



Article Comparative Genomics of a Polyvalent Escherichia-Salmonella Phage fp01 and In Silico Analysis of Its Receptor Binding Protein and Conserved Enterobacteriaceae Phage Receptor

Ignacio Vasquez¹, Julio Retamales², Barbara Parra³, Vimbai Machimbirike¹, James Robeson^{4,*} and Javier Santander^{1,*}

- ¹ Marine Microbial Pathogenesis and Vaccinology Laboratory, Department of Ocean Science, Memorial University, St. John's, NL A1C 5S7, Canada
- ² Instituto de Ciencias Naturales, Universidad de las Américas, Viña del Mar 2520000, Chile; retamales@udla.c
- ³ Subdepartment of Molecular Genetics, Public Health Institute of Chile, Santiago 9140000, Chile
- ⁴ Laboratory of Microbiology, Institute of Biology, Pontifical Catholic University of Valparaíso, Valparaiso 2370000, Chile
- * Correspondence: james.robeson@pucv.cl (J.R.); jsantander@mun.ca (J.S.)

Abstract: The polyvalent bacteriophage fp01, isolated from wastewater in Valparaiso, Chile, was described to have lytic activity across bacterial species, including *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* enterica serovars. Due to its polyvalent nature, the bacteriophage fp01 has potential applications in the biomedical, food and agricultural industries. Also, fundamental aspects of polyvalent bacteriophage biology are unknown. In this study, we sequenced and described the complete genome of the polyvalent phage fp01 (MH745368.2) using long- (MinION, Nanopore) and short-reads (MiSeq, Illumina) sequencing. The bacteriophage fp01 genome has 109,515 bp, double-stranded DNA with an average G+C content of 39%, and 158 coding sequences (CDSs). Phage fp01 has genes with high similarity to *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Shigella* sp. phages. Phylogenetic analyses indicated that the phage fp01 is a new *Tequintavirus* fp01 specie. Receptor binding protein gp108 was identified as potentially responsible for fp01 polyvalent characteristics, which binds to conserved amino acid regions of the FhuA receptor of Enterobacteriaceae.

Keywords: polyvalent bacteriophage fp01; Escherichia coli; Salmonella; genome

1. Introduction

Bacteriophages or phages are bacterial viruses characterized by their obligatory bacterial parasitism, influencing bacterial ecology and evolution [1,2]. Since the early 1900s, lytic bacteriophages have been utilized as prophylactic and therapeutic agents against bacterial infectious diseases [3,4]. A large amount of research proves the effectiveness and safety of bacteriophages utilization [5,6]. Nowadays, the utilization of bacteriophages has public acceptance and government approval [7]. Commercial bacteriophage cocktails are currently utilized in human and animal health, and in the Agri-food industry to prevent bacterial infectious diseases [4,8]. Bacteriophage host-range is typically narrow, and lytic bacteriophages are usually species-specific or even strain-specific [9]. Most of the bacteriophages possess a tail that allows specific recognition and subsequent adsorption to a receptor at the surface of the host bacterium [10,11]. Because of phage-host specificity, phage cocktails or mixes that offer a broad host-range are frequently utilized in commercial preparations [12,13].

Polyvalent phages have been described since 1933 [14], including phages of Enterobacteria [11] and staphylococci [15], *Aerobacter aerogenes* [16], and *Pseudomonas* spp. [17]. Polyvalent phages that can infect different bacteria species or serotypes are very attractive for industrial applications. Polyvalent phages offer the possibility of increasing bacterial



Citation: Vasquez, I.; Retamales, J.; Parra, B.; Machimbirike, V.; Robeson, J.; Santander, J. Comparative Genomics of a Polyvalent *Escherichia-Salmonella* Phage fp01 and In Silico Analysis of Its Receptor Binding Protein and Conserved Enterobacteriaceae Phage Receptor. *Viruses* 2023, *15*, 379. https:// doi.org/10.3390/v15020379

Academic Editors: Dann Turner, Karin Holmfeldt and Tessa Quax

Received: 2 December 2022 Revised: 23 January 2023 Accepted: 24 January 2023 Published: 28 January 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). species coverage of the phage cocktails [12,13], and to propagate the bacteriophages in non-pathogenic hosts, reducing the risk of accidental contamination of preparations with the target pathogen.

The polyvalent bacteriophage fp01 was isolated from wastewater in the V region of Chile, using *Salmonella enterica* serotype Choleraesuis VAL201 as the host [18]. Previous taxonomic analyses of the phage fp01 indicated that this phage belongs to the order *Caudoviridae*, family *Siphoviridae*, which are bacterial viruses of double-strand DNA (ddDNA) [18]. However, current taxonomy described this bacteriophage as a member of the class *Caudoviricetes*, family Demerecviridae, with a siphovirus morphology [19]. The bacteriophage fp01 is able to proliferate in *E. coli* C, *E. coli* B, *E. coli* K12, and *Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhi, Paratyphi B, and Choleraesuis [18], indicating that fp01 has a common attachment site on the susceptible bacterial species [18,20].

The interaction between the phage and the Receptor-Binding-Proteins (RBPs) results in the release of the phage DNA into the bacterial host. Previous studies demonstrated that phage interaction with the RBPs at the bacterial outer membrane increases phage propagation [21–23]. For example, the interaction between the T5 phage fimbria protein pb5 and the *E. coli* RBP, ferrichrome transport FhuA, triggers the release of the phage DNA. This interaction is mediated by the β -barrel structure and external loops of FhuA [21–23].

A better understanding of the mechanisms and evolution of polyvalent bacteriophages can be obtained by comparative genomic analysis. Here, we sequenced and described the whole genome of the polyvalent bacteriophage fp01 using long-reads (MinION, Nanopore) and short-reads (MiSeq, Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) technologies. We found that the phage fp01 possesses a complete replication machinery but depends on host factors for transcription. Several genes associated with recombination and DNA cleavage, as well as cell lysis components, were identified in the genome of fp01. Phylogenetic analyses indicated that the phage fp01 is closely related to the *Tequintavirus* genus, which contains phages such as *Escherichia* phage T5_ev219 and *Salmonella* virus VSe12. The Phage Binding Protein (PBP) gp108 was identified in fp01 as pb5-like PBP (YP_009841487.1), which potentially could interact with conserved binding residues THR553, THR555 and ASN 556 present in FhuA enterobacterial receptor.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. DNA Extraction

The bacteriophage fp01 was propagated in *S. Choleraesuis* VAL201 using standardized methods [24,25]. Genomic DNA (gDNA) from concentrated phage lysates was purified according to the method described by Kaiser et al. [26]. DNA was quantified and tested for purity (260/280 ratio) using spectrophotometry in a Genova-Nano spectrophotometer (Jenway, Staffordshire, UK).

2.2. Sequencing and Genome Assembly

The MinION is a USB-portable and low-cost device, which can generate reads of 2–10 Kb on average, with an error range of 2–13% [27–30], ideal for sequencing small genomes like the bacteriophage fp01. The MinION sequencing library was prepared using the SQK-RAD003 kit according to the manufacturer's instructions and sequenced using an R9.Spot-On flow cell (FLO-MIN106) (Oxford Nanopore, NY, 10013, USA). The fp01 gDNA library was added to a MinION sequencer and run for 22 h with coverage of 29.68×. Coverage was calculated by the Lander-Waterman equation [31]. The resulting FAST5 files were based-called and demultiplexed using Albacore v2.0.2. The FAST5 files were converted into FASTA format using Poretools [8]. The contigs were analyzed and visualized using CLC Genomics Workbench 20 (CLCBio, Qiagen, Aarhus, Demark).

Additionally, libraries and sequencing were conducted commercially at Genome Quebec (Canada) and sequenced using the Miseq Illumina platform. The quality of reads was evaluated using FastQC v.12 (Babraham Institute, Cambridge, UK) [32]. Illumina Mi-Seq sequences were trimmed and assembled using CLC Genomics Workbench (CLCBio) v.20.0 (Qiagen, Demark) de novo and genome finishing module tools with default parameters.

2.3. Annotation and Genome Mapping

The genome was initially annotated with the PHAge Search Tool (PHASTER; https: //phaster.ca/) [33] (accessed on 18 January 2023) and refined with the Rapid Annotation Subsystem Technology (RAST; https://rast.nmpdr.org/) [34] (accessed on 18 January 2023). The whole genome was submitted to NCBI data using the whole genome shotgun submission pipeline (WGS) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/wgs/ accessed on 1 December 2022). The phage fp01 genome was deposited in DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank under the BioProject (PRJNA450422) and the accession number (NC_048731.1). The phage fp01 genome was mapped and visualized using the CGView server (http://cgview.ca/, accessed on 18 January 2023).

2.4. Comparative Genomics and Phylogenetic Analysis

Forty-one bacteriophage genome sequences listed in Table 1 were aligned using the CLC whole genome analysis tool by default parameters (Min. initial seed length = 15; Allow mismatches = yes; Min. alignment block = 100; Min. similarity (0.8); Min. length (0.8)). Average nucleotide identity (ANI) and alignment percentage (AP) were calculated based on the aligned genomes. A heat map was computed based on the previous alignment using the heat-map tool with default parameters (Euclidean distance method and complete cluster linkages). Closely related bacteriophage genomes were selected for further comparative synteny analysis. Dot plots were generated to represent homologous regions, orthologs, genome gaps (GGs), and inversions within the genomes. The evolutionary analyses of the whole genome of the phage fp01 were conducted using MEGAX. The Neighbor-Joining method [35] with a bootstrap test of 1000 replicates and the Jukes–cantor method [36] was utilized to determine the evolutionary distances. The Enterobacteria bacteriophage M13 genome was used as out group for the analysis.

