

# Discriminating between JCPyV and BKPyV in Urinary Virome Data Sets

Rita Mormando <sup>1</sup>, Alan J. Wolfe <sup>2</sup> and Catherine Putonti <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup><sup>1</sup> Bioinformatics Program, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, USA; rmormando@luc.edu<sup>2</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Stitch School of Medicine, Loyola University Chicago, Maywood, IL 60153, USA; awolfe@luc.edu<sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Loyola University Chicago, Chicago, IL 60660, USA

\* Correspondence: cputonti@luc.edu

**Abstract:** Polyomaviruses are abundant in the human body. The polyomaviruses JC virus (JCPyV) and BK virus (BKPyV) are common viruses in the human urinary tract. Prior studies have estimated that JCPyV infects between 20 and 80% of adults and that BKPyV infects between 65 and 90% of individuals by age 10. However, these two viruses encode for the same six genes and share 75% nucleotide sequence identity across their genomes. While prior urinary virome studies have repeatedly reported the presence of JCPyV, we were interested in seeing how JCPyV prevalence compares to BKPyV. We retrieved all publicly available shotgun metagenomic sequencing reads from urinary microbiome and virome studies ( $n = 165$ ). While one third of the data sets produced hits to JCPyV, upon further investigation we were able to determine that the majority of these were in fact BKPyV. This distinction was made by specifically mining for JCPyV and BKPyV and considering uniform coverage across the genome. This approach provides confidence in taxon calls, even between closely related viruses with significant sequence similarity.

**Citation:** Mormando, R.; Wolfe, A.J.; Putonti, C. Discriminating between JCPyV and BKPyV in Urinary Virome Data Sets. *Viruses* **2021**, *13*, 1041. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13061041>

Academic Editors: Jennifer R. Brum and Simon Roux

Received: 11 April 2021

Accepted: 27 May 2021

Published: 31 May 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

**Keywords:** JCPyV; BKPyV; polyomaviruses; urinary virome; urinary microbiome

## 1. Introduction

High-throughput sequencing technologies have allowed us to discover the complex communities of bacteria and viruses that inhabit the human body: the human microbiota. In contrast to many organ systems, the urinary tract of asymptomatic individuals was once thought to be “sterile.” This belief was primarily based on the observed absence of bacterial species and was recently debunked using high-throughput sequencing (see review [1]). In the past, the urinary tract was referred to as sterile despite the well-accepted knowledge that viruses are shed into urine. It has been 50 years since polyomaviruses were reported within the urinary tract [2].

Polyomaviruses are the smallest known double-stranded DNA viruses and are abundant in the human microbiota [3]. Within the urinary tract, two polyomaviruses have been identified: JC virus (JCPyV) and BK virus (BKPyV). The genomes of these two viruses are 75% similar, encoding for the same six proteins. In most cases, JCPyV and BKPyV are considered benign members of the urinary microbiota, producing persistent, asymptomatic infections of the kidneys [4].

Estimates of JCPyV prevalence within the population range from 20 to 80% [5–7]. Studies have found that the incidence of JCPyV is low in younger populations and high in the elderly [5,8]. The estimates of prevalence are based upon amplification-based surveys, and numerous assays/protocols have been designed to detect JCPyV and BKPyV, including distinguishing between the two [9–32]. Although currently there are just a handful of metagenomic and viromic studies of the urinary microbiome, JCPyV and/or BKPyV have frequently been reported [33–37]. Previously, we were able to assemble the

complete JCPyV genome from the urinary microbiomes of five different women [35]. This prompted our investigation of JCPyV in the urinary microbiome data sets.

## 2. Materials and Methods

Publicly available raw reads from metagenomic sequencing of the urinary microbiome were identified from the literature [33,36] and retrieved from NCBI's Short Read Archive (SRA) and iMicrobe (summarized in Table 1, full details in Table S1). We also included 4 samples sequenced from portable urinals. These publicly available data sets, as well as the urinary microbiome generated as part of this study, were processed as follows: each data set was mapped to a reference genome using Bowtie2 [38] through either Geneious Prime (v2.3.2; Biomatters, Ltd., Auckland, NZ) or from the stand-alone application (v.2.3.5). Reference genomes included the JCPyV RefSeq (accession no. NC\_001699.1) and the BKPyV RefSeq (accession no. NC\_001538.1).

