)	Period~CL: +40% (11%) ADA~CL: +72% (35%) SEX <sub>male</sub> ~CL: +35% (34%) HBI~V1: -3.6% (28%)	CL: 18% (18%) V1: 17.1% (31%)	Proportional: 21.7% (30%) Additional: 0.98 mg/L (18%)				
<b>Passot-model (2016)</b>	<b>1-CMT model, first order elimination</b>							NO	NO	YES	YES
<i>Article</i>	<i>CL (L/day)</i>	<i>V1 (L)</i>									
Passot (2016) <sup>17</sup>	0.23 (4%)	5.2 (4%)			SEX <sub>Male</sub> ~V: 0.209 (45%) Age <sub>&lt;=15</sub> ~V: -0.396 (37%)	V: 0.224 (12%) CL: 0.304 (7%)	Proportional: 0.223 (7%) Additional: 0.72 mg/L (19%)				

CD~V: 0.399  
(17%)  
WT~CL: 0.603  
(18%)  
SEX<sub>Male</sub>~CL: 0.181  
(30%)  
CD on CL: 0.384  
(15%)  
UC~CL: 0.472  
(21%)  
RA~CL: 0.392  
(32%)  
MTX~CL: -0.336  
(52%)

Brandse-model (2017)	2-CMT model, first order elimination								NO	N	NO	NO
Article	CL (L/day)	V1 (L)	V2 (L)	Q (L/day)								
Brandse (2017) <sup>18</sup>	0.358	4.72	2.4	0.0697	WT~CL: 0.523	CL: 38.1%	NR					
					ALB~CL: -1.38	V1: 68.6%						
					Previous exposure ~CL: 0.0521	V2: 71.7%						
					ADA~CL: 0.601	Q: 58.1%						
					WT~V <sub>c</sub> : 0.473							
					WT~Q: 0.523							
					WT~V <sub>p</sub> : 0.473							
Kevans-model (2018)	2-CMT model, time varying CL								NO	NO	NO	NO
Article	CL (L/day)	V1 (L)	V2 (L)	Q (L/day)								
Kevans (2018) <sup>19</sup>	0.368 (0.5%)	3.3 (0.2%)	3.42 (0.5%)	0.308 (0.1%)	rate constant of CL increase with ADA: 0.138 (25.5%)	CL: 50.10% (1.2%)	Proportional error: 28.5% (0.3%)					

Time dependent CL:  
0.105 (0.4%)

WT~CL: 0.709 (0%)  
V1: 35.92% (0.8%)  
ALB~CL: -0.445 (2.6%)  
V2: 75.70% (0.8%)  
ADA~CL: -0.0373 (4.9%)  
Q: 55.14% (0.4%)  
WT~V1: 0.64 (0%)  
WT~V2: 0.991 (0%)  
WT~Q: 1.52 (0%)

Petitcollin-model (2019)	1-CMT model, time varying CL			logit-risk model of immunization				NO	NO	YES	YES
Article	CL <sub>base</sub> (L/day)	Slope (L/day/year)	V1 (L)	CLvar 1 FIX	UC~CL: 0.377 (29%)	V: 25.4% (15%)	Proportional: 20.6% (6%)				
Petitcollin (2019) <sup>20</sup>	0.273 (7%)	0.0348 (9%)	11.5 (5%)	intercept: 10.9 (5%) Beta: 0.0526 (21%)	Dose~CL: -0.267 (22%) CRP~CL: 0.0654 (15%) Mayo score~CL: 0.0934 (30%) AZA~CL: 0.849 (2%) WT~Slope: 31.1 (31%)	CL <sub>base</sub> : 44.3% (10%) $\beta_{dose}$ : 33.3% (19%) slope: 32% (26%) beta: 102% (17%) CL <sub>var</sub> : 44.4% (11%)	Additional: 0.446 ug/mL (22%)				
Berends-model (2019)	2-CMT model, first order elimination				TMDD model			YES	YES	YES	YES
Article	CL (L/day)	V1 (L)	V2 (L)	Q (L/day)	Bmax : 0.38 pM (20%) Bmax : 19.8 pg/mL (-) Kss : 14 nM (24%)	ADA~CL: 2.15 (12%) ALB~CL: -1.13 (36%)	CL: 29.2% (19%) V1: 22.7% (16%) V2: 74.2% (19%)	Proportional IFX: 0.210 (13%) Proportional TNF: 0.406 (9%)			
Berends (2019) <sup>21</sup>	0.404 (9.9%)	3.18 (9.1%)	1.64 (6.3%)	0.344 (20%)							





