



Article Alteration of Anticancer and Protein-Binding Properties of Gold(I) Alkynyl by Phenolic Schiff Bases Moieties

Bandar A. Babgi ^{1,*,†}, Jalal Alsayari ^{1,†}, Hana M. Alenezi ¹, Magda H. Abdellatif ², Naser E. Eltayeb ³, Abdul-Hamid M. Emwas ⁴, Mariusz Jaremko ^{5,*} and Mostafa A. Hussien ^{1,6}

- ¹ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 80203, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia; jalal.alsayari@gmail.com (J.A.); hana.tayma@hotmail.com (H.M.A.); mostafa alv2@vahoo.com (M.A.H.)
- ² Chemistry Department, Deanship of Scientific Research, College of Sciences, Taif University, Al-Haweiah, P.O. Box 11099, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia; m.hasan@tu.edu.sa
- ³ Department of Chemistry, College of Science and Arts, King Abdulaziz University, P.O. Box 344, Rabigh 21911, Saudi Arabia; nasertaha90@gmail.com
- ⁴ Core Labs, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), P.O. Box 4700, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia; abdelhamid.emwas@kaust.edu.sa
- ⁵ Biological and Environmental Science and Engineering (BESE), King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST), P.O. Box 4700, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia
- ⁶ Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Port Said University, Port Said 42521, Egypt
- * Correspondence: bbabgi@kau.edu.sa (B.A.B.); Mariusz.jaremko@kaust.edu.sa (M.J.)
- + These authors contributed equally.

Abstract: A set of five gold complexes with the general formula $Au(PR_3)(C \equiv C-C_6H_4-4-R')$ (R = PPh₃, $R' = -CHO(1), R = PCy_3, R' = -CHO(2), R = PPh_3, R' = -N=CH-C_6H_4-2-OH(3), R = PPh_3, R' = N=CH-C_6H_4-2-OH(3), R = PPh_3, R' = N=CH-C_6H_4-2-OH(3), R' = N=CH-C_6H_4-2-OH(3), R' = N=CH-C_6H_4-2-OH(3), R' = N=CH-C_6H_4 R' = -N = CH - C_6H_4 - 4 - OH(4)$, $R = PCy_3$, $R' = -N = CH - C_6H_4 - 2 - OH(5)$) were synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, ¹H-NMR spectroscopy, ³¹P-NMR spectroscopy, and mass spectrometry. The structures of complexes 2 and 5 were determined by X-ray crystallography. The effects of the structural modifications on the protein binding affinities and anticancer activities of the five gold complexes were assessed. Fluorescence quenching experiments to assess binding to human serum albumin (HSA) revealed that the Schiff base complexes (3, 4, and 5) had binding constants that were superior to their parent aldehyde complexes and highlighted the position of the hydroxy group because complex 4 (4-hydroxy) had a binding constant 6400 times higher than complex 3 (2-hydroxy). The anticancer activities of the complexes against the OVCAR-3 (ovarian carcinoma) and HOP-62 (non-small-cell lung) cancer cell lines showed that the Schiff bases (3-5) were more cytotoxic than the aldehyde-containing complexes (1 and 2). Notably, compound 4 had cytotoxic activity comparable to that of cisplatin against OVCAR-3, demonstrating the significance of the para position for the hydroxy group. Molecular docking studies against the enzyme thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) and human serum albumin were conducted, with docking scores in good agreement with the experimental data. The current study highlights how small structural modifications can alter physiochemical and anticancer properties. Moreover, this simple design strategy using the aldehyde group can generate extensive opportunities to explore new gold(I)-based anticancer drugs via condensation, cyclization, or nucleophilic addition reactions of the aldehyde.

Keywords: gold(I); phosphine; HSA-binding; molecular docking; anticancer properties

1. Introduction

Chemotherapy was established in the 1940s, and most of the early chemotherapeutic agents were organic. In 1978, the discovery of the anticancer activities of cisplatin expanded research into the metal complexes domain [1]. Since then, other platinum complexes analogous to cisplatin have been tested and approved clinically in the treatment of various types of cancers [2]. Despite the efficiency of platinum-based chemotherapeutic agents, interest



Citation: Babgi, B.A.; Alsayari, J.; Alenezi, H.M.; Abdellatif, M.H.; Eltayeb, N.E.; Emwas, A.-H.M.; Jaremko, M.; Hussien, M.A. Alteration of Anticancer and Protein-Binding Properties of Gold(I) Alkynyl by Phenolic Schiff Bases Moieties. *Pharmaceutics* **2021**, *13*, 461. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/pharmaceutics13040461

Academic Editors: Elena Cerrada and Vanesa Fernández-Moreira

Received: 3 March 2021 Accepted: 18 March 2021 Published: 29 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). in other metal complexes has been growing in order to overcome some of the negative side effects of the platinum complexes [3]. In this context, gold(I)-based complexes have emerged as promising anticancer candidates [4–9]. Several gold(I) complexes have been examined for anti-tumor activity in vitro and in vivo [10–12]. In particular, numerous auranofin analogues with variations in the phosphine and thiolate ligands have been screened (Figure 1) [13]. The labile nature of the thiolate and their reducing capability has directed interest toward σ -bonded alkynyl ligands. Studies on complexes with a 4-ethynylanisole ligand and variant phosphine ligands revealed no strong differences in cytotoxicity (minimum cytotoxic effect of the phosphine ligands). Application of some of these complexes in vivo in mice was found to be challenging due to the low solubility of the complexes, which required a formulation strategy. Gold(I) complexes of the type Au(C \equiv CR)(PR₃) have been reported to exhibit very strong inhibition of the enzyme thioredoxin reductase (TrxR) and showed high antiproliferative activity in tumor cells [14,15]. TrxR is a homo-dimeric protein classified as a glutathione reductase-like enzyme. It facilitates the NADPH-dependent reduction of thioredoxin (Trx) disulfide and many other oxidized cell constituents. The enzyme is involved in several processes, including protecting cells from oxidative stress, which is a major cause of DNA damage. The structural flexibilities of the alkynyl and phosphine ligands have allowed fine-tuning of physiochemical and pharmacological properties. Thus, different types of gold-based drugs have been reported in order to identify the appropriate ligand combinations with improved hydrophilic/lipophilic properties, cytotoxicity, and selectivity [16]. For example, the use of a water-soluble phosphine ligand (1,3,5-triaza-7-phosphaadamantane) improves the hydrophilic properties of gold complexes [8]. The strategy of our current work is to expand the structural flexibility of the alkynyl by employing the 4-ethynylbenzaldehyde with its very reactive aldehyde group. The aldehyde can undergo a range of condensation and cycloaddition reactions to generate well-known pharmacological scaffolds. The synthesis of Au(PR₃)(C=C-C₆H₄-4-CHO) was achieved, and the aldehyde-containing complexes were refluxed with aminophenols to generate Schiff bases. The complexes were then tested against two cancer cell lines, and their protein-binding activities to human serum albumin (HSA) were assessed. Molecular docking was undertaken to highlight the structural aspects of the complexes with HSA and thioredoxin reductase (TrxR). Molecular docking is a powerful tool in computer-assisted drug design with the goal to estimate the major binding modes of the drug with the 3D structure of the protein. Docking can be used to rationalize experimental findings, suggest structural-property correlations, and suggest inhabitation sites of the target, which are important for planning further optimization [17].



