

Article



# StenM\_174: A Novel Podophage That Infects a Wide Range of *Stenotrophomonas* spp. and Suggests a New Subfamily in the Family *Autographiviridae*

Vera V. Morozova <sup>1,\*,†</sup>, Vyacheslav I. Yakubovskij <sup>1,2,†</sup>, Ivan K. Baykov <sup>1,3</sup>, Yuliya N. Kozlova <sup>1</sup>, Artem Yu. Tikunov <sup>1</sup>, Igor V. Babkin <sup>1</sup>, Alevtina V. Bardasheva <sup>1</sup>, Elena V. Zhirakovskaya <sup>1</sup> and Nina V. Tikunova <sup>1,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, Institute of Chemical Biology and Fundamental Medicine Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia; yakubovskij97@gmail.com (V.I.Y.); arttik1986@gmail.com (A.Y.T.); i\_babkin@mail.ru (I.V.B.)
- <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Natural Sciences, Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
- <sup>3</sup> Shared Research Facility "Siberian Circular Photon Source" (SRF "SKIF") of Boreskov Institute of Catalysis SB RAS, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia
- \* Correspondence: morozova@niboch.nsc.ru (V.V.M.); tikunova@niboch.nsc.ru (N.V.T.)
- <sup>†</sup> The authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* was discovered as a soil bacterium associated with the rhizosphere. Later, *S. maltophilia* was found to be a multidrug-resistant hospital-associated pathogen. Lytic bacteriophages are prospective antimicrobials; therefore, there is a need for the isolation and characterization of new *Stenotrophomonas* phages. The phage StenM\_174 was isolated from litter at a poultry farm using a clinical strain of *S. maltophilia* as the host. StenM\_174 reproduced in a wide range of clinical and environmental strains of *Stenotrophomonas*, mainly *S. maltophilia*, and it had a podovirus morphotype. The length of the genomic sequence of StenM\_174 was 42,956 bp, and it contained 52 putative genes. All genes were unidirectional, and 31 of them encoded proteins with predicted functions, while the remaining 21 were identified as hypothetical ones. Two tail spike proteins of StenM\_174 were predicted using AlphaFold2 structural modeling. A comparative analysis of the genome shows that the *Stenotrophomonas* phage StenM\_174, along with the phages Ponderosa, Pepon, Ptah, and TS-10, can be members of the new putative genus *Ponderosavirus* in the *Autographiviridae* family. In addition, the analyzed data suggest a new subfamily within this family.

**Keywords:** *Autographiviridae; Stenotrophomonas maltophilia;* virulent phage; comparative genomics; tail spike; receptor-binding protein; structural modeling; AlphaFold

# 1. Introduction

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is a ubiquitous Gram-negative bacterium that is often isolated from the rhizosphere and plant surfaces. It belongs to the *Stenotrophomonas* genus of the *Xanthomonadaceae* family. The ability to utilize a wide range of carbon sources and resistance to heavy metals enable *S. maltophilia* to survive under various adverse conditions [1]. *S. maltophilia* strains are not phytopathogens; moreover, they are able to produce plant growth hormone (indole-3-acetic acid) and metabolize phenols and xenobiotics [2]. These properties make *S. maltophilia* a prospective candidate for application in agriculture and soil bioremediation. However, the ability of *S. maltophilia* to cause disease in humans prevents this bacterium from being used for human benefit.

The above-mentioned properties allow *S. maltophilia* to spread in a hospital environment. In addition, surface structures such as LPS, flagella, pili, and fimbriae help *S. maltophilia* to attach to the surfaces of medical equipment and form biofilms; therefore, this bacterial species is listed as a nosocomial pathogen worldwide [3]. The main factors contributing to *S. maltophilia* infection are weakened immunity, chronic respiratory



Citation: Morozova, V.V.; Yakubovskij, V.I.; Baykov, I.K.; Kozlova, Y.N.; Tikunov, A.Y.; Babkin, I.V.; Bardasheva, A.V.; Zhirakovskaya, E.V.; Tikunova, N.V. StenM\_174: A Novel Podophage That Infects a Wide Range of *Stenotrophomonas* spp. and Suggests a New Subfamily in the Family *Autographiviridae. Viruses* 2024, *16*, 18. https://doi.org/10.3390/v16010018

Academic Editors: Elena Orlova and Marc C. Morais

Received: 11 November 2023 Revised: 13 December 2023 Accepted: 20 December 2023 Published: 21 December 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). diseases, cancer, and prolonged stay in the hospital or intensive care unit [4]. Patients with cystic fibrosis are at greater risk of *S. maltophilia* infection than the general population [5]. This pathogen is most commonly associated with respiratory infections and is the causative agent of severe bacteremia, meningitis, endocarditis, pneumonia, osteomyelitis, endophthalmitis, and catheter-related septicemia [6].

The main problem in the treatment of infections caused by *S. maltophilia* is their natural resistance to various classes of antibiotics, namely  $\beta$ -lactams, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, macrolides, chloramphenicol, and polymyxins. The insusceptibility of *S. maltophilia* is due to many intrinsic and acquired mechanisms, including reduced membrane permeability, more than a dozen described efflux pumps,  $\beta$ -lactamases, and aminoglycosidemodifying enzymes. In addition, tolerance to antiseptics and hydrogen peroxide-based disinfectants is provided by the presence of the *qacE* $\Delta$ 1 and *katA* genes in the majority of isolates. This gives *S. maltophilia* advantages for persistence and spread in hospital settings [6–8]. The main recommended antibiotics for infections caused by *S. maltophilia* are trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole and cefiderocol (https://www.eucast.org/ast\_of\_ bacteria/calibration\_and\_validation, access on 18 October 2023), and although sensitivity remains high, resistance to these antibiotics is increasing [9]. Pathogenicity and prevalence, combined with high levels of antimicrobial resistance, necessitate alternative methods of treating infections caused by *S. maltophilia*, and phage therapy is one of the promising treatments.

