





Automatic Segmentation of *Mauritia flexuosa* in Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) Imagery Using Deep Learning

Giorgio Morales *^(D), Guillermo Kemper ^(D), Grace Sevillano ^(D), Daniel Arteaga ^(D), Ivan Ortega ^(D) and Joel Telles ^(D)

National Institute of Research and Training in Telecommunications (INICTEL-UNI), National University of Engineering, Lima 15333, Peru; guillermo.kemper@gmail.com (G.K.); ksevillano@uni.pe (G.S.);

darteaga@inictel-uni.edu.pe (D.A.); ivan.ortega91@gmail.com (I.O.); jtelles@inictel-uni.edu.pe (J.T.)

* Correspondence: gmorales@inictel-uni.edu.pe

Received: 30 October 2018; Accepted: 23 November 2018; Published: 26 November 2018

Abstract: One of the most important ecosystems in the Amazon rainforest is the *Mauritia flexuosa* swamp or "aguajal". However, deforestation of its dominant species, the *Mauritia flexuosa* palm, also known as "aguaje", is a common issue, and conservation is poorly monitored because of the difficult access to these swamps. The contribution of this paper is twofold: the presentation of a dataset called MauFlex, and the proposal of a segmentation and measurement method for areas covered in *Mauritia flexuosa* palms using high-resolution aerial images acquired by UAVs. The method performs a semantic segmentation of *Mauritia flexuosa* using an end-to-end trainable Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) based on the Deeplab v3+ architecture. Images were acquired under different environment and light conditions using three different RGB cameras. The MauFlex dataset was created from these images and it consists of 25,248 image patches of 512×512 pixels and their respective ground truth masks. The results over the test set achieved an accuracy of 98.143%, specificity of 96.599%, and sensitivity of 95.556%. It is shown that our method is able not only to detect full-grown isolated *Mauritia flexuosa* palms, but also young palms or palms partially covered by other types of vegetation.

Keywords: *Mauritia flexuosa;* semantic segmentation; end-to-end learning; convolutional neural network; forest inventory

1. Introduction

The *Mauritia flexuosa* L. palm is the main species of one of the most remarkable ecosystems of the Amazon rainforest: the *Mauritia flexuosa* swamp, also known as "aguajal" [1–3]. Its importance is not only ecological but also social and economic. It is the ecosystem with the greatest carbon dioxide absorption capacity in the Amazon [4,5] and it is habitat of a wide range of fauna [1]. In addition, due to high demand of *Mauritia flexuosa* fruit and derivatives, this species is a key economic engine for the indigenous populations and contributes to their economic and social development [3,6]. Unfortunately, in spite of the stringent government efforts to control deforestation, cutting down *M. Flexuosa* palm trees to harvest their fruits is a common activity [1]. For trees that are harvested, the proportion that is cut versus climbed is unknown, which is why carrying out multidisciplinary studies regarding species population assessment and extraction locations would help to target conservation and management efforts in communities that are hot-spots for extraction [7,8].

Recently, there has been a drastic increase in the use of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) for forest applications due to their low cost, automation capabilities, and the fact that they can support different types of payloads, e.g., RGB or multispectral cameras, LiDAR (Light detection and

Ranging), radar, etc. For instance, UAV photogrammetric data is used to rapidly detect tree stumps or coniferous seedlings in replanted forest harvest areas using basic image processing and machine learning techniques [9,10]. Similarly, UAVs have been used to tackle the problem of tree detection from many perspectives. For example, LiDAR-based methods model the 3D-shape of trees for detection with accuracy values ranging from 86% to 98% [11,12]; however, the high cost of LiDAR for UAVs represents an important limitation. The same limitation occurs with hyperspectral-based methods, such as [13], which uses a hyperspectral frame format camera and an RGB camera along with 3D modelling and Multilayer Perceptron (MLP) neural networks, and obtains accuracy values ranging from 40% to 95% depending on the conditions of the area. Following the idea of exploiting the 3D-shape of trees, some methods perform tree detection from RGB images using generated Digital Surface Models (DSMs), Structure-from-Motion (SfM) or local-maxima based algorithms on UAV-derived Canopy Height Models (CHMs) [14,15]. Nevertheless, the aforementioned methods are likely to show poor performance for trees with irregular canopy, trees in mixed-species forests, or trees that are partially occluded by taller trees.