Figure 1. Auranofin is an anti-rheumatic agent with favorable anticancer activity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

All solvents were obtained commercially and dried over molecular sieves (A4) before use. The term "petrol" refers to a fraction of petroleum ether with a boiling range of 40–60 °C. Chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 particle sizes 0.063–0.200 mm (70–mesh ASTM) or basic ungraded alumina. Potassium tert-butoxide, p-aminophenol, and o-aminophenol were purchased commercially and used as received. 4-Ethynylbenzaldehyde [18], AuCl(PCy₃) [19], AuCl(PPh₃) [20], and Au(C=C-C₆H₄-4-CHO)(PPh₃) (1) [21] were prepared according to published procedures.

2.2. Methods and Instrumentation

All reactions were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques, under a nitrogen atmosphere, unless otherwise stated. Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded using solid samples on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100 instrument (Shelton, CT, USA); peaks are reported in cm⁻¹. Steady state emission spectra were recorded using Shimadzu RF 5301 PC spectrofluorometer (Columbia, Maryland, USA) using a rectangular quartz cell. High-resolution electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectra were recorded using an Agilent Q-TOF 6520 instrument (Santa Clara, CA, USA); all mass spectrometry data are reported as m/z. ¹H NMR (600 MHz) and ³¹P NMR (242 MHz) spectra were collected in CDCl₃ using a Bruker Avance 600 MHz spectrometer furnished with a BBO probe (BrukerBioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany); atom labeling follows the numbering in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Numbering Scheme for NMR Spectral Assignment.

2.3. Synthesis and Characterizations

Synthesis of OHC-4-C₆H₄-C=C-Au-PCy₃ (2): AuCl(PCy₃) (0.357 g, 0.696 mmol) and Bu^tOK (0.592 g, 5.281 mmol) were added to a solution of 4-ethynylbenzaldehyde (0.121 g, 0.931 mmol) in 25 mL methanol and 10 mL chloroform under N₂ atmosphere, and the mixture was incubated overnight with stirring. The solvent was reduced under pressure and aqueous methanol (70%) was added, producing a yellowish precipitation. The precipitation was collected by filtration, affording 2 as a pale-yellow powder (0.347, 61%). HR ESI MS [C₂₁H₃₈AuP]: Calcd. 518.2377, found 518.2247. Elemental analysis for C₂₇H₄₄AuOP, Calcd (found): C, 53.47 (53.21) and H, 6.31 (6.07)%. IR (solid): 2108 cm⁻¹ v (C=C), 1691 cm⁻¹ v (C=O). 1H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 10.01 (s, 1H, H₃), 7.82 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz H₂), 7.60 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₁), 1.7–2.10 (m, 33H, PCy₃).

Synthesis of HO–2–C₆H₄–N=C–4-C₆H₄-C≡C–Au-PPh₃ (3): *O*-aminophenol (0.022 g, 0.202 mmol) and MgSO₄ (0.279 g, 2.321 mmol) were stirred with a solution of compound 1 (0.099 g, 0.168 mmol) in a mixture of 20 mL methanol and 10 mL chloroform under N₂ atmosphere at reflux for 5 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness, and 20 mL CHCl₃ was added. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was reduced to about 10 mL. A 30 mL portion of methanol was added, leading to a precipitation. The precipitation was collected by filtration and dried, offering 3 as a pale-yellow powder (0.050 g, 43%). HR ESI MS [C₃₃H₂₆AuNOP]: Calcd. 680.1412, found 680.1415. Elemental analysis for C₃₃H₂₅AuNOP, Calcd (found): C, 58.33 (57.91); H, 3.71 (3.36) and N, 2.06 (1.76)%. IR (solid): 3375 cm⁻¹ v (O–H), 2113 cm⁻¹ v (C≡C), 1621 cm⁻¹ v (C=N). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.87 (s, 1H, OH), 8.59 (s, 1H, H₃), 7.86 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₂), 7.57–7.42 (m, 15H, PPh₃), 7.35 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₁), 7.12 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 8 Hz, H₇), 6.99 and 6.74 (2 t, 2H, J_{HH} = 8 Hz, H₄ and H₆), 6.80 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 8 Hz, H₅). ³¹P NMR: δ 41.6 (s, PPh₃).