The first S. maltophilia bacteriophage, M6, was isolated in 1973 [6]. At that time, phages were considered genetic tools used to map the genome of their bacterial hosts using transduction. Currently, most phages are isolated and characterized from the point of view of therapeutic use. To date, 65 complete genomes of S. maltophilia phages are presented in the NCBI GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide, accessed on 3 October 2023). Five of them are members of the family Inoviridae, which includes filamentous bacteriophages with circular single-stranded DNA (Data S1). The remaining 60 phage genomes belong to the class Caudoviricetes, which contains tailed phages with linear double-stranded DNA. They are diverse in the genome content, life cycle, and morphotype [10–16]. According to the last ICTV release [17], the majority of Stenotrophomonas phages were classified as members of the Autographiviridae (11 phages), Mesyanzhinovviridae (6 phages), Schitoviridae (5 phages), Straboviridae (2 phages), Casjensviridae (1 phage), and Peduoviridae (1 phage) families. In addition, Stenotrophomonas phages were assigned to the subfamily Beaumontvirinae (six phages), and to several genera, namely Menderavirus (seven phages), Delepquintavirus (three phages), and Septimatrevirus (two phages) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/taxonomy, accessed on 3 October 2023) (Data S1). A total of 16 Stenotrophomonas bacteriophages remain unclassified and can be considered members of new genera/subfamilies/families within the class Caudoviricetes. About half of these phages (n = 22) have the podovirus morphotype; they include members of the families Autographivirinae, Schitoviridae, and four unclassified members of the class Caudoviricetes. According to the GenBank database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide, accessed on 3 October 2023), almost all the previously studied phages have S. maltophilia as a host, and only four phages are specific to unidentified Stenotrophomonas sp. (Data S1).

The diversity of *S. maltophilia* phages is useful for the development of effective phage cocktails and the study of novel mechanisms of phage biology. The first successful application of the IME-SM1 phage against *S. maltophilia* infection in a mouse model was reported in 2013 [18]. Later, the activity of the phages BUCT603 and BUCT609 against *S. maltophilia* infections was studied in mice [19,20]. In addition, one more phage, BUCT700, has been used to fight *S. maltophilia* infection in the *Galleria mellonella* model [21]. Recently, CUB19 was applied to eradicate biofilm, formed by *S. maltophilia* [22]. An example of an unusual application of *Stenotrophomonas* phages is their use to prevent the growth of the corrosion-producing *S. maltophilia* [23]. However, the heterogeneity and resistance of *S. maltophilia* isolates necessitate the search for new *Stenotrophomonas* phages with a wide host range and high lytic activity.

In this study, a novel *Stenotrophomonas* phage, StenM\_174, was isolated, which infected a wide range of clinical and environmental strains of *Stenotrophomonas*, mainly *S. maltophilia*. StenM\_174 had a podovirus morphotype and possessed high lytic properties. The genome of StenM\_174 contained linear dsDNA with a length of 42,956 bp, and it encoded 52 putative unidirectional genes. The structure of the receptor-binding proteins of StenM\_174 was predicted using AlphaFold2. A comparative analysis of the genome showed that the *Stenotrophomonas* phage StenM\_174, along with the phages Ponderosa [24], Pepon [25], Ptah [26], and TS-10 (GenBank ID OK018136), can be members of the new putative genus *Ponderosavirus* within the *Autographiviridae* family. In addition, the analyzed data suggest a new subfamily within this family.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

# 2.1. Bacterial Strain Identification

The clinical isolate of *S. maltophilia* was kindly provided by the Novosibirsk Research Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics. The identification of bacterial species was performed by sequencing a fragment of the 16S rRNA gene with a length of 1308 bp. Primers 16s-8-f-B 5'-AGRGTTTGATCCTGGCTCA-3' and 16s-1350-r-B 5'-GACGGGGGGGGGGTGTGTA CAAG-3' were used for amplification and sequencing as described previously [27]. The PCR protocol contained 33 cycles of amplification, and each cycle included denaturation (95 °C, 5 min), annealing (55 °C, 30 s), and elongation (72 °C, 1 min). Sequencing reactions were performed using a BigDye Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Nucleotide sequences were obtained using an ABI 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and compared with sequences of the 16S rRNA genes from the NCBI GenBank database. The bacterial strain was deposited as *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 in the Collection of Extremophilic Microorganisms and Type Cultures (CEMTC) of the Institute of Chemical Biology and Fundamental Medicine SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia.

#### 2.2. Phage Isolation and Propagation

To obtain the phages, 5 g of litter from a poultry farm was mixed with 10 mL of sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.5, and centrifuged at  $8000 \times g$  for 10 min. Then, the obtained supernatant was sterilized through a 0.22 µm filter (Wuxi Nest Biotechnology, Wuxi, China). Screening for the presence of phages was carried out by dropping 10 µL aliquots of filtrate onto a freshly prepared lawn of *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 in the top agar (Becton, Dickinson, and Company Sparks Difco Laboratories, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA). Plates were incubated overnight at 37 °C. The revealed phage plaques were cut from the top agar, suspended in sterile PBS, and incubated with shaking overnight to extract the phages from the agar. The next day, tenfold dilutions of the phage-containing eluate were dropped onto a fresh layer of *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 to obtain single plaques. These plaques were used for subsequent phage extraction; three cycles of phage dilution–extraction were performed.

To amplify StenM\_174, 50 mL of exponentially growing *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 in the Lysogeny Broth, LB (BD Difco, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) were infected with the phage; the multiplicity of infection (MOI) was 0.1. The infected culture was incubated with shaking at 37 °C until the bacterial lysis occurred. Afterward, the bacterial lysate was centrifuged at 10,000 × *g* for 30 min, and phage particles were purified from the supernatant using polyethylene glycol 6000 (AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany) precipitation as described previously [28]. The phage-containing precipitate was dissolved in 500 µL of STM buffer (0.59 g of NaCl; 7.88 g of Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; and 2.38 g of MgCl<sub>2</sub> per 1 L).

#### 2.3. Phage Plaques and Phage Particle Morphology

The morphology of the StenM\_174 plaques was detected on the lawn of a sensitive culture of *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355. Plaques were examined after the incubation of plates

overnight at 37 °C. The morphology of the phage particles was determined using transmission electron microscopy with preliminary negative staining as described previously [29].

# 2.4. Biological Properties and Host Range Assay

The biological properties of StenM\_174 were studied using the *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 as the host. All experiments were performed twice, each in three technical repeats. Graphs and statistical analysis were performed in GraphPad Prizm v. 8.0.1.

To determine the burst size for StenM\_174, 10 mL of exponentially growing bacteria were centrifuged at  $8000 \times g$  for 10 min. Then, the pellet was resuspended in 500 µL of LB and the phage StenM\_174 was added to the cells with an MOI of 0.001. The culture was incubated for 5 min without shaking at 37 °C; after that, cells were pelleted via centrifugation, the supernatant with non-adsorbed phages was removed, and the bacterial pellet was dissolved in 10 mL of LB. The infected bacterial culture was incubated with shaking at 37 °C for 30 min, and aliquots were taken every 2.5 min and used for phage titer determination. The latent period and burst size of StenM\_174 were calculated based on the obtained data.