2.5. Protein Modelling and Molecular Docking

FhuA (ferric receptor/phages binding receptor) protein sequence from *Escherichia coli* K-12 (NC_000913.3), *Salmonella enterica* serv. Choleraesuis ATCC 10708 (AKW03981.2), *Salmonella enterica* serv. Paratyphi B CFSAN016062 (EDC2010892.1), *Salmonella enterica* serv. Typhi 1242879 (EHS1467780.1) were obtained from NCBI and aligned in ESPript3 [50], using *E. coli* K-12 FhuA PDB_1FCP structure [22] as reference. FhuA 3D structure view was obtained from RCSB Protein Data Bank.

The phage binding protein (PBP) of bacteriophage fp01, was identified by BlastP search in the NCBI database against the PBP tail protein Pb5 (AAX12083.1) from E. coli phage T5. The Pb5 protein was shown to bind with the FhuA protein [23], but Pb5 structural protein confirmation is not yet available. Therefore, due to the absence of a protein template for Pb5 protein, the tertiary structure of gp108 was modeled ab initio using trRosetta webserver [51] refined by GalaxyRefine implemented in GalaxyWeb webserver (https://galaxy.seoklab.org/) [52]. The quality of the protein model was analyzed using the SWISS-MODEL webserver (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) [53]. Consequently, the FhuA receptor (PDB ID: 1FCP) [22] was modeled as a transmembrane protein using the PPM 2.0 webserver (https://opm.phar.umich.edu/ppm_server2) [54]. Protein-protein molecular docking was performed by the HDOCK webserver (http://hdock.phys.hust.edu.cn/) [55] using gp108 as the ligand and FhuA as the receptor. The predicted binding affinity and dissociation constant were calculated using the PRODIGY webserver (https://wenmr. science.uu.nl/prodigy/) [56]. Analyses for gp108 modeling were computed using the software's default parameters. The last accessed date for all the webservers described was 18 January 2023.