Synthesis of HO–4–C₆H₄–N=C–4-C₆H₄-C≡C–Au-PPh₃ (4): *P*-aminophenol (0.024 g, 0.220 mmol) and MgSO₄ (0.182 g, 1.513 mmol) were stirred with a solution of compound 1 (0.126 g, 0.214 mmol) in a mixture of 20 mL methanol and 10 mL chloroform under N₂ atmosphere at reflux for 5 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and 20 mL CHCl₃ was added. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was reduced to about 10 mL. A 30 mL portion of methanol was added, leading to a precipitation. The precipitation was collected by filtration and dried, offering 4 as a pale-yellow powder (0.140 g, 96%). HR ESI MS [C₃₃H₂₆AuNOP]: Calcd. 680.1412, found 680.1415. Elemental analysis for

C₃₃H₂₅AuNOP, Calcd (found): C, 58.33 (58.04); H, 3.71 (3.49) and N, 2.06 (1.82)%. IR (solid): 3360 cm⁻¹ ν (O-H), 2140 cm⁻¹ ν (C≡C), 1620 cm⁻¹ ν (C=N). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.33 (s, 1H, H₃), 7.69 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₂), 7.49 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₁), 7.44–7.36 (m, 16H, OH and PPh₃), 7.05 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₄), 6.60 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₅). ³¹P NMR: δ 42.12 (s, PPh₃).

Synthesis of HO–2–C₆H₄–N=C–4-C₆H₄-C≡C–Au-PCy₃ (5): *O*-aminophenol (0.082 g, 0.752 mmol) and MgSO₄ (0.419 g, 3.481 mmol) were stirred with a solution of compound 2 (0.302 g, 0.501 mmol) in a mixture of 20 mL methanol and 10 mL chloroform under N₂ atmosphere at reflux for 5 h. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and 20 mL CHCl₃ was added. The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was reduced to about 10 mL. A 30 mL portion of methanol was added, leading to a precipitation. The precipitation was collected by filtration and dried, offering 5 as a pale-yellow powder (0.302 g, 57%). HR ESI MS [C₃₃H₄₄AuNOP]: Calcd. 698.2829, found 698.2822. Elemental analysis for C₃₃H₄₃AuNOP, Calcd (found): C, 56.81 (56.48); H, 6.21 (5.87) and N, 2.01 (1.64)%. IR (solid): 3411 cm⁻¹ v (O–H), 2108 cm⁻¹ v (C≡C), 1622 cm⁻¹ v (C=N). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.64 (s, 1H, H₃), 7.79 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₂), 7.58 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₁), 7.29 (1H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₇), 7.17 and 6.89 (2 t, 2H, J_{HH} = 9 Hz, H₄ and H₆), 6.99 (d, 1H, H₅), 1.7–2.10 (m, 33H, PCy₃).

2.4. Crystal Structure Determination

The sample crystals of 2 and 5 were crystallized in a chloroform–methanol mixture under slow evaporation. Crystals were mounted on Bruker D8 Quest Diffractometer, equipped with micro-focused Mo K α radiation for data collection. Data were collected using APEX3 software (SAINT and SADBAS, 2016, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA) [22] at 296 K with Mo K α radiation. The structure solution was performed using SHELXS–2014 [23] and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods on F2 using SHELXL–2014 [23]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically by full-matrix least-squares methods. The figures were generated through APEX3 software [22]. All hydrogen atoms were positioned geometrically and treated as riding atoms with C–H = 0.93 Å and Uiso(H) = 1.2 Ueq(C) for all carbon atoms. The crystal data were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre with deposition numbers 2063561 and 2063564. Crystal data can be obtained free of charge from CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21 EZ, UK (Fax: (+44)-1223-336-033; e-mail: data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Crystal data of complex 2: monoclinic; P1 21/c1; unit cell dimensions: a = 12.3170(7) Å, b = 16.5507(8) Å, c = 12.5410(6) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 97.451(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$; volume = 2535.0(2) Å³; absorption coefficient: 5.882 mm⁻¹; Theta range for data collection: 2.50 to 25.35°; Reflections collected: 53717; Independent reflections: 4629 [R(int) = 0.0760].

Crystal data of complex 5: monoclinic; P1 21/n1; unit cell dimensions: a = 12.8647(11) Å, b = 16.2774(14) Å, c = 28.952(3) Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 99.970(3)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$; volume = 5971.1(9) Å³; absorption coefficient: 5.007 mm⁻¹; Theta range for data collection: 2.25 to 25.43°; Reflections collected: 157,459; Independent reflections: 11,000 [R(int) = 0.2339].

2.5. HSA Binding Studies

Human serum albumin (HSA) solution (32 μ M) was prepared in Tris-HCl/NaCl aqueous buffer (pH = 7.4). The solution was titrated with different concentrations of complexes 1–5 in DMSO (the amount of DMSO was maintained at ca. 20% v/v). The changes in the emission intensity of the protein were followed at around 330 nm upon excitation at 275 nm after incubating the complexes for 4 min at 295 K. The Stern–Volmer quenching constants (K_{SV}) were obtained from Equation (1), where I_o and I are the emission intensities of the protein in the absence and the presence of the complexes, respectively. The [Au complex] was plotted against [I_o/I]; the K_{SV} value was defined as the slope of the line [24]. The Stern–Volmer constant is related to the quenching constant by Equation (2), where K_q is the quenching rate constant, and τ_0 is the average lifetime of the fluorescence for free HSA ($\tau_0 = 7$ ns) [25,26]. The binding constant (K_b, M⁻¹) and the number of binding

$$I_0/I = 1 + K_{sv} [Au \text{ complex}]$$
(1)

$$K_{\rm sv} = K_{\rm q} \times \tau_0 \tag{2}$$

$$Log(I_0 - I)/I = Log K_b + n Log [Au complex]$$
(3)