Phage adsorption experiments were performed as described previously [29]. Briefly, the exponentially growing *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 was infected with StenM\_174 to a final concentration of  $10^5$  plaque-forming units per mL (pfu/mL). The infected culture was incubated with shaking at 37 °C for 30 min, and aliquots were taken every minute to determine the titer of free phages.

The lytic activity of StenM\_174 was analyzed as described previously [30], with minor modifications. Briefly, the phage was added to exponentially growing bacterial cultures of *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 in three different MOIs, 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001. Then, the phage containing cultures were incubated without shaking at 37 °C for 30 min to improve phage infection. Next, the infected cultures were incubated at 37 °C with shaking, and aliquots were taken every 30 min to determine the bacterial titer in the phage lytic life cycle. Based on the obtained data, the multistep killing curves of bacteria in the life cycle of StenM\_174 were calculated.

The host range for StenM\_174 was determined using the spot-assay method, as described previously [31]. A total of 65 strains of *Stenotrophomonas* spp. from the CEMTC ICBFM SB RAS were tested, which included clinical and environmental strains, as well as strains isolated from insects. To determine the titer of the phage on a sensitive bacterial strain, tenfold dilutions of a phage-containing suspension were dripped onto a fresh layer of appropriate bacteria in the top agar to obtain single plaques, and the phage titer was calculated the next day. The bacterial susceptibility to StenM\_174 was evaluated based on the efficacy of plating (EOP) as previously described [22].

## 2.5. Genome DNA Purification and Complete Genome Sequencing

Genome DNA purification was carried out as described previously [32]. Briefly, DNase and RNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) were added to phage suspension, each to a final concentration of 5  $\mu$ g/mL, and the mixture was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Next, phage suspension was supplemented with 1/25 volume of 0.5 M EDTA (pH 8.0), 1/20 volume of 10% solution of SDS, and proteinase K (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to a final concentration of 100–200  $\mu$ g/mL. Then, the suspension was incubated at 55 °C for 3 h, and phage DNA was purified via phenol–chloroform extraction. Furthermore, ethanol supplemented with 1/30 volume of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 4.8) was added to precipitate DNA. The phage DNA quality was estimated through agarose gel electrophoresis. Covaris Ultrasonicator (Covaris, Woburn, MA, USA) was applied for phage DNA fragmentation, and the obtained DNA fragments were purified on magnetic beads. An NEB Next Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina and NEB Next Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (both from New England BioLab, Ipswich, MA, USA) were used for DNA library construction. Sequencing was performed using the MiSeq Benchtop Sequencer and MiSeq v. 2 Reagent Kit (2 × 250 base reads) (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Trimmomatic tool v. 0.39 [33] was used to check the obtained data for quality and remove adapter sequences. The phage genome was assembled de novo using SPAdes Genome Assembler v. 3.15.4 (http://cab.spbu.ru/software/spades, accessed on 2 July 2023) [34]. The sequencing coverage was estimated to be 1395.

## 2.6. Analysis of Phage Genome

Rapid Automated Annotation Service (RAST) v. 2.0 [35] (https://rast.nmpdr.org, accessed on 20 July 2023) was used for the StenM\_174 genome analysis. Then, the annotation was validated manually with BLASTX search against sequences deposited in the NCBI GenBank database (https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed on 25 July 2023). In addition, InterProScan and HHpred tools were used for protein function identification [36,37]. Phage genome termini and DNA packaging strategy were determined using the PhageTerm tool v. 1.0.12 [38]. Signal sequences in proteins were detected using SignalP 6.0 [39]. The genome of StenM\_174 was deposited to the NCBI GenBank database with the accession number OR729839.

To estimate the taxonomy of StenM\_174, a comparative proteomic phylogenetic analysis was performed on the Viral Proteome Tree Server v. 3.7 (ViPTree) [40] (https://www.genome.jp/viptree, accessed on 23 September 2023). Intergenomic similarity (SG) was calculated using the Virus Intergenomic Distance Calculator (VIRIDIC) [41] (http://rhea.icbm.uni-oldenburg.de/VIRIDIC, accessed on 25 September 2023).

# 2.7. Phylogenetic Analysis of Phage Proteins

Proteins of interest were searched in the NCBI GenBank database with BLASTP and BLASTX (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, accessed on 3 October 2023) and extracted for further analysis. Protein sequences were aligned, and phylogenetic analysis was performed in the MEGA 7.0 software [42].

# 2.8. Modeling of 3D Structures of Tail Spike Proteins

Protein structures were modeled using the ColabFold v. 1.5.3 implementation of AlphaFold2 and AlphaFold-Multimer [43] (https://colab.research.google.com/github/ sokrypton/ColabFold/blob/main/AlphaFold2.ipynb, accessed on 8 November 2023). The models were edited and visualized using UCSF Chimera v. 1.13 [44]. Molecular dynamics (MD) was used for the relaxation of individual trimeric domains of the gp43 protein. MD experiments were performed using GROMACS 2020.3 [45] running on Nvidia Tesla V100equipped GPU nodes of the High-Performance Computing Center of Novosibirsk State University ("NUSC NSU"). MD experiments were performed for 50 ns at 310 K and 1 bar pressure using the amber99SB force field and tip3p water molecules. Simulation trajectories were centered using GROMACS and analyzed using VMD v. 1.9.3.

## 3. Results

# 3.1. Bacterial Host Identification

The clinical isolate *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 was kindly provided by the Novosibirsk Research Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics. The strain was mesophilic and grew at 25 °C and above, and an optimum growth temperature was 37 °C. Light-field microscopy revealed motile small bacilli. The identification of bacterial species was carried out via the sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene; the sequence was submitted to the NCBI GenBank database (ID OP393915).

### 3.2. StenM\_174 Isolation, Plaques, and Phage Particle Morphology

StenM\_174 was isolated from a litter sample obtained from a poultry farm using a clinical strain of *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 as the host. StenM\_174 formed large transparent plaques with a diameter of about 1 mm on the lawn of the host strain. The electron microscopy of StenM\_174 revealed an icosahedral head ( $\emptyset \sim 60$  nm) connected to a short



non-contractile tail (Figure 1). The morphology of the phage particle corresponded to the morphotype of podoviruses.

Figure 1. Electron micrograph of StenM\_174 phage particles negatively stained with 1% uranyl acetate.

#### 3.3. StenM\_174 Biological Properties and Host Range

The biological properties of StenM\_174 were studied using the clinical strain *S. mal-tophilia* CEMTC 2355 as the host. More than 64% of StenM\_174 phage particles attached to host cells within 9 min in phage adsorption experiments. A one-step growth assay of StenM\_174 revealed a short latent period of about 20 min with a burst size of ~ 100 phage particles per infected cell. The multistep bacterial killing experiments were carried out in three variants, in which phage was added to cells with MOIs of 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001; bacterial lysis was dose-dependent, and the MOI value of 0.1 corresponded to the fastest and maximum decrease in the number of viable host cells (Figure 2). The obtained data showed the virulent properties of StenM\_174.