There exist tree detection methods that use multispectral or RGB cameras and specific descriptors such as crown size, crown contour, foliage cover, foliage color and texture [16]; while others rely on pixel-based classification techniques, such as calculating the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), Circular Hough Transform (CHT) and morphological operators to segment palm trees with an accuracy of 95% [17]. Other methods depend on object-based classification techniques; for example, they use the Random Forest algorithm on multispectral data with an accuracy value of 78% [18], or a naive Bayesian network on high-resolution aerial ortophotos and ancillary data (Digital Elevation Models and forest maps) with an accuracy value of 87% [19].

In recent years, the availability of large datasets and optimal computational resources has allowed for the development of different deep learning techniques, which have now become a benchmark for tackling computer vision problems such as object detection or segmentation. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, few deep learning-based techniques have been proposed to solve the problem of tree detection in aerial images. For instance, the method in [20] used the AlexNet CNN (Convolutional Neural Network) architecture with a sliding window for palm tree detection and counting, obtaining an overall accuracy of 95% over QuickBird images with a spatial resolution of 2.4 m. Similarly, the method in [21] used a pre-trained CNN in combination with the YOLOv2 algorithm to detect Cohune palm trees (Attalea cohune C.), with an average precision of 79.5%, and deciduous trees, with an average precision of 67.3%. Furthermore, the method in [22] used Google's CNN Inception v3 with transfer learning and sliding windows to detect coconut trees with a precision of 71% and a recall of 93%. Finally, the method in [23] first segmented aerial forest images into individual tree crowns using the eCognition software and then trained the GoogLeNet model to classify seven tree types with an accuracy of 89%. It is worth mentioning that all of these methods are trained to classify visible tree crowns in the images but do not attempt to delineate or segment the tree crowns; as a consequence, if most of a tree crown is covered by taller trees, trained CNNs are not likely to detect it.

In this work, we present a new efficient method to semantically segment *Mauritia flexuosa* palm trees in aerial images acquired with RGB cameras mounted on Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV). Our aerial images of a *Mauritia flexuosa* swamp located south of the Peruvian city of Iquitos were obtained with three different cameras under different climate conditions. By doing so, we created a publicly available dataset of 25,248 image patches of 512×512 pixels, each of them with their respective hand-drawn ground truth. With this dataset, we trained five state-of-the-art segmentation deep learning models and decided to use a model based on the Deeplab v3+ architecture [24], as it showed the best performance. The model was trained to detect and segment *Mauritia flexuosa* crowns at different growing stages and scales, even when only a small part of the crown was visible.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mauritia flexuosa

The *Mauritia flexuosa* swamp, also known as "aguajal", is a swamp (humid forest ecosystem) in permanently flooded depressions. Although it is home to more than 500 flora species and 12 fauna species, its dominant species is the *Mauritia flexuosa* palm, also known as "aguaje", which is a palm tree that belongs to the family Arecaceae. In the adult stage, aguajes can grow up to 40 meters (131 feet) in height and 50 centimeters (1.6 feet) in trunk diameter; their leaves are large and form a rounded crown (Figure 1). Each palm tree has an average of eight clusters of fruit, and each cluster produces more than 700 oval-shaped drupes covered in dark red scales [1].



Figure 1. Aerial view of a Mauritia flexuosa palm.

The extent of *Mauritia flexuosa* swamps in the Peruvian Amazon rainforest is quite significant. An example is the Ucamara depression between the Ucayali and Marañón rivers, in the region of Loreto, whose capital is the Iquitos City. There, the extent of these swamps reaches about four million hectares (10% of the region surface) [3].

In addition to the economic (Iquitos City alone consumes up to 50 metric tons of aguaje a day) [1], social [3] and nutritional value [25] of this palm tree, its environmental importance is also to be highlighted: in 2010, the FAO Forestry Department stated that, for the evaluation period 2002–2008 in an area of 1,415,100 hectares of aguajales, 146,462,850 metric tons of carbon were stored in vegetation (103.5 t/ha) and 141,510,000 metric tons of carbon in soil (100 t/ha), which represents the greatest carbon absorption capacity of all ecosystems in the Amazonian rainforest [5].