**Table 1.** Summary of raw read data sets evaluated in this study.

Study	Accession No.	# Samples	# Reads Total
Kidney Transplant Virome [34]	PRJEB28510 <sup>1</sup>	27	157.5 M <sup>3</sup>
Pulmonary Tuberculosis Urinary Microbiome (unpublished)	PRJNA431965 <sup>1</sup>	3	9.5 M <sup>4</sup>
Microbial Metagenome of UTI [36]	PRJNA385350 <sup>1</sup>	49	532.0 M <sup>4</sup>
Virome in Healthy and BK Disease of Kidney Transplant (unpublished)	PRJNA587166 <sup>1</sup>	62	70.0 M <sup>3</sup>
Virome in Association with UTI [33]	cobian9680 <sup>2</sup>	20	14.5 M <sup>3</sup>
Portable Urinal Microbiome (unpublished)	PRJNA399057 <sup>1</sup>	4	228.3 M <sup>4</sup>


<sup>1</sup> Accession number for NCBI BioProject (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject>); <sup>2</sup> Accession number for iMicrobe (<https://www.imicrobe.us>); <sup>3</sup> Sequencing performed for viral community only; <sup>4</sup> Sequencing performed for bacterial and viral community.

Additionally, we sequenced the urinary microbiome of one urine sample in our collection, which was confirmed to be PCR-positive for JCPyV. This urine was collected via transurethral catheterization from a woman with overactive bladder as part of a previous IRB-approved study [39]. DNA was extracted using a phenol–chloroform protocol with a starting volume of 500 uL urine. The extracted DNA was sent to the Microbial Genome Sequencing Center (Pittsburgh, PA USA). Libraries were prepared using a method based upon the Illumina Nextera kit (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA USA) and sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq 550 platform. Sequencing produced 93.5 M reads of read length 150 nucleotides. Raw reads have been deposited in SRA; accession number SRR13199001.

## 3. Results

In an effort to ascertain the frequency at which JCPyV is detectable within the urinary microbiome, we retrieved all publicly available urinary metagenomic data sets (Table S1). Included in these data sets were samples in which the corresponding published paper indicated that JCPyV was present based upon microbiome sequencing data alone [33,36]. Of the 165 data sets processed, raw reads mapped to the JCPyV RefSeq sequence (5,130 base pairs (bp)) for 59 of the samples (minimum number of reads mapped: 1; maximum number of reads mapped: 336,004; average number of reads mapped: 36,734). Further investigation of the mapped reads, however, revealed that the genome coverage of these reads was unequal; particular genes were mapped with significantly high coverage, whereas others had few or no reads mapped to them (Figure 1A). In stipulating that mapped reads must be uniformly distributed across the JCPyV genome (Figure 1B), we conclude that with high confidence JCPyV is present in only three samples: accession nos. ERR2798125, ERR2798126, and SRR6519218. The first two samples are from the Kidney

Transplant Virome data set [34] and the third is from the Pulmonary Tuberculosis Urinary Microbiome data set. For the 56 data sets failing this uniformity test, we mapped the raw reads against the BKPyV RefSeq sequence (5,153 bp), finding uniform coverage (Figure 1C). Thus, we can conclude that JCPyV is present in only 2% of the samples tested.



**Figure 1.** Raw read coverage of JCPyV and BKPyV reference genomes. (A) Coverage of the JCPyV genome for sample ERR2798128 reads; average coverage (indicated by red bar) was ~1 reads/nucleotide and was concentrated on sequences conserved between JCPyV and BKPyV. (B) Coverage of the JCPyV genome for sample ERR2798125 reads; average coverage (indicated by red bar) ~900 reads/nucleotide. (C) Coverage of the BKPyV genome for sample ERR2798128 reads; average coverage (indicated by red bar) was ~15 reads/nucleotide.