Salmonella phage SE2 JQ07383.1 [37] Salmonella phage VB SenS-En12 JK233783.1 JK23783.1 Salmonella phage VB SenS-En12 HC394469.1 [37] Salmonella phage VB SenS-En13 HC394470.1 [37] Salmonella phage VB SenS-En13 HC394470.1 [37] Salmonella phage VB SenS-En13 FK75520.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 EF177456.2 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KF562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KF562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SENA KC13951.1 [37] Salmonella phage SENA KK272358.1 [37] Salmonella phage SENA JX202565.1 [37] Salmonella phage SENA JX202565.1 [39] Salmonella phage SENA JX202565.1 [39] Salmonella phage SENA KT962832.1 [40] Salmonella phage SENA KT962832.1 [40] Salmonella phage SENA KU951146.1 Santander Lab Salmonella phage SENA CU19627.1 [41] Salmonella phage SENA CU19627.1 [42] Salmonella phage KIMA	Name	Family/Subfamily/ Genus	Accession Number	Reference
Salmonella phage ST4IC33783.1Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent1HG934469.137]Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent1HE775250.1(37)Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3HG934470.137]Salmonella phage SETP3E177456.2(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KF562864.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(37)Salmonella phage SETP3KC139511.1(40)Salmonella phage SETCKT962832.1(40)Salmonella phage SETCKT962832.1(40)Salmonella phage SETCKC20151.1(41)Salmonella phage SETKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SETKU951147.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SETKU951147.1(41)Salmonella phage SETKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SIASSeoulvirusKU951147.1(42)Salmonella phage SETKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SIASSeoulvirusKU951146.1(42)Salmonella phage SIAS <td>Salmonella phage SE2</td> <td></td> <td>JQ007353.1</td> <td>[37]</td>	Salmonella phage SE2		JQ007353.1	[37]
Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent2HG934469.1[37]Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3HE775250.1[37]Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3HE775250.1[37]Salmonella phage vB SenS AG11JX297445.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP3FF177456.2[37]Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP3KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SES SP-101KK13951.1[37]Salmonella phage SS3AV730274.2[37]Salmonella phage SS4YZ02565.1[39]Salmonella phage SS4KK1272358.1[40]Salmonella phage SS4KK12701.1[41]Salmonella phage SS4KK270151.1[41]Salmonella phage SS4KK270151.1[41]Salmonella phage SS4KK270151.1[41]Salmonella phage SS4KK270151.1[41]Salmonella phage SS4KK270151.1[41]Salmonella phage SS4KK270151.1[42]Salmonella phage SS5KU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SS4CU196279.1[42]Salmonella phage KIHGU196279.1[42]Salmonella phage SS5DhillonvirusJN94867.1[43]Salmonella phage SIASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage SIASSeoulvirusMH221128.1[37]Salmonella phage SIASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage SIASSeoulvirusMH21128.1Salmonella phage SIAS<	Salmonella phage ST4		JX233783.1	
Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent1HE775250.1[37]Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3HG934470.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP3EF177456.2[37]Salmonella phage SETP3JX297445.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP7KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP7KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP7KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP7KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP7KK562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SESPKC139511.1[37]Salmonella phage SESPKV20238.1[38]Salmonella phage SESPK740055.1[39]Salmonella phage SELCKT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage SELCKT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage SELCKT962832.1[41]Salmonella phage SELCKT962832.1[41]Salmonella phage JSEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage JSEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage JSEKU951147.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SETP3Didlomrinus[N984867.1[42]Salmonella phage SIASSecuritrinus[N984867.1[42]Salmonella phage SELKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage SIAS[42]Salmonella phage SIASSecuritrinus[N984867.1[43]Salmonella phage SIASSecuritrinus[N984867.1[43]Salmonella phage SIASSecuritrinus[N984867.1[43]Salmonella phage SIASSecuritrinus[N984867.1 </td <td>Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent2</td> <td></td> <td>HG934469.1</td> <td>[37]</td>	Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent2		HG934469.1	[37]
Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3HC3934470.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP3EF177456.2[37]Salmonella phage vB SenS AG11JX29745.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP13KF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP17VKK562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP3KK122238.1[38]Salmonella phage SESPKC139511.1[37]Salmonella phage SESPKK148055.1[39]Salmonella phage SES2AV730274.2[37]Salmonella phage SES2AV730274.2[37]Salmonella phage SES2KT881477.1[40]Salmonella phage SES2KT881477.1[40]Salmonella phage SELCKT950281.1[41]Salmonella phage SELCKT950281.1[41]Salmonella phage SELCKU95114.1Santander LabSalmonella phage fSELKU95114.1Santander LabSalmonella phage fSEKU95114.1Santander LabSalmonella phage fSEKU95114.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SELGU196277.1[42]Escherichi phage K1Ind2EsGU19628.1Escherichi phage K1Ind3EsGU19628.1Salmonella phage SELS P-031GuernseynirinaeKC139518.1Salmonella phage SELSNCJM204867.1Salmonella phage SELSNCJM204867.1Salmonella phage SELSNCJM2048748Salmonella phage SELSNCJM2048748Salmonella phage SELSNCNCSalmonella phage SELSNCJM204866 </td <td>Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent1</td> <td></td> <td>HE775250.1</td> <td>[37]</td>	Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent1		HE775250.1	[37]
Salmonella phage SETP3 EF177456.2 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 JZ297445.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP13 KF562866.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KF562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KF562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KF148055.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KT562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KT148055.1 [39] Salmonella phage SETP3 KT3430274.2 [37] Salmonella phage SETP3 KT962832.1 [40] Salmonella phage SETP KT95283.1 [40] Salmonella phage SETP KT95283.1 [41] Salmonella phage SETP KT9528417.1 [40] Salmonella phage SETP KU951146.1 Santander Lab Salmonella phage SETP KU951146.1 Santander Lab Salmonella phage SETP KU951146.1 Santander Lab Salmonella phage STS GU196278.1 [42] Salmonella phage STS GU196281.1 [42] Salmonella phage STAS Souloitnis MH230377.1 Salmonella phage STAS <t< td=""><td>Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3</td><td></td><td>HG934470.1</td><td>[37]</td></t<>	Salmonella phage vB SenS-Ent3		HG934470.1	[37]
Salmonella phage VB SenS AG11K297445.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP13KF562864.1[37]Salmonella phage SETP7VGKF562865.1[37]Salmonella phage SEL SP-101KC139511.1[37]Salmonella phage SESAKC123951.1[38]Salmonella phage SSAKF148055.1[39]Salmonella phage SSAAY730274.2[37]Salmonella phage SSAKT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage SELCKT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage JSEACKT881477.1[41]Salmonella phage fSEACKT881477.1[41]Salmonella phage fSEACKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage fSEAKU951147.1Santander LabSalmonella phage fSEKU951147.1Santander LabSalmonella phage fSEKU951147.1[42]Salmonella phage fSEGU196277.1[42]Salmonella phage KIIGGU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage KIInd2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage KIInd2GU196280.1[42]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH21128.1Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH21128.1Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH210377.1[45]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH210377.1[45]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMC048796Salmonella phage STS.1Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMC3070[46]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMC3070[46]Salmone	Salmonella phage SETP3		EF177456.2	[37]
Salmonelle phage SETP13 KF56286.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP7 Vege KF562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SETP1 KF562865.1 [37] Salmonella phage SEP1 KK7420358.1 [38] Salmonella phage Jersey KK7420358.1 [38] Salmonella phage Jersey KK7420358.1 [39] Salmonella phage SS26 KK7420274.2 [37] Salmonella phage SETP2 KT962832.1 [40] Salmonella phage SETP2 KT962832.1 [40] Salmonella phage SETP2 KK81477.1 [40] Salmonella phage JSE4C KR270151.1 [41] Salmonella phage SE4C KR270151.1 [41] Salmonella phage SE4C KU951146.1 Santander Lab Salmonella phage KIG GU196277.1 [42] Escherichia phage KIIH GU196227.1 [42] Escherichia phage KIIA3 GU196228.1 [44] Salmonella phage SISAS Scoultirus MH221128.1 Salmonella phage SISA Scoultirus MH221128.1 Salmonella phage SI30 Gurseryoririnae KC19518.1 [37]	Salmonella phage vB SenS AG11		JX297445.1	[37]
Salmonelle phage SETP7KF56286.1[37]Salmonelle phage FSL SP-101KC139511.1[37]Salmonelle phage ISPA1KC139511.1[37]Salmonelle phage ISPA1KC12358.1[38]Salmonelle phage SS2eKF148055.1[39]Salmonelle phage SS3eAY730274.2[37]Salmonelle phage SS4eKT96283.1[40]Salmonelle phage SS4KT96283.1[40]Salmonelle phage SEACKT96283.1[41]Salmonelle phage SEACKT96283.1[41]Salmonelle phage SEACKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonelle phage JSEEKU951147.1[41]Salmonelle phage KIGGU196277.1[42]Escherichin phage KIIGU196278.1[42]Escherichin phage KIInd1GU196278.1[42]Escherichin phage KIInd2FGU196280.1[42]Escherichin phage KIInd3GU196280.1[43]Salmonelle phage FISASScoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FISAScoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage SISAScoulvirusMH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage TS_SV219MIG30377.1[46]Salmonella phage VS12NC048794.1[44]Salmonella phage SISANC048795Salmonella phage SI30MIG30377.1Salmonella phage SISNC<048786	Salmonella phage SETP13	<u> </u>	KF562864.1	[37]
Salmonella phage ISL SP-101 30 KC139511.1 $[37]$ Salmonella phage ISSAKM272358.1 $[38]$ Salmonella phage ISSAAY730274.2 $[37]$ Salmonella phage SS3eJX202565.1 $[39]$ Salmonella phage fSEICKP862832.1 $[40]$ Salmonella phage fSEACKT881477.1 $[40]$ Salmonella phage fSEACKT881477.1 $[40]$ Salmonella phage fSEACKR270151.1 $[41]$ Salmonella phage fSEACKR270151.1 $[41]$ Salmonella phage f3SEKR270151.1 $[41]$ Salmonella phage f3SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951147.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951147.1Santander LabSalmonella phage KIGGU196278.1 $[42]$ Escherichia phage KIHGU196279.1 $[42]$ Escherichia phage KInd3EGU196281.1 $[42]$ Salmonella phage FIASSeouloirusJN984867.1 $[43]$ Salmonella phage FIAMacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1 $[44]$ Salmonella phage FIAGuraevojrinaeKC139518.1 $[37]$ Salmonella phage FIAGuraevojrinaeKC139518.1 $[37]$ Salmonella phage FIAMacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1 $[44]$ Salmonella phage FIAMacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1 $[44]$ Salmonella phage FIAMacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1 $[44]$ Salmonella phage FIAMacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1 $[44]$ Salmonella phage FIAM	Salmonella phage SETP7	lers -	KF562865.1	[37]
Salmonella phage LSPA1Image: KM272358.1[38]Salmonella phage JerseyKF148055.1Salmonella phage SSaN730274.2Salmonella phage KSI3JX202565.1Salmonella phage SSaKY962832.1Salmonella phage JSE4CKT962832.1Salmonella phage JSE5KR270151.1Salmonella phage JSE4CKR270151.1Salmonella phage JSEKU951147.1Salmonella phage JSEKU951147.1Salmonella phage JSEKU951147.1Salmonella phage K1GGU196278.1Escherichia phage K1nd1Image GU196279.1Escherichia phage K1nd2Image GU196280.1Escherichia phage K1nd3GU196280.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GurnseyvirinaeSalmonella phage SL SP-031Gurnseyvirinae </td <td>Salmonella phage FSL SP-101</td> <td>eyr</td> <td>KC139511.1</td> <td>[37]</td>	Salmonella phage FSL SP-101	eyr	KC139511.1	[37]
Salmonella phage jersey5KF148055.1Salmonella phage SS3eAY730274.2[37]Salmonella phage SS3eX730274.2[39]Salmonella phage SS4KT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage JSE1CKT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage JSE4CKT881477.1[40]Salmonella phage JSE4CKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage JSEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage JSEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage SS4GU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1GGU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1mdGU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md3GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md3GU196280.1[42]Salmonella phage FSASScoulvirusMP4221128.1Salmonella phage SSASScoulvirusMP4221128.1Salmonella phage SSASScoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage S130MacdonaldcamproirusAM49147.1Salmonella phage T5VVC048794.1Salmonella phage T5VVC048794.1Salmonella phage S130MC048794.1Salmonella phage S130MC048794.1Salmonella phage S130KC048795Salmonella phage S130KC048795Salmonella phage S130KC048795Salmonella phage S130KC048795Salmonella phage S14KC148795Salmonella phage S15KC048795Salmonella phage S10KC148795Salmonella phage S10KC148795S	Salmonella phage LSPA1	viru	KM272358.1	[38]
Salmonella phage SS3eAY730274.2[37]Salmonella phage SS3eJ2022561.1[39]Salmonella phage fSE1CKT962832.1[40]Salmonella phage fSE4CKT881477.1[40]Salmonella phage fSE4CKR20151.1[41]Salmonella phage f18SEKR20151.1[41]Salmonella phage f2SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951147.1Santander LabEscherichia phage K1GGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind1EGU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind2EGU196281.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3J0illonvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC19518.1[37]Salmonella phage S130MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage TSY343070[46]Salmonella phage TSY43070[46]Salmonella phage TSY43070[46]Salmonella phage S10ENC.048794.1Salmonella phage TSY43070[46]Salmonella phage S10ENC.048795Salmonella phage S10ENC.048786Salmonella phage S10EY43795Salmonella phage S11Y4307[46]Escherichia phage YB_Eon_11Y43070[46]Escherichia phage YB_Eon_11Y43070[46]Salmonella phage YB_Eon_14Y43070[46]Escherichia phage YB_Eon_14Y43070[46]Escherichia phage YB_Eon_14 <td>Salmonella phage Jersey</td> <td>81</td> <td>KF148055.1</td> <td></td>	Salmonella phage Jersey	81	KF148055.1	
Salmonella phage spectraJX202565.1[39]Salmonella phage fSELCKT96282.1[40]Salmonella phage fSELCKT881477.1[40]Salmonella phage fSELCKR270151.1[41]Salmonella phage f18SEKR270151.1[41]Salmonella phage f2SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951146.1Santander LabScherichia phage K1GGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1GGU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind2EGU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196279.1[42]Salmonella phage STaASSeoulvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH221128.1[41]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH292.1[44]Salmonella phage ST3ASSeoulvirusMH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage ST3AGuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage St30MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage St30W13777.1[45]Salmonella phage St30W13777.1[45]Salmonella phage St30W14370377.1[45]Salmonella phage St41W24SeoulvirusSalmonella phage St55.1SeoulvirusNC_048786Salmonella phage St55.2KTKC139518.1[46]Salmonella phage St55.1SeoulvirusNC_048785Salmonella phage St65.2KCKCSeoulvirusSalmonella phage St65.3KCKC <td< td=""><td>Salmonella phage SS3e</td><td></td><td>AY730274.2</td><td>[37]</td></td<>	Salmonella phage SS3e		AY730274.2	[37]
Salmonella phage fSE1CKT962832.1 $[40]$ Salmonella phage fSE4CKT881477.1 $[40]$ Salmonella phage fSE4CKT881477.1 $[40]$ Salmonella phage f18SEKR270151.1 $[41]$ Salmonella phage f18SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951147.1Santander LabEscherichia phage K1GGU196277.1 $[42]$ Escherichia phage K1HGU196278.1 $[42]$ Escherichia phage K1nd1EGU196280.1 $[42]$ Escherichia phage K1ind2EGU196281.1 $[42]$ Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196281.1 $[42]$ $[43]$ Salmonella phage EP23DhillonvirusJN984867.1 $[43]$ Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC19518.1 $[37]$ Salmonella phage S130MH201128.1 $[44]$ Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1 $[45]$ Salmonella phage T5_ev219VKC948794.1Escherichia virus VE633NC_048794.1 $[46]$ Escherichia virus VE633NC_048795 $[46]$ Escherichia phage B1NC_048795 $[46]$ Salmonella phage S201ENC_048795Salmonella phage S201E $[46]$ Escherichia phage P1NC_048795Salmonella phage P1ESalmonella phage P1EEscherichia phage P2LederbergvirusSalmonella phage P1EEscherichia phage P1EEscherichia phage P2LederbergvirusSalmonella phage P1E </td <td>Salmonella phage wksl3</td> <td></td> <td>JX202565.1</td> <td>[39]</td>	Salmonella phage wksl3		JX202565.1	[39]
Salmonella phage fSE4CKT881477.1[40]Salmonella phage f18SEKR270151.1[41]Salmonella phage f2SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f2SEKU951147.1Santander LabEscherichia phage K1GGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1mdGU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md1GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md3GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md3GU196280.1[42]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1[41]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH22118.1[42]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH22118.1[41]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH22118.1[41]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage T5_ev219KC048794.1[45]Escherichia phage T5_ev219KC048794.1[46]Escherichia phage SP01NC_048786Salmonella phage SP01KC048785Salmonella phage SP01KC048786Salmonella phage SP1KC148786Salmonella phage SAS_SA001KC148786Salmonella phage SAS_SA001[47]Enterobacteria phage RD2LederbergoirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InvoiridaeNC_002387.2[49]	Salmonella phage fSE1C		KT962832.1	[40]
Salmonella phage f18SEKR270151.1[41]Salmonella phage f2SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951147.1Santander LabScherichia phage K1GGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1GGU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md1GU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md3GU196280.1[42]Salmonella phage EP23DhillonvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeculvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage S130MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage T5VNC048794.1[45]Salmonella phage T5_ev219VLR597655.1Escherichia phage T5.1[46]Escherichia phage S10MC_048794.1MC_048789[46]Salmonella phage S11NC_048795NC_048796[46]Salmonella phage S11MC_048789[47][47]Salmonella phage S11MC_048786[47][47]Enterobacteria phage R22LederbergoirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InvoiridaeNC_002372.2[49]	Salmonella phage fSE4C		KT881477.1	[40]
Salmonella phage /2SEKU951146.1Santander LabSalmonella phage f3SEKU951147.1Santander LabEscherichia phage K1GGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1HGU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md1GU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1md3GU196280.1[42]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage S130MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage T5NC048794.1[45]Salmonella phage T5NC048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1[46]Salmonella phage S10MC_048748[46]Salmonella phage S10MC_048795[46]Salmonella phage S10MC_048786[46]Salmonella phage S10MC_048786[46]Salmonella phage S11NC_048795[46]Salmonella phage S11MT233524[47]Salmonella phage V5_SALS_SA001MN445182[47]Enterobacteria phage N13InoviridaeNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_002372.2[49]	Salmonella phage f18SE		KR270151.1	[41]
Salmonella phage J3SEKU951147.1Santander LabEscherichia phage KIGGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage KIHGU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind1GU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196280.1[42]Salmonella phage STSASSeoulvirusMH22118.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1Salmonella phage ST3OMH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage ST5NC048794.1Salmonella phage T5YesSalmonella phage T5_ev219LS597655.1Escherichia phage T6_SC_HdH2NC_048795Salmonella phage SE11NC_048795Salmonella phage SE11MT233524Salmonella phage P22LederbergvirusMN445182MT233524Enterobacteria phage N33InoviriaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage f2SE		KU951146.1	Santander Lab
Escherichia phage K1GGU196277.1[42]Escherichia phage K1HGU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind1GU196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196281.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196281.1[42]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage S130MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage T5NC048794.1[45]Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage SP01NC_048748[47]Salmonella phage SP1NC_048786[46]Escherichia phage SP1NC_048786[47]Salmonella phage SP1NC_048786[47]Escherichia phage SP1NC_047859[47]Enterobacteria phage N2LederbergvirusNC_02457.2Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage f3SE		KU951147.1	Santander Lab
Escherichia phage K1HGU 196278.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind1GU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196281.1[42]Escherichia phage EP23DhillonvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1[44]Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage B1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage T5NC048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage S1NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1[46]Escherichia phage S1NC_048795[46]Escherichia phage S1NC_048795[46]Escherichia phage S1NC_048795[46]Escherichia phage S1NC_048795[47]Enterobacteria phage S2LederbergvirusNC_002371.2Salmonella phage S2LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_00287.2[49]	Escherichia phage K1G	K	GU196277.1	[42]
Escherichia phage K1ind1GU196279.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind2GU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3GU196281.1[42]Shigella phage EP23DhillonvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage ESL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5NC_048794.1Escherichia phage T5_ev219DLR597655.1Escherichia phage T6NC_048748Salmonella phage SP01NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01MT233524Salmonella phage SE11MC_002371.2Salmonella phage VB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage NB_Salo_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Escherichia phage K1H		GU196278.1	[42]
Escherichia phage K1ind2Image SignatureGU196280.1[42]Escherichia phage K1ind3DhillonvirusJN984867.1[42]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage T5NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage VSe12NC_048794.1Bacteriophage T5NC_048794.1Salmonella phage S130NC_048794.1Bacteriophage T5NC_048794.1Bacteriophage T5NC_048794.1Salmonella phage S201NC_048748Salmonella phage S201NC_048795Salmonella phage S201NC_048795Salmonella phage S21NC_048795Salmonella phage S21NC_048786Salmonella phage S21MT233524Salmonella phage VB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage P22LederbergvirusSalmonella phage M13InoviridaeSalmonella Phage M13Salmonella Phage N1Salmonella Phage M13Inoviri	Escherichia phage K1ind1	ип	GU196279.1	[42]
Escherichia phage K1ind3EGU196281.1[42]Shigella phage EP23DhillonvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1[46]Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219LR597655.1Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage SP01NC_048795Salmonella phage SP1NC_048786Salmonella phage SP1MT233524Salmonella phage VB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage VB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage IambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeKoc_003287.2[49]	Escherichia phage K1ind2	IVI	GU196280.1	[42]
Shigella phage EP23DhillonvirusJN984867.1[43]Salmonella phage STsASSeoulvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219LR597655.1Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048748Salmonella phage SP01RNC_048795Salmonella phage SP11NC_048786Salmonella phage VB_SalS_SA001MT233524Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2	Escherichia phage K1ind3	SN.	GU196281.1	[42]
Salmonella phage STsASSeculvirusMH221128.1Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5[46]Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219DLR597655.1Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048818Salmonella phage vB_EcoS_HdH2Salmonella phage SP01NC_048795Salmonella phage SP11Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage ImBdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Shigella phage EP23	Dhillonvirus	JN984867.1	[43]
Salmonella phage FSL SP-031GuernseyvirinaeKC139518.1[37]Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219LR597655.1Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage SP01NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_03287.2	Salmonella phage STsAS	Seoulvirus	MH221128.1	
Salmonella phage E1MacdonaldcampvirusAM491472.1[44]Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219DLR597655.1Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage SP01NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_048796Salmonella phage SP01NC_048786Salmonella phage SE11MT233524Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage NB_SALS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage IambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage FSL SP-031	Guernseyvirinae	KC139518.1	[37]
Salmonella phage S130MH370377.1[45]Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5AY543070Escherichia phage T5_ev219LR597655.1Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048818Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage SP01NC_048795Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage E1	Macdonaldcampvirus	AM491472.1	[44]
Salmonella phage VSe12NC048794.1Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219LR597655.1Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048818Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage Th1NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage S130	1	MH370377.1	[45]
Bacteriophage T5AY543070[46]Escherichia phage T5_ev219UR597655.1Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048818Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage Th1NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusInterobacteria phage IambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage VSe12		NC048794.1	
Escherichia phage T5_ev219DLR597655.1Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048818Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage Th1NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Bacteriophage T5		AY543070	[46]
Escherichia virus VEc33NC_048818Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage Th1NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusInvoiridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Escherichia phage T5_ev219	D_{ℓ}	LR597655.1	
Escherichia phage vB_EcoS_HdH2NC_048748Salmonella phage Th1NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Escherichia virus VEc33	eme	NC_048818	
Salmonella phage Th1NC_048795Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_11MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusEnterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	<i>Escherichia</i> phage vB_EcoS_HdH2	rrec	NC_048748	
Salmonella phage SP01NC_047859Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage Th1	vir	NC_048795	
Salmonella phage SE11NC_048786Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[47]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage SP01	ida	NC_047859	
Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1MT233524Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusEnterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage SE11	е	NC_048786	
Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001MN445182Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage vB_Sen_l1		MT233524	
Enterobacteria phage P22LederbergvirusNC_002371.2[47]Enterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Salmonella phage vB_SalS_SA001		MN445182	
Enterobacteria phage lambdaLambdavirusJ02459.1[48]Enterobacteria phage M13InoviridaeNC_003287.2[49]	Enterobacteria phage P22	Lederbergvirus	NC_002371.2	[47]
Enterobacteria phage M13 Inoviridae NC_003287.2 [49]	Enterobacteria phage lambda	Lambdavirus	J02459.1	[48]
	Enterobacteria phage M13	Inoviridae	NC_003287.2	[49]