**Figure 2.** The multistep bacterial killing curve for the *S. maltophilia* CEMTC 2355 in the life cycle of StenM\_174.

The host range of StenM\_174 was analyzed using 65 strains of 10 *Stenotrophomonas* species (Table 1). StenM\_174 was specific mainly to *S. maltophilia* strains, as it infected 16 of the 21 tested strains. No correlation was found between the origin of this particular *S. maltophilia* strain and its sensitivity to the phage (Table 2). In addition, several environmental strains of *S. rhizophila* and *S. lactitubi* were sensitive to this phage (Tables 1 and 2). It is noteworthy that StenM\_174 reproduces well in the temperature range from 25 °C to 37 °C (Table 2). Its reproduction likely depends on the metabolic activity of a particular bacterial host at a certain temperature.

No	Species <sup>1</sup>	Number of Environmental Strains	Number of Strains Isolated from Insects	Number of ClinicalStrains
1	S. maltophilia	4 (3) <sup>2</sup>	4 (4)	14 (9)
2	S. rhizophila	15 (1)	0	0
3	S. geniculata	2	0	4
4	S. pavanii	5	0	1
5	S. chelatiphaga	5	0	0
6	S. lactitubi	5 (1)	0	0
7	S. bentonitica	2	0	0
8	S. acidaminiphila	1	0	0
9	S. tumulicola	1	0	0
10	S. nematodicola	1	0	0
	Total	41 (5)	4 (4)	20 (9)

Table 1. List of studied Stenotrophomonas strains.

<sup>1</sup> Bacterial strains were identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing. <sup>2</sup> The number of strains sensitive to the phage StenM\_174 is indicated in brackets.

Table 2. List of Stenotrophomonas strains sensitive to StenM\_174.

No	Species	Isolation Source	No in CEMTC (GenBank ID)	Growth Temperature, °C	Relative Efficiency of Plating (EOP) <sup>2</sup>
1	S. lactitubi	environmental	1947 (MZ424758)	25	high
2	S. maltophilia	environmental	2142 (MZ424754)	25	high
3	S. maltophilia	environmental	3963 (MZ424759)	25	high
4	S. maltophilia	environmental	7824	25	high
5	S. maltophilia	clinical	2164 (MZ424760)	37	low
6	S. maltophilia	clinical	2329 (MZ424765)	37	low
7	S. maltophilia <sup>1</sup>	clinical	2355 (OP393915)	37	$5 imes 10^7$
8	S. maltophilia	clinical	2356	37	high
9	S. maltophilia	clinical	2517 (MZ424766)	37	low
10	S. maltophilia	clinical	3051 (MZ424761)	37	low
11	S. maltophilia	clinical	3806 (MZ424764)	37	low
12	S. maltophilia	clinical	4225	37	medium
13	S. maltophilia	clinical	4227	37	high
14	S. maltophilia	insect	3659 (MT040043)	28	medium
15	S. maltophilia	insect	3664 (MT040044)	28	high
16	S. maltophilia	insect	3670 (MT040045)	28	medium
17	S. maltophilia	insect	3672 (MT040046)	28	medium
18	S. rhizophila	environmental	5507 (OQ353079)	25	low

<sup>1</sup> Bacterial host/isolation strain marked with bold. <sup>2</sup> The EOP value = phage titer on test bacterium/phage titer on host bacterium. EOP values of >1 were ranked as 'high' efficiency; 0.2–1 denoted 'medium' efficiency; and 0.001–0.2 denoted 'low' efficiency.

#### 3.4. Genome Characteristics

The length of the genome of the phage was 42,956 bp with a GC content of 59.9%, which is close to ~66.5% GC content in *S. maltophilia* genomes (GenBank IDs NC011071 and AM743169). The termini of the genome were redundant and contained direct terminal repeats with a length of 499 bp (Data S2). A total of 52 putative open reading frames (ORFs) were identified using the RAST tool; of those, 31 encoded proteins with predicted functions, while the remaining 21 ORFs were defined as hypothetical ones. No genes encoding tRNA were found. All ORFs were unidirectional and could be grouped into clusters according to their putative functions; however, the precise definition of the boundaries between genetic clusters is complicated due to the presence of several genes that encode hypothetical proteins (Figure 3).





A cluster of 15 hypothetical genes is located at the beginning of the genome. Only two of their gene products, gp12 and gp13, have limited similarity with the shock protein A and S-adenosyl methionine lyase of the *Escherichia coli* phage K12, respectively. Presumably, this cluster of genes, comprising the so-called early genes, is responsible for switching the bacterial host cell to the synthesis of phage RNA and phage proteins. The next cluster of genes is associated with the synthesis of phage DNA and RNA. It includes the genes encoding phage DNA primase (ORF 16), phage-associated DNA helicase (ORF 20), DNA polymerase (ORF 22), DNA ligase (ORF 29), and DNA-dependent RNA polymerase (ORF 31). One more group of genes is responsible for virion assembly, DNA packaging, and the phage-induced lysis of the infected cell. The putative structural protein gp42 was annotated as an ejection protein with murein transglycosylase activity. In addition, this cluster contained genes encoding the proteins of lytic cassette, and one of them, gp51, was classified as a peptidoglycan N-acetylmuramoyl hydrolase. The signal peptide was detected in the gp51 sequence using the SignalP 6.0 tool; hence, gp51 is a SAR-endolysin (Data S3).

The characteristics of the genome of StenM\_174, including the size of the genome, the presence of direct terminal repeats, and single-subunit RNA and DNA polymerases, attributed this phage to the *Autographiviridae* family.

#### 3.5. Structural Model of Receptor-Binding Proteins of StenM\_174

The analysis of the StenM-174 genome revealed four genes encoding putative tail proteins (gp43–gp46). HHPred and InterProScan search did not reveal homology or function similarity for these proteins, with the exception of gp44, in which a carbohydrate-binding domain was identified. Similar *Stenotrophomonas* podophage Ponderosa has been previously described [24]. Its genome contained four genes, whose products were similar to gp43–gp46 of StenM\_174. These four Ponderosa proteins were annotated as tail fiber proteins [24]. In addition, several *Xanthomonas* podophages (NC\_020205, NC\_048703, and MK903278) contained similar genes, encoding proteins that were annotated as tail fiber proteins. To clarify the putative function of structural proteins gp43–gp46, structural modeling using ColabFold v1.5.3 (a version of AlphaFold2) was performed [43].