Next, we conducted shotgun sequencing for one PCR-positive urine sample to confirm that JCPyV could be detected in the urinary metagenome. One pair of reads (of the 46.7 million pairs) was mapped to the JCPyV RefSeq. These reads were then queried against the nr/nt database via blastn and had 100% query coverage (length 150 bp) and 100% sequence identity to JCPyV sequences. Both reads map to the large t-antigen coding region. These reads were also compared to BKPyV sequences (Human Polyomavirus 1, taxid: 1891762) via discontinuous blast. The two reads had 99% query coverage and 75% sequence identity and 60% query coverage and 80.22% sequence identity, respectively. This suggests that these reads are in fact representative of JCPyV and not BKPyV.

#### 4. Discussion

This study provides insight into the challenges of definitively identifying JCPyV in urinary microbiomes/viromes amidst other polyomaviruses, namely BKPyV. Making this distinction is paramount if we are to accurately determine the prevalence of JCPyV in the population and begin to explore what role (if any) JCPyV plays in urinary health.

Metagenomic studies of urine samples have repeatedly suggested that JCPyV and BKPyV are both present [33–37]. However, our analysis of 165 publicly available urinary data sets suggests that BKPyV may be mistakenly reported as JCPyV. It is important to note that the data sets examined here (Table 1) were not explicitly looking for JCPyV. Similarly, in our own prior urinary microbiome study [35], we were not focused on identifying JCPyV. The current estimates of JCPyV vary widely, from 20 to 80% [5–7]. This estimate comes from PCR-based or serotype-based assays and the variation reported is largely due to differences in the populations sampled (e.g., age, sex). It is important to note that the metagenomic data sets examined here cannot provide any insight into JCPyV prevalence as they also differ in the populations sampled, as well as in methods of sample collection (e.g., catheterized urine, voided urine, pooled urine samples) and DNA extraction.

Bioinformatic tools designed for analysis of large high-throughput studies often lack the sensitivity required to differentiate between closely related species, a trade-off made in favor of speed. Tools designed to recognize viral sequences in metagenomic data sets often rely on the presence of hallmark genes or best BLAST hits to make taxonomic calls [40–45]. Given the high sequence homology between BKPyV and JCPyV, miscalls of the two polyomaviruses are not surprising. Here, we have shown that these distinctions can only be reliably made by considering each species independently, examining the evenness of read coverage as well as coverage of and homology to unique regions. For rare members of the virome, analysis at the read level may even be necessary. For instance, the urinary metagenome produced as part of this study, which was PCR positive for JCPyV, included two reads to JCPyV. These reads exhibit greater homology to JCPyV than to BKPyV sequences.

Thus, while metagenomic sequencing has significant potential for virus detection, rigorous bioinformatic interrogation is necessary to correctly identify closely related species. Similar to our approach, others have specifically mined metagenomes/viromes in an effort to distinguish between closely related species of interest, e.g., HPV [46,47]. Based upon our study here, we advocate that evenness of read coverage should be considered as a finishing step in any virome analysis, as it provides confidence in taxon calls.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at [www.mdpi.com/1999-4915/13/6/1041/s1](http://www.mdpi.com/1999-4915/13/6/1041/s1), Table S1: Publicly available metagenomes mined for JCPyV.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, R.M. and C.P.; methodology, C.P.; formal analysis, R.M., A.J.W., and C.P.; investigation, R.M.; writing—original draft preparation, C.P.; writing—review and editing, R.M., A.J.W., and C.P. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Loyola University Chicago (RM); the US National Institutes of Health, grant numbers R56 DK104718 and R01 DK104718 (AJW); an Investigator-Initiated grant from the Kimberly Clark Corporation (AJW); and the US National Science foundation, grant number 1661357 (CP).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Loyola University Chicago (protocol code LU207152).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** Raw reads for the urinary metagenome produced in this study have been deposited in SRA; accession number SRR13199001.