Worryingly, cutting down these trees to harvest the fruit of aguaje is affecting several populations of *Mauritia flexuosa* female palms. It is estimated that 17 million of these palms are cut down in the surroundings of Iquitos to meet the demand of the city [1]. This has resulted in the disappearance of female individuals in accessible *Mauritia flexuosa* populations, thus affecting the food chains of such regions (due to their key importance in the diet of the Amazonian fauna) and causing genetic erosion (since the best and more productive palms are cut down). For such reasons, these ecosystems should be properly and continuously monitored so that preventive measures can be taken in order to prevent illegal logging and the disappearance of this important palm tree.

2.2. Image Acquisition

2.2.1. Study Area

The study area consisted of two regions with different densities of *Mauritia flexuosa*. The one with the higher density was located in the surroundings of Lake Quistococha, south of Iquitos City. The other region was located next to the facilities of the Peruvian Amazon Research Institute (IIAP). Both areas are in Iquitos City, in Maynas Province. Figure 2 shows six orthomosaics corresponding to the regions above.



Figure 2. Study area in Iquitos City, Maynas Province, north of Peru.

2.2.2. UAV Imagery

UAV imagery was collected over the years (2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018) under different atmospheric conditions. The flight crew consisted of two pilots and one spotter. We used three UAVs with different camera models; and so, we acquired images with different features. Further details are summarized in Table 1.

UAV Specifications							
Description	Quadcopter	Quadcopter	Quadcopter				
Brand	Aeryon	DJI	TurboAce				
Model	SkyRanger sUAS Mavic Pro		Matrix-E				
Vehicle Dimensions	$1020 \times 1020 \times 240 \text{ mm}$ $485 \times 430 \times 83 \text{ mm}$		$1160\times840\times250~\text{mm}$				
Vehicle Weight (kg)	2.4	0.734	4				
Camera Specifications							
Camera Model	Aeryon MT9F002	DJI FC220	Sony Nex-7				
Image Size (megapixels)	14 MP	12 MP	24 MP				
Ground Sampling Distance	1.4 cm/pixel	2.5 cm/pixel	1.4 cm/pixel				
Flight Altitude	Altitude 80 m		100 m				
Image Dimensions (pixels)	4608 imes 3288	4000 imes 3000	4000×6000				
Bit Depth	24	24	24				

Table 1. Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) and cameras specifications.

The Sony Nex-7 camera mounted in the Matrix-E UAV was manually configured: the ISO value was 200; the maximum aperture was f/8; and the shutter speed was 1/320. The settings of the SkyRanger and the Mavic Pro cameras were set to automatic. Many of the images were acquired near midday with cloud-free conditions (Figure 3a); however, Iquitos is normally covered in big clouds, and that is why we obtained some dark images of forest under shadows (Figure 3b). Some images were also acquired in the afternoon, and due to the angle of incidence of the sun's rays, there were many shadows cast by tall trees (Figure 3c). Moreover, the images acquired with the SkyRanger camera

showed a defect around the corners known as vignetting (Figure 3d). Finally, because we flew at different altitudes, we achieved Ground Sample Distances (GSD) from 1.4 to 2.5 cm/pixel. In summary, we acquired images with different resolutions, white balance settings, light conditions and others defects; nevertheless, *Mauritia flexuosa* palms can still be recognized by any trained human.



Figure 3. Aerial images acquired by different UAVs. (a) Cloud-free region captured with a Sony Nex-7. (b) Shadowed region captured with a Sony Nex-7. (c) Aerial image acquired in the afternoon with a Sony Nex-7. (d) Aerial image captured by the Skyranger UAV with vignetting. (e) and (f) Aerial images captured by the Mavic Pro UAV.

2.2.3. MauFlex Dataset

Among all the aerial images acquired over the last four years, we selected 96 of the most representative to create the dataset: 47 were acquired by the TurboAce UAV; 28, by the Mavic Pro UAV; and 21, by the SkyRanger UAV. Each image has a binary hand-drawn mask indicating the presence of *Mauritia flexuosa* palms in white. From these images, we extracted image patches of 512 × 512 pixels.