Three-dimensional (3D) structures of four proteins, gp43–gp46, were modeled. The resulting structures of gp45 and gp46 proteins looked like globular domains and demonstrated no structural similarity with known tail fiber or tail spike proteins (Figure S1). Therefore, further analysis was carried out for proteins gp43 and gp44.

The 3D structure of the homotrimeric form of gp43 was constructed. Globular domains were modeled with high confidence. The extended flexible regions, which connect the globular domains, were modeled with less confidence than globular domains; however, the structure of the gp43 trimer seems reliable (Figure 4). The molecular dynamic relaxation of the structure for 50 ns did not reveal any significant deviations from the initial model during the simulation. The structure of the gp43 trimer was asymmetric, unlike most tail spike/tail fiber proteins, which usually form symmetrical homotrimers. However, an asymmetric type of structure is common for adaptor tail spike proteins that contain T4gp10-like branching domains (brDs) [46,47]. Despite the absence of a general symmetry for the entire molecule, each of the three domains has its own local three-fold axis of symmetry (Figure 4). The N-terminal domain is an anchor (or adapter) domain similar to the N-terminal domain of the gp17 tail fiber protein of the T7 phage (pdb 7EY9, 8E4G, and 8DSP). The N-terminal anchor domain is followed by brD, which is used to attach other tail spikes or tail fibers. The C-terminal domain has a globular structure, which is presumably used for carbohydrate binding and cleavage (Figure 4). The analysis using DALI [48] (http://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali, access date 7 November 2023) revealed some structural similarity with one of the domains of the gp9 protein of the T4 phage (pdb 1ZKU, Z-score 8.2). Thus, structural modeling confirmed that the gp43 protein of StenM\_174 is a tail spike adaptor protein.

Then, the 3D structures of the gp44 monomer and homotrimer were investigated. The N-terminal part, excluding the first 21 aa, was modeled with high confidence and divided into two domains: D1 with beta-sandwich fold and D2 with jelly roll fold (Figure 5A). Both open and closed conformations were modeled with high confidence. According to the HHpred and DALI analysis, the D2 domain has a structure similar to the carbohydrate-binding domains. The carbohydrate-binding aa residues are located on the concave side of this domain (Figure 5B). The fact that some phage tail spike proteins also contain domains similar to D2 (e.g., gp52 of *Klebsiella* phage Kp7, pdb 7XYC) clearly indicates that gp44 is actually a tail spike protein. The C-terminal part of this protein and N-terminal 21 aa were modeled with low confidence due to the limited number of similar sequences in databases.

According to the results of structural modeling, only gp43 and gp44 were identified as tail spike proteins, and the remaining two, gp45 and gp46, were undefined structural proteins. Thus, StenM\_174 has only two putative tail spike proteins: gp43 and gp44.

#### 3.6. Comparative Analysis of the StenM\_174 Genome and its Taxonomy

To clarify the taxonomy of StenM\_174, its genome was compared with the available phage sequences from the NCBI GenBank database using BLASTN search (accessed on 20 September 2023). Eleven similar phage genomes were extracted from the NCBI GenBank and used for further comparative analysis with ViPTree. As a result, StenM\_174 was assigned to a heterogeneous group of phages, which contained several *Stenotrophomonas* phages, *Xylella* phage Paz, and *Xanthomonas* phage Xaa\_vB\_phi31 (Figure 6).

In addition, the analysis of intergenomic similarity for StenM\_174 with the most similar phages was carried out using VIRIDIC, and genome alignment was performed using the ViPTree tool. It was found that the level of SG between studied genomes corresponded to the data obtained using ViPTree analysis (Figure 7), and the genomes of the studied phages demonstrated a clear gene synteny (Figure 8).



**Figure 4.** Structural model of homotrimeric form of gp43. N-terminal domains are shown in blue; branching domains are in tan, gray, or brown; and C-terminal domains are in pink. Dashed lines and red triangles indicate 3-fold symmetry axes.

Thus, the analyzed group of phages (*Stenotrophomonas* phages, *Xylella* phage Paz, and *Xanthomonas* phage Xaa\_vB\_phi31) can be divided into three putative genera. The first of them combines *Stenotrophomonas* phages Ponderosa, Pepon, StenM\_174, TS-10, and Ptah. The second contains *Stenotrophomonas* phages vB\_SmaS\_P15, BUCT598, c9-N, vB\_SmeS\_BUCT703, and vB\_SmeS\_BUCT700. The *Xylella* phage Paz and the *Xanthomonas* phage Xaa\_vB\_phi31 can be united into one more genus. All these putative genera have >70% intergenomic similarity between their members (Figure 7), which meets the criteria of definition phage genera established by the ICTV taxonomy committee [49]. It should be noted that the taxonomic position of another *Stenotrophomonas* phage, BUCT609, remains unclear since its level of intergenomic similarity with related phages is <60%; thus, it is likely a prototype of another putative genus.



**Figure 5.** Structural models of gp44 of StenM174: (**A**) model of an N-terminal part of gp44 monomer; the D1 domain is shown in blue and yellow, and the D2 domain is green; the amino acid sequence of D1 and D2 domains are colored corresponding to the 3D structure; (**B**) homotrimeric model of gp44; carbohydrate-binding residues for one D2 domain are shown in orange.

We propose to name one of these new genera, including the studied phage StenM\_174 and the phages Ponderosa, Pepon, Ptah, and TS-10, *Ponderosavirus*, in accordance with the name of the first studied member of this genus, the Ponderosa phage [24].

Moreover, it was assumed that these three putative genera, together with members of the *Pradovirus* genus, can be combined into a putative subfamily in the *Autographiviridae* family. Currently, the *Autographiviridae* family is a large taxonomy subdivision, which contains more than 380 phages. This family is divided into nine subfamilies, namely *Beijerinckvirinae, Colwellvirinae, Corkvirinae, Krylovirinae, Melnykvirinae, Molineuxvirinae, Okabevirinae, Slopekvirinae,* and *Studiervirinae* [17]. To test our assumption, members of all these subfamilies, along with the studied group of phages, were compared using the ViPTree tool. It was revealed that the investigated *Stenotrophomonas, Xanthomonas,* and *Xylella* phages form a monophyletic clade that is not part of any known subfamily of the *Autographiviridae* family (Figure 6). To confirm our assumption, the level of intergenomic similarity between the phages of this clade was also calculated and compared with a similar indicator in other subfamilies. It was found that the studied group of phages had an SG level of >13% (Figure 7). This corresponded to the SG calculated for several other subfamilies of the *Autographiviridae* family (Figure 7).