**Acknowledgments:** We acknowledge the Loyola Urinary Education and Research Collaborative (LUEREC) clinicians and nurses who assisted in recruitment and the patients who provided the samples for this study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Neugent, M.L.; Hulyalkar, N.V.; Nguyen, V.H.; Zimmern, P.E.; De Nisco, N.J. Advances in Understanding the Human Urinary Microbiome and Its Potential Role in Urinary Tract Infection. *mBio* **2020**, *11*, doi:10.1128/mBio.00218-20.
2. Gardner, S.D.; Field, A.M.; Coleman, D.V.; Hulme, B. New Human Papovavirus (B.K.) Isolated from Urine after Renal Transplantation. *Lancet* **1971**, *1*, 1253–1257, doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(71)91776-4.
3. Wylie, K.M.; Mihindukulasuriya, K.A.; Zhou, Y.; Sodergren, E.; Storch, G.A.; Weinstock, G.M. Metagenomic Analysis of Double-Stranded DNA Viruses in Healthy Adults. *BMC Biol.* **2014**, *12*, 71, doi:10.1186/s12915-014-0071-7.
4. Pinto, M.; Dobson, S. BK and JC Virus: A Review. *J Infect* **2014**, *68 Suppl 1*, S2-8, doi:10.1016/j.jinf.2013.09.009.
5. Kitamura, T.; Kunitake, T.; Guo, J.; Tominaga, T.; Kawabe, K.; Yogo, Y. Transmission of the Human Polyomavirus JC Virus Occurs Both within the Family and Outside the Family. *J Clin Microbiol* **1994**, *32*, 2359–2363, doi:10.1128/JCM.32.10.2359-2363.1994.