$$\Delta G^0 = -R \times T \times Ln \times K_b \tag{4}$$

2.6. Molecular Docking Studies

The structures of the human thioredoxin reductase "hTrxR1" receptor, which is a prominent anticancer drug target [29], and the human serum albumin "HSA" receptor, which is used as an anticancer drug carrier [30], were obtained from the protein data bank (PDB: 3QFB and 1H9Z) (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do "10 June 2020") [31,32]. The gold complexes were drawn in ChemBioOffice ultra version 13. All docking studies were operated using the MOE program; all water and cofactor molecules were removed from the downloaded Human hTrxR1 thioredoxin reductase "3QFB" and HSA "1H9Z". After that, all invalid charges and broken bonds were fixed, and all hydrogen atoms were added after the preparation. The parameters and charges were consigned with MMFF94x force field. After alpha-site spheres were identified using the site finder module of MOE, the compounds were docked to the same active site of the co-crystalized compound using the DOCK module of MOE [33,34]. The docking scores in the MOE software were collected utilizing the London dG scoring function. The highest ten docking scores were used to compare between the five organometallic compounds and the co-crystalline reference compound; optimization of the scoring values were processed by two independent refinement methods. The docking results were validated following the reported pose selection method, which involves re-docking the co-crystalized ligand into the receptor's active site [35,36], and confirmed the ability of the program to identify the best pose under a preselected root mean square deviation (RMSD) value from the known conformation (regularly 1.5 or 2 Å depending on ligand size). In the current study, pose selection and docking score for flavin-adenine dinucleotide (the co-crystalized compound with 3QFB) and R-warfarin "(the co-crystalized compound with 1H9Z)" were determined; the docking result of the same compound reached a 1.09 Å and 1.82 resolution of the co-crystalline structures.

2.7. Anticancer Studies

The cells were obtained by the Egyptian Holding Company for Biological Products and Vaccines (VACSERA) and then maintained in the tissue culture unit. Cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium, supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, 50 units/mL of penicillin, and 50 mg/mL of streptomycin, and maintained in a humidified atmosphere with 5% carbon dioxide [37,38]. The cells were kept as monolayer cultures by serial subculturing, using cell culture reagents from Lonza (Basel, Switzerland). The antitumor activities of the complexes were assessed against OVCAR-3 (ovarian carcinoma cancer) and HOP-62 (non-small-cell lung cancer) cell lines.

The sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay method was applied to determine the cytotoxicity, as described in the literature [39]. Exponentially growing cells were collected using 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA and seeded in 96-well plates at 1000–2000 cells/well in RBMI-1640 supplemented medium. The cells were kept in the medium for 24 h and then incubated for 3 days with various concentrations of the gold compounds. Following 3 days of treatment, the cells were fixed with 10% Cl₃CCOOH for 60 min at 4 °C. Wells were stained for 10 min at room temperature with 0.4% SRBC dissolved in 1% CH₃COOH. The plates were air dried for 24 h, and the dye was dissolved in Tris-HCl for 5 min with shaking at 1600 rpm.

The optical density of each well was evaluated spectrophotometrically at 564 nm with an ELISA microplate reader (ChroMate-4300, Orlando, FL, USA). The half minimal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values were calculated from a Boltzmann sigmoidal concentration response curve using nonlinear regression fitting models (Graph Pad, Prism Version 9, San Diego, CA, USA).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Characterization

Phenolic groups possess several properties: the possibility to form highly watersoluble sodium phenoxide salts, the weak acid nature in pH > 8 that allows the formation of phenoxide anions in solutions, and the possibility to form hydrogen bonding. These features are attractive in drug design, and hence phenolic moieties were chosen to be incorporated into the gold complexes. The route was established by synthesizing complexes (1) and (2) by reacting 4-ethynylbenzaldehyde with $AuCl(PR_3)$ in the presence of an excess amount of potassium tert-butoxide in a methanol/chloroform mixture [40]. The ³¹P NMR spectra of (1) and (2) exhibited one singlet around 40 and 56 ppm, respectively. The absence of the (\equiv C–H) band at 3200 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectra indicates that gold-bonded $C \equiv C$ units are present. The Schiff bases (3), (4), and (5) were obtained by reaction of excess amounts of o-aminophenol or p-aminophenol with the gold(I) alkynyl aldehyde in refluxing chloroform and methanol for 5 h in the presence of anhydrous magnesium sulfate as a drying agent. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was removed to produce the desired product (Figure 3). The ¹H NMR spectra of the Schiff bases showed sharp singlets of the azomethine (-CH=N-) in the range 8.3-8.9 ppm associated with the disappearance of the aldehyde proton signal around 10.0 ppm (All the NMR data are provided in the supplementary materials Figures S1-S8). The FTIR spectra of the gold alkynyl Schiff bases showed intense bands around 1620 cm⁻¹ for the azomethine bond -CH=N; no bands around the 1700 cm⁻¹ region were observed, confirming that the aldehyde groups are converted into -CH=N groups. Additionally, bands in the range $3100-3500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for stretching OH bond were observed for the complexes 3-5 (Figure 4).





Figure 3. Synthesis of the gold(I) alkynyl containing Schiff bases.

Figure 4. The changes in the IR spectra upon reacting 2 with 2-aminophenol to produce 5.

3.2. Crystallographic Studies

X-ray diffraction studies were completed for complexes 2 and 5. The molecular structures with the atom-numbering scheme is given in Figure 5. Crystallographic data are listed in Section 2.4, while important bond lengths are provided in Table 1. The structural determinations confirm the molecular composition extracted from the spectral data. The Au1-P1 distances are 2.293(1) Å in complex 2 and 2.293(2) Å [2.293(2)] in complex 5. The P-Au-C angles are 175.81(14)° and 174.4(2)° (177.9(3)°) for complexes 2 and 5, respectively, while Au-C≡C angles are 177.6(5)° and 176.1(8)° [176.7(8)°] for complexes 2 and 5, respectively, which show a slight deviation from linearity. In complex 5, the azomethine bond, N1-C7, is 1.237(12) Å (1.267(12) Å) and is in conformity with a formal C=N double bond, and the C5-O1 bond distance of 1.379(12) Å (1.368(16) Å) is slightly shorter than the normal C–O single-bond distance. The bond distance for C6-N1 is 1.419(13) Å (1.400(14) Å), which is close to the C–N single bond. This compound exits in the imine-ol form clearly.



Figure 5. Crystal structures of 2 (left) and 5 (right).

Table 1. Bond lengths (Å) for complexes 2 and 5.