To analyze the images at different scales, the images captured by the TurboAce UAV were resized to 50% and 25% of their original size due to their high level of detail. In addition, we used data augmentation to increase the dataset size and to prevent overfitting issues; thus, each patch was rotated 90°, 180° and 270° [26]. This is how we created the MauFlex dataset (See Supplementary Materials) [27], which is made up of 25,248 image patches, each one with its respective binary mask, as shown in Figure 4. We split 95% of the data to create the training set, 2.5% to create the validation set and 2.5% to create the test set. These three sets are independent among them.



Figure 4. Samples of original images and shadow masks from the MauFlex dataset.

2.3. Proposed CNN for Segmentation

We propose a semantic level segmentation of *Mauritia flexuosa* using a Convolutional Neural Network (CNN). The architecture of our network is based on the Deeplab v3+ architecture [24], which integrates an encoder, a spatial pyramid pooling module, and a decoder. Those modules use inverted residual units, atrous convolutions and atrous separable convolutions, which are briefly described below:

• Inverted residual unit: The main feature of a residual unit is the skip/shortcut between input and output, which allows the network to access earlier activations that were not modified by the convolution blocks, thus preventing network degradation problems such as gradient vanishing or exploding when it is too deep [28]. Inverted residuals units were first introduced in [29]; the main difference is that instead of expanding the number of input channels and then shrinking them, inverted residual units (IRUs) expand the input number of channels using a 1 × 1 convolution, then apply a 3 × 3 depthwise convolution (the number of channels remains the same), and, finally, apply another 1 × 1 convolution that reduces the number of channels, as shown in Figure 5. The IRU shown in Figure 5 uses a batch normalization layer ("BN") and a Rectified-Linear unit layer with a maximum possible value of 6 ("ReLU6") after each convolution layer.



Figure 5. Inverted residual unit (IRU) used in our proposed network. It uses regular 1×1 convolutions ("Conv"), 3×3 depthwise convolutions, batch normalization ("BN") and Rectified Linear Unit activation with a maximum possible value of 6 ("ReLU6").

• Atrous convolution: Also known as dilated convolution, it is basically a convolution with upsampled filters [30]. Its advantage over convolutions with larger filters, is that it allows

enlarging the field of view of filters without increasing the number of parameters [31]. Figure 6 shows how a convolution kernel with different dilation rates is applied to a channel. This allows for multi-scale aggregation.



Figure 6. Atrous convolution kernel (green) dilated with different rates.

 Atrous separable convolution: It is a depthwise convolution with atrous convolutions followed by a pointwise convolution [24]. The former performs an independent spatial atrous convolution over each channel of an input; and the latter combines the output of the previous operation using 1 × 1 convolutions. This arrangement effectively reduces the number of parameters and mathematical operations needed in comparison with a normal convolution.

2.4. CNN Architecture

As we stated before, our proposed architecture is similar to the Deeplab v3+ architecture [24]. Figure 7 shows our architecture and its three main modules: an encoder, an Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP) module, and a decoder. The main difference from the original Deeplab v3+ network is the number of layers used.



Figure 7. The proposed network architecture. It uses regular convolutions ("CONV"), inverted residual units ("IRU") and atrous separable convolutions ("ASC").

The encoder is a feature extractor that uses several inverted residual units as a backbone and reduces the original size of the image by a factor of eight (*output stride* = 8). The ASPP module applies four parallel atrous separable convolutions with different dilation rates; this allows analyzing the extracted features at different scales. These outputs are concatenated and passed through a 1×1

convolution in order to reduce the number of channels. This result is upsampled by a factor of four and concatenated with low-level features of the same dimension. The motivation for doing so is that the structure in the input should be aligned with the structure in the output, so it is convenient to share information from low levels of the network, such as edges or shapes, to the higher ones. Then, we apply two more 3×3 separable convolutions and finally, a 1×1 convolution with one channel and sigmoid activation, so that a binary mask is obtained. This result is upsampled by a factor of two to recover the original size of the image.

In Figure 7, convolution blocks are denoted as : "CONV;" inverted residual units, as "IRU;" and atrous separable convolution blocks, as "ASC." The output number of filters of each block is reported using the hash symbol ("#"). The stride of all convolutions is denoted as "s." Blocks marked with "S" are "same padded," which means that the output is the same size as the input. "ReLU" represents a standard rectified linear unit activation layer and "BN" a batch normalization layer. If an IRU block is strided, there cannot be a skip between its input and its output; in such cases the "skip" option is set to "False".