6. Agostini, H.T.; Yanagihara, R.; Davis, V.; Ryschkewitsch, C.F.; Stoner, G.L. Asian Genotypes of JC Virus in Native Americans and in a Pacific Island Population: Markers of Viral Evolution and Human Migration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **1997**, *94*, 14542–14546, doi:10.1073/pnas.94.26.14542.
7. Bofill-Mas, S.; Girones, R. Excretion and Transmission of JCV in Human Populations. *J Neurovirol* **2001**, *7*, 345–349, doi:10.1080/13550280152537210.
8. Chang, H.; Wang, M.; Tsai, R.-T.; Lin, H.-S.; Huan, J.-S.; Wang, W.-C.; Chang, D. High Incidence of JC Viruria in JC-Seropositive Older Individuals. *J. Neurovirol.* **2002**, *8*, 447–451, doi:10.1080/13550280260422758.
9. Agostini, H.T.; Deckhut, A.; Jobes, D.V.; Girones, R.; Schlunck, G.; Prost, M.G.; Frias, C.; Pérez-Trallero, E.; Ryschkewitsch, C.F.; Stoner, G.L. Genotypes of JC Virus in East, Central and Southwest Europe. *J Gen Virol* **2001**, *82*, 1221–1331, doi:10.1099/0022-1317-82-5-1221.
10. Baksh, F.K.; Finkelstein, S.D.; Swalsky, P.A.; Stoner, G.L.; Ryschkewitsch, C.F.; Randhawa, P. Molecular Genotyping of BK and JC Viruses in Human Polyomavirus-Associated Interstitial Nephritis after Renal Transplantation. *Am J Kidney Dis* **2001**, *38*, 354–365, doi:10.1053/ajkd.2001.26101.
11. Bogdanovic, G.; Brytting, M.; Cinque, P.; Grandien, M.; Fridell, E.; Ljungman, P.; Lönnqvist, B.; Hammarin, A.L. Nested PCR for Detection of BK Virus and JC Virus DNA. *Clin Diagn Virol* **1994**, *2*, 211–220, doi:10.1016/0928-0197(94)90024-8.
12. Cayres-Vallinoto, I.M.V.; Vallinoto, A.C.R.; Azevedo, V.N.; Machado, L.F.A.; Ishak, M. de O.G.; Ishak, R. Human JCV Infections as a Bio-Anthropological Marker of the Formation of Brazilian Amazonian Populations. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, e46523, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0046523.
13. Souza, L.M. de; Savassi-Ribas, F.; Almeida, S.G.S. de; Silva, R.N.N. da; Baez, C.F.; Zalis, M.G.; Guimarães, M.A.A.M.; Varela, R.B. A Globally Applicable PCR-Based Detection and Discrimination of BK and JC Polyomaviruses. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* **2018**, *60*, e47, doi:10.1590/s1678-9946201860047.
14. Del Valle, L.; Gordon, J.; Assimakopoulou, M.; Enam, S.; Geddes, J.F.; Varakis, J.N.; Katsetos, C.D.; Croul, S.; Khalili, K. Detection of JC Virus DNA Sequences and Expression of the Viral Regulatory Protein T-Antigen in Tumors of the Central Nervous System. *Cancer Res* **2001**, *61*, 4287–4293.
15. Dumonceaux, T.J.; Mesa, C.; Severini, A. Internally Controlled Triplex Quantitative PCR Assay for Human Polyomaviruses JC and BK. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **2008**, *46*, 2829–2836, doi:10.1128/JCM.00844-08.
16. Dumoulin, A.; Hirsch, H.H. Reevaluating and Optimizing Polyomavirus BK and JC Real-Time PCR Assays to Detect Rare Sequence Polymorphisms. *J Clin Microbiol* **2011**, *49*, 1382–1388, doi:10.1128/JCM.02008-10.
17. Funahashi, Y.; Iwata, S.; Ito, Y.; Kojima, S.; Yoshikawa, T.; Hattori, R.; Gotoh, M.; Nishiyama, Y.; Kimura, H. Multiplex Real-Time PCR Assay for Simultaneous Quantification of BK Polyomavirus, JC Polyomavirus, and Adenovirus DNA. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* **2010**, *48*, 825–830, doi:10.1128/JCM.01699-09.