**Figure 6.** Viral proteomic tree for the phage StenM\_174 constructed using ViPTree tool. Red branches indicate phage sequences that were downloaded from the NCBI GenBank database and added to the analysis manually. StenM\_174 is marked with a red asterisk.



Figure 7. Matrix of intergenomic similarity, calculated for StenM\_174 and similar phages.

To confirm our suggestion, the phylogenetic analysis of two essential proteins of StenM\_174, namely the capsid protein and the large subunit of terminase, was carried out (Supplementary, Figures S2 and S3). It was found that the topology of the protein phylogenetic trees corresponded to the results of comparative genomic analysis.



Consequently, the studied group of phages can be combined into the proposed sub-family *Pradovirinae*.

Figure 8. Alignment of the StenM\_174 genome together with similar genomes of other phages.

# 4. Discussion

The isolation and characterization of phages specific to the multidrug-resistant pathogen *S. maltophilia* open new therapeutic possibilities. The search for new phages with therapeutic potential is important because of the high genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity of the *S. maltophilia* populations [3]. In this study, we isolated a new phage, which infects *Stenotrophomonas* spp. isolates, and we characterized this phage in terms of genome, taxonomy, and biological properties. The studied phage possessed high lytic activity, and its virions corresponded to the morphotype of podoviruses. A comparative analysis of the genome revealed that the *Stenotrophomonas* phage StenM\_174, along with the phages Ponderosa, Pepon, Ptah, and TS-10, can be members of the new putative genus *Ponderosavirus* within the *Autographiviridae* family. In addition, the data analysis suggests a new putative subfamily, *Pradovirinae*, within the *Autographiviridae* family.

Members of the *Autographiviridae* family have outstanding antibacterial potential since most of them are strictly lytic and highly virulent for their hosts. This type of phage often uses surface lipopolysaccharides of bacterial cells as receptors. Due to a wide variety of surface polysaccharides in Gram-negative bacteria, most podoviruses are highly specific and have a narrow host range, which is a bottleneck for the use of this group of phages as antibacterial agents. Note that multistep bacterial killing experiments revealed the lytic characteristics of the StenM\_174 phage and its potential for use in the treatment of infections caused by Stenotrophomonas (Figure 2). At the same time, the regrowth of phage-resistant bacteria was observed several hours after exposure to the phage, so using a specific phage may not be sufficient. Therefore, the preferred method of phage therapy is to apply a phage cocktail, which reduces the growth of phage-resistant cells, or to combine phage treatment with antibiotics.

In fact, there is limited information about the host range for phages that are similar to StenM\_174. Currently, the bacterial host range has been reported for only two phages, BUCT598 and BUCT609. The first reproduced in 30%, and the second reproduced in 38% of the tested strains [19,50]. Surprisingly, the studied phage StenM\_174 reproduced well in a wide range of *S. maltophilia* strains (>70% of the tested strains) and several strains of other *Stenotrophomonas* species. This phage likely uses a conservative part of the

surface lipopolysaccharide as a receptor. In addition, the genome of the phage contains two genes encoding receptor-binding proteins, namely a tail fiber adapter (gp43) and tail fiber (gp44), each of which can interact with different bacterial receptors. This contributes to the expanded number of susceptible *Stenotrophomonas* strains.

Despite the large number of recently isolated and characterized *Stenotrophomonas* phages, little is known about their phage–host interaction and their attachment to bacterial receptors. So far, only two types of bacterial receptors have been identified. The first is the TonB protein, which interacts with the *Stenotrophomonas* phage BUCT603 [20]. The second receptor is Type IV bacterial pili. The latter are essential receptors for a group of similar *Stenotrophomonas* phages [51–53]. In this regard, further identification of the receptor-binding proteins of these phages and the corresponding bacterial surface receptors is of interest.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/v16010018/s1, Data S1. Data on Stenotrophomonas phages extracted from the GenBank database. Data S2. Analysis of the StenM\_174 genome using PhageTerm tool. Data S3. Analysis of the protein sequence of the StenM\_174 endolysin using the SignalP tool. Figure S1. AlphaFold models are colored according to the modeling confidence. Figure S2. Phylogenetic analysis of the major capsid protein was carried out using MEGA 7.0. Sequence alignment was performed using CLUSTALW; the maximum likelihood method with the bootstrap value 1000 was used for phylogenetic tree construction. The putative *Pradovirinae* subfamily is marked with a light-red box, and *Okabevirinae* and *Melnykvirinae* are marked with blue and yellow boxes, respectively. Figure S3. Phylogenetic analysis of the large subunit of terminase was carried out using MEGA 7.0. Sequence alignment was performed using CLUSTALW; the maximum likelihood method with the bootstrap value 1000 was used for phylogenetic tree construction. The putative *Pradovirinae* was carried out using MEGA 7.0. Sequence alignment was performed using CLUSTALW; the maximum likelihood method with the bootstrap value 1000 was used for phylogenetic tree construction. The putative *Pradovirinae* subfamily is marked with a light-red box, and *Okabevirinae* and *Melnykvirinae* are marked with blue and yellow boxes, respectively.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, V.V.M. and V.I.Y.; methodology, V.V.M., V.I.Y. and I.V.B.; validation, A.Y.T.; formal analysis, I.K.B.; investigation, A.V.B. and Y.N.K.; resources, N.V.T.; data curation, E.V.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, V.V.M. and V.I.Y.; writing—review and editing, N.V.T.; visualization, V.V.M. and V.I.Y.; supervision, N.V.T.; project administration, N.V.T.; funding acquisition, N.V.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation under Project Grant No. 075-15-2021-1085.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The article does not involve studies with human participants or animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** The genome sequence of the *Stenotrophomonas* phage StenM\_174 and 16S rRNA sequences of *Stenotrophomonas* strains are available in the GenBank database.