Complex 2		Complex 5		
Au1-C8	2.006(4)	Au1-C15	2.001(9)-2.007(9)	
P1-C22	1.842(4)	P1-C22	1.832(9)-1.834(9)	
O1-C9	1.175(7)	N1=C7	1.237(12)-1.267(12)	
Au1-P1	2.2931(10)	Au1-P1	2.293(2)	

3.3. HSA Binding

The interaction of chemotherapeutic drugs with blood plasma proteins has been under intensive investigation in recent years due to their function in drug transport and metabolism, principally with serum albumin, which accounts for 55% of the protein in blood plasma [41,42]. The interaction of the complexes with HSA were assessed by HSA fluorescence quenching (at 335–340 nm) upon increasing the concentration of the complexes at 295 K (Figure 6). The characteristic emission originating from the tryptophan units in HSA diminishes significantly with minute additions of the complexes, confirming the interaction process between the gold complexes and HSA. The data for the five complexes are summarized in Table 2. The quenching process can be due to the collisions between the excited fluorophore (HSA) with the quencher (dynamic quenching) or to the interaction between the fluorophore and the quencher at the ground state forming a non-fluorescent complex (static quenching). The quenching constants indicate a static quenching mechanism, as our complexes have rates much higher than $2.00 \times 1010 \text{ M s}^{-1}$ (maximum rate for dynamic quenching) [43]. From Equation (3) (Scatchard equation), the binding constants were extracted, highlighting the importance of the structural modifications on the binding to HSA (Table 2). Replacing triphenylphosphine with tricyclohexylphosphine, as in proceeding from 1 to 2 and 3 to 5, caused a 30- to 170-fold enhancement in the binding affinity as a result of increasing the hydrophobicity. Introducing the o-phenolic moiety, as in proceeding from 1 to 3 and 2 to 5, also induced strong increases in the binding affinities

(40- and 240-fold increases, respectively). HSA is composed of three domains (DI, DII, and DIII), two of which are hydrophobic regions [42,44,45]. The effect induced by the phenolic group is believed to be produced by a combination of an increase in the hydrophobicity as well as the capability of the hydroxy group to establish hydrogen-bonding and dipole interactions. The role of the hydroxy group can be realized by comparing the effect of an o-phenolic against a p-phenolic moiety; the latter has binding affinities 6400 times higher due to the absence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the azomethine and the less steric demanding position for interactions. All the gold complexes exhibited negative values of ΔG^0 , demonstrating the spontaneous interaction with HSA protein with a trend similar to that observed in the binding affinities (Table 2). The average binding site count for our complexes followed the same trend seen in Kb; however, complex 4 (with the para hydroxy) is the only one that shows "n" that approaches 2. Indeed, the number of binding sites is more accurately describing the stoichiometric ratio of the compounds to HSA [46], and hence complex 4 could be able to interact with HSA at two different sites.



Figure 6. Binding studies of 1, 3 and 4 with HSA.

Table 2. Kinetic and thermo	dynamic parame	ers of human serum	1 albumin (HS	SA)-binding at 295 K
-----------------------------	----------------	--------------------	---------------	----------------------

Compound	K _{sv} (×10 ⁴)	K _q (×10 ¹²)	K _b	n	ΔG^0 (kJ mole ⁻¹)
1	4.7	6.71	$5.70 imes 10^3$	0.82	-21.2
2	2.8	4.00	$1.69 imes 10^5$	1.16	-29.9
3	38.9	55.57	$2.31 imes 10^5$	0.96	-30.29
4	71.5	102.21	$1.48 imes 10^9$	1.61	-51.8
5	37.1	52.96	$4.03 imes 10^7$	1.37	-42.95

3.4. Anticancer Studies

The anticancer properties of complexes 1–5 were assessed against ovarian carcinoma (OVCAR-3) and human lung adenocarcinoma (HOP-62) cell lines (see Table 3). The obtained IC50 values for complexes 1 and 2 (the aldehyde containing complexes) ranged from 12.45 to 15.86 μ M. However, the Schiff base complexes 3–5 exhibited much higher anticancer activities, with values ranging between 5.27 and 9.40 μ M. Indeed, the IC50 values for the Schiff base-containing complexes are within a narrow range, which does not allow the extraction of conclusive structure–activity relationships; however, complex 4 seems to be more cytotoxic against OVCAR-3 when compared to the other complexes, indicating a possible preference for the para position for the hydroxy group.

Compound	OVCAR-3 (Ovarian Carcinoma Cancer Cell Line) (IC50% in μM)	HOP-62 (Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Cell Line) (IC50% in μM)
1	13.65 ± 0.53	12.45 ± 0.43
2	15.86 ± 0.53	14.82 ± 0.13
3	09.40 ± 0.17	07.25 ± 0.21
4	05.27 ± 0.11	08.16 ± 0.43
5	09.11 ± 0.12	07.55 ± 0.43
cisplatin	05.89 ± 0.12	03.91 ± 0.20

3.5. Molecular Docking

Auranofin and other gold(I) complexes have been reported to selectively inhibit the enzyme TrxR, which is regarded as the main target of gold complexes [47]. Overexpression of TrxR has been observed in numerous cancers and tumor cell lines, and cisplatin is one of the most effective inhibitors of TrxR [48]. The active sites of TrxR contain the amino acids selenocysteine (Sec) and cysteine (Cys), which contribute to the mechanism of action of the enzyme [48]. Gold complexes such as auranofin are believed to interact with the nucleophilic sulfur and selenium as their inhibitory mode of action. Thus, we selected this receptor from the PDB for our docking studies, also given recent studies on its role in cancer [49–51]. Molecular docking studies were conducted for our complexes in the two active sites of the human TrxR enzyme (Figure 7), using auranofin as a benchmark for comparison (Table 4). Auranofin has a greater preference for binding to site 1. Complexes 1, 3, 4, and 5 had docking scores similar to auranofin or higher in site 1. However, the aldehyde-containing complexes (1 and 2) bound more strongly to site 2. Introducing the Schiff base phenolic moieties altered the binding sites of the gold complexes, directing them toward site 1, which may be related to their greater cytotoxic effects when compared to their aldehyde precursors. Apart from van der Waals and hydrophobic interactions, complex 4 is the only complex that forms a hydrogen-donor interaction with cystine (Cys 59) in site 1, rationalizing the greater anticancer activity when compared to the other complexes. It is worth mentioning that auranofin is the only complex able to form similar interactions (H-donor with cystine) but in site 2.