Table 1. Caudoviricetes bacteriophages complete genomes taxonomy list.

Accessed to ICTV taxonomy (21 November 2022).

3. Results

3.1. Sequencing

Using the long-read sequencing technology, the total analyzed bacteriophage fp01 reads were 2067 with 7546 nt on average (Figure S1A). Only 12 reads did not pass the quality control and were removed from the analysis (Figure S1B,C). The percentage of successful sequencing was 99.4% with a genome coverage of $18.87 \times$ (Figure S1B). Similarly, using the short-read sequencing technology (Miseq, Illumina), a single contig was obtained from the de novo genome assembly method with a 2336.95× coverage. The genome of the bacteriophage fp01 was obtained in a single contig of 109,515 bp with a 39.0% G+C content (Figure 1). The sequenced length did not agree with the previous description of the phage fp01 gDNA molecular weight of ~43.5 Kb, using phage P22 gDNA as a reference in

agarose gel electrophoresis [18]. Perhaps, this could be due to differences in its genome topology that could affect migration patterns in agarose gel electrophoresis [57]. We also observed that the genome of the fp01 phage suggests a linear shape (Figure 1). The presence of terminases suggests that genome linearization might occur during DNA packing into the phage capsid [58,59]. This has been reported in *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* phages from the order *Caudoviricetes* [45,60].



Figure 1. *Escherichia* phage fp01 genome map. Genome map visualization of polyvalent bacteriophage fp01. Mapping was performed using the CGViewer Server pipeline. Color arrows represent CDS (blue); GC content (black), GC Skew (+) (forest green), and GC Skew (-1) (violet).

3.2. Annotation, Genome Mapping and Sequence Analysis

The RAST analysis showed 9 subsystems and 17 RNAs (Figure S2A). The PHAST analysis showed 5 categories related to the tail shaft, terminase, base plate, portal, and coat proteins, respectively (Figure S2C). A total of 158 protein-coding genes were identified, among them 100 genes encode hypothetical proteins, 27 genes are associated with DNA packing and transcription, 17 genes are associated with structural and virion assembly, 9 genes are associated with recombination and DNA cleavage, and 5 genes are associated to cell lysis components described below (Supplementary File S1).

DNA packing and transcription identified genes were 2 primases, 1 helicase and 1 ATP-dependent helicase, 2 DNA polymerase III alpha subunit, 3 putative transcriptional regulators, 3 terminase large subunits, and 3 terminase small subunits (File S1). Interestingly, we also identified a D11 and a D14 protein, both described as essential for viral DNA replication in *Escherichia* phages T5-like. Additionally, we identified several genes that encoded for exonucleases and endonucleases associated with DNA recombination and cleavage such as TraG-like protein, recombination endonuclease subunit D12, single-strand DNA (ssDNA) specific exonuclease, and a flap endonuclease.