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. CNN Training

The training algorithm was implemented using Python 3.6 on a PC with Intel i7-8700 at 3.7 GHz CPU, 64GB RAM and a NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1080 Ti GPU. The proposed CNN was trained using an Adam optimizer [32] with a learning rate of 0.003, a momentum term β_1 of 0.9, a momentum term β_2 of 0.999 and a mini-batch size of 16. The binary cross-entropy function was chosen as our loss function given the fact that it is commonly used for binary segmentation problems and that there is a balance between the amount of pixels of both training classes; thus, it was not necessary to implement specialized loss functions, such as weighted binary cross-entropy function. Figure 8 shows the evolution of network accuracy and loss over training time. After each training epoch, the accuracy and the loss are calculated on the validation set to monitor its ability to generalize and avoid overfitting. The spikes shown in validation loss in epochs 30 and 50, approximately, correspond to a decrease in performance in the training set. This is an expected behaviour during the first training epochs, since the model is still unstable and it is not able to generalize well; however, when the model stabilizes, the validation loss fluctuates with small spikes close to the training loss.



Figure 8. Metrics evolution over training time of our proposed network. (**a**) Epochs vs. Accuracy. (**b**) Epochs vs. Loss.

In order to compare the performance of our proposed network with a different segmentation approach, we trained four other networks based on the U-NET structure [33] to compare the results

and choose the best one. A U-NET is a network composed of an encoder and a decoder with skip connections that has been widely used for solving segmentation problems. The encoder-decoder structure of the U-NET tends to extract global features of the inputs and generate new representations from this overall information. Because we experienced a sudden drop in the accuracy metric during training, we decided to strengthen our networks by implementing skips between the input and output of each layer with 1×1 convolutions in order to equalize the number of channels before the addition operation, thus converting our U-NETs to ResU-NETs [34]. The first implemented network (*U-NET1*) has three layers in the encoder and three in the decoder; each layer has a 3×3 convolution block followed by a batch normalization block and a ReLU activation. Furthermore, we added a 10% dropout rate in the decoder layers to prevent overfitting. The second network (*U-NET2*) is similar to the previous one but has four layers in the encoder and four in the decoder. The third (*U-NET3*) and fourth (*U-NET4*) networks have the same structure as the first and the second networks, respectively, but they apply atrous separable convolutions with dilation rates of two instead of regular convolutions. Figure 9 shows the evolution of accuracy and loss of all networks over training time.



Figure 9. Comparison of metrics evolution over training time of all networks. (**a**) Epochs vs. Accuracy. (**b**) Epochs vs. Loss.

To statistically analyze the behavior of our network against the other networks, we calculated four metrics from the validation set: accuracy (ACC), precision (PREC), recall/sensitivity (SN), and specificity (SP), as shown in Table 2. The ACC ratio indicates correctly predicted observations against total observations; the PREC ratio indicates correctly predicted positive observations against total predicted positive observations; the SN ratio indicates correctly predicted positive observations against total actual positive observations, and the SP ratio indicates correctly predicted negative observations against total actual negative observations. Additionally, the number of trainable parameters of each network is added in Table 2.

Table 2. Metrics Comparison of Different Shadow Detection Methods.

Metric Method	ACC (%)	PREC (%)	SN (%)	SP (%)	Parameters
U-NET1	95.973	91.381	92.632	97.087	3,736,321
U-NET2	97.682	94.858	95.953	98.261	3,910,641
U-NET3	96.843	92.534	94.886	97.486	503,100
U-NET4	97.512	95.166	95.028	98.358	542,460
Proposed network	98.036	96.688	95.616	98.871	507,729