Figure 7. The interactions of complex 4 in the two active sites of the TrxR enzyme.

Complexes	Docking Scores against Human Thioredoxin Reductase (TrxR) (3QFB)		Docking Scores
-	Site 1	Site 2	against H5A (1H9Z)
1	-8.54	-8.69	-7.22
2	-7.79	-9.03	-6.98
3	-8.09	-8.13	-7.99
4	-8.30	-7.92	-8.21
5	-8.43	-7.65	-8.21
auranofin	-8.14	-7.65	-

Table 4. Binding scores for Au-complexes as calculated by MOE.

We next undertook an additional molecular docking study to gain further insight into the binding sites and possible interactions of the complexes to another protein, human serum albumin (HSA) [52]. HSA was chosen because of its high levels in human serum and its potential value as a ligand carrier for targeted anticancer drug delivery. The Schiff base complexes had better binding scores when compared to their parent aldehyde complexes. The obtained docking scores were in good agreement with the experimental data; hence, molecular docking can be used to shed some light on the structural aspects of the binding between the complexes and protein. The data indicated that all the complexes have a strong preference to bind to the DII domain. The HSA pocket (DII) is surrounded by Asp183, Glu184, Asp187, Glu188, Lys190, Ala191, Ala194, Arg197, Asn429, Lys432, Val433, Lys436, Tyr452, Val455, Val456, Asn458, Gln459, and Val462 amino acids; some of these amino acid residues are involved in the hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions with the complexes; 3 and 4 in particular are involved in a π - π interaction with Try452 (Figure 8). Complex 2 is positioned slightly differently in the same pocket.



Figure 8. Comparison between the interactions of complexes 1 and 4 in the binding sites of HSA as predicted by MOE.

4. Conclusions

In the current work, a simple strategy is introduced to structurally alter the anticancer activities of gold complexes with the general formula Au(PR3)(C \equiv C-Ar). *P*-ethynylbenzaldyde was used as a building block due to the reactive nature of the aldehyde group. We chose to pursue this strategy via reacting the aldehyde containing complexes with 2-aminophenol and 4-aminophenol, forming Schiff base gold complexes 3–5. The effect of the structural modifications was assessed by studying the protein binding affinities of the gold complexes as well as their cytotoxic effects on two cancer cell lines. The HSA-binding study was conducted by fluorescence quenching assay and showed that the

Schiff base complexes had a much greater binding capacity than their parent aldehyde complexes; furthermore, the impact of the position of the hydroxy group was pronounced, as complex 4 (4-hydroxy) displayed a binding constant 6400 times higher than complex 3 (2-hydroxy). The IC50 of the complexes against OVCAR-3 and HOP-62 cell lines high-lighted the functional significance of the structural modifications; the Schiff bases (3–5) generally had greater cytotoxic effects than the aldehyde-containing complexes (1 and 2). Moreover, compound 4 had cytotoxic activity comparable to that of cisplatin against OVCAR-3, suggesting the importance of the relative position of the hydroxy group. The structural–properties relationships were further explored by molecular docking studies against the enzyme thioredoxin reductase (TrxR), a well-known target for gold complexes in cancer cell lines, and human serum albumin. The docking scores were in agreement with the observed experimental data, highlighting how small structural modifications can alter physiochemical properties. The current synthetic strategy provides extensive possibilities for exploring new gold(I)-based anticancer drugs, as the aldehyde group can undergo a large range of condensation, cyclization, and nucleophilic addition reactions.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10 .3390/pharmaceutics13040461/s1, Figures S1–S4: ¹H NMR data, Figures S5–S8: ³¹P NMR data.

Author Contributions: Synthesis and characterizations, B.A.B., J.A., H.M.A., A.-H.M.E., and M.J.; crystallographic studies, N.E.E.; protein binding, J.A.; anti-cancer studies, M.H.A.; docking studies, M.A.H.; supervision and writing—original draft preparation, B.A.B.; writing—review and editing; B.A.B., M.J., and M.H.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: M.H.A. is very thankful for Taif University researcher support; project number: TURSP/91, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. M.J. would like to express his thanks to KAUST for financial and technical support. H.M.A. and B.A.B. would like to express their thanks to KACST for financially supporting this work.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the authors.

Acknowledgments: Hana M. Alenezi would like to thank King Fahd Medical Research Centre (KFMRC) at KAU for allowing the use of their facilities. Mariusz Jaremko and Abdul-Hamid M. Emwas would like to thank the King Abdullah University of Science and Technology (KAUST) for the financial support. Magda H. Abdellatif is very thankful for Taif University researcher support; project number: TURSP/91, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Sample Availability: Not available.

Abbreviations

HSA: Human serum albumin; OVCAR-3: Ovarian carcinoma cancer cell line; HOP-62: Nonsmall-cell lung cancer cell line.