Structural proteins were also identified, including a capsid maturation protease, a capsid decoration protein, two head morphogenesis protein, a membrane protein as part of the "head structure", a major tail protein, two minor tail proteins, a tail length tape-measure protein, one tail assembly protein, two baseplate hub protein, a portal protein and two pore-forming tail tip protein were identified a part of the "tail structure" (File S1).

Lysis-associated genes were identified, including toxins such as an endolysin, a lysozyme, a u-spanin protein, an NrdH family redoxin and two MazF family toxin-antitoxin system.

3.3. Comparative Genomics and Phylogenetic Analysis

Whole-genome analysis was performed using phages genomes from the *Caudoviricetes* class: *Chaseviridae* (*Myoviridae*), *Autographiviridae* (*Podoviridae*), *Demerecviridae* families, and the *Guernseyvirinae* subfamily. Genera within the *Caudoviricetes* class, are *Jerseyvirus*, *Kagunavirus*, *Lambdavirus*, *Lederbergvirus*, and *Tequintavirus* (*T5likevirus*) genus (Table 1). The heatmap showed that the bacteriophage fp01 belongs to the *Tequintavirus* genus,

clustering with *Salmonella* phage VSe12, *Escherichia* phage T5_ev219, and *Escherichia* virus VEc33 (Figure 2A). The average nucleotide identity (ANI) between the phages fp01 and T5viruses VSe12, ev219, and VEc33 was 92.19%, 91.53%, and 91.96%, respectively. The highest alignment percentage (AP) of fp01 observed was 84.75% with phage ev219 (Figure S3). Similar results were observed in the phylogenetic analysis where fp01 cluster within *Tequintavirus* phages, closely related to *Salmonella* phage S130 (Figure 2B). An ANI of 92.80% and AP of 82.47% was observed between fp01 and *Salmonella* phage S130 (Figure S3). These results agree with classification based on the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), where phages with dsDNA, non-enveloped capsid, and tailed phages belong to the genus *Tequintavirus*, such as fp01 [18].



Figure 2. Comparative genomic and evolutionary relationships of *Escherichia* phage FP01. (**A**) Heat map analysis visualization based on aligned *Caudoviricetes* bacteriophages' whole genomes. The color bar below represents the percentage of identity within strains. (**B**) Phylogenetic tree of evolutionary history computed using the Neighbor-Joining method with a bootstrap test of 1000 replicates. The evolutionary distances were computed using the Jukes-Cantor method. Forty-one complete genomes were used for the genome alignment where Enterobacteria phage M13 was set up as outgroup. Ambiguous positions were removed for each sequence pair (pairwise deletion option). Analyses were conducted using CLCBio (v20.0).

A more detailed analysis of the high genome identity observed between fp01 and T5-such as viruses showed genomes gaps (GGs) and orthologs when comparing phage T5_ev219 (Figure 3A) and phage VSe12 (Figure 3B) to the fp01 genome. Likewise, phage S130 showed a high nucleotide identity to fp01 phage (92.80%) (Figure S3), even though in an inverted orientation when compared with the fp01 genome (Figure 3C). These results indicate that the gene repertory of fp01 is very similar to other lytic members of the *Tequintavirus* genus.



Figure 3. Whole genome comparison by synteny analysis. Genome sequences alignment to identify homology by synteny. (**A**) Comparison between *Escherichia* phage fp01 vs. *Escherichia* phage T5_ev219. (**B**) Comparison between *Escherichia* phage fp01 vs. *Salmonella* phage VSe12. (**C**) Comparison between *Escherichia* phage fp01 vs. *Salmonella* phage S130. Arrows indicate genome gaps within the comparisons. Synteny analysis was also performed for lambda and P22 phages, but homologous regions were not observed. Comparative analysis was computed by using CLCBio (v20.0).

The comparative analysis of these *Tequintavirus* indicated that their genomes share similar homologous regions, but they have different arrangements. For instance, four locally colinear blocks (LCBs) were identified among the T5-like viruses when compared to the phage fp01 genome (Figure 4). In contrast, no similar LCB was identified when fp01 was compared to a lambda or P22 phages (Figure 4). However, both presented a different LCB located between 20 kb and 40 kb bp of their genomes (Figure 4). Genes associated with replication and structure were identified in LCB 2 (Figure 4, R2-brown LCB), which is the most conserved LCB within these phages. Additionally, genes associated with receptor binding, lysozyme, and lysis were identified in LCB 1 (Figure 4, R1-light green LCB). In contrast, LCB 3 (Figure 4, R3-orange LCB) and LCB 4 (Figure 4, R4-red LCB) presented a small number of coding sequences (CDS) and a single gene that encodes for a hypothetical protein, which seems to be truncated and not conserved among phage genomes (Figure 4).

We also observed that the palindromic repeats were only present in bacteriophage T5 (Figure 4, light-red arrows), indicating that fp01 does not share these regions. However, we believed that fp01 injects or packages its DNA as linear dsDNA due to the presence of terminases, helicases, and primases.

3.4. Receptor Binding Interaction Analysis

As previously mentioned the bacteriophage fp01 harbor two pore-forming tail-tip, which indicates that fp01 has the ability to interact with a common and conserved liposome-specific receptors, such as FhuA [61], and perhaps gives to the phage fp01 the flexibility to infect *E. coli* and different *S. enterica* serovars. The *fhuA* gene in *E. coli* K-12 has been extensively studied, it encodes for an outer membrane ferric-iron receptor, which additionally serves as a primary receptor for several bacteriophages, including T1, T5, UC-1, and φ 80 [22]. *fhuA* gene also is present in *S. enterica* serovars such as Typhi and Paratyphi B, and Choleraesuis. *S. enterica* FhuA has a conserved amino acid sequence and outer membrane regions when compared to *E. coli* K-12 FhuA receptor sequence, with a 76.59%, 92.64%, and 92.64% of identity with Choleraesuis, Paratyphi B, and Typhi serovars, respectively (Figure 5A). These results suggest that conserved binding regions to the FhuA receptor could conferee to fp01 phage its polyvalent characteristic.



Figure 4. Whole genome analysis of T5-like viruses. Genome sequences were aligned to identify homologous regions by locally colinear blocks. The comparison was performed for Escherichia phage FP01, Salmonella phage VSe12, Escherichia phage T5_ev219, Salmonella phage S130, Escherichia phage VEc33 and Bacteriophage T5. Coding sequences (yellow arrows) and repeat regions (light-red arrows) were included in the analysis. Additionally, Lamba and P22 phages were included in the analysis was computed by using CLCBio (v20.0).

We found that the phage fp01 hypothetical protein HWB87_gp108 (YP_009841487.1) (hereafter PBP gp108) has a sequence identity ranging from 66.44 to 98.29% to other PBPs. The lowest identity was observed when compared to *Salmonella* phage SP3, and the highest similarity was observed when compared to *Escherichia* phage vB_EcoS_AKFV33 PBP (Table S1). In contrast, a 95.6% identity was observed between fp01 PBP gp108 and *Escherichia* phage T5_ev219 PBP, as the closest related phage (Figure 2B; Table S1). In the case of *Escherichia* phage T5, the FhuA receptor binds irreversibly with the PBP, gp108 (also called Pb5) [45]. This suggests that the PBP gp108 probably plays a similar role, binding to the FhuA receptor in bacteriophage fp01. Also, we observed a 31% sequence identity within fp01 PBP gp108 and the *Escherichia* phage T5 ATCC 11303-B5 Pb5, the first studied Pb5 PBP [23] (Figure S4; Table S1).



Figure 5. FhuA receptor sequence analysis and protein structure view. (**A**) Amino acid sequences were aligned to identify conserved outer membrane residues among fp01 host strains. The comparison was performed for the FhuA receptor from *E. coli* K-12 (reference), *S. enterica* Choleraesuis, Typhi, and Paratyphi B serovars. (**B**,**C**) *E. coli* K-12 FhuA receptor 3D structure was obtained from Protein Data Bank (PDB).

PBP gp108 refined model showed a quality of 97.8% of residues within the Ramachandran favored region after de novo modeling (Figure 6A,B). Binding sites within fp01 PBP gp108 and FhuA receptor were analyzed using in silico molecular docking tools. From the molecular docking analysis, gp108-FhuA interaction was predicted using amino acid residues in the region between PHE485 and LYS611 (Figure S6A). We identified that the binding residues THR553, THR555, and ASN556 were present in the FhuA structure (Figure 6C, yellow portion). Thus, the binding region predicted for the PBP gp108 was between the residues LEU 378 and LEU 568 (Figure 6C, white portion; Figure S5C). Additionally, the predicted interaction between gp108 and FhuA showed a high binding affinity (Δ G) of -10.6 and dissociation constant (K_d) of 1.8×10^{-8} (Figure 6D and Figure S7D). Hence, these results support a possible role of fp01 HWB87_gp108 as PBP, but further analysis is required to confirm this hypothesis.



Figure 6. Molecular docking of FhuA protein and hypothetical protein HWB87_gp108. (**A**) 3D model of the FhuA protein produced by PPM 2.0 Web Server using PDB ID: 1FCP. (**B**) Ramachandran plot and statistics. (**C**) 3D hypothetical protein HWB87_gp108 produced by trRosetta program. (**D**) Docked complex of FhuA protein and hypothetical protein HWB87_gp108 created by HDOCK server. The white portion represents the predicted binding site region; the yellow portion and spheres represent the binding amino acid residues in region 552 – 558 (THR553/THR55/TH556) reported to bind with receptor binding protein.