In Table 2 we observe that our method has achieved the highest metric values. Our method is nearly 0.5% more accurate, sensitive and specific when compared to the second best accuracy, sensitivity and specificity values; and nearly 1.5% more precise when compared to the second best precision value. That means that our proposed network is particularly better than the others are at avoiding false positives. Although these differences may not seem significant, we observe in Figures 8 and 9 that only our method shows a little difference between the training and validation values over the training time, meaning that it prevents overfitting problems and has better performance than the other networks when it comes to predicting new samples outside the training set. Furthermore, we notice a huge difference between the number of trainable parameters of *U-NET1* and *U-NET3*, and *U-NET2* and *U-NET4*, although they have similar architectures, proving that using atrous separable convolutions instead of regular convolutions significantly reduces the amount of computation. Finally, another advantage of our method is that it has 34,731 less parameters than U-NET4; thus, it is faster because it has less operations to perform. When evaluating on the test set, the proposed network showed an accuracy of 98.143%, a specificity of 96.599%, and a sensitivity of 95.556%. This represents an unbiased evaluation of the final selected network.

3.2. Mauritia flexuosa Segmentation

Figure 10 shows the segmentation results of 512×512 patches; however, one aerial photograph contains several of these small patches, as its dimensions are much larger (Table 1). Thus, to perform the *Mauritia flexuosa* segmentation of a whole image, we apply a 512×512 sliding window across the image in both horizontal and vertical direction with a 50-pixel overlap. This sliding window is processed by the trained CNN in each position. Then, the image is reconstructed with the segmentation results, as shown in Figure 11. In order to avoid discontinuities or discrepancies in the overlapping pixels captured by the moving pixels, we always considered the maximum pixel values. Furthermore, a threshold of 0.5 is applied over the probability map (Figure 11b) to obtain a binary mask as shown in Figure 11c.



Figure 10. Mauritia flexuosa segmentation results.



Figure 11. *Mauritia flexuosa* segmentation result for a whole image. (**a**) Original image. (**b**) *Mauritia flexuosa* probability map. (**c**) *Mauritia flexuosa* binary mask.

3.3. Mauritia flexuosa Monitoring

The proposed algorithm is designed to be used as a tool by experts from the Peruvian Amazon Research Institute (IIAP). They will acquire aerial images of areas of interest to monitor periodically the approximate amount of *Mauritia flexuosa* palms on a regular basis.

Hundreds of images can be taken in one single flight; using only one of them is not representative enough to analyze a big area, which is why it is necessary to create a georeferenced image mosaic using the GPS information of each image. The elaboration of a mosaic consists of reconstructing a scene in two dimensions from the combination of images acquired with a certain overlap. To carry out this operation, a series of geometric transformations between pairs of images must be estimated, so that when warping one image on another, they can be blended with the least possible error. For this, we use an algorithm that was specifically developed as part of this project to work on areas with abundant vegetation [35]. Figure 12 illustrates two types of mosaics: one made up of RGB images and the other of binary *Mauritia flexuosa* masks. Figure 13 shows five mosaics of areas with different concentration of *Mauritia flexuosa* palms. By doing this, we can analyze large areas and fly periodically to monitor this kind of natural resources.



Figure 12. Aerial image mosaic composed of 168 photographs. (a) Mosaic of RGB images. (b) Mosaic of *Mauritia flexuosa* masks.



Figure 13. Aerial image mosaics acquired near Lake Quistococha. (a) Mosaics of RGB images. (b) Mosaics of *Mauritia flexuosa* masks.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a new end-to-end trainable deep neural network to tackle the problem of *Mauritia flexuosa* palm trees segmentation in aerial images acquired by Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs).

The proposed model is based on Google's Deeplab v3+ network and has achieved better performance than those of other Convolutional Neural Networks used for performance comparison. With an accuracy of 98.036%, the segmentation results prove to be quite similar to the hand-drawn ground truth masks. What is more, after learning the particular features of *Mauritia flexuosa* and its leaves (e.g. shape, texture, color, etc.), our model , our model is able to detect the presence of *Mauritia flexuosa* palms and segment them even when partially covered by taller trees. Further work will be focused on both segmenting and counting the approximate amount of *Mauritia flexuosa* palms in high-resolution aerial photographs.

Supplementary Materials: The dataset are available at http://didt.inictel-uni.edu.pe/dataset/MauFlex_Dataset. rar, dataset license: CC-BY-NC-SA 4.0.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, G.M.; Methodology, G.M.; Software, G.M.; Investigation, G.M., G.S., D.A., and I.O.; UAV Data Acquisition, D.A., I.O., and G.M.; Writing—original draft preparation, G.M.; Writing—review and editing, G.M., G.K. and J.T.; Supervision, G.K.; Project administration, J.T.