Acknowledgments: The authors would thank the High-Performance Computing Center of Novosibirsk State University ("NUSC NSU") for providing resources to perform MD simulation experiments. The authors would also thank the Google Colab team and authors of ColabFold for providing free access to perform AlphaFold2 modeling. In addition, the authors express their gratitude to technician Kaverina Galina for her helpful assistance.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors have no relevant financial or nonfinancial interests to disclose. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Berg, G.; Martinez, J.L. Friends or foes: Can we make a distinction between beneficial and harmful strains of the *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* complex? *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 241. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ryan, R.P.; Monchy, S.; Cardinale, M.; Taghavi, S.; Crossman, L.; Avison, M.B.; Berg, G.; Van Der Lelie, D.; Dow, J.M. The versatility and adaptation of bacteria from the genus Stenotrophomonas. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 2009, 7, 514–525. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Gröschel, M.I.; Meehan, C.J.; Barilar, I.; Diricks, M.; Gonzaga, A.; Steglich, M.; Conchillo-Solé, O.; Scherer, I.-C.; Mamat, U.; Luz, C.F.; et al. The phylogenetic landscape and nosocomial spread of the multidrug-resistant opportunist *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, *11*, 28. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Al-Anazi, K.A.; Al-Jasser, A.M. Infections caused by *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in recipients of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Front. Oncol.* 2014, 4, 232. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brooke, J.S. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: An emerging global opportunistic pathogen. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2012, 25, 2–41. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. McCutcheon, J.G.; Dennis, J.J. The Potential of phage therapy against the emerging opportunistic pathogen *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 1057. [CrossRef]
- 7. Chung, H.-S.; Kim, K.; Hong, S.S.; Hong, S.G.; Lee, K.; Chong, Y. The *sul1* gene in *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* with high-level resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. *Ann. Lab. Med.* **2015**, *35*, 246–249. [CrossRef]
- 8. Charoenlap, N.; Jiramonai, L.; Chittrakanwong, J.; Tunsakul, N.; Mongkolsuk, S.; Vattanaviboon, P. Inactivation of *ahpC* renders *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* resistant to the disinfectant hydrogen peroxide. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* **2019**, *112*, 809–814. [CrossRef]
- Hu, L.-F.; Chang, X.; Ye, Y.; Wang, Z.-X.; Shao, Y.-B.; Shi, W.; Li, X.; Li, J.-B. Bin *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole mediated by acquisition of sul and dfrA genes in a plasmid-mediated class 1 integron. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2011, 37, 230–234. [CrossRef]
- 10. Han, P.; Hu, Y.; An, X.; Song, L.; Fan, H.; Tong, Y. Biochemical and genomic characterization of a novel bacteriophage BUCT555 lysing *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Virus Res.* **2021**, *301*, 198465. [CrossRef]
- Chang, H.C.; Chen, C.R.; Lin, J.W.; Shen, G.H.; Chang, K.M.; Tseng, Y.H.; Weng, S.F. Isolation and characterization of novel giant Stenotrophomonas maltophilia phage φSMA5. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2005, 71, 1387–1393. [CrossRef]
- 12. Chen, C.R.; Lin, C.H.; Lin, J.W.; Chang, C.I.; Tseng, Y.H.; Weng, S.F. Characterization of a novel T4-type *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* virulent phage Smp14. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2007**, *188*, 191–197. [CrossRef]
- 13. Huang, Y.; Fan, H.; Pei, G.; Fan, H.; Zhang, Z.; An, X.; Mi, Z.; Shi, T.; Tong, Y. Complete genome sequence of IME15, the first T7-like bacteriophage lytic to pan-antibiotic-resistant *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. J. Virol. **2012**, *86*, 13839–13840. [CrossRef]
- 14. García, P.; Monjardín, C.; Martín, R.; Madera, C.; Soberón, N.; Garcia, E.; Meana, A.; Suárez, J.E. Isolation of new Stenotrophomonas bacteriophages and genomic characterization of temperate phage S1. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2008**, *74*, 7552–7560. [CrossRef]
- 15. Peters, D.L.; Lynch, K.H.; Stothard, P.; Dennis, J.J. The isolation and characterization of two *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteriophages capable of cross-taxonomic order infectivity. *BMC Genom.* **2015**, *16*, 664. [CrossRef]
- Fan, H.; Huang, Y.; Mi, Z.; Yin, X.; Wang, L.; Fan, H.; Zhang, Z.; An, X.; Chen, J.; Tong, Y. Complete genome sequence of IME13, a *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteriophage with large burst size and unique plaque polymorphism. *J. Virol.* 2012, *86*, 11392–11393. [CrossRef]
- 17. Turner, D.; Shkoporov, A.N.; Lood, C.; Millard, A.D.; Dutilh, B.E.; Alfenas-Zerbini, P.; van Zyl, L.J.; Aziz, R.K.; Oksanen, H.M.; Poranen, M.M.; et al. Abolishment of morphology-based taxa and change to binomial species names: 2022 taxonomy update of the ICTV bacterial viruses subcommittee. *Arch. Virol.* **2023**, *168*, 74. [CrossRef]
- 18. Zhang, J.; Li, X. Biological characteristics of phage SM1 for *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* and its effect in animal infection model. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Med. Sci.* **2013**, *42*, 331–336.
- 19. Han, K.; Dong, Y.; An, X.; Song, L.; Li, M.; Fan, H.; Tong, Y. Potential application of a newly isolated phage BUCT609 infecting *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*, 1001237. [CrossRef]
- Han, P.; Zhang, W.; Pu, M.; Li, Y.; Song, L.; An, X.; Li, M.; Li, F.; Zhang, S.; Fan, H.; et al. Characterization of the bacteriophage BUCT603 and therapeutic potential evaluation against drug-resistant *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* in a mouse model. *Front. Microbiol.* 2022, 13, 906961. [CrossRef]
- Li, Y.; Pu, M.; Han, P.; Li, M.; An, X.; Song, L.; Fan, H.; Chen, Z.; Tong, Y. Efficacy in Galleria mellonella larvae and application potential assessment of a new bacteriophage BUCT700 extensively lyse *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 2023, 11, e0403022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Fanaei Pirlar, R.; Wagemans, J.; Kunisch, F.; Lavigne, R.; Trampuz, A.; Gonzalez Moreno, M. Novel *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteriophage as potential therapeutic agent. *Pharmaceutics* **2022**, *14*, 2216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Pedramfar, A.; Maal, K.B.; Mirdamadian, S.H. Phage therapy of corrosion-producing bacterium *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* using isolated lytic bacteriophages. *Anti-Corros. Methods Mater.* **2017**, *64*, 607–612. [CrossRef]
- 24. Marquez, A.; Newkirk, H.; Moreland, R.; Gonzalez, C.F.; Liu, M.; Ramsey, J. Complete genome sequence of *Stenotrophomonas* maltophilia podophage Ponderosa. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2019**, *8*, e01032-19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, J.; Lo, J.; Clark, J.; Le, T.; Burrowes, B.; Liu, M. Complete genome sequence of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* podophage Pepon. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* 2022, 11, e00158-22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Berg, A.; Tate, N.; Clark, J.; Le, T.; Burrowes, B.; Liu, M. Complete genome sequence of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* podophage Ptah. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2022**, *11*, e00137-22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Wang, Y.; Qian, P.Y. Conservative fragments in bacterial 16S rRNA genes and primer design for 16S ribosomal DNA amplicons in metagenomic studies. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e7401. [CrossRef]
- Bacteriophage λ and its vectors. In *Molecular Cloning*, 3rd ed.; Sambrook, J.; Russell, D. (Eds.) Cold Spring Harbour Laboratory Press: New York, NY, USA, 2001; Volume 1, pp. 2.25–2.106.