4. Discussion

The wide host range of polyvalent lytic phages made them very attractive for prophylactic control of foodborne bacterial pathogens [13,62,63]. The utilization of bacteriophages as a prophylactic biocontrol has been adopted in the food-producing sectors to reduce the economic burden caused by bacterial infectious diseases [6,63,64]. Currently, phage prophylaxis is applied in different food industries such as dairy [65,66], meat [67,68], and fish [69,70], among others. Bacteriophages can only replicate and multiply through a lytic cycle, where their genetic material does not integrate into the bacterial chromosome and remains as circular plasmids in the cytoplasm, taking over the host machinery for gene transcription, virion assembly (capsid and tails) and DNA packaging, that at the end of their life cycle kill the bacterial cell by endolysin [71,72]. In contrast, when the infecting phage chooses to integrate into the host genome, it enters into a quiescent state becoming a prophage (lysogenic cycle), remaining in that condition indefinitely and being replicated as the host reproduces [24,71]. Usually, phages have a very narrow host range, and bacteriophages that infect multiple species are valuable for fundamental (e.g.; evolution, mechanisms of infection) and practical (e.g.; prophylaxis) studies.

Classification of bacteriophages has been based on their morphology and their type of genetic material, where the main dsDNA families described were denominated Myoviridae (contractile tailed phage), Siphoviridae (long and non-contractile tailed phage), and *Podoviridae* (short-tailed phage); ssDNA families *Microviridae*, and *Inoviridae*; and ssRNA family *Leviviridae* [71,73]. Currently, the taxonomic ranks of *Caudovirales, Myoviridiae*, Siphoviridae and *Podoviridae* have been abolished by the ICTV and should not be used. The new ICTV classification of phages is based on genomic and proteomic similarities [19,74]. According to the current classification, the bacteriophage fp01 belongs to the class *Caudovirietes*, family *Demerecviridae*, *Tequintavirus* genus, as a new *Tequintavirus* fp01 species.

The bacteriophage fp01 was isolated using *S. enterica* Choleraesuis VAL201 as the primary host. However, it is able to proliferate in *E. coli* C, *E. coli* B, *E. coli* K12, and *S. enterica* serovars Typhi and Paratyphi B [18]. This indicates that the polyvalent characteristics of the fp01 phage could be related to a common phage receptor among these strains and an RBP, which makes fp01 a potential biocontrol tool for human and animal pathogens in the food-producing sector.

The genome of the fp01 phage was digested with restriction enzymes (HindIII and HaeIII) and estimated to have a genome size close to 42 Kb and similar to P22 and lambda phages [18]. However, fp01 sequenced genome size possess 2.6 times larger genome size than *Salmonella* phage P22 (correct mw = 41,724 bp) [47,75], and 2.2 times larger than lambda phage (48,582 bp) [76]. Additionally, fp01 genome size showed high similarity clustering with Escherichia and Salmonella phages from the Tequintaviruses genera that also belong to the family *Demerecviridae* from the class *Caoudoviricetes* (Figure 2A) [73]. Phylogenetic analysis agreed with the genome heatmap, indicating that fp01 is distantly related to phages lambda and P22 (Figure 2B). In addition, the differences observed within the genome comparison between fp01 and T5, and T5-like viruses (Figure 3) suggest that the phage fp01 could be a variant of a T5-like virus, which agrees with the previous description of polyvalent lytic viruses [77]. Although both the fp01 phage and T5 viruses belong to the *Tequintavirus* genus, they represent different species of phages. It has been reported that T5 group phages were part of the previous Siphoviridae family taxonomy based on their Siphoviridae-like major tail morphology [78,79]. This indicates that either fp01 or T5 viruses could share a common ancestor besides of the same lytic polyvalent characteristics.

Regarding the fp01 genome annotation, we identified that structural genes correlate with the *Siphoviridae* virion structure of the fp01 phage and its electron microscopy [18]. Interestingly, among the identified replication-associated genes, D11 and a D14, both essential for the early viral replication cycle, have been described in Escherichia phages T5-like and in lambda-like phages [80,81]. This indicates that fp01 uses its DNA-packing machinery for replication.

We did not identify an RNA polymerase, suggesting that fp01 uses bacterial machinery for gene transcription. Additionally, we identified several genes that encoded for exonucleases and endonucleases associated with DNA recombination and cleavage. For instance, TraG-like protein, recombination endonuclease subunit D12, single-strand DNA (ssDNA) specific exonuclease, and a flap endonuclease, which suggest events of recombination during DNA replication [80]. The presence of recombination endonucleases in the genome of fp01 might indicate that this phage recombines with the bacterial chromosome and acquire new properties. For instance, the presence of the *mazF* gene in the fp01 genome suggests that previous recombination events have occurred with an enterobacterial-host chromosome. masF gene has been previously identified in E. coli and described as a lethal toxin that induces a reversible bacteriostasis (cell death) [82,83]. This gene could contribute to fp01 lytic activity and host adaptation, which agrees with fp01 high lytic activity (titers of 5.5×10^{11} pfu/mL) and broad host range. The presence of an endolysin, a spanning protein, and a lysozyme agree with fp01 lytic activity as well [84].

The presence of two pore-forming tail tip and a PBP gp108 indicate that fp01 could interact with liposome-specific receptors, such as FhuA. FhuA is a binding receptor for the tail-tip protein pb5 in the bacteriophage T5, which mediates membrane depolarization and phage DNA entrance to the bacterial cytoplasm [61]. The fp01 PBP gp108 sequence showed about 31% of similarity (Figure S4) with the first described Pb5 PBP from phage T5 [23]. However, we identified that fp01 PBP gp108 has a high identity with phage T5_ev219 PBP (Table S1), which indicates that fp01 PBP gp108 might interact with the FhuA receptor. The FhuA protein was reported to bind with phage T5 using amino acid residues 552–558 that are located on the loop 8 [85], whereas PBP Pb5 most likely binds to FhuA protein using amino acids located in positions 89–305 [86]. Here, we identified that the potential binding residues for fp01 PBP in FhuA could be THR553, THR555, and ASN556 (Figure 6A–C), however, we believed that the different binding regions identified in gp108 and Pb5 PBPs could be due to low amino acid sequence identity.

5. Conclusions

The polyvalent *Escherichia* phage fp01 has excellent properties for utilization as a prophylactic and therapeutic agent against human and animal bacterial pathogens. Here, we described the genome of the polyvalent phage fp01 and analyzed its phylogenetic relationships based on whole genome analysis. We found that the fp01 phage belongs to the family Demerecviridae with a siphovirus morphology, whit a closed relationship with Escherichia and Salmonella T5 and T5-like phages, that might share a common ancestor with the T5-like siphovirus phages. Additionally, bacteriophage fp01 should be classified as a new *Tequintavirus* fp01 specie according to the current ICTV taxonomy update. The presence of recombination endonucleases such as D11 and D14, in addition to lytic-associated genes such as endolysins, spanning, lysozymes, and *mazF* genes, indicates that fp01 possesses a high lytic activity and are able to acquire genes through its replication that can contribute to its infectivity and host adaptation. Finally, fp01 PBP gp108 showed high identity with several PBPs, especially with the closest related *Escherichia* phage ev219 PBPs, which suggests that gp108 protein might be playing a role in interaction with the common phage receptor FhuA. Perhaps the binding to this common receptor, FhuA by the phage gp108 protein significantly contributes to the polyvalent nature of the fp01 phage. In agreement with our previous observations, a high degree of conservation of the FhuA host cell receptor was observed, which contributes to the ability of these phages to infect multiple genera of Enterobacteriaceae. However, to confirm our insights, further in vitro analyses are required. Its polyvalent characteristic and the high specificity to infect several Enterobacteria make fp01 a promising tool to be used as a food-borne pathogens biocontrol and industrial applications.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/v15020379/s1, File S1: *Escherichia* phage fp01 annotation records; Table S1: Homologous proteins to hypothetical protein HWB87_gp108 identified after a blast search in the NCBI; Figure S1: EPI2ME Basecalling QScore; Figure S2: Distribution of subsystems founds in the polyvalent bacteriophage fp01 genome; Figure S3: Comparative phage fp01 whole genome analysis; Figure S4: Whole genome analysis of T5-like viruses; Figure S5: Tail multiple alignment; Figure S6: Protein-protein complex analysis.

Author Contributions: For individual contributions: Conceptualization, I.V. and J.S.; methodology, I.V.; software, I.V. and V.M.; validation, I.V.; J.S. and J.R. (James Robeson); formal analysis, I.V. and V.M.; investigation, J.S.; resources, J.R. (Julio Retamales), J.R. (James Robeson) and J.S.; data curation, I.V. and V.M.; writing—original draft preparation, I.V.; V.M. and J.S.; writing—review and editing, I.V.; V.M.; J.R. (Julio Retamales), B.P.; J.R. (James Robeson) and J.S.; visualization, I.V. and J.S.; supervision,