Hanzel (2021) <sup>24</sup>	0.355 (2%)	3.10 (3%)	1.93 (2%)	0.598 (4%)	0.273 (8%)	79.1 (3%)	ALB~CL: -0.826 (11%) BW~CL: 0.666 (15%) ADA~CL: 1,39 (3%) BW~V1: 0.385 (34%) BW~V2: 1.08 (9%)  BW~Q: 1.26 (15%)	CL: 27.7% (14%) V1: 21.4% (21%) ka 48.5% (45%) F1: 16.4% (15%) CL- V1:0.028% (31.4%) CL-ka: - 0.046% (53.2%) CL-F1: - 0.013% (42.2%) V1-ka: - 0.069% (47%) V1-F1: 0.00008% (744%) ka-F1: 0.003% (413%) IOV CL: 17.5% (6%)	Proportional: 0.102 (2%) Additional: 1.66 (3%) ug/mL					
Grisic-model (2021)	2-CMT model, first order elimination										NO	YES	YES	YES
	CL (L/h)	V1 (L)	V2 (L)	Q (L/h)										
Grisic (2021) <sup>25</sup>	0.0109 (3%)	3.67 (-)	0.956 (11%)	0.0067 (-)			ALB~CL: -1.17 (21%) ADA~CL: 0.972 (4%) IMM~CL: 0.847 (5%) WT~CL:0.356 (41%)	CL: 34.9% (8%) V1: 12.8% (-)  V2: 55.3% (-)	Proportional error: 24% (14%) Additive error (SD): 0.478 (21%) µg/mL					

ADA: Anti-drug antibodies; ADA<sub>cat</sub>: Categorical ADA; Add: additional residual variability; ALB: Albumin concentrations; AZA: Azathioprine; B<sub>maxTNF</sub>: Baseline TNF concentration; BW: Body weight; CD: Crohn's disease; CL: Clearance; CL<sub>base</sub>: Baseline clearance; CL<sub>time</sub>: Time dependant CL; CMT: Compartment; CORT: Corticosteroids; CRP: C-Reactive protein; F: Bioavailability; FFM: Free fat mass; HBI: Harvey-Bradshaw index; IFX: Infliximab; IIV: Interindividual variability; IMM: Immunomodulators; IOV: Interoccasion variability; ka: Absorption rate constant; K<sub>ss,TNF-IFX</sub>: Steady-state equilibrium constant; K<sub>deg,TNF</sub>: Degradation constant TNF receptor; ke: elimination rate constant; ke(p),TNF-IFX: Internalisation rate complex; MTX: Methotrexate; NR: Not reported; ODE: Ordinary differential equation; Prop: Proportional residual variability; Q: Inter-compartmental clearance; V1: Central volume of distribution; V2: Peripheral volume of distribution; RA: Rheumatoid arthritis; s.c.: subcutaneous; SD: Standard deviation; TMDD: Target mediated drug disposition; TNF: Tumour necrosis factor; UC: Ulcerative colitis; WT: Weight; y.o.: Years old.

## References

1. Ternant, D. *et al.* Infliximab Pharmacokinetics in Inflammatory Bowel Disease Patients. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring* **30**, (2008).
2. Fasanmade, A. A. *et al.* Population pharmacokinetic analysis of infliximab in patients with ulcerative colitis. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* **65**, 1211–1228 (2009).
3. vande Casteele, N., Jeyarajah, J., Jairath, V., Feagan, B. G. & Sandborn, W. J. Infliximab Exposure-Response Relationship and Thresholds Associated With Endoscopic Healing in Patients With Ulcerative Colitis. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* **17**, 1814-1821.e1 (2019).
4. Kimura, K., Yoshida, A., Katagiri, F., Takayanagi, R. & Yamada, Y. Prediction of clinical effects of infliximab administered for inflammatory bowel disease based on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling. *Biopharmaceutics & Drug Disposition* **40**, 250–261 (2019).
5. Kimura, K., Yoshida, A., Katagiri, F., Takayanagi, R. & Yamada, Y. Prediction of treatment failure during infliximab induction therapy in inflammatory bowel disease patients based on pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling. *European Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences* **150**, 105317 (2020).
6. Fasanmade, A. A., Adedokun, O. J., Blank, M., Zhou, H. & Davis, H. M. Pharmacokinetic Properties of Infliximab in Children and Adults with Crohn's Disease: A Retrospective Analysis of Data from 2 Phase III Clinical Trials. *Clinical Therapeutics* **33**, 946–964 (2011).
7. Santacana, E. *et al.* External evaluation of population pharmacokinetic models of infliximab in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Therapeutic Drug Monitoring* **40**, 120–129 (2018).

