






Figure S1. Some photos of L. principis-rupprechtii forest and flux tower in this region.

Table S1. The regression model of biomass, volume, and height of $L$. principis-rupprechtii.

| Contents | Regression equation | Correlation coefficient | Samples $(\boldsymbol{n})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stem | $\ln W_{S}=0.99794 \ln \left(D^{2} H\right)-4.29251$ | 0.99312 | 91 |
| Bark | $\ln W_{B A}=0.80398 \ln \left(D^{2} H\right)-4.53535$ | 0.98872 | 91 |
| Branch | $\ln W_{B}=2.04597 \ln D-2.55078$ | 0.97720 | 91 |
| Leaf | $\ln W_{L}=1.90488 \ln D-3.44704$ | 0.97436 | 91 |
| Root | $\ln W_{R}=2.18625 \ln D-3.46236$ | 0.98725 | 91 |
| Volume | $\ln V_{S}=0.95076 \ln \left(D^{2} H\right)-10.01703$ | 0.99715 | 91 |
| Height | $\frac{1}{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{1.90568}{\mathrm{D}^{1.90809}}+0.06897$ | 0.86281 | 91 |

D, Diameter at breast height (cm); H, Height of tree (m); Ws, Dry weight of stem (kg); Wba, Dry weight of bark (kg); WB, Dry weight of branch (kg); WL, Dry weight of leaf (kg); Wr, Dry weight of roots ( kg ); Vs, Stem volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ ).

Table S2. The regression model of shrub biomass in the L. principis-rupprechtii forest.

| Shrubs | Organs | Regression equation | Correlation coefficient | Samples <br> (n) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. <br> phellomanus | Stem | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}=62451+31894\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)-0.849\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}$ | 0.918 | 55 |
|  | Leaf | $\operatorname{lnB}_{1}=6.128-\frac{0.874}{C W}$ | 0.938 | 55 |
|  | Bark | $\ln \mathrm{Bb}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.584+0.482 \ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 0.915 | 55 |
| L. hispida | Root | $\operatorname{lnB} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}=4.159+1.638 \mathrm{lnD}$ | 0.928 | 55 |
|  | Stem | $\operatorname{lnB} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}=3.254+0.748 \ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 0.917 | 48 |
|  | Leaf | $\operatorname{lnB}=4.258+2.786 \ln (\mathrm{CW})$ | 0.958 | 48 |
|  | Bark | $\mathrm{Bb}=5.847+1.487\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)+0.058\left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)^{2}$ | 0.978 | 48 |
| L. glauca | Root | $B_{r}=278.248-\frac{418.598}{D}$ | 0.924 | 48 |
|  | Stem | $\ln \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}=1.981+1.127 \ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 0.945 | 78 |
|  | Leaf | $\operatorname{lnB}=1.127+1.584 \mathrm{CW}$ | 0.948 | 78 |
|  | Bark | $\ln \mathrm{Bb}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.148+0.548 \ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 0.911 | 78 |
| R. pungens | Root | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}=-104.682 \mathrm{D}^{3}+791.258 \mathrm{D}^{2}-1538.174 \mathrm{D}+ \\ 1102.026 \end{gathered}$ | 0.945 | 78 |
|  | Stem | $\ln \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}}=4.125+0.684 \ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 0.955 | 62 |
|  | Leaf | $\mathbf{l n B}_{1}=6.845-\frac{\mathbf{2 . 5 4 8}}{\mathbf{C W}}$ | 0.947 | 62 |
|  | Bark | $\ln \mathrm{Bb}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.547+0.689 \ln \left(\mathrm{D}^{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ | 0.901 | 62 |
|  | Root | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}=68.547 \mathrm{D}^{3}-231.125 \mathrm{D}^{2}+317.215 \mathrm{D}- \\ 79.548 \end{gathered}$ | 0.964 | 62 |

D, Basal stem diameter (cm); H, Height of shrub (cm); CW, crown width (m); Bs, Dry weight of stem (g); Bb, Dry weight of bark (g); Bl, Dry weight of leaf (g); Br, Dry weight of roots (g).

Table S3. CWD characteristics of different decay classes in forest system.

| Type | Character | Decay Class |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I | II | III | IV | V |
|  | Leaves | Present | Absent | Absent | Absent |  |
|  | Bark | Tight | Loose | Partly present | Absent |  |
| Snags | Crown, branch, and twig | All present | Only branches present | Only large branch stub present | Absent | As logs |
|  | Bole | Recently dead | Standing, firm | Standing, <br> decayed | Heavily decayed, soft and block structure |  |




Figure S2. The relationship between temperature of different CWD. Decay classes and 10 cm soil temperature.


Figure S3. The relationship between air temperature and stem temperature.


Figure S4. Daily mean air temperature and 10 cm soil temperature in the $L$. principis-rupprechtii forest during 2010-2013.


Figure S5. Daily mean photosynthetically active radiation in the $L$. principis-rupprechtii forest during 2010-2013.

Table S4. Average carbon content ratio of litterfall and various organs of trees, shrubs, and herbs in the L. principis-rupprechtii forest (\%).

| Items | Organs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Leaf | Stem | Root | Bark | Branch |
| E. phellomanus | $42.15(1.82)$ | $47.52(0.91)$ | $40.65(0.89)$ | $48.19(1.05)$ | $50.32(1.08)$ |
| L. hispida | $47.25(1.32)$ | $50.12(1.44)$ | $43.55(0.88)$ | $38.11(1.43)$ |  |
| L. glauca | $42.88(1.11)$ | $46.38(0.98)$ | $46.25(1.27)$ | $46.55(0.89)$ |  |
| R. loropetalus | $41.58(2.31)$ | $45.58(1.04)$ | $42.87(1.12)$ | $41.08(1.05)$ | $40.11(1.28)$ |
| C. leucochlora | $43.21(1.15)$ | $42.17(0.81)$ | $48.37(1.04)$ |  |  |
| D. sylvatica | $41.58(1.62)$ | $40.88(1.81)$ | $46.87(1.18)$ |  |  |
| L. christinae | $44.58(1.14)$ | $40.18(1.69)$ | $29.81(1.24)$ |  |  |
| T. minus | $42.15(1.21)$ | $43.14(0.72)$ | $44.58(1.65)$ |  |  |
| A. aureopunctata | $41.84(2.17)$ | $43.55(1.05)$ | $49.34(1.41)$ |  |  |
| D. nipponica | $40.68(1.36)$ | $38.37(1.14)$ | $26.88(1.67)$ |  |  |
| R. cordifolia | $41.62(1.65)$ | $38.64(1.33)$ | $38.52(0.84)$ |  |  |
| S. tangutica | $43.78(2.33)$ | $39.42(1.68)$ | $35.74(1.72)$ |  |  |
| D. laeta | $44.38(1.54)$ | $38.64(2.55)$ | $23.71(1.83)$ |  |  |
| Litterfall |  |  | $46.85(2.75)$ |  |  |

Note: standard error is provided in brackets.


Figure S6. The relationship between ecosystem respiration based on eddy covariance measurement and air temperature. Data shown are daily means during 2010-2013.