Acknowledgments: This research was funded by Programa Nacional de Innovación para la Competitividad y Productividad (Innóvate Perú) grant number 393-PNICP-PIAP-2014. The authors acknowledge the Peruvian Amazon Research Institute (IIAP) for its support during the image acquisition process in the Amazon rainforest.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Del Castillo, D.; Otárola, E.; Freitas, L. *Aguaje: The Amazing Palm Tree of the Amazon*; IIAP: Iquitos, Perú, 2006; ISBN 9972-667-34-0.
- 2. Freitas, L.; Pinedo, M.; Linares, C.; Del Castillo, D. *Descriptores Para el Aguaje (Mauritia flexuosa L.F.)*; IIAP: Iquitos, Perú, 2006; ISBN 978-9972-667-39-8.
- Levistre-Ruiz, J.; Ruiz-Murrieta, J. "El Aguajal": El bosque de la vida en la Amazonía peruana. *Cienc. Amaz.* 2011, 1, 31–40. [CrossRef]

- 4. Draper, F.C.; Roucoux, K.H.; Lawson, I.T.; Mitchard, E.T.; Honorio, E.N.; Lähteenoja, O.; Torres, L.; Valderrama, E.; Zaráte, R.; Baker, T.R. The distribution and amount of carbon in the largest peatland complex in Amazonia. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2014**, *9*, 124017. [CrossRef]
- 5. Malleux, R.; Dapozzo, B. Evaluación de los recursos forestales mundiales 2010—Informe Nacional Perú. Available online: http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/al598S/al598S.pdf (accessed on 22 October 2018).
- 6. Mesa, L.; Galeano, G. Palms uses in the Colombian Amazon. *Caldasia* 2013, 35, 351–369.
- 7. Virapongse, A.; Endress, B.A.; Gilmore, M.P.; Horn, C.; Romulo, C. Ecology, livelihoods, and management of the *Mauritia flexuosa* palm in South America. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **2017**, *10*, 70–92. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ticktin, T. The ecological implications of harvesting non-timber forest products. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **2004**, *41*, 11–21. [CrossRef]
- 9. Puliti, S.; Talbot, B.; Astrup, R. Tree-Stump detection, segmentation, classification, and measurement using Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) imagery. *Forests* **2018**, *9*, 102. [CrossRef]
- 10. Feduck, C.; McDermid, G.J.; Castilla, G. Detection of coniferous seedlings in UAV imagery. *Forests* **2018**, *9*, 432. [CrossRef]
- 11. Balsi, M.; Esposito, S.; Fallavollita, P.; Nardinocchi, C. Single-tree detection in high-density LiDAR data from UAV-based survey. *Eur. J. Remote Sens.* **2018**, *51*, 679–692. [CrossRef]
- 12. Wallace, L.; Lucieer, A.; Watson, C.S. Evaluating tree detection and segmentation routines on very high resolution UAV LiDAR data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* **2014**, *52*, 7619–7628. [CrossRef]
- 13. Nevalainen, O.; Honkavaara, E.; Tuominen, S.; Viljanen, N.; Hakala, T.; Yu, X.; Hyyppä, J.; Saari, H.; Pölönen, I.; Imai, N.N.; et al. Individual tree detection and classification with UAV-Based photogrammetric point clouds and hyperspectral imaging. *Remote Sens.* **2017**, *9*, 185. [CrossRef]
- 14. Klein, A.M.; Dalla, A.P.; Péllico, S.; Strager, M.P.; Schoeninger, E.R. Treedetection: Automatic tree detection using UAV-based data. *Floresta* **2018**, *48*, 393–402. [CrossRef]
- 15. Mohan, M.; Silva, C.A.; Klauberg, C.; Jat, P.; Catts, G.; Cardil, A.; Hudak, A.T.; Dia, M. Individual tree detection from unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) derived canopy height model in an open canopy mixed conifer forest. *Forests* **2017**, *8*, 340. [CrossRef]
- 16. Trichon, V. Crown typology and the identification of rain forest trees on large-scale aerial photographs. *Plant Ecol.* **2001**, *153*, 301–312. [CrossRef]
- Al Mansoori, S.; Kunhu, A.; Al Ahmad, H. Automatic palm trees detection from multispectral UAV data using normalized difference vegetation index and circular Hough transform. In Proceedings of the SPIE Remote Sensing Conference 10792, Berlin, Germany, 10–13 September 2018; doi: 10.1117/12.2325732.
- Franklin, S.E.; Ahmed, O.S. Deciduous tree species classification using object-based analysis and machine learning with unmanned aerial vehicle multispectral data. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2018, *39*, 5236–5245. [CrossRef]
- 19. Mukashema, A.; Veldkamp, A.; Vrieling, A. Automated high resolution mapping of coffee in Rwanda using an expert Bayesian network. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* **2014**, *33*, 331–340. [CrossRef]
- 20. Li, W.; Fu, H.; Yu, L.; Cracknell, A. Deep learning based oil palm tree detection and counting for high-resolution remote sensing images. *Remote Sens.* **2017**, *9*, 22. [CrossRef]
- 21. Epperson, M. Empowering Conservation through Deep Convolutional Neural Networks and Unmanned Aerial Systems. Master's Thesis, University of California, Oakland, CA, USA, 2018.
- 22. Zakharova, M. Automated Coconut Tree Detection in Aerial Imagery Using Deep Learning. Master's Thesis, The Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Löwen, Belgium, 2017.
- 23. Onishi, M.; Ise, T. Automatic classification of trees using a UAV onboard camera and deep learning. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1804.10390.
- 24. Chen, L.-C.; Zhu, Y.; Papandreou, G.; Schroff, F.; Adam, H. Encoder-Decoder with Atrous Separable Convolution for Semantic Image Segmentation. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV 2018), Munich, Germany, 8–14 September 2018.
- 25. Ministry of Health of Peru, 2009. Tablas Peruanas de Composición de Alimentos. Available online: http://www.ins.gob.pe/insvirtual/images/otrpubs/pdf/Tabla%20de%20Alimentos.pdf (accessed on 22 October 2018).
- 26. Wang, J.; Perez, L. The Effectiveness of Data Augmentation in Image Classification using Deep Learning. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1712.04621.