J.S.; project administration, J.S.; funding acquisition, J.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the School of Graduate Studies Fellowship, Memorial University of Newfoundland, Canada, NSERC-Discovery (RGPIN-2018-05942), and Vicerrectoría de Investigación y Estudios Avanzados, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso Chile.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We thanks to all Santander Lab members for their suggestions and comments.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Casjens, S.R. Comparative genomics and evolution of the tailed-bacteriophages. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 2005, *8*, 451–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Rohwer, F. Global phage diversity. Cell 2003, 113, 141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Sulakvelidze, A.; Alavidze, Z.; Morris, J.G., Jr. Bacteriophage therapy. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2001, 45, 649–659. [CrossRef]
- 4. Garcia, P.; Martinez, B.; Obeso, J.M.; Rodriguez, A. Bacteriophages and their application in food safety. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 2008, 47, 479–485. [CrossRef]
- 5. Cooper, C.J.; Khan Mirzaei, M.; Nilsson, A.S. Adapting Drug Approval Pathways for Bacteriophage-Based Therapeutics. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 1209. [CrossRef]
- 6. Moye, Z.D.; Woolston, J.; Sulakvelidze, A. Bacteriophage Applications for Food Production and Processing. *Viruses* **2018**, *10*, 205. [CrossRef]
- Lang, L.H. FDA approves use of bacteriophages to be added to meat and poultry products. *Gastroenterology* 2006, 131, 1370. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Jones, J.B.; Vallad, G.E.; Iriarte, F.B.; Obradovic, A.; Wernsing, M.H.; Jackson, L.E.; Balogh, B.; Hong, J.C.; Momol, M.T. Considerations for using bacteriophages for plant disease control. *Bacteriophage* **2012**, *2*, 208–214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Ackermann, H.W.; Audurier, A.; Berthiaume, L.; Jones, L.A.; Mayo, J.A.; Vidaver, A.K. Guidelines for bacteriophage characterization. *Adv. Virus Res.* **1978**, 23, 1–24. [CrossRef]
- 10. Santander, J.; Robeson, J. Phage-Resistance in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Enteritidis and Pathogenicity in *Caenorhabditis elegans* is Mediate by the Lipopolysaccharide. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *10*, 627–632. [CrossRef]
- 11. Hamdi, S.; Rousseau, G.M.; Labrie, S.J.; Tremblay, D.M.; Kourda, R.S.; Ben Slama, K.; Moineau, S. Characterization of two polyvalent phages infecting Enterobacteriaceae. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 40349. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sarker, S.A.; Sultana, S.; Reuteler, G.; Moine, D.; Descombes, P.; Charton, F.; Bourdin, G.; McCallin, S.; Ngom-Bru, C.; Neville, T.; et al. Oral Phage Therapy of Acute Bacterial Diarrhea With Two Coliphage Preparations: A Randomized Trial in Children From Bangladesh. *EBioMedicine* **2016**, *4*, 124–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Chan, B.K.; Abedon, S.T.; Loc-Carrillo, C. Phage cocktails and the future of phage therapy. *Future Microbiol.* **2013**, *8*, 769–783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Bronfenbrenner, J. True Polyvalence of Pure Bacteriophages. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med. 1933, 30, 729–732. [CrossRef]
- El Haddad, L.; Ben Abdallah, N.; Plante, P.L.; Dumaresq, J.; Katsarava, R.; Labrie, S.; Corbeil, J.; St-Gelais, D.; Moineau, S. Improving the safety of *Staphylococcus aureus* polyvalent phages by their production on a *Staphylococcus xylosus* strain. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e102600. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Souza, K.A.; Ginoza, H.S.; Haight, R.D. Isolation of a polyvalent bacteriophage for Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and Aerobacter aerogenes. J. Virol. 1972, 9, 851–856. [CrossRef]
- 17. Yu, P.; Mathieu, J.; Li, M.; Dai, Z.; Alvarez, P.J. Isolation of Polyvalent Bacteriophages by Sequential Multiple-Host Approaches. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2016**, *82*, 808–815. [CrossRef]
- Parra, B.; Robeson, J. Selection of polyvalent bacteriophages infecting *Salmonella enterica* serovar Choleraesuis. *Electron. J. Biotechnol.* 2016, 21, 72–76. [CrossRef]
- 19. Turner, D.; Kropinski, A.M.; Adriaenssens, E.M. A Roadmap for Genome-Based Phage Taxonomy. Viruses 2021, 13, 506. [CrossRef]
- Fookes, M.; Schroeder, G.N.; Langridge, G.C.; Blondel, C.J.; Mammina, C.; Connor, T.R.; Seth-Smith, H.; Vernikos, G.S.; Robinson, K.S.; Sanders, M.; et al. *Salmonella bongori* Provides Insights into the Evolution of the Salmonellae. *PLoS Pathog.* 2011, 7, e1002191. [CrossRef]
- 21. van den Berg, B.; Silale, A.; Baslé, A.; Brandner, A.F.; Mader, S.L.; Khalid, S. Structural basis for host recognition and superinfection exclusion by bacteriophage T5. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2022**, *119*, e2211672119. [CrossRef]
- Ferguson, A.D.; Hofmann, E.; Coulton, J.W.; Diederichs, K.; Welte, W. Siderophore-mediated iron transport: Crystal structure of FhuA with bound lipopolysaccharide. *Science* 1998, 282, 2215–2220. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Flayhan, A.; Wien, F.; Paternostre, M.; Boulanger, P.; Breyton, C. New insights into pb5, the receptor binding protein of bacteriophage T5, and its interaction with its Escherichia coli receptor FhuA. *Biochimie* 2012, 94, 1982–1989. [CrossRef]
- 24. Adams, M.H. Bacteriophages; Interscience Publishers: New York, NY, USA, 1959; 592p.
- 25. Borie, C.; Albala, I.; Sanchez, P.; Sanchez, M.L.; .Ramirez, S.; .Navarro, C.; .Morales, M.A.; .Retamales, J.; .Robeson, J. Bacteriophage treatment reduces Salmonella colonization of infected chickens. *Avian Dis.* **2008**, *52*, 64–67. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kaiser, K.; Murray, N.; Whittaker, P. Construction of representative genomic DNA libraries using phages lambda replacement vectors. In DNA Cloning 1: A Practical Approach; Glover, D., Hames, B., Eds.; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 1995; pp. 37–83.
- Istace, B.; Friedrich, A.; d'Agata, L.; Faye, S.; Payen, E.; Beluche, O.; Caradec, C.; Davidas, S.; Cruaud, C.; Liti, G.; et al. De novo assembly and population genomic survey of natural yeast isolates with the Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencer. *Gigascience* 2017, 6, giw018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Magi, A.; Giusti, B.; Tattini, L. Characterization of MinION nanopore data for resequencing analyses. *Brief. Bioinform.* 2017, 18, 940–953. [CrossRef]
- Magi, A.; Semeraro, R.; Mingrino, A.; Giusti, B.; D'Aurizio, R. Nanopore sequencing data analysis: State of the art, applications and challenges. *Brief. Bioinform.* 2017, 19, 1256–1272. [CrossRef]
- Tyler, A.D.; Mataseje, L.; Urfano, C.J.; Schmidt, L.; Antonation, K.S.; Mulvey, M.R.; Corbett, C.R. Evaluation of Oxford Nanopore's MinION Sequencing Device for Microbial Whole Genome Sequencing Applications. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 10931. [CrossRef]
- 31. Lander, E.S.; Waterman, M.S. Genomic mapping by fingerprinting random clones: A mathematical analysis. *Genomics* **1988**, *2*, 231–239. [CrossRef]
- 32. Andrews, S. FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. 2010. Available online: https://www. bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ (accessed on 1 December 2022).
- Zhou, Y.; Liang, Y.; Lynch, K.H.; Dennis, J.J.; Wishart, D.S. PHAST: A Fast Phage Search Tool. Nucleic Acids Res. 2011, 39 (Suppl. S2), W347–W352. [CrossRef]
- Aziz, R.K.; Bartels, D.; Best, A.A.; DeJongh, M.; Disz, T.; Edwards, R.A.; Formsma, K.; Gerdes, S.; Glass, E.M.; Kubal, M.; et al. The RAST Server: Rapid annotations using subsystems technology. *BMC Genom.* 2008, *9*, 75. [CrossRef]
- 35. Saitou, N.; Nei, M. The neighbor-joining method: A new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1987**, *4*, 406–425.
- Jukes, T.H.; Cantor, C.R. Evolution of Protein Molecules. In *Mammalian Protein Metabolism*; Munro, H.N., Ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 1969; Chapter 24; pp. 21–132.
- Anany, H.; Switt, A.I.; De Lappe, N.; Ackermann, H.W.; Reynolds, D.M.; Kropinski, A.M.; Wiedmann, M.; Griffiths, M.W.; Tremblay, D.; Moineau, S.; et al. A proposed new bacteriophage subfamily: "Jerseyvirinae". Arch. Virol. 2015, 160, 1021–1033. [CrossRef]
- Zeng, W.; Mao, P.; Hong, Y.; Feng, M.; Xu, Z.; Huang, F.; Jing, S. Complete Genome Sequence of the Salmonella enterica Serovar Paratyphi A Bacteriophage LSPA1 Isolated in China. Genome Announc. 2015, 3, e01011–e01014. [CrossRef]
- Kang, H.W.; Kim, J.W.; Jung, T.S.; Woo, G.J. wksl3, a New biocontrol agent for *Salmonella enterica* serovars Enteritidis and Typhimurium in foods: Characterization, application, sequence analysis, and oral acute toxicity study. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2013, 79, 1956–1968. [CrossRef]
- 40. Santander, J.; Vasquez, J.I.; Segovia, C.; Santos, L.; Turra, G.; Huber, K.; Robeson, J. Complete genome sequence of the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis bacteriophages fSE1C and fSE4C isolated from food matrices. *Stand. Genom. Sci.* 2017, 12, 1. [CrossRef]
- Segovia, C.; Vasquez, I.; Maracaja-Coutinho, V.; Robeson, J.; Santander, J. Complete Genome Sequence of Salmonella enterica Serovar Enteritidis Bacteriophage f18SE, Isolated in Chile. Genome Announc. 2015, 3, e00600-15. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bull, J.J.; Vimr, E.; Molineux, I. A tale of tails: Sialidase is key to success in a model of phage therapy against K1-capsulated Escherichia coli. *Virology* **2010**, *398*, 79–86. [CrossRef]
- Chang, H.W.; Kim, K.H. Comparative genomic analysis of bacteriophage EP23 infecting *Shigella sonnei* and *Escherichia coli*. J. Microbiol. 2011, 49, 927–934. [CrossRef]
- Pickard, D.; Thomson, N.R.; Baker, S.; Wain, J.; Pardo, M.; Goulding, D.; Hamlin, N.; Choudhary, J.; Threfall, J.; Dougan, G. Molecular characterization of the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi Vi-typing bacteriophage E1. *J. Bacteriol.* 2008, 190, 2580–2587. [CrossRef]
- Gencay, Y.E.; Gambino, M.; Prüssing, T.F.; Brøndsted, L. The genera of bacteriophages and their receptors are the major determinants of host range. *Environ. Microbiol.* 2019, 21, 2095–2111. [CrossRef]
- 46. Kaliman, A.V.; Kryukov, V.; Bayev, A. The nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage T5 DNA at the region between early and late genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1988**, *16*, 6230. [CrossRef]
- Pedulla, M.L.; Ford, M.E.; Karthikeyan, T.; Houtz, J.M.; Hendrix, R.W.; Hatfull, G.F.; Poteete, A.R.; Gilcrease, E.B.; Winn-Stapley, D.A.; Casjens, S.R. Corrected sequence of the bacteriophage p22 genome. J. Bacteriol. 2003, 185, 1475–1477. [CrossRef]
- Sanger, F.; Coulson, A.R.; Hong, G.F.; Hill, D.F.; Petersen, G.B. Nucleotide sequence of bacteriophage lambda DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1982, 162, 729–773. [CrossRef]
- 49. van Wezenbeek, P.M.; Hulsebos, T.; Schoenmakers, J. Nucleotide sequence of the filamentous bacteriophage M13 DNA genome: Comparison with phage fd. *Gene* **1980**, *11*, 129–148. [CrossRef]