8. Gil Candel, M. *et al.* Usefulness of population pharmacokinetics to optimize the dosage regimen of infliximab in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Revista espanola de enfermedades digestivas : organo oficial de la Sociedad Espanola de Patologia Digestiva* **112**, 590–597 (2020).
9. Santacana Juncosa, E. *et al.* Bayes-based dosing of infliximab in inflammatory bowel diseases: Short-term efficacy. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1–12 (2020) doi:10.1111/bcp.14410.
10. Bauman, L. E. *et al.* Improved Population Pharmacokinetic Model for Predicting Optimized Infliximab Exposure in Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* **26**, 429–439 (2020).
11. Dubinsky, M. C., Phan, B. L., Singh, N., Rabizadeh, S. & Mould, D. R. Pharmacokinetic Dashboard-Recommended Dosing Is Different than Standard of Care Dosing in Infliximab-Treated Pediatric IBD Patients. *AAPS Journal* **19**, 215–222 (2017).
12. Wojciechowski, J., Upton, R. N., Mould, D. R., Wiese, M. D. & Foster, D. J. R. Infliximab Maintenance Dosing in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: an Example for In Silico Assessment of Adaptive Dosing Strategies. *The AAPS Journal* **19**, 1136–1147 (2017).
13. Eser, A. *et al.* Prediction of Individual Serum Infliximab Concentrations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease by a Bayesian Dashboard System. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* **58**, 790–802 (2018).
14. Dubinsky, M. C. *et al.* Dashboard-Driven Accelerated Infliximab Induction Dosing Increases Infliximab Durability and Reduces Immunogenicity. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* (2022) doi:10.1093/IBD/IZAB285.
15. Dotan, I. *et al.* Patient factors that increase infliximab clearance and shorten half-life in inflammatory bowel disease: A population pharmacokinetic study. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* **20**, 2247–2259 (2014).
16. Buurman, D. J., Maurer, J. M., Keizer, R. J., Kosterink, J. G. W. & Dijkstra, G. Population pharmacokinetics of infliximab in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: Potential implications for dosing in clinical practice. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **42**, 529–539 (2015).
17. Passot, C. *et al.* The underlying inflammatory chronic disease influences infliximab pharmacokinetics. *MAbs* **8**, 1407–1416 (2016).
18. Brandse, J. F. *et al.* A Real-life Population Pharmacokinetic Study Reveals Factors Associated with Clearance and Immunogenicity of Infliximab in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* **23**, 650–660 (2017).

19. Kevans, D., Murthy, S., Mould, D. R. & Silverberg, M. S. Accelerated clearance of infliximab is associated with treatment failure in patients with corticosteroid-refractory acute ulcerative colitis. *Journal of Crohn's and Colitis* **12**, 662–669 (2018).
20. Petitcollin, A. *et al.* Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Infliximab Influence the Rate of Relapse After De-Escalation in Adults With Inflammatory Bowel Diseases. *Clinical Pharmacology and Therapeutics* **106**, 605–615 (2019).
21. Berends, S. E. *et al.* Tumor necrosis factor-mediated disposition of infliximab in ulcerative colitis patients. *Journal of Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamics* **46**, 543 (2019).
22. Dreesen, E. *et al.* Optimising infliximab induction dosing for patients with ulcerative colitis. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* **85**, 782–795 (2019).
23. Faelens, R. *et al.* Model-informed precision dosing during infliximab induction therapy reduces variability in exposure and endoscopic improvement between patients with ulcerative colitis. *Pharmaceutics* **13**, (2021).
24. Hanzel, J., Bukkems, L. H., Gecse, K. B., D'Haens, G. R. & Mathôt, R. A. A. Population pharmacokinetics of subcutaneous infliximab CT-P13 in Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **54**, 1309–1319 (2021).
25. Griscic, A. M., Eser, A., Huisinga, W., Reinisch, W. & Kloft, C. Quantitative relationship between infliximab exposure and inhibition of C-reactive protein synthesis to support inflammatory bowel disease management. *British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* **87**, 2374–2384 (2021).