- 27. National Institute of Research and Training in Telecommunications (INICTEL-UNI), 2018. MauFlex Dataset. Available online: http://didt.inictel-uni.edu.pe/dataset/MauFlex_Dataset.rar (accessed on 22 October 2018).
- 28. He, K.; Zhang, X.; Ren, S.; Sun, J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In Proceeding of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), Las Vegas, NV, USA, 27–30 June 2016; pp. 770–778.
- 29. Sandler, M.; Howard, A; Zhu, M.; Zhmoginov, A.; Chen, L.-C. MobileNetV2: Inverted Residuals and Linear Bottlenecks. *arXiv* **2018**, arXiv:1801.04381.
- 30. Yu, F.; Koltun, V. Multi-scale context aggregation by dilated convolutions. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR 2016), San Juan, PR, USA, 2–4 May 2016.
- Chen, L.-C.; Papandreou, G.; Kokkinos, I.; Murphy, K.; Yuille, A.L. DeepLab: Semantic Image Segmentation with Deep Convolutional Nets, Atrous Convolution, and Fully Connected CRFs. *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 2018, 40, 834–848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Kingma, D.; Ba, J. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR 2015), San Diego, CA, USA, 7–9 May 2015.
- Ronneberger, O.; Fischer, P.; Brox, T. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In Proceedings of the Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention (MICCAI 2015), Munich, Germany, 5–9 October 2015; Volume 9351, pp. 234–241.
- 34. Zhang, Z.; Liu, Q.; Wang, Y. Road Extraction by Deep Residual UNet. *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens. Lett.* **2018**, 15, 749–753. [CrossRef]
- 35. Arteaga, D. Desarrollo de un Aplicativo de Software Basado en Algoritmos de Procesamiento Digital de Imágenes y Visión Computacional, Orientado a la Construcción y Georreferenciación de Mosaicos de Imágenes Aéreas Adquiridas vía UAV. Bachelor's Thesis, Universidad Nacional de Ingeniería, Rímac, Peru, 2018.



© 2018 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).