References

- 1. Lazarević, T.; Rilak, A.; Bugarčić, Ž.D. Platinum, palladium, gold and ruthenium complexes as anticancer agents: Current clinical uses, cytotoxicity studies and future perspectives. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, 142, 8–31. [CrossRef]
- Deo, K.M.; Ang, D.L.; McGhie, B.; Rajamanickam, A.; Dhiman, A.; Khoury, A.; Holland, J.; Bjelosevic, A.; Pages, B.; Gordon, C.; et al. Platinum coordination compounds with potent anticancer activity. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2018, 375, 148–163. [CrossRef]
- 3. Trudu, F.; Amato, F.; Vanhara, P.; Pivetta, T.; Pena-Mendez, E.M.; Havel, J. Coordination compounds in cancer: Past, present and perspectives. *J. Appl. Biomed.* **2015**, *13*, 79–103. [CrossRef]
- De Gramont, A.; Figer, A.; Seymour, M.; Homerin, M.; Hmissi, A.; Cassidy, J.; Boni, C.; Cortes-Funes, H.; Cervantes, A.; Freyer, G.; et al. Leucovorin and Fluorouracil with or without Oxaliplatin as First-Line Treatment in Advanced Colorectal Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2000, 18, 2938–2947. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 5. Rothenberg, M.L. Efficacy of oxaliplatin in the treatment of colorectal cancer. *Oncology* **2000**, *14*, 9–14.
- Chaabane, W.; User, S.D.; El-Gazzah, M.; Jaksik, R.; Sajjadi, E.; Rzeszowska-Wolny, J.; Łos, M.J. Autophagy, Apoptosis, Mitoptosis and Necrosis: Interdependence Between Those Pathways and Effects on Cancer. *Arch. Immunol. Ther. Exp.* 2013, *61*, 43–58. [CrossRef]
- 7. Green, D.R.; Llambi, F. Cell Death Signaling. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 2015, 7, a006080. [CrossRef]
- Sánchez-de-Diego, C.; Mármol, I.; Pérez, R.; Gascón, S.; Rodriguez-Yoldi, M.J.; Cerrada, E. The anticancer effect related to disturbances in redox balance on Caco-2 cells caused by an alkynyl gold(I) complex. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2017, 166, 108–121. [CrossRef]
- 9. Fulda, S. Tumor resistance to apoptosis. Int. J. Cancer 2009, 124, 511-515. [CrossRef]
- 10. Shaw, C.F. Gold-Based Therapeutic Agents. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 2589–2600. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Shaw, C.F. Metal Compounds in Cancer Therapy; Fricker, S.P., Ed.; Chapman & Hall: London, UK, 1994; pp. 46-64.
- 12. Tiekink, E.R.T. Gold derivatives for the treatment of cancer. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 2002, 42, 225–248. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ott, I. On the medicinal chemistry of gold complexes as anticancer drugs. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 253, 1670–1681. [CrossRef]
- Andermark, V.; Göke, K.; Kokoschka, M.; Abu El Maaty, M.A.; Lum, C.T.; Zou, T.; Sun, R.W.-Y.; Aguiló, E.; Oehninger, L.; Rodríguez, L.; et al. Alkynyl gold(I) phosphane complexes: Evaluation of structure-activity-relationships for the phosphane ligands, effects on key signaling proteins and preliminary in-vivo studies with a nanoformulated complex. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2016, 160, 140–148. [CrossRef]
- Mármol, I.; Virumbrales-Muñoz, M.; Quero, J.; Sánchez-de-Diego, C.; Fernández, L.; Ochoa, I.; Cerrada, E.; Yoldi, M.J.R. Alkynyl gold(I) complex triggers necroptosis via ROS generation in colorectal carcinoma cells. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2017, 176, 123–133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Meyer, A.; Bagowski, C.P.; Kokoschka, M.; Stefanopoulou, M.; Alborzinia, H.; Can, S.; Vlecken, D.H.; Sheldrick, W.S.; Wölfl, S.; Ott, I. On the Biological Properties of Alkynyl Phosphine Gold(I) Complexes. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, *51*, 8895–8899. [CrossRef]
- 17. Morris, G.M.; Lim-Wilby, M. Molecular docking. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2008, 443, 365–382. [PubMed]
- Austin, W.B.; Bilow, N.; Kelleghan, W.J.; Lau, K.S.Y. Facile synthesis of ethynylated benzoic acid derivatives and aromatic compounds via ethynyltrimethylsilane. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2280–2286. [CrossRef]
- Isab, A.A.; Fettouhi, M.; Ahmad, S.; Ouahab, L. Mixed ligand gold(I) complexes of phosphines and thiourea and X-ray structure of (thiourea-κS)(tricyclohexylphosphine)gold(I)chloride. *Polyhedron* 2003, 22, 1349–1354. [CrossRef]
- 20. McAuliffe, C.A.; Parish, R.V.; Randall, P.D. Gold(I) complexes of unidentate and bidentate phosphorus-, arsenic-, antimony-, and sulphur-donor ligands. *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* **1979**, 1730–1735. [CrossRef]
- Hurst, S.K.; Lucas, N.T.; Cifuentes, M.P.; Humphrey, M.G.; Samoc, M.; Luther-Davies, B.; Asselberghs, I.; Van Boxel, R.; Persoons, A. Organometallic complexes for nonlinear optics: Part 23. Quadratic and cubic hyperpolarizabilities of acetylide and vinylidene complexes derived from protected and free formylphenylacetylenes. J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 633, 114–124. [CrossRef]
- 22. APEX3-Software Suite for Crystallographic Programs; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, USA, 2016.
- 23. Sheldrick, G.M. Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL. Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 3-8.
- 24. Villarreal, W.; Colina-Vegas, L.; Visbal, G.; Corona, O.; Corrêa, R.S.; Ellena, J.; Cominetti, M.R.; Batista, A.A.; Navarro, M. Copper(I)–Phosphine Polypyridyl Complexes: Synthesis, Characterization, DNA/HSA Binding Study, and Antiproliferative Activity. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 3781–3793. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Amiri, M.; Jankeje, K.; Albani, J.R. Origin of Fluorescence Lifetimes in Human Serum Albumin. Studies on Native and Denatured Protein. J. Fluoresc. 2010, 20, 651–656. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Amiri, M.; Jankeje, K.; Albani, J.R. Characterization of human serum albumin forms with pH. Fluorescence lifetime studies. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* **2010**, *51*, 1097–1102. [CrossRef]
- 27. Lineweaver, H.; Burk, D. The Determination of Enzyme Dissociation Constants. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1934, 56, 658–666. [CrossRef]
- 28. Abdelhameed, A.S.; Bakheit, A.H.; Almutairi, F.M.; AlRabiah, H.; Kadi, A.A. Biophysical and In Silico Studies of the Interaction between the Anti-Viral Agents Acyclovir and Penciclovir, and Human Serum Albumin. *Molecules* **2017**, *22*, 1906. [CrossRef]
- 29. Urig, S.; Becker, K. On the potential of thioredoxin reductase inhibitors for cancer therapy. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2006**, *16*, 452–465. [CrossRef]
- 30. Hoogenboezem, E.N.; Duvall, C.L. Harnessing albumin as a carrier for cancer therapies. *Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev.* **2018**, 130, 73–89. [CrossRef]
- 31. Petitpas, I.; Bhattacharya, A.A.; Twine, S.; East, M.; Curry, S. Crystal Structure Analysis of Warfarin Binding to Human Serum Albumin: ANATOMY OF DRUG SITE I. J. Biol. Chem. 2001, 276, 22804–22809. [CrossRef]
- 32. Fritz-Wolf, K.; Kehr, S.; Stumpf, M.; Rahlfs, S.; Becker, K. Crystal structure of the human thioredoxin reductase-thioredoxin complex. *Nat. Commun.* 2011, 2, 383. [CrossRef]
- 33. Molecular Operating Environment (MOE), Version 2019.01; Chemical Computing Group ULC: Montreal, QC, Canada, 2019.
- Abdel-Rhman, M.H.; Hussien, M.A.; Mahmoud, H.M.; Hosny, N.M. Synthesis, characterization, molecular docking and cytotoxicity studies on *N*-benzyl-2-isonicotinoylhydrazine-1-carbothioamide and its metal complexes. *J. Mol. Struct.* 2019, 1196, 417–428. [CrossRef]
- 35. Cole, J.C.; Murray, C.W.; Nissink, J.W.M.; Taylor, R.D.; Taylor, R. Comparing protein–ligand docking programs is difficult. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinform.* **2005**, *60*, 325–332. [CrossRef]