- 50. Robert, X.; Gouet, P. Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2014**, 42, W320–W324. [CrossRef]
- 51. Du, Z.; Su, H.; Wang, W.; Ye, L.; Wei, H.; Peng, Z.; Anishchenko, I.; Baker, D.; Yang, J. The trRosetta server for fast and accurate protein structure prediction. *Nat. Protoc.* **2021**, *16*, 5634–5651. [CrossRef]
- 52. Ko, J.; Park, H.; Heo, L.; Seok, C. GalaxyWEB server for protein structure prediction and refinement. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012, 40, W294–W297. [CrossRef]
- 53. Waterhouse, A.; Bertoni, M.; Bienert, S.; Studer, G.; Tauriello, G.; Gumienny, R.; Heer, F.T.; de Beer, T.A.P.; Rempfer, C.; Bordoli, L.; et al. SWISS-MODEL: Homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2018**, *46*, W296–W303. [CrossRef]
- 54. Lomize, M.A.; Pogozheva, I.D.; Joo, H.; Mosberg, H.I.; Lomize, A.L. OPM database and PPM web server: Resources for positioning of proteins in membranes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2012, 40, D370–D376. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Yan, Y.; Tao, H.; He, J.; Huang, S.Y. The HDOCK server for integrated protein–protein docking. *Nat. Protoc.* **2020**, *15*, 1829–1852. [CrossRef]
- Xue, L.C.; Rodrigues, J.P.; Kastritis, P.L.; Bonvin, A.M.; Vangone, A. PRODIGY: A web server for predicting the binding affinity of protein–protein complexes. *Bioinformatics* 2016, 32, 3676–3678. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Higgins, N.P.; Vologodskii, A.V. Topological Behavior of Plasmid DNA. Microbiol. Spectr. 2015, 3. [CrossRef]
- 58. Grenyer, B.; Sperratore, M.; Harrison, M. Bacteriophages and a homology model of a large terminase bacteriophage protein. *J. Biotech Res.* **2018**, *9*, 79–89.
- Merrill, B.D.; Ward, A.T.; Grose, J.H.; Hope, S. Software-based analysis of bacteriophage genomes, physical ends, and packaging strategies. BMC Genom. 2016, 17, 679. [CrossRef]
- 60. Hong, Y.; Pan, Y.; Harman, N.J.; Ebner, P.D. Complete genome sequences of two *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 phages effective in limiting contamination of food Products. *Genome Announc.* **2014**, *2*, e00519-14. [CrossRef]
- Feucht, A.; Schmid, A.; Benz, R.; Schwarz, H.; Heller, K.J. Pore formation associated with the tail-tip protein pb2 of bacteriophage T5. J. Biol. Chem. 1990, 265, 18561–18567. [CrossRef]
- Hagens, S.; Loessner, M.J. Application of bacteriophages for detection and control of foodborne pathogens. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2007, 76, 513–519. [CrossRef]
- 63. Kazi, M.; Annapure, U.S. Bacteriophage biocontrol of foodborne pathogens. J. Food Sci. Technol. 2016, 53, 1355–1362. [CrossRef]
- 64. de Melo, A.G.; Levesque, S.; Moineau, S. Phages as friends and enemies in food processing. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2018**, 49, 185–190. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 65. Marcó, M.B.; Moineau, S.; Quiberoni, A. Bacteriophages and dairy fermentations. *Bacteriophage* **2012**, *2*, 149–158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Bueno, E.; García, P.; Martínez, B.; Rodríguez, A. Phage inactivation of *Staphylococcus aureus* in fresh and hard-type cheeses. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2012**, *158*, 23–27. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Sukumaran, A.T.; Nannapaneni, R.; Kiess, A.; Sharma, C.S. Reduction of *Salmonella* on chicken breast fillets stored under aerobic or modified atmosphere packaging by the application of lytic bacteriophage preparation SalmoFreshTM. *Poult. Sci.* **2016**, *95*, 668–675. [CrossRef]
- Sukumaran, A.T.; Nannapaneni, R.; Kiess, A.; Sharma, C.S. Reduction of Salmonella on chicken meat and chicken skin by combined or sequential application of lytic bacteriophage with chemical antimicrobials. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 2015, 207, 8–15. [CrossRef]
- 69. Wu, J.-L.; Lin, H.-M.; Jan, L.; Hsu, Y.-L.; Chang, L.-H. Biological control of fish bacterial pathogen, *Aeromonas hydrophila*, by bacteriophage AH 1. *Fish Pathol.* **1981**, *15*, 271–276. [CrossRef]
- 70. Richards, G.P. Bacteriophage remediation of bacterial pathogens in aquaculture: A review of the technology. *Bacteriophage* **2014**, *4*, e975540. [CrossRef]
- 71. Kutter, E.; Sulakvelidze, A. Bacteriophages: Biology and Applications; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2004.
- 72. Ackermann, H.W.; Prangishvili, D. Prokaryote viruses studied by electron microscopy. *Arch. Virol.* **2012**, 157, 1843–1849. [CrossRef]
- King, A.M.Q.; Adams, M.J.; Carstens, E.B.; Lefkowitz, E. (Eds.) Part III. The ICTV. In *Virus Taxonomy*; Elsevier: San Diego, CA, USA, 2012; pp. 1261–1291. Available online: https://www.elsevier.com/books/virus-taxonomy/king/978-0-12-384684-6 (accessed on 1 December 2022).
- 74. Adriaenssens, E.M.; Sullivan, M.B.; Knezevic, P.; van Zyl, L.J.; Sarkar, B.L.; Dutilh, B.E.; Alfenas-Zerbini, P.; Łobocka, M.; Tong, Y.; Brister, J.R.; et al. Taxonomy of prokaryotic viruses: 2018–2019 update from the ICTV Bacterial and Archaeal Viruses Subcommittee. *Arch. Virol.* 2020, *165*, 1253–1260. [CrossRef]
- 75. Vander Byl, C.; Kropinski, A.M. Sequence of the genome of Salmonella bacteriophage P22. J. Bacteriol. 2000, 182, 6472–6481.
- Casjens, S.R.; Hendrix, R.W. Bacteriophage lambda: Early pioneer and still relevant. *Virology* 2015, 479–480, 310–330. [CrossRef]
 Niu, Y.D.; Liu, H.; Johnson, R.P.; McAllister, T.A.; Stanford, K. Effect of a bacteriophage T5virus on growth of Shiga toxigenic *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* strains in individual and mixed cultures. *Virol. J.* 2020, 17, 3. [CrossRef]
- 78. Kim, M.; Ryu, S. Characterization of a T5-like coliphage, SPC35, and differential development of resistance to SPC35 in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium and Escherichia coli. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2011**, *77*, 2042–2050. [CrossRef]

- 79. Zinke, M.; Schröder, G.; Lange, A. Major tail proteins of bacteriophages of the order Caudovirales. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2022**, 298, 101472. [CrossRef]
- 80. Werten, S. Identification of the ssDNA-binding protein of bacteriophage T5: Implications for T5 replication. *Bacteriophage* **2013**, *3*, e27304. [CrossRef]
- Kaliman, A.V.; Kryukov, V.M.; Bayev, A.A. The nucleotide sequence of the region of bacteriophage T5 early genes D10-D15. Nucleic Acids Res. 1988, 16, 10353–10354. [CrossRef]
- 82. Kupczok, A.; Neve, H.; Huang, K.D.; Hoeppner, M.P.; Heller, K.J.; Franz, C.M.A.P.; Dagan, T. Rates of Mutation and Recombination in Siphoviridae Phage Genome Evolution over Three Decades. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2018**, *35*, 1147–1159. [CrossRef]
- 83. Amitai, S.; Yassin, Y.; Engelberg-Kulka, H. MazF-mediated cell death in *Escherichia coli*: A point of no return. *J. Bacteriol.* 2004, 186, 8295–8300. [CrossRef]
- 84. Berry, J.; Rajaure, M.; Pang, T.; Young, R. The spanin complex is essential for lambda lysis. *J. Bacteriol.* **2012**, *194*, 5667–5674. [CrossRef]
- 85. Endriß, F.; Braun, V. Loop deletions indicate regions important for FhuA transport and receptor functions in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **2004**, *186*, 4818–4823. [CrossRef]
- Mondigler, M.; Holz, T.; Heller, K.J. Identification of the receptor-binding regions of pb5 proteins of bacteriophages T5 and BF23. Virology 1996, 219, 19–28. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.