18. Giovannelli, I.; Ciccone, N.; Vaggelli, G.; Malva, N.D.; Torricelli, F.; Rossolini, G.M.; Giannecchini, S. Utility of Droplet Digital PCR for the Quantitative Detection of Polyomavirus JC in Clinical Samples. *Journal of Clinical Virology* **2016**, *82*, 70–75, doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2016.07.008.
19. Haghighi, M.F.; Seyyedi, N.; Farhadi, A.; Zare, F.; Kasraian, L.; Refiei Dehbidi, G.R.; Ranjbaran, R.; Behzad-Behbahani, A. Polyomaviruses BK and JC DNA Infection in Peripheral Blood Cells from Blood Donors. *Braz J Infect Dis* **2019**, *23*, 22–26, doi:10.1016/j.bjid.2019.01.005.
20. Hori, R.; Murai, Y.; Tsuneyama, K.; Abdel-Aziz, H.O.; Nomoto, K.; Takahashi, H.; Cheng, C.; Kuchina, T.; Harman, B.V.; Takano, Y. Detection of JC Virus DNA Sequences in Colorectal Cancers in Japan. *Virchows Arch* **2005**, *447*, 723–730, doi:10.1007/s00428-005-0014-3.
21. Hussain, I.; Tasneem, F.; Umer, M.; Pervaiz, A.; Raza, M.; Arshad, M.I.; Shahzad, N. Specific and Quantitative Detection of Human Polyomaviruses BKPv and JCPv in the Healthy Pakistani Population. *Virol J* **2017**, *14*, 86, doi:10.1186/s12985-017-0752-2.
22. Karalic, D.; Lazarevic, I.; Knezevic, A.; Cupic, M.; Jevtovic, D.; Jovanovic, T. Distribution of JC Virus Genotypes among Serbian Patients Infected with HIV and in Healthy Donors. *J Med Virol* **2014**, *86*, 411–418, doi:10.1002/jmv.23796.
23. Kruzel-Davila, E.; Divers, J.; Russell, G.B.; Kra-Oz, Z.; Cohen, M.S.; Langefeld, C.D.; Ma, L.; Lyles, D.S.; Hicks, P.J.; Skorecki, K.L.; et al. JC Viruria Is Associated With Reduced Risk of Diabetic Kidney Disease. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* **2019**, *104*, 2286–2294, doi:10.1210/jc.2018-02482.
24. Makvandi, M.; Mombeini, H.; Haghighi, S.B.; Dastoorpoor, M.; Khodadad, N.; Babaahmadi, M.K.; Tabasi, M.; Pirmoradi, R. Molecular Epidemiology of JC Polyomavirus in HIV-Infected Patients and Healthy Individuals from Iran. *Braz J Microbiol* **2020**, *51*, 37–43, doi:10.1007/s42770-019-00117-y.
25. McNeese, A.L.; White, Z.S.; Zanwar, P.; Vilchez, R.A.; Butel, J.S. Specific and Quantitative Detection of Human Polyomaviruses BKV, JCV, and SV40 by Real Time PCR. *J Clin Virol* **2005**, *34*, 52–62, doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2004.12.018.
26. Merlino, C.; Bergallo, M.; Daniele, R.; Ponzi, A.N.; Cavallo, R. BKV-DNA and JCV-DNA Co-Quantification Assay to Evaluate Viral Load in Urine and Serum. *Mol Biotechnol* **2005**, *30*, 1–8, doi:10.1385/MB:30:1:001.
27. Pal, A.; Sirota, L.; Maudru, T.; Peden, K.; Lewis, A.M. Real-Time, Quantitative PCR Assays for the Detection of Virus-Specific DNA in Samples with Mixed Populations of Polyomaviruses. *J Virol Methods* **2006**, *135*, 32–42, doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.01.018.
28. Rafique, A.; Jiang, S.C. Genetic Diversity of Human Polyomavirus JCPv in Southern California Wastewater. *J Water Health* **2008**, *6*, 533–538, doi:10.2166/wh.2008.067.