- Morozova, V.; Kozlova, Y.; Jdeed, G.; Tikunov, A.; Ushakova, T.; Bardasheva, A.; Zhirakovskaia, E.; Poletaeva, Y.; Ryabchikova, E.; Tikunova, N.V. A Novel Aeromonas popoffii Phage AerP\_220 proposed to be a member of a new Tolavirus genus in the Autographiviridae family. *Viruses* 2022, 14, 2733. [CrossRef]
- 30. Heo, Y.J.; Lee, Y.R.; Jung, H.H.; Lee, J.; Ko, G.; Cho, Y.H. Antibacterial Efficacy of phages against Pseudomonas aeruginosa infections in mice and Drosophila melanogaster. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2009**, *53*, 2469–2474. [CrossRef]
- 31. Kutter, E. Phage host range and efficiency of plating. In *Bacteriophages: Methods and Protocols*; Clokie, M.R.J., Kropinski, A.M., Eds.; Humana Press: New York, NY, USA, 2009; Volume 1, pp. 141–149. [CrossRef]
- 32. O'Flaherty, S.; Coffey, A.; Edwards, R.; Meaney, W.; Fitzgerald, G.F.; Ross, R.P. Genome of staphylococcal phage K: A new lineage of Myoviridae infecting gram-positive bacteria with a low GC content. *J. Bacteriol.* **2004**, *186*, 2862–2871. [CrossRef]
- 33. Bolger, A.M.; Lohse, M.; Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014, 30, 2114–2120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prjibelski, A.; Antipov, D.; Meleshko, D.; Lapidus, A.; Korobeynikov, A. Using SPAdes de novo assembler. *Curr. Protoc. Bioinform.* 2020, 70, e102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Overbeek, R.; Olson, R.; Pusch, G.D.; Olsen, G.J.; Davis, J.J.; Disz, T.; Edwards, R.A.; Gerdes, S.; Parrello, B.; Shukla, M.; et al. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Tech-nology (RAST). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014, 42, D206–D214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Quevillon, E.; Silventoinen, V.; Pillai, S.; Harte, N.; Mulder, N.; Apweiler, R.; Lopez, R. InterProScan: Protein domains identifier. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005, 33, W116–W120. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 37. Söding, J.; Biegert, A.; Lupas, A.N. The HHpred interactive server for protein homology detection and structure prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2005**, *33*, W244–W248. [CrossRef]
- Garneau, J.R.; Depardieu, F.; Fortier, L.C.; Bikard, D.; Monot, M. PhageTerm: A tool for fast and accurate determination of phage termini and packaging mechanism using next-generation sequencing data. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 8292. [CrossRef]
- Teufel, F.; Armenteros, J.J.A.; Johansen, A.R.; Gíslason, M.H.; Pihl, S.I.; Tsirigos, K.D.; Winther, O.; Brunak, S.; von Heijne, G.; Nielsen, H. SignalP 6.0 predicts all five types of signal peptides using protein language models. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 2022, 40, 1023–1025. [CrossRef]
- 40. Nishimura, Y.; Yoshida, T.; Kuronishi, M.; Uehara, H.; Ogata, H.; Goto, S. ViPTree: The viral proteomic tree server. *Bioinformatics* 2017, *33*, 2379–2380. [CrossRef]
- 41. Moraru, C.; Varsani, A.; Kropinski, A.M. VIRIDIC—A novel tool to calculate the intergenomic similarities of prokary-ote-infecting viruses. *Viruses* 2020, 12, 1268. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Tamura, K. MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2016, 33, 1870–1874. [CrossRef]
- 43. Mirdita, M.; Schütze, K.; Moriwaki, Y.; Heo, L.; Ovchinnikov, S.; Steinegger, M. ColabFold: Making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* **2022**, *19*, 679–682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Pettersen, E.F.; Goddard, T.D.; Huang, C.C.; Couch, G.S.; Greenblatt, D.M.; Meng, E.C.; Ferrin, T.E. UCSF Chimera—A visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* **2004**, 25, 1605–1612. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pronk, S.; Páll, S.; Schulz, R.; Larsson, P.; Bjelkmar, P.; Apostolov, R.; Shirts, M.R.; Smith, J.C.; Kasson, P.M.; Van Der Spoel, D.; et al. GROMACS 4.5: A high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit. *Bioinformatics* 2013, 29, 845–854. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 46. Taylor, N.M.I.; Prokhorov, N.S.; Guerrero-Ferreira, R.C.; Shneider, M.M.; Browning, C.; Goldie, K.N.; Stahlberg, H.; Leiman, P.G. Structure of the T4 baseplate and its function in triggering sheath contraction. *Nature* **2016**, *533*, 346–352. [CrossRef]
- Chao, K.L.; Shang, X.; Greenfield, J.; Linden, S.B.; Alreja, A.B.; Nelson, D.C.; Herzberg, O. Structure of Escherichia coli O157:H7 bacteriophage CBA120 tailspike protein 4 baseplate anchor and tailspike assembly domains (TSP4-N). *Sci. Rep.* 2022, *12*, 2061. [CrossRef]
- Holm, L.; Laiho, A.; Toronen, P.; Salgado, M. DALI shines a light on remote homologs: One hundred discoveries. *Protein Sci.* 2023, 32, e4519. [CrossRef]
- 49. Turner, D.; Kropinski, A.M.; Adriaenssens, E.M. A Roadmap for genome-based phage taxonomy. Viruses 2021, 13, 506. [CrossRef]
- 50. Han, K.; He, X.; Fan, H.; Song, L.; An, X.; Li, M.; Tong, Y. Characterization and genome analysis of a novel *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteriophage BUCT598 with extreme pH resistance. *Virus Res.* **2022**, *314*, 198751. [CrossRef]
- 51. McCutcheon, J.G.; Peters, D.L.; Dennis, J.J. Identification and characterization of type IV pili as the cellular receptor of broad host range *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bacteriophages DLP1 and DLP2. *Viruses* **2018**, *10*, 338. [CrossRef]
- 52. McCutcheon, J.G.; Lin, A.; Dennis, J.J. Isolation and characterization of the novel bacteriophage AXL3 against *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2020**, *21*, 6338. [CrossRef]
- 53. McCutcheon, J.G.; Lin, A.; Dennis, J.J. Characterization of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* phage AXL1 as a member of the genus Pamexvirus encoding resistance to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*, 10299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.