- 36. Jain, A.N. Bias, reporting, and sharing: Computational evaluations of docking methods. J. Comput.-Aided Mol. Des. 2008, 22, 201–212. [CrossRef]
- Muanza, D.N.; Kim, B.W.; Euler, K.L.; Williams, L. Antibacterial and Antifungal Activities of Nine Medicinal Plants from Zaire. *Int. J. Pharmacogn.* 1994, 32, 337–345. [CrossRef]
- Pezzuto, J.M.; Che, C.-T.; McPherson, D.D.; Zhu, J.-P.; Topcu, G.; Erdelmeier, C.A.J.; Cordell, G.A. DNA as an Affinity Probe Useful in the Detection and Isolation of Biologically Active Natural Products. *J. Nat. Prod.* 1991, 54, 1522–1530. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skehan, P.; Storeng, R.; Scudiero, D.; Monks, A.; McMahon, J.; Vistica, D.; Warren, J.T.; Bokesch, H.; Kenney, S.; Boyd, M.R. New Colorimetric Cytotoxicity Assay for Anticancer-Drug Screening. *JNCI J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 1990, *82*, 1107–1112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alsaeedi, M.S.; Babgi, B.A.; Hussien, M.A.; Abdellattif, M.H.; Humphrey, M.G. DNA-Binding and Anticancer Activity of Binuclear Gold(I) Alkynyl Complexes with a Phenanthrenyl Bridging Ligand. *Molecules* 2020, 25, 1033. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Gibellini, D.; Vitone, F.; Schiavone, P.; Ponti, C.; La Placa, M.; Re, M.C. Quantitative detection of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) proviral DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells by SYBR green real-time PCR technique. *J. Clin. Virol.* **2004**, 29, 282–289. [CrossRef]
- 42. Lakowicz, J.R. Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy, 3rd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- 43. Ware, W.R. Oxygen quenching of fluorescence in solution: An experimental study of the diffusion process. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1962**, *66*, 455–458. [CrossRef]
- 44. Thangavel, S.; Rajamanikandan, R.; Friedrich, H.B.; Ilanchelian, M.; Omondi, B. Binding interaction, conformational change, and molecular docking study of *N*-(pyridin-2-ylmethylene)aniline derivatives and carbazole Ru(II) complexes with human serum albumins. *Polyhedron* **2016**, *107*, 124–135. [CrossRef]
- 45. Al-Harthi, S.; Lachowicz, J.I.; Nowakowski, M.E.; Jaremko, M.; Jaremko, Ł. Towards the functional high-resolution coordination chemistry of blood plasma human serum albumin. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2019**, *198*, 110716. [CrossRef]
- 46. Lissi, E.; Calderón, C.; Campos, A. Evaluation of the Number of Binding Sites in Proteins from their Intrinsic Fluorescence: Limitations and Pitfalls. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2013**, *89*, 1413–1416. [CrossRef]
- Gromer, S.; Arscott, L.D.; Williams, C.H., Jr.; Schirmer, R.H.; Becker, K. Human Placenta Thioredoxin Reductase: Isolation of the selenoenzyme, steady state kinetics, and inhabitation by therapeutic gold compounds. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, 273, 20096–20101. [CrossRef]
- 48. Becker, K.; Gromer, S.; Schirmer, R.H.; Müller, S. Thioredoxin reductase as a pathophysiological factor and drug target. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **2000**, 267, 6118–6125. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Seliman, A.A.A.; Altaf, M.; Onawole, A.T.; Ahmad, S.; Ahmed, Y.; Al-Saadi, A.A.; Altuwaijri, S.; Bahita, G.; Singh, J.; Isab, A.A. Synthesis, X-ray structures and anticancer activity of gold(I)-carbene complexes with selenones as co-ligands and their molecular docking studies with thioredoxin reductase. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2017, *848*, 175–183. [CrossRef]
- 50. Scalcon, V.; Bindoli, A.; Rigobello, M.P. Significance of the mitochondrial thioredoxin reductase in cancer cells: An update on role, targets and inhibitors. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* **2018**, 127, 62–79. [CrossRef]
- 51. Zhang, J.; Zhang, B.; Li, X.; Han, X.; Liu, R.; Fang, J. Small molecule inhibitors of mammalian thioredoxin reductase as potential anticancer agents: An update. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2019**, *39*, 5–39. [CrossRef]
- 52. Bhattacharya, B.; Nakka, S.; Guruprasad, L.; Samanta, A. Interaction of Bovine Serum Albumin with Dipolar Molecules: Fluorescence and Molecular Docking Studies. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2009, *113*, 2143–2150. [CrossRef] [PubMed]