29. Rencic, A.; Gordon, J.; Otte, J.; Curtis, M.; Kovatich, A.; Zoltick, P.; Khalili, K.; Andrews, D. Detection of JC Virus DNA Sequence and Expression of the Viral Oncoprotein, Tumor Antigen, in Brain of Immunocompetent Patient with Oligoastrocytoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **1996**, *93*, 7352–7357, doi:10.1073/pnas.93.14.7352.
30. Ryschkewitsch, C.; Jensen, P.; Hou, J.; Fahle, G.; Fischer, S.; Major, E.O. Comparison of PCR-Southern Hybridization and Quantitative Real-Time PCR for the Detection of JC and BK Viral Nucleotide Sequences in Urine and Cerebrospinal Fluid. *J Virol Methods* **2004**, *121*, 217–221, doi:10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.06.021.
31. Sehbani, L.; Kabamba-Mukadi, B.; Vandenbroucke, A.-T.; Bodéus, M.; Goubau, P. Specific and Quantitative Detection of Human Polyomaviruses BKV and JCV by LightCycler Real-Time PCR. *J Clin Virol* **2006**, *36*, 159–162, doi:10.1016/j.jcv.2006.01.013.
32. Tsai, R.T.; Wang, M.; Ou, W.C.; Lee, Y.L.; Li, S.Y.; Fung, C.Y.; Huang, Y.L.; Tzeng, T.Y.; Chen, Y.; Chang, D. Incidence of JC Viruria Is Higher than That of BK Viruria in Taiwan. *J Med Virol* **1997**, *52*, 253–257.
33. Santiago-Rodriguez, T.M.; Ly, M.; Bonilla, N.; Pride, D.T. The Human Urine Virome in Association with Urinary Tract Infections. *Front Microbiol* **2015**, *6*, 14, doi:10.3389/fmicb.2015.00014.
34. Rani, A.; Ranjan, R.; McGee, H.S.; Metwally, A.; Hajjiri, Z.; Brennan, D.C.; Finn, P.W.; Perkins, D.L. A Diverse Virome in Kidney Transplant Patients Contains Multiple Viral Subtypes with Distinct Polymorphisms. *Scientific Reports* **2016**, *6*, doi:10.1038/srep33327.
35. Garretto, A.; Thomas-White, K.; Wolfe, A.J.; Putonti, C. Detecting Viral Genomes in the Female Urinary Microbiome. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2018**, *99*, 1141–1146, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.001097.
36. Moustafa, A.; Li, W.; Singh, H.; Moncera, K.J.; Torralba, M.G.; Yu, Y.; Manuel, O.; Biggs, W.; Venter, J.C.; Nelson, K.E.; et al. Microbial Metagenome of Urinary Tract Infection. *Scientific Reports* **2018**, *8*, doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22660-8.
37. Carpenter, M.L.; Tan, S.K.; Watson, T.; Bacher, R.; Nagesh, V.; Watts, A.; Bentley, G.; Weber, J.; Huang, C.; Sahoo, M.K.; et al. Metagenomic Next-Generation Sequencing for Identification and Quantitation of Transplant-Related DNA Viruses. *J Clin Microbiol* **2019**, *57*, doi:10.1128/JCM.01113-19.
38. Langmead, B.; Salzberg, S.L. Fast Gapped-Read Alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* **2012**, *9*, 357–359, doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923.
39. Thomas-White, K.; Forster, S.C.; Kumar, N.; Van Kuiken, M.; Putonti, C.; Stares, M.D.; Hilt, E.E.; Price, T.K.; Wolfe, A.J.; Lawley, T.D. Culturing of Female Bladder Bacteria Reveals an Interconnected Urogenital Microbiota. *Nat Commun* **2018**, *9*, 1557, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03968-5.
40. Wommack, K.E.; Bhavsar, J.; Polson, S.W.; Chen, J.; Dumas, M.; Srinivasiah, S.; Furman, M.; Jamindar, S.; Nasko, D.J. VIROME: A Standard Operating Procedure for Analysis of Viral Metagenome Sequences. *Standards in Genomic Sciences* **2012**, *6*, 427–439, doi:10.4056/sigs.2945050.
41. Huson, D.H.; Weber, N. Microbial Community Analysis Using MEGAN. *Methods Enzymol* **2013**, *531*, 465–485, doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-407863-5.00021-6.
42. Roux, S.; Tournayre, J.; Mahul, A.; Debroas, D.; Enault, F. Metavir 2: New Tools for Viral Metagenome Comparison and Assembled Virome Analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **2014**, *15*, 76, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-15-76.
43. Roux, S.; Enault, F.; Hurwitz, B.L.; Sullivan, M.B. VirSorter: Mining Viral Signal from Microbial Genomic Data. *PeerJ* **2015**, *3*, e985, doi:10.7717/peerj.985.
44. Keegan, K.P.; Glass, E.M.; Meyer, F. MG-RAST, a Metagenomics Service for Analysis of Microbial Community Structure and Function. *Methods Mol Biol* **2016**, *1399*, 207–233, doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-3369-3\_13.
45. Guo, J.; Bolduc, B.; Zayed, A.A.; Varsani, A.; Dominguez-Huerta, G.; Delmont, T.O.; Pratama, A.A.; Gazitúa, M.C.; Vik, D.; Sullivan, M.B.; et al. VirSorter2: A Multi-Classifer, Expert-Guided Approach to Detect Diverse DNA and RNA Viruses. *Microbiome* **2021**, *9*, 37, doi:10.1186/s40168-020-00990-y.
46. Siqueira, J.D.; Curty, G.; Xutao, D.; Hofer, C.B.; Machado, E.S.; Seuánez, H.N.; Soares, M.A.; Delwart, E.; Soares, E.A. Composite Analysis of the Virome and Bacteriome of HIV/HPV Co-Infected Women Reveals Proxies for Immunodeficiency. *Viruses* **2019**, *11*, doi:10.3390/v11050422.
47. Zhang, H.-T.; Wang, H.; Wu, H.-S.; Zeng, J.; Yang, Y. Comparison of Viromes in Vaginal Secretion from Pregnant Women with and without Vaginitis. *Virol J* **2021**, *18*, 11, doi:10.1186/s12985-020-01482-z.